



CLOSED 5
STAMP



BOOK No.

ACCESSION

352 8a52:7²⁹

387544

NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER

FORM NO. 37 5M 6-34

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1223 90119 9449

Tuesday, January 2, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

x 352

8a 52:7 29

387544

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JANUARY 2, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, January 2, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 18, 26 and 27, 1933, were considered read and approved.

Statement of Votes.

The following presented:

Statement of votes cast at the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 19, 1933, on State Referendum Measure (Central Valley Water Project) and local school bonds.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Approval of Record Book "AL," Statement of Votes Cast at the Special Election, December 19, 1933.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1223, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AL", Statement of Votes Cast at the Special Election, held in the City and County of San Francisco, December 19, 1933, on State Referendum Measure and Local School Bonds, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, and that the statement shows the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition voted, the number of votes given in each voting precinct for and against the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for and against the State Referendum Measure and the Bond Proposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Approval of Record Book "AL", Statement of Votes Cast at Election, December 19, 1933, on Proposition "School House Bonds, 1934."

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1224, as follows:

Resolved, That the record book marked "AL", State of Votes Cast at the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, December 19, 1933, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the Special Election for the Bond Proposition entitled "School House Bonds, 1934," which special election was called to be held and consolidated with the State Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, December 19, 1933, pursuant to the provisions of Bill No. 464, Ordinance No. 21,312 of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; that said statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on such bond proposition, which whole number of votes was 99,907, that of said whole number of votes so cast, 69,377 were cast and counted in favor of said "School House Bonds, 1934," and 30,530 were cast and counted against said "School House Bonds, 1934," that such statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the total number cast in each voting precinct therein; also such statement shows the whole number of votes cast for and against said "School House Bonds, 1934," proposition in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the number cast for and against such proposition in each voting precinct therein.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Registrar of Voters to Transmit Statement of Votes, Election December 19, 1933, to Secretary of State.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1225, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State, at Sacramento, California, a certified copy of the statement of votes of the Special Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, December 19, 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., January 15, 1934.*

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of \$3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests for a loan of \$3,200,000 for the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3,000 rooms for rental at \$11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the reason that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interest, inflicting conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would respectfully call the attention of officials at Washington to the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and, further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be \$11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage-earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, for presentation to the Federal Administrator of Public Works at Washington as an expression of protest to the Government loan for said project.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *continued for two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m., January 15, 1934.*

HEARING OF APPEAL FROM ASSESSMENT ON GARFIELD STREET.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

RECONSIDERATION.

Hugo D. Newhouse Appointed Golden Gate Bridge Director.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1168, as follows:

Resolved, That Hugo D. Newhouse be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City and

County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of George T. Cameron, resigned.

December 26, 1933—Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

December 26, 1933—Supervisor Brown changed his vote from No to Aye and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, Re: Appointment of Two New Directors to Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

A communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce demanding that the two new Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District to be appointed, be free from all questionable influence and that it will be of such integrity as to deserve and enjoy the respect and confidence of the taxpayers was read by the Clerk and ordered filed.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl, Supervisor-elect, was, on motion of Supervisor Brown, granted the privilege of the floor. He urged that the matter of the appointment of Bridge Directors be laid over for consideration of the new Board.

He declared that he had in mind an engineer for the position of Director, as the Board was already well supplied with lawyers and business men.

J. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, declared that his organization favored the two nominees proposed, Hugo D. Newhouse and John McLaughlin.

He urged that these appointments be made at once.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order against the eligibility of Supervisors Shannon and Stanton to vote for bridge director because of the duality of their positions as Supervisors and Bridge Directors.

Chair: Point of order not well taken.

Reconsideration Refused.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's motion to reconsider and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution, recommended by Supervisors Havenner and Spaulding, being a majority of the Public Utilities Committee, was taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

Appointment of John P. McLaughlin as Golden Gate Bridge Director.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1222, as follows:

Resolved, That John P. McLaughlin be and is hereby appointed a director of the Golden Gate Bridge District, representing the City

and County of San Francisco, to complete the unexpired term of Carl A. Henry, deceased.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Clerk immediately and by special delivery notify Secretary Felt of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the two candidates just elected.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Annual Salary Ordinance. Adding Confidential Secretary to Office of Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 497, Ordinance No. 9.05356, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Item 2½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 10 of Ordinance 9.05339, to read as follows:

Section 10. SHERIFF.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	\$ 666.66
2	1	B34	Under Sheriff	300
2½	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff....	
3	1	B222	General Clerk	250
4	5	B222	General Clerk	215
5	1	B222	General Clerk	197
6	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
8	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
10	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
11	9	C154	Keeper	160
12	1	C156	Head Keeper	200
13	1	D2	Bailiff	215
14	22	D2	Bailiff	197
15	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
16	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
18	22	D60	Jailer	197
19	4	D60	Jailer	170
20	1	D60	Jailer	225
21	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
22	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail.....	275
23	1	D66	Superintendent of Jail	250
24	9	D102	Writ Server	215
25	2	D102	Writ Server	197
26	1	I12	Cook	195

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
28	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part-time).....	150
29	1	L360	Physician	300
30	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room).....	210
31	1	O52	Farmer	200

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Transferring \$1,443.75 to Pay Salary of Confidential Secretary to Sheriff.

(Code No. 9.05)

Also, Bill No. 498, Ordinance No. 9.051114, as follows:

An ordinance appropriating \$1,443.75 to the credit of Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (1933-34) out of Appropriation No. 260 of said Appropriation Ordinance for the purpose of creating one position of Confidential Secretary to the Sheriff at \$275 per month.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to Appropriation No. 16 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1933-34, the sum of \$1,443.75. The said sum to be taken from Appropriation No. 260 of the said Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. There is hereby created in the Sheriff's Department one position of Confidential Secretary at a salary of \$275 per month, subject to the deduction authorized by Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Hayden, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of Buildings on University Mound Reservoir Property.

(Code No. 12.17252)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 1217, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days published notice, all buildings acquired or to be acquired on Assessor's Blocks 6039, 6040, 6041, 6056, 6057 and 6058, which blocks are to be used for the extension of University Mound Reservoir.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Auction Sale of City Property.

(Code No. 12.1729)

Also, Resolution No. 1218, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized to sell at auction the partly demolished City-owned house located at 537 Harkness avenue, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Auction Sale of School Property Authorized.

(Code No. 12.1722)

Also, Resolution No. 1219, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Education, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days published notice, the City-owned building located at 151 Highland avenue, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property, said building to be removed by the purchaser within thirty days after the date of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Acceptance of Deeds for Land.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1220, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lands in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said lands from Appropriation No. 256:

Bert Nordman et al., Lots 14, 15 and 16, Assessor's Block 6266, \$4,810.

Thomas Gilligan, Lot 8, Assessor's Block 6288, \$1,874.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Closing to Traffic Alameda Street From Bryant to Florida Street.

(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1221, as follows:

Resolved, That Alameda street, from the westerly line of Bryant street to the center line of Florida street, and the easterly half of Florida street from Alameda street to a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom be and are hereby temporarily closed to traffic.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**Mayor's Annual Report.**

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: My prolonged absence from San Francisco, at the National Capitol, during November and December, prevented my com-

pletion of my annual report for submission to your Honorable Board on this date.

The report is now being typed and will be transmitted on Monday next.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Read by the Clerk. *Filed.*

Invitation to Hearing Relative to Complete Utilization of the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. H. Wittenberg, Chairman, Regional Committee, Region No. 15, of the Code of Fair Competition for the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry, advising of hearing to be held January 4, 1934, at 11 a. m. in Room 211, State Building, San Francisco, pursuant to provisions of the Code of Fair Competition for the Crushed Stone, Sand and Gravel and Slag Industry in making its survey relative to the fullest possible utilization of the present productive capacity of the industry, and requesting attendance thereat.

Invitation accepted and Supervisor Gallagher appointed to attend.

Report of J. C. Geiger, Health Officer, Re: Resolution No. 1210, Relating to Alcoholic Beverages Offered for Sale in San Francisco.

Communication from Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director, Department of Public Health, transmitting report with reference to Resolution No. 1210, relating to alcoholic beverages offered for sale in San Francisco.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Workmen's Compensation on CWS Projects.

John Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors: This is to advise you that we can accept no responsibility for workmen's compensation under CWS projects. The rates are low on this type of work and we trust that each county will be able to make arrangements to take care of this item so that their projects will not be stopped.

CAPT. EDWARD MACCAULEY,

Administrator, CWA,

By ROY W. PILLING,

Director, Civil Works Service Projects.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Resurvey of Spur Track Facilities.

(Code No. 12.20)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1229, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is recognized as one of the foremost industrial communities of the West possessing definite advantages for the manufacturer, wholesaler and distributor as regards taxes, climate, transportation facilities, sample labor market and other economic factors necessary to the locating of industry; and

Whereas, the diversification of our industries and the many substantial payrolls resulting from same contribute in no small degree to our present recognized standing as a solvent community enjoying economic health; and

Whereas, competition for industrial payrolls among the communities around the bay, as well as other areas in the southern part of the State, is becoming more and more apparent; and

Whereas, said competing areas have succeeded in inducing a number of manufacturers of this City to move to other localities where

the people of these communities are sufficiently industrial-minded to be cognizant of industries' legitimate requirements and requests; and Whereas, it has been frequently alleged that San Francisco is negligent in many respects in its cooperation with those agencies who are endeavoring to study, analyze and equitably adjust the economic needs of our own local industries; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the City Engineer of said City and County to conduct a survey among the industries located in those certain industrial zones bounded by Jefferson and Greenwich streets, Battery and Hyde streets for the purpose of ascertaining if the various facilities normally and rightfully accorded industry by other communities are being equally furnished by this City, especially as regards the legitimate spur track requirements of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, one of the largest and oldest industrial concerns in this City, with an enormous capital investment and employing large numbers of workers, whose request for reasonable rail facilities to its plant has been opposed by certain residential property owners living in an area strictly industrial and zoned for that purpose; and be it

Further Resolved, That, if such investigation, when completed, reveals the lack of legitimate and customary City and County aid with regard to needed industrial facilities and especially with reference to the reasonable request of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, who, after several years of practical idleness due to the recent prohibition era, is now confronted with unusual demands for its products and services, that this Board of Supervisors take immediate steps to provide the easily arranged spur track facilities requested and, if necessary, purchase the right of way for this track with City and County funds in order that this industry and others who would benefit also through this needed facility may feel justified in a continued faith and confidence sufficient to warrant them to maintain manufacturing in this community.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committees.

(North Beach Merchants' Promotion Association and others to be notified.)

Leaves of Absence—Lewis F. Byington, President, Public Utilities Commission, and E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

(Code No. 15.035)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1228, as follows:

Resolved, That, in the discharge of routine duties, Lewis F. Byington, President, Public Utilities Commission, and E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, be, and they are hereby granted permission to absent themselves from the State of California for a period of fifteen days at any time during the next sixty days in order to visit Boulder Dam for the purpose of examining the construction thereof, in order to prepare the final plans, specifications and estimates for the work to be done incident to the raising of the height of the O'Shaughnessy Dam on the Hetch Hetchy project.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havener, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Contract With Chamber of Commerce—Publicity and Advertising.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Miles presented:

Resolution No. 1230, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did, on the 15th

day of July, 1931, enter into a contract with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, to engage the services of said Chamber of Commerce for the purpose of exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County, for the purpose of inducing immigration to, and increasing the trade and commerce of the City and County, and of advertising and exhibiting for said purpose, the agricultural, horticultural, industrial, commercial and climatic, educational, recreational, artistic, musical, cultural and other resources of said City and County; and

Whereas, it is provided that during each fiscal year within the term of said contract that the said Chamber of Commerce should submit to the Board of Supervisors a plan of the nature and character of said advertising for said year; and

Whereas, the said Chamber of Commerce has submitted said plan for the current year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said plan be, and the same is hereby approved, and the Chief Administrative Officer is hereby requested to cause said plan to be executed, the cost thereof not to exceed the sum of \$50,000, to be paid from the Publicity and Advertising Fund, upon the submission of adequate vouchers for the amounts expended, duly approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, provided that all expenditures before being made be duly approved by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was presented by Supervisor Miles and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Application to the State of California for Loan of \$1,000,000 Under Provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act, 1933, for the Furnishing of Relief in San Francisco Resulting From Unemployment.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 502, Ordinance No. 19.07110, as follows:

Application to the State of California for loan of \$1,000,000, made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of Statutes of 1933; this sum being necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief resulting from unemployment in the City and County of San Francisco, for the period January 1, 1934, to March 31, 1934, or shortly thereafter, authorizing the Chairman and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a note in amount of \$1,000,000 with interest at 4¼ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals, on July 1st and January 1st; if not paid subject to provisions of Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, declaring existence of an actual emergency relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor, and passage of this ordinance prior to January 3, 1934.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) is hereby made under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administration.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of furnishing relief

and work relief and in relieving the hardship resulting from unemployment in San Francisco County for the period beginning January 1, 1934, and ending March 31, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Said loan, if granted, in the amount of one million and no/100 dollars (\$1,000,000), shall constitute a debt of the County of San Francisco and shall be secured by a note of the County of San Francisco executed as follows:

\$1,000,000.00

Date....., 1934.

Commencing on the 1st day of July, 1937, the County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$1,000,000 in ten equal annual installments, together with interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{4}$ per cent per annum, payable at semi-annual intervals on July 1st and January 1st, all as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and subject to the provisions thereof.

.....
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered, and directed to execute such notes set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$1,000,000), or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and Administrator. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and that this ordinance must be passed prior to January 3, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri—2.

Leave of Absence—Edward G. Cahill.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1226, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, com-

mencing at any time during the next sixty days, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Leave of Absence—Hon. Lewis F. Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 2, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, President of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1227, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lewis F. Byington, President of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing at any time during the next sixty days, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Peyser, Power, Shannon, Spaulding, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Referred.

The following was presented by Supervisor Colman and *referred to the Health Committee*:

Amendment to Milk Ordinance—Cream.

(Code No. 17.05)

Bill No. 503, Ordinance No. 17.053, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 17.052—Code No. 17.05, entitled as follows: "Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for

the violation thereof," by changing Subdivision Seven of Section two of said ordinance as hereinafter set forth.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That Subdivision 7 of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 17,052—Code No. 17.05, entitled as follows: "Regulating all traffic in milk, cream and milk food products, the production, handling, processing, distribution, labeling, sale and offering for sale in the City and County of San Francisco of milk, cream and milk food products; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; fixing standards and definitions for milk, cream and milk food products; providing for inspection of all premises used in the production, handling, distributing, processing, sale or offering for sale of milk, cream and milk food products in said City and County; enforcing the tuberculin testing of all dairy cattle producing milk for consumption in said City and County; providing for the examination of all employees engaged in the production and processing of milk, cream and milk food products; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and providing penalties for the violation thereof," be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 2. * * *

"Subdivision 7. Cream: Cream is that portion of milk rich in milk fat, which rises to the surface of milk on standing, or is separated from it by centrifugal force, is fresh and clean, and contains not less than twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and not more than seven and eight-tenths (7.8) per cent of milk solids not fat in cream containing twenty (20) per cent of milk fat and correspondingly less solids for greater percentages of milk fat. *Market cream, from and after the first day of July, 1934*, shall be graded and shall conform to all the standards set for market milk of the same grade except that the maximum bacterial count for any grade of market cream shall be not more than three times as great as that for the corresponding grade of market milk. Cream for manufacturing purposes may be repasteurized not exceeding once.

"Sour market cream is clean market cream which has been fermented by the action of one or more strains of lactic acid bacteria, so that the product contains a distinct acidity, but which otherwise conforms to all the requirements for market cream. This product may be sold under the designation 'sour market cream.'"

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that Dr. Geiger observe the status quo in the matter of enforcing the ordinance until such time as the amendment is reported by the committee.

Dr. Geiger agreed and stated that he would report every 30 days.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved that the installation proceedings of the Board on January 8 be transmitted over loud speaker system to overflow crowd in rotunda of the City Hall.

Motion *carried*.

City Attorney to Advise on Residence Qualifications for Members Relief Committees or Commissions.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney be requested to advise this Board as to whether any person can legally hold membership on any committee or commission representing San Francisco

in the expenditure of government funds, who does not reside in San Francisco.

Motion carried.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, January 8, 1934, to finish the business of the retiring Board. All members to be notified.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 8, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, January 8, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 8, 1934, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 8, 1934, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to motion to recess to complete unfinished business.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor President Hayden presiding.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 11:30 a. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 11:35 a. m.

Supervisor Power appeared and was noted present at 11:40 a. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 2, 1934, was presented and ordered approved.

Federal Emergency Relief Administration.

The following was presented, read and *made part of the record* and called to Supervisor Gallagher's attention:

Members State Senate, Members State Assembly, Chairman Board of Supervisors.

In order to avoid the possibility of any misunderstanding regarding the reasons for separating the Federal Civil Works Administration in California from the State Emergency Relief Administration of that State (which, as you know, includes the administration of all federal funds made available for relief purposes in the State of California), I wish to convey to you the contents of a telegram which was received by me from the Honorable Harry L. Hopkins, Federal Emergency Relief Administrator and Federal Civil Works Administrator, on December 22nd:

"Pierce Williams—Personal and Confidential: If there is any occasion for you to make a statement about our regard for Branion, I hope you will do it. I certainly don't want the impression abroad in California that we are not backing Branion up.

"HARRY L. HOPKINS."

I am sure that you will be as much interested as we are in having it understood that Mr. R. C. Branion has the complete confidence and support of the heads of our National Relief and Civil Works program.

Sincerely yours,

PIERCE WILLIAMS, Western Field Representative,
Federal Emergency Relief Administration,
Federal Civil Works Administration.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$279 Out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), 1933-1934, for the Employment of One Temporary Employee in the Office of the Treasurer From January 1 to February 28, 1934, to Handle Unemployment Relief Checks Only.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 500, Ordinance No. 9.051115, as follows:

Appropriating \$279 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Treasurer's Office, Personal Service Budget Item 14-1, Budget of 1933-1934, for the employment of one temporary employee in the office of the Treasurer from January 1 to February 28, 1934, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief Checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$279 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Budget Item 14-1, Treasurer's Office, Personal Service, Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the payment of the salary of one temporary employee in the office of the Treasurer from January 1 to February 28, 1934, specially detailed to handle Unemployment Relief Checks only, and to perform no other service in the office of the Treasurer.

(Approved by the Mayor and Director of Finance.)

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

NEW BUSINESS.**Adopted.**

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Clerk to Advertise Notice of Sale of \$450,000 "Relief Bonds, 1932."

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1231, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale) that on the 15th day of January, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Four hundred and fifty thousand dollars of relief bonds dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising fifty bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1944, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Leave of Absence—Albert A. Greenbaum, Member of Art Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 3, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing January 5, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1232, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Albert A. Greenbaum, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing January 5, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Canepa, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Spaulding, Stanton—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Peyser, Power, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation of \$435,000 to Citizens' Relief Committee.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 504, Ordinance No. 9.051117, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$435,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to January 31, 1934, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of four hundred thirty-five thousand (\$435,000) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to January 31, 1934.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such State, federal and municipal funds as may be made available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and are for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Canepa, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Miles, Power, Spaulding, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Peyser, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Remarks.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr. President, we have here today an old member of the Board whom I served with for six years, and whom you served with for eight years, I think, and I would like to introduce at this time former Supervisor Hines.

THE PRESIDENT: Former Supervisor Hines, we would be glad to hear from you.

MR. HINES: President of the Board, Members and Ladies and Gentlemen, and some of my Old Friends and Constituents at the back there: I thought as I came into this meeting, these celebrations, events, have a fascination for me. All my life I have been interested in what we hear called politics. Politics is really the science of government, and I have always been interested in the welfare of the people, and I served here for two terms at the instance and good will of the electorate of San Francisco. I came here with a solemn purpose and resolve, that I was going to give the best that was in me for the people that employed me, and I did what I thought best. A great many people did not agree. I remember once when I stood up and somebody called me a politician, and I said, "I am not a politician that has to do the bidding of the politicians that sit on the outside and say, 'Hines, if you don't do this you will never be re-elected, or if you do this I am against you.'" So we were always between the proverbial devil and the deep blue sea, and my sympathy always went out to the men who had to seek the votes of the people in order to get the job and come in and serve them. If I had my way, and if I had it to do over again, if there was any possible way that I know of, I would suggest the creation of a formula and I would have an examination for those who are to serve the electors the same as we give an examination to a doctor, or dentist, or an attorney, or any man who is to qualify for a position to serve the people. It takes some time for a man to become acquainted with the desires of the people, and some time to become acquainted with legislative acts and formulas. These men going out today, these men have watched the welfare and cared for you people, listened to your woes and complaints, and some of these men going out today are going out with a feeling that there is quite a bit of ingratitude by those they have served.

I recall when I came in, in 1916, sixteen years ago, I remember making the statement when called upon to make a speech—and I never made a speech in my life and don't think I can make one even now—when I came in I said I was very, very sorry for those who were going out, but that I was glad to come in. I would love to come in and sit down and legislate for you now and watch your affairs, but what I am going to do today is hear a lot of talk, and whether I am thankful to Mr. McSheehy for calling on me or not, I assure you it is a pleasure to be here, and I hope that the incoming Board will give you the things you anticipate and look forward to, and that to the old Board going out you are going to say, "Well done, my good and faithful servant."

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor McSheehy, in the meantime, if you have any further offerings to make, we shall be very glad to hear from you.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: We have here today, at my left, a very prominent attorney of this City who is very often here before us, and I think we would all be glad to hear something from him. Mr. Charles Brennan.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, we will be very glad to hear from Mr. Brennan.

MR. CHARLES BRENNAN: The position that I occupy here today is only a hop-skip-and-a-jump from the exit door, and if I had anticipated my good friend, Supervisor McSheehy, would call upon me for a speech I am sure that you would have seen me disappearing through

that door. But I came here today to express, as I know everyone in this room feels, the appreciation of the people of San Francisco for this Board of Supervisors through the years. They have sat here wrestling with the very many knotty civic problems that are always before them, and I wish now to felicitate those who are coming in to assume those duties and to say a word in praise of those who have so arduously worked here to do the best they could for the people of San Francisco. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, Supervisor McSheehy, I hope you don't run out of talent; I see a lot of talent here in the chambers today. I think we might hear a few words from Miss Annie Scanlon, here.

MRS. ANNIE SCANLON: Mr. President, Members of the Board and Ladies and Gentlemen: I came here to listen, and I wanted to see all the big doings. This is kind of a surprise; I was taken kind of unaware, and I do want to say, in keeping with what Mr. Brennan said, it is a hard thing to serve the public. I have sat here before this Board for a great many years—I don't know why—I guess it's in the blood; I can't help it; it is a thing I love. When I have sat outside of the rail here, listening to the Board, week after week, trying to do their sworn duty and then there would be such pressure from here and there, and this and the other tried, I often wonder how the Board of Supervisors did as well as they do, because it is a hard thing to do your duty, and then be up against the problem where you are standing on the floor of the Board and told that if you don't do such and such a thing you will not be re-elected, and there are instances of that in the record where that has been said.

I am sorry to see some of these very fine men, who have served the City of San Francisco, go out of this door, men who have looked to the interests of the public, men who have looked to the ideals of the workingman and also looked to the interests of capital, but, as Mr. Hines says, it is a hard thing to serve the public.

I want to say that we have had Boards of Supervisors come in as "clean-out Boards," and we will probably have them again. It is a wonderful thing to come in with a new broom and sweep clean, and all that; but here in San Francisco, with a limited budget, we can only cut our coat by the cloth we have.

I want to say to the men coming in that these are distressful times, everybody and everything is upset, men don't want to invest because they cannot look far enough into the future, and I want to say that in times like this people want a change, they don't know just what they want, but let's hope that the majority have got what they want, and also we still maintain on the Board some men who have served the City well, and I am sure we can accomplish a great deal, and I hope it will all be well done.

I want to congratulate the new members of the Board, and I regret to see some of the old members going out, but then you can't keep a good man down and they will be coming up again.

I thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: I notice here today a very distinguished attorney, a gentleman who has taken a very keen interest in civic affairs in San Francisco. I refer to Mr. Walter Duane.

MR. WALTER DUANE: Mr. President, Members of the Board, and Ladies and Gentlemen: Mrs. Scanlon has just said that some good men are leaving the halls of this building to step back into private life, but that they will come back. There is no question about that. There may be differences of opinion, Mr. President, with reference to what is best for our community, or for any city, but when all is said and done all of those concerned are looking to the best interests of San Francisco. In behalf of the gentlemen coming in I want to say that they are coming into the legislative halls of San Francisco under the most auspicious circumstances; San Francisco, through its leadership has obtained that place that has brought about the envy of practically every municipality in the United States. Today San

Francisco is sound financially, the National Government has recognized that condition in the most sincere way, the most telling way, when it is willing to take the bonds of San Francisco and give San Francisco the money on its credit; so I say to the gentlemen here, who are coming on to the Board of Supervisors, that they are stepping into their offices under the most auspicious circumstances, they have the backing and confidence of the Nation, and before four years more go through San Francisco should be far more outstanding throughout the world than she is today.

I thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: We have here today a member of the Advisory Board of Health, Doctor Alexander Keenan, and I know that Doctor Keenan will have something to say at this time.

DOCTOR KEENAN: Mr. President, Gentlemen of the Board, and Ladies and Gentlemen: I am reminded of the story of the fellow who went into a restaurant to order a crab. He said to the waiter, "Bring me a big crab." The waiter brought out the crab and there was one big claw missing. The man looked at it and said to the waiter, "What happened to this? Where is the other claw?" The waiter said, "Sir, that is a fighting crab and he lost that in the battle," and the man said, "Take it away and bring me the victor."

I want to say congratulations to the victors, to the winners in the fight, because after all, you losers were winners once, yes, twice, yes, three times, yes, four or five times, and if you step in often, why, you will step in once too often and you will fall. I will say this for them, they have gone down gloriously and courageously, with a good task that will stand up always, for the rest of their lives, that they are going out with good clear honorable records. I can say this to the retiring President, I can look back many years into his life, when we were boys together of seven and eight, I was seven and he was nine, and from that time until today I have never known him to do a dishonorable thing, and it must be a great and consoling and comforting thought to him as he sits there today to think that after twenty—twenty-four years of political life no one can shake a finger at him and say, "You did this dishonest thing, or that dishonest thing, or you neglected this duty or that duty." He always served, and isn't that a good thing? He always performed the civic duty given to him to do, and if in the doing of that he naturally made enemies, and if in the doing of that he naturally jeopardized his popularity, that is why he has to be taken away by the waiter and the victor brought on to take his place. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Gallagher, you have the floor.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Some couple of weeks ago Supervisor Brown indicated that perhaps this chamber would not be large enough to hold the interested citizens who were coming here today, and that loud speaker arrangements, particularly for the folks who might not have the advantage of seats or the opportunity of coming inside be installed. Can anybody report what has been done on that subject?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: All I know on that subject, Supervisor Gallagher—our Clerk is not here at the present time—but in the presence of our President, about a half hour ago, he stated that he had communicated with Mr. Wiley on the subject about a week ago.

THE PRESIDENT: It is now within about twenty minutes of the time when this old Board will go out and the new Board take its place, and we have plenty of room now, we are not suffering any from overcrowding.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I am speaking of the hour of twelve o'clock.

THE PRESIDENT: That is twenty minutes from now. Perhaps we might hear from Supervisor Gallagher at this time.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will have plenty to say later on.

THE PRESIDENT: I thought you might have something to say now to the old Supervisors.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will mix it all up at once.

THE PRESIDENT: We may not have the old members here when you mix them up.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I move that we recess now until twelve o'clock.

SUPERVISOR POWER: Before we recess, I should like to ask the Clerk if he has any news relative to the question I asked be put to the Police Department, and the Tax Collector, last meeting?

THE CLERK: There is no answer at the present time.

THE PRESIDENT: I think Supervisor Colman perhaps can fill in, in a very interesting way, for the few minutes we have yet to wait. Supervisor Colman.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: I think it is very appropriate, and I was just going to get up to say a word to the seven members of the Board who at noon time again become private citizens. I think they need feel no sincere sorrow at the matter, nor take it as a personal matter that they were not again chosen. This election was a most peculiar one. There were nine members of the Board and under the new charter only five could be elected, which really meant that right when the campaign started the nine members of the Board knew that five would retire, and that made the task different from any other. Again, so many questions became involved that it was not a personal slight on them at all.

I want to express to them, and in the case of our retiring President in particular, with whom all of my twelve years of service have been, and with some of them four, and some of them six, and some eight, I want to express my sincere regret at their leaving. Certainly, personally it has been in every way a relationship which has been most pleasant. There have been disagreements on public questions, of course. Those things happen, but I think they happen between each and every member of the Board, and I want to say to you in departure that you can feel that you have done a good job for San Francisco. I certainly wish them the best of good luck and to express to them the hope that our friendship will continue. (Applause).

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk, Supervisor Power has asked a question of you. Will you kindly repeat your question, Supervisor Power?

SUPERVISOR POWER: At the last meeting I asked that the Clerk communicate with the Police Department, and also with the Tax Collector, relative to the tax on trucks from the outside; there have been a great many trucks coming into San Francisco, and there have been many complaints that they are not paying the proper tax.

THE CLERK: I have sent a letter, but have had no reply as yet.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I have some business to transact previous to the meeting at noontime, and my own thought is that we ought to take a recess until five minutes to twelve, and all of us return to our seats at that time, but if the disposition of the Board is not to do so I do not press it, but would ask to be excused at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, if there is no objection.

Supervisor Havenner, you have the floor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I merely wanted to take this moment to express to my colleagues who are retiring from the Board the deep personal appreciation which I have experienced from my association with them during the past four years, and my sincere regret from every personal standpoint that the result of the election has brought about their retirement from this association, and my hope and earnest wish that the future may deal kindly with them all, and that our relationship in public activity and in the public weal may continue for the benefit of San Francisco.

I might take advantage of this opportunity to report to the Board the result of our recent trip to Washington in connection with the application of San Francisco for a Federal loan and grant under the terms of the National Recovery Act.

When the Public Works projects were approved by the voters at

the last election, I was asked by the Mayor, and the City Attorney, and the City Controller to accompany them to Washington on this trip because of the fact the members of the State Advisory Board of Public Works, of which I happened to be a member, were meeting in Washington.

It was necessary for San Francisco to revise its application. As you will all remember, we submitted a tentative application to the Federal Government prior to the last election, asking the Government to express its attitude on the program. The program at that time consisted of some thirteen projects, aggregating about thirty-five million dollars. The Federal Government did agree to make a preliminary examination of our projects before they were submitted to the people for a vote to express its attitude upon them. As you all remember, the Government agreed that all of the projects submitted were meritorious and were in keeping with the purposes and intent of the National Recovery Act, and agreed that in the event the people at the polls approved of these bond issues that they would make a Federal grant equal to thirty per cent of the cost of labor and materials involved in each one of those projects.

As the result of the recent election five of those projects were approved, the people approved five projects aggregating something in excess of twenty million dollars. It was therefore necessary for us to amend our original application. In the meantime important changes had occurred in the money market and the bond market, and when we tried, in the customary manner, to sell the bonds approved by the people, to private bidders, the only bid which we received proposed an interest rate of six per cent. During recent years, and in what we have called normal times, we have been able to sell our bonds at four and one-half per cent, and sometimes at even a more advantageous rate. The sudden rise in this bid to an interest rate of six per cent would have meant, if San Francisco had seen fit to sell its bonds at that figure, that we would have been compelled to spend during the lifetime of the bonds nearly four million dollars more in interest than we have been accustomed to do on bonds. This large sum in interest on bonds would have virtually nullified the amount of the Federal grant, and it was considered that it would have been extremely bad business for San Francisco to accept such a bid. In addition I may say that if San Francisco had decided to accept this six per cent interest bid I think the credit of this City in the money market and the bond market would have been seriously and perhaps permanently impaired. So we refused the bid, and asked the Federal Government to make us a loan on the Federal projects which had been approved, and to make the grant as well.

We were obliged to do this at a time which was somewhat inopportune, the bulk of the three billion three hundred million appropriation for public works which was contained in the National Recovery Act had already been allocated to various parts of the Union, there was only a relatively small amount of money left in the fund. So when we went to Washington, while we did everything we could to press our application for San Francisco, we felt that it was hoping rather too much to expect to be able to get an approval on our entire application.

The officials of the Public Works Administration, headed by Secretary Ickes and Colonel H. M. Wood, as the deputy in charge, greeted us and dealt with us in the most sympathetic and kindly fashion, and I may say that when the news came from Washington last week that they had decided to allocate to San Francisco the sum of approximately eighteen million dollars at this time we felt our City had been treated extremely well, and I think we have the right to feel now, with this amount of money coming to us for the construction of public works and relief, and the creation of a great purchasing power by the people of San Francisco that San Francisco's relative position in the present emergency of unemployment and unrest is very, very favorable, and I think we have every reason to feel grateful to the administration and

to the officials in charge for the very, very fine way in which they treated us.

I might say that it seemed to be the consensus of opinion, while we were in Washington, indicated all of the projects would be approved, and I think that within the next few months we may expect to have the high pressure project approved. The bonds will be purchased by the Government and will carry only four per cent, so that we get not only a loan at four per cent interest, which is much more favorable rate of interest than we have had in recent years, but we also get a grant equal to thirty per cent of the cost of labor and materials. The extension to the water system has been approved, for some twelve million dollars, and the airport improvements, a small project, amounting to about \$265,000 and the construction of the new sewer amounting to two and a half million dollars, roughly, and the raising of the O'Shaughnessy Dam for the impounding of additional water for San Francisco, and the insuring of additional power production in our power plant, which will amount to about three million and one-half. All of that money comes to us at an interest rate of four per cent with a grant of thirty per cent of the cost of labor and material from the Federal Government. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Supervisor Havenner.

MONDAY, JANUARY 8, 1934, 12 M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 8, 1934, 12 m.

Pursuant to Section 10, of the Charter, the newly-elected and continuing members of the Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Present—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Bonds of Supervisors Filed.

The following was read by the Clerk:

January 8, 1934.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Please be advised that as at this date official bonds of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are on file in this office, as follows:

Breyer, Samuel T., Massachusetts Bonding Co., \$5,000; Brown, Arthur M., Central Surety & Ins. Corp, \$5,000; Colman, Jesse C., Massachusetts Bonding Co., \$5,000; Gallagher, Andrew J., Massachusetts Bonding Co., \$5,000; Havenner, Franck R., American Bonding Co., \$5,000; McSheehy, James B., New Amsterdam Casualty Co., \$5,000; Ratto, John M., Fidelity and Deposit Co. of Maryland, \$5,000; Roncovieri, Alfred, Hartford Accident and Indemnity Co., \$5,000; Schmidt, Adolph E., Fireman's Fund Indemnity Co., \$5,000; Shannon, Warren, Massachusetts Bonding Co., \$5,000; Uhl, Adolph, Aetna Casualty and Surety Co., \$5,000.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

Motion.

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: Mr Clerk, in the absence of a chairman at this time I take pleasure in nominating for temporary chairman Mr. Sam Breyer.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Second the motion.

THE CLERK (continuing): It has been regularly moved and seconded that Supervisor Sam Breyer act as temporary chairman. If there is no objection, Supervisor Breyer will act as temporary chairman of the meeting. (No response.)

(Thereupon Supervisor Sam Breyer acted as temporary Chairman of the meeting.)

THE CHAIRMAN: The first order of business, I believe, is the nomination of a president. Is there any other business at this time? If not, it is now in order that we place in nomination a President for the ensuing term of two years.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr President, members of the Board, and ladies and gentlemen:

As you have heard, the first act of this new board is to choose one of its own membership to serve as President of the Board for a period of two years. It is my privilege to place in nomination the name of one of my colleagues to fill this office. It is customary, I know, in making nomination speeches to keep the name of the candidate to the end of the speech and then to reveal it as a grand climax. I am going to depart from this custom and state right now that the name of my candidate is the Honorable James B. McSheehy. (Applause.)

When one man has served with another on this Board of Supervisors for twelve years you will admit he is well qualified to judge of his character and qualifications. For twelve years Mr. McSheehy and I have been colleagues on this Board, and we are both young. I have had ample opportunity to observe Supervisor McSheehy under all circumstances, in calm deliberations, in the strife of battle, and from a personal standpoint. Yes, I have seen him in happiness, proud and happy with his splendid children, and I have tried to console him in grief when his loyal helpmate of many years was taken to her last rest.

During this thirteen years of service never once has the breath of suspicion been directed against Supervisor McSheehy, no one has ever questioned his honesty, nor has anyone ever impugned his truthfulness in any of his actions as a member of this Board. I have disagreed with Supervisor McSheehy on many occasions, which means that he has also disagreed with me. Every member of this Board has disagreed with every other member of the Board, and I think that is true, but this is as it should be in a democratic body such as ours representing citizens and tax payers in every walk of life. Naturally, we can not think alike, but when we differ with Supervisor McSheehy we know that he believes sincerely in the stand he has taken. The record will show many occasions on which he has stood out alone against every other member of this Board. This shows him clearly to be a man of convictions. The people of San Francisco want Supervisor McSheehy to serve as a member of the Board. They have approved that by their votes in every election in the past, and last November came the most outstanding approval of all, Supervisor McSheehy was returned to office with a very handsome and flattering vote. It seems to me fit and proper, therefore, that sixteen years of faithful service should be rewarded.

Supervisor McSheehy has assured me that if elected he will play no favorites and he will give each and every one of his colleagues a square deal. He will treat us all alike. I believe him implicitly, and therefore I believe I am speaking not only for myself alone but for a great number of the voters of San Francisco.

It affords me great pleasure to nominate for the office of President of the Board of Supervisors my good friend and colleague, the Honorable James B. McSheehy. (Applause.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Supervisor Havenner, you have the floor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, members of the Board: It gives me a great deal of pleasure to second the nomination made by Supervisor Colman of my colleague, Supervisor McSheehy, for the Presidency of this Board.

He is now entering upon a term of office which, when completed,

will have given him twenty years continuous service on the Board of Supervisors for the people of San Francisco. He has been honored in the last election by a vote of confidence, the kind of a vote which was somewhat rare. All over the country in the recent elections in this period of suffering and unrest it was inevitable that the incumbents in office should bear the brunt in the minds of the people for the ills and the troubles which they were obliged to endure. Therefore, the records of elections in every part of America show that a very small percentage of incumbents in office were returned to office. Supervisor McSheehy was one of that small number. I think by reason of his long service and fine record and the confidence the people have shown in him that he should be chosen at this time to lead the Board. (Applause)

THE CHAIRMAN: The name of Supervisor McSheehy has been placed in nomination for the Presidency of the Board.

Are there any further nominations? (No response.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I move that the nominations be closed.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Second the motion.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the nominations for President be closed. Is there any objection? (No response.)

There being no objection, it is so ordered.

The clerk will call the roll on the nomination of Supervisor McSheehy for the office of President of the Board.

THE CLERK: Breyer?

SUPERVISOR BREYER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Brown?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Colman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Gallagher?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Havenner?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Aye.

THE CLERK: McSheehy?

SUPERVISOR MCSHEEHY: I ask to be excused.

THE CLERK: Ratto?

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Roncovieri?

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Aye.

THE CLERK: Schmidt?

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Aye.

THE CLERK: Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Uhl?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Ten "Ayes," one "Excused."

THE CHAIRMAN: It is my pleasure to announce that Supervisor McSheehy has been unanimously elected President of this Board for the ensuing term. (Loud applause.)

(Thereupon Supervisor James B. McSheehy took up the gavel and assumed the office of President of the Board.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Retiring President, retiring members of the Board, my colleagues, ladies and gentlemen:

To you, my colleagues who have so graciously elected me to this important office as President of the Board, I thank you. In so doing I have but one thought in mind: How can I serve you? During the many years that I have been a member of this Board I have often thought of ways and means of raising the standards so that the newspapers reporting the business of the meeting would not ridicule us and broadcast the impression that we are a body of men who transact the business of this city in anything but a dignified and businesslike manner.

To you, my colleagues who are retiring, may I say that in my opinion

the decorum, cooperation and business methods used in the past four years have been a great improvement over the previous years. The rules which we have worked under for the past four years have enabled us to do very effective and constructive work as legislators.

As your newly elected President I am going to strictly enforce our rules, and not alone with you, the members of this Board, but with the general public who visit these chambers. I have seen members of this Board boomed and insulted by persons sitting in the lobby, and if that occurs during my time as your President I will have the entire lobby cleared by the police. (Applause.)

There is no reason why the public visiting these chambers should not show the same respect for this Board that they show in visiting one of our courts. A man, to be respected must respect himself, and our conduct must be so exemplary that it will warrant the respect of our fellow citizens, and I promise you, my colleagues, that I will enforce our rules, no matter how often I have to ask the assistance of our sergeant-at-arms to see that the rules we are working under are enforced. In taking this office as President of the Board I can assure you, my colleagues, and my fellow citizens, that I am in no way obligated to carry out any program. That I have nothing but the kindest of feelings for all the members of this Board of Supervisors and shall treat all alike.

We are all creatures of habit, and one of the worst habits that the members of this Board have fallen into is their tardiness in attending our regular meetings at the time prescribed by our rules.

May I address myself directly to you, newly elected Supervisors, Mr. Uhl, Doctor Schmidt, and Mr. John Ratto, and ask you if you will please be here at the time designated to hold our meetings. I can promise you I shall be on time, and if I can get two other members to be here we will have a quorum and I will proceed with the City's business promptly on time. (Applause.)

President Roosevelt in his message last Wednesday indicated that he is going to request that Congress pass a great many progressive laws so that all the people in these United States will have a chance to earn a living. These laws will be reflected into every political subdivision in this country, and we shall be called upon here in San Francisco to do our part. As your presiding officer I am going to do my part, and I am going to ask you, my colleagues, and my fellow citizens, to do your part so that we can continue to make this City the brightest and most progressive City in the United States. (Loud applause.)

THE CLERK: For the purposes of the record I wish to announce that I have just received from the Controller notice that the newly elected Supervisors, Messrs. Uhl, Schmidt and Ratto, have filed the required bonds.

I would also like to have the assurance from these Supervisors that they have taken the constitutional oath of office, I have no official notice of it. Have you taken such office, gentlemen?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Yes.

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Yes.

THE CLERK: Let that be entered in the journal, that they have taken the constitutional oath of office and that their bonds are filed.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I notice one or two of the late members of the Board standing in the crowd, and I suggest that they take their usual seats.

THE PRESIDENT: May I say, Supervisor Brown, that I arranged the seats—pardon me, for saying "I", but I, with the assistance of former President Hayden, arranged for all previous members to occupy the same seats they previously occupied, and I would ask them if they would not kindly take those seats at this time. Supervisor Power, will you kindly take your previous seat?

MR. POWER: I just stopped here for a few minutes, because I have to go back to attend my business, otherwise I would gladly take it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, please, that Chief of Police Quinn

be requested to attend the meeting at his earliest convenience, as I desire to ask him a number of questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sergeant-at-Arms, at the request of Supervisor Uhl, would you please ask Chief Quinn to kindly attend the meeting?

May I, at this time, members of the Board, take it upon myself to ask Supervisors Gallagher and Brown if they would kindly escort the Mayor from his office over here.

Now, may I say this, I hope you won't, but if there is any attempt, even on this inauguration day, to boo any member of this Board, I will ask that it be stopped, and I will call Captain Healy to take that person out. I mean it.

May I say to all of those in the audience, and the lobby, that I will declare a five minute recess, until His Honor, The Mayor, arrives.

THE CLERK: Before you recess, if the Board please, there is a leave of absence, recommended by the Mayor, for Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection? (No response.)

There being no objection, it is so ordered.

(Thereupon the Board took a short recess, until the arrival of His Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi.)

THE PRESIDENT: My Colleagues, Ladies and Gentlemen: At this time I deem it a pleasure and a privilege to introduce to you our Mayor of the City of San Francisco, who will deliver his yearly message to this Board of Supervisors.

Mayor Rossi.

MAYOR ANGELO J. ROSSI: (Thereupon His Honor Mayor Rossi read to the Board the preamble to his yearly report, for the year.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I desire to move at this time that the Mayor's message be printed in the record, made a part of the record, and a copy sent to each member of this Board.

THE PRESIDENT: May I say, Supervisor, that the whole message has some forty pages, and goes into detail. I think the motion is a very good one. Is there any objection to the motion made by Supervisor Shannon? (No response.)

Hearing none, it is so ordered.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, I don't know how far in detail the Mayor's message has gone. I would like to ask a question. Under Section twenty-two of the Charter, we cannot dictate or suggest to the head of a department, or to the head of the City Government, as to matters that come within their jurisdiction. In the \$260,000 allotment for the airport, I would like to ask His Honor the Mayor if any consideration has been given, or any thought been given to the possibility of the development of an airport at Third and Townsend, a concrete pier along there over the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company that extend down for the better part of a mile, where people getting onto the trains and leaving the trains could connect with the airport and have them within four or five minutes of Market Street? A concrete platform to receive airships from all parts of the world, and connecting with these trains, I think, would be something that might be given some consideration.

THE PRESIDENT: The Mayor has just suggested, and perhaps I showed a little haste in placing your motion—he has suggested that he would like to have his report read, as there is a lot of very constructive thought in the report. I would ask the Clerk, therefore, to read the report, as His Honor the Mayor should be respected in matters of that kind.

MAYOR ROSSI: Mr. President of the Board of Supervisors, Ladies and Gentlemen: In accordance with the Charter, it is the duty of the Mayor to make an annual report of the condition of all departments of the City Government, and I want to assure you that it takes much longer to prepare a report of this kind than it does to read it. I don't want to embarrass anyone, or cause the loss of anyone's pa-

tience, and if you don't think it worthy of having it read it is perfectly all right with me, but I think it would be interesting to all members of the Board, and likewise to our citizenry who are here.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to rescinding the motion made by Supervisor Shannon?

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I don't think it is necessary that the motion be rescinded, it was a little premature, perhaps, but I don't think it is necessary to rescind it; the message may still be printed and sent to each member.

THE PRESIDENT: The Clerk will kindly proceed with the reading of the Mayor's annual report to the Board.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: You say it is forty pages long?

THE PRESIDENT: I don't know. Proceed, Mr. Clerk.

(Thereupon the Clerk read the annual report of the Mayor to the Board of Supervisors, down to and including comment on the Water Department, whereupon he was interrupted by Supervisor Shannon.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Apparently it would take an hour and a half, or at least another hour, to complete the reading of the Mayor's report, and I am going to move at this time that his message be considered as read, and that it be printed in the Journal.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I second that motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the Mayor's report be considered read and printed in the Journal.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: And a copy be sent to each member of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, and that copies be sent each member of the Board, and that additional copies be printed and may be available to any citizens desiring them. Is there any objection? (No response.)

Hearing none, it is so ordered.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I am going to move at this time that the privilege of the floor be given to Mr. Barron, representing the Veteran Firemen's Association.

THE PRESIDENT: Before that is done, the Mayor has some very important matters in his office to attend to and he asks at this time to be excused.

Thank you, Mayor Rossi.

(Thereupon Mayor Angelo J. Rossi withdrew.)

THE PRESIDENT: I have two daughters here, and a son, and I will ask them to come forward. I am not making any political speech, but I am happy today, and I say to you that I have a lot to be thankful for, and especially these three children, who helped me to be re-elected.

Mr. Barron, you have the floor.

MR. BARRON: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board of Supervisors, my Friends: On behalf of the Veteran Firemen of San Francisco we desire to present this little token of esteem to James B. McSheehy, who has now been chosen presiding officer of the Board of Supervisors. In presenting this small token of the appreciation of the firemen of San Francisco, we are following probably the oldest custom in the world, of sending flowers to our friends in the expression of esteem and affection. The San Francisco Fire Department wish Mr. McSheehy every success in his office. We hope that decision may flash upon his counsel. We know that he has been ever mindful of San Francisco's illustrious history of the past, and there is only one means of judging the future, and that is by the past, and the only light that guides us is the lamp of experience, and therefore we wish Mr. McSheehy and his colleagues on the Board every success, not only this year, but in the years to come.

Mr. McSheehy, I thank you, and extend you my congratulations, and I hope you will accept this good luck token. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I can assure you, Mr. Barron, and members of the Veteran Firemen's Association, this is a real surprise to me, a

positive surprise, I never dreamed of such a tribute being handed me here today, and from the bottom of my heart I thank you.

Proceed with the meeting.

THE CLERK: We have no further business before the Board—

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): Just a moment. I am going to run this Chair, Mr. Dunnigan, I want you to understand that. (Laughter.)

THE CLERK: All right.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any calendar matter, Mr. Clerk?

THE CLERK: No.

THE PRESIDENT: Roll call, introduction of resolutions? Has any member any resolution to offer, or any communication?

THE CLERK: No.

THE PRESIDENT: Reports of committees. I want to state, addressing myself to the three newly elected members, that under the roll call and introduction of resolutions, if any of the members have anything in particular they wish to introduce they are at liberty to introduce the same at this time.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The President of the Board of Supervisors has just been elected, during the time that the matter was in question the Chairman of the Caucus itself sent letters to the members of the Board requesting their suggestions and choices as to what committees they would like to be placed upon, and as to chairmanships preferred. I think the Chair was presuming on the theory that there would be eleven, or ten committees. So far as I recall, unless it was done since I left the room, there has been no Committee on Rules appointed, as should be done by you, under the ordinary procedure of the Board, which committee should contemplate the changes of rules, if changes are needed. Nor has there been appointed, nor have you been given authority as yet, to make such appointments, to my knowledge, to appoint the committees, nor do you know until after the rules are adopted and the members and numbers of committees are determined, who are to be appointed, in my opinion, because until such time as the question of who was to be made President was answered I have not answered your letter which was sent me. Perhaps some others have done likewise.

Unless I am out of order I therefore move that the President be authorized to appoint a committee on rules, and a committee on committees, who will be, unless otherwise decided, requested to bring their report to the Board one week from today.

I will add to that motion what might be superfluous, but would seem to me to follow, that when the committees' report is adopted and the committees are determined, that the President exercise his authority in the naming of members thereon. I make that as a motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any second to that motion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will second the motion.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Before the motion is put I would like to have the Chair read the section of the Charter which refers to the appointment of committees.

THE CLERK: Section ten. "The Board of Supervisors shall consist of eleven members elected at large, provided that for the period January 8, 1932, to January 8, 1934, the Board shall consist of fifteen members. Each member of the Board shall be paid a salary of twenty-four hundred dollars per year, and each shall execute an official bond to the City and County in the sum of five thousand dollars.

"At twelve o'clock noon on the 8th day of January next following their election, the newly-elected and continuing members of the Board of Supervisors shall meet at the legislative chamber in the City Hall, and thereafter regular meeting shall be held as fixed by resolution. The Supervisors constituting the new Board shall, on January 8, 1932, and every second year thereafter, elect one of their number as President of the Board for a two-year term. The President shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the

Board and shall have such other powers and duties as the Supervisors may provide.

"The meetings of the Board shall be held in the City Hall, provided that, in case of emergency, the Board, by resolution, may designate some other appropriate place as its temporary meeting place. The Board shall cause a calendar of the business scheduled for each meeting to be published and shall keep and publish a journal of its proceedings. Notice of any special meeting shall be published at least twenty-four hours in advance of such special meeting."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it is obvious, therefore, that it is the mandatory duty of the President, and his exclusive duty, to appoint the committees of this Board.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like to ask, through the Chair, if Supervisor Havenner is of the opinion, then, that we have made up our committees?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I don't know.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: We have not. There are certain standing committees of this Board now constituted, and I have no objection, nor could one have any logical objection, to filling those temporarily, but I don't know that the Board has determined as to how many committees of the Board there would be, nor do I take it has the committee on rules dealt with the prerogative of the Chair.

My opinion is, and I am intending to try to exercise it at the proper time, that the President of the Board should not only be an ex-officio member of all committees of the Board, but if I had my way he would have a committee of his own, or he would have a voice and vote on all committees. I don't know that the President desires that, but I think it is desirable.

I would ask the Chair, and remind the former speaker, that it has been the practice of the Board, and I know of no rule that changes it, that in the organization of the Board the committee on committees recommends the number and type of committees that are to be selected and to serve the Board in the matters that we have to consider.

If it is understood, and I have no real objection to it, although I think we can easily appoint some of those committees,—in fact you will have to, in my judgment, because you have fourteen committees now, of the Board, and you now have only eleven members. It would seem to me that somebody, and the only proper persons would be a sub-committee, must want the duties of the two extra committees into the present duties of the present standing committees or new set-ups of committees be made.

If I am in error I would like to know it, because that has been the practice that was followed up to the time of the new Charter, and the practice that was followed two years ago.

THE PRESIDENT: May I state this, first, Supervisor Gallagher, in reply. The Chair has made up his mind that he will not talk from the Chair only as little as possible, and I even am apologizing to some degree for talking now, but I have to say this to you: That in March of 1931, we passed—rather, '32—we passed a book of rules, Code No. 1.03, Resolution Number 87, and that book of rules is in force until it is rescinded. It is a resolution duly passed by this Board, and I am respecting that resolution and I declare your motion out of order.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Well, then, I will make another one.

I move you that the vote by which the resolution which you have just mentioned was adopted be rescinded. If I get a second,—and the purpose of that, if I may state it, is that I might arrange for requesting, or provide a motion to appoint a sub-committee on committees, and a special committee on rules.

I would like to know if I can get a second to that?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will second it on the basis that this is a new Board of Supervisors, less in number, and new rules might very well be in order.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: If I may have the floor, Mr. Chair-

man, in explanation of my motion. I seek no other purpose, and I desire that to be known, than this: I don't think the present rules are satisfactory, I think they need amendments. I know the present set-ups of committees is not satisfactory, I know that they need amendment.

The San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research has presented a set-up to be considered by somebody, of five committees for all the Board. Whether we agree with that or not, I am certain of this, that this is a very novel procedure. Heretofore on every occasion, when new members have been seated it has been the practice of the Board, even previous to the meeting of the Board, to appoint a sub-committee on committees once the Chairman was determined upon, and following that it was a committee on committees.

By a committee on committees I do not mean in any sense that the Board delegates to any sub-committee the right to select the membership on committees, recognizing as I do that that is a prerogative of the President, but this sub-committee on committees itself recommended the names and kinds of committees.

For instance, we have an old woman committee here called the City Planning Committee—(laughter)—I say "old woman" in an apologetic sense, but it is an old woman committee, it doesn't do anything like most old women that I know of. I mean old woman Supervisors. You will pardon me, the committee does hardly function, all it does is bring in appeals to the Board. I think that committee should be amalgamated with some other committee. I think the committee on commerce and development should be re-set up or be amalgamated with some other committee.

I don't know, but it does seem to me that unless I am wholly wrong we will have to have a committee on committees to recommend the new kinds of committees to be selected. I don't think I am very far wrong in saying that has been the precedent on this Board ever since I have been here.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will declare the motion made by—

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If I may interrupt just a moment, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Havenner.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I do not object to the committee on committees, if it is the understanding it is to recommend a revision of the old book of rules to accommodate it to the new membership. I merely wanted to point out that the new Charter has taken care of the appointment of members of committees, so if it be the desire to merely appoint a committee to recommend a revision of the rules I have no objection to that.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will declare the motion made by Supervisor Gallagher and seconded by Supervisor Uhl out of order.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: On what? I will appeal from the decision of the Chair—

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): Just a minute. I will state my reasons.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will rise to a point of order on the Chair. You are going to abide by the rules, starting right now.

THE PRESIDENT: I will start right now. The reason I am declaring the motion out of order is that it positively conflicts with Section 10 on page five of the Charter.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will appeal from your decision.

THE PRESIDENT: An appeal has been asked from the decision of the Chair, and the Chair will appoint you, Supervisor Colman, and you can place the appeal. Pardon me, first, is there a second to the appeal from the decision of the Chair by Supervisor Gallagher?

SUPERVISOR UHL: I will second it.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: An appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair. A vote "Aye" sustains the appeal, and a vote "No" sustains the Chair.

THE CLERK: If you will pardon me, Supervisor, the correct question is, "Shall the decision of the Chair remain?" A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair, and a vote "No" overrules the Chair.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes. The question is: Shall the decision of the Chair stand? A vote "Aye" sustains the Chair, and a vote "No" overrules the Chair.

SUPERVISOR BREYER: Our Chairman has questioned a certain provision of the Charter, and for that reason I would ask that the City Attorney be sent for and it may be that he can render a decision on that point right now, and avoid this question.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no objection to sending for the City Attorney.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Perhaps, from what has been said to me, you and I are at loggerheads, Mr. President, on the impression you gained from reading the Charter, which I agree with. Perhaps you misinterpreted the thought that I had in mind. Your interpretation of the Charter is, as I take it, unless I am in error from the understanding I have of Section 10: "The President shall preside at all meetings,"—I am just reading the section—"shall appoint all standing and special committees of the Board and shall have such other powers and duties as the Supervisors may provide." My impression is that you are thinking that in moving to appoint a special committee on rules and on standing committees that I am conflicting with that provision. I think if you will stop to think it over you will find that what the Charter intends is that once the Board has decided upon a committee or there is authority for a committee, then the Chair must appoint.

I point out further to you that I was trying to get away from the fact that you have got fourteen committees. Perhaps, as I say, you may take this position, you may take one of two positions, one is that the Chair shall name the types of committees, such as finance—

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): I don't want to, Supervisor Gallagher, in connection with the motion you have just made, but no one knows this better than you do, no one knows better than you do that an appeal from the Chair is not debatable and the motion has already been placed.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Do I understand you now to state that one can not state the reasons for an appeal?

THE PRESIDENT: You have already stated your reasons for the appeal.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Not yet, I haven't begun yet.

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Are you waiting for the City Attorney, or not?

THE PRESIDENT: I would say, in reference to the City Attorney, that I am just informed by the Sergeant-at-Arms that the City Attorney has gone out to lunch.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, then you have ruled that, in accordance with Section 10 of the Charter, which says: "The President shall preside at all meetings, shall appoint all standing and special committees of the Board," that apparently my motion conflicts.

I point out that my motion is intended to select a subcommittee to select the kinds of committees and the names of them, to which you will appoint the membership. I further point out to the members of the Board that there are now the following standing committees: Civil Service; Education, Parks and Recreation; Commercial Development; Finance; Fire, Safety and Police; Judiciary and Legislative; Music, Art and Culture; Public Health; Public Utility; Public Works, Buildings and Lands; City Planning; Streets and Tunnels; Public Welfare; Municipal Personnel; Rules; making fifteen committees to which the Chair rules apparently he will appoint eleven men. Now, I tried to give to the Chair the fallacy of his argument, which is that—I agree thoroughly that once you determine how many committees you

will have, once you determine how many committees you will have, after you determine what they are, the membership on them, and the appointing of the membership, the Chairman has that right, and the number of the members is clearly the prerogative of the Chair.

Now, the Chair, if he is sustained, will be sustained on this point: That he not only appoints the membership of the committees, but he also names the committee, and the Board does not want that. I am sorry that I can not convince the Chair of that. The Chair seems to feel that I am intending or attempting to take some of his prerogatives away. On the very contrary, if I could make myself clear enough, I am trying to pave the way for him to exercise his authority. I think I make it very plain, at least I have tried to. For that reason, if the Chair is sustained in this decision it means you will function with fifteen committees, and with eleven men. Let us assume that the Chair, under the present rules, is not on any committee, as it stands, then you have fifteen committees for ten Supervisors, and I don't think that is what you want. I think what you want to know is how many committees and what shall they be, and then the Chairman—the President, rather, proceeds then to name the membership on the committee.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The President of the Board, in his notice to the members of the Board, asking for their preference on committees, announced ten committees—

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (interrupting): I rise to a point of order, to give the Chair some practice.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will get lots of practice.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I rise to a point of order, and my point of order is that the Chair has just ruled that he is going to enforce the rules, and that is not a debatable question.

THE PRESIDENT: The point is well taken.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, you have no right to rule on that; Supervisor Colman is presiding as Chairman at the present time under the appeal.

THE PRESIDENT: I stand corrected. Pardon me.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Under the rule that it is not debatable, and as some member of the Board wanted the opinion of the City Attorney, and the City Attorney not being here, we will have a vote on the appeal.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If I may, Mr. Chairman?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor Havenner, there will be no debate on the question.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I do not intend to debate the matter, but I have a suggestion that may be acceptable to everybody concerned, and which might possibly result in the withdrawal of the appeal.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I rise to a question of information in connection with the appeal; I would like to hear the motion read over again.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I moved the appointment of a committee on committees, and a committee on rules, and the President ruled me out of order, stating his reason for so doing being a resolution now in effect, Resolution No. 103. Upon that ruling my motion being ruled out of order, I then moved to rescind the vote by which that resolution was adopted and to then appoint a committee on committees for the purpose of revising the present set-up of committees and the rules therefor.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Supervisor Havenner, if you will not argue the question, I will allow you the privilege of the floor.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If the Supervisor would consent to make merely a motion requesting the appointment of the members to the committee on rules which is already a duly authorized committee of the Board, and requesting that committee to bring in a recommendation or a revision, if it is deemed wise, of the present list of committees, I think that might solve the question.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Would you yield to a question, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Certainly.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would gladly do that, my purpose is to secure a revision of the rules and a new set-up on committees.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Chairman will have to appoint a new committee on rules, and that is provided for in the present rules.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: That would be satisfactory.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Will you withdraw your appeal?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Yes.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Would you care to answer that, Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: I would care, to this degree: I am not set on anything, and if Supervisor Gallagher will withdraw his appeal from the decision of the Chair, and then if anybody makes a motion that the Chair appoint a committee on rules, so that the present rules we are functioning under may be properly amended, I have no objection to that.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will withdraw,—I will ask permission of the President to withdraw the motion, with the consent of my second.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes, will the second consent to withdrawing?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I will make a motion, then, that the Chair be requested to appoint first the committee on rules, and that the committee on rules be requested to report to this Board a recommendation for the revision of the list of committees.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion by Supervisor Havenner, a motion has been duly made and seconded that a committee of five be appointed to revise the present rules of this Board and to bring in to the Board a report at as early a time as possible, next Monday, if possible. Is there any objection? (No response.)

Hearing none, it is so ordered.

You requested the presence here of Chief of Police Quinn.

Our Chief of Police is here now, and I will say to you, Chief, that Supervisor Uhl has requested your presence here for the purpose of asking you certain questions.

Proceed, Supervisor Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: My reason for asking the presence of Chief Quinn at this time is this: On July 3, 1933, I mailed to Chief Quinn the following letter:

"Dear Chief: Kindly advise how many motorcycle side cars are connected with each police station in the City and the cost of operating same.

"Also, how many men have been crippled and are on disability due to accidents from these motorcycle side cars.

"Would appreciate this information at your earliest convenience."

And on July 15, 1933:

"Mr. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, City and County of San Francisco, Hall of Justice, San Francisco, California.

"Dear Chief: Fearing my letter to you under date of July 3rd may have miscarried, I am enclosing copy of same.

"Would thank you if you will favor me with an answer during the course of the next week or ten days."

Then on September 5, 1933:

"Mr. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, City and County of San Francisco, Hall of Justice, San Francisco, California.

"Dear Chief: Third and last call for answer to my letter of July 3rd, which read as follows:

'Kindly advise how many motorcycle sidecars are connected with each police station in the City and the cost of operating same.

'Also, how many men have been crippled and are on disability due to accidents from these motorcycle sidecars?'

'Would appreciate this information at your earliest convenience.'

'Yours very truly,

"P. S.—Am I correctly informed that motorcycle sidecars were thrown out of the New York and Chicago Police Departments nearly ten years ago?"

January 3, 1934:

"Honorable William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, City and County of San Francisco.

"My Dear Sir:

"I regret to note you failed to answer my three letters in which I requested information regarding injury of police officers who were driving motorcycle sidecars.

"In addition to the information requested, will you kindly give me the names of the men who were injured and the present status of their case, whether they have been retired or are still on active duty, and if so, where?

"I would thank you for this information during the course of a week or ten days."

Chief, did those letters come to your attention?

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: Yes, they did, and those letters were all answered from the Board of Police Commissioners in relation to everything you asked about.

SUPERVISOR UHL: But I have never had an answer to them myself—

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN (interrupting): You got an answer, and I believe you acknowledged it, from Secretary Skelley of the Board of Police Commissioners, giving you the information you sought in relation to these sidecars and the men injured and what they were doing.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Information simply having to do with those in relation to the sidecar—

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN (interrupting): It gives you the information you sought, it gave you the complete number of men injured, whether or not they were still injured, whether or not retired as a result of injury, or whether or not still functioning as police officers. The information you sought was supplied by me to the Board of Police Commissioners, and by order of the Police Commissioners furnished you by Secretary Skelley.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I am asking you now, through the Chair, that you, as head of the department, kindly answer the questions which I am asking you.

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: I told you before that any time you called on me at my office I would be very glad to give you any information you wanted.

SUPERVISOR UHL: This request goes back to last July; when was the letter answered that you referred to?

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: It was answered several months ago, I don't remember the date. I will be very glad to give you any other information you ask from me. You remember, I asked you to call in at my office, and I said I would be very glad to give you any information about the department you desired. You have written a number of letters and I have written replies to anything you wanted, to the Police Commission.

Anything the Police Department has, if you will call in at my office I will be glad to give you any information you want about the Police Department. Come in and I will be very glad to give it to you. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, I am going to attempt to follow Section 22 of the Charter, and the information I desire properly emanates through a meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

I would like to read a letter dated December 30, 1933:

"Honorable William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, City and County of San Francisco, Hall of Justice, San Francisco, California. My Dear Sir:

"Relative to the purchase of Ford coupes in place of sedans. Will you kindly give me your reason for favoring the coupe?"

I would like to ask, through the Chair, of Chief Quinn, in order that all members of the Board can have that information, which he said he would give me personally, and which I would have preferred to have had in writing, I would like to ask him why he favors the coupe over a sedan or a coach?

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): Just a moment. I am going to try to exercise my right as presiding officer. I don't want to talk from the Chair only when forced to.

Under Section 22 of the Charter I am going to ask for an interpretation from our City Attorney as to just what questions are permissible from Supervisors to the head of a department, and I would ask Supervisor Uhl—you are now a Supervisor, and not a citizen. As a citizen you can exercise certain rights, but under Section 22 of the Charter, as a Supervisor you can only exercise certain rights.

SUPERVISOR UHL: The rights I believe I have under Section 22 are very clearly stated. Section 22 very clearly states my rights in the matter, and I am thoroughly informed on them. I have the right to ask, through the Chair, the head of any department to appear before the Board of Supervisors and answer questions. I am not suggesting, I am merely asking questions, and I believe I am in perfect order. Too bad the City Attorney isn't here to rule on that question.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will take under advisement the questions you are asking, and I would ask you, if you desire, you may state your questions verbally now, or you can place them in writing. You have the right, and I would ask you if you would please place those questions in writing and submit them to me and I will ask information from the City Attorney and give you an answer one week from today.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is, I understand Chief Quinn prefers not to answer the questions at this time—

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN (interrupting): Just a minute, Mr. Uhl. I will answer your questions if permitted to do it, I don't object—

THE PRESIDENT (interrupting): I would say at this time, I don't like to overrule you, Chief, but I am going to do so now.

SUPERVISOR UHL: In order to have it made a part of the record, I would like to read this letter, which I sent to Chief Quinn under date of December 30, 1933. It is not a suggestion to the Chief of Police; it is merely to give my reasons for having asked this question from Chief Quinn at this time.

"Relative to the purchase of Ford coupes in place of sedans. Will you kindly give me your reason for favoring the coupe? My reasons for advocating the sedan in place of the coupe are as follows:

"1. In order to standardize the motor equipment of the Police Department.

"2. In each of the outlying districts two sedans are in service. In case one or more of these twenty-eight sedans break down same can be replaced by the new sedans.

"3. I understand these fourteen coupes are to be used for station cars in responding to a call, and in the event of an arrest the arrested person could be brought to the station in sedans, whereas, if coupes are used it will necessitate calling the patrol wagon. No gainsaying the fact that a sedan could immediately return to the station with the arrested person, whereas, if a coupe is used, there will be considerable delay waiting for the patrol wagon, especially if the patrol wagon is engaged in responding to a raid. Take for example, one patrol wagon covering the Mission, Southern Bayview, Potrero and Ingleside stations,

if the patrol wagon is on a raid in one of these districts there will be a considerable delay before the patrol wagon could pick up the arrested person. There is certainly added expense besides the delay in the patrol wagon picking up the arrested person. On particular nights, such as New Year's, it might be found most desirable to have forty-two radio equipped sedans patrolling the City, in place of only twenty-eight. The additional cost of a sedan is only \$72.

"I will appreciate your reply by return mail."

I don't seem to have the copy of a letter I wrote the Chief a couple of days ago, in which I suggested to him that the coach, which has only two front doors, might be preferable to the sedan, because the arrested person would have to get away from the officer seated beside him as well as the driver, and in addition the cost of the coach is only \$23 additional, roughly. If a coupe goes out to make an arrest and they want to bring the arrested person to the station they must either call the patrol wagon or another radio car to bring the party in. To me it seems absolutely unbusinesslike. In other words, it means having four men on service instead of having the two original men sent out.

I leave this information with the Board, and I hope that before the next meeting those cars will not be purchased, as I think it would be absolutely a mistake to buy those Ford coupes.

THE PRESIDENT: May I say, before you answer, Chief, the Chair is going to ask the Reporter to make a stenographic copy of everything that has occurred in this connection, and to take from Mr. Uhl the letters he has read, and make a stenographic record of Mr. Uhl's remarks, so that I can submit the same to the City Attorney in the matter for his opinion.

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: I want to say this, that had Mr. Uhl called upon me at my invitation, in my office, I would have supplied all of this matter to him, and I would have told him many things evidently he is not aware of in the operations in the Police Department. I would have told him the difference in operation in the car he proposes to purchase, and the car we propose to buy. There is a saving of about \$2,500. We could not buy all the cars we wanted at the time because we had not sufficient allowance at present.

In the matter of the operation of the patrol wagons, they are operating very efficiently; they are radio equipped and there is no great delay in any particular place by officers or persons who are waiting for the arrival of those wagons. I could go into the matter much more thoroughly, and once again I want to reiterate my invitation to Mr. Uhl, that if you will come down to see me I will be glad to give you any information you want.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What was the amount of that difference?

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: About \$2,500.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I think you have the decimal in the wrong place. I think it is only a difference of about \$23 a car.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anything further before the Board at this time?

CHIEF OF POLICE QUINN: May I be excused now, Mr. Chairman?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

(Thereupon Chief of Police Quinn withdrew.)

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just before adjournment I would like to say a few words to my colleagues on the new Board.

Five members of the Board of Supervisors enter a new term of office today amid worldwide social and economic conditions without parallel in the modern history of the world. In the ken of historians there has probably never been an era of government so kaleidoscopic, so perplexing, so interesting. Never before have all of the people of all of the civilized nations of the earth displayed such a deep, vital and intimate interest in the affairs of state. We stand upon the threshold of a new order of social and economic institutions which are as yet merely in the making. The old order has failed, and is dead.

The keynote of the present is change, and there is no one wise enough to prophesy definitely the manner in which change will transform civilization.

Out of the terrific privation and distress which nearly all the civilized peoples have endured during the past few years there has emerged at least one unmistakable lesson. It is that in the future the first duty of government must be to protect human rights, to provide and guarantee for all human beings a decent livelihood and a fair opportunity for the pursuit of happiness. The people will not tolerate a continuation of this nightmare of unemployment, nor will they countenance perpetuation of the hideous institution of the dole.

The old doctrines of economics have become obsolete and must be discarded—rugged individualism, the outworn theories of supply and demand, the protection of property rights in advance of human rights, the selfish, artificial dogma of ruthless economy. H. G. Wells, the great British writer, in his latest projected retrospect of the present time, written by an imaginary commentator one hundred years in the future, says of present day government: "All through the age the mania for public economy runs like a disease."

In my opinion, government has definitely entered the field of employment as a measure of insurance against unemployment, and I doubt whether government will ever again depart permanently from that field. Employment and payrolls are the basic factors in what we call prosperity. The truth of this statement must be apparent to everyone who has passed through the frightful experience of the recent depression. It will become the duty of government to educate the citizen and taxpayer in the knowledge that sound and reasonable taxation, the proceeds of which shall be devoted, intelligently and scrupulously, to the maintenance of employment for our people, will be the most profitable expenditure which he can make.

David Lloyd George, former Prime Minister of Great Britain, in a syndicated article published yesterday, said:

"I have always thought that the numbers of unemployed in the United States, even in good times, have been overlooked and, thus, understated. If the present unparalleled slump passes away without a solution being found for this phenomenon of modern industrialism, it will have been endured in vain. That is why there are indications in many countries that when the world finally recovers it will be found traveling on an orbit different from the one which landed it in bad, if temporary, trouble in 1931."

I shall continue in the future, as I have done in the past, to support reasonable and sound economies and to resist all forms of extravagance in government, but I will not support any program of ruthless economy which involves the taking away of livelihood from human beings and throwing them upon the scrap heap of unemployment and despair.

In this period of change and new activities of government I shall endeavor to keep myself conversant with the best thought and sound theories of national and world leaders, so that San Francisco may not lag behind the progress of the new deal.

To my newly elected colleagues, Supervisors Uhl, Schmidt and Ratto, I extend greeting and congratulations and bid them welcome to our official family. To my colleague for the past eight years, Supervisor McSheehy, I express my sincere gratification that he has been continued by the voters in the office he has filled so well. And may I at this time voice to the people of San Francisco my own very deep appreciation of the fine vote of confidence by which they have honored me.

Finally, to all of my colleagues here assembled, I indulge the hope and the expectation that we shall work together earnestly and effectively for the welfare of our City.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I presume each one of the members will desire to say a few words, and I move that the roll be called.

THE PRESIDENT: The Clerk will call the roll.

THE CLERK: Supervisor Breyer? (No response.)

Supervisor Brown? (No response.)

Supervisor Colman? (No response.)

Supervisor Gallagher?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like to say just a few words. I would like to first of all express my regret that I should be the first to mildly clash with the chairman, and that I—and I am rather glad that it is not recorded that I was the first to appeal his decision.

On the inauguration days very often there has been such a spirit of flowers, and there is such an active spirit of partisanship. There are those here who are warmly and enthusiastically applauding their special candidates who are elected; there are those here who are down-hearted because of the fact that some of the men they supported no longer answer the roll call, and very often you are expected to do nothing, but recognizing the spirit of congratulations and flowers you are expected to sit in your seat and let nearly anything go by. Well, of course, it is one of my great fortunes, my greatest, I think, that I am Irish; partly anyhow, and probably one of the misfortunes of that part of me is that I start in with the theory that what I think ought to be done ought to be done, and therefore, Mr. President, I want you to feel because I thought you were in error, and I have no doubt you thought you were correct, I started on the career of appeal.

I heard the former speaker, in a very splendid paper, speak about humanity and government. I think that is coming to be a fairly well established thing. I think we are learning the lesson, I think that we should begin around this City Hall with some humanity. I think if we are going to follow the New Deal to its logical conclusion we will start in, Mr. Chairman, to try to find out if we cannot give back to our janitors, our elevator men, and some others, some of that which we took away from them. If good times come back, then we ought to be brave enough to meet it here in the public forum.

I would like also to congratulate the two men that have been returned to office in what was a rather hectic political fight.

I welcome the three new members in what I hope should be the spirit in which men come into public office. You may hold your old prejudices as long as you are in the fight, you may have your own feelings and carry them beyond the ballot box, but after all is said and done, if you are the right kind of an official, when the people speak if you have not done the right things you will understand that the people have spoken, and when the people have spoken and when they have elected men to sit in this room, sorry as you may be to part from those with whom you sat, your obligation is not to gang up on them on the start, but to give them a break and to play ball.

There is a feeling around this City Hall, and I guess it is in every City Hall in the world, that unless you bring flowers to certain departments of the government every day, unless you take whatever wallops they want to give to you with a smile on your lips, unless you are ready to say "good morning" if you have lost one of your relatives and not beef, you are not quite right. Now, I like the other attitude, I like to feel that it doesn't make any difference to me whether it is the Mayor of this City or the Chief of Police, or Chairman of the Board of Public Works, or a Supervisor, if he has got something to present around here that looks right and looks like it ought to go it is my duty to support him. I may illustrate that by saying that I do not presume to have been—I do not expect to be so regarded, and I do not want to be understood to be a so-called administration man, with due respect and with a great deal of admiration for the Chief Executive of this City, and for the troubles that he has. I know as I sit here, particularly with my experience behind me, I know that the Chief Executive is just like you and I. Whether we be officials or whether we be citizens or just ordinary human beings, we must, in the eventualities of government, make mistakes, and I think I do the

administration a great favor if I try to point out the mistakes, a greater favor than if you try to forget it and by waving the flag and trying to stand in with and curry favor with the administration that might be in power. So I hope what I have to say to these three new men may be helpful. I know, you know that you and I might as well be frank, that there are selections that show the town to be well divided upon the choice of policies, and that these three new Supervisors coming in were selected and approved on certain policies which they had theretofore announced, some of which I may not in detail agree with. But I am less a Supervisor, I am less a public official, unless I, by my utterance here, not by the medium of flowers, and not by the medium of honey, but conscientiously say to these people here today, "The people have sent you here, their mandate is that you sit here, my business is, my oath is, if I am going to respect that oath, to give you every consideration, every cooperation, and every help, and every support for any measure that you develop, if it is for the good of the City and County of San Francisco."

It doesn't mean by any means that because we express regret because certain men leave this room, it does not mean that we haven't got any honor or correct respect or kindly feeling and a welcome for those who come. Naturally, when you have sat here as I have sat, for a long, long period of years, and you have associated weekly always, and daily most of the time, with men, when you have fought with them hour by hour, when your patience becomes exhausted and you think they have no sense at all and they think you are equally ignorant, after all of those close associations there is created thereby a feeling, a thought that on the day set apart you will see them, and you do just hate, like you hate to see people leave your house, like you hate to see old friends go, you do hate to see them go, and we do miss them. If those men who leave here today, their steps probably lagging with some sadness, and some reminiscence of the ideas and ideals that they have, if they can only see the picture properly, then the going is not so hard. It may surprise you to know that in the time Supervisor Hayden has sat here, and in the time Supervisor McSheehy has sat here, and in the time that I have sat here, an entire Board of Supervisors has answered the call of God. They have gone, I hope, to their reward. It may surprise you to know that probably ere another inauguration comes—this is not pleasant to say but it has, I hope, a moral—before another inauguration comes, we may be sorry, some of us, for some unkind things said unconsciously in debate, and here today I say this, just to illustrate, those of you who come here today, particularly those of you who are related, and particularly you who are closely knitted to some of the gentlemen who sit here, and they also will be better Supervisors if they remember that there will come a day when out of this room, and out of this hall, and out of this building, they will go and the mantle of officiality, by whatever means providence devises, will fall on somebody else's shoulders.

After all, it is not a good comparison, but it is an apt one, we are just actors on the stage of life, we are just here for a day, and if you can express your thoughts, or if I may put it this way, if we recognize the fact that we have no heritage to this place, and if we can take defeat at least with some measure of the way we take victory, we will be better off. I hope that every man who leaves here today, and I want to pay my special compliment and my special tribute to Supervisor Hayden, for in over twenty years, or nearly twenty years of public life, I know of no public official, and I admit I am very cantankerous, sometimes obstructive, nearly always fairly ignorant and obstreperous as a Supervisor, but in all of those twenty years I suppose that no man has ever sat in this forum, in the several places where we have conducted our meetings starting with Eddy street, and moving over to the Whitcomb Hotel, and then here, with whom I have had more clashes on policy and rules and regulations, than with Supervisor Hayden; I don't think there is one. I don't need to say that I think he was honest. I think I know his mistake,

he was too loyal to somebody, but I glory in that for him, if the verdict was against him because of his loyalty. I think he can take home in his very heart tonight the feeling that he would rather have the thought he retained that loyalty than the office. In bidding him, I hope a temporary goodbye, and this is what I wanted to illustrate—pardon me for talking so long—after all these years I can this afternoon officially shake hands with him and bid him goodbye and sincerely wish him good luck. I think that after all, as far as I am concerned, and as far as he is concerned, that is some consolation to him.

I want to utter one more thought on government. The San Francisco Chronicle this morning—no friend of mine, by the way—and the San Francisco Examiner, who pointed out something to you people you better think about. I happen to know the Mayor-elect of New York at this moment, the Honorable Fidelio La Guardia, and I know what a conscientious, straight-forward punching public official he is, but I notice in the press report Mr. La Guardia wants, in finance, to start with, and I would like you to listen to this a moment, only in finance, he is seeking for a sort of dictatorship in government. Mr. Chairman, we are only one of ten thousand Boards of Supervisors, we are only one of thousands of cities, we are only one of the great communities that make up this nation, but I pray to God that you people will give thought and heed to the fact that there is being attempted in this country at this moment, and now attempting to find its way into city government, not only the bureaucracy which we have, but dictatorship. Let us as citizens differ if we will on candidates, but let us agree on one thing, and that is that as long as we live as American citizens, and as long as we breathe, there will be no dictatorship in city government. That there will be no dictators, and in so far as he can, the Constitution of these United States of America will be followed by the President. Now, I have put that thought to you. You are not thinking about it and I know it, and you know it, and you are not doing anything about it. You people of San Francisco are cursed with more commissions, more bureaucracy, than Hindustan, and I think they hold the record. Now, then, watch this thing creep up, and step on it, that is the reason I bring it up here today, because it is showing its face in city government.

Thank you very much for your great patience in listening to me, and I have only one thought for the men who have sat with me, despite our differences, and for the men who come, and that I may leave this City Hall with the same kindly feelings towards me that I have for Supervisor Hayden, and that I may also leave this building on the day I go and that on the day when I stand outside of the City Hall I may look back at it and say that at least while I was there I left my mark, I did something for my fellow human beings.

Thank you very much.

THE PRESIDENT: Ladies and Gentlemen, Members of the Board, may I at this time, and I deem it a privilege and a pleasure, introduce to you Supervisor-elect John Ratto. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR RATTO: Mr. President, I hope that some day I may be able to orate like Supervisor Gallagher, and be able to keep you at high tension for an hour, but I am now going to be very quiet and content myself with hard work, so I will give way to you Supervisors to do the talking for awhile.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Roncovieri; we are more than pleased to welcome Supervisor Roncovieri back again, who has been ill in bed for some time. Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: "IDEALS OF PUBLIC SERVICE."

It is my conviction that the paramount obligation of a public official is to perform the duties of his office with the same degree of sincerity, honesty and fearlessness as that of our ideal of conduct in private relationship. In this regard it is my pleasure to recall that as a member of this Honorable Board of Supervisors for the past ten years, and during my previous twenty-one years of public service in this, the beloved

City of my birth, I have never avoided an outspoken and positive position on any moral or political issue, and have never pretended an opinion I did not hold.

It is likewise my conviction that truly representative government can only be realized, and the interests of our City best served, when elected officials accord considerate and impartial treatment to all.

And this can be accomplished only when harmony and a high spirit of cooperation and orderly conduct govern our actions as Supervisors.

Likewise, if we would retain the confidence of our fellow citizens, respect for each other's views must prevail, however divergent they may be from our own.

I am sure that all fair minded citizens will admit that the Board of Supervisors has rendered much worth-while public service during the past few years. It is, therefore, but fair to acknowledge a debt of gratitude for the valuable efforts of the retiring members of this Board. All of them are men of highest character and integrity with whom it has been, for me, a pleasure to labor. I wish them God Speed in all their undertakings.

And so, the High Court of Public Opinion having spoken at the polls, "The old order changeth, yielding place for the new."

I deem it, therefore, my duty and a distinct privilege to extend the hand of sincere welcome to the incoming members of this Board, and further, to assure them that parliamentary courtesy and good-will will ever govern my actions in debate.

For many years it has been my privilege to study and observe Democratic government. I have noticed certain characteristics of the ordinary citizen toward public office. For example, the average voter enters on the debit side all he doesn't like, but he often forgets to put down the worthwhile credits. At the polls a great many citizens vote their resentment rather than their appreciation and gratitude. Of course, in prosperity an administration has a great advantage, but in times of depression due credit for work well done is all too frequently forgotten. Now, unless the people give recognition to their public officials for faithful and intelligent service, there is little inducement for high-minded men and women to seek public office, and the public service will be left to time servers and demagogues.

Paradoxically, I have noticed that the great mass of voters want more appropriations for their pet projects, but on the other hand, they also demand lower taxes, and that ought to be obviously impossible.

To me, it is axiomatic that every growing city must prepare for growing expenditures. However, while San Francisco is a fast-growing City, her expenditures must be controlled by wise and sane economy, always avoiding false economy, which is most expensive in the end. I have noticed that many voters seem to think that the management of a city is a simple matter. The legal difficulties are seldom realized by such voters, nor are the important financial matters. The voters should understand that city government under modern conditions is an exceedingly difficult task. To do the spectacular thing in government is not difficult—it is the easiest way. The hardest thing to do in government is to do each day's work well, so that the general average of work well done will, day by day, advance the best interests of our City.

To be successful in our ideals, a splendid unity of high purpose must ever govern our attitude toward each other. However much we may differ on questions of policy, let us foster and encourage this spirit of unity and comradeship.

I believe that a program of hopefulness and progress has begun. San Francisco is on the edge of a new era. We are facing the grandest future of any city in America. And now, in addition to the completion of Hetch Hetchy, federal millions for new construction have just been granted, and more millions for the two great bridges, and still more millions for work on our school buildings and other civic improvements. We should be proud of the San Francisco that is be-

fore our eyes and cherish a still deeper faith in the San Francisco that is to be.

In the matter of successful administration, I believe that one difficulty with most of us is that we are apt to over-emphasize the form and technique of government, and not pay enough attention to the human side. If we fail at all, it is not in inefficiency, but in giving a *human administration*. Good government is not merely constitutions and by-laws, and charters. The need for men at this time, whether it be in federal, state or city governments, is greater now than in former generations in our history. The *man* in government is the greatest factor for good government. Can we find a greater, a more exalted exemplification of this truth, than in FRANKLIN DELANO ROOSEVELT, THE MAN!

In conclusion, I feel that these ceremonies would not be complete if we omitted a tribute of recognition of the great and far-reaching accomplishment achieved through the genial personal qualities and attributes of the *man*—Mayor Rossi, and the splendid committee of outstanding men who, aided by Senator Hiram Johnson, successfully impressed and convinced Secretary Ickes and President Roosevelt that federal aid was needed for San Francisco.

On behalf of all good citizens who appreciate what our Mayor and his committee have done and what it will mean toward recovery, I have the honor to extend my profound thanks and congratulations.

And now, as citizens of our glorious United States of America, let us reaffirm our belief in the fundamental principles upon which our country was founded—LIBERTY, EQUALITY AND UNSELFISH DEVOTION TO THE COMMON GOOD, AND ABOVE ALL, OUR FAITH THAT "God still reigns in His Heaven, and all's well with the world."

THE PRESIDENT: My Colleagues, Ladies and Gentlemen, I deem it a pleasure and a privilege to introduce a newly elected member of the Board, Doctor Adolph Schmidt. (Applause.)

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Mr President, Honorable Guests, Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors, and my Fellow Citizens of San Francisco: It will indeed be a pleasure and a privilege to perform my civic duty here within these memorable walls and try to advance the cause of our people and our beloved City of San Francisco.

I am not unmindful of the fact that throughout the length and breadth of our country the eyes of the people are focused upon the legislative bodies thereof, not alone the Congress of the United States in Washington, or the legislatures of the various states, but also upon those bodies nearest and dearest to the fellow citizens, because it is near to their hearts and their homes. I refer to the various councils and supervisorial bodies of municipalities and towns. The people of a right feel that its members are their own direct and personal representatives in the city government, and who, in turn, are responsible to them and them alone. To bodies such as this the people look in a large measure for that progressive, constructive, economic legislation which will be in tune with this new era and the new condition. As a part of that new era the people are demanding an absolute elimination of waste, inefficiency and extravagance in expenditures on the part of any government. (Loud applause.) As well as a relief from overburdening taxation. This must and can be accomplished without the sacrifice or loss of efficiency in government.

The people demand that we not only judiciously appropriate money but that we also see that they receive full value for the money thus expended, and, therefore, in accordance with Section 22 of our Charter, it is our bounden duty to call heads of departments into these supervisorial chambers and ask for information, because we have a right to know how the money of the people is being expended, if it is right and mete and proper that we should do so. (Applause.)

I can only hope and trust that with the assistance of my colleagues, my own conscience, and the help of Almighty God, my small measure in our municipal government will aid and assist our wonderful City,

and the citizens thereof, to achieve greater years of prosperity and happiness.

Allow me to thank you for your very kind indulgence. (Loud applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Shannon.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, Members of the Board, and my fellow Citizens: It is with deep regret that I say farewell to the outgoing members of the Board, men with whom I have been associated so long. We cannot break an association with men of the type of the outgoing members without regret. I realize that this Board is a human institution, and that all of its members are subject to human frailties. However, I believe that the citizens who have watched the work of the outgoing members will agree with me that they did their best. (Applause.) All were attentive at the meetings, and at the committee meetings, and willing at all times to assist their colleagues on the Board. It is an easy thing to find fault, to misinterpret, or to misunderstand the efforts of a public servant who has to perform duties such as the members of this Board have to perform. He is often called upon to pass upon questions where there are many and bitter conflicting interests, and no matter which way he decides the question he makes many enemies. On such questions everyone cannot be pleased. I believe the outgoing members represent an unusually high type of American citizenship. I shall never forget my friendship for, and association with them, and I do not think the people of San Francisco will soon forget them. With the deepest regret, therefore, I say farewell.

To the newly elected members of the Board, I say all hail, the people of San Francisco expect great things from its new members, and I believe that they will live up to the expectations. (Applause.) We all know that they have worked and will work energetically and enthusiastically for the public good. All of these men have taken a lively interest in civic affairs for a number of years. I believe that we will work in harmony, that we will all use our best endeavors, and best intelligence, in these efforts to reduce the tax burden for our citizens, and that we are all going to work in harmony for the advancement of the New Deal by our President of the United States.

In conclusion, I say, "Farewell" and "Hail."

THE CLERK: Supervisor Uhl. (Applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I don't know as I really need to introduce the next member, newly elected; nevertheless I will—(interrupted by loud and prolonged applause).

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, my Colleagues, and my Fellow San Franciscans: This is indeed a red letter day, and that applies, I hope, I will be able to maintain your applause through the coming years.

I feel this is a fitting time and place to express thanks for the cooperation which was given to not alone myself, but also to my associates, Doctor Schmidt and John Ratto, for the wonderful vote that we received in the recent election. Not alone do we want to express thanks to those who voted for us, but we want to feel that the rest of the citizenship will bear with us in the program which we hope to carry out, and I want to assure you at this time that you will find us your humble servants. We are deeply grateful for the support. I also want to stress the cooperation that was given us by not alone the members of the Good Government League, but particularly by the women of San Francisco. (Applause.) Not many elected officials can make the boast that over one thousand volunteer women campaigned for them, as was done in the recent election, and therefore I am deeply grateful. (Applause.)

I want to say furthermore that it is very fine to have the applause and the vote for the men, but, nevertheless, in this particular case I do not accept it as a tribute to the men alone, but it is the principles that we have been fighting for that rolled up that tremendous vote, and it

is those principles we will fight for. (Applause.) My colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, I ask your cooperation to carry out those principles, and, Mr. President, I again repeat the request to you that you name me as chairman of the finance committee in order that I can carry out those economies—(interrupted by loud and prolonged applause).

"All right," he said, "All right," and nodded his head.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, Mr. Uhl, I am not making any promises. (Laughter.)

SUPERVISOR UHL: All right, now, my colleagues, I want to assure you of my wholehearted support for any constructive legislation that is presented before this Board. We did not come in here, speaking for myself, I am not coming in here with any wild ideas, they have got to be sound and practical, and I assure you I expect the same sort of legislation from my colleagues.

I want to assure you also, my friends, that a Supervisor, when he dedicates himself to public service, must treat all nationalities and all religions with the same consideration, and that is what you will find we will do. (Applause.)

I am going to appeal to my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors to cut out personalities, and I am going to offer a suggestion, following those rules which are to come, that there be a fine of five dollars, to go to charity, for anyone indulging in personalities. (Applause.) By so doing we will elevate the dignity of this Board of Supervisors, not to mention the time that we will save thereby.

I want to say to the municipal employees of San Francisco that those who are giving full value received shall have my full support, but look out for the one who doesn't, he is the one I am going after. (Applause.) Some of our municipal employees overlook the fact that the Charter provision is that the hours of labor are from eight-thirty o'clock in the morning, and I am hoping they will all see fit to live up to that Charter provision. (Applause.)

Regarding relief, I want to say that I am not satisfied with the manner in which relief has been carried on. (Applause.) It is now nearly twenty months ago that I suggested to the Relief Committee the matter of scrip. I feel that when one body of people are given cash, as has been done, and another group given scrip, and another group have been given that damnable charity box of groceries, I say that I feel if scrip is good enough for one it is good enough for all. (Applause.) We should come as near cash as possible, and I say that in the manner scrip has worked, scrip can be cashed in at any food store, any merchandise store, or as a payment for electricity or a payment for rent, and that is as it should be, and at the next meeting of Board I am going to bring that particular matter to the Board's attention. (Applause.)

Upon the conclusion of the addresses, I have a motion to make, namely, that we change our meetings to night meetings, in order that all citizens can attend the regular and committee meetings of the Board, without the inconvenience of having to give up business, or the necessity of a housewife being prevented from getting home in time, and I hope to offer that as a motion. (Applause.)

I want to say, I am in full sympathy with President Roosevelt's policies and desires, and I want to give him one hundred per cent co-operation in assisting him through the monumental tasks which confront him, and hope that we can pull our country out of the depression in the very near future.

In conclusion, I desire to reiterate to all present my thanks at having been elected Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco. I thank you. (Loud applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl, you have just stated you want to make a resolution or a motion?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Just to get an expression of opinion, I desire

to make a motion that the Board of Supervisors change its meeting hour from two o'clock p. m., until seven-thirty o'clock p. m.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: You have just heard the motion, duly seconded, that we change our meeting hour from the present hour of two o'clock p. m., until seven-thirty o'clock p. m. What is your pleasure?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I think it is obviously a matter that should be considered and recommended by the Rules Committee, so I move that the motion be referred to the Rules Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Such will be the order.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, may I at this time introduce to you two ladies I don't think you have had the privilege of seeing before. I want to introduce to you the mother of Supervisor John Ratto.

(Thereupon Mrs. Ratto, the mother of Supervisor John Ratto, arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I also understand that the mother of Supervisor Doctor Schmidt is here. May I also ask a bow from that lady?

(Thereupon Mrs. Schmidt, the mother of Supervisor Schmidt, arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I also want to introduce to you the wife of Supervisor John Ratto.

(Thereupon Mrs. John Ratto arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I also want to introduce to you the wife of Doctor Adolph Schmidt.

(Thereupon Mrs. Adolph Schmidt arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Last, but not least, I want to introduce to you the wife of Supervisor Adolph Uhl.

(Thereupon Mrs. Adolph Uhl arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Before asking for a motion to adjourn, I would like to introduce our genial City Attorney, Mr. John J. O'Toole.

(Thereupon City Attorney John J. O'Toole arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I also want to introduce to you Doctor Geiger, our Health Officer.

(Thereupon Doctor Geiger arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Also the President of our Fire Commission, Doctor Creeley.

(Thereupon Doctor Creeley arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Also Mr. Barrington, of the Park Commission.

(Thereupon Mr. Barrington arose and received applause.)

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I wish to move at this time that the proceedings of today be printed in full in the record.

SUPERVISOR BREYER: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the proceedings of today's meeting be printed in full in the record. Is there any objection? (No response.)

So ordered.

THE PRESIDENT: I would also like to present Mr. Coburn, of the Board of Education.

(Thereupon Mr. Ira F. Coburn arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I would also like to introduce to you one of the oldest City officials, one whom we all respect and love, Mr. Godcheaux, our Recorder.

(Thereupon Mr. Edmund A. Godcheaux arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Also Mr. Milton Meyer of the Planning Commission.

(Thereupon Mr. Milton Meyer arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: I would like also to introduce Commissioner Klem of the Board of Health.

(Thereupon Mr. Klem arose and received applause.)

THE PRESIDENT: Just before asking for a motion to adjourn, I

want to introduce to you a man who was associated with my father, and a man who has two sons, Captains of Police; I want to introduce to you Mr. Tim Healey.

(Thereupon Mr. Healey arose and received applause.)

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Move we adjourn.

THE PRESIDENT: If there is no objection, it is so ordered.

Leave of Absence, Charles J. Brennan, Chief of Fire Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, January 8, 1934.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Chief Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the San Francisco Fire Department, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of twenty days, commencing January 12th, 1934.

Chief Brennan will attend the meeting of the Board of Directors of the International Association of Fire Chiefs, to be held in New York City, which will be without cost to the City, as the expenses are to be borne by the Association.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1233, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of His Honor the Mayor, Charles J. Brennan, Chief of the San Francisco Fire Department, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing January 12, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ADJOURNMENT.


There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 3:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 15, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Annual Message


OF

HONORABLE ANGELO J. ROSSI, *Mayor*



TO THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

January Eighth
Nineteen Thirty-Four



Letter of Mayor to Board of Supervisors.

MAYOR'S OFFICE
San Francisco

January 8, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: There is before you my annual report of the municipal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco. It seems to me fitting at this time to comment in general terms upon the condition of our body corporate and to make certain recommendations.

San Francisco has shared with every other community of the United States and indeed with all the world, the stress and burden of an upset such as modern times have never known before.

We were not among the first to feel the weight of this world-wide depression, but we were prepared for it, and took, without delay, the measures necessary. Warm-hearted San Francisco has learned by experience how to act in any emergency.

Local relief was quickly organized. When President Roosevelt started his program of constructive rehabilitation, San Francisco was ready to offer him a definite list of projects on which workers might be employed, with the result that this was one of the first big cities of the country to take workers off relief rolls and place them on payrolls.

That our solvent status was understood in Washington became evident when we made requests for financial cooperation which the Federal Government promptly granted; undoubtedly, because it was understood that we were in a position to meet our obligations. The National Government has treated us with distinguished consideration.

San Francisco is on its way to recovery. San Francisco knows how to travel the road that leads from adversity back to prosperity. It is strong in a balanced municipal budget, in a low tax rate that has not curtailed efficiency, and in a staff of public officials that combines integrity and business methods.

We have conserved the money of the taxpayer. We have guarded against the temptation to be extravagant, and at the same time we have been humane. Drastic as some of our municipal economies may seem, they have not hurt the deserving public servant disproportionately to the sacrifices of the average citizen.

To work for San Francisco means, among other things, to cultivate understanding with many men and women whose temperaments may seem to clash but whose deep sincerity is quickly understood. I relinquish, with regret, the cordial cooperation and constant friendship of the members of your Honorable Board, whose official term of service to San Francisco terminated this morning. They discharged their duties with ability and with conscience. I know that they will continue their unselfish devotion to all measures for the public good.

My gratitude and appreciation must be expressed also to all other individuals, to all the civic, the labor, the fraternal organizations that devote themselves year in and year out to the work of making ours a better and a happier city. I most respectfully ask for a continuance of this cooperation.

Aside from those indicated in my annual report, there are certain other recommendations that I would like to submit for your earnest consideration. Our Municipal Railway system is on a sound financial basis. It would be for the greater good of San Francisco if all the trackage on our streets were under unified municipal operation. I ask you to look forward with me—and to take such steps as are feasible for the acquisition by the City and County of all the privately owned street-car lines.

Let me recommend that every effort be made by your Honorable Board toward local control of our harbor facilities. San Francisco should own and manage its own harbor. The prestige which our port is building up on the Pacific Ocean would be tremendously increased. We are a maritime community; we should possess the title deeds to our greatest asset, and we should possess the key to our own front door.

I sincerely hope that continued watchfulness over the finances of the City will so improve our fiscal condition that while maintaining a low tax rate, we will not be called upon again to recommend the emergency payroll deductions that were regrettable, but necessary.

President Roosevelt has set us the example, by word and deed, of the importance of cooperation in every function of government, emphasizing the American tradition that refuses to recognize distinction of race, color or creed. I feel that our City has been marching step by step with him in his progress toward betterment, and that we are imbued with his truly American spirit. I sincerely hope that there will be the same sort of cooperation between your Honorable Board and the executive office. No city can go forward unless all its officials put civic duty foremost, and do not permit ambition, however proper, to obscure their paramount obligations.

We are officers of no mean city. Falsified ideas of economy and petty, political tactics have no place in our midst. Loyalty must be our watchword and loyalty cannot exist without sincerity. There is no invisible government in San Francisco. There cannot be, if we watch and work and do not permit ourselves to be misled by designing propaganda from individuals, groups or agencies, political or otherwise.

This City has fine standards and is conscious of an historical dignity that must be maintained. It is the City of St. Francis and follows his ideals of love, charity and human understanding. "A city that is set upon a hill cannot be hid." This beloved City, set upon seven times seven hills, cannot be hid from the good-will and the admiration of the world, if we, its public servants, recognize and do our duty.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

Mayor's Annual Message

MAYOR'S OFFICE
San Francisco

January 8, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In accordance with the provisions of the Charter, relative to the duties of the Mayor, I am transmitting to your Honorable Board a general statement of the condition of the affairs of the City and County of San Francisco, together with such recommendations as I deem proper and expedient.

In my last message, dated January 9, 1933, I presented due thanks to those who installed our Charter, which today celebrates the second anniversary of its being. I am glad to state that all departments of our city government have responded fully to its provisions, and that our municipal machinery is readjusted to its mandates. The true value of our Charter is becoming manifest and the benefits which follow its operation are clearly indicated and widely appreciated.

Few of us will regret the passing of the year nineteen hundred and thirty-three, with its problems and perplexities, which spared neither rich nor poor. It was a period of uncertainty and gloom, not only for San Franciscans, but also for every American citizen, and, indeed, everyone living. It marked the culmination of a depression unexampled in history.

In taking stock of our standing as a municipality, however, we see much for which to give thanks to the Almighty. San Francisco has weathered the storm better than any American city of first rank. It was my privilege, on September twenty-second, before the United States Conference of Mayors at Chicago, to make one of the principal addresses. I outlined, in brief, the conditions which have arisen in our municipality since eighteen hundred and fifty, the several Charters under which we were governed, the present fiscal solvency of our City, and the status of our bonds in the investment markets. On my return, I received hundreds of communications from city and county officials, research bureaus, and university professors, asking information as to our government and how it functions so admirably to our corporate benefit. I am filled with thankfulness that our beloved City has attained such eminence as a thrifty, well-administered community.

Appointments.

During the past year, in compliance with the Charter, it has been my duty to make several appointments to those commissions delegated to my authority. I have used the utmost care and diligence in these selections, seeking the highest integrity and the greatest qualifications in every case. My appointees numbered nineteen, thirteen of whom serve without remuneration. The service already given by these new officials has been such as to merit my sincere thanks and high commendation.

Radio Broadcasts.

We are in the 40th week of a series of N. B. C. broadcasts from the Mayor's office, during which various departments of our city government, through their chiefs, have brought to the listeners the machinery of our local government. We are deeply indebted to Don Gilman, vice-president of the N. B. C., for the time and facilities so generously rendered. Due to the broadcasts, thousands of indications have been received as to the interest the public takes in this subject, which is broadcast for the first time by an American mayor from our executive department.

Relief.

Since July 1, 1933, the beginning of the present fiscal year, many changes have taken place in San Francisco's relief program. The Federal Emergency Relief Administration, realizing the inadequacy of State and municipal revenues to meet conditions, gave outright one-third of the sum needed to meet relief demands, on the understanding that State and local governments should appropriate the other two-thirds. In San Francisco, the national contribution was matched, dollar for dollar, out of City funds, and the State, from its \$20,000,000 relief bonds, advances a similar amount as a loan, which must be repaid from the State gas tax allotment, in instalments, beginning in 1938.

Under the federal rule, one of the regulations was to eliminate private relief agencies. In San Francisco, however, the Federal Government accepted the Citizens' Relief Committee, headed by the Hon. M. C. Sloss, which I had appointed in 1932 and which has rendered distinguished services. In few cities in the nation did the government thus accept as a whole a relief committee of local appointment. The development of the C. W. A. program followed. Fortunately, San Francisco was prepared. We were ready to present definite projects acceptable to the C. W. A. In November, Federal Relief Administrator Harry Hopkins invited me to a conference of mayors in Washington, asking the attendance also of Chief Administrative Officer Cleary, Director of Relief Wollenberg, and Manager of Public Utilities Cahill. Mr. Hopkins outlined to us the C. W. A. program, which had received the approval of President Roosevelt. Thereupon, the President called the representatives of various cities together and impressed upon us all the importance of cooperation to the end that his plans might be carried out with success. San Francisco's allocation, as developed at this meeting, called for the employment of some 16,000 persons. Our part of the program was put into effect without delay. There are at present some 20,000 men and women at work in San Francisco on various public projects, earning \$72,000 per working day from federal funds. The result is that the load of our local relief has been lightened. On the first of July there were 12,907 heads of families on relief. Today there are 4265 heads of families on relief. The total expenditures for the six months, during which the new relief set-up has lasted, were \$2,978,944.18. The City still has \$1,050,000 available in relief bond funds. The State is expected to match this with an equal amount, under terms already explained. The federal contribution has been diverted to the C. W. A. program. All of those who have gone to work in San Francisco under the federal plan have been selected by the Federal Relief Committee and the State Free Employment Bureau. The hope expressed to you, in my message, last year, "one gainfully employed person in each family," is thus being realized.

We entered the present fiscal year with a reduction of 162 municipal

positions compared with the fiscal year preceding, the net payroll reduction being \$364,239. In addition, there has been a further reduction in municipal employment, due to death, retirement and resignations, of 128 positions, representing a decrease in the payroll of \$21,340 a month. There have been occasional temporary appointments to some of these last-mentioned positions.

Loan and grant projects submitted to the Federal Government are for the improvement of San Francisco's water supply in and outside of the City, \$12,095,000; the raising of O'Shaughnessy Dam, with the development of increased hydro-electric energy, \$3,500,000; extension of the high-pressure system, \$2,000,000; extension and improvement of our sewer system, \$2,625,000; and development of the San Francisco Airport, \$260,000. Due to the fact that the best interest rate offered by private bankers for these bonds was 6 per cent, I went to Washington in December, accompanied by City Attorney O'Toole, Controller Leavy, and Supervisor Havenner of the State Advisory Board, P. W. A., at the request of Secretary Ickes, and made representations to the National Government, with the result that in addition to the 30 per cent of the cost of labor and materials which had been promised us originally, we are to borrow the entire amounts for the water development, the sewer, and airport projects from the National Government at 4 per cent, saving several million dollars in interest throughout the life of the bonds.

Funds of the P. W. A. are fully allocated in Washington, and I am exceedingly happy to report to your Honorable Board that I have been advised this morning, though unofficially I feel authentically, that San Francisco has been allowed by the Federal Government a loan and grant amounting to \$18,480,000 for municipal public works projects.

Federal Projects.

After several delays, due to expiration of the original contract and re-allocation of funds for the purpose, I am pleased to report that construction of the Federal Office Building in our Civic Center, according to latest reports, will be under way within ten days. The Treasury Department has awarded the contract, in the amount of \$2,513,000. The work is to be completed in six hundred and eighty calendar days from the start. Thanks are due the members of our Congressional delegation, and to local civic organizations, for their constant efforts to get this project started. It will be the means of further relief of our unemployment situation. The addition to the San Francisco Post-Office building nears completion, at a cost of \$750,000. It has been in course of erection for nearly a year, enabling a large and timely wage production.

The City and County of San Francisco participated largely in the acquisition of lands for the Sunnyvale Naval Air Base, Santa Clara county, and Hamilton Field Army Bombing Base in Marin county, both completed in 1933.

Bay Bridges.

Two of the world's greatest engineering undertakings, the Golden Gate and the San Francisco-East Bay bridges, representing a total outlay approximating one hundred and twenty-five millions, have begun construction since my last message. Work started July 9th on the San Francisco-East Bay Bridge. One underwater pier is completed; the San Francisco cable anchorage is one-third complete; four caissons

for deep-water piers are landed on the bay bottom, one at one hundred and six feet, the world's record for depth. Six other piers, by coffer dam method, are in various stages of construction. Fabrication of steel is progressing and towers will appear on the bay skyline shortly. January, 1937, will see the structure finished, the engineers state confidently.

As to the Golden Gate Bridge, the Marin Tower has been completed to a height approximating the bridge floor—about 240 feet. The first panel of bracing, between the tower legs, has been placed. Nearly 6100 tons of steel have been erected to date. The first stages of the north and south anchorages are complete and the pylons are rising rapidly. January 1, 1937, is the date set for final completion, allowing amply for delays.

Controller.

The source of the City's financial policy, management and control is the Controller's office. To Controller Leonard S. Leavy and his staff can be attributed, in no small degree, the credit for the excellent fiscal condition of San Francisco, which is primarily the result of his energy, ability and efficiency in protecting the City's interests.

The fiscal year 1932-33 offered a very definite financial problem. The revenues from sources other than taxes decreased 4.4 per cent, and taxes were delinquent 5.37 per cent. In order to operate a cash basis, it was necessary to organize a well-defined financial program to effect necessary curtailment without either impairment of service or interference with the necessities of government. This program eliminated expenditures for other than essentials, kept expenditures within the limitations of monthly revisions of estimated revenues, and carefully controlled appropriation allotments.

The Controller shows unencumbered balances in the current funds of approximately \$2,800,000 and an unappropriated balance of funds of approximately \$2,198,000. Included therein is a cash reserve fund created by Charter amendment, which has resources totaling \$1,195,000. These resources, when realized, will form the basis for loans based upon estimated revenues.

The revenue situation of 1933-34 presents an immediate problem. The Controller has addressed letters to all departments asking for their proposed expenditures for the ensuing six months. This is laying the foundation for the necessary curtailment program so that the City may maintain its excellent credit position, and I recommend to your Board that, in considering the next tax levy, ample provision be made therein for delinquency so that this condition need not necessarily be a recurring one each year. During the period under review there was a continuance of system revisions and installations in order to carry out the Charter provisions for a centralized and adequate accounting system. The general ledgers were revised and segregated according to general City and County accounts and those for public service enterprises. A new chart of accounts, therefore, was created, eliminating other than the essential detail and simplifying the structures generally.

Modern systems were installed, and the underlying detail was verified in connection with the following operations: County Clerk's Special Fund; Municipal Court Special Fund; Municipal Court Bail Bond Fund; Tax Redemption Records; and Delinquent Tax Records.

Aside from our ability to bond for self-liquidating projects, our present borrowing capacity is \$56,000,000.

The value of an executive budget as provided for by the Charter is shown by the following figures:

Net Budget:

1931-1932	\$41,459,418
1932-1933	39,110,402
1933-1934	33,826,472
Decrease, 1931 to 1934	7,632,946

The fiscal year 1933-34 brought to San Francisco a reduction of 56 cents in its tax rate. This result has focused the attention of every city in the country on our sound municipal status, to say nothing of the relief it gave to our taxpayers, thousands of whom have recently suffered losses in rental income and earning capacity. A recent eastern survey shows that San Francisco has the lowest tax rate, by a wide margin, of the thirteen cities of the United States with a population of 500,000 or over. Our tax delinquency yields equally satisfactory evidence of our sound position. It is 5.37 per cent, the lowest in the country. In a list of 20 large cities, the tax delinquencies of all but San Francisco run from 11 per cent to 42½ per cent. In other words, San Francisco's tax delinquency is 50 per cent lower than the lowest of the other nineteen. It will continue to be a paramount policy of my administration to keep down the taxpayer's burden, using every effort thereto by effecting economies consistent with business methods and no impairment of efficiency.

City Attorney.

During 1933, there were eighty-four actions commenced against the City in the Superior Court and forty-six actions in the Municipal Court. The number of actions wherein the City was a party plaintiff was four. This is far less than in any previous year and is due to the fact that few actions in condemnation were brought.

In addition to the above-mentioned actions brought against the City, ten have been brought in the counties of San Joaquin and Stanislaus which involve the City's water rights on the Tuolumne River. These involve every phase of water litigation and are being vigorously defended by the City Attorney. These claims go to the very foundation of the rights of the City to store and to take the waters of the Tuolumne River and tributaries. The first of these actions to be tried is *Meridian, Ltd. v. City and County of San Francisco*, which has been set for trial for February 5, 1934, in the Superior Court of Stanislaus county. It is estimated that this case will consume approximately forty trial days and it is anticipated that the other cases will be tried immediately following the Meridian case.

In addition to the litigation pending in the various courts of the State, the City has been a party to a gas rate hearing before the Railroad Commission, which involved the charges for natural gas by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. This office, through its attorneys and engineers, presented a case on behalf of the people of San Francisco. The hearing was recently concluded with the result of a reduction in rates of approximately 13 per cent to the gas consumers in San Francisco. The Pacific Gas and Electric Company has now carried this litigation to the United States court and under the direction of the Board of Supervisors, the City Attorney is representing the City as well as the gas consumers in San Francisco in this litigation. Of the cases above mentioned, 44 involved the Municipal Railway.

San Francisco is fortunate in having John J. O'Toole as its official legal adviser. Deeply versed in municipal law, Mr. O'Toole has contributed in a most important way to the effectiveness of the Charter by his constructive interpretations. The City's legal affairs are safe in the hands of Mr. O'Toole and his capable associates.

Municipal Courts.

In the operation of twelve municipal courts, 14,058 civil actions were brought and 5213 small claim actions—a total of 19,271. In the criminal department, 76,794 proceedings were filed.

Expenditures total \$188,748.05 and receipts \$160,696.35, being an excess of expenditures over receipts of \$28,051.70, as against an excess in 1932 of \$1,558 in receipts over expenditures. The discrepancy is accounted for by depression in business. I am fully satisfied with the intelligent and efficient manner in which the duties of all connected with this department have been discharged.

Public Defender's Office.

In line with the administration's policy of economy, Public Defender Gerald J. Kenny has handled the duties of his office with two deputies and a stenographer. Persons who received legal advice in civil matters numbered 2675. The total number of cases handled in all courts was 1471, with a total of 3243 appearances. In the Superior Courts, 520 cases were handled and 470 closed. In Municipal Courts, 805 felony cases and 81 misdemeanor cases received assistance of the Public Defender, who also represented defendants in 42 cases in the Juvenile Court. From my observation of the manner in which the work of this department is being carried on, with a reduction of 45 per cent in expense, compared with the cost during the fiscal year 1932-1933, I believe its affairs have received painstaking and intelligent attention.

Police Department.

Conditions in San Francisco's Police Department during the past year, in spite of hardship, suffering and unemployment in our midst, did not result in any increase in crime. On the contrary, greatly due to the vigilance of our police force, crime has been curbed to normal. Number of arrests for the fiscal year 1932-33 is 63,933 as compared with 69,817 for the fiscal year 1931-32. The decrease of approximately 6000 in arrests, especially during these trying times, is a tribute to law observance among our citizens. It is gratifying to note the following statistics: burglary arrests, 1932-1933, a total of 626, as against a total of 776 for fiscal year of 1931-1932; robbery arrests, 1932-1933, a total of 315, as against a total of 415 for the fiscal year 1931-1932. Murders, year 1932-1933, were 20 compared with the previous fiscal year, 40. The decrease of 50 per cent is to be noted with favor. Total value of property lost by crime during the past fiscal year was \$336,089.20, compared with that of the previous fiscal year, \$572,556.65. More intensive efforts than ever before have been successful in reducing automobile fatalities and accidents. The department, through official recognition of the necessity of modern means and methods, such as radio and teletype, is fully equipped and up-to-date, and it is recognized today as being second to none in the United States. This status reflects credit upon the Police Commission, Chief Wm. J. Quinn and the forces under his authority. It has kept our City free from gangsterism, racketeering

and kidnaping, and protected our citizens and their homes from the menace of criminals, both organized and unorganized.

Fire Department.

During the past year the Fire Department has maintained its usual record of efficiency, which has resulted in keeping down the fire losses to a minimum. Due to increased efforts in fire prevention activities, there has been a reduction in the number of alarms responded to, which were 7156 as against 7486 the previous year, a decrease of 330. Stringent economy has been practiced in all branches of the department. The personnel was reduced to the lowest possible number consistent with efficiency and the safeguarding of life and property. The extensions of the auxiliary high-pressure system under the P. W. A. program, for which a bond issue of \$2,000,000 was authorized in November, will be installed as quickly as possible after the bonds are disposed of. This added protection, it is hoped, will result in a material reduction of insurance rates. It is with pride that I review the activities of this department under the able leadership of the Fire Commission and Chief Engineer Charles J. Brennan.

Civil Service.

I am in favor of strict civil service regulations and I am happy to say that civil service has been strengthened and rigidly enforced. The Commission was confronted with the necessity of making many new rules and modifying others. This laborious task has been performed with judgment and discretion, and the Commission and its subordinates deserve credit, accordingly.

Twice every year the Commission submits data to the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of fixing wage scales to be paid on work performed under contract for the City and County. As a result of its recommendations, San Francisco on January 1, 1933, became the first municipality in the United States to put into effect a thirty-hour week on municipal contract projects. This policy is now in effect on all projects financed by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, the Civil Works Administration and the Public Works Administration.

Board of Education.

In my annual message of January, 1932, I called attention to lack of harmony and of effective cooperation in the School Department, pointing out the deterrent effect upon morale. I returned to this subject in my message of last January, mentioning also that the Grand Jury had made some very drastic recommendations to the Board of Education. Meanwhile, I had addressed a communication to the Board of Education, calling attention to the serious conditions criticized by the Grand Jury, and asking that the report be given the most careful consideration. In this letter I said: "After such study, which should carry with it a most detailed investigation as to the various charges and recommendations contained in the report, without prejudice or undue consideration to biased critics, I trust that you will take proper action to correct such abuses, if any exist, and to place the responsibility for such."

September 12, 1933, Superintendent Joseph Marr Gwinn expressed formally to the Board of Education his willingness to retire from the School Department, giving his reasons therefor. The presidents of the

Universities of California and Stanford were requested to submit a list of names of educators who, in their judgment, were qualified for the position. The only other condition that I insisted on was that the Superintendent finally chosen should be a resident of California, preferably of San Francisco, if consistent with the proper solution of our problem. The result was the selection as Superintendent of Schools, by unanimous vote of the Board of Education, of Dr. Edwin A. Lee of the Educational Department of the University of California, a native of this State. I have every confidence in the future of the department. The unhappy conditions that arose did not affect the work of the classroom, which has been maintained at high standards. I look for harmonious cooperation with the new Superintendent on the part of every man and woman having to do with the public schools, in order to keep our Educational Department on the highest plane.

The recent success of the bond issue for schools indicates how responsive our citizens are to the needs of this department. Three million dollars were voted for new school construction, the replacement of obsolete schools, and the rehabilitation of certain others. Within a short time the definite program will be formulated, and I am confident that the bonds will be sold on advantageous terms. Funds were made available for the examination of school buildings to the end that they might be made fireproof and otherwise safe, a most important precaution.

The general offices of the department, widely separated in four locations, have been consolidated on the third and fourth floors of the Civic Auditorium, resulting in increased efficiency and reduction of overhead costs.

Non-Resident Employees.

The statement has been made repeatedly that many City employees resided outside our corporate boundaries. Due investigation has been given in each case and every possible effort has been made to correct evasions of Charter provisions in this respect. Today there are well under 100 employees, principally in the Water Department, who are legal residents here, but the location of whose work necessitates their residence elsewhere. Besides these, less than a dozen of others possess certificates of the Health Officer reciting health conditions of the employee or members of his family, necessitating temporary outside residence. There are 134 members of the School Department living outside of San Francisco. All of these maintained such residence prior to 1926, as authorized by rules of the then existing Board of Education. Many had acquired ownership of property. Most have but few years' further service before retirement. It is manifestly unjust to force a change of residence with its attendant financial losses, in view of the fact that this will automatically shortly correct itself. The City Attorney ruled that regulations of the Board must be uniform in this connection. It has been the rule of the department since 1926 that every new employee after that date must be a resident of San Francisco, and such is the case.

Employees' Retirement System.

The Retirement System included 11,131 employees, June 30, 1933. During the fiscal year ending on that date, 169 members were retired because of age or disability, and 117 members died. Many of the positions thus vacated were not filled. On June 30, 1933, there were 1444 persons receiving allowances under the Retirement System, this num-

ber including aged and disabled members retired from miscellaneous departments and also retired firemen and policemen, and their widows where death resulted from performance of duty. On July 1, 1932, the administration of the State Compensation Insurance Law, as it affects all City employees, was centralized under the Retirement Board in accordance with the new Charter, instead of being handled by the various departments in which injured persons are employed. Reports of all injuries among approximately 10,500 are made to the Retirement office, claims are adjusted and benefits paid in accordance with the State Law and Charter, the City and County acting as self-insurer. The Compensation Insurance risk, connected with the Hetch Hetchy employees outside the City and County and park employees, is insured in the State fund at the present time. During the fiscal year 1932-33, 363 compensation cases were handled under which either weekly benefits or medical benefits were paid. Approximately 500 additional cases were handled which were not of sufficient severity to qualify for compensation benefits of any kind. During the year 1933, the Retirement System invested, in round figures, \$397,000 in San Francisco bonds. The investments of the Retirement fund at December 31, 1933, totaled \$13,484,000. The Retirement System is most ably administered.

Assessor's Office.

Assessor Wolden indicates a total assessed valuation of real and personal property in the City and County of San Francisco for 1933 of \$974,435,243, as compared with \$1,049,386,276, for the previous year, a decrease of \$74,951,033. Since 1930, nearly all of the 165,000 parcels of land and 125,000 buildings have been reappraised downward. In 1933, approximately 60,000 buildings and 20,000 parcels of land received such reappraisal, resulting in reductions of \$22,029,700 and \$6,055,170, respectively. Mr. Wolden has displayed a deep understanding of the duties of his office in meeting this emergency, and I believe that taxpayers generally consider his reappraisals of their holdings just and equitable.

Sheriff.

The activities of Sheriff W. J. Fitzgerald's office, covering business to October 1, 1933, indicates that 28,655 processes were served by his deputies; 248 actions were held. Eviction cases, 658, numbered 233 less than in the calendar year 1932. There were transported to State institutions, 1056 persons. Cost of subsistence and maintenance per prisoner has been reduced three and one-half cents per diem in 1933, and is now \$.2201. A good record.

New County Jail.

The new County Jail in San Mateo county comprises two main buildings. Exterior walls of both are completed. Construction work for equipment of the interior is progressing rapidly. The present estimate is that both buildings will be ready for occupancy about April 1, 1934. This is, in my opinion, a most satisfactory showing and worthy of commendation.

Public Utilities Commission.

Under the able direction of the Public Utilities Commission, Edward G. Cahill, manager of utilities, and the staff, the City's public service enterprises have forged steadily ahead. Despite hardships imposed by

prevailing economic conditions, the new year finds our \$140,000,000 investment in the soundest financial condition in its history. The advantages in economy and service of the central control of utilities, provided in our new Charter, have been tangibly demonstrated and we may look forward with optimism to the future of these enterprises. During the year definite economies in operating costs have been made effective, not only without sacrificing essential public service, but with actual improvement of that service.

Municipal Railway.

The effects of reorganization have been shown in the financial and operating reports with the result that the Municipal Railway, for the first time during the period of depression, is definitely out of the "red." During the six months' period, July 1 to December 31, 1933, the net income has been over \$60,000 as against a deficit of \$180,000 for the same period last year, representing a better operating condition of \$240,000 for the period. This improvement has been due mainly to economies. No reduction of the high standard of maintenance has been made. On the contrary, large sections of track have been reconstructed. Increased service has been given to the densely populated section of the Park-Presidio district west of Tenth avenue and two new modern buses have been purchased to improve the crosstown bus line on Tenth avenue. On August 30, 1933, the Municipal Railway subscribed to the President's code as agreed to by the American Transit Association, although it was already operating on that basis. The five-cent fare has never been increased, thereby maintaining the nickel as the universal fare, with transfer privileges, and thus saving to the people of San Francisco approximately eight million dollars yearly, compared with the average street car fare elsewhere.

Business conditions have shown a decided improvement during the last two months and this has been reflected in the Municipal Railway, so that instead of the downward trend during the last three years we are now beginning to show an increase in passengers and revenue. Over 71 million passengers were carried during the year. Bonds have been regularly redeemed so that the Municipal Railway now has outstanding only \$2,001,000 of an original issue of \$5,481,000, against a property conservatively valued at \$12,000,000.

Water Department.

The Water Department has carried on most satisfactorily. Water now in storage is approximately 20 billion gallons. Completion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, this spring, assures us a bounteous supply even were we to suffer repetition of the driest year of record. The average water consumption of the system amounted to 49,117,000 gallons per day as compared with the average of 50,454,000 in 1932. This decrease in consumption has been reflected in a decrease of \$130,252 in revenue. This has been more than offset by lowered expenses, so that the net income for the first eleven months of 1933 shows an increase of \$91,592 over the like period in 1932. The financial results of operation during less than four years of municipal ownership should be gratifying to our people. During this period it has shown a gross revenue from all sources of \$24,754,012, with operating expenses totaling \$15,677,158. This leaves a net income during that period of \$9,076,854, which has been applied as follows: Transferred to the General Fund—\$1,456,587; for redemption of bonds—\$3,416,667; for improvements to the system—\$3,280,197; transferred to surplus—\$903,403; other appropriations—\$20,000.

This \$9,076,854 represents the total amount which the City has profited during these four years through the purchase and operation of its water system, for it should be pointed out that the department has furnished free water to other departments of the City equal in value to the amount of taxes previously paid by the private company. On November 7, 1933, the people voted a bond issue of \$12,095,000 for construction of additions and betterments necessary to provide for the increased delivery of water from the west portal of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct to the Crystal Springs reservoir; for construction of an additional pipe line from Crystal Springs reservoir to San Francisco; to provide increased storage within San Francisco at University Mound and in the Sunset district; and for enlargement of the distributing system to improve service and provide more adequate fire protection to every district of the City. The sale of these bonds for construction of the necessary improvements will obviate for several years the necessity of providing for major extensions out of revenues of the department. In view of this, the Public Utilities Commission has officially stated that it will recommend a reduction of water rates of approximately 10 per cent, to be effective shortly after the beginning of the next fiscal year. This will be the first reduction in water rates that the people have enjoyed in over 30 years. It will save the rate payers more than \$700,000 annually and will attract new industries and payrolls.

Hetch Hetchy Construction.

During the year, Hetch Hetchy construction has made marked progress and it is safe to say that, within the next few months, mountain water will be flowing into San Francisco. The largest unit of work has been the construction of the aqueduct tunnel through the Coast Range mountains. This work is done by the Commission itself under contract. Their bid price was more than half a million dollars lower than the next lowest contractor's bid, and the work is being done at a cost so much lower than the bid price that the total saving will be \$1,000,000.

The miners completed on January 5 the excavation of this 28½-mile tunnel, the longest bore ever undertaken, and the greatest credit is due to all concerned for their perseverance and skill in surmounting unparalleled physical difficulties. During the year, two miles of tunnel were excavated, and 13 miles lined with concrete. Less than five miles of lining remain to complete this tunnel and the entire aqueduct. During the year steel and concrete pipe lines of permanent character have been built to complete other units. The water from Hetch Hetchy and Lake Eleanor reservoirs has been brought 97 miles from O'Shaughnessy Dam to Tesla Portal, the beginning of this tunnel, and stands ready for transmission to San Francisco. The completion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct will provide San Francisco with a water supply ample for many years to come, and of a quality for purity and softness that will be difficult to rival. The system is well planned and substantially built and San Francisco may be proud of her achievement.

Hetch Hetchy Power.

The City's hydroelectric plants at Moccasin and at Early Intake have continued to operate profitably during the year. They have produced since 1925, power in the total of \$18,370,000. The net income derived from these plants during the past calendar year, after deducting operating expenses and taxes, amounts to approximately \$1,850,000.

On account of the fact that we received plentiful early fall rains, the mountain reservoirs are much fuller than we expected when setting up our budget expectations of revenue. We will, therefore, be able to operate the power plants at Early Intake and Moccasin for at least one full month longer than we anticipated, thereby increasing the revenues from power sales by a minimum of \$180,000 from the above budget expectation of revenue.

At the Moccasin plant a modern sewage disposal system is now under construction, down stream from the Moccasin reservoir, safeguarding the purity of the City's water supply. The Early Intake power plant, originally constructed as a temporary power supply for construction purposes, has been in operation since May, 1918. During this period, in addition to the power which it has supplied for construction purposes, it has brought the City a cash revenue of more than \$700,000.

Work is now under way for installation of a pipe line from the tail-race of the Early Intake plant to the main aqueduct tunnel which will permit the water after passing through the Early Intake plant to be run through the Moccasin power plant also. The additional revenue to be derived will be approximately \$85,000 per annum. This sum is practically the cost of the project, or, in other words, the investment will be recovered in one year of operation. The work will be completed early this year. In the recent bond election, the sum of \$3,500,000 was authorized to increase the height of O'Shaughnessy Dam by 85 feet. This will add about 70 per cent to the capacity of the Hetch Hetchy reservoir and will guarantee, except under an extraordinary series of dry year, year-round operation of the Moccasin plant at full capacity with an increased annual revenue estimated at \$225,000.

Another distinct advantage is the possibility of regulating the flow of the Tuolumne river during the dry season, a condition which should greatly improve the position of the City in its dealings with the irrigation districts. Plans for this improvement are being prepared and construction will start as soon as funds are available.

Street and Public Building Lighting.

Under the new Charter, this department has been placed in charge of the Public Utilities Commission. At present there are approximately 17,500 street lights in service at an average daily cost of \$1,950. During the last fiscal year, the cost of street lighting has been cut from \$865,000 to \$780,000. A comprehensive system of records is being installed and a careful survey of lighting both of streets and of public buildings is underway.

The public building lighting at present comprises a little over 1000 services for gas and electricity. From time to time recommendations are made to various departments for changes or combinations which would tend to reduce the cost of the service. During the past year actual rebates totaling \$2,000 have been received and recommendations have been made which, if put into effect, will bring about an annual saving of approximately \$10,000. Lighting of public buildings is costing us less than ever before.

San Francisco Airport.

Admirable progress has been shown by San Francisco's newest utility, the San Francisco Airport. A year ago, I reported to you that one major air transport line was operating, and that had been recently acquired.

Today, five transport services are based at San Francisco Airport and San Franciscans may utilize every air service operating in Northern California from this air terminal. Air passenger, air mail and air express service to all points, north, south and east is now at the City's door. Transport planes arrive and depart from the field fifty-eight times daily. In addition, the airport has thirty-one regular tenants, several flying schools and a large transient business. To accommodate this great increase in business, extensive improvements have been made. The administration building has been enlarged, runways improved, sewage and lighting systems rebuilt. The airport now has complete radio and meteorological equipment, and air mail postoffice and other necessary facilities for safe and convenient flying. Citizens of San Francisco showed their wisdom on November 7 by voting overwhelmingly for a \$260,000 bond issue to extend the East-West runway. Completion of this improvement will assure a good rating from the United States Department of Commerce.

Increase in business, accompanied by economies in operation have made it possible to decrease the unavoidable losses on this essential and fast-growing public service by \$66,000 a year. We may look forward to greater development in order to keep pace with the inevitable increase in air transport operation.

Office of the Treasurer.

This department is the fiscal agency of San Francisco. Its business is transacted under the provisions of the Public Deposit Act of the State of California. It handled, during the fiscal year, \$147,048,644.50. Interest earned on the deposit of public funds in banks amounted to \$354,685.43, a saving in the tax rate of about five cents. Municipal bonds redeemed, \$4,792,700. Coupons paid, \$7,532,405.50. Number of demands handled from December 1, 1932, to November 31, 1933, 430,720. Number of emergency relief demands handled from March 1, 1933, to November 31, 1933, 599,869. Tax anticipation notes were issued in the aggregate amount of \$3,150,000 at the extremely low average rate of 1.6 per cent. These notes were due and payable December 20, 1933, and all were redeemed on that date. The office collects inheritance tax for the State of California and an average of 1800 safe deposit boxes per year are examined and contents listed for report to the State. The Treasurer also collects the assessments and pays bond interest and redemption for the Islais Creek Reclamation district, which is located wholly within the City and County. The National City Bank of New York is the fiscal agent of the City and County of San Francisco and pays two per cent interest on cash balances. This bank pays on presentation bond interest and redemption. Captain Duncan Matheson and his efficient staff deserve high commendation on the conduct of this office. With a staff of thirteen, his total annual expense, under the present budget, is \$41,072. This record stands for itself.

Parks.

One of our most valuable civic assets, as widely known and almost as famous as the Golden Gate itself, is our Golden Gate Park. The story of its creation, from what at one time was nothing but a barren waste of shifting sand dunes, is a tale possessed of enough poetic and dramatic value to inspire anyone. Less well known is the story, equally worth telling, of its plants, now numbering over 4000 species and varieties, originating in many diverse corners of the earth, all

playing a more or less important role in the making of our park, and today serving to constitute this as one of the nation's real botanic gardens. The successful combination of this heterogenous mass of plant material into a harmonious picture is a living testimonial to the vision and skill of John McLaren, Superintendent of Parks, and "Grand Old Man" of horticulture—not only of California, but also the entire Pacific slope. During the past year the modernization of the roads was successfully started and to date this work has been carried out from the Fell street entrance almost to Thirteenth avenue. Restoration of the rotunda of the Palace of Fine Arts is progressing satisfactorily and it is my hope that the entire task of rehabilitation will be completed in the coming fiscal year. The monumental tower on Telegraph Hill, the generous bequest of that public-spirited and well-known San Franciscan, Lillie Hitchcock Coit, is now completed and only awaits the decoration of the interior to be thrown open to the public. Telegraph Hill is receiving a coat of greenery that will properly set forth the beauty and dignity of the tower that crowns its crest. The Recreation Pier at Aquatic Park has been completed. It is hoped that with Federal aid this recreation area will be made safe and usable within the coming year. The work of the relocation of the ferry slips is well under way and will be finished before spring, thus making a safe enclosed harbor for swimming and rowing for the people of San Francisco. Our three municipal golf courses have added countless hours of healthful recreation for our citizens, and at a very nominal cost.

As the hours of labor are shortened and San Franciscans find more time for leisure and recreation, I earnestly recommend the park system's facilities for healthful and varied recreation of entire family groups.

Recreation Department.

The Recreation Commission has just completed 27 years of supervised recreation and building dependable future citizens. Eighty different activities are conducted on the recreational areas, and include varied interests for all ages. The Recreation Department has jurisdiction over 72 recreation units: 31 playgrounds, two open-air swimming pools, 20 schoolyard playgrounds, eight gymnasiums, one camp, one center for unemployed and general activities, one dramatic studio, eight playground sites. The total acreage is 250.9. The playground, swimming and camp attendance for the fiscal year 1932-1933 was 5,761,225. This represents an increase of 15 per cent or 799,000 over the previous year, 1931-1932. New playground areas in 1933 are: the Visitacion Valley playground which was dedicated in October; the Odd Fellows' Cemetery site, comprising 6.24 acres, purchased on July 19; 6.67 acres of beach property, fronting San Francisco bay at Griffith street, purchased on October 18; use of the school lot at Ninth avenue and Ortega street, granted by the Board of Education in December.

Mrs. Sigmund Stern, her associates and the employees, merit thanks for their valuable services.

Board of Permit Appeals.

The appeals that have come before this board for decision are appeals from the decisions of the Chief of Police, the Chief of the Fire Department, Director of Health, Director of Works, and Art Commission.

The Board of Permit Appeals is the court of last resort for permits, and much controversy is encountered among the property owners and merchants in the particular neighborhood in which the permit is involved. The board has given much time and attention to investigating these appeals and has endeavored to satisfy, as far as possible, the parties concerned, and at the same time keep within the law. It has acted on 125 appeals to this date. The commissioners and the secretary deserve credit on their work, which entails many hours of service in the inspection of various premises involving permits, besides attendance at weekly hearings.

City Planning Commission.

The City Planning Commission has been very active during the past year on the Master Plan for the City and County of San Francisco. This entailed a great amount of work on the part of the commissioners, and its engineering force. Particular attention was given to the boulevard approaches and to both the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridge and the Golden Gate bridge, and this study was instrumental in providing a necessary additional approach to the Golden Gate bridge. The passage by the Board of Supervisors of an ordinance controlling the height of buildings in the northern part of the City is the result of a request of the City Planning Commission to protect the entire district and to conserve property values. Constant demand to rezone certain portions of the boulevard system is being made. These requests are very thoroughly investigated by the commission, as in many cases rezoning would greatly impair the efficiency of the boulevards.

War Memorial Trustees.

The first full year of operation of the War Memorial buildings, the latest department of the municipal administration, closes with much credit to the Board of Trustees and its subordinates. The War Memorial comprises the new Opera House and the Veterans' Building adjoining, and the court and gardens between and surrounding these buildings. During the past year a memorable grand opera season, during which fifteen lavish productions drew capacity crowds, brought fame to San Francisco. Over thirty symphony concerts, five under the direct management of the City; several special concerts for young people, by the orchestra; and at least two score of artist recitals further spread our fame as a music center. The Veterans' Building, solely occupied for veterans' activities, housed over 3000 meetings during the year. It is the headquarters for every veterans' organization in the City; and, as an evidence of its importance, in one month during the year, 65,000 people entered its portals. San Francisco is deeply proud of this tribute to her veterans.

Art Commission.

In San Francisco, artistic responsibility is no longer a side issue. San Francisco must protect and perpetuate its natural advantages by wisely supervised artistic development. The Art Commission has gone into its second year under the able leadership of President Lewis P. Hobart. This group of artists, musicians, architects, litterateurs and laymen of distinction has given time, study and consideration to the aesthetic welfare of the municipality. The most important project

engaging the attention of the commission is the approach to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridge. Negotiations are in progress with the civil and State authorities, looking to the appointment of a Board of Consulting Architects to the end that the design for the approaches may be in scale and harmony with the bridge itself. To Earl Lee Kelly, Director of Public Works, and Charles H. Purcell, chief engineer of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridge, thanks are due for their fine cooperation in this respect. The commission's activities include municipal expenditures for the advancement and cultivation of music. The first city in the United States to support municipal music, San Francisco has always accepted her responsibility in this leadership. No other American city offers better musical programs, within the reach of all. This year, under the direction of the Music Committee, J. Emmet Hayden, chairman, we are sponsoring five Municipal Symphony Concerts, with the San Francisco Symphony and internationally known soloists, in the War Memorial Opera House.

San Francisco's Museums.

The year 1933 was a significant one in the activities of the two San Francisco museums. The attendance at the California Palace of the Legion of Honor increased from 194,273 in 1932 to 415,566 for 1933, and that at the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum reflected great interest. Not art lovers only, but lovers of fine music as well, are drawn to the Palace of the Legion of Honor. This is due to the general appreciation of the organ recitals given by Uda Waldrop. An artist of world renown, he contributes in a most distinguished way to the cultural prestige of San Francisco. I take this occasion to express the general esteem in which he is held by every understanding citizen. Notable monthly exhibitions have been offered at both museums. Both acquired during the current year, through public and private bequests, a number of old masters, greatly enriching the permanent collections. In the field of educational work, noteworthy progress has been made. Weekly lectures by members of the museum staffs have drawn increasing crowds. Over 12,000 school children have visited the museums. Three members of the two staffs present weekly radio broadcasts with most encouraging response.

The California Palace of the Legion of Honor and the M. H. de Young Memorial Museum occupy a position of vital importance in the cultural life of San Francisco and are steadily advancing to the point where they will rank with other great institutions of their kind throughout the world.

San Francisco Public Library.

The past year was one of retrenchment. It was also the most active in the history of the library in regard to service to the public. Next to those agencies giving actual relief, the public library has been of inestimable service. Between eight and nine million people made use of the library and over four and one-half million books were circulated for home use. The library system consists of the main library in the Civic Center and seventeen branches situated in our thickly populated districts. The business branch in the Russ building took excellent care of the needs of the business men. The resources of the reference, reading, music, periodical, newspaper and children's departments were taxed to the utmost and often seating capacity was inade-

quate for the large number of persons. There are now about 470,000 volumes in the collection. This does not include the collection of rare and valuable books in the Max Kuhl room at the main library. This enormous increase in the use made of the library could not have been handled without the whole-hearted cooperation and untiring energy of the staff. Every member did practically double the amount of work of normal times. The emergency was met in a most cheerful and efficient manner.

Chief Administrative Officer.

The second year of administration of the departments placed in charge of the Chief Administrative Officer has been marked by increasing coordination and improved efficiency in the work of these departments, as intended by our new organic law. In addition to the supervision of his departments, the Chief Administrative Officer has been called upon to assist the Civil Works Administration of the United States Government in the launching of the many projects to employ men and women in San Francisco. Ready cooperation with the various Federal organizations engaged in this work has contributed in large measure to the success of the projects initiated and to the relief of unemployment in San Francisco. A monthly meeting of all department heads under his control is held in the office of the Chief Administrative Officer. By this method, not only is there a greater cooperation between departments, but also in the discussions which arise each department obtains a better idea of the work of other divisions. Many suggestions have been made at these meetings which have resulted not only in a more effective handling of City business, but also in a very material saving to the taxpayer.

Street Traffic Advisory Board.

The Street Traffic Advisory Board met regularly throughout the past year to assist in eliminating street traffic congestion and hazards throughout the City and County. This committee, besides the Chief Administrative Officer as chairman, consists of the Director of Works, the Chief of the Police Department, the Superintendent of the Department of Electricity, the chairman of the City Planning Commission, the Municipal Judge presiding over the traffic court, and a member of the Board of Supervisors.

By study and discussion of modern methods of handling traffic employed in the metropolitan area of the United States, this board has rendered valuable service in controlling traffic problems of our City and County. It has also cooperated fully with the private organizations engaged in similar work.

Garbage Disposal.

So many complaints had been made over a period of years as to the nuisance created by the incinerator at Fifteenth and De Haro streets that, acting under court orders, this structure was demolished during the past year. San Francisco's garbage is now being disposed of by the sanitary fill method at Bayshore. Numerous requests have been made from private land owners to have their land filled in this manner and many are willing to pay a substantial sum for this privilege. However, it has been recommended to the Board of Supervisors that the tidelands to the east of and adjacent to Mills Field which is owned by the City and County of San Francisco be converted into a sanitary

fill and utilized in connection with the airport activities. This recommendation has the approval of the Public Utilities Commission.

Department of Finance and Records.

This department includes the functions and personnel of the Tax Collector, Registrar of Voters, County Clerk, Recorder and Public Administrator. In addition to the above, on July 10, 1933, the Board of Supervisors established a Bureau of Employment Registration and placed the Director of Finance and Records at its head. I highly commend the director of this department for his untiring, conscientious and intelligent work.

Tax Collector's Office.

This office now includes, in addition to its usual functions, the newly created Department of Delinquent Revenues. The last named is the clearance bureau for delinquent accounts of all municipal departments, and during the past year collected in excess of \$30,000, most of which would have been lost to the municipality, had it not been for the thorough work done by the bureau. Through the combined efforts of the four subdivisions of the Tax Department, an amount exceeding \$30,000,000 was collected during the past year, and I feel that Tax Collector Bryant and his corps of assistants are entitled to commendation for their efficiency.

Registrar of Voters.

The office of the Registrar of Voters has been very effective. In addition to the usual routine it was confronted in January with the necessity of cancelling approximately 42,000 registrations of electors who failed to vote at the August primary and general election of 1932 and to advise electors by mail of these cancellations. This work made mandatory by the Permanent Registration law had to be rushed in order to complete it in sufficient time for the special election held April 11, 1933. The department was also called upon to check three initiative and referendum petitions of approximately 60,000 names. Four elections were held this year as follows: April 11, Charter Amendments; June 27, delegates to State convention re-repeal Eighteenth Amendment, State Constitutional Amendments and Propositions, and local school bonds; November 7, Municipal election; December 19, State referendum of Central Valleys project and local school bonds.

These elections were conducted as efficiently and as economically, consistent with good service to the voting public, as was possible, and on the whole I am satisfied that the service rendered was satisfactory to the voters.

The office has been maintained within the appropriations of the budget and supplemental appropriations for special elections, and its conduct has been businesslike and creditable.

County Clerk.

County Clerk Mulcrevy's office shows during the year 1933 receipts of approximately \$98,000. Mr. Mulcrevy is one of the deans in municipal service, and through all his years of public activity he has won the respect of the legal profession and all others who have had business with his office. I desire to commend him for the efficient and courteous manner in which this important office is conducted.

Recorder.

Recording receipts of calendar year 1933 were \$97,909.05; salaries, \$89,737.18, giving a surplus of \$8,171.87. The total number of documents filed and recorded for the calendar year 1933 is 57,873. During the year approximately 8500 official folios have been copied for State, City and County governments, and for use of war veterans in compensation and pension claims. Approximately 500 papers, such as election statements, United States tax liens, etc., have been filed in the office without charge. Efficient services of the Recorder and his staff are commended.

Public Administrator.

The operations of the office of Public Administrator, covering the period from January 3, 1933, to December 26, 1933, include: number of estates wherein Public Administrator took possession, 283; number of estates in which final accounts have been settled and allowed, 237; total fees paid into Treasury, \$65,046.54; total cost of operation of the office from January 1, 1933, to December 26, 1933, \$37,323.01; profit, \$27,723.53. I am pleased to see this office on a paying basis. Thanks are deserved by the Public Administrator and his assistants. The office of the Public Administrator was moved from the Phelan building to the City Hall, with an annual saving of \$2,340 in rental.

Bureau of Employment Registration.

This bureau, as its title implies, is for registration. Created by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors on July 10, 1933, its sole purpose was to establish the registrant as having lived in San Francisco for one year prior to the date of registration. It was hoped that employers would ask for the cards issued to registrants, thus recognizing in all new employments bona fide residents of San Francisco. Organized July 17, 1933, under A. E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records, the bureau issued registration cards to applicants up to December 31. More than 3000 were refused registration as unqualified. The bureau functions with a personnel of 135 employes, without expense, except \$1,250 appropriated for printing, stationery and office equipment. Its value in cooperation with the Civil Works Administration in insuring employment on their projects only for bona fide residents is widely recognized. The personnel has been paid out of the funds of the Citizens' Emergency Relief up to December 1, 1933. Since then, the bureau has functioned as a project of the C. W. A., with salaries paid out of Federal funds.

Department of Public Works.

The Department of Public Works submitted numerous projects to the Federal Government with the view of employment of the San Francisco allotment of 16,000 workers under the Civil Works Administration before the 15th of December. The major engineering projects were Lake Merced boulevard, 4985 men employed; Alemany boulevard extension, 482 workers; repairs on public buildings, 1100 skilled workers; miscellaneous, 178. The total employment is 6745.

In common with all the others, the 1100 skilled workers employed in San Francisco on repairing public buildings are assured of steady work at least until the 15th of February, their further employment depending on action which is confidently expected from Congress. There are 275 employed on the Auditorium; 304 at San Francisco Hospital; 265 at

Laguna Honda Home; 127 at the Hall of Justice; 63 on the State building; and 66 at the City Hall, fire houses and police stations.

San Francisco has an unexpended allotment of \$600,000 for N. R. A. projects and San Francisco Bay bridge approaches; plans and specifications have been completed. Our allotment under the additional gas tax amounts to \$434,000, and this available fund will be used for a comprehensive system of street and boulevard improvements made necessary to rearrange our traffic arteries to serve the Golden Gate bridge.

There is available for the completion of our boulevard system, \$245,000; plans and specifications will soon be completed. Also available is \$1,125,000 for construction of cottages at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, additions to Laguna Honda Home and the construction of a Psychopathic and Cancer Institute building. During the year the department's activities in building construction, street work and paving, street lighting and sewer construction have involved the expenditure of \$1,148,314.

Among the more important of these activities are: Bernal boulevard, circling Bernal Heights district, partially completed in 1929. The cost is \$20,000; contract let and completion assured within 60 days; second unit, Junipero Serra boulevard extension from School street to Washington street, Colma, 70 per cent completed; cost \$175,000, including rights of way; a further unit in the Alemany boulevard, from Ocean avenue to San Jose avenue, completed.

Also completed Dewey boulevard from Clarendon avenue to Seventh avenue; Woodside avenue from Portola drive to Clarendon avenue; two sections of the permanent road improvement in Golden Gate Park, and numerous streets reconditioned and resurfaced throughout the City, where traffic conditions demanded.

Contracts will be let within 30 days for the third unit of Junipero Serra boulevard, from Washington street; Colma, to Camino Real, south of Cypress Lawn cemetery. This connection, when completed, will make a functioning highway, diverting all traffic up the peninsula, destined to the Sunset, Richmond and Marina districts, taking the present load of such traffic off Mission and Howard streets.

The Colma bottleneck project is sponsored by the County of San Mateo, Daly City, the State and San Francisco. When completed, including all items, will cost about \$750,000. Due to the generosity of the State Highway Commission, and the allocation of N. R. A. funds, the project is now financed with a minimum of expense to the counties involved. From present indications contract will be let in 30 days and completion expected in early fall. Certainly this will make one of the finest traffic approaches to any American city. It will meet any future traffic demands.

The widening of Army street, from Bay Shore boulevard, westerly, is delayed on account of differences with property owners as to location. The matter is now in court, with early decision expected, when the work will be prosecuted vigorously to completion. The Third Street bridge, the most noted of the bascule type in the world as to length and width and for the handling of vehicular and rail traffic, was constructed for \$640,000. It opens a traffic vent which has long been a problem and gives easy flow for traffic from the commercial and industrial districts, and synchronizes two sections of the harbor front for rail transportations, heretofore unconnected. It also safeguards movement of vessels.

Authorized bond issues coming under the jurisdiction of this department are as follows: P. W. A. bonds (a) sewers, \$2,625,000, and (b) high pressure system, \$2,000,000; school program, \$3,000,000. Engineering on the sewer and high pressure program is so far under way that a half million dollars in contracts can be awarded as soon as the bonds are sold. Conservatively, these bond projects should provide employment for 2500 additional workers for a period of more than a year.

Projects Contemplated.

The bridge approaches, financed by N. R. A. funds, will be the means of widening and reconditioning Bryant and Harrison from Fifth to Tenth streets, Fell from Tenth to Van Ness avenue; Van Ness avenue from Market to Bay streets; Tenth street from Division to Market, and Potrero avenue from Division to Bay Shore highway.

With these streets reconditioned, a large part of the augmented traffic produced by these bridges will be taken care of, but there are additional streets which will have to be reconstructed and widened before the bridges are completed and, therefore, a program must be set up which, over a period of several years, will completely recondition the streets leading to and from the bridge head and the north of Market area. Lombard street, leading from Van Ness avenue and connecting with the Golden Gate bridge, should be widened and improved at a cost of \$400,000. Howard street, from Army to The Embarcadero, should be reconditioned at a cost of \$200,000 as an additional outlet and inlet for peninsular traffic.

Nineteenth avenue, Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard, must be improved before the Golden Gate bridge is completed, to take care of the traffic down the peninsula. The estimated cost of this project is \$150,000.

The Divisional Highway should have attention, and at least the unit between Castro and Divisadero streets should be undertaken during the ensuing year. The cost of this work is estimated at \$120,000.

Laguna Honda boulevard between Dewey boulevard and Seventh avenue is in bad condition, and carries a large volume of traffic. This project should be started and completed during 1934. The cost is estimated at \$70,000.

Streets such as Golden Gate avenue from Market to Van Ness; Front street from Market to The Embarcadero, and Sixth street from Harrison to Market should receive consideration as traffic outlets.

Plans for a major road crossing Golden Gate Park should be developed and finished before completion of the bridges. This road could be so located and planned that it would in no way interfere with the utility or beauty of the park. It could be depressed for the most part, and should provide for concrete structures and a separation of traffic for the existing road system in the park.

Geneva avenue, Mission street to the Bay Shore Highway, should receive attention during the year. This connection between two heavy duty traffic arteries is of great importance from a traffic standpoint, the existing road being narrow and subject to traffic accidents.

Department of Public Health.

No little commendation has come from various sources of national influence to our Department of Public Health, under the able adminis-

tration of Doctor J. C. Geiger. The fact that San Francisco has one of the finest health records of 1933 was made possible through the far-sighted and rational attitude of San Francisco in providing funds for the proper continuation of the department's activities.

Public health is purchaseable and San Francisco realizes that its investment in health is its greatest asset and safeguard. Most cities and many State Departments of Public Health were forced to carry on their activities with reduced budgets for 1933 and 1934, but I am confident that the health of our citizens will be protected and preserved, even more zealously in the future than in the past.

The year 1933 saw no major epidemics of the communicable diseases in San Francisco. Death rates and general illness rates have been lower than for 1932.

During the past year, even more than during previous years, many citizens have found it necessary to ask for medical care, both in the hospitals and other institutions of the City and County, and in their own homes. The 1933 patient census in the San Francisco Hospital was 14,239, even higher than that of 1932. The Laguna Honda Home, also, has a patient census that is greater than that ever reached before, nearly 2000 people being cared for within the home and infirmary. Waiting lists of considerable length exist for both of these institutions, and admissions are necessarily restricted, but there has been an appreciable decrease in the number of patients hospitalized within the San Francisco Hospital, and a noticeable decrease in the number of applicants for hospital care. The Director of Public Health believes that the decrease this year has been more extensive than usual. This might be explained by the return to work of large numbers of men under the Civil Works Administration program, since it appears quite definite that a relation does exist between idleness and illness.

The completion and occupancy of the Health Center has contributed much to the proper and economical administration of this vital part of the City's machinery. I would note also, the completion of the Alemany Emergency Hospital and Health Center, which provides adequate protection to a populous section of our City. The cost was \$90,000.

The work of the preventive services of the department, instead of being subjected to enforced curtailment of activities as in many cities, has been maintained and even augmented, with the requirements of new legislation. The work of the department, in certain fields, at least, has attracted widespread attention with the publication of scientific reports in national publications. Likewise, the action of the Board of Supervisors in enacting modern legislation providing for a safe milk supply for San Francisco and regulation of the use of dangerous gases in fumigation, has brought favorable comment from many sections of the United States.

Social Welfare Activities.

Our humanitarian activities for the fiscal year 1933-1934, according to budget estimates and additional allocations to date, are as follows: Health Department, \$2,502,299; Juvenile Court, \$704,143; County Welfare Department, \$692,039; Feeble-Minded, \$130,000; Juvenile Detention Home, \$27,383; Adult Probation Department, \$24,424; Maintenance, Criminal Insane and Narcotics, \$20,000; Transportation of Indigent Insane, \$3,000—a grand total of \$4,103,288.

It is to be noted that this total is exclusive of resources of the

an employment relief, Community Chest and other organizations dedicated to humanitarian impulses. I have had many reasons to believe that in no city in the world are the wants of the people better satisfied than here.

County Welfare Department.

The County Welfare Department, under the capable direction of Miss Eugenie Schenk and her efficient staff, has under its care those entitled to State and County aid under three legislative acts—half-orphan children living with their widowed mothers, the needy aged and the needy blind. No aid is granted to any individual through the County Welfare Department unless said person is entitled also to State aid. At the close of 1933, it numbered among its charges 439 families in which aid was being extended to 979 children. The cost for the month of November, 1933, was \$16,844.82, approximately 45 per cent of this to be borne by the County and 55 per cent by the State. In that month, 1456 aged were drawing aid, and the expenditure for the month was \$31,643.60, to be borne share and share alike by the State and County according to law. Those on blind aid numbered 228 and the expenditure for the month was \$7,418, also to be borne share and share alike by State and County according to law.

A growing understanding and good will between this department and the thousands it serves is the aim of all in the personnel.

Juvenile Detention.

The Juvenile Probation Department during the fiscal year provided care for 2757 minor children in need of the necessities of life, expending the sum of \$638,366. These moneys supplied a substantial economic background to this group of under-privileged children, granting physical maintenance, educational opportunities, etc., to the end that they might develop into normal, useful citizens. During the last fiscal year there was an approximate monthly average of 93 children in State institutions, placed there because of anti-social conduct, so that they might receive training in an effort to prevent them from leading criminal lives and being liabilities to the future. During 1932-1933, 1715 children passed through our Juvenile Detention Home. The program of the court is to give temporary care to such unfortunate youngsters for the period of time necessary in working out plans for their normal adjustment to life. Public funds are expended in this department for the greatest of all endeavors, namely, that of providing direction and security to the youth of today who will be assets or liabilities of the citizenry of tomorrow.

Purchasing Department.

During the year 1933 there were received from the various departments 52,851 requisitions. These requisitions in actual orders amounted to \$6,698,166.60. The Purchasing Department not only does the buying for all the departments and institutions, but also operates the City's repair shops, garages, storerooms, warehouse and service station. During the past year the buying of foodstuffs and supplies for extending relief to a large number of residents, who by reason of economic conditions were unable to obtain employment, placed an additional heavy burden upon the Purchasing Department. Despite this fact the standard of the department has been maintained in a highly satisfactory condition. Through our system of competitive buying and quantity purchases we have been able to secure large

benefits for the City and have obtained the greatest value for the money expended. We welcome the system of competitive bidding and invite all merchants of our City to bid with us on the various items in their lines of business. Price is not the only factor in the buying of materials and supplies, as quality is also taken into consideration, and San Francisco merchants and San Francisco-made products are given preference, other things being equal.

Department of Electricity.

The Department of Electricity, Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, made a creditable showing during the calendar year just closed. Among the outstanding accomplishments: manufactured and installed fire alarm boxes, police boxes, traffic signals, and arterial stop signs, including the laying of cable and all other incidental work; also additional fire alarm box circuits for Central Fire Alarm Station. Radios were installed in Police and Fire Department automobiles. Radio receiving sets were installed in all the district police stations. Permanent broadcast circuits between the War Memorial Opera House and the Civic Center were installed and public address systems at the Civic Auditorium and City Hall maintained and operated. Fire Alarm Station: total number of signals transmitted, 44,961; number of fire alarm boxes in service, 1421; monthly tests of fire alarm boxes totalled 16,940 for the year. Inspection Bureau: applications received, 14,776; inspections made, 46,724; installations approved, 15,045. Inspection fees and other revenue received, \$42,832.72; total expenditures, \$179,011.57.

Real Estate Department.

During 1933, lands and rights of way were secured for the Lake Merced boulevard, Bernal Heights boulevard, the extension of University Mound reservoir, a new road connecting the Excelsior district and Visitacion Valley, and various other projects, making it possible to immediately place thousands of unemployed men as soon as the C. W. A. money became available. A portion of the old Odd Fellows' cemetery and a site in the Bay View district have been acquired and under the direction of the C. W. A. men are now grading these lands for playground purposes. Junipero Serra boulevard is now being constructed through Daly City and Colma. Soon it is expected that another contract will be let to further extend this boulevard to connect with El Camino Real south of Cypress Lawn cemetery. Rapid progress is being made in acquiring necessary land for widening Mission street between the towns of Daly City and Colma, in order to have this particular job available, so that contracts may be let during the coming year. The Civic Auditorium is being thoroughly renovated; also a factory has been equipped here, giving work to hundreds of women, sewing garments for the use of various public institutions, a unit of the C. W. A. program.

Coroner's Office.

In the year just closed, the Coroner's office handled 2282 cases, the greatest number in its history. Suicides, numbering 249, were 16 fewer than in 1932; deaths from natural causes were 45 greater; the total number of cases exceeded the 1932 roll by 59. Cases handled in 1929, a total of 2002, were 280 below last year's record. In spite of the volume handled, the cost of operation has not been increased. Autopsies performed, 1650; inquests held, 1804. The conduct of the office, under Dr. T. B. W. Leland and his experienced staff, has been efficient and highly satisfactory.

Agricultural Commission.

Besides the enforcement of the agricultural laws of California, Commissioner W. F. Carroll has for the past nine months inspected the food products for the Citizens' Relief Committee, rejecting and returning thousands of packages which did not meet specifications. In the inspection and certification of fruits and produce for export, a total of 6098 certificates were issued. An ordinance of your Honorable Board, in effect January 5, 1934, requires exporters to pay a fee for such inspection service and also provides inspection by the commissioner of all fruits and produce sold on contract to city institutions.

Board of Beverage Commissioners.

This board was brought into being by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, approved April 3, 1933, upon the authority of which a commission of three was appointed by me, consisting of the Chief Administrative Officer, a member of the City Planning Commission and a member of the Police Commission. On July 23, a member of the Health Advisory Board was appointed to succeed the Chief Administrative Officer, resigned. During its term of office, April 3 to December 5, 1933, 5484 applications were received for permits as beverage dealers, of which 828 were denied, withdrawn or canceled, and 4656 granted. Receipts totalled \$184,223.29. The entire expense of the bureau was \$1,877.96. Clerical help required was drafted from other City departments. The net receipts of the board represents a saving of 2½ cents in our tax rate. With the repeal of the Eighteenth Amendment on December 5, 1933, the Board of Beverage Commissioners went out of existence. My thanks to the board and its assistants.

If you have followed the foregoing with an attentive mind, you will join with me in thankfulness that our beloved City is so favored as we enter the year 1934. Equally, I am sure, you will be pleased to cooperate with the Executive Department to the end that the high position San Francisco has achieved amongst world cities may be maintained.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Monday, January 15, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 15, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 15, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 8, 1934, was presented and approved.

Progress Report of Rules Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 11, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Rules appointed by President McSheehy, consisting of Supervisor Havenner (Acting Chairman), and Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Roncovieri and Uhl, met on Wednesday, January 10, 1934, and after discussion, recommends eleven (11) committees be appointed to function as required by the Board and the Rules.

Committees are as follows:

1. Commercial and Industrial Development.
2. Education, Parks and Recreation.
3. Finance, Revenue and Taxation.
4. Fire, Safety and Police.
5. Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service.
6. Public Health.
7. Public Utilities.
8. Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.
9. Streets and Traffic.
10. Public Welfare.
11. Rules (of which President of the Board is to be ex-officio Chairman).

The Committee recommends that these committees organize when appointed by the President, and the committees and the Board proceed to transact the business under the Rules of 1932 and until further report from the Committee. The Committee has under consideration some amendments to the Rules of Proceedings which will be completed and a recommendation for which will be made at the next meeting of the Board.

Yours truly,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the progressive report of the Rules Committee be adopted.

Motion carried.

Appointments of Committees.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy, read by the Clerk and ordered *spread in Journal*:

January 15, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In accordance with the report on rules, which you have just adopted, I am pleased at this time to appoint the following members of this Board to membership on said committees. In making this selection I followed an old established legislative custom of the United States Congress, the State of California and our Board of Supervisors. In so doing, I am giving preference to the members with the greatest number of years of service. The first named to be Chairman:

1. Commercial and Industrial Development.
Supervisors Brown, Colman, Uhl.
2. Education, Parks and Recreation.
Supervisors Havenner, Colman, Gallagher.
3. Finance, Revenue and Taxation.
Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Roncovieri.
4. Fire, Safety and Police.
Supervisors Breyer, Ratto, Brown.
5. Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Service.
Supervisors Schmidt, Havenner, Breyer.
6. Public Health.
Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Breyer.
7. Public Utilities.
Supervisors Colman, Brown, Havenner.
8. Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.
Supervisors Shannon, Ratto, Uhl.
9. Streets and Traffic.
Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon.
10. Public Welfare.
Supervisors Uhl, Gallagher, Schmidt.
11. Rules (of which President of the Board is to be ex-officio Chairman).
Supervisors Havenner, Colman.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,
President Board of Supervisors.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Public Buildings and hearing fixed for Friday, January 19, 1934, at 10:15 a. m., before said committee, to-wit:*

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of \$3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests for a loan of \$3,200,000 for

the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3,000 rooms for rental at \$11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the reason that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interest, inflicting conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would respectfully call the attention of officials at Washington to the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and, further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be \$11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage-earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his Honor the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, for presentation to the Federal Administrator of Public Works at Washington as an expression of protest to the Government loan for said project.

HEARING OF APPEAL FROM ASSESSMENT ON GARFIELD STREET—3 P. M.

Hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. A. H. White, protesting property owner, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length in opposition to the proposed assessment for the improvement of Garfield street.

John J. Casey, City Engineer, and M. Pedge of the Board of Public Works, Streets Department, were also heard.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter be laid over one week for further hearing.

Motion carried.

Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, January 15, 1934, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Four hundred and fifty thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed six per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1944, inclusive.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same bearing the lowest rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts to the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to Finance Committee*:

1. The Anglo California National Bank, Schwabacher & Co. (by The Anglo California National Bank). \$230,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of five (5%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1936 to 1939, both years inclusive; \$30,000 par value on September 1, 1940.

\$220,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of four (4%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$20,000 par value on September 1, 1940, \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1941 to 1944, both years inclusive.

2. Weeden & Co. For four hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$450,000) par value of Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent

(4½%) per annum for four hundred thousand dollars of bonds maturing 1936 to 1943, and at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum for fifty thousand dollars of bonds maturing 1944, we will pay you four hundred and fifty thousand dollars, being the par value of the bonds plus accrued interest to date of delivery. Bonds dated September 1, 1932, denomination \$1,000, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; maturities \$50,000 each year, September 1, 1936, to 1944, inclusive.

3. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Company, Inc., R. W. Pressprich & Company (by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager). For \$450,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery, plus a premium of \$19.

\$225,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of four and three-quarters per cent (4¾) per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1936 to 1939, both years inclusive; \$25,000 par value on September 1, 1940.

\$225,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of four and one-quarter per cent (4¼) per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$25,000 par value on September 1, 1940; \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1941 to 1944, both years inclusive.

4. R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co. (by Elmer Booth). For \$450,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Relief 4½ per cent Bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, dated September 1, 1932, and maturing \$50,000 each year from September 1, 1936, to September 1, 1944, inclusive, interest payable semi-annually on March 1 and September 1, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the office of the City Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in New York City, we will pay you \$450,000, being the par value of said bonds, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$909.

5. William R. Staats Co., Griffith-Wagenseller & Durst, Donnellan & Co. (by J. Earle Jardine). For \$450,000 Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, dated September 1, 1932, maturing \$50,000 of said bonds in each of the years 1936 to 1944, inclusive, bearing interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and constituting direct general obligations of said City and County, we will pay you on delivery to us the sum of par, accrued interest to date of delivery, and a premium thereover of \$786.50.

Resolution Awarding Bid.

Subsequently during the meeting the Finance Committee reported the following resolution which was *adopted* by the following vote, to-wit:

Sale of \$450,000 Relief Bonds, Dated September 1, 1932.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1236, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Relief Bonds, issue of September 1, 1932, to the amount of \$450,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 15th day of January, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with

the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bids of The Anglo California National Bank, Schwabacher & Co., by the Anglo California National Bank, for said \$450,000 Relief Bonds, issue 1932, comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1944, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to The Anglo California National Bank, Schwabacher & Co., by The Anglo California National Bank, as follows:

\$230,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of five (5%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1936 to 1939, both years inclusive; \$30,000 par value on September 1, 1940.

\$220,000 par value Relief Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, California, dated September 1, 1932, of the denomination of \$1,000 each, bearing interest at the rate of four (4%) per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$20,000 par value on September 1, 1940, \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of the years 1941 to 1944, both years inclusive.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopting the Slogan "Work More, Earn More, Spend More in '34," as the Official Slogan of San Francisco, and Ordering It Printed or Typewritten Upon All Official Stationery of the City of San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1234, as follows:

Whereas, his Honor the Mayor of San Francisco has suggested that the slogan "Work More, Earn More, Spend More in '34," expresses the hope and determination of San Francisco for the forthcoming year; and

Whereas, his Honor the Mayor has further recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt this slogan as the official slogan of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this slogan was chosen as the best among 5600 submitted to the San Francisco Examiner in a slogan contest; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the slogan "Work More, Earn More, Spend More in '34," is hereby adopted as the official slogan of the City and County of San Francisco for the year 1934; and it is hereby ordered that this slogan shall appear either as a printed line or typewritten line upon all official stationery of the City and County of San Francisco for the year 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Requesting Mayor to Appoint Committee to Promote Annual Charity Football Game, Sponsored by Knights of Columbus, Sunday, January 21, 1934.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1235, as follows:

Whereas, Sunday, January 21, 1934, the annual charity football game, sponsored by the Knights of Columbus, will be played at Kezar Stadium for the benefit of the needy of San Francisco and the maintenance of the Non-Sectarian Free Unemployment Bureau, maintained by the Knights of Columbus; and

Whereas, the assistance of all San Franciscans in this laudable charity is vital to its success; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to aid in the promotion and success of the charity football classic sponsored by the Knights of Columbus.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Robinson Bequest Fund Agreement.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney transmitting resolution authorizing Mayor to execute agreement and transfer in order to receive amounts from Bond Holders' Protective Association on coupons of trust funds of Robinson Bequest.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Robinson Bequest Fund.

(Code No. 9.029)

Resolution No. 1237, as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of this Board of Supervisors, has heretofore deposited with the Bond Holders' Protective Committee, Central Oakland Block, Inc., certain bonds of the Central Oakland Block, Inc., which formed a part of the trust funds of the Robinson Bequest to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said Bond Holders' Protective Committee can now obtain, for the coupons on said bonds which matured on July 1, 1933, the sum of \$7.89 on each of said coupons in addition to the \$12.11 heretofore paid thereon, and can obtain \$20 on each of said coupons maturing on January 1, 1934; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that it is to the advantage and best interests of said trust that said amounts be accepted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to execute the necessary agreement and transfer in order to receive the respective amounts offered on said coupons maturing July 1, 1933, and January 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

The following was presented and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Resolution No. 1238, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in

private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as follows:

Metal Trades.

Shop Rates.

	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers	\$ 8.50
Molders and coremakers	6.60
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

Field Rates.

Blacksmiths	7.20
Boilermakers	8.00
Boilermakers' helpers	7.20
Machinists	8.00
Machinists' helpers	5.76
Machinist (maintenance)	9.00

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	\$ 8.00
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	6.00
Diamond drillers	7.00
Powderman	7.00
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (Garagemen)	5.50

Street Work.

Timberman (tunnel)	\$ 7.00
Mucker	5.50
Asphalt rakers	7.00
Asphalt shovelers	6.00
Cribbers	7.00
Laborers, street work	5.50
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, drag-line and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers	10.00
Firemen (asphalt plant)	7.00
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 H. P.)	9.00

Culinary Workers.

	<i>Per Week</i>
Head cook (6-day week)	\$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

Dredge Boats.

	<i>Per Month</i>
Dredge captain	\$215
Head leverman	215
Leverman	190
Fireman	150
Deckhand	150

Building Trades.

	<i>Per Day</i>
Asbestos workers	\$ 6.80
Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins (6-hour day)	9.00

Bricklayers' hodcarrier	7.00
Carpenters and cabinet makers	8.00
Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Cement finishers	8.00
Compressor operators (on steel erection)	10.00
Engineers (derricks)	10.00
Engineers (building material hoists)	9.00
Electric workers	10.00
Elevator constructors	9.08
Elevator constructor helpers	6.36
Fixture hangers	8.00
Glass workers	8.00
Housesmiths (architectural irons)	9.00
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	9.00
Iron workers (bridge, structural and rigger)	11.00
Iron workers (derrick engineers)	11.00
Linoleum and carpet workers	8.00
Laborers (building)	5.50
Painters (structural iron works)	9.60
Painters	8.00
Marble setters	8.00
Marble setters' helpers	5.00
Pile drivers and wharf builders	9.00
Pile drivers' engineers	10.00
Plumbers	9.00
Roofers	8.00
Sheet metal workers	8.00
Steamfitters	9.00
Sprinkler fitters	9.00
Stonecutters	8.50
Stonesetter (including granite curbs) (6-hour day)	9.00
Stone derrickmen	8.00
Tilesetters	8.00
Tilesetters' helpers	5.00
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks).

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, \$6.50 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Shovel engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, \$7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck crane engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., \$9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Plastering Industry.

Plasterer, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers hodcarrier, \$1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, \$2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, \$1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Concrete Industry.

Concrete laborer, \$5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, \$8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, \$8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

Others.

Hardwood floormen, \$1.10 per hour.

Not less than \$1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney transmitting bill authorizing and directing City Attorney to dismiss certain eminent domain proceedings now pending where the necessary land for the projects has been acquired.

Referred.

Whereupon, the following bill was *referred to the Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee*:

(Code No. 6.0221)

Bill No. 505, Ordinance No. 6.02212, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney to dismiss certain eminent domain proceedings now pending where the necessary land for the projects covered by said proceedings has been acquired by the City.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to dismiss any and all pending actions wherein the City and County of San Francisco is plaintiff and which were commenced under the eminent domain law of the State of California for the acquisition of real property for public improvements in such cases where the lands necessary for said improvements have been acquired by the City.

Referred.

The following matter from the City Attorney was *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Authorizing Loan of \$5,000,000 on Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 506, Ordinance No. 9.0333, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 in accordance with appropriations made as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$5,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes, and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$5,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$5,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$26,000,000 and that said sum of \$5,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$5,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given

to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to May 15, 1934, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1933-1934 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE

Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No. _____

\$ _____

San Francisco, _____, 1934.

On the 15th day of May, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of _____ dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of _____ per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million (5,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of

California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....,
President of the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

.....,
Controller of the City and County of San
Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....,
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1934), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1934, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Charitable Solicitations.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Communication from The Better Business Bureau calling attention to proposed ordinance to regulate the charitable solicitations in San Francisco, which was heretofore presented to the Board of Supervisors

and referred to the City Attorney for opinion, and requesting this matter be again brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors with the idea of securing the enactment of an ordinance.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Commendation of Building Inspection Department.

Also, communication from H. F. Badger, Manager-Secretary Board of Fire Underwriters of the Pacific, calling attention to the activities of the City Building Inspection Department, particularly to the valuable work carried on by Mr. Leonard in the matter of inspection of buildings and the making of recommendations to owners for the purpose of correcting relatively easily correctible defects which present a needless hazard to life and limb in the event of earthquake.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Hearings Relative to Approaches to San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from C. H. Purcell, Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works, in reply to request for invitation to members of the Board of Supervisors to sit in conference where matters relating to bridge approaches are under consideration, and stating that a committee consisting of the Mayor, Supervisor Havenner, Supervisor Canepa, William Chapin, City Planning Commission; A. J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer; J. Emmet Hayden and Walter P. Hobart of the Art Commission; Leonard Leavy, Controller; Supervisor Shannon, City Attorney O'Toole, and Supervisor Gallagher, made two inspection trips over these approaches and suggested changes affecting grades and alignment, and stating that in the light of this he cannot understand why we should not consider that there has been an ample opportunity for the City authorities to take part in the decision made as to these bridge approaches.

Read and referred to Streets Committee.

Traffic Hazard, Twenty-fourth and Dolores Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from Mary McCarthy Cunningham relative to traffic hazard existing at Twenty-fourth and Dolores streets where a child was recently killed, and requesting that adequate preventive measures be taken to control traffic at this point.

Referred to Streets and Traffic Committee.

Observance of Lincoln's Birthday.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the annual observance of Lincoln's birthday.

So ordered.

Relative to Discontinuance of C. W. A. Program.

Communication from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi transmitting copy of telegram received by him advising that the C. W. A. program will be discontinued during the first week in February if additional funds are not provided for and suggesting that resolution be adopted urging that the program be not discontinued.

Transmitting letter of T. W. Walmsley, president, and Paul V. Bettus, secretary, U. S. Conference of Mayors.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Telegram Ordered Sent.

On motion duly made and carried the Clerk was directed to wire

the President, Senators and Congressmen in the name of the Board of Supervisors requesting that there be no cessation of C. W. A. work or funds until need therefor has lessened.

Overpayment, Duboce Tunnel Assessments.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to alleged overpayment of assessments and existence of certain surpluses in the Duboce Tunnel funds and moved that the City Engineer be requested to attend next meeting to inform the Board as to the matter.

So ordered.

Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission Declared Illegal.

The following was read and *filed*:

Communication from John J. Casey, City Engineer, returning appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property located at the northerly side of Pacific avenue commencing at a point 2.06 feet 3 inches easterly from Laguna street and running thence easterly to Gough street; and also the southerly side of Pacific avenue between Laguna and Gough streets, and stating that the City Attorney holds that the names of the appellants are typewritten and consequently not in accordance with law.

Road Work in Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Schmidt called attention to a defective piece of road work in Golden Gate Park between the conservatory and the junction of the Park-Presidio Panhandle where the work half completed was already beginning to break up. He moved that the Streets Committee investigate and that the Clerk request that responsible party be called upon to attend next meeting to give Board information on the matter.

Subsequently the City Attorney was called and informed the Board that it had no jurisdiction, that it was entirely in the hands of the Park Commission.

Stay of Veterans' Eviction.

Myron C. Forbes, president of Veterans' Farm and Home Association of California, was granted the privilege of the floor and was read on the matter of eviction of veterans from their homes who through unemployment were unable to keep up their payments. He referred to the particular case of John Dellings, the father of six children, who is about to be evicted from his home at 174 Ney street and asked that this Board of Supervisors take some action to prevent it.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Veterans' Welfare Board be requested to grant a stay of 60 days before filing eviction notice on John Dellings of 174 Ney street, San Francisco.

So ordered.

Relief Administration Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Director of Relief and the President of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee or anyone he wishes to delegate, appear before the Board on Monday, January 22, 1934, at 4 p. m., in order that some questions may be propounded to them regarding the administration of relief.

So ordered.

Address of Supervisor Uhl.

The following was read by Supervisor Uhl and *ordered sent to the Mayor and each member of the Board*:

San Francisco, California, January 15, 1934.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

The undersigned Supervisors, Ratto, Schmidt and Uhl, respectfully request your cooperation in the adoption of the following program at the earliest possible date, the same being a program of economy upon which they were elected to office:

(1) *Municipally Owned Automobiles.*

A large saving can be made to the people of San Francisco by restricting the use of municipally owned automobiles exclusively to municipal business. In line with same an ordinance will be introduced at next Monday's meeting limiting the use of municipally owned automobiles to municipal business. All municipally owned cars should have painted on the front doors the Seal of the City and County of San Francisco, with an identification number. Penalty for violation, \$500.

Central Garage. A central garage should be established where all municipally owned automobiles must be garaged at the close of the day's business. The location of the said garage should be in the basement of the Auditorium. There is now available along the Polk street side of the basement sufficient space to garage 60 cars. By excavating a section 180x160 under the floor of the Auditorium, at nominal cost, garage space for an additional 150 cars can be provided.

The number of municipally owned automobiles can be greatly reduced by the adoption of a pool system. Under the pool system, when a department requires the use of an automobile, an order shall be signed on the garage, whereupon the automobile will be delivered and a charge made against said department, covering the mileage traveled. Thousands of dollars per year will be saved the people of San Francisco by the adoption of the foregoing suggestion.

(2) *Consolidation of Telephones.*

At the present time there are 80 independent telephone numbers for the various departments of the City and County of San Francisco, many of which are under independent switchboards, requiring a telephone operator.

The telephone system of the Police Department reaches every section of San Francisco. Therefore, there is no reason why the same cannot be done covering all departments of San Francisco.

We therefore suggest, first, as a matter of economy, and second, in the matter of improved service—with the exception of the Police Department—that all telephones of the City and County of San Francisco be brought under a Central Unit carrying the present number UNderhill 8500.

(3) *Consolidation of the Following Departments:*

(a) Placing the office of the Recorder under the County Clerk. The work of the two departments in the matter of recording instruments is exactly the same, the only difference being that the Recorder's duties are to record real estate deeds, leases, contracts, etc., whereas, the duties of the County Clerk in the matter of recording is to record legal documents. By placing the Recorder's Office under the County Clerk, a large saving can be made to the people of San Francisco.

(b) Placing the office of Coroner under the Health Department. This is a practical move whereby several thousands of dollars a year would be saved and will likewise make available muchly needed additional space in the Hall of Justice.

(c) Submission of a Charter amendment to place the office and duties of the Tax Collector under the Treasurer. It is absurd that money should first be paid to the Tax Collector, who in turn passes it on to the Treasurer. The money, in the first place, should be immediately deposited with the Treasurer. This consolidation will save the people over \$30,000 a year.

(4) *Police Department.*

Reorganization of the Police Department is of paramount importance. Through radio-equipped motor cars the service of the Police Depart-

ment can be improved more than 100 per cent, and the cost of operating reduced from a quarter to half a million dollars a year.

San Francisco should be patrolled by 50 radio-equipped automobiles on duty 24 hours a day. That would mean real modern police service.

Radio-equipped automobiles evidences the fact that walking a beat by patrolmen, sergeants and corporals is a thing of the past. In case of a bank hold-up the Central Police Office is powerless to contact the patrolman walking a beat, whereas in a recent hold-up the radio-equipped automobile picked up the message from headquarters and arrived at the bank before the stick-up man left the premises.

Adoption of radio-equipped automobiles will make possible the elimination of many of the stations, as many arrested persons can be brought direct to the City Prison.

Take, for example, the North End Station, which governs the area bounded by the Presidio on the west, Broadway on the south, Leavenworth on the east and the bay on the north. A section of this district is nearer the City Jail than North End Station, located at Greenwich and Scott, and with automobiles, there is no reason why anyone arrested in this district, or even in a district north of Market and east of Masonic, should not be brought direct to the City Prison. With radio-equipped cars the Harbor Station can be eliminated, as it is only a matter of a few blocks from there to the City Prison. In a reorganization of the Police Department, approximately eight of the stations can be eliminated, which will save the people of San Francisco several hundred thousand dollars a year. Following is a record of arrests and the charge by a number of these stations for 1933:

North End Station: 604 arrests. Forty-three per cent drunks and vagrants; 50, drunks 213. Twenty-seven per cent violations of the California Vehicle Act. Cost of operating for the fiscal year will be \$112,440. Cost per arrest, \$186.

Ingleside Station: 1072 arrests. Fifty per cent drunks and vagrants; cost of operating for the fiscal year will be \$148,260. Cost per arrest, \$139.

Bayview Station: 454 arrests. Forty per cent drunks and vagrants. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$83,340. Cost per arrest, \$183.

Potrero Station: 352 arrests. Sixty per cent drunks and vagabonds. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$88,500. Cost per arrest, \$250.

Harbor Station: 3397 arrests. Seventy-eight per cent drunks and vagrants; drunks 2142, vagrants 588. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$178,620.

Stanyan Station: 473 arrests. Forty-six per cent drunks and vagrants. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$120,900. Cost per arrest, \$255.

Golden Gate Park Station: 526 arrests. Thirty-six per cent drunks and vagrants. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$186,420. Cost per arrest, \$354.

Taraval Station: 226 arrests. Thirty-six per cent drunks and vagrants. Cost of operating for the fiscal year, \$97,500. Cost per arrest, \$435.

As an example of arrests we cite you the case of Potrero Station. Arrests 352; drunks 188; vagrants 30; burglary 10; petty theft 11; assault 8; battery 3; prisoners en route 6; threat against life 1; traffic violations 41; disturbing the peace 5; without consent 2; juvenile cases 6; slot machines 1; robbery 2; grand theft 3; gun law 1; gambling 1; loitering 8; peddling without license 3; malicious mischief 6; manslaughter 3; failure to provide 2; house of ill-fame 2; soliciting prostitution 1; resisting an officer 1; nuisance 1. It will be noted that very few arrests of importance have been made. In the case of drunks, they are kept overnight and turned loose the following morning. A vast amount of clerical work by a corporal and assisting patrolman is necessary covering the booking of prisoners, namely: 50 per cent of the work covers drunks and vagrants and entry must be made in

a record book; then two cards and an envelope containing the personal property of the person arrested. At a given time the Department picks up the prisoners, the cards and the envelopes and transfers them to the City Jail, where another entry is made. This involves a great deal of clerical work and, naturally, expense. The drunks should be taken home or kept at the station making the arrest, turning them loose the following morning.

The Taraval Station, in the majority of arrests covering drunken persons, ascertain address and take person home. It would seem desirable to carry out this procedure as far as possible.

The police stations which should be abandoned can very well be turned over as community centers; the one on Twenty-fourth and Taraval and the one on Fulton and Thirty-sixth avenue would make beautiful community centers.

(5) *Retirement Fund.*

The people of San Francisco are called upon to pay, under the 1933-34 Budget, the sum of \$1,873,000 into the Retirement Fund. Inasmuch as a large percentage of this sum is being set aside to pay the annuities of employees whose salaries are sufficient to provide their own annuities, it is our judgment that the Retirement Fund setup should be readjusted. There is no valid reason why an annuity should be paid to municipal employees whose compensation is sufficient to provide their own annuities. With some of the large insurance companies, we understand, the rate is very little in excess of what the municipal employees are now paying into the City's Retirement Fund. No objection to a retirement benefit to the small salaried employees of the City and County.

Another burden which confronts the people of San Francisco is the matter of picking up the deficit in the Police and Fire Departments, ranging from 16 to 18 million dollars. The Charter requires that a reserve be set up in order to wipe out this deficit. It is estimated that \$700,000 a year for the next twenty to twenty-five years will be necessary to meet this deficit. The Charter should be amended to wipe it out.

Reference is also made to that part of Section 169 of the Charter, covering pensions to firemen, wherein the pension paid to a fireman who retires from active service in perfect physical condition continues to the widow upon his death, and in the event of death of the widow, to the youngest child until the child reaches the age of sixteen years.

We contend, if a member of the Fire Department is entitled to this pension, then every other employee of the City is likewise entitled to the same pension, and if such a pension were to be conceded to all municipal employees, it would mean increasing the burden of taxation upon our people sufficient to break down the whole pension system. There is no valid reason why the Fire Department should be accorded a special privilege in the matter of pensions.

Overmanning of Departments:

When the Board of Supervisors functioned as an administrative body and consisted of eighteen members, there were fourteen clerks. Now that the Board of Supervisors consists of only eleven members, and it is exclusively a legislative body, there are thirteen clerks. No attempt has been made to readjust the department in conformity with the duties of the Board of Supervisors under the new Charter.

Reference is made to the Department of Electricity. In 1925-26, when we were practically at the height of prosperity, the personnel of the Department of Electricity totaled seventy-three. In 1933-34, at the zero hour of the depression, the personnel in the Department of Electricity numbered sixty-nine.

Therefore, it is of vital importance that a careful checkup be made in the matter of overmanning of departments.

J. M. RATTO,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
ADOLPH UHL.

We recommend the following legislation be adopted:

(1) *Industrial Sites.*

Unfortunately, previous administrations have not had the vision to lay out a program covering large acreage of municipally owned industrial lands. Industrial payrolls are vitally necessary to any progressive community. Because of failure to carry out a program of large acreage of cheap, municipally owned industrial sites, San Francisco has lost many industrial plants. Therefore, it behooves us to lay out a program for the development of industrial acreage, and in line with that thought, the following plan should be given careful consideration. The plan embraces three units:

(a) Reclamation of 1000 acres of tidelands in South Basin; leveling of Hunter's Point and Candlestick Point east of Hawes street; the material from Hunter's Point and Candlestick Point to be used for building a sea wall and fill for the thousand acres.

(b) The State to build the sea wall.

(c) A low level bridge from Alameda Point to Hunter's Point, in order that San Francisco will be the transcontinental terminal of the railroads.

Because of an additional haul of fifty miles necessary to land a car-load of freight in San Francisco routed via U. P. and C. P., and for which the railroads do not receive additional pay, the railroads are naturally going to favor location of industrials on the east side of the bay. The railroads cannot be blamed for wanting industrials located on the east side of the bay, and until this low level bridge is built, this condition is going to continue.

One thousand acres devoted to industrial plans means a payroll of approximately \$40,000,000 a year, and that is worth planning for.

Recently a company purchased 70 acres on Candlestick Point. A resolution will be presented to the Board to acquire from this company the acreage lying east of Hawes street under eminent domain for park purposes, enlarging the park which the City now owns east of Hawes street. Several thousand men could be placed to work leveling this site, and the material used in the reclamation of the South Basin tidelands.

The City should acquire, from time to time, the necessary tidelands to carry out the reclamation plan.

The next Legislature should be requested to amend the Eminent Domain Procedure in order that lands for quarrying purposes can be acquired under eminent domain at Hunter's Point east of Hawes street.

An appeal should be made to the Harbor Commission to begin construction of the sea wall at South Basin. The rock for same to be quarried from Hunter's Point east of Hawes street.

(2) *Barring Municipal Employees From Political Activities.*

A Charter amendment that will prohibit municipal employees from belonging to political organizations and contributing to political campaigns.

(3) *Clarifying the Emergency Fund.*

Provision whereby 1 per cent shall be set aside annually in a fund to meet emergencies. It was never contemplated that moneys from the Emergency Fund should be appropriated to pay part-time employees' salaries. It was intended that this emergency fund shall be built up in order to meet unforeseen emergencies, such as unemployment relief situations, or damage by earthquake or fire, etc. We are in the seventh month of the fiscal year and the emergency fund is practically depleted; it has been used for anything except for the emergencies contemplated, as heretofore mentioned.

(4) *Park, Recreation and Library Appropriations.*

The recent Legislature passed an act whereby public utility properties privately owned are to be taxed by the City and County of San

Francisco. As a result of this legislation, there will be a considerable increase in the assessment roll. Therefore, a Charter amendment should be passed readjusting the amount to be allocated in the tax rate to the Park Commission, the Recreation Commission and the Library Trustees.

(5) *Employment of Man or Wife.*

Charter amendment whereby municipal positions will be restricted to *either* husband *or* wife. No municipal position should be held by both man and wife.

JOHN M. RATTO,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
ADOLPH UHL.

Night Meetings.

Supervisor Uhl raised question of night meetings and matter was deferred until after meeting of Rules Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 22, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, January 22, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 22, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 22, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 15, 1934, was laid over for approval until next meeting.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

HEARING OF APPEAL FROM ASSESSMENT ON GARFIELD STREET AT 3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

January 15, 1934, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Director of Relief and the President of the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, or anyone he wishes to delegate, appear here Monday, January 22, 1934, at 4 p. m., in order that some questions may be propounded to them regarding the administration of relief.

So ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

Pursuant to the foregoing motion the following occurred:

Judge M. C. Sloss was granted the privilege of the floor and in reply to question of Supervisor Uhl as to why hours of C. W. A. workers had

been reduced and why two sons of police sergeants had been given C. W. A. jobs while heads of families had been ignored, replied that the Advisory Committee had no authority over the C. W. A. It had acted merely in an advisory capacity without power to dictate and while agreeing with Supervisor Uhl, he said, only the federal government could correct conditions.

C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, in reply to Supervisor Uhl as to why non-residents were on the Relief Administration payroll, declared that every employee drawing city pay had filed an affidavit that he had lived in San Francisco for more than one year. About twenty employees whose residences were in question were paid out of the trust fund set aside by the Community Chest, which payroll amounts to about \$1,500 a month.

Supervisor Uhl charged that C. W. A. workers were still drawing relief although employed and he cited several specific cases. Mr. Wollenberg agreed but pointed out that until the whole C. W. A. roll is available he cannot check up and stop these things.

Supervisor Uhl thereupon demanded the discharge of Mr. E. C. Berg, who he claimed was a nonresident, and the reinstatement of Roy Tedrow, who, he said, was promised immunity when he appeared as a witness in the relief investigation recently. He was advised that the Board could not order such things as they were functions of the Advisory Committee.

Supervisor Uhl thereupon moved that the Citizens' Committee be discharged and that the Supervisors take over their duties.

Committee of the Whole.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing Mrs. J. Le Fevre, who wanted to explain her case.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside as chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

All Supervisors heretofore noted being present.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. J. Le Fevre was granted the privilege of the floor and said she had been denied relief, though destitute and ill and that the relief workers were discourteous, inconsiderate and without understanding "for those in distress." She declared that the milk allowance had been stopped although that was about the only food she could use as her teeth were in a bad way and that she was suffering greatly for want of dental treatment.

Rescinding Resolution Appointing Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl presented the following resolution which was referred to the Public Welfare Committee:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1256, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 949, Code No. 19.071, authorizing and requesting the Mayor to appoint a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee to supervise the expenditure of funds made available for unemployment relief by the City and County, the State and the Federal government, adopted August 7, 1933, be and is hereby repealed.

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, arose and reported that it was agreed to continue the hear-

ing and to consider resolution in the Welfare Committee meeting Wednesday, January 24, 1934, at 10 a. m.

Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors.

The following recommendation of Rules Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 1.03)

Resolution No. 1239, as follows:

Resolved, That the rules hereinafter set forth be and the same are hereby adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

STANDING COMMITTEES.

1. The following shall constitute the standing committees of the Board (the first named member to be chairman thereof):

1. COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT.
2. EDUCATION, PARKS AND RECREATION.
3. FINANCE, REVENUE AND TAXATION.
4. FIRE, SAFETY AND POLICE.
5. JUDICIARY, LEGISLATIVE AND CIVIL SERVICE.
6. PUBLIC HEALTH.
7. PUBLIC UTILITIES.
8. PUBLIC BUILDINGS, LANDS AND CITY PLANNING.
9. STREETS AND TRAFFIC.
10. PUBLIC WELFARE.
11. RULES (of which President of the Board is to be ex-officio Chairman).

Every committee shall meet at the time to be set by the Chairman, or as a majority of the same may decide.

It shall be the duty of every member to attend every meeting of his committee and to be present promptly on time.

When a committee meeting is called for a public hearing at which interested citizens are invited to attend and a quorum of said committee is not present, such absence shall be reported to the Board at the next meeting. The Board may order committee meeting to be held on any matter referred to committee.

In the event Chairman is not present at the time set for meeting, other member of the committee shall call the meeting to order.

The Clerk of each committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have record of the attendance of members at committee meetings available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

DUTIES OF COMMITTEES.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees are hereby defined as follows:

Commercial and Industrial Development.

To assist in promoting the establishment of industries in San Francisco and to cooperate with commercial and industrial organizations in all efforts to establish new industries; to consider measures helpful in developing San Francisco as an industrial center, and to encourage delegations to points where needed to bring new industries, and generally to consider manufacturing problems related to the industrial needs of the community; to cooperate with the United States, State officials and civic organizations in support of national and State legislation designed to promote world trade and the United States merchant marine; to bring about the location of a foreign trade zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement to the end that the management, control and development of San Francisco's harbor be placed locally, and also to cooperate with the Federal and

State authorities on all matters, especially legislation, that tend for the further development and utilization of San Francisco's harbor to meet the needs of the world's commerce; to promote friendly relations between the City and contiguous and neighboring communities; to consider all matters relating to the City's expansion; to propose measures for developing and accelerating transcontinental railway and interurban railroad transportation on this peninsula; to confer with adjacent cities, towns and counties on inter-community problems, and to suggest to the Board in what manner other communities may be of help in peninsula development.

Education, Parks and Recreation.

To consider and, where necessary, report back to the Board of Supervisors upon all matters of legislation, regulation and inquiry or policy determination referred to it relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Recreation Centers, including the Aquatic Park, the Yacht Harbor, and to cooperate with the Board of Education, Recreation Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments.

Finance—Revenue and Taxation.

To consider and, where necessary, to report to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of finance referred to it, after the consideration by authorized committees, including budgets, contracts, emergency work over \$1,000 when not to be done by contract, transfers, Controller's monthly reports, tax levy, supplemental appropriations, temporary loans, contract, procedure by ordinance, permit and license ordinances and regulations, and other matters generally related to finance; to investigate and report on methods of procuring of additional revenues and reductions of taxations; to handle, also, legislative matters growing out of the functional operations of the offices or departments of Assessor, Treasurer, Controller, Chief Administrative Officer, Property Tax Collector, and Purchaser, dealing with finance, budgets and contracts.

Fire, Safety and Police.

To consider all matters pertaining to legislation affecting the Fire Department; to investigate and report on matters relative to legislation affecting the traffic conditions in the City and County of San Francisco, and to consider legislation concerning the Police Department, and to consider, investigate and report on all legislation affecting the management and character of penal institutions.

Judiciary, Legislative and Civil Servants.

To consider and report upon proposed Charter amendments, and to supervise the codification of ordinances and resolutions of the Board of Supervisors; to consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affect the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board of Supervisors as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

To consider all matters relating to civil service and standardization of salaries in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures; to consider all matters and all reports from the Civil Service Commission and Retirement Board that may be presented to the Board of Supervisors.

Public Health.

To consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry or policy determination referred to it in matters of public health, and to consider, investigate and report on all matters relative to the conduct of institutions under the control of the Department of Public Health.

Public Utilities.

To consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry or policy determination involved in utilities, franchises, rates, bond issues, acquisition, extension and completion of public utilities, the sale and leasing of utility lands; to consider and recommend on all matters pending before the State Railroad Commission affecting the interests of the people of San Francisco, and generally to consider and report on all matters of legislation affecting the Hetch Hetchy project, the Municipal Railway, the Water Department, the Airport, the central shops and garages, and to cooperate, when so authorized by the Board of Supervisors, with any other State or Federal department in measures relating to the regulation of privately owned utilities.

Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning.

To consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry and policy determination involved with public buildings; to have authority to consider, when directed by the Board of Supervisors, proposed sites for public buildings, local, State or national; to prepare legislation for the Real Estate Department; to have authority to confer with State or Federal officials as to the procuring for the City of San Francisco such buildings as may be advisable; to consider matters relating to the reclamation of lands or the exchange of public lands, except lands for public utilities and streets; to consider, investigate and report on any real property owned by the City and County, excepting lands for parks and squares or public utilities, which may be sold on the recommendation of the officer, board or commission in charge of the department responsible for the administration of such property.

To consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry or policy determination referred to it in matters of city planning, and to act in an advisory capacity between the City Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors, and to hear such matters concerning city planning as may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors.

Streets and Traffic.

To consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry or policy determination growing out of the proposals for new highways within the City and County and the formation of joint districts with sister counties, and all matters on which the City and County is requested to give its cooperation in the matter of highways, roads and boulevards without the county; to designate the names of new streets; to pass on purchases of lands for street purposes; to be authorized to confer, when necessary, with the California State Board of Public Works, to the end that the City and County of San Francisco and vicinity shall receive its fair apportionment of State funds for the construction of highways, boulevards, tunnels and roads; to assist the Department of Real Estate in the necessary purchases of lands for roads, streets and boulevard purposes; to consider all matters concerning the regulation of traffic and safety in connection therewith.

Public Welfare.

To initiate and conduct the reception and courtesies extended to distinguished citizens, delegations, conventions or gatherings, and to consider and, where necessary, to report back to the Board of Supervisors on all matters of legislation, regulation, inquiry or policy determination referred to it in matters relating to social service welfare and to include legislative matters growing out of the functional operations of the following departments: Animal shelter, adult probation, juvenile probation, county welfare.

Rules.

To consider amendments to the rules; to transmit such orders as

may be desired, through the Clerk of the Board, to the personnel of the Board of Supervisors.

CONVENING OF BOARD.

3. The Board shall convene at 2 o'clock p. m. on each Monday, and the Clerk shall immediately, after the call to order, which shall be at 2 o'clock p. m., call the roll of the members of the Board and shall record those present and absent. The Clerk shall also record the time of arrival of those members of the Board who arrive after 2 o'clock p. m., and the name of such member and the time of his arrival shall be entered upon the journal.

The designations and duties of the foregoing Committees are hereby made part of these rules.

RULES OF ORDER.

4. The President of the Board of Supervisors shall preside at all meetings and shall call each regular, adjourned or special meeting to order at the hour appointed, and shall proceed with the order of business. The Clerk shall call the roll and in the absence of the President, the Board shall appoint a presiding officer pro tempore from its own members.

The President of the Board shall appoint all special and standing committees of the Board, and shall have such other powers and duties as may be delegated to him by the Board. He shall be ex-officio chairman of the Committee on Rules.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board, and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the Journal.

5. Whenever it shall be moved and carried by 6 members that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said committee.

6. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole, except Rule 35, relating to the privilege of the floor.

7. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

8. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all bills, ordinances, resolutions and reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a committee.

9. No bill, ordinance or resolution shall be considered by the Board unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a committee of the Board.

No bill, ordinance or resolution shall be considered by the Board, except by suspension of the rules and the unanimous consent of all members present, unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a committee of the Board, and every bill, ordinance or resolution shall be presented in the manner provided for by Ordinance No. 9140 (New Series), to-wit:

ENACTMENT AND PUBLICATION OF ORDINANCES.

Bill No. 9554, Ordinance No. 9140 (New Series).

Providing how ordinances shall be introduced and presented to the Board of Supervisors for enactment, and for the publication thereof before the same are enacted.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. From and after the 8th day of January, 1932, all proposed ordinances to be thereafter considered or enacted by the Board of Supervisors shall, before presentation to said Board, be filed with the Clerk thereof, and within twenty-four hours after any proposed ordinance is filed with said Clerk the President or the Clerk of the Board shall assign the same for hearing and report to the proper com-

mittee, as provided by the rules of said Board, and said committee shall hold a hearing upon said proposed ordinance, and may amend the same, and should said committee approve said ordinance the same shall be printed, with amendments thereto, if any, included, and shall be presented to the Board by said committee. If said committee should not, within the time allowed by rule of the Board, report upon said proposed ordinance, or if all the members of said committee should disapprove said proposed ordinance, any member of the Board may call for said proposed ordinance to be presented to the Board. Within three days after said proposed ordinance is presented to said Board, the same shall be published once in the official newspaper, and should said proposed ordinance be modified, it shall again be published upon first reading, and thereafter said ordinance may be finally acted upon without further publication.

Section 2. Nothing herein contained shall prevent any committee of the Board presenting to the Board any proposed ordinance for enactment, or the Board acting upon any proposed ordinance presented directly to the Board by any committee thereof, and any proposed ordinance so presented shall be published in full in the official newspaper, within three days after said presentation, and should said proposed ordinance be modified, it shall be again published upon first reading, and thereafter said proposed ordinance may be finally acted upon without further publication.

Section 3. Any proposed ordinance which shall, by vote of the Board, be declared an emergency measure, as provided in Section 13 of the Charter to become effective on January 8, 1932, shall be published once in the official newspaper before final passage.

Section 4. Within five days after the enactment of any ordinance or resolution, save and except an emergency measure hereinbefore mentioned, notice that said ordinance or resolution has been passed shall be published once in the official newspaper, by the Clerk of the Board, which said notice shall indicate the title of said ordinance or resolution and the purpose thereof, and the date of enactment thereof.

Section 5. This ordinance shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon, January 8, 1932.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any bill, ordinance or resolution until it has been referred to committee and a public hearing had thereon, except as provided by charter.

10. The Order of Business, which shall not be departed from except by the consent of eight members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Calendar matters.
4. Communications.
5. Reports from City and County officers.
6. Roll Call for introduction of resolutions, bills and communications not considered or reported on by committees.
7. Reports of Committees.
8. Roll Call.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. When a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to move to "reconsider the vote" on that question.

A member may change his vote before the result is announced in order to move to "reconsider the vote" on that question. The vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once, and motion to reconsider shall apply only to the main question. Motion to reconsider shall have precedence over every other motion. It shall require six votes to carry any motion to reconsider

the vote by which any bill, ordinance or resolution has been passed or defeated.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of the members present.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by ordinances or resolutions and transmit copies of said ordinances or resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all bills, ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

16. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

17. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same subject, and at the same stage of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion, without the consent of a majority of the Board, and Supervisors who have once spoken shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than ten minutes on any question except by permission of the Board, except that the author shall have ten minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

18. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking without his consent.

19. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same time to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

20. No motion shall be debated until the same *has been seconded* and distinctly announced by the presiding officer.

21. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be in the possession of the Board. It may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the consent of the second and of the Board before it is acted upon.

22. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called by the Clerk, and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuse or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose.

23. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn.

Call of the Board.

To lay on the table.

The previous question.

To postpone.

To commit or amend.

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider.

24. A motion to adjourn is not debatable.

25. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end

to all debate, except that the author of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion or amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

26. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless disqualified by the Charter. No member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A roll call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege, but a member may, prior to the calling of the roll, explain his vote or file in writing an explanation of his vote after the result of the roll call has been announced and recorded.

27. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law, but when any member may require it, and on all bills, ordinances and resolutions on second reading the ayes and nays shall be recorded.

28. The Board of Supervisors shall appoint a Clerk, who shall be designated as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and who shall, ex officio, be Clerk of the Board of Equalization. The Clerk shall have charge of the office and records of the Board and its committees, and the personnel employed to handle the business, affairs and operations of the Board, its committees and members when engaged in official duty. The Clerk shall be the appointing officer for such personnel, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter. The Clerk shall keep a journal of proceedings of the Board and files of all ordinances and resolutions and properly index the same. He shall be responsible for the publication, as required by law, of ordinances, resolutions and other matters acted on by the Board for which publication is specified. He shall have such other duties and responsibilities as the Board shall prescribe.

29. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the Board.

30. Committees must report on any subject referred to them by the Board and must give their recommendations thereon. *Unless otherwise ordered, a committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.* It shall be the right of any member of a committee to move a roll call (in committee) on any pending motion, and the chairman or acting chairman of said committee shall, with or without debate, order the roll call. In committees of three members or less a motion by a member thereof shall not require a second.

31. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting. Upon the request of the President or of any member its contents shall be read in full.

32. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper committee, except as provided in Section 10.

33. Six members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no bill, ordinance, resolution or amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members, but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

34. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

35. The privilege of the floor shall not be granted to others than members of the Board for any purpose except those entitled to the same under the Charter, or public officials of the City and County of San Francisco. This rule shall not be suspended except by unanimous

consent of all members present. When any committee shall report any matter to the Board of Supervisors with a majority recommendation no public hearing on the subject matter shall be granted by the Board unless it can be proved to the satisfaction of the Board that new evidence would be submitted or that the applicant for the privilege of the floor, through no fault of his own, has been prevented from making or has been unable to make his presentation in committee.

36. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board.

37. On any question or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Robert's Rules of Order.

38. No member of the Board of Supervisors, chairman of a committee, or committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by resolution or ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

39. No standing rule or order of the Board shall be suspended without the affirmative vote of eight members, except that the rule as to the privilege of the floor shall require the unanimous consent of all members present. All proposed amendments to these rules shall be referred to the committee on rules for consideration and report thereon.

40. No special order shall be placed on the Calendar except by order of the Board. When the time of special order arrives the presiding officer or a member of the Board may call up said order, and it shall not be set aside unless by order of the Board.

MEMORANDUM OF CHARTER PROVISIONS.

Section 13. No other resolution shall be adopted by the Board of Supervisors on the date of its introduction and without reference to committee except by the unanimous consent of the Supervisors present.

Annual budget and appropriation ordinances shall be passed only after two readings, not less than five days apart, and the second or final passage shall be not less than fifteen days after the introduction of each such ordinance.

No ordinance granting a franchise shall be finally passed within ninety days of its introduction.

Section 16. No ordinance shall become effective until ten days after final passage unless adopted by a three-fourths vote of all members of the Board as an emergency measure.

Section 17. No city planning ordinance shall be considered by the Supervisors without being submitted to the City Planning Commission for report and recommendation. If the commission disapproves such ordinance the Supervisors may adopt the same only by an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds (8 votes) of its entire membership.

Report of Rules Committee.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, January 22, 1934.

To the Board of Supervisors.

Your Committee on Rules, appointed by President McSheehy, completed its consideration of rules at meeting on Wednesday, January 17, 1934, and recommends adoption of rules as amended and appearing on the calendar of the Board of Supervisors. The titles and membership of committees having been previously adopted the duties of committees are defined to correlate with the titles.

In committee Supervisor Uhl proposed amendment to Rule 3 to provide that the Board meet at 7:30 p. m. each Monday instead of at 2 p. m. as in the existing rule. This amendment was voted in committee

with following vote: Ayes (2) Gallagher, Uhl; Noes (3) McSheehy, Havenner, Roncovieri. Whereupon Supervisor Uhl announced he would present minority report on this proposed amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. MCSHEEHY.

Motions.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to amend Section 3, line 1, by striking out the word "2 o'clock p. m." and inserting in lieu thereof the words "7:30 o'clock p. m."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved that, excepting Federal, State or City officials holding office at the time, the President of the Board shall not invite anyone to sit beside him at the rostrum without unanimous consent of the Board.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Thereupon, President McSheehy called attention to the presence in the chambers of former Supervisor Hayden and present member of the Art Commission. He was invited and took a seat beside the President at the rostrum.

Senator Roy Fellom was also invited to take a seat beside the President.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing rules as printed were *adopted*:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed, and Payment of \$250 Out of Boulevards and Roads Bond Fund, 1931, to Thomas Larkin et ux., For Lots 7 and 9, Block 5625; Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1240, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Thomas Larkin et ux., to Lots 7 and 9, Assessor's Block 5625, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$250 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932—Appropriation No. 170.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Controller to Cancel Erroneous Tax Sale.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1241, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that in Volume 14 of the 1932 Real Estate Roll, Lot 38, Block 1881, was listed and assessed twice

and sold to the State August 29, 1933, under Sales 2324-25. This was an error and Sale 2325, for both installments, \$24.94, should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Controller to Cancel Tax Sale—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1242, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that on the Real Estate Rolls of 1931-32, Lot 20, Block 2433, is assessed to Lucy A. Mason et al., and was sold to the State June 29, 1932, for the delinquent second installment—\$7.57. By deed recorded January 19, 1932, this property was transferred to the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California. Being State property this sale should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said sale in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Controller to Cancel Taxes—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1243, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property, assessed for the year 1933-34, in the names of the original owners, is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California. Being State property, these taxes should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be requested to cancel the following taxes, in accordance with Section 3804 of the Political Code:

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	1933-34
11	130	1615	C. & N. Herlitz, both installments.....	\$95.70
14	25	1880	Whitney Inv. Co., both installments.....	76.22
	30	1880	Whitney Inv. Co., both installments.....	77.96
	31	1880	Whitney Inv. Co., both installments.....	77.96
15	1S	1912	H. & T. Doelger, both installments.....	73.78
	9A	2028	A. Judnich, Sr., both installments.....	80.04
17	27	2395	S. R. & E. Epperson, second installment..	33.93
18	8X	2428	A. J. Herzig, both installments.....	53.94
	29	2434	Title Ins & Guar. Co., both installments..	65.42
41	2	6929	N. W. & E. M. Davidson, both installments	76.38
43	10B	7204	Frederick Huelter, both installments.....	88.40

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Controller to Cancel Assessments—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1244, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following described property, assessed for the year 1933-34 in the names of the original owners, is now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California. Being State property, these taxes should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be requested to cancel the following

assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code:

Vol.	Lot	Block	Assessed to	1933-34
6	13	911	Thos. R. & Ivy Sharman, both installments	\$106.48
14	21F	1863	Herman Christensen, both installments..	88.40
17	2A	2419	Jacob and Helena Mager, both installments	89.44

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Cancellation of Assessments—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0411)

Also, Resolution No. 1245, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California, acting by and through the California Toll Bridge Authority and the Department of Public Works, has requested the cancellation of the liens, assessments and/or taxes against the hereinafter described properties, as per deed dated June 2, 1933, from the Southern Pacific Company, a corporation of the State of California, and recorded the 24th day of June, 1933, in Liber 2533, at page 11, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the City Attorney having consented to said cancellations; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be directed to cancel said liens, assessments, and/or taxes against said properties in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804a of the Political Code, to-wit:

Vol. 26: Lot 6, Block 3765; as per Assessor's Block Books;

Vol. 26: Lot 2A, Block 3766; as per Assessor's Block Books;

Vol. 26: Lot 3, Block 3766; as per Assessor's Block Books, City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Refunds of Taxes Collected on Erroneous Assessments.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1246, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and are hereby authorized paid out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the following named persons; being refunds of amounts of taxes collected on erroneous assessments, to-wit:

(1) To Lillian A. Sinsheimer, erroneous duplicate assessment, as per Vol. 9, page 248, line 15, \$3.17.

(2) To Frank Fernandez, erroneous assessment as per Vol. 42, page 174; Lot 9F, Block 7043 of the 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls, \$5.57.

(3) To John Barrett, erroneous assessment of intangible personal property, additional; per Vol. 18, Lot 7, Block 1250, page 81, 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls, \$10.75.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing and Directing the Treasurer to Make, After January 1st, 1934, Such Temporary Transfers of Funds as May Be Necessary for Meeting Obligations Incurred for the Maintenance of City and County Functions From the First Day of January, 1934, Until the Second Installment of Taxes for the Fiscal Year 1933-1934 Are Collected.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 1247, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of January, 1934, such temporary

transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of January, 1934, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1933-1934 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before June 30, 1934, and before any other obligations of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1248, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 335 to Director of Public Works for \$154.74; No. 336 to J. B. West for \$125; No. 337 to J. B. West for \$125; No. 338 to Charlotte E. Horrigan, Admtr., Estate M. Dore, Deceased, for \$4,150; No. 339 to San Bruno Avenue Feed and Fuel Co. for \$112.80; No. 340 to D. Matheson, Treasurer, for \$42.79; No. 341 to Louis Sutter for \$550—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance—Controller's Office, by Adding Item 21½—Payroll Machine Operator; a Transfer From Municipal Railway.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 507, Ordinance No. 9.05357, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by creating and establishing a permanent and full-time employment under Item 21½, heretofore established as temporary and as needed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 67. CONTROLLER.

1	1	Controller	\$	833.33
2	1	B4 Bookkeeper		200
3	9	B4 Bookkeeper		175
4	1	B6 Senior Bookkeeper		275
5	3	B6 Senior Bookkeeper		190
6	1	B14 Senior Accountant		300
7	3	B14 Senior Accountant		275
8	1	B21 Chief Assistant Controller		500
9	1	B55 Supervisor of Pay Rolls		300
10	1	B216 Clerk (part-time)		75
11	3	B222 General Clerk		200

12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½	1	B301	Payroll Machine Operator	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
27	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part-time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	150

Section 2. Funds for the salary of this position were appropriated heretofore under Appropriation Item No. 210 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Municipal Railroad—Salaries). The duties are now transferred to the Controller and under the provisions of Section 1 of the said appropriation ordinance the funds heretofore appropriated to the Municipal Railroad for this employment have been transferred to the Controller.

Explanatory.

The Controller has now taken over the work of preparing the payroll of the Municipal Railroad. Heretofore this work has been performed by a General Clerk-Stenographer who has retired. In the Controller's office the work is performed on payroll machines and requires experience and skill in the operation of such machines. The classification of the position is, therefore, changed to conform to the Civil Service classification.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing and Directing the City Attorney to Dismiss Certain Eminent Domain Proceedings Now Pending Where the Necessary Lands for the Projects Covered by Said Projects Has Been Acquired by the City.

(Code No. 6.0221)

Also; Bill No. 505, Ordinance No. 6.02212, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney to dismiss certain eminent domain proceedings now pending where the necessary land for the projects covered by said proceedings has been acquired by the City.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby authorized to dismiss any and all pending actions wherein the City and County of San Francisco is plaintiff and which were commenced under the eminent domain law of the State of California for the acquisition of real property for public improvements, in such cases where the lands necessary for said improvements have been acquired by the City.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Providing for Preliminary Engineering Costs in Connection With Construction of Proposed Sewer and High Pressure Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 508, Ordinance No. 9.051118, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding five thousand (\$5,000) dollars, and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-1934 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be recharged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be re-charged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3:30 p. m.:*

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 1238, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

Metal Trades.

<i>Shop Rates.</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers	\$ 8.50
Molders and coremakers	6.60
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

Field Rates.

Blacksmiths	7.20
Boilermakers	8.00
Boilermakers' helpers	7.20
Machinists	8.00
Machinists' helpers	5.76
Machinist (maintenance)	9.00

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	\$ 8.00
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	6.00
Diamond drillers	7.00
Powderman	7.00
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (Garagemen)	5.85

Street Work.

Timberman (tunnel)	\$ 7.00
Mucker	5.50
Asphalt rakers	7.00
Asphalt shovelers	6.00
Cribbers	7.00
Laborers, street work	75c an hour
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, drag-line and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers	10.00
Firemen (asphalt plant)	7.00
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 H. P.)	9.00

*Culinary Workers.**Per Week*

Head cook (6-day week)	\$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

*Dredge Boats.**Per Month*

Dredge captain	\$215
Head leverman	215
Leverman	190
Fireman	150
Deckhand	150

*Building Trades.**Per Day*

Asbestos workers	\$ 6.80
Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins (6-hour day)	9.00
Bricklayers' hodcarrier	7.00
Carpenters and cabinet makers	8.00
Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Cement finishers	8.00
Compressor operators (on steel erection)	10.00
Engineers (derricks)	10.00
Engineers (building material hoists)	9.00
Electric workers	10.00
Elevator constructors	10.00
Elevator constructor helpers	7.00
Fixture hangers	8.00
Glass workers	8.00
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	9.00
Iron workers (bridge, structural and rigger)	11.00
Iron workers (derrick engineers)	11.00
Linoleum and carpet workers	8.00
Laborers (building)	75c an hour
Painters (structural iron works)	9.60
Painters	8.00
Marble setters	8.00
Marble setters' helpers	5.00

Ornamental iron workers	9.00
Pile drivers and wharf builders	9.00
Pile drivers' engineers	10.00
Plumbers	9.00
Roofers	8.00
Sheet metal workers	8.00
Steamfitters	9.00
Sprinkler fitters	9.00
Stonecutters	8.50
Stonesetter (including granite curbs) (6-hour day)	9.00
Stone derrickmen	8.00
Tilesetters	8.00
Tilesetters' helpers	5.00
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks).

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, \$6.50 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Shovel engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, \$7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck crane engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., \$9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Plastering Industry.

Plasterer, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers hodcarrier, \$1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, \$2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, \$1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Concrete Industry.

Concrete laborer, \$5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, \$8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, \$8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

Others.

Hardwood floormen, \$1.10 per hour.

Not less than \$1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.:*

Relating to the Working Hours and Days Per Week on Contracts for Work to Be Performed for the City and County of San Francisco and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.

(Code No. 9.092)

Bill No. 509, Ordinance No. 9.0922, as follows:

Relating to the working hours and days per week on contracts for work to be performed for the City and County of San Francisco and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That every contract for any public work or improvement to be performed within the State of California, at the expense of this City and County or paid for out of moneys deposited in its treasury, whether such work be done directly under contract awarded, or indirectly by or under subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work or piece work or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide, in addition to other provisions required by law, that no person performing labor thereunder in the execution of such contract, subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work, piece work or any other arrangement shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday to Friday, inclusive; and that the wage or compensation to be paid to said laborers, mechanics or artisans shall be on the basis of the highest general prevailing rate of wages heretofore or hereafter fixed by this Board and shall be determined on the basis that the actual number of hours worked bears to the basic rate established for an eight-hour day.

Section 2. Any contractor and/or subcontractor shall be jointly and severally liable and shall forfeit as a penalty to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10) dollars for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed, for each calendar day, or portion thereof, such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled to work more than the hours or days specified herein; and every contract and subcontract shall have inserted therein a clause to this effect, and every contractor and/or subcontractor violating the terms or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be an irresponsible bidder upon all future contracts for public works or improvements to be performed for said City and County.

Section 3. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise making a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portions thereof the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the office, board or commission awarding such contract.

Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Section 4. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to shop work, as such is understood in trade practice and to work done in established shops.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Borrowing of \$5,000,000 Solely for the Purpose of Anticipating Receipt of Income, etc.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 506, Ordinance No. 9.0333, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 in accordance with appropriations made as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$5,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes, and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$5,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$5,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$26,000,000 and that said sum of \$5,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$5,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors and by the Controller of said City and County,

and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to May 15, 1934, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1933-1934 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE

Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No. _____

\$ _____

San Francisco, _____, 1934.

On the 15th day of May, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of _____ dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of _____ per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million (5,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....,
President of the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

.....,
Controller of the City and County of San
Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....,
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1934), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1934, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing the Mayor to Accept upon the Bonds in the Central Oakland Block Inc., Owned by the Robinson Bequest Fund, and Heretofore Deposited With the Bond Holders' Protective Committee the Sum of \$7.89 on Each Coupon Maturing July 1, 1933, and \$20 on Each Coupon Maturing January 1, 1934.

(Code No. 9.029)

The following resolution, from Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up:

Resolution No. 1237, as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of this Board of Supervisors, has heretofore deposited with the Bond Holders' Protective Committee, Central Oakland Block, Inc., certain bonds of the Central Oakland Block, Inc., which formed a part of the trust funds of the Robinson Bequest to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said Bond Holders' Protective Committee can now obtain, for the coupons on said bonds which matured on July 1st, 1933, the sum of \$7.89 on each of said coupons in addition to the \$12.11 heretofore paid thereon, and can obtain \$20 on each of said coupons maturing on January 1st, 1934; and

Whereas, it appears to this Board that it is to the advantage and best interests of said trust that said amounts be accepted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby authorized to execute the necessary agreement and transfer in order to receive the respective amounts offered on said coupons maturing July 1st, 1933, and January 1st, 1934.

Adopted.

The foregoing resolution was *adopted*:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$330,000 for the Caring for the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County, to February 28, 1934, etc.

(Code No. 9.051)

Explanation.

Relief for February. The appropriation is recommended by the Controller and the Unemployment Relief Committee. The Finance Committee had not sufficient time at its first meeting to inquire into the matter; hence it is referred to the Board for appropriate action.

Bill No. 510, Ordinance No. 9.051119, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$330,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to February 28th, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$330,000 dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to February 28, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent

sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Permission to Simmons Company to Construct Bridge Over Stockton Street.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Resolution No. 1249, as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the Simmons Company to erect, construct and maintain a bridge over Stockton street between Bay and North Point streets to connect the third floors of the bed and mattress factory, as per plans and specifications approved by the Bureau of Engineering, the Art Commission, the Fire Department and the Bureau of Building Inspection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Regulating Width of Sidewalks on Bryant Street Between Spear Street and Precita Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 511, Ordinance No. 12.073118, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Twenty-nine (29) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Twenty-nine (29) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 29:

The width of sidewalks on Bryant street shall be as follows:

Spear street to Fifth street.....	15 feet
Fifth street to Eighth street.....	8 feet
Eighth street to Ninth street, northwesterly side....	8 feet
Eighth street to a point 275 feet southwesterly therefrom; southeasterly side	8 feet
Ninth street to a point 275 feet northeasterly therefrom; southeasterly side	abolished
Ninth street to Tenth street.....	8 feet
Tenth street to Precita avenue.....	15 feet

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks, Fell Street Between Polk and Van Ness Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 512, Ordinance No. 12.073119, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-six (1136).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-six (1136), to read as follows:

Section 1136. The width of sidewalks on Fell street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks—Tenth Street Between Market and Division Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 513, Ordinance No. 12.073120, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Forty-two (242) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Two Hundred and Forty-two (242) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 242. The width of sidewalks on Tenth street between Market and Bryant streets shall be 10 feet; on Tenth street between Bryant and Division street shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks—Harrison Street Between Steuart Street and Precita Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 514, Ordinance No. 12.073121, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-eight (78) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Seventy-eight (78) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 78: The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Steuart street and Precita avenue shall be as follows:

Steuart street to Fifth street, 15 feet;

Fifth street to Tenth street, 8 feet;

Tenth street to Alameda street, 15 feet;

Alameda street to Sixteenth street, 15 feet westerly side;

Alameda street to Sixteenth street, abolished easterly side;

Sixteenth street to Seventeenth street, 15 feet westerly side;
 Sixteenth street to Seventeenth street, abolished easterly side;
 Seventeenth street to Eighteenth street, 15 feet easterly side;
 Seventeenth street to Eighteenth street, abolished westerly side;
 Eighteenth street to Nineteenth street, 15 feet;
 Nineteenth street to Twentieth street, 15 feet easterly side;
 Nineteenth street to Twentieth street, abolished westerly side;
 Twentieth street to Precita avenue, 15 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Company, Woodside Avenue Between Laguna Honda Boulevard and Portola Drive.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1250, as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of 45 days' time from and after December 18, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Woodside avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Portola drive.

This extension is necessary because of inclement weather.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy to Complete Improvement of Nine- tenth Street Between Caselli Avenue and Yukon Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 1251, as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of 30 days' time from and after December 9, 1933, within which to complete the improvement of Nineteenth street between Caselli avenue and Yukon street.

The additional time is required pending the issuance of the assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Extension of Time, E. J. Treacy, to Complete Improvement of Rutledge Street Between Alabama Street and Peralta Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0612)

Also, Resolution No. 1252, as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of 60 days' time from and after January 9, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Rutledge street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue.

This extension is necessary pending acceptance of work and issuance of assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Accepting Deed to Land for Leo Street and Declaring It an Open Public Street.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1253, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 13th day of November, 1933, between Theresa Capurro and the City and County of

San Francisco (a municipal corporation) conveying land for street purposes as shown on Map entitled "West End Map No. 1, Block 13, San Francisco," is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known as Leo street.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney's Opinion as to Right of Board of Supervisors and Members Thereof to Interfere in Administrative Affairs.

January 20, 1934.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

Dear Sir: I have your request that I advise you as to what extent and in what manner the Board of Supervisors and its individual members may obtain information from departments under your jurisdiction, and to what extent the Board of Supervisors or its membership may make recommendations regarding the conduct of administrative offices.

Opinion.

Section 22 of the Charter deals with the subject matter of your request. Undoubtedly it was the intention of the framers of the new Charter to deprive the Board of Supervisors of much of the authority which it exercised under the old Charter over administrative offices and departments, but irrespective of the intention of the Charter framers we cannot extend the inhibition contained in the section beyond the ordinary meaning of the language therein contained, for the reason that it is the well-settled rule of law that statutes of the nature of the one under consideration must be strictly construed.

We must therefore give consideration to the Charter provision as it is written. It is plainly written into the Charter section that the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, except for the purpose of inquiry, must deal with the administrative service, for which the Chief Administrative Officer is responsible, solely through such officer, and where the matter is one involving elective officers, or boards or commissions not under the Chief Administrative Officer, then solely through the elective officer, or the board or commission, or the chief executive officer thereof. Therefore, unless the Board of Supervisors is sitting as a board of inquiry, giving consideration to a particular matter which it has the right to inquire into, all requests for information as to any particular matter, arising in any of the offices or departments under the Chief Administrative Officer should be asked for through that officer. If the information sought is to be obtained from any elective official or through any board or commission not under the Chief Administrative Officer, then the information sought must be obtained solely through the elective official, or through the board or commission concerned, or through the chief executive officer of such board or commission.

This brings us to the question as to whom this information should be given, and under what conditions it may be obtained.

An individual supervisor is not entitled, as a matter of right, to information on administrative affairs. The Charter (Section 22) is positive on this point as is indicated by the following language:

"Neither the board of supervisors, nor its committees, nor any of its members shall dictate, suggest or interfere with appointments, promotions, compensations, disciplinary actions, contracts, requisitions for purchases or other administrative recommendations or actions of the

chief administrative officer, or of department heads under the chief administrative officer, or under the respective boards or commissions. The board of supervisors, and each board or commission relative to the affairs of its own department, shall deal with administrative matters only in the manner provided by this charter, *and any dictation, suggestion or interference herein prohibited on the part of any supervisor or member of a board or commission shall constitute official misconduct*; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall restrict the power of hearing and inquiry as provided in this charter."

While there is no direct language in the section against any individual supervisor seeking information from administrative offices on any particular subject it must naturally come within the inhibition mentioned, for it would be an idle act for one to seek information and not be able to make use of it. You are therefore advised that any request for information should come not from an individual supervisor but from the board itself. Bear in mind, however, that a member of the Board of Supervisors has the same right to inspect public records and to obtain copies thereof as has any other citizen.

We therefore give consideration to the conditions under which the board as a whole may call for information from an administrative department. In the first place let it be stated that the board must not interfere in matters which are purely administrative, unless directly authorized to do so by the Charter. However, the board has the power to investigate and inquire into the conduct of administrative departments to a certain extent. Its powers in the matter of budget approval or disapproval while not unlimited are very comprehensive, and I believe the board as a whole is entitled to information from any department which will enable it to properly exercise its discretion in acting on the annual or on any supplemental budget. The obtaining of this information is not limited to the time during which the budget is actually before the board for consideration, but may be obtained at any time to the end that the information would be available when needed. The hearings or inquiries, of course must be limited to such matters as will have to do with the allowance or refusal of funds to the particular department. Again, when ordinances having to do with any department are being considered the board has the right to any information which it may desire upon the subject matter of the ordinance. Also, in the matter of supplemental appropriations, or the transfer of funds, the board may inquire not only as to the necessity of the appropriation, but is also entitled to any evidence which it might desire which would have a bearing on the amount which would be allowed.

It is not necessary to multiply examples, suffice it to say that if the matter is one which the board has the right to investigate or inquire into in the exercise of that right it is entitled to receive all available information that it desires on the subject, and when such information is requested it should be by board action. On the other hand, the clear intent of Section 22 is to prevent the board from meddling in administrative affairs. There should be cooperation by the several departments as well as by the board that the spirit of the section be carried out.

Respectfully,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,

City Attorney.

Privilege of the Floor.

City Attorney Jno. J. O'Toole, in explaining foregoing opinion, said it would be in violation of Section 22 for any individual Supervisor to suggest or interfere with departments, but if a majority of the members agreed to investigate a department, the Board would have to deal with the supervising executives and not directly with department heads.

Supervisor Uhl asked "if I want to find out why free tickets to

municipal concerts are given City officials do I have to get Board action," and Mr. O'Toole answered in the affirmative.

Thereupon Supervisor Uhl read off a list of municipal officers and employees who had been given box seats for the last symphony season.

Whereupon, he gave notice that he would ask the proper committee to prepare an ordinance regulating the conduct of municipal concerts, etc.

Police Uniforms.

Supervisor Shannon inquired what can be done to bring about more general bidding on the cloth required for police uniforms which, he said, has been supplied by one firm for a great number of years and was advised by City Attorney O'Toole that nothing could be done by the Board of Supervisors that it was a matter wholly within the jurisdiction of the Police Commission.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney furnish a more extensive interpretation of Section 22 of the Charter, with particular reference to the word "suggest" and its significance and effect from a legal standpoint.

Motion *carried*.

Gold Star Mothers' Installation.

An invitation from San Francisco Chapter No. 1, Gold Star Mothers of America and Auxiliary, to attend the seventh annual installation of officers January 24, 1934, at 8 p. m., Veterans' War Memorial Building, was read and *filed*.

Federal Loan and Grant on Bond Projects Approved.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

Communication from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi transmitting copy of radiogram from Federal Deputy Administrator, Public Works, Washington, D. C., and State Engineer Trask, Public Works Administration, confirming loan and grant on Docket No. 1510, \$12,095,000, Water System Pipes, etc.; Docket No. 1429, \$3,500,000, Hetch Hetchy Dam; Docket No. 1538, \$260,000, Airport; Docket No. 1534, \$2,625,000, Sewer Bonds.

Protest Against Alleged Discriminatory Wage Scales.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Paul Fratessa, attorney for contracting industry, taking exception to alleged discriminatory wage scales as listed on Schedule "B" for trucking, excavating and dumping truck set up.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Cost of Administration of Relief Organizations.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, transmitting, in support of his request for an appropriation of \$330,000 for relief in February, a statement of the present cost of administration of the relief organization.

Continuance of C. W. A. Appropriations.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Hiram Johnson, United States Senator, in response to telegram urging appropriation for additional funds for continuation of C. W. A., stating that he is in sympathy with position of Board of Supervisors and hopes that Congress will continue work.

Also, communication from Wm. G. McAdoo, United States Senator, offering to oppose discontinuance of funds.

Also from Richard J. Welch, M. C., offering to cooperate.

Wages of Elevator Constructors and Helpers.

Communication from Civil Service Commission as to rates of wages paid elevator constructors and helpers at the present time.

Discrimination Against Relief Witnesses.

Communication from Geo. Peek calling attention to witnesses called by him to prove irregularities in relief administration who, though promised immunity, were discriminated against and deprived of their positions and relief allowance.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

North Beach Heavy Industrial and Spur Track District.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Property Owners' Association of North Beach, protesting extension of heavy industrial district zoning as proposed by Resolution No. 1227 of Supervisor Gallagher, which requests City Engineer to make survey for such a district and requesting that Streets Committee ask City Attorney for an opinion as to whether or not this resolution is in violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District Resolutions.

Communication from Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District requesting Board of Supervisors to adopt resolutions endorsing application of Board of Directors to the U. S. Government to assist in the financing of said district by purchase of bonds of the district.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Additional Funds for Sewer Work.

The following was read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

Communication from Board of Public Works requesting an additional appropriation of \$10,000 for continuance of sewer work commenced under recent bond issue.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 22, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A request has been made to me by Honorable Jesse Colman, a member of your Board, for a fifteen-day leave of absence, beginning January 26th, with permission to leave the State.

May I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this permission?

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1254, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Su-

pervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days, commencing January 26, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Plans Solicited for Disposal of Garbage.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1255, as follows:

Resolved, That all persons having any plan or method for the collection and/of disposition of the garbage created in the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby invited to propose such plan and/or method to this Board on or before February 5, 1934, in order that this Board may make investigation thereof for the purpose of ultimately solving the garbage collection and/or disposition problem of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That when such plans and methods are received, this Board determine which of said plans and methods submitted will be most beneficial to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, and thereupon select such plan or method, and proceed to cause plans and specifications to be prepared therefor, and thereafter solicit offers for the granting of a franchise for the collection and/or disposition of garbage in accordance with the plan and method selected; and be it

Further Resolved, That only such plans and methods be considered as may be submitted to the Board prior to the time hereinbefore mentioned.

Referred to Health Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.


There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 29, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.




Monday, January 29, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.**



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 29, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 29, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of January 15 and 22, 1934, were considered read and approved.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, *laid over one week*:

HEARING OF APPEAL FROM ASSESSMENT ON GARFIELD STREET AT 3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

Presence of Police in Chambers.

Supervisor Uhl referred to the number of police officers in the chambers at the last meeting for the preservation of order and decorum and declared that it was wholly unnecessary and a reflection on the good citizenship of those present. He moved that the practice be discontinued.

Chair ruled motion out of order inasmuch as the rules provide for use of such means to maintain decorum.

Supervisor Uhl appealed from the decision of the Chair. Supervisor

Shannon put the question and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Ventilation of Chambers.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, Director of Property Joseph Phillips was instructed to make a study and recommendation looking to the correction of the ventilating system of the chambers of the Board of Supervisors with request that report be made to this Board at his earliest convenience.

Accommodations for Womens' Clubs.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the question of providing proper accommodations for the representatives of women's clubs who attend the weekly meeting of the Board was referred to Supervisor McSheehy, who declared that the matter would be taken under advisement.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 1238, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

Metal Trades.

<i>Shop Rates.</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers	\$ 8.50
Molders and coremakers	6.60
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

Field Rates.

Blacksmiths	7.20
Boilermakers	8.00
Boilermakers' helpers	7.20
Machinists	8.00
Machinists' helpers	5.76
Machinist (maintenance)	9.00

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	\$ 8.00
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	6.00
Diamond drillers	7.00
Powderman	7.00
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (Garagemen)	5.85

Street Work.

Timberman (tunnel)	\$ 7.00
Mucker	5.50
Asphalt rakers	7.00
Asphalt shovelers	6.00
Cribbers	7.00
Laborers, street work75c an hour
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, drag-line and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers.....	10.00
Firemen (asphalt plant)	7.00
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 H. P.)	9.00

Culinary Workers.

	<i>Per Week</i>
Head cook (6-day week)	\$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

Dredge Boats.

	<i>Per Month</i>
Dredge captain	\$215
Head leverman	215
Leverman	190
Fireman	150
Deckhand	150

Building Trades.

	<i>Per Day</i>
Asbestos workers	\$ 6.80
Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins (6-hour day)....	9.00
Bricklayers' hodcarrier	7.00
Carpenters and cabinet makers	8.00
Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Cement finishers	8.00
Compressor operators (on steel erection)	10.00
Engineers (derricks)	10.00
Engineers (building material hoists)	9.00
Electric workers	10.00
Elevator constructors	10.00
Elevator constructor helpers	7.00
Fixture hangers	8.00
Glass workers	8.00
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	9.00
Iron workers (bridge, structural and rigger)	11.00
Iron workers (derrick engineers)	11.00
Linoleum and carpet workers.....	8.00
Laborers (building)75c an hour
Painters (structural iron works)	9.60
Painters	8.00
Marble setters	8.00
Marble setters' helpers	5.00
Ornamental iron workers	9.00
Pile drivers and wharf builders	9.00
Pile drivers' engineers	10.00
Plumbers	9.00
Roofers	8.00
Sheet metal workers	8.00
Steamfitters	9.00
Sprinkler fitters	9.00
Stonecutters	8.50

Stonesetter (including granite curbs) (6-hour day)	9.00
Stone derrickmen	8.00
Tilessetters	8.00
Tilessetters' helpers	5.00
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks).

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, \$6.50 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Shovel engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, \$7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck crane engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., \$9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Plastering Industry.

Plasterer, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers hodcarrier, \$1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, \$2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, \$1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Concrete Industry.

Concrete laborer, \$5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, \$8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, \$8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

Others.

Hardwood floormen, \$1.10 per hour.

Not less than \$1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole with Supervisor McSheehy in the Chair, all members heretofore noted being present.

Jas. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council; Jas. McKnight, representing Carpenters' Union No. 22; Mr. Marshall, representing National Headquarters' Association; L. C. Dressler, representing iron workers; Chas. Cummings, representing the millmen, and Paul Elie, representing the contractors' organizations, were heard at length.

Whereupon, the Committee arose and reported progress.

Re-referred.

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution was *re-referred to the Finance Committee.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Relating to the Working Hours and Days Per Week on Contracts for Work to Be Performed for the City and County of San Francisco and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.

(Code No. 9.092)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 509, Ordinance No. 9.0922, as follows:

Relating to the working hours and days per week on contracts for work to be performed for the City and County of San Francisco and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That every contract for any public work or improvement to be performed within the State of California, at the expense of this City and County or paid for out of moneys deposited in its treasury, whether such work be done directly under contract awarded, or indirectly by or under subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work or piece work or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide, in addition to other provisions required by law, that no person performing labor thereunder in the execution of such contract, subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work, piece work or any other arrangement shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday to Friday, inclusive; and that the wage or compensation to be paid to said laborers, mechanics or artisans shall be on the basis of the highest general prevailing rate of wages heretofore or hereafter fixed by this Board and shall be determined on the basis that the actual number of hours worked bears to the basic rate established for an eight-hour day.

Section 2. Any contractor and/or subcontractor shall be jointly and severally liable and shall forfeit as a penalty to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10) dollars for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed, for each calendar day, or portion thereof, such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled to work more than the hours or days specified herein; and every contract and subcontract shall have inserted therein a clause to this effect, and every contractor and/or subcontractor violating the terms or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be an irresponsible bidder upon all future contracts for public works or improvements to be performed for said City and County.

Section 3. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise making

a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portions thereof the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the office, board or commission awarding such contract.

Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Section 4. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to shop work, as such is understood in trade practice and to work done in established shops.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing a Loan from State of \$466,552 Under Unemployment Relief Bond Act.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 519, Ordinance No. 19.07111, as follows:

An ordinance to authorize the City and County of San Francisco to borrow the sum of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) from the State of California under provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and providing for the repayment of amount so borrowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) is hereby made by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the rules, regulations and conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of Unemployment Relief and administering relief work in the City and County of San Francisco for the period beginning January 1, 1934, or shortly thereafter and ending June 30, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Section 2. Said loan, if granted, in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars shall constitute a debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, and shall be secured by a note of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, in the words and figures and executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of said Board, as follows:

\$466,552.

Date _____.

Commencing on the 1st day of July, —, the City and County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$466,552 in ten equal annual installments, together with interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, all as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and subject to the provisions thereof.

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute said note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$466,552) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be

granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator; provided that, whatever the amount, the same shall constitute a debt of the said City and County of San Francisco to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and be subject to the provisions thereof. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

"Power is hereby granted to the President of the Board of Supervisors to agree with the State Emergency Relief Commission and/or the State Emergency Relief Administrator as to the date when the first payment upon the note mentioned in Section 2 hereof shall be made with full authority to insert said date in said note at the time of the execution of the same, provided, that the first payment on said note shall not be made prior to July 1, 1934, nor subsequent to July 1, 1937."

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(Mr. Ross of the Controller's office was heard in reference to the last paragraph of the foregoing bill.)

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Postponement of Sale of Delinquent Duboce Tunnel Assessment Properties.

(Code No. 12.0332)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1264, as follows:

Whereas, under and pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 2186, commonly known and designated as the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, the Tax Collector of said City and County has advertised for sale the property of sundry and various persons, which said property was liable for the ninth installment of the assessment levied thereon for the construction of the Sunset Tunnel; and

Whereas, a considerable portion of the ninth installment of the assessment levied for the construction of said tunnel will be refunded to the persons entitled thereto by reason of the fact that the said ninth installment will more than pay for the cost of said tunnel; and

Whereas, it is not possible to change or modify the said ninth installment but it is the intention of the Bureau of Engineering of the City and County of San Francisco to compute the exact amount which will be refunded to the various persons paying said ninth installment, which said computation will be completed on or about April 1, 1934; and

Whereas, the said sale advertised by said Tax Collector is scheduled to take place on the 2nd day of February, 1934, and it will be a hardship on the said persons whose property is advertised for sale to make payment of said ninth installment at the present time and wait until the computation of the amount of said payment to be returned is made; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Tax Collector to postpone the sale of said property scheduled and noticed for the 2nd day of February, 1934, to and until the 2nd day of April, 1934, and thereupon to make said sale of the several parcels of property upon which the ninth installment of said tunnel tax has not been paid; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby request the Director of Public Works, through the Chief Administrative Officer, to compute the amount of the refund to be made on said ninth

installment of said tunnel tax to the end that said amount to be refunded may be paid to the various persons entitled thereto within twenty (20) days after the 2nd day of April, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Acceptance of Deed, and Payment of \$150 Out of 1931 Boulevards and Roads Bonds, to Mary Doody et al., for Lot 33, Block 5549; Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1257, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from the following parties to that certain lot in San Francisco; and that the sum set forth opposite their names be paid from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads:

Mary Doody et al., \$150; Lot 33, in Assessor's Block No. 5549.

Chargeable Appropriation No. 170, 1931-1932.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Authorizing Acceptances of Cancellation of Leases from Tenants on the City's Amazon Reservoir Site, and Payment of \$3,400 Therefor. Lands to Be Used for Playground Purposes.

(Code No. 12.17352)

Also, Resolution No. 1258, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Property and of the Recreation Commission, the offers made by the following parties as lessees of certain portions of the City's Amazon Reservoir site, to cancel their leases and release the City and County of San Francisco from all claims of damage resulting therefrom, be and are hereby accepted; and that the City and County of San Francisco pay said parties the sums set forth opposite their names for said releases in order that the Recreation Commission may immediately construct and operate a municipal playground on said property:

T. Ferrando & Co. et al.....\$1,800

F. Giocondi et al.....1,600

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Requesting the Government of the United States and All Its Agencies to Extend Financial Assistance in the Purchase of Bonds and Securities of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

(Code No. 12.111)

Also, Resolution No. 1259, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is a political subdivision of the State of California composed of the counties of Del Norte, Sonoma, Marin and the City and County of San Francisco, and portions of the counties of Napa and Mendocino; and

Whereas, said district was organized for the purpose of building a monumental bridge across the Golden Gate at the entrance to the harbor of San Francisco for the purpose of connecting the City of San Francisco with the Redwood Empire to the north; and

Whereas, the said bridge, when completed, will form the connecting link which will make U. S. Route 101 a continuous road from the

northern boundaries of the State of California to the Mexican border; and

Whereas, the said project is undertaken and financed with the guarantee that the bonds of said district will be paid out of taxes raised in the above mentioned counties in case the tolls on said bridge, when completed, are insufficient to pay therefor; and

Whereas, the said project is now 22 per cent complete and is affording employment to a large number of persons, not only in the State of California, but in the states of Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland as well; and

Whereas, the proportion of men employed in Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland to those employed in California upon the said project is in the ratio of sixteen (16) in said three states to ten (10) in California; and

Whereas, the sale of sufficient bonds of said district at the present time to insure the completion of the project will be hampered, if not prevented, by the present market conditions which adversely affect the price of public securities; and

Whereas, the said bonds, when sold, are certain of repayment by reason of the tax provisions thereof, and the Government of the United States is now endeavoring to facilitate and stimulate the completion of public works projects undertaken by political subdivisions which give employment to labor, and particularly those projects which, by their very nature, give employment to laborers in various parts of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Government of the United States and all of its agencies are urged and requested by this Board of Supervisors to extend such financial assistance in the way of purchase of bonds and securities of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, upon such terms as may be agreed upon, to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, in order to insure the prompt completion of the project and the continuation of employment afforded thereby, and to prevent serious financial loss to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District by reason of the present unfavorable condition of the bond market for public securities.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Requesting California Senators and Members of Congress to Exert Their Best Efforts in Behalf of Securing Federal Financing for the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

(Code No. 12.111)

Also, Resolution No. 1260, as follows:

Whereas, the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District is a political subdivision of the State of California composed of the counties of Del Norte, Sonoma, Marin and the City and County of San Francisco, and portions of the counties of Napa and Mendocino; and

Whereas, the financing of said district has been accomplished by the authorization of the issuance of bonds, for the ultimate retirement of which the taxpayers of the said counties are liable in case the tolls to be derived from the bridge when opened are inadequate; and

Whereas, the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District has passed Resolution No. 474, authorizing application to the United States Government to assist in the financing of said district at the present time by reason of the inadequate price which can be obtained for the district's bonds in the open market; and

Whereas, it is to the interest and welfare of the people of the City and County of San Francisco that the financing of said district be

accomplished in a continuous manner, as contemplated by the said Resolution No. 474; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson, and United States Senator William G. McAdoo, Congressman Clarence F. Lea, Congressman Richard J. Welch, Congresswoman Florence P. Kahn and Congressman Frank H. Buck be, and they hereby are, earnestly and respectfully requested to exert their best efforts on behalf of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District for the purpose of securing the Federal financing outlined in said Resolution No. 474 of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Permission to Simmons Company to Construct Bridge Over Stockton Street.

(Code No. 1.06101)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1249, as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the Simmons Company to erect, construct and maintain a bridge over Stockton street between Bay and North Point streets to connect the third floors of the bed and mattress factory, as per plans and specifications approved by the Bureau of Engineering, the Art Commission, the Fire Department and the Bureau of Building Inspection.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Approving Map and Declaring Portion to Be Open Public Street Known as Theresa Street.

(Code No. 12.082)

Also, Resolution No. 1261, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the opening of Theresa street between the proposed Alemany boulevard and Cayuga avenue, and its re-alignment from Cayuga avenue northwesterly," approved by the Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3526, approved December 29, 1933, be and is hereby approved, and parcels numbered 1 and 2 shown hatched thereon be and are hereby declared to be an open public street to be known by the name of "Theresa street."

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Approving Map and Declaring a Portion of Hunter's Point Boulevard and a Portion of Donahue Street Open Public Streets.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Resolution No. 1262, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled, "Map showing the opening of Hunter's Point boulevard between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; also, the widening of Donahue street between Galvez and Innes avenues," approved by the Department of Public Works' Resolution

No. 3615, approved January 17, 1934, be and is hereby approved, and parcels numbered 1 to 7, both inclusive, thereon be and are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Full Acceptance of Cordova Street and Other Streets, Including the Curbs.

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 515, Ordinance No. 12.081110, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Cordova street between Rolph street and Baltimore way, including the crossings of Cordova street with Athens street, with Seville street, with Munich street, with Prague street, with Winding way and with Chicago way, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Cordova street between Rolph street and Baltimore way, including the crossings of Cordova street with Athens street, with Seville street, with Munich street, with Prague street, with Winding way and with Chicago way, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Providing for Full Acceptance of Moscow Street Between Amazon and Geneva Avenues, Including the Curbs.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 516, Ordinance No. 12.081111, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Moscow street between Amazon and Geneva avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Moscow street between Amazon and Geneva avenues, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Ordering the Improvement of Lawton Street Between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 517, Ordinance No. 12.061145, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 19, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lawton street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, where not already improved, by grading to official line and subgrade; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Withdrawn.

The following entitled ordinance was *withdrawn* at the request of Supervisor Ratto:

Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934.

(Code No. 12.021)

Bill No. 518, Ordinance No. 12.0211, entitled:

Providing for proceedings for work or improvements in or upon public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads and other public property and rights of way, in the City and County of San Francisco, including property over which possession or right of use has been obtained under the provisions of Sections 14 and 14½ of Article I of the Constitution of California, and for establishing or changing the grades of any such public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads, properties or rights of way; prescribing and providing for the method of assessing the expenses of such work or improvements upon lands in private ownership; provid-

ing for a lien on lands so assessed for such work or improvements; providing a method for collecting or enforcing such assessments; providing for bonds to be given under certain conditions by those interested in land so assessed; providing a method for the payment of such bonds; and providing for the sale of lands so assessed for the payment of any of said bonds.

Leave of Absence—Edwin M. Eddy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 25, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: A request has been made to me by Honorable Edwin M. Eddy, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State, for five days beginning February 9, 1934.

I respectfully ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1263, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Edwin M. Eddy, a member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing February 9, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented by the Public Welfare Committee and *laid over one week and copies furnished members*:

Regulating Use of City Automobiles.

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 520, Ordinance No. 3.063, as follows:

Regulating the use of automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and providing for an identifying mark to be maintained on all of said automobiles so owned, and defining certain terms used in this ordinance, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, to whom the care, custody, use or control of any automobile, now or hereafter owned by the City and County, is assigned or entrusted, shall use, or permit any such automobile to be used, for any purpose whatsoever save in the discharge or transaction of municipal business.

Section 2. "Municipal business" is hereby defined to be such business, things or affairs in the doing or performance of which the City and County of San Francisco is directly interested. Provided, however, that any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the use of which is assigned to the Police Department, or to the Fire Department, or to the Health Department, while engaged

in aiding or assisting any other municipality or community, or while performing any act or thing which might have been performed by such other municipality or community, shall be deemed to be engaged in business of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. From and after the first day of September, 1934, every passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco shall have painted on each side of the body of said automobile, in a conspicuous place, a facsimile of the official seal of the City and County of San Francisco in size not less than ten (10) inches in diameter, and each of said passenger automobiles owned by said City and County shall be assigned a serial number by the Purchaser of Supplies, which said serial number assigned to each particular passenger automobile shall be painted on the side of said automobile directly above said facsimile of said official seal.

Section 4. From and after the first day of September, 1934, no officer, agent, servant or employee of the City and County of San Francisco shall drive, use or operate any passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco that has not painted on each side thereof the facsimile of the official seal of said City and County and said identification number mentioned in the previous section.

Section 5. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

In connection with above bill, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Committee bring to the Board, by its next meeting, list of automobiles, number, type, drivers, etc.

Carried.

Transient Merchants Ordinance.

Supervisor Brown announced that tomorrow at 4 p. m., in the City Attorney's office, a hearing will be had on the question of the "Transient Merchants Ordinance."

Clerk was directed to notify all members to attend.

Citizens' Committee to Celebrate Completion of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to celebrate the completion of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment, reference to the Public Welfare and Public Utilities Committee.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner's motion was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Observance of Washington's Birthday.

Supervisor Havenner declared that, at the request of Veterans' organizations and the San Francisco Chronicle, he would move that the

Mayor appoint a Citizens' Committee for the celebration of Washington's Birthday, and suggested to the Mayor that whenever such committees are moved for in that Board that those members of the Board so moving be offered the temporary chairmanship and that he be mindful that we have three new members on the Board.

Motion carried.

Reciprocity Between San Mateo and San Francisco County as Regards Milk Distribution.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County requesting that the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco so amend and modify Ordinance No. 17.052 to provide for reciprocity between the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco in all matters pertaining to the sale of milk by removing, so far as San Mateo County is concerned, the prohibition against the sale in San Francisco of milk pasteurized in San Mateo.

Referred to Committee on Public Health and Public Welfare.

Budget Allotment of \$5,000 Requested for Driveway in McLaren Park.

The following was presented by Supervisor Ratto and read by the Clerk:

Communication from G. B. Harper, secretary, Southern Civic Clubs, requesting that budget appropriation be set up and an allotment of \$5,000 made, to be applied on purchase price of certain parcels of land in McLaren Park for the purpose of completing the driveway from Persia avenue to Visitacion avenue, and also complete the entrance to the Park on the Excelsior side.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Motion

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee bring in at the next meeting of the Board, proper resolution authorizing some official of the City and County to sign contracts with the Federal government which will govern construction of the public works projects financed through the P. W. A.

Carried.

Return of Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission to Rezone Property on Northerly Side of Pacific Avenue, East of Laguna Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from John J. Casey, City Engineer, returning appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying the application to rezone property located at the northerly side of Pacific avenue between Laguna and Gough streets, and also the southerly side of Pacific avenue between Laguna and Gough streets, with the statement that the names on the appeal have been checked and found to represent more than the necessary 20 per cent of property owners, but are not signed in the usual form, and recommending that the petition be forwarded to the City Attorney for his opinion as to its legality.

Referred to City Attorney for report at next meeting.

Wage Scales—Request to Postpone Action.

Supervisor Ratto presented petition from Floyd O. Booe, secretary-manager, Northern California Chapter, The Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., requesting postponement of action on wage scales applying to excavating and dump truck operations; and that

same be referred back to committee or held in abeyance pending the outcome of State action or until the National Recovery Construction Code shall have been adopted.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Similar communication was presented by Supervisor Adolph Uhl and referred to Finance Committee.

Report of Art Commission on Approaches to San Francisco Bay Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting report of the Art Commission in the matter of approaches to the San Francisco Bay bridge, which lead from a point in the vicinity of Fifth and Harrison streets to the water front, which report shows the Art Commission's objections to the present location of the approaches and makes certain recommendations which, in the opinion of the Commission, would obviate the objections recited.

Referred to Streets and Finance Committee.

In matter of communication from the Chief Administrative Officer on report of the Art Commission on subject of bridge approaches, Supervisor Uhl asked that it be ascertained how much property would be taken from the Assessment Roll in the adoption of the idea.

Audit of Market Street Railway Company Franchise Tax.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, referring to letter of June 14 concerning the audit of the Market Street Railway Company, reporting the amount of franchise tax due the City and County of San Francisco for the year ending December 31, 1932, and recommending that a conference be held between the Committee of the Board of Supervisors, representative of Market Street Railway, and the City Attorney and Controller, to the end that the matter may be clarified and that there may be no disputes in relation to future audits.

Supervisors Shannon, Gallagher and Brown, representing the Board of Supervisors, City Attorney John J. O'Toole, and Controller Leonard S. Leavy were thereupon appointed by the Chair to attend said conference.

Relative to Continuance of Civil Works Program.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Jasper J. Mayer, Chief, Correspondence Division, Federal Civil Works Administration, replying to telegram of the Board of Supervisors of January 15, 1934, concerning serious results that may be brought about by discontinuance of Civil Works program.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Also, communication from Louis McH. Howe, Secretary to the President, acknowledging telegram of January 16 in reference to the continuance of funds for the Civil Works Administration.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Residences of Employees on Emergency Relief Work.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, in reply to his request for information regarding names handed him at meeting of Board of Supervisors on January 22, requesting information as to residence.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

County-Wide Meeting of Supervisors and Chambers of Commerce Re: State Highways.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from M. Goldman, president, Redwood Empire Association, transmitting letter from Chairman of Highways Committee, A. E. Dalton, requesting Supervisors and Chambers of Commerce to call county-wide meeting of all organizations and individuals interested in State and Federal highway matters in your County and in the Redwood Empire, and urging attendance when it is called, and requesting that it be made clear that each county should formulate its own plans and submit their recommendations to a joint meeting of the Nine Counties later.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Offer to Service Auto Equipment.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Transportation Guarantee Co., Ltd., declaring that it was submitting proposition for maintenance, upkeep, servicing and supervision of all motor equipment of San Francisco, declaring that it will effect large savings on original purchases and costs of maintenance, and that it is prepared to furnish any reasonable bond as an earnest of good faith for the performance of such a contract.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisors' Automobiles.

1. Detailed information as to City automobiles of Board of Supervisors requiring chauffeurs to bring the names of those that they have driven for during the month of January.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Non-Resident School Teachers.

2. Non-resident school teachers, referring to list of 134 non-resident school teachers presented on page 60 of the Journal of January 8. Requests their names and addresses.

Referred to Mayor.

Free Tickets to Opera House.

3. Free tickets to Opera House. Requests City Attorney to draw resolution that this Board of Supervisors places itself on record as disapproving free tickets to officials of the City and County where the general public has to pay an admission fee.

He also asked City Attorney to inform him if such resolution conflicts with Section 22 of the Charter.

So ordered.

Committee Notices.

4. Notices of meetings. Called attention to notice of meetings—last week on Wednesday afternoon, Streets and Commercial Development Committee, wherein notice was not received until Wednesday morning, making it very difficult for him to comply, as he had a previous engagement. He then and there notified the members of the Public Welfare Committee that said Committee would meet Tuesday morning, January 30, at 10 a. m., to consider the resolution offered by himself for the removal of the present Relief Administration Committee.

Federal Aid for Local Unemployment Relief.

5. Supervisor Uhl read from The Nation, of January 3, article entitled "Relief, Mind and Heart." Referring to aid furnished by the United States Government to the cities of Milwaukee and Los Angeles, and to the fact that San Francisco has bonded itself to carry

practically the entire load without the assistance which should be sought and would, no doubt, be made available if it were gone after.

The matter was referred to the Public Welfare and Finance Committee, and a meeting announced for Thursday, February 1, 1934, at 4 p. m., to consider the matter.

Cost of Excavating Auditorium Basement for City Garage.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer advise the Board of Supervisors as to the cost of excavating and remodeling the basement of the Civic Auditorium for the purpose of a City garage.

So ordered.

Estimate of Land Value at Candlestick Point.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Director of Property bring in an estimate of the value of the land at Candlestick Point, east of Hawes street.

Carried.

Supervisor Havenner moved, in connection with the valuation report of the tidelands asked for by Supervisor Uhl, that the Assessor submit to this Board statement of the ownership of the various parcels of land in question.

So ordered.

Relief Stations—Improvement of Condition.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to what he called the deplorable conditions of some of the "flop" houses where unemployed men are obliged to sleep. He cited one case at Sixth and Howard streets where an old man was obliged to use his shoes wrapped in his coat for a pillow. He urged that the recommendations of the Relief Survey Report be applied to relieve these conditions.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

Inspection Fee Ordinance.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Inspection Fee Ordinance (Sec. 3) be taken up for amendment, as it has caused City-wide annoyance and complaint.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Ocean Avenue Reservoir.

Supervisor Uhl, in re Ocean avenue reservoir, asked that the head of the Water Department be requested to come before the Board to give the Board information re project, particularly with respect to acquiring property therefor and taking same from Assessment Roll. Clerk of Board to send request.

Duboce Tunnel Tax Refunds.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk of the Board be directed to call his Honor the Mayor's attention to an article in the San Francisco Chronicle of January 29, 1934, headed "14,000 to Get Duboce Tunnel Tax Refunds," and call his attention to that part of that article which says that a conference was held at which the Mayor of the City, the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Engineer and the Director of Public Works and the City Attorney were present, and at which legislation was proposed that the Tax Collector do certain things, and further, that the Mayor and his fellow confrères be requested to advise this Board if that conference was held, where that conference was held, who called it, what it considered, what resulted and did they know that the subject matter was in the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, and why was the Committee of the Board in charge ignored in the consideration of the matter?

Carried.

Relief Employees, Residence Qualifications.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee with list of names of employees declared to be legal residents of San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Milk Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher presented certain correspondence for reference to Dr. Geiger through Chief Administrative Officer and moved "that every person, firm or corporation supplying cream in this vicinity furnish information in detail for the months of October, November and December as to the amount of milk and cream from tubercular tested cows furnished during each of said periods."

So ordered.

Re-referred.

On motion of Supervisor Ratto, the following matter was *re-referred to the Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development*:

Resurvey of Spur Track Facilities.

(Code No. 12.20)

Resolution No. 1229, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is recognized as one of the foremost industrial communities of the West possessing definite advantages for the manufacturer, wholesaler and distributor as regards taxes, climate, transportation facilities, sample labor market and other economic factors necessary to the locating of industry; and

Whereas, the diversification of our industries and the many substantial payrolls resulting from same contribute in no small degree to our present recognized standing as a solvent community enjoying economic health; and

Whereas, competition for industrial payrolls among the communities around the bay, as well as other areas in the southern part of the State, is becoming more and more apparent; and

Whereas, said competing areas have succeeded in inducing a number of manufacturers of this City to move to other localities where the people of these communities are sufficiently industrial-minded to be cognizant of industries' legitimate requirements and requests; and

Whereas, it has been frequently alleged that San Francisco is negligent in many respects in its cooperation with those agencies who are endeavoring to study, analyze and equitably adjust the economic needs of our own local industries; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the City Engineer of said City and County to conduct a survey among the industries located in those certain industrial zones bounded by Jefferson and Greenwich streets, Battery and Hyde streets for the purpose of ascertaining if the various facilities normally and rightfully accorded industry by other communities are being equally furnished by this City, especially as regards the legitimate spur track requirements of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, one of the largest and oldest industrial concerns in this City, with an enormous capital investment and employing large numbers of workers, whose request for reasonable rail facilities to its plant has been opposed by certain residential property owners living in an area strictly industrial and zoned for that purpose; and be it

Further Resolved, That, if such investigation, when completed, reveals the lack of legitimate and customary City and County aid with regard to needed industrial facilities and especially with reference to the reasonable request of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, who, after several years of practical idleness due to the recent prohi-

bition era, is now confronted with unusual demands for its products and services, that this Board of Supervisors take immediate steps to provide the easily arranged spur track facilities requested and, if necessary, purchase the right of way for this track with City and County funds in order that this industry and others who would benefit also through this needed facility may feel justified in a continued faith and confidence sufficient to warrant them to maintain manufacturing in this community.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board of Supervisors at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 5, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, February 5, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



//



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 5, 1934, at 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Havenner—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Breyer phoned that he would be unable to attend until 3:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 29, 1934, was considered read and approved.

Report of Public Welfare and Public Buildings Committee on Roosevelt Apartment Project.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

February 5, 1934.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Your Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Public Buildings, which has had under consideration the resolution presented by Supervisor Shannon on November 13, 1933, protesting Federal Loan of \$3,200,000 for the construction of the Roosevelt Apartment project on the Odd Fellows' Cemetery site, begs leave to report same for favorable passage to the Board and requests that the subject matter be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Tuesday, February 13, 1934.

Respectfully submitted,

JOINT COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WELFARE AND
PUBLIC BUILDINGS,

ADOLPH UHL, Chairman,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
WARREN SHANNON,
JOHN M. RATTO.

Minority Report.

Supervisor Gallagher reserved the right to submit a minority report. Business for Tuesday, February 13, 1934, at 2:30 p. m.

Whereupon, the foregoing subject matter was made a *Special Order of Business for Tuesday, February 13, 1934, at 2:30 p. m.*

Remarks of Edw. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in Response to Request of Supervisor Uhl.

Mr. Cahill appeared and read the following statement, which was *ordered filed*.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

A city lying within an active earthquake zone must carry within its boundaries water storage sufficient to last a considerable period of time. There may be a difference of opinion as to how much storage should be carried within such a city, but there is no disagreement that it should be relatively large.

Mr. Hermann Schussler, for forty years chief engineer of the Spring Valley Water Company, in his book entitled, "The Water Supply of San Francisco Before and During the Earthquake of April 18, 1906," states as follows:

"More than ten years ago I pointed out to the Company the wisdom of establishing a very large storage reservoir in San Francisco to hold from three to four hundred million gallons at fully 300 feet elevation. The Company thereupon purchased a tract of land of 42 acres back of the Industrial School for the purpose of constructing thereon a reservoir."

This property was purchased in 1894.

Mr. George Elliott, former chief engineer of the Spring Valley Water Company, in a report written in 1912, proposed the storage within the City of twelve days' supply. Later, Mr. M. M. O'Shaughnessy recommended the construction of reservoirs with a total capacity of thirty days' supply.

After the new Sunset District reservoir, and the new University Mound reservoir, covered by the bond issue voted last November, shall have been built, they will give us a total of slightly less than seven days' supply.

The Balboa work is so designed as to have two reservoirs, each of 75,000,000 gallon capacity. When these are completed, we will have stored in San Francisco between 9 and 10 days' water supply.

It is the opinion of the Water Department engineers, and it is also my own opinion, that this City should adopt the policy of developing from ten to twelve days' storage within the City, and that when storage drops down, due to increased consumption, to seven or eight days' supply, the City should add sufficient reservoir capacity to bring it back to ten or twelve days' supply.

In order to distribute water economically, it is necessary to locate our reservoirs so that they are approximately 100 feet apart in elevation. Location, so far as elevation is concerned, cannot be adhered to precisely because of topographical features of the ground. Our lowest reservoir, University Mound, is located at elevation 170. Balboa reservoir is next higher, and must of necessity be put at elevation approximately 300. The new Sunset reservoir will be at approximately elevation 400.

Twin Peaks hills form the backbone of San Francisco, the City being generally located in area about half on the east and half on the west slope. The Balboa site was selected by Mr. Schussler because it presented a large, relatively flat area of land right upon this backbone, and located approximately in the center of the district which it was designed to supply. It is ideally situated for the work it has to do. There is no other area within San Francisco that could be used for this reservoir, unless it be the area centering around Geary and Masonic avenues, and this is not so well located as the Balboa reservoir site.

It is now asserted that the Balboa site may be abandoned and a

reservoir developed at Glen Park site, to serve the same district. Glen Park reservoir site is located on the southern slopes of Twin Peaks, and covers an area of 259 acres, of which the City of San Francisco now owns 175 acres, leaving 74 acres yet to be acquired. In other words, the City owns only 70 per cent of the necessary property. Development of this reservoir would require the construction of an earth fill or concrete dam impounding water to a depth of 135 feet. In addition to the fact that we do not own all the land, and that 74 acres would have to be purchased (and for this there is no money available) the cost of construction of Glen Park reservoir would be more than twice as much, in money, as the cost of the construction of the Balboa reservoir. Having in mind the Los Angeles experience at St. Francis Dam, and Mulholland Dam, it is very questionable as to whether or not a proper foundation could be located for such a great structure, as much consideration must be given to the psychological effect of constructing and maintaining such a high dam, impounding a great volume of water, in the center of a large population.

Glen Park reservoir is open to the objection that it would, of necessity, be a single, uncovered basin, of which, normally operated, only the top 30 feet of water could be used on account of loss of pressure head. This leaves the reservoir subject to growths and bad water conditions of such basins, without reasonable possibility of being cleaned.

At the time the Glen Park reservoir site was purchased, there was no reasonable assurance that the City would be able to buy the Spring Valley system, and it was, therefore, necessary to acquire any available reservoir sites within the limits of the City, regardless of how expensive the construction, or how inferior the site might be, to those which had already been acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company. It should be remembered that the Balboa reservoir site was originally acquired by the Spring Valley Water Company in 1894, and has been held for the past 39 years for development as a reservoir. This means that practically every home owner and business man now in the affected area must have purchased his property and constructed his business or home building with full knowledge that this site was to be used for reservoir purposes. There can be no claim that construction of a reservoir will interfere with business development or depreciate property values where the reservoir has been definitely planned long prior to the development of the district.

It is impossible to develop a city without reservoirs, just as much as it would be to develop a city without schools or fire houses, and certainly a reservoir is much more of a necessity than a park. The residents of the Balboa district might more properly demand the subdivision of Balboa Park than to increase their residential area at the expense of this very necessary part of the San Francisco water plant.

The Balboa reservoir plan, as it is being developed by C. W. A. workers at practically no cost to San Francisco Water Department, contemplates construction of reservoirs which will impound approximately 150 million gallons of water. When the reservoirs are eventually completed, they might be covered with a concrete roof designed to carry sufficient loam so that the entire area of approximately 30 acres may be developed into a recreational playground, which will give beauty as well as recreational facilities and will enhance property values of the neighborhood.

It is obvious that at a time not distant, San Francisco must have additional reservoir capacity, and the Balboa site is by far the most logical for development of the required water storage. Now, under C. W. A., we have an opportunity to accomplish a large portion of this necessary construction—almost the entire excavation and embankment work—at practically no expense to the City; whereas, if this construction work is not now done as a gift to the City, it must

be built in the future at a cost of several hundred thousand dollars. The question is: Should we not now take advantage of a gift of construction work which we must inevitably undertake in the future at our own expense? It should be further noted that the development of the Glen Park reservoir will not involve the type of manual labor which can well be accomplished by C. W. A. workers as an unemployment relief measure.

Since acquisition of the 41½-acre Balboa site from the Spring Valley Water Company on March 3, 1930, approximately 7 acres, located at the north end, has been sold to the Archbishop of San Francisco at a price of \$35,000, or at the rate of \$5,000 per acre. This was acquired by the City at a price of approximately \$3,000 per acre. Representatives of the buyer, at the time of the sale, were definitely informed that this 7-acre parcel was all they could be sold from this tract, and that a reservoir was to be constructed on the balance, so that they might have no hope of future enlargement of their holding.

Contrary to claims from several quarters, there does not appear to be concerted opposition to the development of this reservoir from all of the property owners in the district. Many of the property owners, realizing the benefit of water storage, have expressed themselves as favorable to the development of a reservoir. An indication that sentiment in the district is far from unified in opposition to this reservoir was given by the fact that in April of 1932, a petition signed by approximately 160 residents of the immediate neighborhood urging construction of the reservoir was filed with the City. At that time it was declared by signers of the petition that hundreds more signatures could easily have been obtained if its sponsors had had time to properly circulate it.

May I say that practically all movements for the elimination of this reservoir from the water distribution system have developed in conjunction with the efforts of real estate firms and speculators, who have made repeated efforts to purchase this property for private development.

A resume of the subject is as follows:

The Public Utilities Commission does not build the Glen Park reservoir because:

1. We do not own the necessary land.
2. Several months of expensive engineering research work would be necessary to determine whether or not a proper foundation for a 135-foot high dam exists.
3. Reason No. 2 precludes the possibility of getting our work done free by C. W. A. labor on account of the time element.
4. It is wrong to build a dam upon an unknown foundation, impounding water to the great depth of 135 feet directly above the teeming population of the Sunnyside district of San Francisco.
5. Glen Park reservoir could not be emptied and cleaned out.
6. Glen Park reservoir must be an uncovered lake and therefore unsanitary.
7. Glen Park reservoir construction would cost over twice as much as Balboa reservoir construction.

The Public Utilities Commission does build the Balboa reservoir now because:

1. We do own all the necessary land.
2. This land has been set aside for reservoir purposes for thirty-nine years.
3. We, therefore, do no harm to any person who has invested in real estate adjacent to Balboa reservoir during the last thirty-nine years.

4. Balboa reservoir construction costs less than half the cost of Glen Park reservoir.

5. Balboa reservoir site is best, both from the standpoint of elevation and because its geographical location is in the center of the district it will serve.

6. Growth in demand for water will force us to build Balboa reservoir in a few years.

7. By starting the work now we get several hundred thousands of dollars worth of work done free by the C. W. A. workers.

8. Balboa reservoir site lends itself to the construction of two large, shallow basins, each of 75 million gallons capacity, either of which may be drained and cleaned out while the other carries the load.

9. The shallow depth of water in both basins enables us to construct a concrete roof over them, preventing water pollution.

10. Such a roof construction might be made strong enough to bear the load of sufficient earth to allow the entire 30 acres to be used as a park or recreation center.

11. Balboa reservoir construction furnishes 2100 men with jobs in this time of economic stress.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, *laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m., Tuesday, February 13, 1934:*

Hearing of Appeal from Assessment on Garfield Street at 3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing and Directing the City Attorney to Dismiss Certain Eminent Domain Proceedings Now Pending Where the Necessary Lands for the Projects Covered by Said Projects Has Been Acquired by the City.

(Code No. 6.0221)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 505, Ordinance No. 6.02212, as follows:

Authorizing and directing the City Attorney to dismiss certain eminent domain proceedings now pending where the necessary land for the projects covered by said proceedings has been acquired by the City.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby authorized to dismiss any and all pending actions wherein the City and County of San Francisco is plaintiff and which were commenced under the eminent domain law of the State of California for the acquisition of real

property for public improvements, in such cases where the lands necessary for said improvements have been acquired by the City.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Authorizing the Borrowing of \$5,000,000 Solely for the Purpose of Anticipating Receipt of Income, etc.

(Code No. 9.033)

Also, Bill No. 506, Ordinance No. 9.0333, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 in accordance with appropriations made as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$5,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1933-1934; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes, and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$5,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1933-1934, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$5,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$26,000,000 and that said sum of \$5,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$5,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$5,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said

Board of Supervisors and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1933-1934, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to May 15, 1934, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1933-1934 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1933-1934

No. _____ \$ _____
San Francisco, _____, 1934.

On the 15th day of May, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of _____ dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of _____ per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of five million (5,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1933-1934 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....,
President of the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

.....,
Controller of the City and County of San
Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....,
Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1934), as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1934, shall be cancelled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Amending the Annual Salary Ordinance—Controller's Office, by Adding Item 21½—Payroll Machine Operator; a Transfer From Municipal Railway.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 507, Ordinance No. 9.05357, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by creating and establishing a permanent and full-time employment under Item 21½, heretofore established as temporary and as needed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend Section 67 of Ordinance No. 9.05339 to read as follows:

Section 67. CONTROLLER.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	9	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls	300
10	1	B216	Clerk (part-time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½	1	B301	Payroll Machine Operator.....	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
27	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
28	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part-time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services	150

Section 2. Funds for the salary of this position were appropriated heretofore under Appropriation Item No. 210 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance (Municipal Railroad—Salaries). The duties are now transferred to the Controller and under the provisions of Section 1 of the said appropriation ordinance the funds heretofore appropriated to the Municipal Railroad for this employment have been transferred to the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Providing for Preliminary Engineering Costs in Connection With Construction of Proposed Sewer and High Pressure Projects.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 508, Ordinance No. 9.051118, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of five thousand (\$5,000) dollars to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding five thousand (\$5,000) dollars, and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-1934 Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be recharged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be re-charged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Appropriating \$330,000 for the Caring for the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor of the City and County, to February 28, 1934, etc.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 510, Ordinance No. 9.051119, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$330,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to February 28th, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$330,000 dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to February 28, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks on Bryant Street Between Spear Street and Precita Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 511, Ordinance No. 12.073118, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Twenty-nine (29) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Twenty-nine (29) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 29:

The width of sidewalks on Bryant street shall be as follows:

Spear street to Fifth street.....	15 feet
Fifth street to Eighth street.....	8 feet
Eighth street to Ninth street, northwesterly side....	8 feet
Eighth street to a point 275 feet southwesterly therefrom; southeasterly side	8 feet
Ninth street to a point 275 feet northeasterly therefrom; southeasterly side	abolished
Tenth street to Tenth street.....	8 feet
Tenth street to Precita avenue.....	15 feet

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks, Fell Street Between Polk and Van Ness Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 512, Ordinance No. 12.073119, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred thirty-six (1136).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-six (1136), to read as follows:

Section 1136. The width of sidewalks on Fell street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks—Tenth Street Between Market and Division Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 513, Ordinance No. 12.073120, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Two Hundred and Forty-two (242) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Two Hundred and Forty-two (242) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 242. The width of sidewalks on Tenth street between Market and Bryant streets shall be 10 feet; on Tenth street between Bryant and Division street shall be abolished.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Regulating Width of Sidewalks—Harrison Street Between Steuart Street and Precita Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 514, Ordinance No. 12.073121, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-eight (78) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works filed in this office January 15, 1934, by amending Section Seventy-eight (78) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 78: The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Steuart street and Precita avenue shall be as follows:

Steuart street to Fifth street, 15 feet;

Fifth street to Tenth street, 8 feet;

Tenth street to Alameda street, 15 feet;

Alameda street to Sixteenth street, 15 feet westerly side;

Alameda street to Sixteenth street, abolished easterly side;

Sixteenth street to Seventeenth street, 15 feet westerly side;

Sixteenth street to Seventeenth street, abolished easterly side;

Seventeenth street to Eighteenth street, 15 feet easterly side;

Seventeenth street to Eighteenth street, abolished westerly side;

Eighteenth street to Nineteenth street, 15 feet;

Nineteenth street to Twentieth street, 15 feet easterly side;

Nineteenth street to Twentieth street, abolished westerly side;

Twentieth street to Precita avenue, 15 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Providing for the Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes to Be Issued Pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0333.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1271, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0333, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current

fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriation will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above-mentioned, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3:00 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 19th day of February, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco, computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale Issued by Tax Collector Pursuant to the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance, Upon Which No Deed Has Been Executed by the Tax Collector.

(Code No. 12.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 523, Ordinance No. 12.0331, as follows:

Providing for the cancellation of certain certificates of sale heretofore issued by the Tax Collector under and pursuant to the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance and amendments thereto in all cases where certificates have been issued for the sale of said property and no deed to said property has been executed by the Tax Collector.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to cancel any and all certificates of sale issued by him under and pursuant to the provisions of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, and to cancel the assessments against the property covered by said certificates in all cases where the owners of said property shall, within six months after the effective date of this ordinance, pay to said Tax Collector all amounts due upon the respective parcels of property mentioned in said respective certificates, together with twenty-five (25) per cent of the amount for which the said respective parcels of property were sold.

Section 2. In all cases where the owners or those interested in the several properties described in the aforesaid certificates have not, at the expiration of six months from and after the effective date of this ordinance, paid the respective amounts for which said several properties have been sold, together with the penalties provided for the

non-payment thereof, then, in that event, the Tax Collector shall forthwith proceed to execute deeds to the persons to whom said properties were sold in accordance with the provisions of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall not annul or repeal any provisions of said Tunnel Procedure Ordinance except in so far as it gives to the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco the right to permit the redemption of the said several properties mentioned in said certificates of sale heretofore issued within the time herein specified and at the expiration of six months from and after the date hereof, this ordinance shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of \$8,500 to Thomas J. Lorigan and Wife for Lot 44, Block 1260; Required for Extension of Castro Street.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1272, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Thomas J. Lorigan, et ux., to Lot 44, in Assessor's Block 1260; and that the sum of \$8,500 be paid for said land from the County Road Fund, chargeable to Appropriation No. 150-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of \$6,650 to Henry E. Bodmer for Lot 62, Block 1260; Required for Extension of Castro Street.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1273, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Henry E. Bodmer et al., to Lot 22, in Assessor's Block 1260, San Francisco, required for the extension of Castro street; and that the sum of \$6,650 be paid for said land from the County Road Fund, Appropriation No. 150-3.

It is understood and agreed that the work shop situated in the rear of the lot is to remain the property of the grantors and is to be removed by them when given thirty (30) days' notice by the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of \$100 to Anthony and Martha Bannister for Lot 17, Block 5614; Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1274, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Anthony Bannister and Martha Emma Bannister, his wife, to Lot 17, in Assessor's Block 5614; and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, Appropriation No. 170, 1931-32.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1275, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 342 to Director of Public Works for \$285.68, No. 343 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 344 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 345 to San Francisco Paving Co. for \$24.90, No. 346 to Charlotte Horrigan, administratrix, Estate M. Dore, Deceased, for \$1,068.71; No. 347 to The Islais Co., Ltd., for \$1,650; No. 348 to Krieg Tanning Company for \$5,120, No. 349 to San Francisco Paving Co. for \$297.90, No. 350 to Louis Sutter for \$1,070, No. 351 to Anderson and Ringrose for \$2,476.65—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Approving Map and Declaring a Portion of Hunter's Point Boulevard and a Portion of Donahue Street Open Public Streets.

(Code No. 12.0821)

Also, Resolution No. 1262, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled, "Map showing the opening of Hunter's Point boulevard between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; also, the widening of Donahue street between Galvez and Innes avenues," approved by the Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3615, approved January 17, 1934, be and is hereby approved, and parcels numbered 1 to 7, both inclusive, thereon be and are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Referred.

The following bill was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *referred to the Finance Committee*:

Relating to the Working Hours and Days Per Week on Contracts for Work to Be Performed for the City and County of San Francisco and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.

(Code No. 9.092)

Bill No. 509, Ordinance No. 9.0922, as follows:

Relating to the working hours and days per week on contracts for work to be performed for the City and County of San Francisco and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That every contract for any public work or improvement to be performed within the State of California, at the expense of this City and County or paid for out of moneys deposited in its treasury, whether such work be done directly under contract awarded, or indirectly by or under subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work or piece work or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide, in addition to other provisions required by law, that no person performing labor thereunder in the execution of such contract, subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work, piece work or

any other arrangement shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday to Friday, inclusive; and that the wage or compensation to be paid to said laborers, mechanics or artisans shall be on the basis of the highest general prevailing rate of wages heretofore or hereafter fixed by this Board and shall be determined on the basis that the actual number of hours worked bears to the basic rate established for an eight-hour day.

Section 2. Any contractor and/or subcontractor shall be jointly and severally liable and shall forfeit as a penalty to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10) dollars for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed, for each calendar day, or portion thereof, such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled to work more than the hours or days specified herein; and every contract and subcontract shall have inserted therein a clause to this effect, and every contractor and/or subcontractor violating the terms or provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed to be an irresponsible bidder upon all future contracts for public works or improvements to be performed for said City and County.

Section 3. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise making a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portions thereof the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the office, board or commission awarding such contract.

Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Section 4. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to shop work, as such is understood in trade practice and to work done in established shops.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal, Property Located on the Northerly Line of Pine Street, 77 Feet 6 Inches West of Stockton Street, Monday, February 12, 1934, at 2:30 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1269, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northerly line of Pine street, 77 feet 6 inches west of Stockton street, is hereby set for Monday, February 12, 1934, at 2:30 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Fixing Monday, February 12, 1934, at 2 P. M., as Time and Place for Hearing Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, West Line Bay Shore Boulevard, Commencing at a Point 75 Feet North of Wayland Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1270, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property situated on the west line of Bay Shore boulevard, commencing at a point 75 feet north of Wayland avenue, and running thence north-

erly 50 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby set for Monday, February 12, 1934, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Regulating Sidewalk Widths—Eighteenth Street Between Connecticut and Illinois Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 521, Ordinance No. 12.073122, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Fifty-six (56) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 27, 1934, by amending Section Fifty-six (56) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 56. The width of sidewalks on Eighteenth street between Connecticut and Illinois streets shall be 12 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Acceptance of Woodside Avenue, Etc.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 522, Ordinance No. 12.081112, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Woodside avenue from existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the roadway of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the roadway of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside

avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed to Utility Easement.

(Code No. 12.1011)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1265, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 26th day of September, 1927, by and between Edward W. McFaden, grantor, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding land for utility easement in Outside Lands, Block No. 1110, approved by Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3658, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Accepting Deed to Utility Easement.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 1266, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 24th day of January, 1934, by and between Anna C. Sutkamp, grantor, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding land for utility easement in Outside Lands, Block 1114 and Block 1115, approved by Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3658, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Accepting Deed to Utility Easement.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 1267, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 27th day of September, 1927, by and between Woodside Investment Company, grantor, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding land for utility easement in Outside Lands, Block 1113 and Block 1114, approved by Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3658, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Accepting Deed to Utility Easement.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 1268, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 15th day of September, 1927, by and between Gladys Marlow and Horace Marlow, grantors, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding land for utility easement in Outside Lands, Block No. 1115, approved by Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3658, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

STREET IMPROVEMENT ORDINANCE OF 1934.

(Code No. 12.021)

Bill No. 518, Ordinance No. 12.0211, as follows:

Providing for proceedings for work or improvements in or upon public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads and other public property and rights of way, in the City and County of San Francisco, including property over which possession or right of use has been obtained under the provisions of Sections 14 and 14½ of Article I of the Constitution of California, and for establishing or changing the grades of any such public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads, properties or rights of way; prescribing and providing for the method of assessing the expenses of such work or improvements upon lands in private ownership; providing for a lien on lands so assessed for such work or improvements; providing a method for collecting or enforcing such assessments; providing for bonds to be given under certain conditions by those interested in land so assessed; providing a method for the payment of such bonds; and providing for the sale of lands so assessed for the payment of any of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

PART I.

Section 1. All streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property, rights of way, tidelands and submerged lands, in the City and County of San Francisco, now open or dedicated or which hereafter may be opened or dedicated to public use, and any property in said City and County for the immediate possession and use of which, as right of way required for public use, an order has or shall have been obtained pursuant to Sections 14 and 14½, Article I, California Constitution, and all tidelands and submerged lands which have been or hereafter may be granted by the State of California to said City and County, shall be deemed and held to be open public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property or rights of way owned by said City and County, for the purposes of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County is hereby empowered to establish and change the grades of all said ways, properties and rights of way and fix the width thereof, and is hereby invested with jurisdiction to order to be done therein, thereover or thereon, either singly or in any combination, pursuant to the proceedings hereafter described, any and all of the work mentioned in this ordinance. The words, public ways, when used in this ordinance shall be deemed to include all the existing and future property of said City and County hereinabove mentioned. The word, Supervisors, when hereafter used, will refer to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Supervisors, pursuant to the procedure herein prescribed, are hereby empowered to order the whole or any portion or portions, in either length or width, of any one or more of said public ways to be improved by or have constructed therein, thereover or thereon either singly or in any combination, any of the following work:

(a) The grading or regrading, paving or repaving, planking or replanking, macadamizing or remacadamizing, graveling or regraveling, oiling or reoiling, piling or repiling, capping or recapping, repairing or reconstruction thereof.

(b) The filling of excavations therein.

(c) The construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, crosswalks, steps, safety zones, platforms, seats, statuary, fountains, parks and parkways, culverts, bridges, curbs, gutters, tunnels, subways or viaducts.

(d) Sanitary sewers or instrumentalities of sanitation, together with the necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, tunnels, channels and other appurtenances.

(e) Drains, tunnels, sewers, conduits, culverts and channels for drainage purposes, with necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, channels and appurtenances.

(f) Viaducts, conduits, water pipes, water connections and appurtenances and subways.

(g) Poles, posts, wires, pipes, conduits, tunnels, lamps and other suitable or necessary appliances for the purpose of lighting said public ways or other property owned by said City and County.

(h) Pipes, hydrants and appliances for fire protection.

(i) Breakwaters, levees, bulkheads, retaining walls and walls of rock or other material to protect said public ways and other property in said City and County from overflow by water.

(j) Retaining walls, embankments and other structures necessary or suitable in connection with any of the work in this section mentioned.

(k) The planting of trees, shrubs or any other ornamental vegetation.

(l) The installation of appliances for regulating traffic of pedestrians and vehicles and all other traffic, together with all requisite cables, wires, conduits and all other instrumentalities necessary or proper for the operation of such appliances.

(m) All other work necessary or suitable to improve the whole or any portion of said public ways.

(n) All other work auxiliary to any of the work above mentioned, which may be necessary or convenient for the performance of the same.

Section 3. When, in the judgment of the Director of Public Works of said City and County, public interest or convenience requires that any of the work mentioned in this ordinance be done, the expense of the whole or any part of which is to be assessed upon private property, and said Director deems the same expedient, he may by written order declare such expediency and briefly describe such work. Said Director shall cause to be preserved in the office of the Department of Public Works in permanent and appropriate form a written record of all acts pursuant to the procedure prescribed by this ordinance including all orders made by him hereunder, so that at all times during business hours there shall be open to the public a complete written record of all acts pursuant hereto. All orders of said Director pursuant hereto shall be identified by his signature. Upon making any such order of expediency, said Director shall cause to be prepared specifications, or plans and specifications, as the case may require, for the proposed work.

Section 4. At any time after the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the contemplated work shall have been prepared, said Director may make an order declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order to be done the work described in his order declaring the expediency thereof, or some part or modification of such work.

Said order declaring his intention shall refer to the public way affected, by its lawful or official name, or the name by which it is commonly known. When the contemplated work is not upon a public street or thoroughfare, the order shall briefly describe the property or right of way on which the work is to be done. Said order will be sufficient if it states in general terms the kind of work contemplated, such as grading, paving, sewerage or other improvements, gives in general the location of the proposed improvement and refers to the specifications, or plans and specifications, therefor, for a full and detailed description of the proposed work. Said order declaring the intention of

said Director shall also contain a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the proposed work may appear before said Director and show cause why said proposed work should not be done in accordance with said order declaring the intention of said Director. Said time shall not be less than fifteen or more than thirty days from the date of making said order of intention.

Said Director may include in one proceeding, under one order declaring his intention and in one contract, any of the different kinds of work mentioned in this ordinance on any number of public ways, contiguous or otherwise, and he may except therefrom any of such work already done.

The grade to which any work shall be done shall be such as may be shown on the plans or profiles therefor, or it may be done on such a grade as may formally have been established by the Supervisors. If any official grade already has been established for any of the public ways proposed to be improved, it shall be lawful for the order of said Director declaring his intention, to provide that said work shall be done to new grades or grades different from those so established, and said order shall refer to plans, profiles or specifications for the description of the grade at which the work is to be done. Any property owner whose property is to be assessed to pay the costs of the proposed work may at the time fixed in said order for hearing objections appear before said Director and object to the proposed grade or proposed modification of grade. A failure to make objection at such time shall be deemed to be a waiver of all objections to the proposed grade or proposed change of grade and shall operate as a bar to any claim for damages or any subsequent action looking to the prevention of the work or the recovery of damages on account of the performance of the work to such grade or changed grade. The provisions of this section relative to grades are alternative and do not affect other provisions of law relative to change of grade.

Section 5. Said Director shall make the expense of such work chargeable upon the district in his opinion benefited by such work. In his said order declaring his intention he shall describe such district and declare it to be the district which will be benefited by such work. Such district may be described in such order by stating the exterior boundaries thereof, or by giving a description thereof according to any official or recorded map or maps, or by referring to the maps or block books customarily used by the Assessor and Tax Collector for City and County assessment or tax collection purposes, or by referring to a plat or map which shall be on file in the office of said Director at the time of making the order declaring his intention; said last mentioned plat or map shall indicate by a boundary line the extent of the territory included in the proposed district, and, if referred to as hereinabove provided for, shall govern for all details as to the extent of such assessment district.

When two or more public ways, not contiguous or directly connected, are to be improved under the same proceeding, such number of districts may be provided for therein as shall be deemed by said Director to be expedient.

Section 6. Said Director may, if he deem it advisable, and when there is an unexpended and unencumbered balance in any fund in the City and County Treasury which has been appropriated for such general purpose, and the written consent of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller has been obtained, recommend to the Supervisors that not to exceed two-thirds of the expense of any of the work mentioned in this ordinance shall be paid out of said Treasury from such unexpended and unencumbered balance. Said Director shall state the fact of such intended recommendation in his order declaring his intention to recommend that the work be done, specifying in such order the amount so to be recommended for payment from the Treasury.

If the Supervisors follow the recommendation in whole or in part,

they shall appropriate for such purpose, in the ordinance ordering the work, the amount so recommended, or such part thereof as they shall consent to; provided, however, that no such appropriation shall be made until the provisions of Section 86 of the Charter of said City and County shall have been complied with. The amount so to be paid from the Treasury shall be payable at such time or times as shall be specified in the notice calling for sealed proposals for the work.

Whenever any of the expense of such work is so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury said Director in making up the assessment herein-after provided for, shall, unless the Supervisors in said ordinance otherwise provide, and except as otherwise provided in Subdivision 4, Section 24, of this ordinance, first deduct from the whole expense of such work the amount so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury, and shall assess the remainder of said expense upon the parcels of land liable to be assessed therefor in the manner hereinafter provided.

This section shall not be construed as a limitation upon the power of the Supervisors to make an appropriation from the Treasury at any other time or in any other manner to pay not to exceed two-thirds of the cost of any work mentioned in this ordinance.

Section 7. A copy of the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order work to be done shall be published for one day in the official newspaper of said City and County. Such publication shall be made at least ten days before the date fixed in said order for hearing thereon by said Director. A copy of said order shall be posted in the office of said Director at least ten days before the date named in said order for action thereon by said Director.

Said Director shall cause notices of the making of said order to be conspicuously posted along all the streets within the district chargeable for the expense of the work, at not more than three hundred feet in distance apart, on each street so posted, but not less than three on each street in such district.

No proceeding shall ever be held invalid for failure to post any street or streets, as in this section provided, if the provision of this section has been substantially complied with. All posting hereby prescribed must be completed at least ten days before the day set for hearing on said order of said Director declaring his intention.

Said notice shall be headed "Notice of Improvement" in letters of not less than one inch in height, and shall, in legible characters, state the fact of the adoption of such order of said Director declaring his intention, its date, and shall briefly describe the work proposed to be done, and shall refer to said order of said Director for further particulars. Said notices shall also set out the proposed district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work. Said district shall be described in the same manner in which it shall be described in the order of said Director declaring his intention as provided for in Section 5. Said notices shall also state that it is proposed to assess the property within such district to pay the total or partial expense of such work, as the case may be. Said notices shall also state that all objections to the proposed work or district or otherwise must be filed, in writing, with said Director before the day fixed in his said order for action thereon, or must be made orally on said day, or on the day to which action on said order may be postponed. The day, hour and place fixed in said order for action thereon shall also be indicated in said notices.

The Secretary of the Department of Public Works shall cause to be mailed, at least 10 days prior to the hearing, postage prepaid, a copy of such order to each property owner whose name appears upon the assessment book of the City and County current at the time of the making of such order, and whose property is to be assessed for the proposed work or improvement. In case any lot, piece or parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work or improvement be assessed on such assessment book to "unknown owners," then no copy of such order need be mailed to the owner thereof.

The mailing of such copy of such order shall be to the address as the same appears upon the said assessment book as indicating the address of the owner of the property to be assessed for such work or improvement; and in case no such address appears upon said assessment book, then the mailing of such copy may be made either to an address designated in the last issue of the city directory having relation to a name corresponding to that of such owner, if such a name appear therein, or to an address obtainable from any other probably reliable source of information that may be conveniently available to the person performing such mailing, or such mailing to such owner may be made to the general delivery of the post office at the City and County.

The Supervisors, before ordering the contemplated work to be done or improvement made, may, if they deem it advisable, require an affidavit to be filed showing that the foregoing requirement for the mailing of such copies of the said order has been complied with. Such affidavit showing such mailing shall be conclusive of the facts therein recited.

Such requirement for such mailing of the copies of the order of intention shall not be deemed jurisdictional, and the failure of the said property owners, or any of them, to receive said copies of the said order, or any error or omission in relation to the said mailing of the same, shall in nowise affect the validity of the proceeding or prevent the Supervisors from acquiring jurisdiction to order the proposed work or improvement. Knowledge of the making of such order of intention acquired by any such owner, prior to the date of action thereon, in any manner other than by mailing to him a copy of such order, shall be deemed the equivalent of such mailing for all purposes to be subserved thereby.

Section 8. At any time before the day fixed in said order of said Director for action thereon by said Director, any owner of, or person interested in, property liable to be assessed for the proposed work, or the duly authorized representative of such owner, or other person, in his behalf, may make written protest against the same or to the extent of the district to be assessed therefor, or both, or make any other protest with regard thereto. Such protest must be in writing, contain a description of the property in which each signer thereof is interested, sufficient to identify the same, set forth the nature of his interest therein, and be delivered to the Department of Public Works of said City and County, the secretary or a clerk of which shall endorse thereon the date of receipt thereof. No other protests or objections shall be considered by said Director, except oral protests made at the time at which said Director conducts the hearing mentioned in his said order. At the time set for hearing protests said Director may publicly postpone action on his said order from time to time, and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice of such postponement and shall be governed thereby. The decision of said Director on all such protests shall be final and conclusive except in case of appeal to the Supervisors as in this ordinance hereinafter provided for.

Section 9. If any protest against such proposed work or proposed district or any other protest be sustained by said Director, he shall not thereby be prevented from commencing proceedings anew hereunder which shall embrace the same work and/or the same district or any part or parts of either or both thereof; and new proceedings may be had the same as if all such prior proceedings, no matter how many times instituted, had never been commenced.

If no protests be made against such proposed district, or if all protests made against the same be overruled by said Director, said Director shall accompany his recommendation to the Supervisors that the proposed work be ordered, with a diagram on which shall be delineated each separate parcel of land within the limits of such assessment district, the approximate dimensions of each such parcel, and its relative location to the work proposed to be done. The correctness of such

diagram shall be certified by the City Engineer. Instead of said diagram said Director may accompany his said recommendation to the Supervisors with a description of such proposed district.

Section 10. If the protests to any proposed work or proposed district or other protests be all overruled, or if no protests be made, said Director shall, within five days from the date of his action upon his said order declaring his intention, make an order recommending to the Supervisors that they order such work to be done, and said Director shall cause a copy of said last mentioned order to be transmitted to the Supervisors.

When any protests by persons having any interest in the property to be assessed have been overruled by said Director, an appeal may be taken separately by each such protestant to the Supervisors from the decision of such Director. Each such appeal shall be in writing, and shall be signed by each protestant participating therein with his place of residence set down opposite his signature and with a description of the property in which he is interested sufficient for identification of the same. Such appeal must be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supervisors within ten days from the date of said Director's order recommending to the Supervisors that the work be ordered done, and a copy of such appeal must be filed in the office of said Department of Public Works within two days after the date of filing such appeal with the Clerk of the Supervisors. No appeal shall be considered by the Supervisors unless the same be taken and perfected in the manner and within the time herein provided.

When said Director has overruled all such protests, he shall within five days after the date of such action make his order reciting such action, and therein recommend to the Supervisors that they order the proposed work to be done and approve the proposed assessment district and transmit a copy of such order to the Supervisors.

When an appeal or appeals shall have been taken as herein provided, the Supervisors shall fix a time for hearing the same. The Clerk of the Supervisors shall thereupon notify the persons filing such an appeal or appeals of the time fixed for the hearing by mailing a notice thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to each of said persons at his address as given in such notice of appeal. The affidavit of said clerk of said mailing shall be conclusive of the fact.

At the time so fixed for hearing the appeal, the Supervisors shall hear and pass upon the same. Such hearing may be continued from time to time and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice thereof and shall be governed thereby.

Section 11. The Supervisors, if they do not deny such appeal, may by resolution delay further proceedings in relation to the proposed work for not more than one year from the date of the adoption of such resolution. Upon petition of the appellants the Supervisors may continue with the proceedings from time to time during said period of delay.

Upon expiration of the period of delay so fixed by the Supervisors, said Director may again recommend to the Supervisors that the proposed work be done and the proposed district be confirmed, and thereupon the Supervisors, after notice to the appellants as provided for in Section 10, shall order the work to be done and the boundaries of the proposed district confirmed or may declare an abandonment of all proceedings theretofore had in the matter.

The ordering of any proposed work and confirmation of any proposed district shall be by ordinance.

Section 12. Said Director, at any stage of the proceedings for any proposed work, prior to action by the Supervisors upon his recommendation that they order the same done, may by order abandon any or all proceedings theretofore had in relation to such proposed work; and said Director may commence said proceedings anew and continue the same from any part of said proceedings not so abandoned. If said Director abandons any or all proceedings after his making an order

of recommendation and before action thereon by the Supervisors, he shall cause notice of such fact forthwith to be transmitted to the Supervisors, and the Supervisors shall take no action upon the recommendation in such case.

If the Supervisors pass an ordinance ordering any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, they may upon recommendation of said Director repeal such ordinance ordering work.

Said Director, from time to time after he has abandoned any proceedings for any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, may institute and continue proceedings hereunder for the work theretofore proposed and abandoned, or for such work or modified work, as he may determine the public interest or convenience requires, all in accordance with the procedure prescribed in this ordinance.

Section 13. The ordinance ordering the work to be done and approving the assessment district shall contain a description of the assessment district similar to that contained in said order of said Director declaring his intention. In all other ordinances, resolutions, notices, orders and determinations, subsequent to said order of said Director declaring his intention and subsequent to the notices of hearing thereon, except the notices of recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment hereinafter provided for, it shall not be necessary to describe the assessment district, but it shall be sufficient to refer to said order of said Director declaring his intention for a description of the work and a description of the assessment district.

Section 14. After the Supervisors, pursuant hereto, have passed an ordinance ordering work to be done, said Director shall cause a notice to be published for two consecutive days in the official newspaper and posted conspicuously in his office for a period of not less than ten days, inviting sealed proposals for the contemplated work.

Said notice shall invite sealed proposals for the contemplated work to be delivered to said Director at his office, or at a place to be designated by him in said notice, on a day and during an hour to be specified therein, which shall be not less than ten days after the date of last publication of said notice as hereinabove provided and after the first day of said posting of said notice. Said notice shall contain a description of the proposed work substantially similar to that contained in the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend that the Supervisors order the same to be done. Said notice shall also contain a reservation of the right to reject any and all bids, and shall specify the period of time within which the work is to be completed after the date of execution of the contract therefor and the amount of the bond to be given by the awardee of the contract for faithful performance of the same.

Reference to the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the proposed work shall also be incorporated in said notice for further information concerning the details of the proposed work.

Section 15. All proposals shall be made upon printed forms to be prepared by said Director and furnished gratuitously upon application.

Every proposal made shall be accompanied by a check certified by a responsible bank, payable to the order of said City and County for an amount not less than ten per centum of the aggregate of the proposal, and no proposal shall be considered unless accompanied by such check.

No person, firm or corporation shall make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same improvement. If on the opening of bids more than one bid appear in which the same person, firm or corporation is interested, all said last mentioned bids shall be rejected.

On the day and during the hour specified in said notice inviting sealed proposals, said Director shall be in his office, or in the place designated by him in said notice, and all bids shall be delivered to him within the hour named in said notice. No bid not so delivered to him shall be considered. Each bid as it shall be received shall be numbered and marked "Filed" by said Director and authenticated by his

signature. At the expiration of the hour stated in said notice, said Director shall publicly open, examine and declare the same and an abstract of each bid shall be recorded in a public register to be kept by said Director for such purpose. Said Director shall immediately compare the bids with the record so made, and shall thereupon or at such other time not exceeding twenty days thereafter award the contract for the work to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder, except as otherwise herein provided. Notice of such award shall be caused to be posted for five days by said Director in some conspicuous place in the office of the Department of Public Works and such notice shall be published once in the official newspaper. Said Director may reject any and all bids and may reject the bid of any bidder who has been delinquent or unfaithful in any former contract with said City and County and must reject all bids other than the bid of the lowest reliable and responsible bidder; and, on accepting said lowest bid, he shall thereupon return to the proper parties the checks corresponding to the bids so rejected. If all the bids are rejected said Director shall return all the checks to the proper parties and may again invite sealed proposals for the proposed work as in the first instance.

The check accompanying the accepted bid shall be held by said Director until the contract for doing said work as hereinafter provided has been entered into, either by said lowest bidder, or by owners as hereinafter provided, whereupon said certified check shall be returned to said bidder. If said bidder fails, neglects or refuses to enter into a contract to perform the work as in this ordinance hereinafter provided then the certified check accompanying his bid and the amount therein mentioned shall be declared by order of said Director to be forfeited to said City and County, and shall be collected by it and paid into the treasury of said City and County and credited to the item or items of the annual appropriation for the improvement of streets and sewers, unless said Director, or the Supervisors on appeal, duly remit such forfeiture.

Section 16. The owners of at least three-fourths of the assessable area of the assessment district shall not be required to present sealed proposals, but may upon making an oath that they are such owners, within ten days after the publication of the notice of award, elect to enter into a written contract with said Director to do the whole work specified in said award at the price or prices at which the same has been awarded.

Should such owners not enter into such a contract for said work within said time, the awardee to whom the contract has been awarded shall enter into a contract for said work within seven days after the expiration of the time within which said owners might have entered into a contract for the same.

Section 17. At any time within five days from the date of the publication of the notice of award hereunder of a contract for work, any owner of, or any other person having any interest in, any parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work, who claims that any of the previous acts or proceedings relating to said work are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty, may file in the office of said Department of Public Works a written notice specifying in what respect or respects said acts or said proceedings are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty. Said notice shall state that it is made pursuant to this section, and shall contain the address of the person filing the same, and a description of the property owned by or in which he is interested sufficient to identify the same.

All objections to any act or proceeding occurring prior to the time within which such objections are permitted to be filed in relation to said work, not made in writing and in the manner and at the time aforesaid, shall be deemed waived.

Section 18. If the original awardee fails or refuses, for seventeen days after the publication of the notice of award to enter into the contract, when the same in due form has been presented to him for execu-

tion by said Director, then said Director, without further proceedings shall again advertise for and receive bids, as in the first instance, and award the contract for the work to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder. Should no bids be received in response to such second call, said Director may again advertise for and receive bids under the same proceedings at any time within six months from the time set for the first reception of bids, and let the contract to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder, and such delay shall in no way affect the validity of any of the proceedings or assessments levied thereunder. The bids of all persons and the election of all owners aforesaid, who have failed to enter into a contract as herein provided, shall be rejected in or upon any bidding subsequent to the first bidding for such work.

Section 19. Every contract in this ordinance referred to shall be executed by said Director on behalf of said City and County. The Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County shall also approve by his signature every such contract which involves the expenditure of over \$2,000. Whenever in any such contract the City and County is obligated to pay any portion of the contract price, the Controller also shall approve such contract by his signature.

Section 20. Every contract entered into by said Director, pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall be signed by the other contracting party. Every such contract shall be signed in triplicate by all parties. One of said triplicates, together with the specifications or plans and specifications, as the case may be, of the work to be done under such contract, shall be kept in the office of said Director; another of said triplicates, with such plans and specifications, shall be delivered to the contractor or contracting owners referred to in Section 16 of this ordinance, and the third triplicate shall be delivered to the Controller.

At the time of execution of the contract by the contractor, or said contracting owners, he or they, as the case may be, shall execute to said City and County, and deliver with the contract, a bond in a sum named in the notice calling for bids, executed by a surety company authorized to do business in this state, or they shall deposit with said Director a certified check upon some solvent bank for said amount, all for the faithful performance of the contract.

The contract shall specify the time within which the work shall be completed, which shall be the same as that specified in the notice inviting bids therefor. Said Director may by order grant extensions of time within which to complete the work. An extension of time may be granted after the expiration of the time fixed in the contract, or as extended as herein provided, and the extension so granted shall be deemed to commence and be effective from the date of such expiration.

Failure of said Director to grant an extension or extensions of time as herein provided for shall not affect the rights of the contractor beyond a reasonable deduction from the contract price for any damage sustained by reason of delay in performance of the contract.

No such extension or extensions of time granted by said Director for completion of the work shall operate to relieve any surety or sureties on any bond from the liabilities assumed in or by such bond; nor shall any assignment of the contract qualify or change any such liability.

Section 21. If the owners or the awardee, who have entered into such a contract, do not complete the same within the time limited in the contract, or such extended time as is hereinbefore provided for, said Director may reaward the whole or the unfinished portion of said work, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedure in this ordinance prescribed for inviting proposals and awarding the contract. In such event, said owners or awardee shall be debarred from again entering into a contract to do said work or the unfinished portion thereof.

If said owners or the awardee fail or refuse to complete the contract

entered into, and said Director reawards the whole work or the incomplete portion thereof at a price in the aggregate exceeding that at which the work was originally awarded, the bond for faithful performance of the contract, in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for, shall be liable for any excess, resulting from such failure or refusal, of any assessment levied against any parcel of land for the expense of the work over what it would have been, had the original contract been performed; provided the original contract has not been cancelled by ordinance of the Supervisors.

Section 22. Every contractor, including contracting owners, to whom is awarded a contract for street work hereunder, shall upon the execution of such contract file with said Director a bond, approved by him, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable under the contract; such bond shall be executed by an authorized corporate surety able to justify in the manner provided by law; such bond must provide that if the contractor, or his, its or their subcontractors, fail to pay for any materials or provisions, or the reasonable rental value of teams, implements or machinery used in, upon, for or about the performance of the work contracted to be done, or for any work or labor of any kind done directly thereon or therefor, that the surety will pay the same, to an amount not exceeding the sum specified in such bond. Any laborer, materialman, person, company or corporation furnishing any of the items hereinabove in this section mentioned used in, upon, for or about, or contributing to, the performance of the work contracted to be done, and whose claim has not been paid may, at any time within ten days from the date of recordation of the assessment in the Department of Public Works, file in said Department a verified statement of his, its or their claim, together with a statement that the same or some part thereof has not been paid. Every person and corporation entitled to the benefit of this section shall severally have a first lien against the assessment, any partial assessment, any reassessment and any bonds issued to represent any such assessment or reassessment, which lien may be enforced in the Superior Court, in and for said City and County, according to the custom and practice of such court. Such action must be commenced within thirty days from the date of filing such verified statement.

No assignment by the contractor of the whole or any part of the money, or of such assessment, partial assessment, reassessment and/or bonds due or to become due him under the contract, or for extras in connection therewith, whether made before or after such verified claim is filed, shall be held to take priority over claims filed under this section, and all such assignments shall have no effect in so far as claims hereunder are concerned.

If any person or corporation against whom any such claim is filed shall dispute its correctness or validity, said Director may permit the contractor to whom the contract was awarded by said Director, or his assignee, to deliver to him a bond executed by a corporation authorized to issue surety bonds in the State of California, in a penal sum equal to one and a quarter times the amount of such claim; said bond shall guarantee the payment of whatever sum such claimant may recover in an action on said claim. Upon the filing of such a bond, said Director may release such moneys, assessments, partial assessments, reassessments and/or bonds to which such contractor, or his assignees, otherwise shall be entitled. The sureties upon such last mentioned bond shall be jointly and severally liable, with the sureties upon the bond in this section first mentioned, to such claimant.

Suits against the surety or sureties on the bonds in this section mentioned may be brought by any claimant or his assigns, at any time after the claimant has ceased to perform labor or furnish materials or both and until the expiration of one hundred fifty days after the period within which verified claims may be filed as hereinabove provided. The filing of such a verified claim shall not be a condition precedent to the maintenance of an action against the surety or sureties

on the bond in this section first mentioned, and an action on said bond may be maintained independently of any other action whatsoever. Upon the trial of any action in this section mentioned the court shall award to the prevailing party a reasonable attorney's fee to be taxed as costs.

Section 23. All work in this ordinance provided for must be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of said Director; and said Director shall require all materials used in such work to be in accordance with the specifications therefor; and all contracts provided for in this ordinance must contain a provision to the effect hereinbefore in this section set forth, and also a provision to the effect that in no case, except where it is otherwise provided in this ordinance or the Charter of said City and County, will said City and County or any department or official thereof, be liable for any portion of the expense of said work, or for any damages resulting in the course of the performance thereof, or for any delinquency of persons or of property assessed.

When any such work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of said Director, he shall so declare by certificate, which shall be made a permanent part of the records of his office, and thereupon he shall cause to be delivered to the contractor a duplicate of such certificate.

Section 24. When any work in, upon or over any public way shall have been completed according to contract, as herein provided for, said Director shall make an assessment to cover the sum due for the work performed and specified in said contract (including all incidental expenses), in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. The assessment shall briefly refer to the contract, the work contracted for and performed, and shall show the amount to be paid therefor, together with any incidental expenses, the amount of each assessment, the name of the owner of each lot (if known to said Director, and if not known the word "unknown" shall be written opposite the number of the lot and the amount assessed against it), and the number of each lot assessed; and said assessment shall have attached thereto a diagram exhibiting the public ways or public way crossings on, in or over which the work has been done, and showing the relative location of each distinct lot to the work done, numbered to correspond with the numbers in the assessment. A mistake in the name of the owner shall not invalidate any assessment.

All incidental expenses incurred in connection with the work must be paid to said Director before the issuance of the warrant, assessment and diagram herein provided for.

Subdivision 1. Where any work mentioned in this ordinance (man-holes, lampholes, cesspools, culverts, crosswalks, piling and capping excepted) is done on either or both sides of the center line of any street for one block or less and further work of the same class opposite to the work already done is ordered to be done to complete the unimproved portion of said street, the assessment to cover the total expense of said work so ordered shall be made upon the lots or portions of lots only fronting the portions of the work so ordered.

Where the sidewalk area of any portion of a street has been officially abolished in whole or reduced in part, and paving or other improvement of the roadway of such street has been extended to the inner line of the said area so abolished, the lot or lots fronting such abolished or reduced sidewalk area shall be additionally assessable for the costs and expenses of such extended paving or other improvement.

Subdivision 2. Immediately after the contractor has fulfilled his contract to the satisfaction of said Director, the said Director shall proceed to estimate upon the lands, lots or portions of lots within said assessment district, as shown by the diagram provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, the benefits arising from such work and to be received by each such lot, portion of such lot, piece or subdivision of land, and shall thereupon assess upon and against said lands in said assessment district the total amount of the expense of such work.

together with all incidental expenses, and in so doing shall assess said total sum upon the pieces, parcels, lots or portions of lots, and subdivisions of land, in said district, benefited by said work, to-wit: Upon each respectively in proportion to the benefits received by each of said several lots, portions of lots or subdivisions of land.

Subdivision 3. The expense of all work on such portion of any street required by law to be kept in order by any person, company or corporation having railroad tracks thereon, shall be borne and paid for by such person, company or corporation, and shall be included in the assessment in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for. The provisions of this subdivision shall be applicable to any street whereon railroad tracks have been constructed and are being maintained by the City and County; and the City and County shall pay for all street work which, if such tracks were owned by a private person or corporation, would have to be paid for by such private person or corporation.

Subdivision 4. Whenever any parcel of land belonging to the United States, the State of California, said City and County, or any public agent, mandatory, board or institution, and being in use in the performance of a public function, shall be included within the district declared by said Director, in his order declaring his intention, to be the district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work, said Director may, in his said order, declare that such parcels of land, or any of them, shall be omitted from the assessment thereafter to be made to cover the expense of such work. In the event of such declaration of omission, then the total expense of all such work shall be assessed on the remaining lots lying within such assessment district, without regard to such omitted parcels of land. If, however, said Director shall, in his said order declaring his intention, declare that said parcels of land so owned as aforesaid, or any of them, shall be included in the assessment, or if no declaration be made respecting such parcels of land, or any of them, then the respective sums which shall be assessed against said parcels of land so owned and used shall be paid out of funds in the treasury of said City and County theretofore appropriated for the purpose of street improvement; provided, however, that such assessments shall not be payable out of funds in said treasury, unless the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller of said City and County, in writing, consent thereto before said Director shall make his said order declaring his intention; provided further that all of the provisions of Section 6 of this ordinance must be complied with; and provided further that any such sum or sums so assessed against parcels of land so owned and used shall not be payable out of such City and County funds, when such sum or sums are paid by the owners of or bodies controlling such parcels of land.

Subdivision 5. Any owner or owners of lots or lands fronting upon any street, the width and grade of which have been established by the Supervisors, may perform at his or their own expense (after obtaining permission from the Director of Public Works so to do, but before said Director has made his order of intention to recommend grading inclusive of this) any grading upon said street, not beyond its grade as then established and thereupon may procure, at his or their own expense, a certificate from the City Engineer setting forth the number of cubic yards of cutting and filling made by him or them in said grading, and the proportions performed by each owner, provided, however, that, as to each lot, but one such certificate shall be issued for such grading; and thereafter such owner may file said certificate in the office of said Department of Public Works. Said certificate shall be recorded in a properly indexed book kept for that purpose in the office of said Department of Public Works. Whenever thereafter the Supervisors order the grading of said street, or any portion thereof, on which any grading certified as aforesaid has been done, the bids and contract must express the price by the cubic yard for grading, and such owner or owners, and his or their successors in interest, shall be entitled to credit on the assessment upon his or their lots and lands

fronting on said street for grading thereof, to the amount of the cubic yards of cutting and filling set forth in his or their said certificate, at the prices named in the contract for said grading; or, if the grade meanwhile has been legally changed, only for so much of said certified work as would be required for grading to the grade as changed. Such owner or owners shall not be entitled to any credit that may be in excess of the assessment for grading upon the lots and lands owned by him or them, and proportionately assessed for the whole of said grading. Said Director shall include in the assessment for the whole of said grading upon the same grade the number of cubic yards of grading set forth in any and all certificates so recorded in said office, or for the whole of said grading to the changed grade, so much of said certified work as would be required for grading thereto, and shall enter corresponding credits, deducting the same as payments upon the amounts assessed against the lot and lands owned respectively by said certified owners and their successors in interest; but said Director shall not credit any sums in excess of the assessments for the whole of the grading, which are made upon any lots and lands fronting upon said street and belonging to any such certified owners or their successors in interest.

When any owner or owners of any lots and lands fronting on any street shall have heretofore done, or shall hereafter do any work, except grading, on such street, in front of any block at his or their expense, and the Supervisors shall subsequently order any work to be done of the same class in front of the same block the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be excepted from the order ordering the work to be done; but the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be upon the official grade, and in condition satisfactory to the Director of Public Works at the time said order is passed.

Section 25. To said assessment shall be attached a warrant which shall be signed by said Director and countersigned by the acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works. Said warrant shall be substantially in the following form:

By virtue hereof the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by the authority vested in it, does authorize (name of contractor) his (or their) agents or assigns, to demand and receive the several assessments upon the assessment diagram hereto attached, and this shall be his (or their) warrant for the same.

(Date)

(Name of said Director), Director of Public Works.

Countersigned by (name of acting Secretary of Department of Public Works), Acting Secretary of the Department of Public Works.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be recorded in the office of said Department of Public Works. When so recorded the several amounts assessed shall be and remain a lien upon the parcels of land assessed, respectively, and such lien shall so continue until it be fully paid and discharged of record. Such lien shall be subordinate to all special assessment liens previously imposed upon the same property, but it shall have priority over all special assessment liens which may thereafter be created against said property. When a property owner, as hereinafter provided, gives a bond, in order that said assessment may be paid in installments, the unpaid balance of such bond shall continue to be a lien upon the parcel of land against which such bond shall be given until the expiration of two years after the date when the last installment payable under said bond shall become due. From the date of recordation of any warrant, assessment and diagram pursuant hereto, all persons interested in such assessment and in all property against which it shall be a lien shall be deemed to have notice of the contents of such warrant, assessment and diagram.

Section 26. No objection to the correctness or legality of the assessment or other act, determination or proceeding of said Director or of any board or officer, or otherwise, up to and including said recorda-

tion of the warrant, assessment and diagram, whether such objection appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment and diagram, or not, shall be made except by appeal to the Supervisors as in this section hereinafter provided for.

Upon the recordation in the office of the Department of Public Works of an assessment, diagram and warrant, as hereinbefore provided for, said Director shall cause notice of the recordation of the assessment, diagram and warrant to be given. Said notice shall also specify the time and place, to be fixed by said Director, when and where the protests of all persons interested in the work done or in the assessment, diagram or warrant for payment of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby, will be heard by the Supervisors, and shall also state that said assessment, diagram and warrant will be open to public inspection at the office of the Department of Public Works during business hours. Such notice shall be posted in the office of said Department of Public Works for not less than ten days before the time of hearing therein mentioned, and shall be published once in the official newspaper of said City and County not less than ten days before the time fixed for such hearing. Such notice shall also be posted in the manner provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance at least ten days before such hearing. All of the provisions of Section 7 shall be applicable to such posting. In said notice, reference shall be made to the order of said Director declaring his intention, for a description of the work done and no other description thereof shall be necessary. Said notice shall also contain the description of the assessment district contained in said order of said Director.

The owners, whether named in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or not, the contractor or his assigns, and all other persons interested in the work done, or in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or in any property affected thereby, feeling aggrieved by any act or determination of the said Director or of any board or officer in relation thereto, or who claim that the work has not been performed according to the contract in a good and substantial manner, or having or making any objection whatsoever to the correctness, fairness, reasonableness or legality of, or any other objection whatsoever to, the assessment, diagram or warrant or other act, determination or proceeding of the said Director or of any board or officer, or having or making any other objection whatsoever, shall, not later than the day before the day fixed for such hearing by the Supervisors, file in the office of the Clerk of said Supervisors notice of appeal and a copy thereof in the office of the Department of Public Works. Each such notice of appeal shall contain the name and address of the appellant, and, if he be interested in any property affected by the assessment, a description of the particular property in which he is interested, together with a statement of the nature of his interest therein. Each such notice shall also state the grounds upon which his grievance, claim or objection is based, in sufficient detail to make clear the nature of his grievance, claim or objection. If no appeal be filed with the Supervisors within the time and in the manner in this section provided, then no hearing need be had by said Supervisors as hereinabove provided for.

If, however, appeal be made as herein provided for, the Supervisors, at the time and place specified in said notice, shall consider all the appeals, protests, grievances, claims and objections filed in the manner and within the time hereinabove specified, and shall hear all evidence, statements and argument offered in support thereof. Such hearing may be postponed by said Supervisors from time to time, and all persons shall be deemed to have notice of all such postponements and shall be governed thereby.

Upon such appeal the Supervisors may remedy and correct any error or informality in the proceedings and revise and correct any of the acts or determinations of said Director relative to said work; may confirm, amend, set aside, alter, modify or correct the assess-

ment in such a manner as to them shall seem just, and may require the work to be completed according to their directions, and may instruct and direct said Director to correct the warrant, assessment or diagram in any particular, or to make and issue a new warrant, assessment and diagram to conform to their decisions in relation thereto, at their option.

All the decisions and determinations of the Supervisors, upon notice and hearing aforesaid, shall be final and conclusive upon all persons as to all errors, informalities and irregularities whether they do or do not appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment or diagram.

No assessment, warrant or diagram, after the issuance of the same, and no proceedings prior thereto, shall be held invalid by any court, or otherwise, for any error, informality, or other defect in the same, when notice of the recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment, diagram and warrant, and of hearing with regard thereto, has been actually published as in this section provided for.

When the time within which to appeal to the Supervisors, as hereinabove provided for, has expired, said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agent or assigns, on demand, provided no appeal has been filed as hereinabove provided for, but not until after the payment to said Director of the incidental expenses not previously paid. If an appeal to the Supervisors be taken within the time and in the manner hereinbefore provided for, then, upon the confirmation of a warrant, assessment and diagram, in connection with the work done, by the Supervisors, the same shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agents or assigns, on demand, after payment of the incidental expenses as aforesaid. By virtue of said warrant so delivered said contractor, or his agents or assigns, shall be authorized to demand and receive the amounts of the several assessments made to cover the sum due for the work specified in the contract and assessment.

Section 27. The warrant, after its delivery to the contractor or his assigns, shall constitute full authority to the contractor, his agent or assigns, to collect the said assessments, and they shall be free to make demands upon the owners by virtue of said warrant and to receive payment of said assessments and give receipts therefor. The warrant shall be returned to the Department of Public Works after its delivery to the contractor, or his assigns, with the written statement of all payments received upon the assessment, signed by the contractor, or his assigns, or some person on his or their behalf, and stating whether any of the assessment remains unpaid in whole or in part and the amount thereof. And if the assessment is payable in installments as provided in Part Two of this ordinance, then the fact that a bond has been given for such assessment shall be stated if such shall have been given. Thereupon the said Director shall cause the return so made to be recorded with the record of the warrant and assessment.

Section 28. At any time after thirty-five days from the date of the warrant, or if an appeal has been taken to the Supervisors, then at any time after five days from the decision of the Supervisors on such appeal, or after the return of a warrant which has been corrected, altered or modified as herein provided, but not less than thirty-five days after the date of such last mentioned warrant, the contractor or his assignee may sue the owners of, and all other persons having an interest in or encumbrance upon, the land, lots or portions thereof, affected by the assessment, and recover the amount of any assessment remaining unpaid, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per centum per annum from the date of the assessment until paid.

In all cases of recovery under the provisions of this ordinance the plaintiff shall recover such sum as the court may adjudge reasonable, in addition to the taxable costs, as an attorney's fee. The plaintiff shall be entitled to recover such reasonable sum as an attorney's fee in addition to all taxable costs, notwithstanding the suit may be settled or tender made before recovery. The plaintiff shall also

be entitled to recover as a cost of suit the expense of a title search or report, and all other necessary expenses of suit.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be held *prima facie* evidence of the regularity and correctness of the assessment and of the prior proceedings and acts of said Director and Supervisors, and otherwise, upon which said warrant, assessment and diagram are based, and like evidence of the right of the plaintiff to recover in the action. The court in which said suit shall be commenced shall have power to adjudge and decree a lien against the lots of land assessed, and to order them to be sold on execution, as in other cases of the sale of real estate by the process of such court, and with like right of redemption within one year of such sale, but not later. In all actions brought to enforce the lien of any assessment made pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance the proceedings therein shall be governed and regulated by the provisions of this ordinance and, when not in conflict with this ordinance, by the Codes of this State.

Section 29. No suit or proceeding to set aside, avoid, annul or correct any assessment or reassessment, or to review any of the proceedings in connection therewith, or to question the validity thereof, or to enjoin the collection thereof, or the issuance of bonds representing, or secured by the same, shall be maintained unless it be commenced within thirty days after the recording of the warrant, diagram, and assessment or reassessment in the Department of Public Works, and thereafter all persons shall be barred from commencing any such action or from interposing as a defense any asserted invalidity of any such assessment or of bonds issued thereon or of any such reassessment or of bonds issued thereon. No proceedings had under this ordinance shall ever be held invalid on the ground that the public way, or any portion thereof, in, over or upon which the work or improvement, or any part thereof, is or shall be done, has not been, or shall not have been, lawfully dedicated or acquired, provided the same shall be lawfully dedicated or acquired, or an order of immediate possession and use thereof shall have been obtained, at any time before the entry of judgment in the suit involving such proceeding.

Section 30. The said Director shall cause to be received at any time the amounts due upon any assessment and warrant issued, and shall also cause to be given a good and sufficient discharge therefor, unless written notice be given him that suit to foreclose an assessment has been filed.

The said Director shall cause to be released any assessment upon the books of the Department of Public Works on the payment of the amount of the assessment, with interest to date of payment, against any lot, or on the production to said Department of Public Works of the receipt of the party to whom the assessment and warrant were issued, or his assigns. If any warrant is lost, upon proof of such loss, a duplicate may be issued, upon which a return may be made with the same effect as if the original had been so returned. Upon the return of the assessment and warrant as aforesaid, all amounts remaining due thereon shall draw interest at the rate of 7 per centum per annum until paid. Said Director shall have full power to extend the time for the return of such warrant to said department.

The records kept by said Director or department shall have the same force and effect as other public records, and copies thereof, duly certified by said Director or the Secretary of said department, may be used in evidence with the same effect as the originals. The said records shall, during all office hours, be open to the inspection of any person wishing to examine them, free of charge.

Section 31. In case any parcel of land against which any assessment has been levied has been subdivided or partitioned among several owners thereof, said Director of Public Works, on the written application of any owner thereof, shall make a proportionate division

of such assessment and may amend the original assessment by a proportionate distribution of the assessment upon the several subdivisions of the parcel of land originally described. Such proportionate division of an assessment shall be without prejudice to the contractor or his assigns as to any assessment made in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance. Such amended assessment shall bear date the same as the original assessment.

Section 32. The contractor shall within ninety days of the issuance of the assessment submit to the Director of Public Works a list of all unpaid assessments, and it shall be the duty of the said Director to notify the Tax Collector of each assessment that is delinquent, and the lot and block number against which such assessment is levied, and it shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to note such delinquency on each annual tax bill, this being in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

PART II.

Section 1. Any assessment imposed under the provisions of this ordinance may, in and by the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance, be made payable in installments.

Whenever assessments are made payable in installments as aforesaid, the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance shall state the number of annual installments in which the assessment may be paid and the rate of interest, not over 7 per centum per annum, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance.

Section 2. In case the owner or owners of any parcel of land against which an assessment is imposed desires to avail himself or themselves of the privilege of paying such assessment in installments, and for and in consideration of such privilege, such owner or owners, within thirty days from the date of the return of the warrant made as required by Section 27 of this ordinance, shall make payment to the Department of Public Works for the contractor or his assigns or other person duly authorized to receive the same, of an amount equivalent to an installment payment on such assessment determinable by the number of installments made payable thereon, which amount so paid shall be deemed a payment of the first installment on such assessment under the terms of the bond hereinafter provided for, and in such bond shall be so designated. The interest payable under the terms of the said bond shall be computed from the date of the assessment on which such bond is based. Such owner or owners must, within not more than thirty days from the date of the payment hereinafter provided for, execute and acknowledge before an officer authorized by law to take acknowledgments of the conveyances of real property, and file with the Department of Public Works, a bond in triplicate, substantially in the following form:

Bond for Street Assessment.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, by proceedings duly and regularly taken, the validity and regularity whereof is hereby acknowledged and admitted, an assessment has been imposed upon the following described property, to-wit: (Description of property), and the amount of such assessment so imposed amounts to the sum of (amount of assessment) dollars;

Now, therefore, the undersigned, for and in consideration of the privilege given to pay such assessment in installments, hereby acknowledge (himself, herself or themselves) indebted, and promise to pay to (name of contractor) or order, said assessment in the sums, and at the times and place hereinafter set forth, to-wit:

Installment One—Amounting to \$..... Date of Payment.

Installment Two—Amounting to \$....., within
.....from the date hereof.

Installment Three—Amounting to \$....., within.....

from date hereof (each additional installment being set forth in the same manner and payable the appropriate time after the preceding numbered installment), together with interest on each of said installments at the rate of .. per centum per annum (being the rate fixed in the assessment issued by the Director of Public Works), until each such installment shall be paid.

Such interest shall be paid, as it accrues, on the dates for payment of said installments of principal. Said installments of principal and interest shall be payable at the office of the Director of Public Works at the City and County of San Francisco, in lawful money of the United States. In case (the undersigned) elect to pay all of said installments before maturity thereof (the undersigned) agree to pay, in addition to all other amounts due, six months' interest in advance.

In the event of default in the payment of any installment of principal or of interest according to the terms of this bond, then all of said installments of principal and all interest thereon shall become immediately due and payable and said Director is hereby authorized to sell the property herein described to pay the amount so due, together with the expenses of such sale.

Such sale shall be made by said Director in the manner and form provided by law for the sale of real property upon execution and after mailing to the undersigned a notice that proceedings to make such sale will be had unless payment of the amount due shall be made within ten days from the mailing thereof. Such notice shall be deposited in the United States Post Office addressed to the addresses given in this bond or such other addresses as may be hereafter filed with said Director.

Or the person in legal ownership of this bond shall, in the event of such default, have the right to foreclose the lien created by the said assessment for any unpaid portion thereof the same as if no bond had been given, and such lien shall continue until such assessment and accrued interest are fully paid. The undersigned agree to pay all expenses of said foreclosure including a reasonable attorney's fee and cost of title search.

It is hereby expressly provided that a lien for the full amount of the sum obligated to be paid under this bond, principal, interest and costs, and expenses of foreclosure, is hereby created and acknowledged upon, in and to the real property described herein and the improvements thereon and appurtenances thereto.

This bond is dated....., 19....

In witness whereof,set.....hand
this day of....., 19....

(Signed).....

Address.....

Section 3. If such bond is not executed within the time and in the manner hereinabove provided for, then the whole of the assessment on which such bond would be based shall thereupon be immediately due and payable.

Section 4. Forms of such bond shall be furnished by the Department of Public Works and thereto shall be attached appropriate coupons for the payment of the installments of principal and the interest on such bond. Such coupons respectively shall be payable to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County thirty days after the respective dates for payment of principal installments and interest by those who have executed the bond to which the coupons shall be attached. Said Director of Public Works shall cause said bonds and coupons to be fully prepared for execution and shall supervise the execution thereof by the proper parties as hereinbefore provided for. When such bonds and attached coupons have been fully prepared and executed in the manner hereinbefore provided for and delivered to the Department of Public Works, one of said triplicates shall be recorded in the office of the Recorder of said City and County,

who shall make no charge therefor; after recordation, said triplicate shall be retained in the office of said Department of Public Works; another of said triplicates shall be delivered to the contractor named therein and the other shall be delivered to the Treasurer of said City and County.

Said Director shall cause to be kept a record of all payments received by him on said bonds and the coupons attached thereto and of all penalties accruing thereon, and upon delivering such moneys to the Treasurer said Director shall report to the Treasurer the particular bonds and coupons and penalties to be credited with such payments, so that the Treasurer will know exactly on which bonds and coupons disbursements by him of such moneys shall be made.

Said Director shall also cause all such payments to be entered in an appropriate book of record in his office to the credit of the appropriate bond and assessment. And every such assessment shall remain a first lien upon the property affected until said assessment and the bond based thereon, and the accrued interest thereon and the penalties, if any, thereon shall be fully paid according to the terms of said bond. Said bonds, by their execution, shall be conclusive evidence of the regularity of all proceedings theretofore had under this ordinance.

Said Director is hereby authorized to make any sale authorized by any such bond and shall issue for each sale an original and duplicate certificate of sale in appropriate form, referring to this ordinance, describing the parcel or parcels of land sold and containing the name of the purchaser. The original shall be delivered to the purchaser and the duplicate shall be kept on file and record in the office of said Director.

Section 5. If the property sold, as provided in the above proceedings, be not redeemed within one year after the sale, said Director shall then issue to the party named in the original certificate, or his assignee, a deed of the property described in said certificate, which said deed shall refer, in general terms, to the proceedings under which the same is issued, and shall contain a description of the property, following the description in the certificate; the grantee in such deed is immediately upon receipt thereof entitled to possession of the property described therein.

Section 6. At any time before the expiration of one year from the date of the certificate of sale, any property sold under the provisions of the preceding sections may be redeemed by any person having an interest in the property sold, by the payment to said Director of the amount for which the property was sold, with an additional penalty of 1 per cent per month of the amount for which the same was sold; all such redemption money shall be paid over by said Director to the Treasurer with a statement indicating the specific bond to which such money shall be credited, and the Treasurer shall pay all such redemption money to the holder of the proper original certificate of sale, upon delivering up the same and receipting for the amount received.

Section 7. Said Director of Public Works shall cause to be kept a record of all bonds given as herein provided, wherein shall be entered the name of the person executing the same, a description of the land described therein, the number and amount of the installments, the time when the same are due, the date and the amount of all payments and the date of all payments to the City and County Treasurer for the holders of each bond.

Section 8. In case it shall appear at any time that any bond made as herein provided has not been executed by the owner or owners of the property described therein, or that for any reason any such bond is invalid, or that a sale in accordance with its terms would not convey a full and clear title to such property, then the person entitled to collect and receipt for the payment of the original assessment, or his assigns, shall have the right to foreclose the lien thereof for any unpaid portion, as such lien was originally imposed

and such lien shall continue until such original assessment is fully paid.

Section 9. The bond provided for in Part II of this ordinance may be made, executed and filed in accordance with and subject to the requirements, terms and conditions in said Part II prescribed for such bond, after the expiration of the time, as therein provided for, within which to make, execute and file such bond, if the contractor to whom an assessment, payable in installments, has been issued, or his assignee, or other owner of such assessment, shall consent to the making, executing and filing of such bond after such expiration of time, and such consent shall be expressed on the back or margin of such bond.

PART III.

Section 1. Anything in this ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding, said Director of Public Works shall in the assessment and warrant provided for in Sections 24 and 25 of this ordinance make each assessment which exceeds 50 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied payable in annual installments. No such annual installment payment shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied. The assessed value in this section referred to shall be the assessed value fixed by the Assessor of said City and County for the fiscal year in which said Director shall make the original assessment and warrant. The dates for payment of installments on an assessment shall not extend over a period of more than ten years from the date when the first installment will be payable. The assessment made by said Director shall state the number of installments in which the assessment payable in installments may be paid, the respective dates for payment of the several installments, and the rate of interest, not to exceed 7 per cent, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance. Every assessment and accrued interest, however, may be paid in cash at any time, unless suit has been filed thereon or unless a bond has been executed thereon.

No objection whatsoever relative to the making of such an assessment payable in installments as in this section provided for, or relative to the amount of any such assessment or assessment installment, or relative to the time or manner of payment of any such assessment or assessment installment may be made in any manner or in any court or tribunal except by such party or parties as shall have appealed to the Supervisors in the manner provided for in Section 26 of this ordinance. All persons who have not so appealed to the Supervisors shall be deemed to have waived every objection in this Section 1 of Part III referred to.

Any assessment which violates any of the provisions of this section or of Section 111 of the Charter of said City and County may be amended or corrected, in the manner in this ordinance provided for the amendment or correction of an assessment, or a reassessment may be made as in this ordinance provided for, in order that such original assessment may be made to conform to this section and Section 111 of said Charter.

Section 2. Whenever any assessment heretofore made or issued or filed or which may be hereafter made, issued or filed pursuant to this or any other ordinance is or shall be void or unenforceable, for any cause, or if bonds shall have been, or shall be, issued to represent or be secured by any assessments and such issuance shall not have been, or shall not be, effective through the curative provisions in relation thereto, then, in any of such events, a reassessment therefor may be issued. The true intent and meaning of this section is to make the cost and expense of work or improvement made through an attempted compliance with this ordinance, payable by the real estate benefited by such work or improvement by making a reassessment therefor.

Such power of reassessing embraces both a full and a partial reassessment, and is not exhausted by a single attempted exercise thereof.

A reassessment shall be ordered by the Board of Supervisors under any one of four circumstances.

First—Where the owner or holder of any assessments, or bonds issued under this ordinance to represent or be secured by assessments, or the person who would own or hold any such assessment or bonds if the same were issued, requests the Supervisors to order a reassessment. In such event, if said Supervisors be of the opinion that the assessments or bonds in question are not enforceable, they shall order the making and issuing of a reassessment covering only the assessments owned or held by the petitioner, or the assessments represented or secured by the bonds owned or held by such petitioner, or which would be owned or held by petitioner if issued.

Second—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to foreclose the lien of any assessment or to enforce the obligation of any bond issued to represent or be secured by any assessments issued under this ordinance, has for any reason held such lien unenforceable, then it shall in and by its decree direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Third—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to set aside the lien of any assessment or of any bond representing any assessment, or in any suit to quiet title against the lien of any such assessment, or bond, or in any suit to enjoin the making, filing, confirmation or issuance of any assessment or bond to pay for the cost and expense of any work done hereunder, shall in its judgment decree such assessments or bonds to be void, or unenforceable, or shall enjoin the making, filing or issuance of confirmation of any such assessment or bond, then it shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Fourth—Whenever any contractor or assignee of a contractor shall have done or performed any work or improvements pursuant to proceedings had and taken in attempted compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and whenever prior to the issuance of any assessment, any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to invalidate the contract or any of such proceedings shall for any reason declare said contract or other proceedings to be invalid, then such court shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of an assessment for the reasonable value of the work and improvement actually done and performed in good faith by the contractor, or such portion thereof as was of a kind that could lawfully have been ordered under the provisions of this ordinance.

The manner of making, issuing and enforcing the reassessments shall be as follows:

Said Director of Public Works shall, upon the entering of a decree of court directing a reassessment or upon the making of an order by the Supervisors directing a reassessment, proceed to make a reassessment in the following manner:

If the reassessment be a partial one only, then it shall not be necessary for the diagram to show any other lots than the ones covered by such partial reassessment. If it be a full reassessment, however, then said Director shall prepare and file with the reassessment a diagram showing the lots, pieces or parcels of land deemed by him to have been benefited by the work or improvement. Upon any reassessment as in this section provided for, said Director and the Supervisors shall have unlimited power to fix the boundaries of the district to be charged with the expense of the work in accordance with the benefits of the work to property as such benefits, at the time of reassessment, shall appear to said Director or the Supervisors, and to make the boundaries of such district either the same as they theretofore were or different from the boundaries of the dis-

tract specified in said Director's declaration of intention. It is the intent hereof that upon any such reassessment said Director and/or the Supervisors then shall have full power to determine what district and property have been benefited by the work and to make the reassessment accordingly. The reassessment shall assess upon and against each of the lots, pieces or parcels of land contained therein an amount arrived at as follows: The benefits derived, or to be derived by each of the said lots, pieces or parcels of land from the work or improvement, estimated as of the date of the filing in the office of the Department of Public Works of the original assessment, shall first be listed. Then there shall be added thereto interest thereon from the date of filing the original assessment in the Department of Public Works at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, and the total sums shall constitute and be the amount of the proposed several assessments in such reassessment. The total of such reassessments, however, exclusive of interest, shall not exceed the cost and expenses of the work of improvement. Such assessment need not be in any prescribed form, but shall refer to the original assessment filed, give the date of filing of said original assessment and state that it is made pursuant to the order of the Supervisors or decree of the court, as the case may be, and shall be accompanied by a diagram showing the lots to be reassessed and their relation to the work. It shall then be presented to the Supervisors, who shall fix a time for hearing before them. Such time must be at least twenty days after the reassessment is so presented. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall then advertise the time of such hearing before the Supervisors by publishing a notice once in the official newspaper. Said Clerk shall also mail notice of such hearing as provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance. But this requirement as to mailing notice shall have no greater effect than that provided for in said Section 7. And a description of the district shall be set forth in the notice. At the time fixed for said hearing, or at such time or times to which the same may be thereafter adjourned, the Supervisors shall consider the objections to said reassessment and in their discretion informally direct the revision, correction or modification of such reassessment in such manner as is most equitable to apportion to each lot, piece or parcel of land hereby benefited the amount of the actual benefits derived from said improvement. When such reassessment shall have been revised, or corrected, or modified so as to comply with the judgment of said Supervisors, then they shall pass a resolution confirming the reassessment. The said Director of Public Works shall thereupon record the reassessment with a certificate at the end thereof by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Said Director shall also note opposite the several assessments in the original assessment that have been displaced, the fact that the reassessment has been made, giving its date, and shall credit upon such reassessment all payments theretofore made upon the original assessment, or upon the bonds issued to represent the same, together with interest on such payments at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from and after the date of such payments. Such reassessment shall be collectible and payable in the same manner as an original assessment and shall be enforceable by suit in the same manner provided in this ordinance for enforcing an original assessment, and shall have the same weight in evidence. In the event that bonds shall have been issued under or upon the security of the original assessment, they shall also issue upon the reassessment for such sums as may be reassessed against the lots, pieces or parcels of land covered thereby. When the reassessment is recorded the original assessment shall be canceled by said Director so far as the reassessment affects the original assessment involved. New bonds shall not be issued until the original bonds are delivered up to the City and County Treasurer, who shall cancel the same. The lien of such reassessment shall hold its relative rank as to other special assessment liens as of the date of filing of the original assessment.

In the event such work or improvement made in attempted compliance with this ordinance is fully completed to the satisfaction of said Director, then it shall be the duty in any event of said Director to make and file an assessment for costs and expenses thereof so as to form the basis of a reassessment, even though such assessment should be unenforceable.

Section 3. If the Director of Public Works, for any reason whatsoever, may not perform any of the duties or functions by this ordinance imposed upon him, or if it becomes inconvenient for him to perform any such duties or functions, then, in every such case, such duties and functions may be performed by the City Engineer in said Department of Public Works, as a substitute for said Director, or, in any such case, said duties and functions may be performed by any one in said department designated by the Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County. The duties and functions hereby imposed upon said City Engineer may be performed by any one else in said Department designated by said Director. Whenever such a substitute shall act, either for said Director or for said City Engineer, the records of said department shall include a written designation by said Chief Administrative Officer or said Director, as the case may be, appointing such substitute. Such designation shall indicate the period during which such substitute shall be authorized so to act. Such written designation shall be conclusive of all facts therein recited, and all acts of such substitutes, respectively, shall have the same validity as if they had been performed by said Director or said City Engineer, as the case may be.

Section 4. Notice in writing required to be given by said Director may be served by any person over the age of twenty-one years, and the fact of such service may be verified by the oath of the person making it. Such oath may be taken before said Director or the Acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works.

All notices and resolutions required by this ordinance to be published shall be published in the official newspaper.

All notices herein required to be served, whether by delivering, mailing or posting, may be so served by any citizen of the age of twenty-one years or over, and his affidavit thereof shall be prima facie evidence of such service. The affidavit of the publisher of the official newspaper or his clerk, of the publication of any notice required in this ordinance to be published, shall be prima facie evidence of such publishing.

Section 5. Whenever any resolution, order, notice or determination is required to be published or posted, and the duty of posting or procuring the publication or posting of the same is not specifically enjoined upon any officer of the City and County, it shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to procure the publication or posting, as the case may be. No proceeding or step herein shall be invalidated or affected by any error or mistake or departure herefrom as to the officer or person posting, or procuring the publication or posting, of any resolution, notice, order or determination hereunder when the same is actually published or posted for the time herein required.

Section 6. The term "incidental expenses," as used in this ordinance, shall include the cost of engineering work; also, the cost of printing and advertising as provided in this ordinance, including the estimated cost of printing any bonds to be issued to represent or be secured by unpaid assessments; also the cost of superintendence of the work mentioned in this Ordinance; also the expenses of making the assessment and of typing and preparing the resolutions, notices and other papers and proceedings for any work authorized by this ordinance; also the expenses of making any analysis and tests to determine that the work and any materials or appliances

incorporated therein comply with the specifications; and any other expenses incidental to the construction, completion and inspection of the work in the manner herein provided for. All demands for incidental expenses mentioned in this section shall be presented to the Director of Public Works, by an itemized bill, duly verified by oath of the demandant.

Section 7. The word "street," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include avenues, highways, lanes, alleys, crossings or intersections, courts and places, which have been dedicated and accepted according to law or in common and undisputed use by the public for a period of not less than five years next preceding. The word "places," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include any public park or pleasure ground and common which has been dedicated and accepted according to law, and this ordinance shall include the improvement of a park, public pleasure ground and common.

Section 8. Whenever in proceedings hereunder a time and place for hearing by the Director of Public Works or the Supervisors is fixed and, from any cause, the hearing is not then and there held or regularly adjourned to a time and place fixed, the power of the said Director or Supervisors in the premises shall not thereby be divested or lost, but the said Director or Supervisors may proceed anew to fix a time and place for the hearing, and cause notice thereof to be given by publication by at least one insertion in the official newspaper, such publication to be at least five days before the date of the hearing, and thereupon said Director or Supervisors shall have power to act as in the first instance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be liberally construed to the end that its purposes may be effected. No error, irregularity, informality, and no neglect or omission of any officer of the City and County, in any procedure taken hereunder, shall avoid or invalidate such proceeding or any assessment for the cost of work done hereunder. The exclusive remedy of any person affected or aggrieved thereby shall be by appeal to the Supervisors as herein provided.

Section 10. If any one or more of the sections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences or other parts of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason whatsoever, then it is the intent hereof that the remainder of this ordinance shall stand, and it is hereby declared that such remainder would have been enacted even if such invalid portions, if such there be, had never been enacted.

Section 11. This ordinance may be designated and referred to as the "Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934."

Section 12. Any proceedings for street work heretofore commenced under any method or system provided by any Charter of said City and County, or by act of the Legislature, or by ordinance of said City and County, shall not be affected by this ordinance but may be continued until completion by and under the method provided by the Charter, law or ordinance under which they were originally commenced, or they may be abandoned by order of said Director of Public Works and instituted under the proceedings provided for in this ordinance, in case no contract for the work or improvement has been entered into.

Section 13. Ordinance No. 2439 and Ordinance No. 4720, heretofore adopted by the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances amendatory thereof, are hereby repealed; provided, however, said ordinances are not hereby repealed in so far as proceedings heretofore commenced pursuant to them are concerned, and as to all such proceedings only said ordinances are not hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Regulating Use of City Automobiles.

(Code No. 3.06)

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 520, Ordinance No. 3.063, as follows:

Regulating the use of automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and providing for an identifying mark to be maintained on all of said automobiles so owned, and defining certain terms used in this ordinance, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, to whom the care, custody, use or control of any automobile, now or hereafter owned by the City and County, is assigned or entrusted, shall use, or permit any such automobile to be used, for any purpose whatsoever save in the discharge or transaction of municipal business.

Section 2. "Municipal business" is hereby defined to be such business, things or affairs in the doing or performance of which the City and County of San Francisco is directly interested. Provided, however, that any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the use of which is assigned to the Police Department, or to the Fire Department, or to the Health Department, while engaged in aiding or assisting any other municipality or community, or while performing any act or thing which might have been performed by such other municipality or community, shall be deemed to be engaged in business of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. From and after the first day of September, 1934, every passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco shall have painted on each side of the body of said automobile, in a conspicuous place, a facsimile of the official seal of the City and County of San Francisco in size not less than ten (10) inches in diameter, and each of said passenger automobiles owned by said City and County shall be assigned a serial number by the Purchaser of Supplies, which said serial number assigned to each particular passenger automobile shall be painted on the side of said automobile directly above said facsimile of said official seal.

Section 4. From and after the first day of September, 1934, no officer, agent, servant or employee of the City and County of San Francisco shall drive, use or operate any passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco that has not painted on each side thereof the facsimile of the official seal of said City and County and said identification number mentioned in the previous section.

Section 5. Failure on the part of any official or employee to obey the provisions of this ordinance shall constitute misconduct and shall be sufficient cause for dismissal from office or employment by the City.

Section 6. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved to lay over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovi-
eri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved as an

amendment that the words, "repeated violations," be inserted after the word "and" at the end of the second line of Section 5.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the vote defeating the motion to lay over one week be rescinded.
So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter, together with the proposed amendment of Supervisor Brown, was *laid over one week* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Endorsing Football Game Between Chicago Bears and Western All-Stars to Be Played at Kezar Stadium Sunday, February 11, 1934, at 2 P. M.

(Code No. 5.94)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1276, as follows:

Whereas, on Sunday afternoon at 2 p. m., February 11, 1934, at Kezar Stadium, there will be played a football game between the world's champion Chicago Bears and a team of western all-stars, coached by Jimmie Needles, under the auspices of the Zane-Irwin Post of the American Legion; and

Whereas, the proceeds from this game will be devoted exclusively to a charitable cause, viz.: the welfare fund of veterans and veterans' sons; and

Whereas, the unqualified support and endorsement of the combined posts of the American Legion, comprising the County Council of the American Legion, has been assured to make this game a success; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse the football game to be played between the Chicago Bears and the Western All-Stars for the benefit of the Veterans' Welfare Fund on Sunday, February 11, 1934, at Kezar Stadium at 2 p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Authorizing and Requesting President of Board to Appoint Committee of Board of Supervisors to Go to Washington to Urge San Francisco Be Given Share of Navy Department Construction Program.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1277, as follows:

Whereas, the construction program for the Navy Department, involving an expenditure of \$380,000,000, has been authorized by the Congress of the United States; and

Whereas, plans are being formulated to have a Committee of Congressional Representatives from California, Oregon, Washington, Utah,

Nevada and Arizona, to demand from Washington that the five ship-building plants on the Pacific Coast receive an adequate proportion of the construction work outlined; and

Whereas, San Francisco and the Bay area is well equipped to do this work and has many citizens skilled in the shipbuilding crafts that are not now employed in their respective trades; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge its Congressional representative from San Francisco to cooperate with and assist the Congressional representatives of California and the other western states in obtaining a just proportion of the shipbuilding to be done by the Navy Department; and be it

Further Resolved, That if the President of the Board of Supervisors shall deem it necessary, he be authorized to appoint a committee from this Board to go to Washington to place the demands of San Francisco before the Navy Department and others in charge of the expenditure of the \$380,000,000 for shipbuilding in order that San Francisco may justly share in the Navy's construction program.

Referred to Commercial Development and Streets Committee.

President of the United States to Furnish Title and Function of Each Branch of Federal Government Operating in San Francisco, Together With Title, Compensation and Duties, as Well as Home Address of Personnel.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1278, as follows:

Whereas, the speed with which the Federal Government has embarked upon the Recovery Program has made it impossible for the Board of Supervisors and the citizens of San Francisco to catalogue the various offices and to know by whom they are staffed and the functions of each, whether independent or correlated, to permanently established branches of the Government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to request from the President of the United States the following information:

1. The title and function of each branch of the Federal Government operating in the City and County of San Francisco, whether permanently established or created to exist for the duration of the present emergency, and the authority for its existence.

2. The title, home address, compensation and duties of all personnel employed by the Federal Government in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Clerk Directed to Request of Government of State of California Title and Function of Each Branch of State Government Operating in San Francisco and Authority for Its Existence; Title, Home Address, Compensation and Duties of All Personnel Working in San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1279, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, in the proper conduct of its affairs with the State of California, and the citizens of San Francisco, to intelligently understand the operations of State and local government, should have available all information obtainable on the subject of State and local government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to request of the government of the State of California the following information:

1. The title and function of each branch of the State Government operating in the City and County of San Francisco, and the authority for its existence.

2. The title, home address, compensation and duties of all personnel employed by the State of California working in San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Appeal From Decision of Planning Commission, North Side of Pacific Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney with respect to signatures on petition appealing from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone northerly side of Pacific avenue easterly from Laguna street from First to Second, Residential District.

Adopted.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, Rezoning Northerly Side of Pacific Avenue, 206 Feet, 3 Inches Easterly From Laguna Street, Etc.; Denying Application to Rezone From First Residential District to Second Residential District, as Monday, February 19, 1934, at 2 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1280, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to Second Residential District, property located at the northerly side of Pacific avenue, commencing at a point 206 feet 3 inches easterly from Laguna street, and running thence easterly to Gough street, and also the southerly side of Pacific avenue between Laguna street and Gough street, is hereby set for Monday, February 19, 1934, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Redwood Empire Meeting at Ukiah March 2, 1934.

Communication from the Redwood Empire Association inviting attendance of representatives of the City and County of San Francisco at a joint meeting of the Nine Counties Highway Committee and Supervisors' Unit of the Redwood Empire Association at Ukiah on Friday, March 2, 1934, at 2 p. m.

Invitation read and *accepted*.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the President of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to appoint a committee of three, consisting of the Streets Committee, to attend the Redwood Empire gathering and represent San Francisco.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the Chair appointed the members of the Streets Committee and Supervisor Gallagher.

Codification of Ordinances.

Supervisor Brown: In July, 1933, the Junior Chamber of Commerce asked the Board of Supervisors to consider the subject of codification of City ordinances. Section 17 of the Charter provides specifically for such codification. He therefore moved, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, that the matter be referred to the Judiciary Committee to make recommendation to the Finance Committee of a sufficient sum in the next budget for carrying on the work.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Savings From Unfilled Positions.

Supervisor Colman declared that it had been stated that approximately \$75,000 savings was made through positions vacated by death or retirement and not filled. He requested that the Civil Service Commission give the Board of Supervisors information of positions coming under that head and the new positions filled, what department, and amount of salary in each case.

So ordered.

Free Telephones.

Supervisor Colman: If it is the desire of the Board to stop abuses, in connection with Supervisor Uhl's statement on telephones, I call attention to the fact that many City officials have had free telephones who are not entitled to them, and which, if credited to City's account, would accomplish a large saving. He asked Board of Supervisors to look into that. Supervisor Gallagher declared that the City Administrator was making a survey of the free telephone service. Whereupon, the Clerk was directed to request the City Administrator to present his survey to the Board of Supervisors at the earliest possible moment.

Consolidation of Adult Probation and Juvenile Departments.

Supervisor Colman, with a view of consolidating the Adult and Juvenile Departments, requested that said departments furnish latest statement showing number of cases attended to and the average cost per case.

Garbage Disposal.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. P. Holland, Inc., offering, in accordance with resolution introduced by Supervisor Uhl calling for plans and methods of garbage disposal, to use the "fill and cover method" as set forth in the "Holland Patent," on short notice, and guaranteeing to positively eliminate foul odors that now arise at low tide, and the Butchertown smell, which has been a detriment to the Bay Shore district for many years.

Referred to Health Committee.

Also, communication from James M. Hanley calling attention to Initiative Ordinance No. 17.083, entitled, "Disposition of Refuse," wherein it is provided that the Controller shall survey and examine rates to the producers, and report his conclusion to the Board of Supervisors, with a view to reduction of such rates; and requesting that such Controller's report be provided in accordance with the ordinance above mentioned.

Referred to Health Committee.

Widening of Van Ness Avenue and Parking Thereon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from John H. Skeggs, District Engineer, Division of Highways, District No. 4, regarding parking of automobiles and widening of Van Ness avenue and declaring that it does not seem economically sound at this time to widen Van Ness avenue, which involves the expenditure of a very large sum of money, except there be a definite understanding regulating parking of automobiles.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Excavation of Auditorium Basement for Central Garage.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary declaring that, as a result of a meeting in his office of the various public officials concerned, that it is inadvisable to excavate and establish a Central Municipal Garage under the present Auditorium in the Civic Center.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Statement on Refund of Duboce Tunnel Conferences.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and copies ordered sent to members:

February 5, 1934.

To the Honorable, The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The matter of refunding to the assessment payers of the Sunset Tunnel Assessment District any surplus that might be in the assessment funds has had my consideration since the early part of June, 1933.

At that time, after consultation with the Chief Administrative Officer, I requested that an investigation be made of the condition of the funds, and that a plan be outlined for refunding to the assessment payers any surplus that might be available. The Chief Administrative Officer instructed the Director of Finance and Records to investigate the condition of the funds, and through the Director of Public Works instructed the City Engineer to outline a feasible plan of returning to the assessment payers any moneys that might be available for refund.

Pursuant to these orders, in the latter part of June, 1933, a meeting was held in the office of the City Attorney, at which were present Mr. Dion R. Holm, representing the City Attorney; Mr. Arthur Curtis, Director of Finance and Records; Mr. Albora, representing the Tax Collector, and Mr. M. J. Callaghan, representing the City Engineer. At this meeting a method of making the refund was outlined by the representative of the City Engineer, and concurred in by Mr. Holm, Mr. Curtis and Mr. Albora.

In the latter part of December, 1933, at a conference called by me, at which were present the City Attorney, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Director of Public Works, and the City Engineer, the advisability of collecting all the ninth instalment, or only a portion of it, was discussed, and it was determined at that conference, because there was approximately \$20,000 short of a sufficiency to make returns to assessment payers who had already paid the ninth and the tenth installments, that it would be necessary to collect all the ninth installment and to cancel the tenth installment. The matter of collecting only a portion of the ninth installment was discussed and rejected, since it was obvious that such procedure would be complicated and cumbersome.

Because of the apparent unrest and agitation among some of the assessment payers, it was suggested at this conference that it might be advisable to postpone the date of sale for delinquency, so that

there would be less time between the date of sale and the date at which the list of refunds would be complete.

On January 12, 1934, the Director of Public Works approved a resolution directing the City Engineer to proceed with the preparation of a list of refunds for assessment payers in the Sunset Tunnel Assessment District.

On January 18, 1934, the City Attorney, the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer, with an assistant engineer, appeared before the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, at its request. In answer to the petition of an attorney, Mr. Calofre, and other assessment payers, the City Engineer explained the proposed method of refunding and the reasons therefor.

Chairman Gallagher, in concurrence with Supervisors Shannon and Roncovieri, suggested that the City Attorney, the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer, endeavor to work out some method which would be agreeable to the protesting assessment payers. As a result of this suggestion, a meeting was held, and the matter of postponing the date of the sale for delinquency was again discussed. When the City Engineer agreed to have the list of refunds ready before the end of April, the City Attorney agreed to draft a resolution to be presented to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors which, when adopted by the Board, would request the Tax Collector to postpone the date of sale for delinquency to April 2, 1934. At a meeting of the Finance Committee on January 25, 1934, this resolution was approved by the Finance Committee, and the protesting assessment payers present announced themselves as being satisfied with the procedure.

May I add that our Charter charges me with certain responsibility. I quote Section 25, second paragraph, line four of the Charter, because of its relationship to the item in question:

"He (the Mayor) shall supervise the administration of all departments under boards and commissions appointed by him. He shall receive and examine without delay all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the City and County and immediately inform the complainants of findings and actions thereon. He shall coordinate and enforce cooperation of all departments of the City and County."

Hardly a day passes but that a conference is held in my office to consider suggested adjustments. The head of the department is always present and should the subject matter under discussion develop to a point that legislation is certain, those interested are respectfully referred to your Board for the necessary action. This policy has prevailed since the new Charter became effective. You will likewise observe that the new Charter very clearly defines the distinction between the legislative and administrative departments of our municipality.

I trust that the foregoing recitation of the steps taken by me and, at my request, by other City officials, in the matter of the Sunset Tunnel refund, gives you the information sought in your letter of January 30, 1934.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

February 5, 1934—Referred to Finance Committee.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl made the following requests for information:

1. That the Chief Administrative Officer, through the Purchaser of Supplies, obtain the number of municipally-owned automobiles, the departments to which they are assigned, the type and owner of such machines, etc.

2. The names of municipal employees who are renting cars to the

City, the amount paid for the car, detailed costs of operation of such automobile for the past calendar year.

3. Chief Administrative Officer request to secure from the Street Cleaning Department a small map indicating in red spots the location of the various boxes in which street sweepings are placed throughout the City; also cost for the past calendar year of the trucks which cart street sweepings, giving in detail the cost of labor, tires, gasoline, etc.

4. That the Board of Fire Commissioners be requested to report on the accident which took place on May 14, 1933, at Seventeenth and Harrison streets, wherein a Fire Department automobile ran into a freight train and all circumstances dealing with the killing of two men, and in which the municipal car was wrecked. What loss was sustained by the City, or was a recovery made for the damage?

5. That the Chief Administrative Officer report to the Board what saving will be effected through consolidation of the Recorder's office under the County Clerk.

6. That the Chief Administrative Officer obtain from the Director of Public Health information as to whether or not there is space enough available in the Health Department Building to care for the office of the Coroner which might then be consolidated under the Director of Public Health; also furnish estimate of saving that can be made by merging both these departments.

7. That the Chief Administrative Officer find out and report to this Board whether or not the second floor of the Coroner's Office can be used for the District Attorney's accommodation, and also that of the Public Defender. (In this connection Supervisor Uhl declared that the report in certain San Francisco newspapers to the effect that he was for ousting Dr. Geiger was absolutely unfounded, that he had no such idea in his mind.)

8. Supervisor Uhl declared that he had heard that Federal relief has been clut off, but upon making inquiry was advised that it was still available. He, therefore, urged that this Board request the Mayor to take the matter up with the Federal Department and request that San Francisco be given the same amount of money for the months of January and February as we had received in December, amounting to between \$125,000 and \$200,000. If this relief has been withdrawn, as originally stated, request the reason why and, if possible, have such action rescinded.

Supervisor Brown Excused.

Supervisor Brown requested and was granted permission to be excused until 2:30 p. m. at next Tuesday's meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 13, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Tuesday, February 13, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, February 13, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m., having had permission to absent himself until 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 5, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of \$3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Public Buildings.

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests, for a loan of \$3,200,000 for the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3000 rooms for rental at \$11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the rea-

son that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interests, inflicting conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would be respectfully call the attention of officials at Washington to the utter lack of slums and the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be \$11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage-earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing resolution be taken into the hands of the Board and that discussion thereon be confined to the members of the Board.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing all interested, and that a time limit for discussion for both sides be fixed at one hour.

Amendment *carried*.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors sat as a Committee of the Whole for the discussion of Supervisor Shannon's resolution protesting Federal loan of \$3,200,000 for Roosevelt Apartments on old Odd Fellows' Cemetery site, all members before noted being present.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to act as chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Jos. A. Brown, attorney representing apartment house owners and managers was heard at length in favor of the adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report to the Board that in its opinion the consideration of this resolution was premature, and, secondly, the Government's action in approving it is a finality, and the protest is too late. I am in favor that action thereon be continued until the main question of rezoning is decided.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report, and recommend to the Board postponement of action for one week. (Withdrawn.)

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report to the Board its recommendation that the Board of Supervisors meet again Wednesday, February 28, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of considering foregoing resolution.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Hearing Appeal, Property Located on the Northerly Line of Pine Street, 77 Feet 6 Inches West of Stockton Street.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northerly line of Pine street, 77 feet 6 inches west of Stockton street.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Ratto, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*:

Hearing Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, West Line Bay Shore Boulevard, Commencing at a Point 75 Feet North of Wayland Avenue.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property situated on the west line of Bay Shore boulevard, commencing at a point 75 feet north of Wayland avenue, and running thence northerly 50 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week, pending settlement with Dr. White and other appellants by Streets Committee*:

Hearing of Appeal from Assessment on Garfield Street at 3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing a Loan from State of \$466,552 Under Unemployment Relief Bond Act.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 519, Ordinance No. 19.07111, as follows:

An ordinance to authorize the City and County of San Francisco to borrow the sum of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) from the State of California under provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and providing for the repayment of amount so borrowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) is hereby made by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the rules, regulations and conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of Unemployment Relief and administering relief work in the City and County of San Francisco for the period beginning January 1, 1934, or shortly thereafter and ending June 30, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Section 2. Said loan, if granted, in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars shall constitute a debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, and shall be secured by a note of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, in the words and figures and executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of said Board, as follows:

\$466,552.

Date _____.

Commencing on the 1st day of July, —, the City and County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$466,552 in ten equal annual installments, together with interest at the rate of 4½ per cent per annum, all as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and subject to the provisions thereof.

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute such note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$466,552) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator; provided that, whatever the amount, the same shall constitute a debt of the said City and County of San Francisco to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and be subject to the provisions thereof. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 4. Power is hereby granted to the President of the Board of Supervisors to agree with the State Emergency Relief Commission and/or the State Emergency Relief Administrator as to the date when the first payment upon the note mentioned in Section 2 hereof shall be made with full authority to insert said date in said note at the time of the execution of the same, provided, that the first payment on said note shall not be made prior to July 1, 1934, nor subsequent to July 1, 1937.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-
vleri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

**Providing for Full Acceptance of Cordova Street and Other
Streets, Including the Curbs.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 515, Ordinance No. 12.081110, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Cordova street between Rolph street and Baltimore way, including the crossings of Cordova street with Athens street, with Seville street, with Munich

street, with Prague street, with Winding way and with Chicago way, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Cordova street between Rolph street and Baltimore way, including the crossings of Cordova street with Athens street, with Seville street, with Munich street, with Prague street, with Winding way and with Chicago way, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Providing for Full Acceptance of Moscow Street Between Amazon and Geneva Avenues, Including the Curbs.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 516, Ordinance No. 12.081111, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Moscow street between Amazon and Geneva avenues, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Moscow street between Amazon and Geneva avenues, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Ordering the Improvement of Lawton Street Between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 517, Ordinance No. 12.061145, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, and authorizing the Department of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 19, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San

Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Department of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Department of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be 7 per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lawton street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, where not already improved, by grading to official line and subgrade; by the construction of 6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers; by the construction of unarmored concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch Class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

**Requesting Bay Bridge Authorities to Change Location of West-
erly Terminal as Recommended by the Art Commission.**

(Code No. 12.112)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1291, as follows:

Whereas, the California Toll Bridge Authority, under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, has undertaken the construction of a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco from the County of Alameda to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the westerly terminus of said bridge and the elevated approach thereto is at Fifth street between Harrison and Bryant streets; and

Whereas, the present plans for the construction of said portion of said bridge extending from First street to Fifth street provides for the construction of the same over and along a narrow roadway which will be barely sufficiently wide enough to support said bridge and which will give to said bridge a clearance of approximately ten feet on one side of the pier supporting same and approximately thirty-five feet on the other side thereof; and

Whereas, the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, which, under the Charter, has jurisdiction over the design of buildings, bridges and other structures erected upon land belonging to the City and County; and

Whereas, said Art Commission has examined the location of said portion of said bridge extending from First street to its terminus on Fifth street and has recommended that the location of said portion of said bridge should be changed from the present plan for the construction thereof so that said portion of said bridge would be in the center of a street or area which would leave for roadway and street purposes a space of at least eighty-four feet in width on either side of said bridge; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has examined said report made by said Art Commission and believes that it will be for the betterment

of the City and County of San Francisco as well as for the benefit and advantage of said bridge that the portion thereof extending from First street to Fifth street be relocated so as to leave at least eighty-four feet on either side of said bridge for the purpose of allowing adequate street or roadway space on either side thereof; and

Whereas, the carrying out of said plan will necessitate the acquisition of all of the property between Stillman and Perry streets from Second street to Fifth street, all of which will entail additional cost in the construction and location of said bridge; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did heretofore, by Resolution No. 751, duly adopted by this Board on the 24th day of April, 1933, agree to purchase \$390,000 worth of the bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority for the construction of the aforesaid bridge, the said bonds to be paid for out of such funds as might be authorized by law for said purpose and in as nearly equal annual installments as would be practicable; and

Whereas, it is the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire said bonds and pay for the same in four annual installments, the first of which will be paid during the fiscal year 1934-1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby request the Board of Directors of the California Toll Bridge Authority to change the location of the westerly terminus of said bridge as the same is now proposed to extend from First to Fifth street in the City and County of San Francisco so that the same may be located and constructed in conformity with the report and recommendations of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and in order that the City and County of San Francisco may contribute a fair share of the expense of relocating said bridge and acquiring the necessary property therefor, the said City and County does hereby offer to surrender and deliver to the California Toll Bridge Authority or to its assigns the said \$390,000 worth of bonds heretofore issued and sold by the California Toll Bridge Authority and agreed to be purchased pursuant to the provisions of said Resolution No. 751; the said delivery and surrender of said bonds to be made in such installments as the same are acquired by the City; be it further

Resolved, That the offer herein made is dependent upon the acceptance of the same by the California Toll Bridge Authority before June 30, 1934, and the execution of an agreement by the California Toll Bridge Authority accepting the offer of the City and County made herein and agreeing to acquire the necessary land to relocate the said westerly terminus of said bridge in conformity with the report and recommendations of said Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco; be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to Charles H. Purcell, the engineer in charge of the construction of said transbay bridge.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. Pflueger, architect, San Francisco-Oakland Bay bridge, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board, explaining the features of the San Francisco Bay bridge approaches, and proposed amendment of the plan to provide 82 foot street on each side of the ramp through the purchase of the row of properties on the north side of Stillman street.

Supervisor Uhl stated that until such time as he had an architectural design of the parapet showing the number of feet of the overhang, he was not prepared to vote for the resolution.

Supervisor Brown requested information as to how the figure of \$800,000 for the purchase of property to permit new alignment of bridge approaches was arrived at.

Supervisor Uhl inquired how much of the total amount of property was taken off the assessment rolls in this scheme. "I am informed," he said, "it amounts to \$2,500,000."

Supervisor Uhl requested a list of property being condemned and the amounts paid for them, and what they show on the assessment roll.

Request to Mr. Cleary that answers be ready for next Monday's meeting.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that action be postponed one week and the foregoing matter be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.

So ordered.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Mayor's Application to Federal Emergency Administration for Grant Re School Bonds.

(Code No. 21.31)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1292, as follows:

Resolved, That the action of the Mayor in making an application to the Federal Emergency Administration of Public Works for a grant of 30 per cent of the cost of labor and materials to be used and employed upon the construction of certain new school house buildings, and in making repairs, alterations, additions and replacements to existing school buildings, for which purpose a bonded indebtedness of three million dollars was approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 19th day of December, 1933, as well as the application to the Federal Administration of Public Works to purchase the bonds approved for the above mentioned purpose be approved and ratified, and said Mayor, together with the Controller and the City Attorney, be and they are hereby authorized to furnish to the State Advisory Board of the said Federal Administration of Public Works, any and all information and data which may be hereafter necessary to furnish in connection with said application.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Authorizing and Directing the Treasurer to Deposit With the National City Bank of New York, the Fiscal Agent of the City and County, the Sum of \$400,000 to Pay Bond Interest and Bond Redemption Due and Payable at Said Fiscal Agency on March, 1, 1934.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 1284, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to section 1 of Act 2831a of the General Laws of the State of California governing the deposit of public funds in banks, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized to deposit on February 28, 1934, with the National City Bank of New York, the fiscal agent of the City and County, the sum of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) to pay bond interest and bond redemption of the City and County of San Francisco due and payable at said fiscal agency March 1, 1934. Said amount to be transferred from the bond interest and bond redemption funds in the Treasury of the City and County.

(Approved by the Chief Administrative Officer.)

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Refund of Excess Amounts Collected for Taxes.

— (Code 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1285, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized paid out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-34, to the hereinafter named, being refunds of excess amounts collected for taxes, to-wit:

To Relief Hill Mining Co., erroneous assessment, per Vol. 4, page 246, line 4, of Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1934...\$10.69
To S. Harris, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 11, page 195, Lot 9a of Block 1609 on the 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls 6.96

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Purchase of Lands for McLaren Park Roadway.

(Code No. 12.713)

Also, Resolution No. 1286, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Susan V. Gilligan to Lot 2 in Assessor's Block 6290, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$6,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 256.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Resurvey of Spur Track Facilities.

The Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development recommends that the following resolution DO NOT PASS, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown and Colman.

Noes—Supervisors Uhl, Shannon, Roncovieri, Ratto.

Supervisor Brown to submit minority report.

(Code No. 12.20)

Resolution No. 1229, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is recognized as one of the foremost industrial communities of the West possessing definite advantages for the manufacturer, wholesaler and distributor as regards taxes, climate, transportation facilities, sample labor market and other economic factors necessary to the locating of industry; and

Whereas, the diversification of our industries and the many substantial payrolls resulting from same contribute in no small degree to our present recognized standing as a solvent community enjoying economic health; and

Whereas, competition for industrial payrolls among the communities around the bay, as well as other areas in the southern part of the State, is becoming more and more apparent; and

Whereas, said competing areas have succeeded in inducing a number of manufacturers of this City to move to other localities where the people of these communities are sufficiently industrial-minded to be cognizant of industries' legitimate requirements and requests; and

Whereas, it has been frequently alleged that San Francisco is negligent in many respects in its cooperation with those agencies who are endeavoring to study, analyze and equitably adjust the economic needs of our own local industries; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the City Engineer of said City and County to conduct a survey among the industries located in those certain industrial zones bounded by Columbus avenue and Stockton,

Chestnut and North Point streets for the purpose of ascertaining if the various facilities normally and rightfully accorded industry by other communities are being equally furnished by this City, especially as regards the legitimate spur track requirements of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, one of the largest and oldest industrial concerns in this City, with an enormous capital investment and employing large numbers of workers, whose request for reasonable rail facilities to its plant has been opposed by certain residential property owners living in an area strictly industrial and zoned for that purpose.

Minority Report of the Joint Committee on Industrial Development and Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Whereas, the Joint Committee on Industrial Development and Streets voted down the proposal to make a survey for spur track purposes of the section bounded by North Point, Columbus avenue, Chestnut street and Stockton street; and

Whereas, the undersigned, believing that the hearing on the subject demonstrated conclusively the need for such a survey in order that the Board of Supervisors might have vital information before it on this subject; and

Whereas, the cost for such survey was shown to be not fifteen hundred dollars as originally outlined, but presumably not to exceed three hundred dollars; and

Whereas, certain industries in the area designated are suffering from lack of spur track facilities and are urging the Board of Supervisors to give them relief; and

Whereas, certain property owners in the jurisdiction have indicated that their property would be damaged by plans already submitted for spur track; and

Whereas, it therefore seems desirable to investigate all other possible alternative routes;

Now, therefore, the undersigned do hereby disagree from the finding of the Joint Committee and urge the Board to have the survey made.

Respectfully submitted,

ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR.
JESSE C. COLMAN.

Motions.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved the adoption of the minority report in lieu of the majority report.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved for reconsideration.

Withdrawn.

Thereupon, the Board rescinded its previous action by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon—Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called again on the foregoing resolution and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved reconsideration at next meeting.

Memorializing Congress to Favorably Consider Proposed Manufacturers' Sales Tax (Exclusive of Food Products).

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1281, as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco contribute a large share of the national income through various taxes now imposed; and

Whereas, the people of the State and of the City are vitally interested in the proposed national manufacturers' sale tax (exclusive of food products) which will be before Congress this week; and

Whereas, the proposed Federal manufacturers' sales tax is as painless as a tax can be, and will produce a substantial revenue; and

Whereas, this tax would be collected at the source, i. e., at the factory, and would not be a troublesome retail sales tax; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States to consider favorably the proposal to raise a part of Federal revenue by such a manufacturers' sales tax, providing same does not apply to food products.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the foregoing resolution be re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee and that all representative organizations interested be given a hearing.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment that the hearing be had in Committee of the Whole next Monday at 5 p. m., that invitations be sent to interested parties and that the Controller give us such information as he may have on the subject.

So ordered.

Refused Passage.

The following matter was taken up and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Prohibiting Free Passes for Municipal Entertainments, Musicales, Performances or Other Functions.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 524, Ordinance No. 11.0006, as follows:

Preventing the distribution of free tickets or passes for, or the admission of any person who has not paid the admission fee therefor, to any entertainment, musicale, performance or other function held under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco, or any board, commission or officer thereof in all cases where an admission fee is charged to the general public for admission thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No officer, employee, board or commission of the City and

County of San Francisco, acting as such for and on behalf of said City and County, shall give or distribute, or permit to be given or distributed, any free ticket or pass entitling any person or persons (except newspaper musical and dramatic critics) to free admission to any entertainment, musicale, performance or other function given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco, or under the auspices of any officer, employee, board or commission acting for and on behalf of the said City and County, where a fee or charge is made to the general public for admission to said entertainment, musicale, performance or other function, nor shall any officer, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco who has charge of the admission of persons to such entertainment, musicale, performance or other function permit any person to attend the same without payment of the regular fee or charge made to the general public for admission thereto.

Section 2. Any officer, employee or member of any board or commission violating the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of dereliction of duty.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Havenner—2.

Re-referred.

The following matter was *re-referred to the Welfare Committee and Clerk instructed to obtain City Attorney's opinion as to legality:*

Authorizing the Appointment of John F. Kelly to Represent the Public Welfare Committee in Obtaining Information From Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to Its Administration of Relief in San Francisco.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1283, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in its investigation of relief matters hereby authorizes the appointment of John F. Kelly to represent the Public Welfare Committee in obtaining information from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to its administration of relief in San Francisco.

Re-referred.

The following matters were *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee:*

Regulating Use of City Automobiles.

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 520, Ordinance No. 3.063, as follows:

Regulating the use of automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and providing for an identifying mark to be maintained on all of said automobiles so owned, and defining certain terms used in this ordinance, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, to whom the care, custody, use or control of any automobile, now or hereafter owned by the City and County, is assigned or entrusted, shall use, or permit any such automobile to be used, for any purpose whatsoever save in the discharge or transaction of municipal business.

Section 2. "Municipal business" is hereby defined to be such business, things or affairs in the doing or performance of which the City

and County of San Francisco is directly interested. Provided, however, that any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the use of which is assigned to the Police Department, or to the Fire Department, or to the Health Department, while engaged in aiding or assisting any other municipality or community, or while performing any act or thing which might have been performed by such other municipality or community, shall be deemed to be engaged in business of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. From and after the first day of September, 1934, every passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco shall have painted on each side of the body of said automobile, in a conspicuous place, a facsimile of the official seal of the City and County of San Francisco in size not less than ten (10) inches in diameter, and each of said passenger automobiles owned by said City and County shall be assigned a serial number by the Purchaser of Supplies, which said serial number assigned to each particular passenger automobile shall be painted on the side of said automobile directly above said facsimile of said official seal.

Section 4. From and after the first day of September, 1934, no officer, agent, servant or employee of the City and County of San Francisco shall drive, use or operate any passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco that has not painted on each side thereof the facsimile of the official seal of said City and County and said identification number mentioned in the previous section.

Section 5. Failure on the part of any official or employee to obey the provisions of this ordinance shall constitute misconduct and shall be sufficient cause for dismissal from office or employment by the City.

Section 6. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved as an amendment that the words, "repeated violations," be inserted after the word "and" at the end of the second line of Section 5.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters, ordered on calendar by Supervisor Colman, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Execute a Deed Conveying to Joint Highway District No. 10 a Right of Way Easement for Junipero Serra Boulevard.
(Code No. 12.052)

Bill No. 525, Ordinance No. 12.0521, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a deed conveying to Joint Highway District No. 10 a right of way easement for Junipero Serra boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to execute a deed granting to Joint Highway District No. 10 of the State of California a right of way easement for a public road or highway over the following described land of said City and County situated in San Mateo County, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Briggs street with the northerly line of "A" street of the School House Homestead, as the same is laid down and delineated upon that certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the County of

San Mateo, entitled "Map of School House Homestead Association situate in the First Township of San Mateo Co." recorded July 10, 1872, in Book 1 of Maps at page 9; and running thence easterly along the northerly line of "A" street 120.29 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 940 feet, a distance of 16.25 feet; the tangent to said curve at point of beginning deflects $91^{\circ} 25' 38''$ to the right from said northerly line of "A" street; thence westerly parallel to and distant 16.25 feet at right angles from said northerly line of "A" street 120.03 feet to said easterly line of Briggs street, thence southerly along said easterly line of Briggs street 16.25 feet to the point of commencement, being a portion of Parcel 12, San Mateo County lands, contained in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated as of March 3, 1930, and recorded in the County of San Mateo in Volume 491 of Official Records at page 1.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way easement shall be subject to the following express conditions, which shall be accepted by resolution of the grantee before said grant becomes effective:

1. The grantee shall at its own expense construct and maintain a public road or highway over the above described land, and shall hold the City free from any obligation or expense in connection with the construction, maintenance or repair of said road or highway.

2. The grantee shall at its own expense properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of Junipero Serra boulevard. The grantee shall also, at its own expense, properly protect against damage those portions of the City's existing 30" Baden-Merced pipe line and 54" San Andreas-Lake Honda pipe line crossing San Pedro road, Pierce street, Briggs street, Clara street, Sullivan avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard, all of which streets, road, avenue and boulevard are to be graded and/or paved by the grantee. Before commencing any construction work which may affect the City's structures, the grantee shall submit plans and specifications of such work to the San Francisco Water Department and obtain the approval of said department. All work mentioned in this paragraph shall be done to the satisfaction of the San Francisco Water Department.

3. The right of way easement hereby granted shall apply solely to the surface of the land hereinbefore described, and the City hereby reserves the right at all times to construct, reconstruct, maintain, use, repair and/or remove pipe lines and any and all water work structures, appurtenances, appliances and equipment, including telephone, telegraph and electric power lines under, across, along and/or over said land without liability for damage. So far as possible such work shall be done without interference with the use of said highway. In connection with the exercise of the rights reserved in this paragraph, the grantee shall reimburse the City for any additional expense to which it may be put on account of the highway being constructed across said property.

4. The grantee shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said streets, avenue and boulevard across the City's land and structures.

5. The right of way easement hereby granted is to be used for public road or highway purposes only, and no other rights shall be permitted or allowed by the grantee across said property to any person, corporation, municipality or other party without first receiving the written approval of the San Francisco Water Department and the Director of Property.

6. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed conveying the above-described right of way to Joint Highway District No. 10.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Right of Way Deed, Junipero Serra Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.052)

Also, Bill No. 526, Ordinance No. 12.0522, as follows:

Authorizing execution of a deed for the conveyance of a right of way to joint highway district No. 10 for Junipero Serra boulevard in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to execute a deed granting to Joint Highway District No. 10 of the State of California, a right of way easement for a public road or highway over the following described land of said City and County situated in San Mateo County, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of that certain 80-foot strip of land described as Parcel 18 of San Mateo County lands in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930 and recorded March 3, 1930 in Volume 491 at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, with the surveyed center line of Junipero Serra boulevard, said point being Engineer's Station "B" 181+05.52 on said survey; thence deflecting to the left 96° 22' 20" from said center line, northwesterly 62.89 feet; thence deflecting to the right 96° 22' 20" easterly, along the northerly right of way of the boulevard, 80.50 feet; thence leaving said right of way line, deflecting to the right 83° 37' 40" southeasterly 62.89 feet to Station 181+86.02 of the boulevard (said course being the easterly line of said 80' strip of land of the San Francisco Water Department); thence continuing said course along said line southeasterly 62.89 feet; thence deflecting to the right 96° 22' 20" along the southerly right of way line of the boulevard, westerly 80.50 feet; thence leaving said right of way line deflecting to the right 83° 37' 40" along the westerly line of the aforesaid 80' strip northwesterly 62.89 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of that original 2.64 acres as granted in a deed from Elizabeth C. Hamlin and O. D. Hamlin, her husband, to Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, dated April 6, 1907 and recorded in Liber 136 of Deeds at page 184.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way easement shall be subject to the following express conditions, which shall be accepted by resolution of the grantee before said grant becomes effective:

1. The grantee shall at its own expense construct and maintain a public road or highway over the above described land, and shall hold the City free from any obligation or expense in connection with the construction, maintenance or repair of said road or highway.

2. The grantee shall at its own expense properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of Junipero Serra boulevard. The grantee shall pay and bear all cost of relocation of existing pipe lines or other structures now located in, on, under, over or paralleling the easement described herein. Before commencing any construction work which may affect the City's structures, the grantee shall submit plans and specifications of such work to the San Francisco Water Department and obtain the approval of said department. All work mentioned in this paragraph shall be done to the satisfaction of the San Francisco Water Department.

3. The right of way easement hereby granted shall apply solely to the surface of the land hereinbefore described, and the City hereby reserves the right at all times to construct, reconstruct, maintain, use, repair and/or remove pipe lines and any and all water works structures, appurtenances, appliances and equipment, including telephone, telegraph and electric power lines under, across, along and/or over

said land without liability for damage. So far as possible such work shall be done without interference with the use of said highway. In connection with the exercise of the rights reserved in this paragraph, the grantee shall reimburse the City for any additional expense to which it may be put on account of the highway being constructed across said property.

4. The grantee shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said boulevard across the City's land and structures.

5. The right of way easement hereby granted is to be used for public road or highway purposes only, and no other rights shall be permitted or allowed by the grantee across said property to any person, corporation, municipality or other party without first receiving the written approval of the San Francisco Water Department and the Director of Property.

6. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed conveying the above described right of way to Joint Highway District No. 10.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extending Time Limit of Deed from Edwin T Jasper to Lands for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

(Code No. 15.034)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1288, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 24746 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated November 21, 1925, from Edwin T. Jasper et al., to a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne county, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock-watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1933, by Resolution No. 380 of this Board, adopted August 15, 1932; and

Whereas, said Edwin T. Jasper et al. have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1934, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement between Edwin T. Jasper et al., and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1934, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Extending Time Limit of Deed from Annie E. Murphy to Lands for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 1289, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 29551 (New Series), of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated October 23, 1928, from Annie E. Murphy et al., to an easement for a portion of the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct through their land in Alameda County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of damage, if any, which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in any springs or wells on the land of the grantors as a result of the construction of said tunnel; and

Whereas, said Annie E. Murphy et al. have requested the Director of Property to extend said time limit to November 1, 1935, and have requested the City and County of San Francisco to furnish water to said land until November 1, 1935; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit and has recommended furnishing water to said property until November 1, 1935. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said time limit be extended to November 1, 1935, and that the City and County of San Francisco furnish water to said land until said time. Be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement between Annie E. Murphy et al. and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit to November 1, 1935, and providing for the furnishing of water to said land until said time, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Extending Time Limit of Deed from Martha A. Arnold et al to Lands for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 1290, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated May 11, 1926, from MARTHA A. ARNOLD et al., to certain property including a sub-surface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the Grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the Grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the Grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1932, by Resolution No. 364 of this Board, adopted July 25, 1932; and

Whereas, said Martha A. Arnold et al. have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1934, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement between Martha A. Arnold et al. and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1934, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Colman—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Lease of Space at San Francisco Airport for Restaurant and Barber Shop.

(Code No. 12.17354)

Bill No. 527, Ordinance No. 12.173542, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California.

Leave of Absence—Dr. J. M. Gwinn, Technical Educational Advisor of San Francisco Public Schools.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

February 7, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. J. M. Gwinn, Technical Educational Advisor of the San Francisco Public Schools, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of two weeks, commencing February 19, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1282, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. J. M. Gwinn, Technical Educational Advisor of the San Francisco Public Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing February 19, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Director of Public Works to Prepare Estimate and to Proceed with Alterations and Rearrangement of Offices of Board of Supervisors.

(Code No. 12.181)

Presented by President McSheehy.

Resolution No. 1287, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Public Works be and is hereby authorized and directed to prepare estimate of cost and proceed with the work of making alterations and rearrangements of the offices of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with blue print filed with the Director of Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Urging That Air Lines Whose Contracts Have Been Cancelled to Be Given Hearing by Post Office Department.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 1293, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is and has been for years vitally interested in commercial aviation, and has fostered the growth thereof; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is interested in maintaining and securing support for its Municipal Airport; and

Whereas, we are informed that those air mail contracts lines now using the San Francisco Municipal Airport cannot exist without the assistance of the Federal Government, which assistance has been rendered through air mail contracts; and

Whereas, the growth and expansion of these lines is seriously threatened by the recent action of the Post Office Department in cancelling air mail contracts; and

Whereas, such action by the Post Office Department was taken without formal hearings on the subject and without opportunity being given to the air mail contract lines to present their side of the matter; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board urges that the air lines who are using our field and are affected by this cancellation should be given a hearing by the Post Office Department prior to final termination of these contracts.

Referred to Commercial Development and Welfare Committees.

Requesting President of Board to Appoint Streets Committee as Delegates to Conferences of California Toll Bridge Authority on the Subject of Interurban Transportation.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1296, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby requested to appoint the Streets Committee of this Board as delegates to any conferences of the California Toll Bridge Authority on the subject of interurban transportation or terminals therefor, involving the use of the public streets in the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the authority of said committee to represent

the City and County of San Francisco in these matters shall continue in force and effect until further notice.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

City Automobiles to Be Used for City Purposes Only.

(Code No. 3.06)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1295, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors that automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and assigned or entrusted to any department, officer or employee should be used only in the discharge and transaction of municipal business, and that no officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco should use any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco and assigned to any officer or department without the consent of such officer or without the consent of the head of such department.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

Chief Administrative Officer to Make Study and Report Thereon on Feasibility of Using Approaches of San Francisco Bay Bridge for Storing City-Owned Automobiles.

(Code No. 9.083)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 1297, as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby requested to cause a study of the feasibility of using the space under the approaches of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge for the purpose of storing automobiles of the City and County of San Francisco;

Further Resolved, That after such study, the Chief Administrative Officer report his findings to this Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *passed for second reading:*

Authorizing Certain Supervisors to Attend Redwood Empire Conference and Providing for Their Expenses.

(Code No. 5.93)

Bill No. 528, Ordinance No. 5.937, as follows:

Granting permission to certain Supervisors to attend the Shoreline Highway Conference at Ukiah, and providing for the payment of their expenses while so absent.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission is hereby granted Supervisors John M. Ratto, Alfred Roncovieri, Warren Shannon and Andrew J. Gallagher to attend the Shoreline Highway Conference and the semi-annual conference of the Nine-Counties Highways Committee and Supervisors' Unit of the Redwood Empire Association to be held at Ukiah on March 2, 1934.

Section 2. That the expenses of each of said officials shall be allowed

and paid in conformity with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0562 of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Breyer—1.

Amendment to Retirement Ordinance.

President McSheehy *referred the following to the Finance Committee:*

(Code No. 4.07)

Bill No. 529, Ordinance No. 4.071, entitled "An ordinance excluding from membership in the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, created in Section 158 of the Charter, persons employed by the City and County on unemployment relief work, and repealing ordinances in so far as they are in conflict herewith."

San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee Report.

The following was read and *copies ordered sent to members:*

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In requesting an appropriation at this time for the month of March, I am using the January expenditures (copy attached) as a basis of calculating same.

In January, the relief load averaged 5500 families. Today, February 8, the load is 6258 and steadily mounting. The number of single women on relief increased from 1729 to 1936 between January 6 and February 3, the number of single men remaining about the same.

Present plans of the Directors of C. W. A. would indicate a discharge of 2000 workers a week during March. At least 30 per cent of this group can be expected to apply for relief during the month and provision must be made to care for this load. I am, therefore, increasing the expenditures for January 30 per cent as to food and shelter. Just what the increase in case load will be, due to going on cash relief, is problematical. If the amount appropriated is not sufficient, it will be necessary to call for additional appropriation.

As we go on cash relief in March, items under "Direct Relief—10. Food," on January expenditures will be converted into cash, as follows:

Groceries from commissary	\$ 79,577.52	
Plus 15 per cent	11,936.63	
Total		\$ 91,514.15
Meat and bread checks		52,916.00
Total		\$144,430.15
Plus 5 per cent		7,221.51
5 per cent on cost of milk (\$30,000)		1,500.00
Grocery and meat orders		8,121.49
Cash relief districts		21,303.45
Total		\$182,576.60
The budget follows:		
<i>Food.</i>		
Cash relief	\$182,576.60	
Milk	29,580.41	
Kitchen, food and expenses	13,055.17	
All other food items	1,407.28	
Total		\$226,619.46
Plus 30 per cent		67,985.84
		\$294,605.30

Shelter.

Rents, interest, etc.	\$ 37,601.48	
Room and board, women	2,015.00	
Shelter expense, single men	4,052.58	
	<u>\$ 43,669.06</u>	
Plus 30 per cent.....	13,100.72	\$ 56,769.78

Clothing and Shoes..... 10,000.00

Fuel.

Gas	\$ 1,563.84	
Electricity	1,141.20	
Wood and Coal.....	3,516.55	
Water	543.65	
Woodyard Expense	\$3,745.91	
Less deliveries to individual cases	3,386.05	359.86
	<u>\$ 7,126.10</u>	
Plus 30 per cent.....	2,137.53	\$ 9,262.63

Medical 779.29

Household 84.65

All Other:

Transportation	\$ 778.35	
Cash Relief—Misc.	447.69	\$ 1,226.04

Total Direct Relief \$372,727.69

Administrative Expense (details below)..... 94,903.61

Total estimated expense for March..... \$467,631.30

Administrative Expenses.

Salaries		\$ 76,725.57
Rents—Buildings, offices and equipment...		1,743.09
Office expense:		
Telephone	\$ 3,634.50	
Heat, light and water.....	1,672.70	
Other office expense.....	6,133.58	\$ 11,440.78
Repairs and maintenance		
Buildings, equipment and janitor supplies..		2,329.37
Transportation expenses:		
Auto allowance	\$ 33.24	
Repairs	824.44	
All others	1,348.64	2,206.32
Pay roll, Emergency Educational Program (Federal)		458.48
Total administrative expense.....		<u>\$ 94,903.61</u>

The Salary Roll is decreased \$12,000 due to closing of Commissary.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) C. M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

Report of Relief Dispensed.

January, 1934.

As of February 6, 1934.

	<i>Expended</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Direct Relief.</i>		
10. Food		
11. Groceries from Commissary.....	\$ 78,570.67	
12. Milk	29,580.41	
15. Grocery and meat orders.....	9,423.85	
16. Kitchen—food and expenses.....	13,055.17	
All other food items.....	2,235.54	
Total Food	\$132,865.64	\$132,865.64
Cash		
13-9. Meat and bread checks.....	\$ 51,879.80	
17-9. Cash relief (districts)	21,303.45	
72. Allowances for boxes (.05)	1,297.05	
Total Cash	\$ 74,480.30	\$ 74,480.30
20. Shelter		
21. Rents, interests, etc.	\$ 37,618.73	
25. Room and board—women	2,015.00	
28. Shelter expense—single men	4,052.58	
Total Shelter	\$ 43,686.31	\$ 43,686.31
30. Clothing and Shoes	\$ 6,926.37	\$ 6,926.37
40. Fuel		
41. Gas	\$ 2,839.82	
42. Electric	2,097.97	
43. Wood and coal.....	3,529.95	
44. Water	1,246.84	
45. Woodyard expense ...	\$ 3,745.91	
Credit Acct. 91—Deliveries		
to cases (red).....	3,386.05	359.86
Total Fuel	\$ 10,074.44	\$ 10,074.44
50. Medical	781.91	781.91
60. Household	94.90	94.90
70. All others		
71. Transportation	779.00	
73. Lunches and carfare	5,542.70	
74. Cash relief—misc.	738.46	
Total All Others	\$ 7,060.16	\$ 7,060.16
<i>Total Direct Relief</i>	\$275,970.03	\$275,970.03
<i>Administrative Expense</i>	115,010.53	115,010.53
<i>Total This Day</i>	\$390,980.56	\$390,980.56
<i>Administrative Expenses.</i>		
100. Salaries	\$ 88,725.57	\$ 88,725.57
110. Rents—buildings, offices and equipment..	1,743.09	1,743.09
120. Office expense		
124. Telephone	3,634.50	
133. Heat, light and water.....	1,672.70	
Other office expense	6,132.47	
Total Office Expense	\$ 11,439.67	\$ 11,439.67
150. Repairs and Maintenance		
Buildings, equipment and janitor supplies	2,326.75	2,326.75

160. Grocery overhead		
161. Deliveries	4,405.38	
Boxes, findings, etc.	3,704.64	
Total Grocery Overhead	\$ 8,110.02	\$ 8,110.02
170. Automobile expenses		
171. Auto allowances	33.24	
172. Repairs	825.07	
All others	1,348.64	
	\$ 2,206.95	\$ 2,206.95
180. E. E. P. Pay Roll.....	458.48	458.48
<i>Total Administrative Expense</i>	<i>\$115,010.53</i>	<i>\$115,010.53</i>

Non-Resident School Teachers.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, replying to query as to apparent discrepancy of number of nonresident school teachers employed in the San Francisco School Department.

Ordered filed, and copy sent to Supervisor Uhl.

Emergency Relief Appropriation for Month of March.

The following was presentend and *referred to Finance Committee*: Communication from C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, requesting appropriation for relief during the month of March amounting to \$182,576.60.

Referred to Finance Committee. Copies to members of Board.

Report of Fire Department on Accident at Seventeenth and Harrison Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Frank T. Kennedy, Secretary, Board of Fire Commissioners, replying to request for information regarding accident which occurred on May 4, 1933, at Seventeenth and Harrison streets, where Fire Department Buick No. 117 was damaged, and transmitting in relation thereto Departmental Report of its Accident Committee.

Ordered filed.

Relative to Applications to Federal Government for Public Works to Be Constructed by Municipalities.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, reporting on his investigation of the intent of the Secretary of the Interior to discontinue consideration of further applications for public works to be selected by municipalities, and requesting that the Board of Supervisors ratify his action, which was taken as an emergency measure and not with the desire to act without full authority of the Board, and stating that subsequent events proved the necessity for prompt attention that was given it at the time.

Ordered filed.

Construction of Golden Gate Bridge Through Purchase of District Bonds With Federal Funds.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Clarence F. Lea, Congressional Representative, First District, California, acknowledging resolution urging support to the effort to finance further construction of the Golden Gate Bridge through the purchase of the District Bonds with Federal funds, and

expressing his hearty sympathy with the purpose and his willingness to cooperate.

Ordered filed.

Also, communication from Senator Hiram W. Johnson, acknowledging receipt of Resolution No. 1260, requesting cooperation of Congressional Representatives in behalf of securing Federal financing of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and promising to give the matter full consideration on this important project.

Ordered filed.

Also, communication from Congressman Richard J. Welch, acknowledging resolution respecting financing Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District through Federal funds, and stating that they were doing everything possible along the lines set forth in the resolution.

Ordered filed.

Liberalization of Home Loan Act.

The following telegram was received and read by the Clerk:

Telegram from Senator Hiram W. Johnson as follows:

Washington, D. C., February 8, 1934.

James B. McSheehy, President, San Francisco Board of Supervisors;
Adolph Uhl, Chairman, Public Welfare Committee of San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Telegram received. I understand Treasury Department and Home Loan Board are considering the various measures submitted to Congress for liberalization of Home Loan Act. These Bureaus will then make their recommendation to Committee. Banking and Currency Committee hope then to report to Senate a measure liberalizing the provisions of Home Loan Act. Of course I shall be very glad to support a measure which will bring added relief to our people.

HIRAM W. JOHNSON.

Proposed Spur Track, North Beach District.

The following was presented and read and *ordered filed*:

Communication from Frank Fischer, Secretary, Northern Federation of Civic Organizations, advising that that organization had adopted a resolution opposing the proposed spur track district survey in the North Beach District.

Petition of Post No. 34, Workers' Ex-Servicemen's League, for Cash Relief Instead of Present System.

Communication from Workers' Ex-Servicemen's League, Post No. 34, transmitting resolutions requesting cash payment to all unemployed persons on relief instead of the present system.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Protest Excise Tax on Coconut Oil.

Supervisor Brown proposed a resolution protesting proposed imposition by the Government of an excise tax of five cents per pound on coconut oil.

Referred to the Commercial Development Committee.

Candle Stick Point Reclamation Project.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors write to the proper department at Washington, advising that it is contemplated to condemn land east of Hawes street on Candle Stick Point for reclamation purposes, and that the project of building 300 homes under private enterprise, for which private parties are now

soliciting P.W.A. funds in Washington, does not meet with the favor of this Board.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee. Notify all concerned of meeting of that committee to be held Friday, February 16, at 10:10 a. m.

The Chief Administrative Officer to be requested to prepare and bring in at meeting of Welfare Committee estimated values of the lands east of Hawes street for the purposes of this investigation.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to his various requests at meeting of January 28th to which no reply has been made, to-wit:

Rent cars;

Street Cleaning Department to furnish map "red spots";

In this connection, Supervisor Uhl asked for additional information as to all the various elements of cost involved in the operation of the department.

Recorder and County Clerk combination;

Coroner and Health Department combination;

Use of second floor of Coroner's office for District Attorney.

Report on telephone system: In this connection Supervisor Uhl requested that the Chief Administrative Officer be asked to present to the Board a statement showing the savings that might be effected first, by use of a main switchboard for use of all offices in the City Hall, including the Health Department Building—what the telephone company set up will be as compared with the cost of the present system.

Wage Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that every department involved be asked if any public work is being held up on account of the inability of the Finance Committee to report the wage ordinance at this time.

So ordered.

Judiciary Committee to Consider Ordinance Codification.

Supervisor Havenner announced that the Judiciary Committee would meet Thursday, February 15, at 11 a. m. to consider proposed ordinance providing for the codification of municipal ordinances. Notify Junior Chamber of Commerce, Bar Association and Barristers' Club.

Meeting to Consider Supervisors' Budget.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention of the members of the Board to the necessity of making up the budget for the Supervisors' Department sometime before the first of March. "As this is a matter for the entire Board," he said, "I suggest that we meet at 5 o'clock next Friday for this purpose."

So ordered. Clerk to notify all members.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 6:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 19, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, February 19, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 19, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:05 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:05 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 13, 1934, was considered read and approved.

Position of Supervisor Sam T. Breyer Vacated.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and ordered *printed in the Journal*:

February 16, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I hereby advise you that the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco heretofore occupied by Mr. Sam T. Breyer has become vacant by reason of his conviction of two felonies, each of which involved moral turpitude.

Enclosed is a copy of a notice I have caused to be served on Mr. Breyer declaring that the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco, heretofore occupied by him, has, by reason of his conviction of said felonies, become vacant and that he has been removed from said office.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following was read:

Sam T. Breyer, informed against under the name of Sam Breyer in a certain indictment found and returned to the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, by the Grand Jury of said City and County:

You are hereby notified that, having been informed against by the Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and charged in said indictment with the crime of felony, to-wit: grand theft in the first count in said indictment, and violating Section 532 of the Penal Code of the State of California in the second count in said indictment, and having been tried on both of said charges

in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, and the jury before whom such charges were tried, having, on the 14th day of February, 1934, found you guilty of the crime of felony, to-wit: grand theft as charged in the first count of said indictment, and having also found you guilty of the crime of felony, to-wit: violating Section 532 of the Penal Code of the State of California, as charged in the second count of said indictment, and Honorable Lile T. Jacks, Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, in which you were tried, having, on the 15th day of February, 1934, ordered, adjudged and decreed that you be punished by imprisonment in the State prison of the State of California, and each of said crimes involving moral turpitude, the office which you heretofore occupied as a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has become vacant by reason of your conviction of the aforesaid crimes, it becomes my duty under the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco to inform you that you are no longer a member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, or eligible to serve as such, and you are hereby removed from said office of Supervisor of the said City and County of San Francisco.

Dated, San Francisco, California, February 16, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Appointment of J. Emmet Hayden, Supervisor, Vice Sam T. Breyer, Removed.

The following was, thereupon, presented, read and made a part of the record:

The City and County of San Francisco, State of California.
To all to whom these presents shall come; greeting:

Reposing special confidence in the fidelity and ability of
J. EMMET HAYDEN

I do, by these presents, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, appoint him

A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

in and for the City and County of San Francisco, he to hold said office for the term from and after the 16th day of February, 1934, vice Sam T. Breyer, removed.

In testimony whereof, I have signed my name and have caused the seal of my office to be affixed hereto this 16th day of February, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Attest:

(Seal)

MAURICE L. RAPHELD,
Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Whereupon, President McSheehy declared J. Emmet Hayden duly inducted into the office of Supervisor, vice Sam T. Breyer, removed.

Adopted.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *adopted*:

Providing for the Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes to Be Issued Pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0333.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1298, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0333, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County,

which appropriation will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above-mentioned, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco, a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3:00 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 26th day of February, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco, computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934.

Resolution No. 1271 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Withdrawn.

The following matter, upon motion of reconsideration made by Supervisor Uhl, was, at the request of Supervisor Gallagher, the author, *withdrawn*:

RECONSIDERATION

The Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development recommends that the following resolution DO NOT PASS, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown and Colman.

Noes—Supervisors Uhl, Shannon, Roncovieri, Ratto.

Supervisor Brown to submit minority report.

Resurvey of Spur Track Facilities.

(Code No. 12.20)

Resolution No. 1229, as follows:

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is recognized as one of the foremost industrial communities of the West possessing definite advantages for the manufacturer, wholesaler and distributor as regards taxes, climate, transportation facilities, sample labor market and other economic factors necessary to the locating of industry; and

Whereas, the diversification of our industries and the many substantial payrolls resulting from same contribute in no small degree to our present recognized standing as a solvent community enjoying economic health; and

Whereas, competition for industrial payrolls among the communities

around the bay, as well as other areas in the southern part of the State, is becoming more and more apparent; and

Whereas, said competing areas have succeeded in inducing a number of manufacturers of this City to move to other localities where the people of these communities are sufficiently industrial-minded to be cognizant of industries' legitimate requirements and requests; and

Whereas, it has been frequently alleged that San Francisco is negligent in many respects in its cooperation with those agencies who are endeavoring to study, analyze and equitably adjust the economic needs of our own local industries; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the City Engineer of said City and County to conduct a survey among the industries located in those certain industrial zones bounded by Columbus avenue and Stockton, Chestnut and North Point streets for the purpose of ascertaining if the various facilities normally and rightfully accorded industry by other communities are being equally furnished by this City, especially as regards the legitimate spur track requirements of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company, one of the largest and oldest industrial concerns in this City, with an enormous capital investment and employing large numbers of workers, whose request for reasonable rail facilities to its plant has been opposed by certain residential property owners living in an area strictly industrial and zoned for that purpose.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal—Pacific Avenue.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property located at the northerly side of Pacific avenue, commencing at a point 206 feet 3 inches easterly from Laguna street and running thence easterly to Gough street, and also southerly side of Pacific avenue between Laguna and Gough streets for First Residential to Second Residential District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jas. Hanley, Jr., attorney representing appellants, Jas. R. Ricketts, representing the Building Trades Council, and Jas. Hanley, Sr., were heard in favor of the appeal.

Lawrence Rhyne, attorney representing Elias Rhyne; J. H. Clinton, representing Mrs. Morrison; Martin Minney, representing Mrs. Heller and Mrs. Cavanaugh, and Mrs. Morrison were heard in opposition.

Con. Cullinan, City Planning Commission, and Mr. de Golia, City Planning Commission, were also heard in opposition.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *refused passage* by the following vote:

City Planning Commission Sustained.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1305, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 992, denying application to rezone property located at the northerly line of Pacific avenue, commencing at a point 206 feet 3 inches westerly from the westerly line of Laguna street and running thence easterly to Gough street; and also the southerly line of Pacific avenue, between Laguna street and Gough street, from First Residential District to Second Residential District, is hereby **disapproved**.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.**Hearing Appeal From Decision of City Planning Commission, West Line Bay Shore Boulevard, Commencing at a Point 75 Feet North of Wayland Avenue.**

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property situated on the west line of Bay Shore boulevard, commencing at a point 75 feet north of Wayland avenue, and running thence northerly 50 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. A. D. Schaffer, attorney representing appellants, was heard in favor of the proposed rezoning.

Albert J. McGuire, attorney representing respondents, and M. Meyers, City Planning Commission, were heard in opposition.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

City Planning Commission Sustained.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1306, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 996, denying the application to rezone property located on the westerly line of Bay Shore boulevard, commencing at a point 75 feet north of Whelan avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon—7.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**Hearing Appeal, Property Located on the Northerly Line of Pine Street, 77 Feet 6 Inches West of Stockton Street.**

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the northerly line of Pine street, 77 feet 6 inches west of Stockton street.

Privilege of the Floor.

S. L. Fendel, attorney representing appellants Chas. Hook, 737 Pine street, and C. J. Keenan, were heard in favor of the resolution.

B. G. Skinner, attorney representing respondents, and W. Chapin, City Planning Commission, were heard in opposition.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

City Planning Commission Sustained.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1307, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 991, denying an application to rezone property located on the northerly line of Pine street, distant thereon 77 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Stockton street, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Hearing of Appeal from Assessment on Garfield Street at 3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2nd day of January, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, have been fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Requesting Bay Bridge Authorities to Change Location of Westerly Terminal as Recommended by the Art Commission.

(Code No. 12.112)

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 1291, as follows:

Whereas, the California Toll Bridge Authority, under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, has undertaken the construction of a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco from the County of Alameda to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the westerly terminus of said bridge and the elevated approach thereto is at Fifth street between Harrison and Bryant streets; and

Whereas, the present plans for the construction of said portion of said bridge extending from First street to Fifth street provides for the construction of the same over and along a narrow roadway which will be barely sufficiently wide enough to support said bridge and which will give to said bridge a clearance of approximately ten feet on one side of the pier supporting same and approximately thirty-five feet on the other side thereof; and

Whereas, the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, which, under the Charter, has jurisdiction over the design of buildings, bridges and other structures erected upon land belonging to the City and County; and

Whereas, said Art Commission has examined the location of said portion of said bridge extending from First street to its terminus on Fifth street and has recommended that the location of said portion of said bridge should be changed from the present plan for the construction thereof so that said portion of said bridge would be in the center of a street or area which would leave for roadway and street purposes a space of at least eighty-four feet in width on either side of said bridge; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has examined said report made by said Art Commission and believes that it will be for the betterment of the City and County of San Francisco as well as for the benefit and advantage of said bridge that the portion thereof extending from First street to Fifth street be relocated so as to leave at least eighty-four feet on either side of said bridge for the purpose of allowing adequate street or roadway space on either side thereof; and

Whereas, the carrying out of said plan will necessitate the acquisition of all of the property between Stillman and Perry streets from Second street to Fifth street, all of which will entail additional cost in the construction and location of said bridge; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco did heretofore, by Resolution No. 751, duly adopted by this Board on the 24th day of

April, 1933, agree to purchase \$390,000 worth of the bonds issued by the California Toll Bridge Authority for the construction of the aforesaid bridge, the said bonds to be paid for out of such funds as might be authorized by law for said purpose and in as nearly equal annual installments as would be practicable; and

Whereas, it is the intention of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire said bonds and pay for the same in four annual installments, the first of which will be paid during the fiscal year 1934-1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby request the Board of Directors of the California Toll Bridge Authority to change the location of the westerly terminus of said bridge as the same is now proposed to extend from First to Fifth street in the City and County of San Francisco so that the same may be located and constructed in conformity with the report and recommendations of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and in order that the City and County of San Francisco may contribute a fair share of the expense of relocating said bridge and acquiring the necessary property therefor, the said City and County does hereby offer to surrender and deliver to the California Toll Bridge Authority or to its assigns the said \$390,000 worth of bonds heretofore issued and sold by the California Toll Bridge Authority and agreed to be purchased pursuant to the provisions of said Resolution No. 751; the said delivery and surrender of said bonds to be made in such installments as the same are acquired by the City; be it further

Resolved, That the offer herein made is dependent upon the acceptance of the same by the California Toll Bridge Authority before June 30, 1934, and the execution of an agreement by the California Toll Bridge Authority accepting the offer of the City and County made herein and agreeing to acquire the necessary land to relocate the said westerly terminus of said bridge in conformity with the report and recommendations of said Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco; be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the California Toll Bridge Authority and to Charles H. Purcell, the engineer in charge of the construction of said transbay bridge.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Pflueger, architect for bay bridge, explained in detail the superior features of Plan No. 2 as recommended by the Art Commission. Plan No. 1, he said, constituted a serious fire menace.

Mr. Hobart, President of the Art Commission, was also heard in support of Plan No. 2 and the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Chas. Brennan, Chief of the Fire Department, emphasized the danger from fire menace of Plan No. 1, and urged the adoption of the resolution and Plan No. 2.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk send a telegram to Florence McAuliffe, attorney for the State Toll Bridge Authority, and advising him of the action of the Board and to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and U. S. Senators and Congressmen from California.

Motion carried.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Memorializing Congress to Favorably Consider Proposed Manufacturers' Sales Tax (Exclusive of Food Products).

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1281, as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco contribute a large share of the national income through various taxes now imposed; and

Whereas, the people of the State and of the City are vitally interested in the proposed national manufacturers' sale tax (exclusive of food products) which will be before Congress this week; and

Whereas, the proposed Federal manufacturers' sales tax is as painless as a tax can be, and will produce a substantial revenue; and

Whereas, this tax would be collected at the source, i. e., at the factory, and would not be a troublesome retail sales tax; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States to consider favorably the proposal to raise a part of Federal revenue by such a manufacturers' sales tax, providing same does not apply to food products.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale Issued by Tax Collector Pursuant to the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance, Upon Which No Deed Has Been Executed by the Tax Collector.

(Code No. 12.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 523, Ordinance No. 12.0331, as follows:

Providing for the cancellation of certain certificates of sale heretofore issued by the Tax Collector under and pursuant to the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance and amendments thereto in all cases where certificates have been issued for the sale of said property and no deed to said property has been executed by the Tax Collector.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to cancel any and all certificates of sale issued by him under and pursuant to the provisions of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, and to cancel the assessments against the property covered by said certificates in all cases where the owners of said property shall, within six months after the effective date of this ordinance, pay to said Tax Collector all amounts due upon the respective parcels of property mentioned in said respective certificates, together with twenty-five (25) per cent of the amount for which the said respective parcels of property were sold.

Section 2. In all cases where the owners or those interested in the several properties described in the aforesaid certificates have not, at the expiration of six months from and after the effective date of this ordinance, paid the respective amounts for which said several properties have been sold, together with the penalties provided for the non-payment thereof, then, in that event, the Tax Collector shall forth-

with proceed to execute deeds to the persons to whom said properties were sold in accordance with the provisions of the Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall not annul or repeal any provisions of said Tunnel Procedure Ordinance except in so far as it gives to the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco the right to permit the redemption of the said several properties mentioned in said certificates of sale heretofore issued within the time herein specified and at the expiration of six months from and after the date hereof, this ordinance shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Regulating Sidewalk Widths—Eighteenth Street Between Connecticut and Illinois Streets.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 521, Ordinance No. 12.073122, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Fifty-six (56) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the width of sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office January 27, 1934, by amending Section Fifty-six (56) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 56. The width of sidewalks on Eighteenth street between Connecticut and Illinois streets shall be 12 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Woodside Avenue, Etc.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 522, Ordinance No. 12.081112, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Woodside avenue from existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the roadway of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue, and the roadway of Idora avenue,

Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

STREET IMPROVEMENT ORDINANCE OF 1934.

(Code No. 12.021)

Also, Bill No. 518, Ordinance No. 12.0211, as follows:

Providing for proceedings for work or improvements in or upon public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads and other public property and rights of way, in the City and County of San Francisco, including property over which possession or right of use has been obtained under the provisions of Sections 14 and 14½ of Article I of the Constitution of California, and for establishing or changing the grades of any such public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads, properties or rights of way; prescribing and providing for the method of assessing the expenses of such work or improvements upon lands in private ownership; providing for a lien on lands so assessed for such work or improvements; providing a method for collecting or enforcing such assessments; providing for bonds to be given under certain conditions by those interested in land so assessed; providing a method for the payment of such bonds; and providing for the sale of lands so assessed for the payment of any of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

PART I.

Section 1. All streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property, rights of way, tidelands and submerged lands, in the City and County of San Francisco, now open or dedicated or which hereafter may be opened or dedicated to public use, and any property in said City and County for the immediate possession and use of which, as right of way required for public use, an order has or shall have been obtained pursuant to Sections 14 and 14½. Article I, California Constitution, and all tidelands and submerged lands which have been or hereafter may be granted by the State of California to said City and County, shall be deemed and held to be open public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property or rights of way owned by said City and County, for the purposes of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County is hereby empowered to establish and change the grades of all said ways, properties and rights of way and fix the width thereof, and is hereby invested with jurisdiction to order to be done therein, thereover or thereon, either singly or in any combination, pursuant to the proceedings hereafter described, any and all of the work mentioned in this ordinance. The words, public ways, when used in this ordinance shall be deemed to include all the existing and future property of said City and County hereinabove mentioned. The word, Supervisors, when hereafter used, will refer to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Supervisors, pursuant to the procedure herein prescribed, are hereby empowered to order the whole or any portion or portions, in either length or width, of any one or more of said public ways to be improved by or have constructed therein, thereover or thereon either singly or in any combination, any of the following work:

(a) The grading or regrading, paving or repaving, planking or replanking, macadamizing or remacadamizing, graveling or regraveling, oiling or reoilng, piling or repiling, capping or recapping, repairing or reconstruction thereof.

(b) The filling of excavations therein.

(c) The construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, crosswalks,

steps, safety zones, platforms, seats, statuary, fountains, parks and parkways, culverts, bridges, curbs, gutters, tunnels, subways or viaducts.

(d) Sanitary sewers or instrumentalities of sanitation, together with the necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, tunnels, channels and other appurtenances.

(e) Drains, tunnels, sewers, conduits, culverts and channels for drainage purposes, with necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, channels and appurtenances.

(f) Viaducts, conduits, water pipes, water connections and appurtenances and subways.

(g) Poles, posts, wires, pipes, conduits, tunnels, lamps and other suitable or necessary appliances for the purpose of lighting said public ways or other property owned by said City and County.

(h) Pipes, hydrants and appliances for fire protection.

(i) Breakwaters, levees, bulkheads, retaining walls and walls of rock or other material to protect said public ways and other property in said City and County from overflow by water.

(j) Retaining walls, embankments and other structures necessary or suitable in connection with any of the work in this section mentioned.

(k) The planting of trees, shrubs or any other ornamental vegetation.

(l) The installation of appliances for regulating traffic of pedestrians and vehicles and all other traffic, together with all requisite cables, wires, conduits and all other instrumentalities necessary or proper for the operation of such appliances.

(m) All other work necessary or suitable to improve the whole or any portion of said public ways.

(n) All other work auxiliary to any of the work above mentioned, which may be necessary or convenient for the performance of the same.

Section 3. When, in the judgment of the Director of Public Works of said City and County, public interest or convenience requires that any of the work mentioned in this ordinance be done, the expense of the whole or any part of which is to be assessed upon private property, and said Director deems the same expedient, he may by written order declare such expediency and briefly describe such work. Said Director shall cause to be preserved in the office of the Department of Public Works in permanent and appropriate form a written record of all acts pursuant to the procedure prescribed by this ordinance including all orders made by him hereunder, so that at all times during business hours there shall be open to the public a complete written record of all acts pursuant hereto. All orders of said Director pursuant hereto shall be identified by his signature. Upon making any such order of expediency, said Director shall cause to be prepared specifications, or plans and specifications, as the case may require, for the proposed work.

Section 4. At any time after the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the contemplated work shall have been prepared, said Director may make an order declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order to be done the work described in his order declaring the expediency thereof, or some part or modification of such work.

Said order declaring his intention shall refer to the public way affected, by its lawful or official name, or the name by which it is commonly known. When the contemplated work is not upon a public street or thoroughfare, the order shall briefly describe the property or right of way on which the work is to be done. Said order will be sufficient if it states in general terms the kind of work contemplated, such as grading, paving, sewerage or other improvements, gives in general the location of the proposed improvement and refers to the specifica-

tions, or plans and specifications, therefor, for a full and detailed description of the proposed work. Said order declaring the intention of said Director shall also contain a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the proposed work may appear before said Director and show cause why said proposed work should not be done in accordance with said order declaring the intention of said Director. Said time shall not be less than fifteen or more than thirty days from the date of making said order of intention.

Said Director may include in one proceeding, under one order declaring his intention and in one contract, any of the different kinds of work mentioned in this ordinance on any number of public ways, contiguous or otherwise, and he may except therefrom any of such work already done.

The grade to which any work shall be done shall be such as may be shown on the plans or profiles therefor, or it may be done on such a grade as may formally have been established by the Supervisors. If any official grade already has been established for any of the public ways proposed to be improved, it shall be lawful for the order of said Director declaring his intention, to provide that said work shall be done to new grades or grades different from those so established, and said order shall refer to plans, profiles or specifications for the description of the grade at which the work is to be done. Any property owner whose property is to be assessed to pay the costs of the proposed work may at the time fixed in said order for hearing objections appear before said Director and object to the proposed grade or proposed modification of grade. A failure to make objection at such time shall be deemed to be a waiver of all objections to the proposed grade or proposed change of grade and shall operate as a bar to any claim for damages or any subsequent action looking to the prevention of the work or the recovery of damages on account of the performance of the work to such grade or changed grade. The provisions of this section relative to grades are alternative and do not affect other provisions of law relative to change of grade.

Section 5. Said Director shall make the expense of such work chargeable upon the district in his opinion benefited by such work. In his said order declaring his intention he shall describe such district and declare it to be the district which will be benefited by such work. Such district may be described in such order by stating the exterior boundaries thereof, or by giving a description thereof according to any official or recorded map or maps, or by referring to the maps or block books customarily used by the Assessor and Tax Collector for City and County assessment or tax collection purposes, or by referring to a plat or map which shall be on file in the office of said Director at the time of making the order declaring his intention; said last mentioned plat or map shall indicate by a boundary line the extent of the territory included in the proposed district, and, if referred to as hereinabove provided for, shall govern for all details as to the extent of such assessment district.

When two or more public ways, not contiguous or directly connected, are to be improved under the same proceeding, such number of districts may be provided for therein as shall be deemed by said Director to be expedient.

Section 6. Said Director may, if he deem it advisable, and when there is an unexpended and unencumbered balance in any fund in the City and County Treasury which has been appropriated for such general purpose, and the written consent of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller has been obtained, recommend to the Supervisors that not to exceed two-thirds of the expense of any of the work mentioned in this ordinance shall be paid out of said Treasury from such unexpended and unencumbered balance. Said Director shall state the fact of such intended recommendation in his order declaring his in-

tion to recommend that the work be done, specifying in such order the amount so to be recommended for payment from the Treasury.

If the Supervisors follow the recommendation in whole or in part, they shall appropriate for such purpose, in the ordinance ordering the work, the amount so recommended, or such part thereof as they shall consent to; provided, however, that no such appropriation shall be made until the provisions of Section 86 of the Charter of said City and County shall have been complied with. The amount so to be paid from the Treasury shall be payable at such time or times as shall be specified in the notice calling for sealed proposals for the work.

Whenever any of the expense of such work is so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury said Director in making up the assessment hereinafter provided for, shall, unless the Supervisors in said ordinance otherwise provide, and except as otherwise provided in Subdivision 4, Section 24, of this ordinance, first deduct from the whole expense of such work the amount so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury, and shall assess the remainder of said expense upon the parcels of land liable to be assessed therefor in the manner hereinafter provided.

This section shall not be construed as a limitation upon the power of the Supervisors to make an appropriation from the Treasury at any other time or in any other manner to pay not to exceed two-thirds of the cost of any work mentioned in this ordinance.

Section 7. A copy of the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order work to be done shall be published for one day in the official newspaper of said City and County. Such publication shall be made at least ten days before the date fixed in said order for hearing thereon by said Director. A copy of said order shall be posted in the office of said Director at least ten days before the date named in said order for action thereon by said Director.

Said Director shall cause notices of the making of said order to be conspicuously posted along all the streets within the district chargeable for the expense of the work, at not more than three hundred feet in distance apart, on each street so posted, but not less than three on each street in such district.

No proceeding shall ever be held invalid for failure to post any street or streets, as in this section provided, if the provision of this section has been substantially complied with. All posting hereby prescribed must be completed at least ten days before the day set for hearing on said order of said Director declaring his intention.

Said notice shall be headed "Notice of Improvement" in letters of not less than one inch in height, and shall, in legible characters, state the fact of the adoption of such order of said Director declaring his intention, its date, and shall briefly describe the work proposed to be done, and shall refer to said order of said Director for further particulars. Said notices shall also set out the proposed district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work. Said district shall be described in the same manner in which it shall be described in the order of said Director declaring his intention as provided for in Section 5. Said notices shall also state that it is proposed to assess the property within such district to pay the total or partial expense of such work, as the case may be. Said notices shall also state that all objections to the proposed work or district or otherwise must be filed, in writing, with said Director before the day fixed in his said order for action thereon, or must be made orally on said day, or on the day to which action on said order may be postponed. The day, hour and place fixed in said order for action thereon shall also be indicated in said notices.

The Secretary of the Department of Public Works shall cause to be mailed, at least 10 days prior to the hearing, postage prepaid, a copy of such order to each property owner whose name appears upon the assessment book of the City and County current at the time of the making of such order, and whose property is to be assessed for the proposed work or improvement. In case any lot, piece or parcel of

land liable to be assessed for such work or improvement be assessed on such assessment book to "unknown owners," then no copy of such order need be mailed to the owner thereof.

The mailing of such copy of such order shall be to the address as the same appears upon the said assessment book as indicating the address of the owner of the property to be assessed for such work or improvement; and in case no such address appears upon said assessment book, then the mailing of such copy may be made either to an address designated in the last issue of the city directory having relation to a name corresponding to that of such owner, if such a name appear therein, or to an address obtainable from any other probably reliable source of information that may be conveniently available to the person performing such mailing, or such mailing to such owner may be made to the general delivery of the post office at the City and County.

The Supervisors, before ordering the contemplated work to be done or improvement made, may, if they deem it advisable, require an affidavit to be filed showing that the foregoing requirement for the mailing of such copies of the said order has been complied with. Such affidavit showing such mailing shall be conclusive of the facts therein recited.

Such requirement for such mailing of the copies of the order of intention shall not be deemed jurisdictional, and the failure of the said property owners, or any of them, to receive said copies of the said order, or any error or omission in relation to the said mailing of the same, shall in nowise affect the validity of the proceeding or prevent the Supervisors from acquiring jurisdiction to order the proposed work or improvement. Knowledge of the making of such order of intention acquired by any such owner, prior to the date of action thereon, in any manner other than by mailing to him a copy of such order, shall be deemed the equivalent of such mailing for all purposes to be subserved thereby.

Section 8. At any time before the day fixed in said order of said Director for action thereon by said Director, any owner of, or person interested in, property liable to be assessed for the proposed work, or the duly authorized representative of such owner, or other person, in his behalf, may make written protest against the same or to the extent of the district to be assessed therefor, or both, or make any other protest with regard thereto. Such protest must be in writing, contain a description of the property in which each signer thereof is interested, sufficient to identify the same, set forth the nature of his interest therein, and be delivered to the Department of Public Works of said City and County, the secretary or a clerk of which shall endorse thereon the date of receipt thereof. No other protests or objections shall be considered by said Director, except oral protests made at the time at which said Director conducts the hearing mentioned in his said order. At the time set for hearing protests said Director may publicly postpone action on his said order from time to time, and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice of such postponement and shall be governed thereby. The decision of said Director on all such protests shall be final and conclusive except in case of appeal to the Supervisors as in this ordinance hereinafter provided for.

Section 9. If any protest against such proposed work or proposed district or any other protest be sustained by said Director, he shall not thereby be prevented from commencing proceedings anew hereunder which shall embrace the same work and/or the same district or any part or parts of either or both thereof; and new proceedings may be had the same as if all such prior proceedings, no matter how many times instituted, had never been commenced.

If no protests be made against such proposed district, or if all protests made against the same be overruled by said Director, said Director shall accompany his recommendation to the Supervisors that the proposed work be ordered, with a diagram on which shall be delineated

each separate parcel of land within the limits of such assessment district, the approximate dimensions of each such parcel, and its relative location to the work proposed to be done. The correctness of such diagram shall be certified by the City Engineer. Instead of said diagram said Director may accompany his said recommendation to the Supervisors with a description of such proposed district.

Section 10. If the protests to any proposed work or proposed district or other protests be all overruled, or if no protests be made, said Director shall, within five days from the date of his action upon his said order declaring his intention, make an order recommending to the Supervisors that they order such work to be done, and said Director shall cause a copy of said last mentioned order to be transmitted to the Supervisors.

When any protests by persons having any interest in the property to be assessed have been overruled by said Director, an appeal may be taken separately by each such protestant to the Supervisors from the decision of such Director. Each such appeal shall be in writing, and shall be signed by each protestant participating therein with his place of residence set down opposite his signature and with a description of the property in which he is interested sufficient for identification of the same. Such appeal must be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supervisors within ten days from the date of said Director's order recommending to the Supervisors that the work be ordered done, and a copy of such appeal must be filed in the office of said Department of Public Works within two days after the date of filing such appeal with the Clerk of the Supervisors. No appeal shall be considered by the Supervisors unless the same be taken and perfected in the manner and within the time herein provided.

When said Director has overruled all such protests, he shall within five days after the date of such action make his order reciting such action, and therein recommend to the Supervisors that they order the proposed work to be done and approve the proposed assessment district and transmit a copy of such order to the Supervisors.

When an appeal or appeals shall have been taken as herein provided, the Supervisors shall fix a time for hearing the same. The Clerk of the Supervisors shall thereupon notify the persons filing such an appeal or appeals of the time fixed for the hearing by mailing a notice thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to each of said persons at his address as given in such notice of appeal. The affidavit of said clerk of said mailing shall be conclusive of the fact.

At the time so fixed for hearing the appeal, the Supervisors shall hear and pass upon the same. Such hearing may be continued from time to time and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice thereof and shall be governed thereby.

Section 11. The Supervisors, if they do not deny such appeal, may by resolution delay further proceedings in relation to the proposed work for not more than one year from the date of the adoption of such resolution. Upon petition of the appellants the Supervisors may continue with the proceedings from time to time during said period of delay.

Upon expiration of the period of delay so fixed by the Supervisors, said Director may again recommend to the Supervisors that the proposed work be done and the proposed district be confirmed, and thereupon the Supervisors, after notice to the appellants as provided for in Section 10, shall order the work to be done and the boundaries of the proposed district confirmed or may declare an abandonment of all proceedings theretofore had in the matter.

The ordering of any proposed work and confirmation of any proposed district shall be by ordinance.

Section 12. Said Director, at any stage of the proceedings for any proposed work, prior to action by the Supervisors upon his recommendation that they order the same done, may by order abandon any or all proceedings theretofore had in relation to such proposed work;

and said Director may commence said proceedings anew and continue the same from any part of said proceedings not so abandoned. If said Director abandons any or all proceedings after his making an order of recommendation and before action thereon by the Supervisors, he shall cause notice of such fact forthwith to be transmitted to the Supervisors, and the Supervisors shall take no action upon the recommendation in such case.

If the Supervisors pass an ordinance ordering any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, they may upon recommendation of said Director repeal such ordinance ordering work.

Said Director, from time to time after he has abandoned any proceedings for any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, may institute and continue proceedings hereunder for the work theretofore proposed and abandoned, or for such work or modified work, as he may determine the public interest or convenience requires, all in accordance with the procedure prescribed in this ordinance.

Section 13. The ordinance ordering the work to be done and approving the assessment district shall contain a description of the assessment district similar to that contained in said order of said Director declaring his intention. In all other ordinances, resolutions, notices, orders and determinations, subsequent to said order of said Director declaring his intention and subsequent to the notices of hearing thereon, except the notices of recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment hereinafter provided for, it shall not be necessary to describe the assessment district, but it shall be sufficient to refer to said order of said Director declaring his intention for a description of the work and a description of the assessment district.

Section 14. After the Supervisors, pursuant hereto, have passed an ordinance ordering work to be done, said Director shall cause a notice to be published for two consecutive days in the official newspaper and posted conspicuously in his office for a period of not less than ten days, inviting sealed proposals for the contemplated work.

Said notice shall invite sealed proposals for the contemplated work to be delivered to said Director at his office, or at a place to be designated by him in said notice, on a day and during an hour to be specified therein, which shall be not less than ten days after the date of last publication of said notice as hereinabove provided and after the first day of said posting of said notice. Said notice shall contain a description of the proposed work substantially similar to that contained in the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend that the Supervisors order the same to be done. Said notice shall also contain a reservation of the right to reject any and all bids, and shall specify the period of time within which the work is to be completed after the date of execution of the contract therefor and the amount of the bond to be given by the awardee of the contract for faithful performance of the same.

Reference to the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the proposed work shall also be incorporated in said notice for further information concerning the details of the proposed work.

Section 15. All proposals shall be made upon printed forms to be prepared by said Director and furnished gratuitously upon application.

Every proposal made shall be accompanied by a check certified by a responsible bank, payable to the order of said City and County for an amount not less than ten per centum of the aggregate of the proposal, and no proposal shall be considered unless accompanied by such check.

No person, firm or corporation shall make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same improvement. If on the opening of bids more than one bid appear in which the same person, firm or corporation is interested, all said last mentioned bids shall be rejected.

On the day and during the hour specified in said notice inviting sealed proposals, said Director shall be in his office, or in the place designated by him in said notice, and all bids shall be delivered to him

within the hour named in said notice. No bid not so delivered to him shall be considered. Each bid as it shall be received shall be numbered and marked "Filed" by said Director and authenticated by his signature. At the expiration of the hour stated in said notice, said Director shall publicly open, examine and declare the same and an abstract of each bid shall be recorded in a public register to be kept by said Director for such purpose. Said Director shall immediately compare the bids with the record so made, and shall thereupon or at such other time not exceeding twenty days thereafter award the contract for the work to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder, except as otherwise herein provided. Notice of such award shall be caused to be posted for five days by said Director in some conspicuous place in the office of the Department of Public Works and such notice shall be published once in the official newspaper. Said Director may reject any and all bids and may reject the bid of any bidder who has been delinquent or unfaithful in any former contract with said City and County and must reject all bids other than the bid of the lowest reliable and responsible bidder; and, on accepting said lowest bid, he shall thereupon return to the proper parties the checks corresponding to the bids so rejected. If all the bids are rejected said Director shall return all the checks to the proper parties and may again invite sealed proposals for the proposed work as in the first instance.

The check accompanying the accepted bid shall be held by said Director until the contract for doing said work as hereinafter provided has been entered into, either by said lowest bidder, or by owners as hereinafter provided, whereupon said certified check shall be returned to said bidder. If said bidder fails, neglects or refuses to enter into a contract to perform the work as in this ordinance hereinafter provided then the certified check accompanying his bid and the amount therein mentioned shall be declared by order of said Director to be forfeited to said City and County, and shall be collected by it and paid into the treasury of said City and County and credited to the item or items of the annual appropriation for the improvement of streets and sewers, unless said Director, or the Supervisors on appeal, duly remit such forfeiture.

Section 16. The owners of at least three-fourths of the assessable area of the assessment district shall not be required to present sealed proposals, but may upon making an oath that they are such owners, within ten days after the publication of the notice of award, elect to enter into a written contract with said Director to do the whole work specified in said award at the price or prices at which the same has been awarded.

Should such owners not enter into such a contract for said work within said time, the awardee to whom the contract has been awarded shall enter into a contract for said work within seven days after the expiration of the time within which said owners might have entered into a contract for the same.

Section 17. At any time within five days from the date of the publication of the notice of award hereunder of a contract for work, any owner of, or any other person having any interest in, any parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work, who claims that any of the previous acts or proceedings relating to said work are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty, may file in the office of said Department of Public Works a written notice specifying in what respect or respects said acts or said proceedings are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty. Said notice shall state that it is made pursuant to this section, and shall contain the address of the person filing the same, and a description of the property owned by or in which he is interested sufficient to identify the same.

All objections to any act or proceeding occurring prior to the time within which such objections are permitted to be filed in relation to said work, not made in writing and in the manner and at the time aforesaid, shall be deemed waived.

Section 18. If the original awardee fails or refuses, for seventeen days after the publication of the notice of award to enter into the contract, when the same in due form has been presented to him for execution by said Director, then said Director, without further proceedings shall again advertise for and receive bids, as in the first instance, and award the contract for the work to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder. Should no bids be received in response to such second call, said Director may again advertise for and receive bids under the same proceedings at any time within six months from the time set for the first reception of bids, and let the contract to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder, and such delay shall in no way affect the validity of any of the proceedings or assessments levied thereunder. The bids of all persons and the election of all owners aforesaid, who have failed to enter into a contract as herein provided, shall be rejected in or upon any bidding subsequent to the first bidding for such work.

Section 19. Every contract in this ordinance referred to shall be executed by said Director on behalf of said City and County. The Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County shall also approve by his signature every such contract which involves the expenditure of over \$2,000. Whenever in any such contract the City and County is obligated to pay any portion of the contract price, the Controller also shall approve such contract by his signature.

Section 20. Every contract entered into by said Director, pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall be signed by the other contracting party. Every such contract shall be signed in triplicate by all parties. One of said triplicates, together with the specifications or plans and specifications, as the case may be, of the work to be done under such contract, shall be kept in the office of said Director; another of said triplicates, with such plans and specifications, shall be delivered to the contractor or contracting owners referred to in Section 16 of this ordinance, and the third triplicate shall be delivered to the Controller.

At the time of execution of the contract by the contractor, or said contracting owners, he or they, as the case may be, shall execute to said City and County, and deliver with the contract, a bond in a sum named in the notice calling for bids, executed by a surety company authorized to do business in this state, or they shall deposit with said Director a certified check upon some solvent bank for said amount, all for the faithful performance of the contract.

The contract shall specify the time within which the work shall be completed, which shall be the same as that specified in the notice inviting bids therefor. Said Director may by order grant extensions of time within which to complete the work. An extension of time may be granted after the expiration of the time fixed in the contract, or as extended as herein provided, and the extension so granted shall be deemed to commence and be effective from the date of such expiration.

Failure of said Director to grant an extension or extensions of time as herein provided for shall not affect the rights of the contractor beyond a reasonable deduction from the contract price for any damage sustained by reason of delay in performance of the contract.

No such extension or extensions of time granted by said Director for completion of the work shall operate to relieve any surety or sureties on any bond from the liabilities assumed in or by such bond; nor shall any assignment of the contract qualify or change any such liability.

Section 21. If the owners or the awardee, who have entered into such a contract, do not complete the same within the time limited in the contract, or such extended time as is hereinbefore provided for, said Director may reaward the whole or the unfinished portion of said work, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedure in this ordinance prescribed for inviting proposals and awarding the contract. In such event, said owners or awardee shall be debarred from again

entering into a contract to do said work or the unfinished portion thereof.

If said owners or the awardee fail or refuse to complete the contract entered into, and said Director reawards the whole work or the incomplete portion thereof at a price in the aggregate exceeding that at which the work was originally awarded, the bond for faithful performance of the contract, in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for, shall be liable for any excess, resulting from such failure or refusal, of any assessment levied against any parcel of land for the expense of the work over what it would have been, had the original contract been performed; provided the original contract has not been cancelled by ordinance of the Supervisors.

Section 22. Every contractor, including contracting owners, to whom is awarded a contract for street work hereunder, shall upon the execution of such contract file with said Director a bond, approved by him, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable under the contract; such bond shall be executed by an authorized corporate surety able to justify in the manner provided by law; such bond must provide that if the contractor, or his, its or their subcontractors, fail to pay for any materials or provisions, or the reasonable rental value of teams, implements or machinery used in, upon, for or about the performance of the work contracted to be done, or for any work or labor of any kind done directly thereon or therefor, that the surety will pay the same, to an amount not exceeding the sum specified in such bond. Any laborer, materialman, person, company or corporation furnishing any of the items hereinabove in this section mentioned used in, upon, for or about, or contributing to, the performance of the work contracted to be done, and whose claim has not been paid may, at any time within ten days from the date of recordation of the assessment in the Department of Public Works, file in said Department a verified statement of his, its or their claim, together with a statement that the same or some part thereof has not been paid. Every person and corporation entitled to the benefit of this section shall severally have a first lien against the assessment, any partial assessment, any reassessment and any bonds issued to represent any such assessment or reassessment, which lien may be enforced in the Superior Court, in and for said City and County, according to the custom and practice of such court. Such action must be commenced within thirty days from the date of filing such verified statement.

No assignment by the contractor of the whole or any part of the money, or of such assessment, partial assessment, reassessment and/or bonds due or to become due him under the contract, or for extras in connection therewith, whether made before or after such verified claim is filed, shall be held to take priority over claims filed under this section, and all such assignments shall have no effect in so far as claims hereunder are concerned.

If any person or corporation against whom any such claim is filed shall dispute its correctness or validity, said Director may permit the contractor to whom the contract was awarded by said Director, or his assignee, to deliver to him a bond executed by a corporation authorized to issue surety bonds in the State of California, in a penal sum equal to one and a quarter times the amount of such claim; said bond shall guarantee the payment of whatever sum such claimant may recover in an action on said claim. Upon the filing of such a bond, said Director may release such moneys, assessments, partial assessments, reassessments and/or bonds to which such contractor, or his assignees, otherwise shall be entitled. The sureties upon such last mentioned bond shall be jointly and severally liable, with the sureties upon the bond in this section first mentioned, to such claimant.

Suits against the surety or sureties on the bonds in this section mentioned may be brought by any claimant or his assigns, at any time after the claimant has ceased to perform labor or furnish materials or both and until the expiration of one hundred fifty days after the

period within which verified claims may be filed as hereinabove provided. The filing of such a verified claim shall not be a condition precedent to the maintenance of an action against the surety or sureties on the bond in this section first mentioned, and an action on said bond may be maintained independently of any other action whatsoever. Upon the trial of any action in this section mentioned the court shall award to the prevailing party a reasonable attorney's fee to be taxed as costs.

Section 23. All work in this ordinance provided for must be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of said Director; and said Director shall require all materials used in such work to be in accordance with the specifications therefor; and all contracts provided for in this ordinance must contain a provision to the effect hereinbefore in this section set forth, and also a provision to the effect that in no case, except where it is otherwise provided in this ordinance or the Charter of said City and County, will said City and County or any department or official thereof, be liable for any portion of the expense of said work, or for any damages resulting in the course of the performance thereof, or for any delinquency of persons or of property assessed.

When any such work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of said Director, he shall so declare by certificate, which shall be made a permanent part of the records of his office, and thereupon he shall cause to be delivered to the contractor a duplicate of such certificate.

Section 24. When any work in, upon or over any public way shall have been completed according to contract, as herein provided for, said Director shall make an assessment to cover the sum due for the work performed and specified in said contract (including all incidental expenses), in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. The assessment shall briefly refer to the contract, the work contracted for and performed, and shall show the amount to be paid therefor, together with any incidental expenses, the amount of each assessment, the name of the owner of each lot (if known to said Director, and if not known the word "unknown" shall be written opposite the number of the lot and the amount assessed against it), and the number of each lot assessed; and said assessment shall have attached thereto a diagram exhibiting the public ways or public way crossings on, in or over which the work has been done, and showing the relative location of each distinct lot to the work done, numbered to correspond with the numbers in the assessment. A mistake in the name of the owner shall not invalidate any assessment.

All incidental expenses incurred in connection with the work must be paid to said Director before the issuance of the warrant, assessment and diagram herein provided for.

Subdivision 1. Where any work mentioned in this ordinance (manholes, lampholes, cesspools, culverts, crosswalks, piling and capping excepted) is done on either or both sides of the center line of any street for one block or less and further work of the same class opposite to the work already done is ordered to be done to complete the unimproved portion of said street, the assessment to cover the total expense of said work so ordered shall be made upon the lots or portions of lots only fronting the portions of the work so ordered.

Where the sidewalk area of any portion of a street has been officially abolished in whole or reduced in part, and paving or other improvement of the roadway of such street has been extended to the inner line of the said area so abolished, the lot or lots fronting such abolished or reduced sidewalk area shall be additionally assessable for the costs and expenses of such extended paving or other improvement.

Subdivision 2. Immediately after the contractor has fulfilled his contract to the satisfaction of said Director, the said Director shall proceed to estimate upon the lands, lots or portions of lots within said assessment district, as shown by the diagram provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, the benefits arising from such work and to be

received by each such lot, portion of such lot, piece or subdivision of land, and shall thereupon assess upon and against said lands in said assessment district the total amount of the expense of such work, together with all incidental expenses, and in so doing shall assess said total sum upon the pieces, parcels, lots or portions of lots, and subdivisions of land, in said district, benefited by said work, to-wit: Upon each respectively in proportion to the benefits received by each of said several lots, portions of lots or subdivisions of land.

Subdivision 3. The expense of all work on such portion of any street required by law to be kept in order by any person, company or corporation having railroad tracks thereon, shall be borne and paid for by such person, company or corporation, and shall be included in the assessment in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for. The provisions of this subdivision shall be applicable to any street whereon railroad tracks have been constructed and are being maintained by the City and County; and the City and County shall pay for all street work which, if such tracks were owned by a private person or corporation, would have to be paid for by such private person or corporation.

Subdivision 4. Whenever any parcel of land belonging to the United States, the State of California, said City and County, or any public agent, mandatory, board or institution, and being in use in the performance of a public function, shall be included within the district declared by said Director, in his order declaring his intention, to be the district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work, said Director may, in his said order, declare that such parcels of land, or any of them, shall be omitted from the assessment thereafter to be made to cover the expense of such work. In the event of such declaration of omission, then the total expense of all such work shall be assessed on the remaining lots lying within such assessment district, without regard to such omitted parcels of land. If, however, said Director shall, in his said order declaring his intention, declare that said parcels of land so owned as aforesaid, or any of them, shall be included in the assessment, or if no declaration be made respecting such parcels of land, or any of them, then the respective sums which shall be assessed against said parcels of land so owned and used shall be paid out of funds in the treasury of said City and County theretofore appropriated for the purpose of street improvement; provided, however, that such assessments shall not be payable out of funds in said treasury, unless the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller of said City and County, in writing, consent thereto before said Director shall make his said order declaring his intention; provided further that all of the provisions of Section 6 of this ordinance must be complied with; and provided further that any such sum or sums so assessed against parcels of land so owned and used shall not be payable out of such City and County funds, when such sum or sums are paid by the owners of or bodies controlling such parcels of land.

Subdivision 5. Any owner or owners of lots or lands fronting upon any street, the width and grade of which have been established by the Supervisors, may perform at his or their own expense (after obtaining permission from the Director of Public Works so to do, but before said Director has made his order of intention to recommend grading inclusive of this) any grading upon said street, not beyond its grade as then established and thereupon may procure, at his or their own expense, a certificate from the City Engineer setting forth the number of cubic yards of cutting and filling made by him or them in said grading, and the proportions performed by each owner, provided, however, that, as to each lot, but one such certificate shall be issued for such grading; and thereafter such owner may file said certificate in the office of said Department of Public Works. Said certificate shall be recorded in a properly indexed book kept for that purpose in the office of said Department of Public Works. Whenever thereafter the Supervisors order the grading of said street, or any portion thereof, on which any grading certified as aforesaid has been done, the bids

and contract must express the price by the cubic yard for grading, and such owner or owners, and his or their successors in interest, shall be entitled to credit on the assessment upon his or their lots and lands fronting on said street for grading thereof, to the amount of the cubic yards of cutting and filling set forth in his or their said certificate, at the prices named in the contract for said grading; or, if the grade meanwhile has been legally changed, only for so much of said certified work as would be required for grading to the grade as changed. Such owner or owners shall not be entitled to any credit that may be in excess of the assessment for grading upon the lots and lands owned by him or them, and proportionately assessed for the whole of said grading. Said Director shall include in the assessment for the whole of said grading upon the same grade the number of cubic yards of grading set forth in any and all certificates so recorded in said office, or for the whole of said grading to the changed grade, so much of said certified work as would be required for grading thereto, and shall enter corresponding credits, deducting the same as payments upon the amounts assessed against the lot and lands owned respectively by said certified owners and their successors in interest; but said Director shall not credit any sums in excess of the assessments for the whole of the grading, which are made upon any lots and lands fronting upon said street and belonging to any such certified owners or their successors in interest.

When any owner or owners of any lots and lands fronting on any street shall have heretofore done, or shall hereafter do any work, except grading, on such street, in front of any block at his or their expense, and the Supervisors shall subsequently order any work to be done of the same class in front of the same block the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be excepted from the order ordering the work to be done; but the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be upon the official grade, and in condition satisfactory to the Director of Public Works at the time said order is passed.

Section 25. To said assessment shall be attached a warrant which shall be signed by said Director and countersigned by the acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works. Said warrant shall be substantially in the following form:

By virtue hereof the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by the authority vested in it, does authorize (name of contractor) his (or their) agents or assigns, to demand and receive the several assessments upon the assessment diagram hereto attached, and this shall be his (or their) warrant for the same.

(Date)

(Name of said Director), Director of Public Works.

Countersigned by (name of acting Secretary of Department of Public Works), Acting Secretary of the Department of Public Works.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be recorded in the office of said Department of Public Works. When so recorded the several amounts assessed shall be and remain a lien upon the parcels of land assessed, respectively, and such lien shall so continue until it be fully paid and discharged of record. Such lien shall be subordinate to all special assessment liens previously imposed upon the same property, but it shall have priority over all special assessment liens which may thereafter be created against said property. When a property owner, as hereinafter provided, gives a bond, in order that said assessment may be paid in installments, the unpaid balance of such bond shall continue to be a lien upon the parcel of land against which such bond shall be given until the expiration of two years after the date when the last installment payable under said bond shall become due. From the date of recordation of any warrant, assessment and diagram pursuant hereto, all persons interested in such assessment and in all property against which it shall be a lien shall be deemed to have notice of the contents of such warrant, assessment and diagram.

Section 26. No objection to the correctness or legality of the assessment or other act, determination or proceeding of said Director or of any board or officer, or otherwise, up to and including said recordation of the warrant, assessment and diagram, whether such objection appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment and diagram, or not, shall be made except by appeal to the Supervisors as in this section hereinafter provided for.

Upon the recordation in the office of the Department of Public Works of an assessment, diagram and warrant, as hereinbefore provided for, said Director shall cause notice of the recordation of the assessment, diagram and warrant to be given. Said notice shall also specify the time and place, to be fixed by said Director, when and where the protests of all persons interested in the work done or in the assessment, diagram or warrant for payment of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby, will be heard by the Supervisors, and shall also state that said assessment, diagram and warrant will be open to public inspection at the office of the Department of Public Works during business hours. Such notice shall be posted in the office of said Department of Public Works for not less than ten days before the time of hearing therein mentioned, and shall be published once in the official newspaper of said City and County not less than ten days before the time fixed for such hearing. Such notice shall also be posted in the manner provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance at least ten days before such hearing. All of the provisions of Section 7 shall be applicable to such posting. In said notice, reference shall be made to the order of said Director declaring his intention, for a description of the work done and no other description thereof shall be necessary. Said notice shall also contain the description of the assessment district contained in said order of said Director.

The owners, whether named in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or not, the contractor or his assigns, and all other persons interested in the work done, or in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or in any property affected thereby, feeling aggrieved by any act or determination of the said Director or of any board or officer in relation thereto, or who claim that the work has not been performed according to the contract in a good and substantial manner, or having or making any objection whatsoever to the correctness, fairness, reasonableness or legality of, or any other objection whatsoever to, the assessment, diagram or warrant or other act, determination or proceeding of the said Director or of any board or officer, or having or making any other objection whatsoever, shall, not later than the day before the day fixed for such hearing by the Supervisors, file in the office of the Clerk of said Supervisors notice of appeal and a copy thereof in the office of the Department of Public Works. Each such notice of appeal shall contain the name and address of the appellant, and, if he be interested in any property affected by the assessment, a description of the particular property in which he is interested, together with a statement of the nature of his interest therein. Each such notice shall also state the grounds upon which his grievance, claim or objection is based, in sufficient detail to make clear the nature of his grievance, claim or objection. If no appeal be filed with the Supervisors within the time and in the manner in this section provided, then no hearing need be had by said Supervisors as hereinabove provided for.

If, however, appeal be made as herein provided for, the Supervisors, at the time and place specified in said notice, shall consider all the appeals, protests, grievances, claims and objections filed in the manner and within the time hereinabove specified, and shall hear all evidence, statements and argument offered in support thereof. Such hearing may be postponed by said Supervisors from time to time, and all persons shall be deemed to have notice of all such postponements and shall be governed thereby.

Upon such appeal the Supervisors may remedy and correct any

error or informality in the proceedings and revise and correct any of the acts or determinations of said Director relative to said work; may confirm, amend, set aside, alter, modify or correct the assessment in such a manner as to them shall seem just, and may require the work to be completed according to their directions, and may instruct and direct said Director to correct the warrant, assessment or diagram in any particular, or to make and issue a new warrant, assessment and diagram to conform to their decisions in relation thereto, at their option.

All the decisions and determinations of the Supervisors, upon notice and hearing aforesaid, shall be final and conclusive upon all persons as to all errors, informalities and irregularities whether they do or do not appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment or diagram.

No assessment, warrant or diagram, after the issuance of the same, and no proceedings prior thereto, shall be held invalid by any court, or otherwise, for any error, informality, or other defect in the same, when notice of the recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment, diagram and warrant, and of hearing with regard thereto, has been actually published as in this section provided for.

When the time within which to appeal to the Supervisors, as hereinabove provided for, has expired, said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agent or assigns, on demand, provided no appeal has been filed as hereinabove provided for, but not until after the payment to said Director of the incidental expenses not previously paid. If an appeal to the Supervisors be taken within the time and in the manner hereinbefore provided for, then, upon the confirmation of a warrant, assessment and diagram, in connection with the work done, by the Supervisors, the same shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agents or assigns, on demand, after payment of the incidental expenses as aforesaid. By virtue of said warrant so delivered said contractor, or his agents or assigns, shall be authorized to demand and receive the amounts of the several assessments made to cover the sum due for the work specified in the contract and assessment.

Section 27. The warrant, after its delivery to the contractor or his assigns, shall constitute full authority to the contractor, his agent or assigns, to collect the said assessments, and they shall be free to make demands upon the owners by virtue of said warrant and to receive payment of said assessments and give receipts therefor. The warrant shall be returned to the Department of Public Works after its delivery to the contractor, or his assigns, with the written statement of all payments received upon the assessment, signed by the contractor, or his assigns, or some person on his or their behalf, and stating whether any of the assessment remains unpaid in whole or in part and the amount thereof. And if the assessment is payable in installments as provided in Part Two of this ordinance, then the fact that a bond has been given for such assessment shall be stated if such shall have been given. Thereupon the said Director shall cause the return so made to be recorded with the record of the warrant and assessment.

Section 28. At any time after thirty-five days from the date of the warrant, or if an appeal has been taken to the Supervisors, then at any time after five days from the decision of the Supervisors on such appeal, or after the return of a warrant which has been corrected, altered or modified as herein provided, but not less than thirty-five days after the date of such last mentioned warrant, the contractor or his assignee may sue the owners of, and all other persons having an interest in or encumbrance upon, the land, lots or portions thereof, affected by the assessment, and recover the amount of any assessment remaining unpaid, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per centum per annum from the date of the assessment until paid.

In all cases of recovery under the provisions of this ordinance the plaintiff shall recover such sum as the court may adjudge reasonable, in addition to the taxable costs, as an attorney's fee. The plaintiff

shall be entitled to recover such reasonable sum as an attorney's fee in addition to all taxable costs, notwithstanding the suit may be settled or tender made before recovery. The plaintiff shall also be entitled to recover as a cost of suit the expense of a title search or report, and all other necessary expenses of suit.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be held prima facie evidence of the regularity and correctness of the assessment and of the prior proceedings and acts of said Director and Supervisors, and otherwise, upon which said warrant, assessment and diagram are based, and like evidence of the right of the plaintiff to recover in the action. The court in which said suit shall be commenced shall have power to adjudge and decree a lien against the lots of land assessed, and to order them to be sold on execution, as in other cases of the sale of real estate by the process of such court, and with like right of redemption within one year of such sale, but not later. In all actions brought to enforce the lien of any assessment made pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance the proceedings therein shall be governed and regulated by the provisions of this ordinance and, when not in conflict with this ordinance, by the Codes of this State.

Section 29. No suit or proceeding to set aside, avoid, annul or correct any assessment or reassessment, or to review any of the proceedings in connection therewith, or to question the validity thereof, or to enjoin the collection thereof, or the issuance of bonds representing, or secured by the same, shall be maintained unless it be commenced within thirty days after the recording of the warrant, diagram, and assessment or reassessment in the Department of Public Works, and thereafter all persons shall be barred from commencing any such action or from interposing as a defense any asserted invalidity of any such assessment or of bonds issued thereon or of any such reassessment or of bonds issued thereon. No proceedings had under this ordinance shall ever be held invalid on the ground that the public way, or any portion thereof, in, over or upon which the work or improvement, or any part thereof, is or shall be done, has not been, or shall not have been, lawfully dedicated or acquired, provided the same shall be lawfully dedicated or acquired, or an order of immediate possession and use thereof shall have been obtained, at any time before the entry of judgment in the suit involving such proceeding.

Section 30. The said Director shall cause to be received at any time the amounts due upon any assessment and warrant issued, and shall also cause to be given a good and sufficient discharge therefor, unless written notice be given him that suit to foreclose an assessment has been filed.

The said Director shall cause to be released any assessment upon the books of the Department of Public Works on the payment of the amount of the assessment, with interest to date of payment, against any lot, or on the production to said Department of Public Works of the receipt of the party to whom the assessment and warrant were issued, or his assigns. If any warrant is lost, upon proof of such loss, a duplicate may be issued, upon which a return may be made with the same effect as if the original had been so returned. Upon the return of the assessment and warrant as aforesaid, all amounts remaining due thereon shall draw interest at the rate of 7 per centum per annum until paid. Said Director shall have full power to extend the time for the return of such warrant to said department.

The records kept by said Director or department shall have the same force and effect as other public records, and copies thereof, duly certified by said Director or the Secretary of said department, may be used in evidence with the same effect as the originals. The said records shall, during all office hours, be open to the inspection of any person wishing to examine them, free of charge.

Section 31. In case any parcel of land against which any assess-

ment has been levied has been subdivided or partitioned among several owners thereof, said Director of Public Works, on the written application of any owner thereof, shall make a proportionate division of such assessment and may amend the original assessment by a proportionate distribution of the assessment upon the several subdivisions of the parcel of land originally described. Such proportionate division of an assessment shall be without prejudice to the contractor or his assigns as to any assessment made in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance. Such amended assessment shall bear date the same as the original assessment.

Section 32. The contractor shall within ninety days of the issuance of the assessment submit to the Director of Public Works a list of all unpaid assessments, and it shall be the duty of the said Director to notify the Tax Collector of each assessment that is delinquent, and the lot and block number against which such assessment is levied, and it shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to note such delinquency on each annual tax bill, this being in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

PART II.

Section 1. Any assessment imposed under the provisions of this ordinance may, in and by the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance, be made payable in installments.

Whenever assessments are made payable in installments as aforesaid, the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance shall state the number of annual installments in which the assessment may be paid and the rate of interest, not over 7 per centum per annum, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance.

Section 2. In case the owner or owners of any parcel of land against which an assessment is imposed desires to avail himself or themselves of the privilege of paying such assessment in installments, and for and in consideration of such privilege, such owner or owners, within thirty days from the date of the return of the warrant made as required by Section 27 of this ordinance, shall make payment to the Department of Public Works for the contractor or his assigns or other person duly authorized to receive the same, of an amount equivalent to an installment payment on such assessment determinable by the number of installments made payable thereon, which amount so paid shall be deemed a payment of the first installment on such assessment under the terms of the bond hereinafter provided for, and in such bond shall be so designated. The interest payable under the terms of the said bond shall be computed from the date of the assessment on which such bond is based. Such owner or owners must, within not more than thirty days from the date of the payment hereinbefore provided for, execute and acknowledge before an officer authorized by law to take acknowledgments of the conveyances of real property, and file with the Department of Public Works, a bond in triplicate, substantially in the following form:

Bond for Street Assessment.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, by proceedings duly and regularly taken, the validity and regularity whereof is hereby acknowledged and admitted, an assessment has been imposed upon the following described property, to-wit: (Description of property), and the amount of such assessment so imposed amounts to the sum of (amount of assessment) dollars;

Now, therefore, the undersigned, for and in consideration of the privilege given to pay such assessment in installments, hereby acknowledge (himself, herself or themselves) indebted, and promise to pay to (name of contractor) or order, said assessment in the sums, and at the times and place hereinafter set forth, to-wit:

Installment One—Amounting to \$..... Date of Payment.
 Installment Two—Amounting to \$....., within
from the date hereof.

Installment Three—Amounting to \$....., within.....
 from date hereof (each additional installment being set forth in the
 same manner and payable the appropriate time after the preceding
 numbered installment), together with interest on each of said install-
 ments at the rate of .. per centum per annum (being the rate fixed
 in the assessment issued by the Director of Public Works), until
 each such installment shall be paid.

Such interest shall be paid, as it accrues, on the dates for payment
 of said installments of principal. Said installments of principal and
 interest shall be payable at the office of the Director of Public Works at
 the City and County of San Francisco, in lawful money of the United
 States. In case (the undersigned) elect to pay all of said install-
 ments before maturity thereof (the undersigned) agree to pay, in
 addition to all other amounts due, six months' interest in advance.

In the event of default in the payment of any installment of prin-
 cipal or of interest according to the terms of this bond, then all of
 said installments of principal and all interest thereon shall become im-
 mediately due and payable and said Director is hereby authorized to
 sell the property herein described to pay the amount so due, together
 with the expenses of such sale.

Such sale shall be made by said Director in the manner and form
 provided by law for the sale of real property upon execution and
 after mailing to the undersigned a notice that proceedings to make
 such sale will be had unless payment of the amount due shall be
 made within ten days from the mailing thereof. Such notice shall
 be deposited in the United States Post Office addressed to the ad-
 dresses given in this bond or such other addresses as may be hereafter
 filed with said Director.

Or the person in legal ownership of this bond shall, in the event
 of such default, have the right to foreclose the lien created by the
 said assessment for any unpaid portion thereof the same as if no
 bond had been given, and such lien shall continue until such assess-
 ment and accrued interest are fully paid. The undersigned agree to
 pay all expenses of said foreclosure including a reasonable attorney's
 fee and cost of title search.

It is hereby expressly provided that a lien for the full amount of
 the sum obligated to be paid under this bond, principal, interest and
 costs, and expenses of foreclosure, is hereby created and acknowledged
 upon, in and to the real property described herein and the improve-
 ments thereon and appurtenances thereto.

This bond is dated....., 19....

In witness whereof,set.....hand
 this day of....., 19....

(Signed).....

Address.....

Section 3. If such bond is not executed within the time and in
 the manner hereinabove provided for, then the whole of the assessment
 on which such bond would be based shall thereupon be immediately
 due and payable.

Section 4. Forms of such bond shall be furnished by the Depart-
 ment of Public Works and thereto shall be attached appropriate cou-
 pons for the payment of the installments of principal and the interest
 on such bond. Such coupons respectively shall be payable to bearer
 at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County thirty days
 after the respective dates for payment of principal installments and
 interest by those who have executed the bond to which the coupons
 shall be attached. Said Director of Public Works shall cause said
 bonds and coupons to be fully prepared for execution and shall super-

wise the execution thereof by the proper parties as hereinbefore provided for. When such bonds and attached coupons have been fully prepared and executed in the manner hereinbefore provided for and delivered to the Department of Public Works, one of said triplicates shall be recorded in the office of the Recorder of said City and County, who shall make no charge therefor; after recordation, said triplicate shall be retained in the office of said Department of Public Works; another of said triplicates shall be delivered to the contractor named therein and the other shall be delivered to the Treasurer of said City and County.

Said Director shall cause to be kept a record of all payments received by him on said bonds and the coupons attached thereto and of all penalties accruing thereon, and upon delivering such moneys to the Treasurer said Director shall report to the Treasurer the particular bonds and coupons and penalties to be credited with such payments, so that the Treasurer will know exactly on which bonds and coupons disbursements by him of such moneys shall be made.

Said Director shall also cause all such payments to be entered in an appropriate book of record in his office to the credit of the appropriate bond and assessment. And every such assessment shall remain a first lien upon the property affected until said assessment and the bond based thereon, and the accrued interest thereon and the penalties, if any, thereon shall be fully paid according to the terms of said bond. Said bonds, by their execution, shall be conclusive evidence of the regularity of all proceedings theretofore had under this ordinance.

Said Director is hereby authorized to make any sale authorized by any such bond and shall issue for each sale an original and duplicate certificate of sale in appropriate form, referring to this ordinance, describing the parcel or parcels of land sold and containing the name of the purchaser. The original shall be delivered to the purchaser and the duplicate shall be kept on file and record in the office of said Director.

Section 5. If the property sold, as provided in the above proceedings, be not redeemed within one year after the sale, said Director shall then issue to the party named in the original certificate, or his assignee, a deed of the property described in said certificate, which said deed shall refer, in general terms, to the proceedings under which the same is issued, and shall contain a description of the property, following the description in the certificate; the grantee in such deed is immediately upon receipt thereof entitled to possession of the property described therein.

Section 6. At any time before the expiration of one year from the date of the certificate of sale, any property sold under the provisions of the preceding sections may be redeemed by any person having an interest in the property sold, by the payment to said Director of the amount for which the property was sold, with an additional penalty of 1 per cent per month of the amount for which the same was sold; all such redemption money shall be paid over by said Director to the Treasurer with a statement indicating the specific bond to which such money shall be credited, and the Treasurer shall pay all such redemption money to the holder of the proper original certificate of sale, upon delivering up the same and receipting for the amount received.

Section 7. Said Director of Public Works shall cause to be kept a record of all bonds given as herein provided, wherein shall be entered the name of the person executing the same, a description of the land described therein, the number and amount of the installments, the time when the same are due, the date and the amount of all payments and the date of all payments to the City and County Treasurer for the holders of each bond.

Section 8. In case it shall appear at any time that any bond made as herein provided has not been executed by the owner or owners of the property described therein, or that for any reason any

such bond is invalid, or that a sale in accordance with its terms would not convey a full and clear title to such property, then the person entitled to collect and receipt for the payment of the original assessment, or his assigns, shall have the right to foreclose the lien thereof for any unpaid portion, as such lien was originally imposed and such lien shall continue until such original assessment is fully paid.

Section 9. The bond provided for in Part II of this ordinance may be made, executed and filed in accordance with and subject to the requirements, terms and conditions in said Part II prescribed for such bond, after the expiration of the time, as therein provided for, within which to make, execute and file such bond, if the contractor to whom an assessment, payable in installments, has been issued, or his assignee, or other owner of such assessment, shall consent to the making, executing and filing of such bond after such expiration of time, and such consent shall be expressed on the back or margin of such bond.

PART III.

Section 1. Anything in this ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding, said Director of Public Works shall in the assessment and warrant provided for in Sections 24 and 25 of this ordinance make each assessment which exceeds 50 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied payable in annual installments. No such annual installment payment shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied. The assessed value in this section referred to shall be the assessed value fixed by the Assessor of said City and County for the fiscal year in which said Director shall make the original assessment and warrant. The dates for payment of installments on an assessment shall not extend over a period of more than ten years from the date when the first installment will be payable. The assessment made by said Director shall state the number of installments in which the assessment payable in installments may be paid, the respective dates for payment of the several installments, and the rate of interest, not to exceed 7 per cent, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance. Every assessment and accrued interest, however, may be paid in cash at any time, unless suit has been filed thereon or unless a bond has been executed thereon.

No objection whatsoever relative to the making of such an assessment payable in installments as in this section provided for, or relative to the amount of any such assessment or assessment installment, or relative to the time or manner of payment of any such assessment or assessment installment may be made in any manner or in any court or tribunal except by such party or parties as shall have appealed to the Supervisors in the manner provided for in Section 26 of this ordinance. All persons who have not so appealed to the Supervisors shall be deemed to have waived every objection in this Section 1 of Part III referred to.

Any assessment which violates any of the provisions of this section or of Section 111 of the Charter of said City and County may be amended or corrected, in the manner in this ordinance provided for the amendment or correction of an assessment, or a reassessment may be made as in this ordinance provided for, in order that such original assessment may be made to conform to this section and Section 111 of said Charter.

Section 2. Whenever any assessment heretofore made or issued or filed or which may be hereafter made, issued or filed pursuant to this or any other ordinance is or shall be void or unenforceable, for any cause, or if bonds shall have been, or shall be, issued to represent or be secured by any assessments and such issuance shall not have been, or shall not be, effective through the curative provisions in relation thereto, then, in any of such events, a reassessment there-

for may be issued. The true intent and meaning of this section is to make the cost and expense of work or improvement made through an attempted compliance with this ordinance, payable by the real estate benefited by such work or improvement by making a reassessment therefor.

Such power of reassessing embraces both a full and a partial reassessment, and is not exhausted by a single attempted exercise thereof.

A reassessment shall be ordered by the Board of Supervisors under any one of four circumstances.

First—Where the owner or holder of any assessments, or bonds issued under this ordinance to represent or be secured by assessments, or the person who would own or hold any such assessment or bonds if the same were issued, requests the Supervisors to order a reassessment. In such event, if said Supervisors be of the opinion that the assessments or bonds in question are not enforceable, they shall order the making and issuing of a reassessment covering only the assessments owned or held by the petitioner, or the assessments represented or secured by the bonds owned or held by such petitioner, or which would be owned or held by petitioner if issued.

Second—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to foreclose the lien of any assessment or to enforce the obligation of any bond issued to represent or be secured by any assessments issued under this ordinance, has for any reason held such lien unenforceable, then it shall in and by its decree direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Third—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to set aside the lien of any assessment or of any bond representing any assessment, or in any suit to quiet title against the lien of any such assessment, or bond, or in any suit to enjoin the making, filing, confirmation or issuance of any assessment or bond to pay for the cost and expense of any work done hereunder, shall in its judgment decree such assessments or bonds to be void, or unenforceable, or shall enjoin the making, filing or issuance of confirmation of any such assessment or bond, then it shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Fourth—Whenever any contractor or assignee of a contractor shall have done or performed any work or improvements pursuant to proceedings had and taken in attempted compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and whenever prior to the issuance of any assessment, any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to invalidate the contract or any of such proceedings shall for any reason declare said contract or other proceedings to be invalid, then such court shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of an assessment for the reasonable value of the work and improvement actually done and performed in good faith by the contractor, or such portion thereof as was of a kind that could lawfully have been ordered under the provisions of this ordinance.

The manner of making, issuing and enforcing the reassessments shall be as follows:

Said Director of Public Works shall, upon the entering of a decree of court directing a reassessment or upon the making of an order by the Supervisors directing a reassessment, proceed to make a reassessment in the following manner:

If the reassessment be a partial one only, then it shall not be necessary for the diagram to show any other lots than the ones covered by such partial reassessment. If it be a full reassessment, however, then said Director shall prepare and file with the reassessment a diagram showing the lots, pieces or parcels of land deemed by him to have been benefited by the work or improvement. Upon any reassessment as in this section provided for, said Director and the Supervisors shall have unlimited power to fix the boundaries of the

district to be charged with the expense of the work in accordance with the benefits of the work to property as such benefits, at the time of reassessment, shall appear to said Director or the Supervisors, and to make the boundaries of such district either the same as they theretofore were or different from the boundaries of the district specified in said Director's declaration of intention. It is the intent hereof that upon any such reassessment said Director and/or the Supervisors then shall have full power to determine what district and property have been benefited by the work and to make the reassessment accordingly. The reassessment shall assess upon and against each of the lots, pieces or parcels of land contained therein an amount arrived at as follows: The benefits derived, or to be derived by each of the said lots, pieces or parcels of land from the work or improvement, estimated as of the date of the filing in the office of the Department of Public Works of the original assessment, shall first be listed. Then there shall be added thereto interest thereon from the date of filing the original assessment in the Department of Public Works at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, and the total sums shall constitute and be the amount of the proposed several assessments in such reassessment. The total of such reassessments, however, exclusive of interest, shall not exceed the cost and expenses of the work of improvement. Such assessment need not be in any prescribed form, but shall refer to the original assessment filed, give the date of filing of said original assessment and state that it is made pursuant to the order of the Supervisors or decree of the court, as the case may be, and shall be accompanied by a diagram showing the lots to be reassessed and their relation to the work. It shall then be presented to the Supervisors, who shall fix a time for hearing before them. Such time must be at least twenty days after the reassessment is so presented. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall then advertise the time of such hearing before the Supervisors by publishing a notice once in the official newspaper. Said Clerk shall also mail notice of such hearing as provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance. But this requirement as to mailing notice shall have no greater effect than that provided for in said Section 7. And a description of the district shall be set forth in the notice. At the time fixed for said hearing, or at such time or times to which the same may be thereafter adjourned, the Supervisors shall consider the objections to said reassessment and in their discretion informally direct the revision, correction or modification of such reassessment in such manner as is most equitable to apportion to each lot, piece or parcel of land hereby benefited the amount of the actual benefits derived from said improvement. When such reassessment shall have been revised, or corrected, or modified so as to comply with the judgment of said Supervisors, then they shall pass a resolution confirming the reassessment. The said Director of Public Works shall thereupon record the reassessment with a certificate at the end thereof by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Said Director shall also note opposite the several assessments in the original assessment that have been displaced, the fact that the reassessment has been made, giving its date, and shall credit upon such reassessment all payments theretofore made upon the original assessment, or upon the bonds issued to represent the same, together with interest on such payments at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from and after the date of such payments. Such reassessment shall be collectible and payable in the same manner as an original assessment and shall be enforceable by suit in the same manner provided in this ordinance for enforcing an original assessment, and shall have the same weight in evidence. In the event that bonds shall have been issued under or upon the security of the original assessment, they shall also issue upon the reassessment for such sums as may be reassessed against the lots, pieces or parcels of land covered thereby. When the reassessment is recorded the original assessment shall be canceled by said Director

so far as the reassessment affects the original assessment involved. New bonds shall not be issued until the original bonds are delivered up to the City and County Treasurer, who shall cancel the same. The lien of such reassessment shall hold its relative rank as to other special assessment liens as of the date of filing of the original assessment.

In the event such work or improvement made in attempted compliance with this ordinance is fully completed to the satisfaction of said Director, then it shall be the duty in any event of said Director to make and file an assessment for costs and expenses thereof so as to form the basis of a reassessment, even though such assessment should be unenforceable.

Section 3. If the Director of Public Works, for any reason whatsoever, may not perform any of the duties or functions by this ordinance imposed upon him, or if it becomes inconvenient for him to perform any such duties or functions, then, in every such case, such duties and functions may be performed by the City Engineer in said Department of Public Works, as a substitute for said Director, or, in any such case, said duties and functions may be performed by any one in said department designated by the Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County. The duties and functions hereby imposed upon said City Engineer may be performed by any one else in said Department designated by said Director. Whenever such a substitute shall act, either for said Director or for said City Engineer, the records of said department shall include a written designation by said Chief Administrative Officer or said Director, as the case may be, appointing such substitute. Such designation shall indicate the period during which such substitute shall be authorized so to act. Such written designation shall be conclusive of all facts therein recited, and all acts of such substitutes, respectively, shall have the same validity as if they had been performed by said Director or said City Engineer, as the case may be.

Section 4. Notice in writing required to be given by said Director may be served by any person over the age of twenty-one years, and the fact of such service may be verified by the oath of the person making it. Such oath may be taken before said Director or the Acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works.

All notices and resolutions required by this ordinance to be published shall be published in the official newspaper.

All notices herein required to be served, whether by delivering, mailing or posting, may be so served by any citizen of the age of twenty-one years or over, and his affidavit thereof shall be prima facie evidence of such service. The affidavit of the publisher of the official newspaper or his clerk, of the publication of any notice required in this ordinance to be published, shall be prima facie evidence of such publishing.

Section 5. Whenever any resolution, order, notice or determination is required to be published or posted, and the duty of posting or procuring the publication or posting of the same is not specifically enjoined upon any officer of the City and County, it shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to procure the publication or posting, as the case may be. No proceeding or step herein shall be invalidated or affected by any error or mistake or departure herefrom as to the officer or person posting, or procuring the publication or posting, of any resolution, notice, order or determination hereunder when the same is actually published or posted for the time herein required.

Section 6. The term "incidental expenses," as used in this ordinance, shall include the cost of engineering work; also, the cost of printing and advertising as provided in this ordinance, including the estimated cost of printing any bonds to be issued to represent or be secured by unpaid assessments; also the cost of superintendence of the work mentioned in this Ordinance; also the expenses of making the assessment and of typing and preparing the resolutions,

notices and other papers and proceedings for any work authorized by this ordinance; also the expenses of making any analysis and tests to determine that the work and any materials or appliances incorporated therein comply with the specifications; and any other expenses incidental to the construction, completion and inspection of the work in the manner herein provided for. All demands for incidental expenses mentioned in this section shall be presented to the Director of Public Works, by an itemized bill, duly verified by oath of the demandant.

Section 7. The word "street," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include avenues, highways, lanes, alleys, crossings or intersections, courts and places, which have been dedicated and accepted according to law or in common and undisputed use by the public for a period of not less than five years next preceding. The word "places," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include any public park or pleasure ground and common which has been dedicated and accepted according to law, and this ordinance shall include the improvement of a park, public pleasure ground and common.

Section 8. Whenever in proceedings hereunder a time and place for hearing by the Director of Public Works or the Supervisors is fixed and, from any cause, the hearing is not then and there held or regularly adjourned to a time and place fixed, the power of the said Director or Supervisors in the premises shall not thereby be divested or lost, but the said Director or Supervisors may proceed anew to fix a time and place for the hearing, and cause notice thereof to be given by publication by at least one insertion in the official newspaper, such publication to be at least five days before the date of the hearing, and thereupon said Director or Supervisors shall have power to act as in the first instance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be liberally construed to the end that its purposes may be effected. No error, irregularity, informality, and no neglect or omission of any officer of the City and County, in any procedure taken hereunder, shall avoid or invalidate such proceeding or any assessment for the cost of work done hereunder. The exclusive remedy of any person affected or aggrieved thereby shall be by appeal to the Supervisors as herein provided.

Section 10. If any one or more of the sections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences or other parts of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason whatsoever, then it is the intent hereof that the remainder of this ordinance shall stand, and it is hereby declared that such remainder would have been enacted even if such invalid portions, if such there be, had never been enacted.

Section 11. This ordinance may be designated and referred to as the "Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934."

Section 12. Any proceedings for street work heretofore commenced under any method or system provided by any Charter of said City and County, or by act of the Legislature, or by ordinance of said City and County, shall not be affected by this ordinance but may be continued until completion by and under the method provided by the Charter, law or ordinance under which they were originally commenced, or they may be abandoned by order of said Director of Public Works and instituted under the proceedings provided for in this ordinance, in case no contract for the work or improvement has been entered into.

Section 13. Ordinance No. 2439 and Ordinance No. 4720, heretofore adopted by the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances amendatory thereof, are hereby repealed; provided, however, said ordinances are not hereby repealed in so far as proceedings heretofore commenced pursuant to them are concerned, and as to all such proceedings only said ordinances are not hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*:

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 1238, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are predicated on a 6-hour day unless otherwise noted.

Metal Trades.

<i>Field Rates</i>	<i>Rate per Hour</i>
Blacksmiths	\$ 1.10
Boilermakers	1.10
Boilermakers' helpers90
Machinists	1.10
Machinists' helpers75
Machinist (maintenance)	1.12½

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	1.10
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	1.10
Diamond drillers	1.10
Powderman	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (Garagemen)75

Street Work.

Timberman (tunnel)	1.10
Mucker75
Asphalt rakers	1.10
Asphalt shovelers75
Cribbers	1.10
Laborers, street work75
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, drag-line and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers	1.25
Firemen (asphalt plant)	1.10
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 H. P.)	1.12½

Culinary Workers.

(Not based on 6-hour day)

	<i>Per Week</i>
Head cook (6-day week)	\$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

Dredge Boats.

(Not based on 6-hour day)

	<i>Per Month</i>
Dredge captain	\$215
Head leverman	215

Leverman	190
Fireman	150
Deckhand	150

Building Trades.

	<i>Rate per Hour</i>
Asbestos workers	\$ 1.10
Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins.....	1.50
Bricklayers' hodcarrier	1.00
Carpenters and cabinet makers.....	1.10
Cement finishers	1.10
Compressor operators (on steel erection).....	1.25
Engineers (derricks)	1.25
Engineers (building material hoists).....	1.12½
Electric workers	1.25
Elevator constructors	1.25
Elevator constructor helpers87½
Fixture hangers	1.10
Glass workers	1.10
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	1.12½
Iron workers (bridge, structural and rigger).....	1.37½
Iron workers (derrick engineers).....	1.37½
Linoleum and carpet workers.....	1.10
Laborers (building)75
Painters (structural iron works).....	1.20
Painters	1.10
Marble setters	1.10
Marble setters' helpers75
Ornamental iron workers	1.12½
Pile drivers' engineers	1.25
Plumbers	1.12½
Roofers	1.10
Sheet metal workers	1.10
Steamfitters	1.12½
Sprinkler fitters	1.12½
Stonecutters	1.10
Stonesetter (including granite curbs).....	1.50
Stone derrickmen	1.12½
Tilesetters	1.10
Tilesetters' helpers75

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours: Elevator constructors and helpers, all iron workers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks).

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, \$6 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, \$6.50 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.
Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.
Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Shovel engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, \$7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck crane engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., \$9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Plastering Industry.

Plasterer, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers hodcarrier, \$1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, \$2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, \$1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Concrete Industry.

Concrete laborer, \$5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, \$8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, \$8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

Others.

Hardwood floormen, \$1.10 per hour.

Not less than \$1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl proposed an amendment to the foregoing "when Federal Code is issued, same shall supersede schedule in this section."

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$467,631 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to March 31, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 532, Ordinance No. 9.051120, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$467,631 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to March 31, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$467,631 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to March 31, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal

and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Repealing Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (Known as "Inspection Fee Ordinance").

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 533, Ordinance No. 3.04131, as follows:

Repealing Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (known as "Inspection Fee Ordinance").

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (known as the "Inspection Fee Ordinance"), and which reads as follows, to-wit:

"Section 3. The owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco which is subject to inspection and/or regulation shall pay an annual fee of four (\$4.00) dollars for said inspection and/or regulation. The provisions of this section shall not apply to any building, structure, store, premise and/or office subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof."

Be and the same is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Unemployment Positions Not to Become Members of the San Francisco Retirement System.

(Code No. 4.07)

Also, Bill No. 529, Ordinance No. 4.072, as follows:

An ordinance excluding from membership in the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, created in Section 158 of the Charter, persons employed by the City and County on unemployment relief work, and repealing ordinances in so far as they are in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Persons who are not already members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System and who are or shall be employed in places of employment created by special appropriation to relieve any unemployment emergency declared by the Board of Supervisors, as set forth in Section 149 of the Charter, shall not become members of said retirement system. All ordinances or parts thereof in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed, to the extent of said conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Hearing of Appeal, South Side Chestnut Street, Westerly From Laguna Street, Monday, February 26, 1934, 2 P. M.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1299, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property on the south side of Chestnut street, commencing at a point 162 feet 6 inches westerly from Laguna street, and running thence westerly 25 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby set for Monday, February 26, 1934, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Acceptance of Gaven Street Between Barneveld Avenue and Merrill Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 530, Ordinance No. 12.081113, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Crossing of Gaven and Merrill Streets.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 531, Ordinance No. 12.081114, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of the crossing of Gaven and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of the crossing of Gaven and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Chas. L. Harney, for Completion of Improvement of Quintara Street Between Thirty-second and Thirty-third Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Resolution No. 1300, as follows:

Resolved, That Charles L. Harney be and is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after February 8, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Quintara street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues.

Extension is necessary pending issuance of assessment.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Taken Up.

The following bill, heretofore presented by Supervisor Uhl and recommended by the Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

Regulating Use of City Automobiles.

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 520, Ordinance No. 3.063, as follows:

Regulating the use of automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and providing for an identifying mark to be maintained on all of said automobiles so owned, and defining certain terms used in this ordinance, and providing a penalty for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. No officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco, to whom the care, custody, use or control of any automobile, now or hereafter owned by the City and County, is assigned or entrusted, shall use, or permit any such automobile to be used, for any purpose whatsoever save in the discharge or transaction of municipal business.

Section 2. "Municipal business" is hereby defined to be such business, things or affairs in the doing or performance of which the City and County of San Francisco is directly interested. Provided, however, that any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco, the use of which is assigned to the Police Department, or to the Fire Department, or to the Health Department, while engaged in aiding or assisting any other municipality or community, or while performing any act or thing which might have been performed by such other municipality or community, shall be deemed to be engaged in business of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. From and after the first day of September, 1934, every passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco shall have painted on each side of the body of said automobile, in a conspicuous place, a facsimile of the official seal of the City and County of San Francisco in size not less than ten (10) inches in diameter, and each of said passenger automobiles owned by said City and County shall be assigned a serial number by the Purchaser of Supplies, which said serial number assigned to each particular passenger automobile shall be painted on the side of said automobile directly above said facsimile of said official seal.

Section 4. From and after the first day of September, 1934, no

officer, agent, servant or employee of the City and County of San Francisco shall drive, use or operate any passenger automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco that has not painted on each side thereof the facsimile of the official seal of said City and County and said identification number mentioned in the previous section.

Section 5. Failure on the part of any official to obey the provisions of this ordinance shall constitute official misconduct.

Violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance by an employee shall constitute insubordination and shall be sufficient cause for dismissal from employment by the City.

Section 6. All ordinances or parts of ordinances, in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, are hereby repealed.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl proposed to amend penalty clause by providing for first or second offense a reprimand, third offense will constitute insubordination.

Substitute Resolution.

(Code No. 3.06)

Supervisor Colman presented the following as a substitute for the foregoing, and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 1295, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board of Supervisors that automobiles owned by the City and County of San Francisco and assigned or entrusted to any department, officer or employee should be used only in the discharge and transaction of municipal business, and that no officer, servant, agent or employee of the City and County of San Francisco should use any automobile owned by the City and County of San Francisco and assigned to any officer or department without the consent of such officer or without the consent of the head of such department.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his vote by saying that Supervisor Colman's resolution was "a milk, water and sponge way of getting at the abuse."

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Clerk Directed to Request of Government of State of California Title and Function of Each Branch of State Government Operating in San Francisco and Authority for Its Existence, Title, Home Address, Compensation and Duties of All Personnel Working in San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.3)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1279, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, in the proper conduct of its affairs with the State of California; and the citizens of San Francisco, to intelligently understand the operations of State and local government, should have available all information obtainable on the subject of State and local government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to request of the government of the State of California, the following information:

1. The title and function of each branch of the State government

operating in the City and County of San Francisco, and the authority for its existence.

2. The title, home address, compensation and duties of all personnel employed by the State of California working in San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Memorializing Congress in Opposition to Excise Tax of Five Cents Per Pound on Cocoanut Oil.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 1302, as follows:

Whereas, the Ways and Means Committee of the House of the United States recently proposed an excise tax on cocoanut oil to the amount of 5 cents per pound; and

Whereas, consideration of such excise tax has now been recommended by the Ways and Means Committee of the House to that body as a whole for inclusion in the Revenue Bill for the next fiscal year; and

Whereas, the selling price of cocoanut oil is approximately 2½ cents per pound, and the tax would be a 200 per cent levy on that price; and

Whereas, 15 per cent of the total import tonnage coming into San Francisco harbor is represented by copra, from which cocoanut oil is derived; and

Whereas, approximately all of this copra is processed around San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, the oil, shipped East, produces a freight revenue to our railroads of approximately \$4,000,000 per year; and

Whereas, the loss of this trade to our steamship lines and railroads would be most serious; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors goes on record as opposed to the 5-cent a pound excise tax on cocoanut oil, and that copies of this resolution be sent to the Congressmen and Congresswomen and Senators from the State of California, with the request that they oppose to the utmost the passage of said excise tax which is deemed a most serious injury and detriment to the interests of the harbor of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *laid over one week for investigation*.

Relative to Reclamation of Candlestick Point Lands for Park and Industrial Purposes.

(Code No. 12.211)

Resolution No. 1304, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as it is contemplated by a private concern to request a loan from the Federal Government to build homes on Candlestick Point, it is deemed advisable to inform Mr. Harry Hopkins, Director, P. W. A., in Washington, D. C., that San Francisco intends to condemn the land east of Hawes street on Candlestick Point for park purposes; that the proposed work is a 100 per cent labor project and that our unemployed are to be put to work thereon leveling the thirty-six acres of hillside, the earth to be removed to

be used as a fill for adjacent mud flats to be reclaimed for industrial purposes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is authorized and directed to send a telegram to Mr. Harry Hopkins, Director, P. W. A., informing him of San Francisco's intention in premises.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Authorizing the Appointment of John F. Kelly to Represent the Public Welfare Committee in Obtaining Information From Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to Its Administration of Relief in San Francisco.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1283, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in its investigation of relief matters hereby authorizes the appointment of John F. Kelly to represent the Public Welfare Committee in obtaining information from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to its administration of relief in San Francisco.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Lease of Space at San Francisco Airport for Restaurant and Barber Shop.

(Code No. 12.17354)

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

Bill No. 527, Ordinance No. 12.173542, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Granting Permission to San Francisco Baseball Club and Mission Baseball Club to Advertise on Outside of Market Street Railroad Company's Street Cars.

(Code No. 1.06101)

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

Resolution No. 1303, as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Baseball Club and the Mission Baseball Club be and they are hereby granted permits to advertise on the outside of street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company (provided said cars, when used for said advertising purposes, are not used to carry passengers), the playing of baseball games to be held in San Francisco for the period covering the baseball season.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

San Francisco Requests That It Be Given Share of Navy Department Construction Program.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 1277, as follows:

Whereas, the construction program for the Navy Department involving an expenditure of \$380,000,000 has been authorized by the Congress of the United States; and

Whereas, plans are being formulated to have a Committee of Congressional Representatives from California, Oregon, Washington, Utah, Nevada and Arizona, to demand from Washington that the five shipbuilding plants on the Pacific Coast receive an adequate proportion of the construction work outlined; and

Whereas, San Francisco and the Bay Area is well equipped to do this work and has many citizens skilled in the shipbuilding crafts that are not now employed in their respective trades; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby urge its Congressional Representative from San Francisco to cooperate with and assist the Congressional Representatives of California and the other Western states in obtaining a just proportion of the shipbuilding to be done by the Navy Department; and be it

Further Resolved, That, feeling sure of our ability to build these ships, we offer to our Congressional and Senatorial delegation our desire to be helpful in every possible way, and we stand ready to follow their lead and suggestion, to the end that by the combined efforts of all Pacific Coast organizations we may secure for this Coast its fair share of the vessels to be constructed, work for our idle mechanics, and a proportionate share of the proposed expenditure of the recommended appropriation of \$380,000,000 intended for this purpose.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence—Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1301, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fifteen days commencing February 17, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

In Memoriam: Albert, King of Belgium.

(Code No. 5.91)

President McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1311, as follows:

Whereas, the Almighty King of Kings, in His profound wisdom, has called to Himself, Albert, King of the Belgians, who, in this distracted world, filled with war and war's alarms, played so great and so noble a part, a ruler whose leadership—the most momentous and decisive in our time—won the universal praise and admiration of all peoples, all races, and all creeds, a popular and democratic king, and a noble and heroic defender of his nation's peace and security.

His was a character of truly royal quality, a noble life filled with humane deeds that sets an excellent example for the emulation of those who succeed to his high estate and grave responsibilities.

As a husband and father, his domestic relations were of the happiest. His family life was marked by the deepest affection and devotion, and his untimely death leaves a void in the hearts of his loved ones that never can be filled—a loss irreparable and inconsolable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco deeply deplores the passing of Albert, King of Belgium, whom it was our honor and pleasure to receive in these Supervisorial Chambers in the trying days following the World War, and whose delightful democratic and noble character we then learned to love and admire.

To his good spouse, Queen Elizabeth, and her sorrowing family, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco extends its deepest sorrow and condolences and prays that the Great King and Father of us all, in His infinite wisdom and mercy, will assuage the inconsolable sorrow that is theirs in this sad hour of their bereavement; and be it

Further Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns it does so in respect to the memory of so manly a man and so noble a king.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Dismissal of Police Officers.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from Voters' Council expressing its disapproval of attempt to dismiss 250 police officers in the interest of economy.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Manufacturers' Sales Tax.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, as to the effect on the budget of the present year of the proposed manufacturers' sales tax. Based on this year's allotments, an aggregate of \$64,302 for each 1 per cent tax. If food stuffs are excluded this total would be reduced to \$59,232.

Relative to Delay in Passing Wage Rate Resolution.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that none of the contracts contemplated by any department under his jurisdiction have been delayed by reason of the failure to pass the wage rate resolution up to this time, but stating that prompt action on the part of the Board is now necessary or delays will ensue in awarding contracts.

Telephone Service.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that he has transmitted to the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, request for information as to what savings might be effected by use of a main switchboard operated through the Telephone Company for all offices in the City Hall and Health Department Building, in lieu of the present system.

Property Removed From the Assessment Roll by Reason of Bay Bridge Approaches.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that the Chief Engineer of the Toll Bridge Authority estimates approximately \$2,000,000 will be taken from the Assessment

Roll by removal of property necessary for San Francisco Trans-Bay Bridge approaches, but that he cannot furnish exact information, as the locations of interurban terminals have not been decided upon. Also, advising that Director of Property, Jos. J. Phillips, estimates an assessed value of \$276,530 will be taken from the Assessment Roll by widening the approaches to the bay bridge, as recommended by the Art Commission.

Protest, Roosevelt Apartments.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the LaFayette Club, Inc., transmitting resolution of its board of directors, adopted February 15, 1934, requesting President Roosevelt to refuse the appropriation asked for to finance the so-called Roosevelt Apartment project on old Odd Fellows' Cemetery site.

Report Covering Expenditures on Projects for Street Repair, Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1933.

Supervisor Brown presented communication from Wm. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, relating to his request for a report covering expenditures on projects of street repair for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933.

Restaurant and Barber Shop Lease, San Francisco Airport.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, in response to a request for further information on leasing space at San Francisco Airport for restaurant and barber shop, and transmitting report of Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, in relation thereto.

Protesting Rezoning, Pine Street, West of Stockton.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from E. G. Galt, assistant secretary, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, stating that after investigation they find no need existing for rezoning property on Pine street, 76 feet 6 inches west of Stockton street, and urging, in the interests of themselves and other property owners in that area, that the decision of the City Planning Commission denying said rezoning be sustained.

Also, communication from George D. Smith, general manager, Hotel Mark Hopkins and Hotel Fairmont, protesting the rezoning for commercial purposes of Pine street west of Stockton street.

Also, protest of Elise Choinard and other residents and property owners in the vicinity of Pine and Stockton streets against the rezoning for commercial purposes of the property on Pine street 77 feet 6 inches west of Stockton street.

Communications.

The following matters were presented, read and copies *ordered sent to the members*:

Savings From Vacated Positions.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In reply to your request for data regarding vacated positions that have remained unfilled and positions newly created, we submit the information in the attached tabulation.

This tabulation shows a total of 47 positions now vacant and a total of 18 new positions created since July 1, 1933. These do not include several vacancies in positions predicated on interdepartmental ser-

vices and bond funds. Salaries of the 47 vacant positions total \$9,502 per month and salaries of the 18 newly created positions total \$3,525. Several of these latter are really reclassifications of the vacated positions.

It is not possible for us to give you the amount of savings to be affected this year through non-filling of these positions. However, the Controller has this information readily available as he accumulates the unexpended salaries of vacated positions, as they become vacant, and credits the amount to Item 260 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

We are sure the savings through the policy of non-filling of any vacated positions, except those absolutely essential, will produce a saving this year in excess of the \$75,000 set up in the budget under Item 260 of the Appropriation Ordinance.

Respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
JAS. J. MAHER, Secretary.

Positions established in Salary Ordinance
and now vacant

Positions newly created since
July 1, 1933

Supervisors

1 Head Clerk\$250.00

Assessor

1 General Clerk 250.00

1 Senior Impr. Appr. 265.00

Sheriff

1 Writ Server ... 215.00

1 Jailer 197.00

1 Conf. Secretary \$275.00

1 Keeper 160.00

Recreation

1 General Storekeeper 150.00

3 Laborers 150.00

1 Caretaker 150.00

1 Labor Sub-Foreman 175.00

1 Foreman Bldg. & Grounds.... 195.00

Municipal Court

1 General Clerk 200.00

Recorder

2 General Clerks 215.00

County Clerk

1 General Clerk 200.00

Purchaser

2 Watchmen 155.00

1 Inspector 200.00

Department Public Works

1 Senior Clerk 300.00

Department Public Health

1 Secretary 350.00

1 Abattoir Inspector 200.00

1 General Clerk-Typist 150.00

8 Food & Restaurant

Inspectors 175.00

Coroner

1 General Clerk-Typist 165.00

1 Lab. Technician.. 125.00

Municipal Railway

1 General Clerk-Stenographer... 175.00

1 Blacksmithday 9.00

1 Comptometer Opr. 155.00

San Francisco Water Department

1 Oiler 175.00

1 Fireman 185.00

2 Engineers 220.00

Education

1 Senior Accountant 275.00

1 General Clerk 145.00

C. S. Commission

1 Senior Clerk	175.00	1 General Clerk....	155.00
----------------------	--------	---------------------	--------

Tax Office

1 General Clerk	200.00	1 Gen. Clerk-Steno..	155.00
-----------------------	--------	----------------------	--------

Police Department

4 Lieutenants at.....	250.00*	3 Captains at.....	300.00
2 Sergeants at.....	220.00	1 Boat Operator at.	200.00
2 Drivers at.....	200.00		
1 Policeman at.....	200.00		

Fire Department

1 Engineer at.....	220.00
2 Lieutenants at.....	222.50

*Includes three vacancies created by advancement of three lieutenants to captains.

Garage Storage Under Bridge Approach.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your request for information as to the feasibility of placing a City Garage beneath the Harrison vehicular approach to the Trans-Bay Bridge, please be advised that this would be feasible as far as the physical structure of the bridge approach is concerned; that is, the columns are far enough apart and the spans sufficient to utilize a portion of this space for the purpose named. In fact, Mr. Woodruff, Assistant Chief Engineer of the bridge, advises me that it is the intention to provide suitable parking space for automobiles beneath the bridge structure.

However, I am advised by the City Attorney's Office to the effect that the California Toll Bridge Authority would have jurisdiction over the space in question and this would preclude its use by San Francisco for a municipal garage except on a rental basis.

Trusting that this furnishes you the desired information, I remain,

Respectfully yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Discontinuance of Federal Relief for January.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Replying to your favor of February 6th, relative to the discontinuance of funds for relief purposes from the Federal government, may I advise you that the subject matter was properly taken up with Mr. C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, and I am herewith enclosing a copy of his letter addressed to me in connection with this item, which I believe quite thoroughly covers the subject matter.

I trust you will find this in order.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

February 13, 1934.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, San Francisco.

Dear Mayor Rossi: In reply to your request regarding the discontinuance of Federal Funds to San Francisco in January, may I say that the Federal Administrator of Relief discontinued the January allocation due to the fact that with relief funds he had taken approximately two-thirds of the load off relief and placed them on C. W. A. jobs, he felt that the various committees therefore could carry the remaining one-third.

At this time the funds supporting relief are equal amounts from the State and County.

It is understood, however, that we can apply to the State Administrator for any deficits and an allocation from this fund would be made.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES M. WOLLENBERG,
Director of Relief.

MUNICIPALLY-OWNED AUTOS.

February 17, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter of February 6th, requesting information as to the number of municipally-owned automobiles and the departments to which they are assigned, the data you desire is attached hereto.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

LIST OF AUTOMOBILES OWNED BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, FEBRUARY 7, 1934.

Assessor

La Salle 8, 5-passenger sedan.....	1933	
Total		1

Chief Administrative Officer

La Salle 8, 7-passenger sedan.....	1933	
Total		1

Department of Public Health

Central Office:

Ford, coupe	1924
Buick, coupe	1925
Buick, coupe	1927
Studebaker, coupe	1928
Buick, coupe	1928
Buick, coupe	1928
Chevrolet, coupe	1928
Ford, coupe	1928
Chevrolet, coupe	1928
Ford, coupe	1928
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Dodge 6, B coupe.....	1932

Ford, coupe	1933	
Buick, coupe	1933	
Total		30

*Department of Public Health**Emergency Hospital:*

White, truck	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, truck	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, ambulance	1924	
White, ambulance	1929	
White, ambulance	1929	
White, ambulance	1930	
White, ambulance	1933	
Total		12

Hassler Health Home:

Fordson, tractor	1924	
White, truck	1927	
White, truck	1927	
Ford, bus	1928	
Graham, dump	1928	
Total		5

Laguna Honda Home:

Buick, roadster	1924	
Alco, truck	1924	
White, truck	1924	
Graham, truck	1927	
White, truck	1927	
Buick, sedan	1929	
Total		6

San Francisco Hospital:

White, ambulance	1931	
Ford, truck	1923	
Ford, truck	1924	
Ford, truck	1929	
Dodge, sport sedan	1932	
Total		5

Controller

Buick, coupe	1932	
Total		1

Coroner

Ford, sedan	1928	
Kleiber, ambulance	1928	
Total		2

Department of Electricity

Kleiber, truck	1926	
Chevrolet, coupe	1928	
Chevrolet, coupe	1928	
Chevrolet, coupe	1928	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	
White, truck	1929	
Chevrolet, coupe	1929	

Chevrolet, truck	1929
Ford, truck	1930
Studebaker, top express	1930
Chevrolet, sedan delivery	1930
Chevrolet, sedan delivery	1930
Chevrolet, coupe	1930
Buick, coupe	1930
Ford, truck	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Chevrolet, sedan delivery	1931
Chevrolet, sedan	1931
Total	

22

Department of Elections

Reo Speedwagon	1928
Total	

1

Fire Department

American La France, chemical	1911
Seagrave, tractor	1912
Pope Hartford, hose tender	1912
Seagrave, tractor	1912
Seagrave, tractor	1912
Seagrave, tractor	1912
American La France, squad wagon	1913
American La France, comb hose wagon	1913
American La France, battery wagon	1913
American La France, chemical	1913
American La France, chemical	1913
Ahrens Fox, pumping engine	1913
American La France, hose wagon	1914
American La France, chemical	1914
Ahrens-Fox, chemical	1914
Schnerr, tow wagon	1915
Seagrave, tractor	1915
American La France, tractor	1915
American La France, tractor	1915
Seagrave, pump engine	1915
American La France, pump engine	1915
Seagrave, pump engine	1915
American La France, tractor	1916
American La France, tractor	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, chemical	1916
American La France, tractor	1916
American La France, tractor	1916
American La France, tractor	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
Seagrave, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1916
American La France, pump engine	1917
American La France, hose wagon	1917
American La France, rebuilt	1918
American La France, battery wagon	1918
American La France, rebuilt	1918

[illegible]

Ford, truck	1928
Ford, truck	1928
Ford, truck	1928
American La France, truck.....	1928
Mack, pump engine	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Buick, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Lincoln, touring	1928
Ahrens Fox, pump	1928
Ford, roadster	1929
Ford, roadster	1929
Buick, roadster	1929
Buick, roadster	1929
Buick, roadster	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Mack, pump	1929
Mack, pump	1929
Mack, tractor	1929
Mack, tractor	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Mack, tractor	1929
Mack, tractor	1929
Ford, truck	1930
Mack, squad wagon	1930
Mack, tractor	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Lincoln, sedan	1931
Mack, fire engine	1931
Mack, fire engine	1931
Mack, fire engine	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Mack, fire engine	1931
American La France, pump	1932
Mack, pump	1932
Mack, pump	1932
Seagrave, tractor	1932

Total 166

District Attorney

Packard, 5-passenger sedan	1928
Total	1

Ford, coupe	1933	
Ford, coupe	1933	
Ford, coupe	1933	
Ford, coupe	1933	
Ford, coupe	1933	
Total		96

Public Library

Graham, panel	1928	
De Soto 6, sedan	1931	
Total		2

*Public Utilities Commission**Airport:*

Caterpillar, tractor	1929	
Fordson, tractor	1929	
Ford, truck	1930	
Ford, truck	1933	
Total		4

Hetch Hetchy Construction:

White, delivery stake body	1915	
White, truck	1916	
White, truck	1920	
White, dump body	1920	
White, truck, 5-ton	1921	
Ford, touring	1922	
Ford, truck	1922	
White, truck	1922	
White, truck	1923	
Ford, touring	1924	
White, truck	1924	
White, truck	1924	
White, truck	1924	
White, truck	1924	
Buick, roadster	1925	
Buick, sedan	1925	
Graham, truck	1925	
Ford, touring	1926	
Nash, coupe	1926	
Dodge, panel	1926	
GMC, truck	1927	
Chevrolet, roadster	1928	
Dodge, sedan	1928	
Dodge, sedan	1928	
Chevrolet, roadster	1928	
Dodge, sedan	1929	
Dodge, sedan	1929	
Ford, coupe	1929	
GMC, truck	1929	
Ford, sedan	1930	
Ford, roadster	1930	
Ford, roadster	1930	
Ford, stake truck	1930	
Mack, truck	1930	
Mack, truck	1930	
Ford, standard sedan	1931	
Ford, touring	1931	
Ford, truck	1931	
La Salle, sedan	1932	
Total		39

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative:

White, truck	1914
White, stage body	1917
White, truck	1918
White, bus	1920
White, express body	1920
White, truck	1924
White, truck	1924
FWD, truck	1927
FWD, truck	1929
FWD, truck	1929
Dodge, coupe	1932
Oldsmobile, touring coupe	1933
Plymouth, sedan	1933
De Soto, standard sedan	1933
Graham, coupe	1933
Dodge, truck	1933
Dodge, truck	1933
Dodge, 1½ ton canopy.....	1933
Dodge, commercial express	1933
Dodge, commercial express	1933
Dodge, cass and cab	1933
Total	21
Utility Trailer No. 5371	
Cable Reel Trailer No. 17328	
Utility Trailer No. 6781	
Homemade No. DMV784589	
White, Motor only, No. GN5879	

Municipal Railway:

Dodge, touring	1924
GMC, truck	1924
White, dump	1926
Graham, truck	1927
White, truck	1927
White, bus	1927
White, bus	1927
Ford, truck	1927
Mack, bus	1929
Ford, sedan	1930
Ford, sedan	1930
Fageol, bus	1930
Fageol, bus	1930
Fageol, bus	1930
Ford, stake truck	1931
Fageol, bus	1931
Fageol, bus	1931
Plymouth, sedan	1933
Studebaker, sedan	1933
White, bus	1933
White, bus	1933
Total	21

Homemade Trailer No. DMV715607

Public Utilities Commission:

Pierce-Arrow 8, sedan	1932
Total	1

Department of Public Works

Kelly Springfield, truck	1915
Elgin, sweeper	1916
Pierce-Arrow, truck	1918
Pierce-Arrow, truck	1920

Ford, truck	1921
Buick, roadster	1923
Elgin, sweeper	1924
Denby, truck	1921
Lund, sweeper	1924
Elgin, sweeper	1922
Elgin, sweeper	1924
Elgin, sweeper	1924
Fageol, truck	1925
Kleiber, truck	1923
Garford, truck	1924
Garford, truck	1924
Ford, touring	1924
Pierce-Arrow, truck	1924
Pierce-Arrow, dump truck	1924
Pierce-Arrow, dump truck	1924
Pierce-Arrow, truck	1924
GMC, truck	1924
GMC, truck	1924
GMC, truck	1924
Kleiber, truck	1924
Kleiber, truck	1924
Elgin, sweeper	1924
Kleiber, truck	1925
Elgin, sweeper	1925
Mack, truck	1925
Mack, truck	1925
Pierce-Arrow, Eductor	1925
Fageol, truck	1925
Fageol, truck	1925
Ford, coupe	1925
Buick, roadster	1925
Kleiber, truck	1925
Kleiber, truck	1925
Kleiber, truck	1925
Ford, coupe	1926
Buick, roadster	1926
Kleiber, truck	1926
Elgin, sweeper	1927
Nash, roadster	1927
Chevrolet, truck	1927
Nash, Victory	1928
Buick, touring	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Kleiber, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Dodge, coupe	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1928
Fageol, truck	1929
Fageol, truck	1929
Fageol, truck	1929
Ford, coupe	1929

Buick, coupe	1929
Buick, coupe	1929
Buick, coupe	1929
Nash, coupe	1929
Fageol, truck	1929
Mack, truck	1930
Mack, truck	1930
Mack, truck	1930
De Soto, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, coupe	1931
Ford, sedan	1931
Ford, coupe	1931
Buick, sedan	1933
Hupmobile, sedan	1933

Total 86

Homemade Trailer No. DMV709741

Homemade Trailer No. DMV709744

Purchasing Department

White, truck	1921
White, truck	1924
Reo, Speedwagon	1924
Dodge, truck	1924
Ford, coupe	1928
Ford, roadster	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Studebaker, coupe	1932

Total 11

Recreation Department

Ford, truck	1925
Dodge, truck	1928
Ford, truck	1929
Mack, truck	1931
Buick, coupe	1931
Buick, sedan	1931
Studebaker, sedan	1932
Ford, pickup	1932
Ford, coupe	1933

Total 9

Whippet Power Lawn Mower, 96A-394164

Water Department

Buick, touring	1924
Republic, truck	1924
Buick, touring	1925
Fordson, tractor	1926
Chevrolet, touring	1927
Reo, Speedwagon	1927
Graham, truck	1927
Ford, roadster	1928
Willys Knight, sedan	1928
Ford, touring	1928
Ford, roadster	1928
Buick, sedan	1928
Graham, truck	1928

Republic, truck	1928
Ford, pickup	1929
Ford, pickup	1929
Ford, roadster	1929
Dodge, coupe	1929
Ford, coupe	1929
Ford, roadster	1929
Ford, road pickup	1929
Ford, roadster	1929
Buick, sedan	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Ford, truck	1929
Dodge, sc commercial	1929
Ford, pickup	1930
Ford, standard sedan	1930
Ford, standard sedan	1930
Ford, road pickup	1930
Ford, coupe	1930
Ford, standard coupe	1930
Ford, phaeton	1930
Nash, coupe	1930
Nash, coupe	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck cab	1930
Pagel, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, truck cab	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, pickup	1930
Ford, pickup	1930
Ford, pickup	1930
Ford, pickup	1930
Buick, sedan	1931
Ford, coupe	1931
Ford, coupe	1931
Ford, coupe	1931
Ford, roadster	1931
Nash, coupe	1931
Buick, sedan	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, truck	1931
Ford, express truck	1931
Ford, stake body truck	1931
Ford, pickup	1931
Ford, pickup	1931

Ford, pickup	1931
Ford, pickup	1931
Ford, pickup	1931
Ford, pickup	1931
Ford, truck	1932
Ford, truck	1932
Ford, truck	1932
Ford, truck	1932
Ford, truck	1932
Ford, coupe	1932
Ford, pickup	1932
Ford, pickup	1932
Indiana, truck	1933
Mack, truck	1933
Buick, sedan	1933
Cadillac, 5-passenger sedan	1933
White, truck	1933

Total 94

Assembled Trailer No. DMV6848
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV260066
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV260452
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV260598
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV260599
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV260600
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV265288
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV764302
 Homemade Trailer No. DMV756648
 Cleveland Trench Co. Trailer No. 215

Sheriff

Kleiber, van	1912
Ford, sedan	1930
Ford, truck	1930
Ford, sedan	1931
Buick, sedan	1931

Total 5

Supervisors

Pierce-Arrow, sedan	1929
Pierce-Arrow, sedan	1930

Total 2

Tax Collector

Chrysler, sedan	1927
-----------------------	------

Total 1

Weights and Measures

Studebaker, touring	1921
Ford, roadster	1925
Ford, roadster	1928
Ford, roadster	1929
Ford, roadster	1929
Ford, roadster	1930
Ford, roadster	1930
Ford, roadster	1931

Total 8

RECAPITULATION.

Assessor	1
Chief Administrative Officer	1
Department of Public Health	48
Controller	1

Coroner	2
Department of Electricity	22
Department of Elections	1
Fire Department	166
District Attorney	1
Mayor	1
Park Department	27
Police Department	96
Public Library	2
Public Utilities Commission	86
Department of Public Works	86
Purchasing Department	11
Recreation Department	9
Water Department	94
Sheriff	5
Supervisors	2
Tax Collector	1
Weights and Measures	8
Grand Total	671

SURVEY OF FREE TELEPHONES.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter of February 6th, requesting copy of the survey of free telephone service furnished officials and offices of the City and County of San Francisco, I am forwarding herewith a copy of the reply of the Purchaser of Supplies to my request for this information.

Appended hereto is a copy of the report of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company on a survey made by said Company, as to the City's telephone service and the improvement and economies suggested.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

"Work More, Earn More, Spend More, in '34."

February 15, 1934.

Mr. A. J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Cleary: I have noticed that the local newspapers published an account that you have been requested by the Board of Supervisors to furnish certain information in connection with the telephone facilities supplied the municipal departments.

During the early part of last year with the idea of providing all departments of the municipality with the most efficient telephone service at the minimum expense, we requested the Telephone Company to make a survey of the telephone service situation and advise us as regards any changes which should be made from the standpoint of greater efficiency and economy of operation.

Subsequently, the telephone company submitted its recommendations, which were approved and the rearrangements were made effective. At that time consideration was also given to the number of free services furnished various officials and employees of the City with the result that all but the service furnished elected officials, captains of police and a few departmental officials or employees was discontinued. This action on our part represents a saving of \$7,513.80 per annum.

Very truly yours,

T. A. BROOKS,
Purchaser of Supplies.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Total telephone service *saving* effected by:

1. Removal of paid personal services from City and County billing \$ 577.80
2. Substitutions from City and County paid services to concession 6,936.00

Total.....\$7,513.80

STATUS OF CITY AND COUNTY CONCESSION STATIONS.

As Of April 12, 1932.

	Additions and Deductions	Total Stations	Annual Saving
Concession stations this date.....		890	
Deductions as per survey recommendation	—5	885	
Additions as per survey recommendations	13	898	
Transfer concession of City and County billing	—1	897	
Substitutions from City and County billing to concession:			
P. B. X. switchboard position.....	1		\$ 72.00
\$12.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	1		153.00
11.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	3		423.00
7.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	5		465.00
5.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	2		138.00
4.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	5		285.00
3.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	20		900.00
2.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	37		1,221.00
1.75 P. B. X. lines with mileage....	14		294.00
5.25 business measured lines.....	15		2,985.00
Total number of station substitutions		103	
Total.....		1,000	\$6,936.00

NOTE—10 of these stations are now charged to the Board of Education. The saving to the City and County includes additional messages based on an average 10 months' usage.

SURVEY OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TELEPHONE FACILITIES

This survey has been made at the request of the Purchasing Department of the City and County of San Francisco.

The following information outlines our recommendations by departments:

American Legion
American Legion Auxiliary
California Legionnaire
Veterans Foreign Wars

Consideration has not been given to changes in these departments in view of their scheduled move on September 1, 1932, to the new War Memorial Building. At that time their services will be disassociated from the City and County.

Academy of Science

No changes.

Adult Probation

No changes.

Assessor

This department now has five tie trunks from its own switchboard to the UN derhill 8500 board. Two can be disconnected and one direct central office trunk substituted. This increase in trunks on the Assessor's board from five to six will care for busy conditions which have frequently prevailed during their seasonal peaks. By reducing the

number of tie trunks on this switchboard there will be a monthly saving to the City and County of \$3.00.

Service	Franchise Concession	Installation Charge
1 trunk line.....	2 stations	None
<i>Auditor—Controller</i>		
No changes.		
<i>City Attorney</i>		
No changes.		
<i>Civil Service Commission</i>		
No changes.		
<i>Coroner</i>		

Install two extensions, one off DO uglas 0461, and a second off DO uglas 0462. These are necessary since there is but one attendant on duty on Sundays and holidays, who, when one on the first floor of the building, is unable to pick up calls originating at the main stations located on the second floor.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
2 extension desk telephones at 75c each.....	\$1.50	\$3.00

County Welfare Board

Change the location of Local 404 and install a 13-foot cord, which will permit two employees rather than one to have immediate access to this telephone.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation and Change of Location Charges
Change of location of 1 local.....	None	\$1.50
1 13-foot cord.....	\$.10	1.00
		<hr/> \$2.50

De Young Museum

Install cordless switchboard. The present telephone service of this department is inadequate. A busy test taken recently indicated an average of 35 calls a day not completed due to busy conditions. Further, due to this inadequacy of facilities, it is frequently necessary to send messengers to certain parts of the building to obtain the desired party. The following change should accordingly be made:

Present Equipment

BA yview 2067

Service	Franchise Concession	Monthly Charge
1 business message desk telephone	1 station	
2 extension desk telephones.....		\$1.50
1 11-foot cord10
1 extension cord and plug.....		.75
2 jacks20

SK yline 3784

1 business message hand telephone	1 station	
1 11-foot cord10
		<hr/> \$2.55

Proposed Equipment

BA yview 2067-8

Service	Franchise Concession	Monthly Charge	Service Connection and Installation Charges
1 position cordless switchboard...	1 station		None
2 central office trunks	4 stations		None
6 station desk telephones at 75c each		\$4.50	\$4.50

1 station line (to terminate on key with SK yline 3784 on Mr. Strother's desk)50	1.50
1 key (wiring plan 200)75	3.75
SK yline 3784		
1 business message hand telephone 1 station		
1 11-foot cord10	
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$5.85	\$9.75

District Attorney

1. Remove Local 10 from waiting room since it is used by the general public. This proposed removal will reduce the billing to the City and County 75c a month.

2. Install public pay station in lieu of the above local without charge for the change. The City and County will reduce 15 per cent on all cash contents.

3. Move Local 15 from Room 604 to Room 606, as Room 604 is seldom occupied, while Room 606 is occupied by two deputies who are now required to use the local.

Service	Change of Location Charge
Change location of 1 local	\$1.50

Board of Education

1. Remove five tie trunks from the Board of Education switchboard to UNderhill 8500, as the remaining five will be sufficient to meet

2. Change from billed stations to concession stations, ten business message desk telephones, at the first opportunity.

3. Remove five off-premise locals and substitute central office lines. These off-premise locals were installed as an experiment in several schools adjacent to the City Hall. It has since been found that they are not serving the schools as adequately as intended, and the School Department believes the change would effect an improvement.

4. Remove Locals 56, 57, 59, 60, 62, 63, 68, 82 and 89. These locals no longer serve any practical use and should be removed. This will effect a monthly saving of \$7.60, inclusive of one long cord.

Department of Electricity.

No changes.

Fire Commission

No changes.

Fire Marshal

Install our Wiring Plan 203, which will permit the Fire Marshal or his assistant to pick up, by means of a key associated with each desk telephone, either of the two locals in his office. This plan will result in a saving of 50c a month.

Present Equipment

Service	Monthly Charge
2 locals at 75c each	\$1.50
2 extension desk telephones at 75c each	1.50

Proposed Equipment

	Installation Charge
2 main station desk telephones at 75c each	\$1.50
2 extension lines at 50c each	1.00
2 keys	None
	None

Grand Army of the Republic

Pending the removal of this department to the War Memorial Building on September 1, 1932, their existing central office line can be changed to a billed local off UN derhill 8500, and permit the substitution

of an individual business line in the concession class. This will effect a monthly saving of approximately \$4.50.

Board of Health

San Francisco Hospital—Install one extension off each local in the wards. Such an installation is virtually indispensable to care for the frequent emergencies that occur in hospital service. At present, but one local is located at the end of each ward, with the result that constant delays are encountered.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
28 stations at 75c each.....	\$21.00	\$42.00

1085 Mission Street—Install one central office line for Health Director, Dr. Geiger, at 1085 Mission street. This is necessary for the transaction of business of a confidential nature.

Service	Franchise Concession
1 business message desk telephone 1 station	

Relief Home—1. Install one off-premise local to serve internes in their quarters.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 station desk with mileage.....	\$1.75	\$1.50

2. Install one off-premise local in quarters of Dr. Dewey. At present, he has no direct communication with the hospital.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 station desk with mileage.....	\$1.75	\$1.50

3. Install one additional control office trunk, four stations in Ward "K", and four stations in Ward "L". These services will be required in 90 days to take care of the additional load when these two new wards go into service.

Service	Franchise Concession	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 trunk	2 stations	None	None
8 desk stations at 75c each.....		\$6.00	\$12.00

Juvenile Detention Home

Install three additional locals off UN derhill 8500; one for Assistant Chief Probation Officer; one for the Collection Department; and one for the Records Department. These employees occupy separate rooms and at present must leave their offices to use the telephone. Since these proposed stations are on a mileage basis of \$4.75 each it is advisable to place them in the concession class.

Service	Franchise Concession	Monthly Charge
3 desk stations with mileage.....	3 stations	None

Law Library

Change the location of Local 400 to the office of the Assistant Librarian, since the constant use of this local by the general public is preventing the Assistant Librarian from receiving calls.

Service	Change of Location Charge
Change location of 1 local.....	\$1.50

Libraries

No changes.

Mayor's Office

1. Remove Local 8 in the reception room. This will leave one local which we feel is adequate to take care of the needs. This proposed removal will reduce the billing to the City and County 75c a month.

2. Remove HE mlock 1142 in stenographic bureau, this removal having been requested by Mr. Cleary. This will permit of a saving of approximately \$4.50 through the substitution of a paid station.

3. Install one additional tie trunk from MA rket 0163 to UN derhill 8500. This will be necessary to handle the increased load when the Chief Administrator's offices are completed.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 tie trunk	\$1.50	\$3.50

Municipal Courts

Remove SUT ter 6188, a concession telephone, or bill direct to the individual as this telephone is being used exclusively by Howard Vernon, court reporter, for private business. A reduction in expense of \$4.50 will follow.

Municipal Railway

No changes.

Palace of Legion of Honor

No changes.

Park Commission

No changes.

Playground Commission now Recreation Commission

No changes are necessary for this department as the recent installation of service in their new quarters should meet their requirements for some time.

Police Commission

1. Install one extension off Local 45 for the Burglary Detail. This installation will permit of several detectives having access to this local.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 extension desk telephone.....	\$.75	\$1.50

2. Change the extension off Local 46 in City Prison to a full local off DA venport 2020. At present Local 46 is the only means of communication with the City Prison, and the use of the extension frequently delays completion of important calls.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
Change from extension to local...	Same	\$1.50

3. Install one additional local for the Auto Detail. The single local now in use is entirely inadequate for the personnel assigned to this detail.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 station desk telephone.....	\$.75	\$1.50

4. Remove Local 69 in the outer office of the Chief of Police and substitute a control station on existing Wiring Plan 201 to pick up Locals 62 and 64 in same office.

Present Equipment

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 main hand station.....	\$1.00	None

Proposed Equipment

1 extension hand telephone.....	\$1.00	\$1.50
1 extension line50	1.50
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$1.50	\$3.00

5. Install an additional local in the Bureau of Identification. At present this bureau has but one local which is constantly busy.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 local station desk telephone....	\$.75	\$1.50

6. Remove one extension from Local 76 in the Photograph Gallery. The other extension now working off this local is sufficient. This will reduce the billing to the City and County 75c a month.

7. Change the location of Local 99 now being used by the Hotel Detail to permit all detectives assigned to this detail to use it without leaving their desks.

Service	Change of Location Charge
Change location of 1 local.....	\$1.50

Public Defender

No changes.

Public Pound

No changes.

Recorder

No changes.

Registrar of Voters

There are six stations charged to and paid for by this office which are used immediately prior to and after the elections. Since there will be three elections this year, their retention on a permanent basis until after the November election will be less costly than three separate installation charges for each election. After November they can then be temporarily removed.

R. O. T. C.

No changes.

Sealer of Weights and Measures

No changes.

Sheriff

No changes.

Superior Court

1. There are eight tie trunks on this switchboard to UN derhill 8500. We believe that four tie trunks are sufficient and recommend removal of four at a saving of \$6.00 a month.

2. Change the extensions on Locals 2, 5, 43 and 45 to locals off UN derhill 8552. These extensions are off locals in the Superior Court Judges' chambers, and are frequently busy. By changing these extensions to locals, a more adequate service can be rendered.

Service	Installation Charge
Convert 4 extension telephones to 4 local telephones.....	\$6.00

Board of Supervisors

Remove Local 284 since this telephone is located on the counter and serves no official purpose. This will reduce the billing to the City and County 75c a month.

Bureau of Supplies

1. UN derhill 4160 can be removed and the employee now using this service can place calls through the UN derhill 8500 board. This removal will permit the substitution of a paid station in the concession class at a saving of about \$5.25 a month.

2. Remove the extension on Local 19, since it is no longer required. This will reduce the billing 75c a month.

Tax Collector

1. The long cord on Local 131 is no longer necessary, reducing billing 10c a month.

2. Change location of Local 134 in the License Department to permit its use by other clerks.

Service	Change of Location and Installation Charges
Change location of 1 local.....	\$1.50

3. Install one additional local for the Director of Delinquent Revenue. This is a newly created position and his offices on the mezzanine floor are not adjacent to any other service.

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
1 local	\$.75	\$1.50

Treasurer

Remove extra length cord on Local 380 as it no longer serves any practical purpose. Reduces billing 10c a month.

Board of Public Works

1. Install Wiring Plan 201 on Locals 204 and 206. This plan is designed to pick up and question calls intended for the Director of Public Works and will also enable intercommunication between these two locals.

Present Equipment

Service	Monthly Charge
2 main stations desk telephone at 75c each.....	\$1.50

Proposed Equipment

Service	Monthly Charge	Service Connection and Installation Charges
1 main station desk.....	\$.75	
1 main station line.....	.50	\$1.50
1 extension desk75	
1 extension line.....	.50	1.50
1 master key.....	1.00	5.00
2 push buttons and buzzers.....	.50	3.00
	<hr/> \$4.00	<hr/> \$11.00

Director of Properties

Intercommunicating service appears imperative in this newly created office. The proposed intercommunicating system detailed below provides the necessary features and flexibility of service required by this department.

Present Equipment

Service	Monthly Charge	Installation Charge
3 locals	\$2.25	
3 extensions	2.25	
	<hr/> \$4.50	

*Proposed Equipment**Intercommunicating System:*

3 tie trunks at \$1.50.....	\$4.50	\$10.50
6 main stations desk at 75c.....	4.50	None
6 ten button key boxes at \$1.25.....	7.50	None
	<hr/> \$16.50	<hr/> \$10.50

RECAPITULATION OF SURVEY RECOMMENDATIONS.

Removals

Franchise Concession	Monthly Charges	Service Connection, etc., Charges
5	\$30.85	

Additions

13	\$57.10	\$122.75
----------	---------	----------

CITY AND COUNTY SUBSTITUTIONS.

Department	Tel. No.	Address	Service	Monthly Rate
Board of Education	Elk. 0156	Upland Dr. and Aptos Av..	Bus. Mess.	\$5.25
	Elk. 0157	Upland Dr. and Aptos Av..	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Elk. 0480	Upland Dr. and Aptos Av..	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Fil. 8424	Hayes and Masonic Av....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Egn. 1325	30th Av. and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Bay. 1773	30th Av. and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Sky. 2924	30th Av. and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Bay. 2931	Arguello and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Sky. 3933	Arguello and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Egn. 6133	Arguello and Clement.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Hmk. 4680	8th nr. Harrison.....	Local (35)	4.75
		10th and Harrison.....	Local (31)	4.75
		10th and Harrison.....	Local (36)	4.75
		Buchanan and O'Farrell ...	Local 40	4.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 24....	Local 4	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 2....	Local 5	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 27....	Local 6	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 34....	Local 7	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 3....	Local 8	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 28....	Local 9	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 15....	Local 10	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 6....	Local 11	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 6....	Local 12	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 25....	Local 13	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 26....	Local 14	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 32....	Local 15	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 30....	Local 17	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 15....	Local 18	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 5....	Local 19	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 35....	Local 21	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 36....	Local 22	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 15....	Local 23	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 29....	Local 30	3.75
		Page and Gough Rm. 4....	Local 32	3.75
		McAllister and Gough.....	Local 34	2.75
		Van Ness and Hayes.....	Local 38	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 41	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 43	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 44	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 45	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 46	2.75
		750 Eddy	Local 47	2.75
		843 Ellis	Local 37	2.75
		843 Ellis	Local 39	2.75
		843 Ellis	Local 42	2.75
Playground	Ran. 2875	Cor. Chenery and Elk	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Bay. 3636	25th Av. and Lake	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Und. 6322	14th and Valencia.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Ovr. 6844	19th Av. nr. Sloat Blvd..	Bus. Mess.	5.25
	Wal. 7494	Clay and Walnut.....	Bus. Mess.	5.25

Department	Tel. No.	Address	Service	Monthly Rate
Municipal	We. 0191	Geary and Presidio.....	SWBD	6.00
Railway		York and Mariposa.....	Local 1	11.75
		York and Mariposa.....	Local 2	11.75
		York and Mariposa.....	Local 3	11.75
Juvenile Detent.				
Home	Und. 8500	150 Otis Rm. 10.....	Local 99	4.75
Board of Police	Dpt. 2020	2482 Mission	Local 40	12.75
Libraries	Und. 8500	Civic Center	Local 49	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 50	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 51	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 52	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 53	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 54	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 55	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 56	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 57	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 58	2.75
		Civic Center	Local 59	2.75
Board of	Und. 8500	Auditorium	Local 10	2.75
Public Works		Auditorium	Local 11	2.75
		McAllister and Larkin.....	Local 73	2.75
		11th and Bryant.....	Local 67	5.75
		11th and Bryant.....	Local 69	5.75
Board of	Und. 8500	Auditorium	Local 277	2.75
Supervisors		Auditorium	Local 278	2.75
Board of	Und. 8500	Polk and Grove.....	Local 20	2.75
Health		Polk and Grove.....	Local 21	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 22	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 23	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 24	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 25	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 26	2.75
		Polk and Grove.....	Local 27	2.75
	Mon. 1580	7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 3	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 5	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 6	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 7	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 8	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 9	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 12	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 13	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 14	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 15	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 16	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 17	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 18	1.75
		7th Av. and Dewey Blvd....	Local 20	1.75
Superior Court	Und. 8552	Hall of Justice.....	Local 1	7.75
		Hall of Justice.....	Local 42	7.75
		Hall of Justice.....	Local 43	7.75
		Hall of Justice.....	Local 44	7.75
		Hall of Justice.....	Local 45	7.75
		Hotel Whitcomb.....	Local 41	2.75
Board of Fire				
Comm.	Und. 8000	1145 Ellis.....	Local 34	2.75

Municipal Employees Renting Cars to City.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter of February 6th, requesting information as to the names of municipal employees who are renting

cars to the City, and the amount paid for each car, a report thereon by the Purchaser of Supplies is attached hereto.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

LIST OF PRIVATELY-OWNED AUTOMOBILES RENTED TO
THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Department	Rented By	Used By	Amount
Agricultural			
	G. M. Carroll.....	W. F. Carroll.....	\$30.00
	J. B. Steinweden.....	Same	30.00
	W. J. Stiggins.....	Same	30.00
	J. C. Kitchen.....	Same	30.00
Assessor			
	Gene Rapp	Mr. Klopfer	30.00
	Gene Rapp	Mr. Sermattei	30.00
	Gene Rapp	Mr. McDevitt	30.00
	Golden Gate Ave. Garage	Mr. Kenny	30.00
	Golden Gate Ave. Garage	Mr. Boyd	30.00
	Golden Gate Ave. Garage	Mr. Reed	30.00
	Golden Gate Ave. Garage	Mr. Lawler	30.00
	Louis Woloski	Mr. Thomas	30.00
	Louis Woloski	Mr. Labhard	30.00
	White House Garage..	Mr. Levy	30.00
	Alm Unsworth	Mr. Luft	30.00
	Bohemian Garage	Mr. Stetson	30.00
	Victoria Garage	Mr. Gallagher	30.00
	Grove St. Stables	Mr. Harris	30.00
City Planning			
	H. C. Woodward.....	Same	30.00
De Young Museum and Legion of Honor			
	Dr. Walter Heil	Same	40.00
Municipal R. R.			
	R. W. Jamison.....	W. C. Bendel	30.00
	Mrs. Thos. B. Johnson..	T. B. Johnson	30.00
Police			
	Dr. J. Maddux Graves..	Same	30.00
Real Estate			
	E. J. Riordan.....	Same	40.00
	J. J. Phillips.....	Same	40.00
Recreation			
	Mrs. Roberta Meyer....	Helen Hoffman	30.00
	Mrs. Alice Vanderpool..	Marie Foster	30.00
	Rudolfo Jauregui	J. Linares	30.00
	M. Goldstein	J. de Meyer	30.00
	Estelle M. Evans	A. Evans	30.00
	Jos. O'Gara	Jas. O'Gara	30.00
	Mrs. Chas. McGreevy...	Rose McGreevy	30.00
	Robt. Langman	W. R. Richards	9.00
	Stanley Nelson	Clifford Nelson	6.00
Sheriff			
	Orpheum Garage	Howard Dunn	40.00
	Orpheum Garage	H. J. Spring, Jr.	40.00
	Dr. John Zieg.....	Same	30.00

Department	Rented By	Used By	Amount
Registrar	J. J. Hannon	Same	30.00
Tax Collector	M. Lawley	Same	30.00
School	Alice Cotton	Same	30.00
	Esther Darlington	Same	30.00
	Mrs. M. B. Jewel	Same	30.00
	Vincent T. Leonard	Same	30.00
	Elizabeth Livermore	Same	30.00
	Dorothy Miles	Same	30.00
	Walter Mooney	Same	30.00
	Jeffie L. Owen	Same	30.00
	Katherine Page	Same	30.00
	Nellie Phelan	Same	30.00
	Mary Rossi	Same	30.00
	Julia Smith	Same	30.00
Works, Dept. of	H. Kahn	Leo Mamlock	30.00
	E. Quinn	Victor Doyle	30.00
	Truman McKinney	R. A. Chisholm	30.00
	Don Gilmore	H. M. Beggs	30.00
	Isabelle Concannon	J. A. Fitzpatrick	30.00
	E. E. Hawkes	L. J. Bailey	30.00
	F. Schirmer	J. E. Donovan	30.00
	H. H. Venker	N. F. Hatfield	30.00
	L. Cereghino	J. F. McGreery	30.00
	Mary P. McKinne	A. B. McKinne	30.00
	Jos. Shields	H. E. Shields	30.00
	J. O'Brien	John Kane	30.00
	Kannie Knox	Knox & Parth	40.00
	Mabel Giberson	Garen & Party	40.00
	Julia Flamm	Flamm & Party	40.00
	W. H. Eggert	Eggert & Party	40.00
	Sabina Coughlan	Coughlin & Party	40.00
	J. Dougherty	H. K. Law	40.00
	Alice Gartland	E. L. Gartland	40.00
	M. H. McCartney	E. E. McCartney	40.00
	A. S. Williams	C. Jones	40.00
	Mrs. W. T. Lundy	W. T. Lundy (Special Inspection)	50.00
	M. S. Graefe	Wm. T. Bonsor	30.00
	Jos. Sullivan	S. J. Sullivan (County Roads)	30.00
	Anne Muheim	E. Muheim	40.00
	C. J. Manelli	Same (Inter-Dept.)	30.00
	C. M. Taylor	Same (Inter-Dept.)	30.00
	G. H. Sawyer	G. H. Sawyer (Inter-Dept.)	30.00
Health	Florence Ososke	Same	25.00
	Dr. Paul S. Barrett	Same	25.00
	Dr. Mariana Bertola	Same	25.00
	Dr. George Becker	Same	25.00
	Dr. A. D. Prentice	Same	25.00
	Dr. E. Sappington	Same	25.00
	Dr. F. C. Muller	Same	25.00
	J. J. Crowley	Same	25.00
	Ray Middlevich	Same	25.00
	John O'Donnell	Same	25.00
	Henry Schwab	Same	25.00
	Thomas Comber	Same	25.00
	Dr. J. P. Gray	Same	25.00
	Ann O'Loughlin	Same	25.00

Consolidation of Recorder's and County Clerk's Offices.

February 17, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of the following communication from your Honorable Board, under date of February 6, 1934:

"Please be advised of the following request made by Supervisor Uhl at meeting of the Board of Supervisors Monday, February 5, 1934:

"That you report to this Board what the saving will be through consolidation of the Recorder's office under the County Clerk.

"A prompt reply will be appreciated.

"(Signed) J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk."

In reply thereto, I have to advise as follows:

As no details of the extent of the suggested consolidation are mentioned, I must assume that it would contemplate merely the abolition of the position of Recorder, and the placing of the duties of that office upon the County Clerk.

The immediate necessary result of such a consolidation would be the selection of some person of adequate knowledge and experience to assume the present duties of the Recorder as a deputy of the County Clerk, since the latter would be unable personally to give efficient attention to the administration of two separate administrative departments, each performing governmental functions of widely divergent character.

Such a deputy of the County Clerk would be entitled to compensation commensurate with the importance and responsibility of his duties. Were he paid the same salary as that of the present Recorder, it is obvious that no saving would result. It is questionable whether a person qualified for the duties of the office could be secured for a much smaller salary.

Very respectfully yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Use of Second Floor of Coroner's Office for District Attorney.

February 19, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter of February 6th, requesting information as to utilizing the second floor of the Coroner's Office for the District Attorney's accommodation, and that of the Public Defender, please be advised that I have had the Director of Property investigate this proposal and he reports that such an arrangement is impractical.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Map of Street Sweeping Locations.

February 17, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your request of February 6th for a small map indicating the location of various boxes in which street sweepings are placed, together with certain detailed cost data, I have forwarded your communication to the Director of Works, Mr. William H. Worden, with a request that he supply the desired information.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Space for Coroner in Health Building.

February 17, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter of February 6th, requesting information "as to whether or not there is space enough available in the Health Department Building to care for the office of Coroner, which might then be consolidated under the Director of Public Health," please be advised that there is no appropriate space in the Health Department Building for this purpose.

Even were space available, consolidation of these two departments would be impractical for many reasons which, I am sure, most of the members of your Honorable Board appreciate.

Sincerely,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

Estimated Value of Lands East of Hawes Street.

February 17, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In response to your communication dated February 15th, requesting information as to the estimated value of lands east of Hawes street on Candlestick Point, please be advised that this information was furnished, on my request, to the Public Welfare Committee by the Director of Property, Mr. Joseph J. Phillips.

Very truly yours,

ALFRED J. CLEARY,
Chief Administrative Officer.

**State and National Public Works Committee Urged to Consider
Favorably Loan and Grant for Rehabilitation of San Francisco
State Teachers' College.**

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1308, as follows:

Whereas, the existing plant of the San Francisco State Teachers' College is in every respect inadequate to the needs and purposes of the institution; and

Whereas, the proper training of teachers is of major importance to the welfare of the State of California; and

Whereas, suitable buildings, grounds and equipment are vitally essential to such training; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the State and National Public Works Committees be hereby urged to consider favorably the application of the San Francisco State Teachers' College for a Federal grant and loan in accordance with the terms of said application now pending before these committees.

Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

**Board Endorses Assembling of U. S. Fleet in Pacific Waters in
November.**

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1310, as follows:

Whereas, the largest fleet ever to be assembled by the United States will enter Pacific waters in November; and

Whereas, in past years San Francisco has suffered industrial and

commercial losses through the policies which keep naval vessels in southern California waters a major part of the time; and

Whereas, San Francisco is the logical base of operations in the event of war by reason of its proximity to island possessions of the United States; and

Whereas, maneuvering units of the Navy should become more familiar with this natural war-time base by operations in San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, San Francisco is better able to build, repair and supply ships of the fleet than is any southern California city; and

Whereas, civic groups in San Francisco have instituted efforts to the ends that the Navy spend at least half of its time in San Francisco Bay and that all facilities needed for fleet maneuvers in San Francisco Bay be provided; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco heartily indorse these efforts, and that it exercise every influence at its command to secure these ends.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl moved that there be set up in the Calendar, under Committee Reports, the names of members present at committee meetings.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Chief Administrative Officer furnish Board with the cost of a survey of the Divisional highway from Diamond and Twenty-seventh streets to Alemany boulevard.

Supervisor Uhl, also, requested that the Department of Electricity, and the Fire Prevention Bureau, through the Chief Administrative Officer, furnish copies of monthly reports from July 1, 1933, to February 1, 1934.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors February 26, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, February 26, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 26, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 19, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Chestnut Street.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone property on the south side of Chestnut street, commencing at a point 162 feet 6 inches westerly from Laguna street, and running thence westerly 25 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, fixed for 2 p. m. this day.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jno. J. Mazzo, attorney for appellants, was heard in favor of rezoning the property.

Pietro Ridolpho, 1745 Chestnut street, appellant, was heard in his own behalf.

Mrs. Bogrades, representing Mrs. Adda, owner of adjacent property valued at \$65,000, protested against the proposed rezoning.

Milton Meyer, Planning Commissioner, was also heard urging that the commission be sustained.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Rezoning Property, Southerly Side of Chestnut Street, Commencing at a Point 162 Feet 6 Inches Westerly From Laguna Street, From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1315, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1012, denying an application to rezone property located on the south side of Chestnut street, commencing at a point 162 feet 6 inches westerly from Laguna street, and running thence westerly 25 feet, from Second Residential District to Commercial District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of tax anticipation notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0333, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, February 26, 1934, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The tax anticipation notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars in denominations of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1934, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0333 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1933-1934, in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest and if two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1298 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted February 19, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

E. O. Huttlinger Co.—Two hundred and fifty thousand (\$250,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, to bear interest at 1.45 per cent per annum. We submit an additional bid for five hundred thousand (\$500,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes, due May 15th, to bear interest at 2 per cent per annum.

The City Company of New York—For all, but no part of, \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, more particularly described as follows:

Dated: To be dated as of the day of delivery thereof.

Maturity: Notes payable to bearer May 15, 1934.

Principal and interest payable May 15, 1934.

Interest Rate: 1.68 per cent per annum.

Denomination: \$10,000.

Which notes are offered for sale in accordance with your published Notice of Sale, we bid \$1,500,000, which is the par value thereof, together with a premium of \$15.

Bankamerica Company, The Anglo California National Bank, San Francisco, American Trust Company, by Bankamerica Company, by M. S. Prosser—For the \$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed Notice of Sale, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery.

The notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

\$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California; of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1934; said notes to bear interest at the rate of one and six-tenths per cent (1.6%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

R. H. Moulton & Company, Weedon & Co., by V. E. Breeden—For \$1,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent Notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each, dated February 26, 1934, and maturing May 15, 1934, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$1,500,000, being the par value of said notes, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$60.

This bid is made subject to immediate acceptance, and with the understanding that you will furnish us free of charge with the final legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, approving the issuance of these notes in all respects.

Acceptance of Bid of R. H. Moulton & Co., Weedon & Co.

Subsequently during the meeting, the following resolution was recommended to the Board by the Finance Committee and *adopted* by the following vote:

Accepting Bid for Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1314, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0333, that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to 3 o'clock p. m., February 26, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid Notice of Sale, and the same having been duly considered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of the R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co., by V. E. Breeden, as follows, be and is hereby accepted:

For \$1,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation 1½ per cent Notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each, dated February 26, 1934, and maturing May 15, 1934, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$1,500,000, being the par value of said notes, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$60.

This bid is made subject to immediate acceptance, and with the understanding that you will furnish us free of charge with the final legal opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, approving the issuance of these notes in all respects.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected, and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

On Recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1238, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are predicated on a 6-hour day unless otherwise noted.

Metal Trades.

<i>Field Rates</i>	<i>Rate per Hour</i>
Blacksmiths	\$ 1.10
Boilermakers	1.10
Boilermakers' helpers90
Machinists	1.10
Machinists' helpers75
Machinist (maintenance)	1.12½

Miscellaneous Trades.

Well drillers	1.10
Well drillers (hand tool foremen)	1.10
Diamond drillers	1.10
Powderman	1.10
Washers, Polishers and Greasers (Garagemen)75

Street Work.

Timberman (tunnel)	1.10
Mucker75
Asphalt rakers	1.10
Asphalt shovelers75
Cribbers	1.10
Laborers, street work75
Asphalt plant engineers, roller engineers, trench machine, dragline and clamshell operators, and engineers on asphalt burners, finishers, concrete mixers and mechanical finishers..	1.25
Firemen (asphalt plant)	1.10
Engineers on caterpillars (over 50 H. P.)	1.12½

Culinary Workers.

(Not based on 6-hour day)

	<i>Per Week</i>
Head cook (6-day week)	\$41.00
Other cooks	36.00
Cooks' helpers	27.00
Waiters	18.00
Waitresses	16.50
Dishwasher and vegetable man (straight shift)	19.00
Dishwasher and vegetable man (split shift)	21.60

Dredge Boats.

(Not based on 6-hour day)

	<i>Per Month</i>
Dredge captain	\$215
Head leverman	215
Leverman	190
Fireman	150
Deckhand	150

Building Trades.

	<i>Rate per Hour</i>
Asbestos workers	\$1.10
Bricklayers, includes manholes and catchbasins	1.50
Bricklayers' hodcarrier	1.00
Carpenters and cabinetmakers	1.10
Cement finishers	1.10
Compressor operators (on steel erection)	1.25
Engineers (derricks)	1.25
Engineers (building material hoists)	1.12½
Electric workers	1.25
Elevator constructors	1.25
Elevator constructor helpers87½
Fixture hangers	1.10
Glass workers	1.10
Housesmiths (reinforced concrete)	1.12½
Ironworkers (bridge, structural and rigger)	1.37½
Ironworkers (derrick engineers)	1.37½
Linoleum and carpet workers	1.10
Laborers (building)75
Painters (structural iron works)	1.20
Painters	1.10
Marble setters	1.10
Marble setters' helpers75
Ornamental ironworkers	1.12½
Pile drivers' engineers	1.25
Plumbers	1.12½
Roofers	1.10
Sheet metal workers	1.10
Steamfitters	1.12½
Sprinkler fitters	1.12½
Stonecutters	1.10
Stonesetter (including granite curbs)	1.50
Stone derrickmen	1.12½
Tilessetters	1.10
Tilessetters' helpers75

For Building Trades overtime payments shall be as follows:

Overtime at time and one-half for first four hours after six hours per day, and all time thereafter at double time except in the following crafts, which are paid double time for all overtime after six hours:

Elevator constructors and helpers, all ironworkers, all engineers, model makers and bricklayers and hodcarriers.

Wherever welding processes are involved the rate paid for such shall be as herein fixed for the crafts performing the work.

Saturday (except for laborers), Sunday and holiday work at double time. Laborers at straight time for Saturday work. Holidays are New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving and Christmas.

Trucking (Excavating and Dump Trucks).

Truck Drivers, 2 yards or less, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 3 yards or less, \$6.50 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 4 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 5 yards or less, \$7 per day of 7 hours.

Truck Drivers, 6 yards or less, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Tractor Drivers, 50 H. P. and under, \$7.50 per day of 7 hours.

Laborers, 75 cents per hour.

Working time for Truck Drivers shall be 7 hours per day for 5 days per week. Time to be reckoned by half day and full day.

Shovel engineers, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Shovel firemen, watchman and oiler, \$7 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Truck crane engineer, \$10 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Caterpillar engineers over 50 H. P., \$9 per day for 6 hours, 5 days per week.

Plastering Industry.

Plasterer, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (metal), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Lathers (wood), \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Plasterers hodcarrier, \$1.10 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Modelers, \$2 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model maker, \$1.25 per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Model casters, \$1.12½ per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Laborers, 83½ cents per hour, not more than 6 hours for 5 days per week.

Concrete Industry.

Concrete laborer, \$5.50 per day of 7 hours.

Mixer operator, \$6 per day of 7 hours.

Concrete finisher, \$8 per day of 7 hours.

Machinist, \$8 per day of 6 hours.

Time and half over 7 hours except machinists for whom time and half over 6 hours shall be paid.

Others.

Hardwood floormen, \$1.10 per hour.

Not less than \$1.10 per hour shall be paid to skilled labor on any work financed in whole or in part by Federal funds, regardless of the rate herein fixed as the highest generally prevailing.

February 19, 1934—Over one week.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher.

Privilege of the Floor.

Geo. Fitch, industrial manager, Chamber of Commerce, was granted the privilege of the floor and declared that the Chamber is opposed to the wage scale of \$1.10 for metal and building trades.

Wm. P. Coghlan, special representative of the Associated Contractors, opposed the rates for trucking, excavating and dump truck operators.

Jno. Ricketts, representing the San Francisco Building Trades Council, favored the resolution as proposed by the Finance Committee.

Michael Casey, representing teamsters, declared that 90 per cent of employers have agreed to the schedule as proposed.

Frank O'Shea, representing trucking and excavating interests, declared that those he represented, 90 per cent of the industry, had agreed to the excavating and dump truck rates.

Gallagher Amendment, Shop Rates.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a schedule of proposed shop rates which he subsequently withdrew.

David Ryan, representing the District Council of Carpenters. He opposed the shop rates for cabinet shops and planing mills.

He requested a 30-hour week and a 6-hour day for shops and mills and a minimum wage of \$1.10 per hour.

C. F. Grove, international representative of the International Machinists, representing metal trades and shops, was heard at length.

A. T. Wynn, secretary, Bay Cities Metal Trades Council, declared that the proposed amendment in regard to shop rates is 44 cents a day lower and that he was opposed to it.

Dan Cameron representing millmen, said that \$5.60 per day for millmen is all out of line and opposed the proposed amendment.

Supervisor Uhl's amendment was presented, withdrawn and *referred to City Attorney*.

Subsequent changes in hours or wage schedules which may hereafter emanate from the Federal Government on work financed in whole or in part shall supersede the hours or wage schedules set up in Resolution No. 1238.

Referred to City Attorney.

Amendment.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment to substitute the rate recommended by the Civil Service Commission wherever there is a differential in the resolution.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Resolution No. 1238 was *accepted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to
Execute a Deed Conveying to Joint Highway District No. 10 a
Right of Way Easement for Junipero Serra Boulevard.**

(Code No. 12.052)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 525, Ordinance No. 12.0521, as follows:

Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a deed conveying to Joint Highway District No. 10 a right of way easement for Junipero Serra boulevard.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to execute a deed granting to Joint Highway District No. 10 of the State of California a right of way easement for a public road or highway over the following described land of said City and County situated in San Mateo County, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Briggs street with the northerly line of "A" street of the School House Homestead, as the same is laid down and delineated upon that certain map on file in the office of the County Recorder of the County of San Mateo, entitled "Map of School House Homestead Association situated in the First Township of San Mateo Co." recorded July 10, 1872, in Book 1 of Maps at page 9; and running thence easterly along the northerly line of "A" street 120.29 feet; thence northerly on the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 940 feet, a distance of 16.25 feet; the tangent to said curve at point of beginning deflects $91^{\circ} 25' 38''$ to the right from said northerly line of "A" street; thence westerly parallel to and distant 16.25 feet at right angles from said northerly line of "A" street 120.03 feet to said easterly line of Briggs street, thence southerly along said easterly line of Briggs street 16.25 feet to the point of commencement, being a portion of Parcel 12, San Mateo County lands, contained in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to City and County of San Francisco, dated as of March 3, 1930, and recorded in the County of San Mateo in Volume 491 of Official Records at page 1.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way easement shall be subject to the following express conditions, which shall be accepted by resolution of the grantee before said grant becomes effective:

1. The grantee shall at its own expense construct and maintain a public road or highway over the above described land, and shall hold the City free from any obligation or expense in connection with the construction, maintenance or repair of said road or highway.

2. The grantee shall at its own expense properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of Junipero Serra boulevard. The grantee shall also, at its own expense, properly protect against damage those portions of the City's existing 30" Baden-Merced pipe line and 54" San Andreas-Lake Honda pipe line crossing San Pedro road, Pierce street, Briggs street, Clara street, Sullivan avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard, all of which streets, road, avenue and boulevard are to be graded and/or paved by the grantee. Before commencing any construction work which may affect the City's structures, the grantee shall submit plans and specifications of such work to the San Francisco Water Department and obtain the approval of said department. All work mentioned in this paragraph shall be done to the satisfaction of the San Francisco Water Department.

3. The right of way easement hereby granted shall apply solely to the surface of the land hereinbefore described, and the City hereby reserves the right at all times to construct, reconstruct, maintain, use,

repair and/or remove pipe lines and any and all water work structures, appurtenances, appliances and equipment, including telephone, telegraph and electric power lines under, across, along and/or over said land without liability for damage. So far as possible such work shall be done without interference with the use of said highway. In connection with the exercise of the rights reserved in this paragraph, the grantee shall reimburse the City for any additional expense to which it may be put on account of the highway being constructed across said property.

4. The grantee shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said streets, avenue and boulevard across the City's land and structures.

5. The right of way easement hereby granted is to be used for public road or highway purposes only, and no other rights shall be permitted or allowed by the grantee across said property to any person, corporation, municipality or other party without first receiving the written approval of the San Francisco Water Department and the Director of Property.

6. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed conveying the above-described right of way to Joint Highway District No. 10.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Right of Way Deed, Junipero Serra Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.052)

Also, Bill No. 526, Ordinance No. 12.0522, as follows:

Authorizing execution of a deed for the conveyance of a right of way to Joint Highway District No. 10 for Junipero Serra boulevard in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco to execute a deed granting to Joint Highway District No. 10 of the State of California, a right of way easement for a public road or highway over the following described land of said City and County situated in San Mateo County, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of that certain 80-foot strip of land described as Parcel 18 of San Mateo County lands in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491 at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, with the surveyed center line of Junipero Serra boulevard, said point being Engineer's Station "B" 181+05.52 on said survey; thence deflecting to the left 96° 22' 20" from said center line, northwesterly 62.89 feet; thence deflecting to the right 96° 22' 20" easterly, along the northerly right of way of the boulevard, 80.50 feet; thence leaving said right of way line, deflecting to the right 83° 37' 40" southeasterly 62.89 feet to Station 181+86.02 of the boulevard (said course being the easterly line of said 80' strip of land of the San Francisco Water Department); thence continuing said course along said line southeasterly 62.89 feet; thence deflecting to the right 96° 22' 20" along the southerly right of way line of the boulevard, westerly 80.50 feet; thence leaving said right of way line deflecting to the right 83° 37' 40" along the westerly line of the aforesaid 80' strip northwesterly 62.89 feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of that original 2.64 acres as granted in a deed from Elizabeth C. Hamlin and O. D. Hamlin, her husband, to Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, dated April 6, 1907, and recorded in Liber 136 of Deeds at page 184.

Section 2. The grant of said right of way easement shall be subject to the following express conditions, which shall be accepted by resolution of the grantee before said grant becomes effective:

1. The grantee shall at its own expense construct and maintain a public road or highway over the above described land, and shall hold the City free from any obligation or expense in connection with the construction, maintenance or repair of said road or highway.

2. The grantee shall at its own expense properly protect the City's existing and future pipe lines and other structures constructed across the hereinbefore described property against damage from the construction, maintenance, repair and/or use of Junipero Serra boulevard. The grantee shall pay and bear all cost of relocation of existing pipe lines or other structures now located in, on, under, over or paralleling the easement described herein. Before commencing any construction work which may affect the City's structures, the grantee shall submit plans and specifications of such work to the San Francisco Water Department and obtain the approval of said department. All work mentioned in this paragraph shall be done to the satisfaction of the San Francisco Water Department.

3. The right of way easement hereby granted shall apply solely to the surface of the land hereinbefore described, and the City hereby reserves the right at all times to construct, reconstruct, maintain, use, repair and/or remove pipe lines and any and all water works structures, appurtenances, appliances and equipment, including telephone, telegraph and electric power lines under, across, along and/or over said land without liability for damage. So far as possible such work shall be done without interference with the use of said highway. In connection with the exercise of the rights reserved in this paragraph, the grantee shall reimburse the City for any additional expense to which it may be put on account of the highway being constructed across said property.

4. The grantee shall hold and save harmless the City from any and all responsibility due directly or indirectly to the construction, maintenance and/or use of said boulevard across the City's land and structures.

5. The right of way easement hereby granted is to be used for public road or highway purposes only, and no other rights shall be permitted or allowed by the grantee across said property to any person, corporation, municipality or other party without first receiving the written approval of the San Francisco Water Department and the Director of Property.

6. The Director of Property shall deliver the deed conveying the above described right of way to Joint Highway District No. 10.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Authorizing Certain Supervisors to Attend Redwood Empire Conference and Providing for Their Expenses.

(Code No. 5.93)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 528, Ordinance No. 5.933, as follows:

Granting permission to certain Supervisors to attend the Shoreline Highway Conference at Ukiah, and providing for the payment of their expenses while so absent.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission is hereby granted Supervisors John M. Ratto, Alfred Roncovieri, Warren Shannon and Andrew J. Gallagher to attend the Shoreline Highway Conference and the semi-annual conference of the Nine-Counties Highways Committee and Supervisors' Unit of the Redwood Empire Association to be held at Ukiah on March 2, 1934.

Section 2. That the expenses of each of said officials shall be allowed and paid in conformity with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0562 of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Sale of \$600,000 Relief Bonds.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1313, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale) that on the 5th day of March, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Six hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Accepting Deed From Patrick Geary et al., Right of Way Easement, Hetch Hetchy Pipe Line in Alameda County.

(Code No. 15.0341)

Resolution No. 1312, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Patrick Geary et al., to a right of way easement required for the Calaveras pipe line across the northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 5 South, Range 1 East, Alameda County, California, and that the sum of \$525 be paid for said right of way out of Appropriation No. 224-1.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Exchange of Certain Easements on Ravenswood-Belmont Right of Way in San Mateo County With Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

(Code No. 15.0243)

Bill No. 535, Ordinance No. 15.02433, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain easements on Ravenswood-Belmont right of way in San Mateo County with Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Di-

rector of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel B. Said Commission requires said Parcel B and is in charge of said Parcel A. Both of said parcels are situated in San Mateo County, California, and are described as follows:

Parcel A: An easement to construct, reconstruct, patrol, maintain and use, from time to time, for electric power transmission and/or distribution purposes, a single line of poles, together with all necessary or proper wires, guys and other appliances installed thereon and connected therewith, over Parcels 38 and 38-A of San Mateo County lands as said parcels are described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, California. The center line of said electric transmission line shall be located along the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly boundary line of said Parcel 38, distant thereon north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 1.3 feet from a 1-inch capped pipe set in concrete at the most northerly corner of said Parcel 38-A; and running thence south 129.1 feet, south 49 degrees 00 minutes east 346.7 feet and south 60 degrees 34 minutes east 100 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly boundary line of said Parcel 38-A.

Parcel B: All existing right, title and interest of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in and to the following described portion of Parcel 38-A referred to in Section 1 hereof:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of said Parcel 38-A, and running thence along the boundaries of said Parcel 38-A south 142.02 feet, south 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds east 399.91 feet and north 89 degrees 12 minutes 05 seconds east 149.98 feet; thence north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 149.98 feet to a corner in the northerly boundary of Parcel 38-A; thence along said northerly boundary north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 462.89 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall be made subject to the following conditions:

1. The City reserves the right to use the land included within said right of way for any purposes not inconsistent with the use thereof by the grantee under the terms of this grant.

2. If it shall hereafter become necessary to change, alter, move or reconstruct the pole line to be constructed by the grantee on the above described easement in order to allow the City to construct any improvements, but not buildings, on said property, then the grantee, upon the request of the City so to do, shall within a reasonable time change, alter, move or reconstruct said pole line at the grantee's expense.

3. The grantee shall repay the City, on demand, the reasonable value of any property damaged or destroyed by the grantee due to any act or omission of the grantee in the exercise of the rights herein conveyed. The grantee shall do no work of constructing, reconstructing, installing or renewing said pole line without first obtaining the approval of the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Water Department of its plans for such work.

4. The grantee shall indemnify and save harmless the City, its agents and employees, from any and all claims for injuries or damages resulting from any act, negligence or omission of the grantee in the exercise of the rights herein conveyed.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company. The Director of Property is hereby au-

thorized and directed to deliver the deed to said company upon receipt of a deed to Parcel B, and to accept and record said deed to Parcel B.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Relative to Reclamation of Candlestick Point Lands for Park and Industrial Purposes.

The following matter was taken up:

(Code No. 12.211)

Resolution No. 1304, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as it is contemplated by a private concern to request a loan from the Federal Government to build homes on Candlestick Point, it is deemed advisable to inform **Mr. Harry Hopkins**, Director, P. W. A., in Washington, D. C., that San Francisco intends to condemn the land east of Hawes street on Candlestick Point for park purposes; that the proposed work is a 100 per cent labor project and that our unemployed are to be put to work thereon leveling the thirty-six acres of hillside, the earth to be removed to be used as a fill for adjacent mud flats to be reclaimed for industrial purposes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is authorized and directed to send a telegram to **Mr. Harry Hopkins**, Director, P. W. A., informing him of San Francisco's intention in premises.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown moved to lay over two weeks.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment to lay over one week.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Withdrawn.

The following resolution was *withdrawn* at the request of Supervisor Uhl:

Authorizing the Appointment of John F. Kelly to Represent the Public Welfare Committee in Obtaining Information From Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to Its Administration of Relief in San Francisco.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1283, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in its investigation of relief matters hereby authorizes the appointment of John F. Kelly to represent the Public Welfare Committee in obtaining information from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee as to its administration of relief in San Francisco.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

**Repealing Proceedings for Improvement of Lawton Street Between
Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues.**

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 536, Ordinance No. 12.061146, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061145 (Bill No. 517), ordering the improvement of Lawton street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061145 (Bill No. 517), the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

**Change of Grades, Twenty-second Street Between Arkansas and
Wisconsin Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 534, Ordinance No. 12.072214, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 27th day of November, 1933, by Resolution No. 1164, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-second Street.

Arkansas street, 220.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

1 foot westerly from Arkansas street, 235.00 feet.

7 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 235.00 feet.

150 feet easterly from Wisconsin street, 250.50 feet.

130 feet easterly from Wisconsin street, 252.50 feet.

Wisconsin street, 284.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Improvement of Van Ness Avenue South.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from R. S. K. MacMillan, chairman, Streets Committee of the Central Mission Improvement Association, requesting necessary improvements on Van Ness avenue South to be provided for in the forthcoming budget; also that the district along Van Ness avenue South, from Thirteenth street to Army street, be declared an underground district, and that the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be required to place their overhead construction underground.

Referred to Budget Committee.

Refunds to San Francisco From Toll Bridge Authority.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *referred to Finance Committee*:

Communication from C. H. Purcell, Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works of the State of California, advising that his department has no record of any refunds coming to the City and County of San Francisco from the California Toll Bridge Authority, and states agreement with the Reconstruction Finance Corporation requires that all revenue of any kind from the bridge must go toward the payment of bonds and interest on indebtedness.

Referred to Finance Committee for further consideration on motion of Supervisor Havenner.

Method of Paying Interest and Principal on Loan From State Under the Unemployment Relief Act.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, supplementing letter of January 25th, and advising that it is imperative for the Board of Supervisors to conclude negotiations with the State concerning the method by which the City will be permitted to pay the interest and repay the principal of the \$466,552 borrowed from the State under the Unemployment Relief Act of 1933, and suggesting that Mr. Wren Middlebrook, of the Controller's staff, be sent to Sacramento with the signed note and application to expedite the immediate remittance of the funds covered thereby, as the available cash on hand is only sufficient to carry through the week.

Agent to Investigate Relief Allowances by Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*:

Communication from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, advising that the Board of Supervisors has the right to inspect or examine the records of Relief Distribution in San Francisco as administered by the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, but its authority in this respect cannot be delegated to an agent.

Wage Rate Ordinance Communications.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Albert E. Boynton, managing director, Industrial Association of San Francisco, stating in connection with the Wage Scale Resolution, that his association believes that the establishment of a minimum rate of \$1.10 per hour for skilled crafts in the building and metal trades is not in conformity, either with the letter or spirit of the prevailing wage ordinance or the provisions of the Charter under which the resolution is being considered, that its adop-

tion as set up will result disastrously to local employers and their employees, particularly in the metal trades, cabinet shops and planing mills, and suggesting that the rates reported on January 10, 1934, by the Civil Service Commission, with the exception of Schedule "B" thereof, be approved by the Board of Supervisors as the true highest general prevailing wage in private employment.

Ordered filed.

Also, communication from the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, expressing its opposition to rates set up as the general prevailing wage in private employment, and suggesting that the rates recommended in the Civil Service Commission report of January 10, 1934, be approved as the true highest prevailing wage in private employment.

Ordered filed.

Loan From Federal Government for Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*:

Communication from Florence Prag Kahn, Representative, Fourth District, California, in Congress of the United States, assuring the City of her active cooperation in connection with obtaining favorable action on the application which is now in preparation for a loan from the Federal Government to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Delegates to Conference of California Toll Bridge Authority on Interurban Transportation or Terminals.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. H. Purcell, Chief Engineer, Department of Public Works, State of California, acknowledging communication advising of the appointment of the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors as delegates to any conferences of the California Toll Bridge Authority on the subject of Interurban Transportation or Terminals therefor, and advising that he is forwarding the resolution to the secretary for his information.

Ordered filed.

Peninsula League of California Municipalities.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*:

Communication from H. A. Mason, secretary, Peninsula League of California Municipalities, enclosing notice of next meeting of the Peninsula League of Municipalities, to be held jointly with the East Bay League on March 2, 1934, and inviting attendance of representatives of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered filed.

Municipal Automobiles.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*:

From Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, transmitting copy of letter sent to all departments reciting Resolution No. 1295, adopted February 19, 1934, relative to the use of City and County owned automobiles, etc.

Development of Candlestick Point by Private Enterprise.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from A. Armanino, vice-president, Bay View Merchants' Association, 1666 Quesada avenue, requesting postponement of action on sending of telegram to Washington protesting the development by private enterprise of Candlestick Point in favor of a City development plan in order that the promoters of the enterprise may be given an opportunity to present their case.

Ordered filed.

Report of Director of Finance and Records on Departmental Budget of the Board of Supervisors for the Ensuing Fiscal Year.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *accepted*:

San Francisco, February 23, 1934.

The members of the Board of Supervisors met this day at 4:40 p. m., in Room 231, City Hall, to discuss the following proposed budget of the Board of Supervisors for the fiscal year 1934-35:

PERSONAL SERVICE—PERMANENT.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Class Title or Description</i>	<i>No. of Employees</i>	<i>Rate</i>	<i>Amount</i>
1.	Supervisor	11	\$200	\$26,400
2.	Chief Asst. Clerk, Board of Supervisors...	1	350	4,200
3.	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	1	500	6,000
4.	Bond and Ordinance Clerk	1	200	2,400
5.	General Clerk	1	175	2,100
6.	Head Clerk	1	350	4,200
7.	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	4	200	9,600
8.	Finance Committee Stenographer	1	325	3,900
9.	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors ..	1	200	2,400
10.	Special Chauffeur	2	250	6,000
Total Personal Service—Permanent ..				24
				\$67,200
11.	Reporters' Fees and Transcriptions			\$ 2,200
12.	Appraisers' Fees—Board of Equalization..			1,900
13.	Contractual Service			2,900
14.	Audit of Controller's Books			6,000
Grand Total				\$80,200

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the following proposals were made:

(1) To eliminate the appropriation for 1 General Clerk at \$175 per month (Item 5).

(2) To eliminate the appropriation for 1 Head Clerk at \$350 per month (Item 6).

(3) To eliminate the appropriation for 1 Finance Committee Stenographer at \$325 per month (Item 8).

No. 1 was defeated by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Nos. 2 and 3 were *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the elimination of 1 Senior Clerk-Stenographer at \$200 per month was proposed. With the consent of his second, Supervisor Uhl withdrew his motion temporarily.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, the elimination of the two special chauffeurs was proposed. This motion was withdrawn after some discussion, whereupon Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the appropriation for one Special Chauffeur at \$250 be eliminated. Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor ———, proposed the following amendment: "That Supervisor Uhl's motion be tabled and that the Finance Committee be instructed to make a study of the feasibility of having a Central Garage and pool system for the City's automobiles, and that said

Finance Committee report back to Board regarding the same prior to the Budget coming before the Board of Supervisors for action in May. This amendment was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

A motion was then made and seconded that the two special chauffeurs' appropriations be included in the Budget. This was carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Thereupon the following motion was moved and seconded:

That the whole Budget of the Board of Supervisors as presented to them for the fiscal year 1934-35 be approved as presented. This was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 6:40 p. m.

ARTHUR E. CURTIS,
Director of Finance and Records.

Approval of Loan for Deepening Channel at Mouth of Russian River.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 1316, as follows:

Whereas, industrial and recreational development at and near the mouth of the Russian River, in Sonoma County, California, demand a deeper channel at the entrance to said river, to accommodate light and medium craft, and to increase the run of fish; and

Whereas, Carl A. Nelson & Sons, and their successors, the Russian River Improvement Company, have spent \$209,703.76 on the improvement of said river, and the State of California has expended \$103,000 for said purpose, making a total of \$312,703.76 for such improvement; and

Whereas, the improvements already made are in need of further work to extend and protect the jetty at the bar of said stream to prevent a loss of improvements already made and a consequent loss of funds expended thus far, besides a retardation of the development of the surrounding country; and

Whereas, the Russian River section, by reason of its scenic beauty and accommodation for boating and swimming, has attracted thousands of vacationists and tourists during the summer months; also many summer homes have been erected, resulting in the expenditure of hundreds of thousands of dollars, greatly increasing the taxable values in that section; and

Whereas, those experienced in concrete work and other lines of construction where gravel is used find that the bed of the lower Russian River contains deposits of the best gravel in northern California; and

Whereas, transportation of this gravel to metropolitan centers is dependent upon a greater depth of water at the entrance to the Russian River than is found at the present time; also that a greater depth of water must be maintained at all times to give stability and permanence to industry; and

Whereas, the Russian River Improvement Company is making application to the Director of Federal Public Works for a loan of \$650,000, which amount is estimated by their engineer as sufficient to complete the jetty works at the mouth of the said river and also make

other improvements necessary for the successful shipping of gravel and the installation and operation of a factory on or near the lower waters of the Russian River; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, this 26th day of February, 1934, that the above project is endorsed by this organization and that we urge the Administrator to grant the loan as applied for by the Russian River Improvement Company; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be presented to Honorable Justus S. Wardell, Director of Public Works for California, also a copy to Honorable Clarence F. Lea, Representative in Congress from California, for presentation to Honorable Harold L. Ickes, Administrator of Public Works, Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors February 26, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Havenner—2.

President to Appoint Committee of Three to Confer With Consolidation Committee of San Mateo and San Francisco Counties Re Consolidation.

(Code No. 5.5)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1317, as follows:

Whereas, a consolidation committee, consisting of citizens from the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, has been making a study of facts and conditions during the past seven years relative to the consolidation of the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Consolidation Act of 1929 specifically outlines that the incorporated cities within the County of San Mateo must first hold an election for the purpose of permitting the voters of those cities to determine if they wish their city to be included in an area which would later vote on the consolidation of these two counties; and

Whereas, every incorporated city in the County of San Mateo, with the exception of the City of San Mateo, will hold general municipal elections this year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby request the President of this Board to appoint a committee of three members of the Board to confer with the Consolidation Committee of San Mateo and San Francisco counties to report upon the status of this movement in order to determine the advisability of submitting this proposal to the voters of the various cities in the County of San Mateo at the regular municipal elections to be held this year in order to avoid the costs of special elections.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Commending C. W. A. Workers.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1318, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been the recipient, through appropriations made by the Federal Government under the Civil Works Administration, of most competent, efficient and profitable developments of its civic programs and the employment of our unemployed men and women; and

Whereas, the granite, painting and decorating work done at the City Hall and Civic Auditorium, the development of Lake Merced lands for park and playground purposes, and the very important work done in the construction of the Balboa Reservoir on Ocean avenue, is of such a character as to evoke our highest praise and appreciation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses its highest commendation of the work performed by the C. W. A. workers, and that we recommend to the Federal Government that everything possible be done to continue the good work so well and so auspiciously begun; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the authorities of the Civil Works Administration in Washington and that another be sent to Captain Powers Symington, local representative of the Civil Works Administration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Elimination of Water Meter Charge.

(Code No. 15.022)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1319, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Water Department collects seventy-eight cents for each water meter in every home in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of many of the home owners in this City, the annual payment of \$9.36 for the water meter is an unjust burden imposed by a municipally owned utility; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors favor the elimination of this meter charge against the home-owners; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Mayor and to the Public Utilities Commission.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Extension of Anza Street.

(Code No. 12.063)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1320, as follows:

Whereas, many citizens of this City and County, and particularly the residents of the Richmond District, are greatly inconvenienced due to the fact that the only streets leading into the Richmond District south of Sacramento and Lake streets, and west of Presidio avenue, are California, Geary and Fulton streets; and

Whereas, upon the abandonment of cemeteries in the Richmond District, it is important that immediate steps be taken to locate additional streets through the cemeteries and relieve the congestion on the streets now serving that district; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors believes that it is for the best interest of the people of San Francisco to extend Anza street in an easterly direction from Arguello boulevard, thereby connecting Anza street with St. Rose street, through the territory occupied by Odd Fellows' Cemetery, which has been abandoned.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Budget Appropriation to Pay Interest on State Relief Loan.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Controller requesting that Mr. Middlebrook of his office be sent to Sacramento to negotiate with State officials for

repayment of principal and interest of loan of \$466,552 for unemployment relief, 1933.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Board of Supervisors agree to the policy of putting \$67,800 (estimated) as a budget item in the 1934-35 budget for the payment of 1/10 amount of interest and redemption of loan for relief from State, and recommend that Controller negotiate with State for a loan of \$466,552 on such terms; also, it be recommended that the Mayor and the Controller put the necessary amount in the budget.

Motion carried.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Reserved Seats for Women's Clubs.

Supervisor Uhl moved that consideration be again given to the representatives of the women's clubs who formerly occupied reserved seats in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors and that they be allowed again to occupy those seats.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Chauffeurs' Log.

Supervisor Uhl moved that a log be kept by the chauffeurs of the Board of their activities with the Supervisors' cars.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Record of Typewritten Letters.

Supervisor Uhl moved that copies of all letters written be filed each day so that members of the Board of Supervisors can ascertain whether the Board has need for so many stenographers.

Referred to Rules Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 7:30 p. m., recessed.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Wednesday, February 28, 1934

Monday, March 5, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, February 28, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of considering Supervisor Shannon's resolution protesting Federal loan for construction of the Roosevelt Apartments on Odd Fellows' Cemetery site.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown was noted present at 2:30 p. m., having been excused until that time.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner excused. In Los Angeles on official business.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of the hearing.

All members heretofore noted being present.

President McSheehy was elected to preside.

Board of Supervisors Protests Federal Loan of \$3,200,000 for Apartment Houses, Old Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

(Code No. 5.2)

Whereupon, the following recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Public Buildings was taken up:

Resolution No. 1126, as follows:

Whereas, application has been made to the Government by a group of persons representing private interests, for a loan of \$3,200,000 for the erection of a group of apartment buildings on twenty-eight acres of land situate on the old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, setting forth to provide approximately 3000 rooms for rental at \$11 per room per month; and

Whereas, it has appeared in the daily papers that the Federal Administrator of Public Works has given his approval to said application; and

Whereas, it appears that the intent and purposes of loans by the Federal Government is to create labor and give employment to the unemployed during these depressed times, and that deep consideration has also been given to the necessity of bettering household and living conditions in congested districts of the large and densely populated cities throughout the country; and

Whereas, San Francisco cannot be considered among these cities of congested housing and living conditions, by reason of the great variety of homes, flats, apartment houses and modern hotels, as well as many vacant areas of land existing within the City and County; and

Whereas, the great majority of apartment house owners, real estate owners and dealers have voiced their disapproval of the project and also the approval by the Federal Administrator of Public Works, for the reason that a great proportion of the present buildings erected through large expenditures of money and investment for rental purposes are entirely vacant and laying idle through lack of demand and necessity; and

Whereas, the addition of these proposed groups of buildings would be a detriment to the present vested interests, inflicting competing conditions directly in opposition to the purposes for which Federal moneys are to be expended; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, for reasons heretofore set forth, are unalterably opposed to the consummation of this project out of Federal moneys at this time, and would respectfully call the attention of Officials at Washington to the lack of necessity of such group of buildings being required by reason of congestion, character or otherwise in our community; and further, the advertised rentals of such premises to be \$11 per room per month would be of no benefit to the great majority of our wage-earning citizens dependent upon nominal rentals, and add to existing vacancies, detrimental to real estate investment in San Francisco.

Henry E. Monroe, proponent for the Roosevelt Terraces, was heard in opposition to the resolution.

W. S. Scott, representing the Odd Fellows' Cemetery Association, was heard at length in opposition to the adoption of the resolution.

Jos. A. Brown, attorney representing the Apartment House Owners' and Managers' Association, and Miss L. Ward, secretary of Apartment House Owners' and Managers' Association, and Boyd Oliver, attorney for said association, were heard in favor of the resolution.

Whereupon the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Resolution Adopted.

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution was taken up and *adopted* by the following:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Clerk be directed to send copy to the President and to proper officials in Washington indicating thereon as suggested by Supervisor Colman the ayes, noes and absentees.

Motion *carried*.

Federal Loan for Remodeling Property.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Uhl thereupon presented the following resolution which was *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

Resolution No. 1322, as follows:

Whereas, there are many buildings in San Francisco requiring remodeling; and

Whereas, such remodeling would create a great amount of work for our unemployed and said work could be accomplished if property

owners were able to borrow money locally for said remodeling; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests the Federal Government to make loans to owners for remodeling their buildings; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the authorities vested with the power to make this type of loan.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MARCH 5, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 5, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 26, 1934, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of \$600,000 Relief Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, March 5, 1934, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Six hundred thousand dollars of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed six per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually March 1 and September 1; comprising 50 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the

bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same bearing the lowest rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors, adopted February 26, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read by the Clerk and referred to the Finance Committee:

1. R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co.—For \$600,000, par value, City and County of San Francisco Relief Bonds, \$45,000 September 1, 1936, as 5 per cent bonds, \$5,000 September 1, 1936, and \$50,000 each year from September 1, 1937, to September 1, 1947, as 4 per cent bonds, of the denomination of \$1,000, dated September 1, 1932, interest payable semi-annually on March 1st and September 1st, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States of America, same being the par value of said bonds and accrued interest to date of delivery.

2. Bankamerica Company, Blythe & Co., Inc., R. W. Pressrich & Co. (Syndicate).—For \$600,000, par value Relief Bonds of the City and County, bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery, plus premium of \$111. More particularly described: \$150,000, at rate of interest of 5 per cent payable semi-annually, and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1st in each of years 1936 to 1938, both years inclusive. Four hundred and fifty thousand dollars of bonds dated September 1, 1932, of \$1,000 denomination each, bearing interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1st in each of the years 1939 to 1947, both years inclusive.

3. The Anglo California National Bank, Heller, Bruce & Co., First of Michigan Corporation (Syndicate).—\$150,000 par value of Relief Bonds, dated September 1, 1932, of denomination \$1,000, bearing interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$50,000 in each of years 1936 to 1938, both years inclusive. Four hundred and fifty thousand dollars bearing interest at rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and maturing \$50,000 par value on September 1 in each of years 1939 to 1947, both years inclusive.

4. Weeden & Co.—For \$600,000 par value Relief Bonds, City and County of San Francisco, to bear interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum for \$300,000 bonds maturing September 1, 1936, to September 1, 1941, both inclusive; and at the rate of 4 per cent per annum for \$300,000 bonds maturing September 1, 1942, to September 1, 1947, both inclusive; and in addition thereto a premium of \$107.

5. The City Company of New York, Inc.—\$125,000 par value maturing \$50,000 September 1, 1936; \$50,000 September 1, 1937; \$25,000 September 1, 1938, shall bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum semi-annually. Four hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars, par value, maturing \$25,000 September 1, 1938, and \$50,000 each Sep-

tember 1, 1939 to 1947, inclusive, shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semiannually. Bid \$600,000 plus accrued interest to date of delivery.

6. Guaranty Company of New York and The First of Boston Corporation.—For all of the \$600,000 we will pay \$600,060 plus accrued interest to date of delivery. Fifty thousand dollars of these bonds maturing each year, 1936-39, inclusive, and \$40,000 maturing 1940 to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent; \$10,000 maturing 1940 and \$50,000 each year maturing 1941-47, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent.

Award of Bids.

Subsequently, during the session, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1323, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Relief Bonds, issue of September 1, 1932, to the amount of \$600,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 5th day of March, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co., for said \$600,000 Relief Bonds, Issue 1932, comprising fifty bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1936 to 1947, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co., for \$600,000, par value, City and County of San Francisco Relief Bonds, \$45,000 September 1, 1936, as 5 per cent bonds, \$5,000 September 1, 1936, and \$50,000 each year from September 1, 1937, to September 1, 1947, as 4 per cent bonds, of the denomination of \$1,000, dated September 1, 1932, interest payable semi-annually on March 1st and September 1st, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the office of the City Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco or at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in New York City, we will pay you \$600,000, being the par value of said bonds, and accrued interest to date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Ratto, the following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Hearing of Appeal From Assessment on Garfield Street at 3 P. M.

Hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Memorializing Congress to Favorably Consider Proposed Manufacturers' Sales Tax (Exclusive of Food Products).

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1281, as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco contribute a large share of the national income through various taxes now imposed; and

Whereas, the people of the State and of the City are vitally interested in the proposed national manufacturers' sale tax (exclusive of food products) which will be before Congress this week; and

Whereas, the proposed Federal manufacturers' sales tax is as painless as a tax can be, and will produce a substantial revenue; and

Whereas, this tax would be collected at the source, i. e., at the factory, and would not be a troublesome retail sales tax; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby memorialize the Congress of the United States to consider favorably the proposal to raise a part of Federal revenue by such a manufacturers' sales tax, providing same does not apply to food products.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Committee on Consolidation of San Francisco and San Mateo Counties.

President McSheehy announced the appointment of Supervisors Shannon, Brown and Ratto as members of the Committee on the Consolidation of San Francisco and San Mateo Counties in accordance with Resolution No. 1317.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Lease of Space at San Francisco Airport for Restaurant and Barber Shop.

(Code No. 12.17354)

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

Bill No. 527, Ordinance No. 12.173542, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease space for restaurant and barber shop purposes at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Unemployment Positions Not Part of the San Francisco Retirement System.

(Code No. 4.07)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 529, Ordinance No. 4.072, as follows:

An ordinance excluding from membership in the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System, created in Section 158 of the Charter, persons employed by the City and County on unemployment relief work, and repealing ordinances in so far as they are in conflict herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Persons who are or shall be employed in places of employment created by special appropriation to relieve any unemployment emergency declared by the Board of Supervisors, as set forth in Section 149 of the Charter, shall not be members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System. All ordinances or parts thereof in so far as they conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed, to the extent of said conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Appropriating \$467,631 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to March 31, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 532, Ordinance No. 9.051120, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$467,631 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to March 31, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$467,631 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to March 31, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Repealing Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (Known as "Inspection Fee Ordinance").

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 533, Ordinance No. 3.04131, as follows:

Repealing Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (known as "Inspection Fee Ordinance").

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 3.0412 (known as the "Inspection Fee Ordinance"), and which reads as follows, to-wit:

"Section 3. The owner or tenant of every building, structure, store, premise and/or office in the City and County of San Francisco which is subject to inspection and/or regulation shall pay an annual fee of four (\$4.00) dollars for said inspection and/or regulation. The provisions of this section shall not apply to any building, structure, store, premise and/or office subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof."

Be and the same is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Gaven Street Between Barneveld Avenue and Merrill Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 530, Ordinance No. 12.081113, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Gaven street between Barneveld avenue and Merrill street, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Crossing of Gaven and Merrill Streets.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 531, Ordinance No. 12.081114, as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of the crossing of Gaven and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby *fully accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

The roadway of the crossing of Gaven and Merrill streets, including the curbs.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1321, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 352 to Director of Public Works for \$285.68, No. 353 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 354 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 355 to San Francisco & Fresno Land Co. for \$2,400, No. 356 to Anderson & Ringrose for \$3,529.65, No. 357 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$2,000, No. 358 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$400, No. 359 to Krieg Tanning Co. for \$153.37, No. 360 to Ada I. Chandon, Cordelia C. Cline, Helen E. Sale, John P. Hopkins for \$54.81—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Federal Loan for Remodeling Property.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1322, as follows:

Whereas, there are many buildings in San Francisco requiring remodeling; and

Whereas, such remodeling would create a great amount of work for our unemployed and said work could be accomplished if property owners were able to borrow money locally for said remodeling; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests the Federal Government to make loans to owners for remodeling their buildings; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the authorities vested with the power to make this type of loan.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill, heretofore presented by Supervisor Brown and referred to the Public Welfare Committee, was returned by said committee with its favorable recommendation and was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ordinance on Charitable Solicitations.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic, civic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of

San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof; and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, to solicit money, property, funds, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind, sell, or offer for sale, any article or service on the plea, statement or assumption that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic, civic or philanthropic purpose, on the streets, in offices or business buildings, by house to house canvass, in any public building or place in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally, by telephone, mail, or in any other manner, unless such person, organization, society, or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first been duly licensed by the Chief of Police of said City and County for such purpose and shall have obtained a permit therefor.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a license or permit to solicit funds, as provided for in section 1 hereof, shall file an application with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name of the organization or the object for which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of location of such organization or the place from which distribution shall be made for such object, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization or the names of the persons by whom such fund will be distributed.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made thereof.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than thirty days; provided, however, that any such license may be renewed for a period not to exceed an additional thirty days, if such renewal is approved by the said Chief of Police.

(d) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions or emoluments are to be expended, and to whom paid, and the amount thereof.

(e) A financial statement for the last preceding calendar year of any funds received for the purposes set out in section 1 hereof by public solicitation; said statement giving the cost and final distribution of said funds.

(f) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by the said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in section 2 hereof, the said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such cause for such solicitation to be made is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and a license or permit should be issued therefor, he shall endorse his approval thereon and shall issue such license or permit.

Section 4. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto or is using such license as an endorsement of such solicitation, it shall be the duty of said Chief of Police to revoke such license.

Section 5. Appeal.—If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for license or permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such license or permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent

or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The provisions of the foregoing sections shall not be applicable to any solicitation made upon premises owned or occupied by the person, organization, society, association or corporation upon whose behalf such solicitation is made, nor to any solicitation for the relief of any individual specified by name at the time of the solicitation where the solicitor represents in each case that the entire amount collected, without any deduction whatever, shall be turned over to the named beneficiary, nor shall they be applicable to any organization, society, association or corporation soliciting contributions solely from persons who are members thereof at the time of such solicitation, nor shall they be applicable to solicitation made solely for evangelical, missionary or religious purposes.

Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, soliciting such funds as enumerated in this section and claiming the exemption provided herein, shall, upon complaint by anyone to the said Chief of Police, or on his own initiative, be required to submit a full statement of the object and purpose of such solicitation and the cost thereof.

Section 7. The said Chief of Police is hereby empowered to waive the whole or any part of the foregoing sections as and if the Chief of Police shall deem such waiver necessary, when and only in the case of any charitable association applying for such waiver for the purpose of meeting any extraordinary emergency or calamity when time is of the essence of needed succor or relief.

Section 8. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. All ordinances in conflict with the terms of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Action Deferred.

The following was presented by Supervisor Uhl with the recommendation of Public Welfare Committee and was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Supervisors to Administer Relief to Single Men.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1326, as follows:

Whereas, State law places upon the Board of Supervisors responsibility for care of the indigent person, and permits said Board to appoint a committee to take charge of the work; and

Whereas, the committee in charge of relief matters was not appointed by the present Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the single men now on relief, many of whom are overseas war veterans, are receiving shabby treatment in the matter of sleeping quarters, the men being provided with only one blanket, whereas prisoners in the County Jail are provided with two blankets and in the Federal Relief quarters are furnished three blankets; and

Whereas, the men at the shelters are receiving only two meals per day, as compared with prisoners in the City and County Jails being fed three times a day, with fish and meat on Fridays at the County Jail compared with stew or possibly beans on Fridays at the municipal kitchen; and

Whereas, Wednesday, February 28th, the men were locked out of their shelter at 1161 Folsom street and ordered to sleep at 1261 Howard street, and the latter shelter was found to be infested with vermin and unfit for human habitation, causing scores of men to prefer walking the streets during the night to sleeping in said shelter; and

Whereas, rent is being paid for shelters while there is available St. Catherine's Home, at which site temporary buildings can be erected, and which premises will accommodate between 500 and a thousand men, and, further, the Sheriff is about to vacate the Ingleside building, which building at small expense can be arranged to house 400 men, and the use of said buildings would save thousands of dollars now being paid for rent of shelters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby withdraw from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee all supervision over the care of indigent sick and dependent single men commencing April 1, 1934; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee be advised that on and after April 1, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will administer relief to indigent sick and dependent single men.

Mayor to Make Application to P. W. A. for Grant of 30 Per Cent of Cost of Labor and Material for High Pressure System Extension and New School Buildings and Deduct Amounts.

(Code No. 11.4434)

(Code No. 21.31)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1324, as follows:

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco did heretofore approve a bond issue in the sum of \$2,000,000 for the purpose of making certain improvements and extensions to the existing High Pressure System throughout the City and County of San Francisco, and did also approve a bond issue in the sum of \$3,000,000 for the purpose of constructing new school house buildings and the repairing and improving of existing school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in the ordinance calling for the election upon the propositions of issuing the above mentioned bonds that none of said bonds should be issued or sold unless the Government of the United States, or its agencies, should agree to make a grant to the City and County of San Francisco of thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements for which said bonds were to be issued; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States, nor its agencies, has not made such grant of thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed upon said improvements for which said bonds were issued; and

Whereas, certain other bond issues were approved by the people, to-wit: \$12,095,000 for additions to the Water Supply and Distribution System of the City and County of San Francisco; \$3,500,000 for the increasing of the height of the O'Shaughnessy Dam; \$2,625,000 for the rebuilding of existing sewers and for the construction of new sewers in the City and County of San Francisco; \$260,000 for improvements to the Municipal Airport; and

Whereas, the bonds provided for in all said issues last above men-

tioned were to be issued only upon the condition that the Government of the United States, or its agencies, would make a grant of thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed upon the improvements in each of said issues; and

Whereas, the Government of the United States has agreed to make a grant of thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed upon all of the improvements specified in said last mentioned bond issue and has, in addition thereto, agreed to purchase from the City and County of San Francisco bonds issued for the last above mentioned projects to the amount of \$14,937,000; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to request the Public Works Administration of the United States to make to the City and County of San Francisco a grant equal to thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed in the making of extensions and improvements to the High Pressure System of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as thirty (30%) per cent of the cost of the labor and materials to be employed in the construction of new school house buildings and in improvements and repairs to existing school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, according to the conditions under which the bonds for each of said projects were approved; and that such amounts as may be allowed as a grant on said projects be deducted from the amount which said Public Works Administration has agreed to expend in the purchase of bonds heretofore issued for said improvements and extensions to the Water Supply and Distribution System and for the increasing of the height of the O'Shaughnessy Dam and for the rebuilding of existing sewers and the construction of new sewers and for the improvement of the San Francisco Airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Amendment to Cab Ordinance.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Frank B. Thompson, attorney for the San Francisco Cab Owners' Protective Association, Inc., requesting that Section b of Ordinance No. 11.01113 be amended so as to require cash surplus of \$15,000 to cover possible claims for damages.

Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Amendment to Rules.

(Code No. 1.03)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1327, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1239, Code No. 1.03, being Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors, be amended by the inclusion of the following rule:

No. 41. If the presence of any official or executive is to be required on any subject on the calendar, a member of the Board interested shall, prior to the meeting of the Board, if possible, so inform the President, whose duty it shall be to convey to the person mentioned that his presence will be required.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

METAL TRADES.

<i>Shop Rates</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day).....per hour	\$1.16
Molders and coremakers	7.04
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen (shop).....	5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

Pile drivers (based on 6-hour day).....per hour	1.12½
---	-------

Communications.

The following communications were read by the Clerk:

Communication from Civil Service Commission relative to shop rates and fixing wage scale therefor on public contracts.

Also, communication from Civil Service Commission in re increase of scale for moulders and coremakers.

Also, communication from Industrial Association of San Francisco, transmitting copy of telegrams in re application of P. W. A. on prevailing wage for shop employments.

Privilege of the Floor.

C. F. Groh, representing the International Machinists, and John O'Connell, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, and Frank Brown, representing the Moulders' Union, were heard at length on the pending resolution.

Wm. H. Worden, Director of Public Works, declared that he had consulted with Messrs. Eckart and McAfie of the Water Department and was advised that no public work was being held up by reason of the delay in fixing shop rates.

City Attorney O'Toole advised the Board that the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors did not extend to shop rates, except possibly to work on public buildings.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was made a *Special Order of Business for next Monday at 4 p. m.*

Request of Supervisor Brown.*Report on Street Work in Detail.*

Supervisor Brown requested that Director of Public Works furnish

Board with exact breakdown of the \$470,000 figure for street work, previously submitted, showing the various jobs in detail and the amount expended on each job.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Receipts and Expenditures, Civic Auditorium.

Supervisor Uhl requested from Chief Administrative Officer statement of receipts and expenditures, account of Civic Auditorium, giving name of each organization which rented auditorium during year 1933.

City Automobiles.

Supervisor Uhl, re report from Chief Administrative Officer on cars operated by the various City departments, requested names of persons using passenger automobiles, license numbers, especially where machines are rented by wife to husband.

Cost of Electric Wiring for Board of Education.

Supervisor Uhl requested the Chief Administrative Officer to furnish Board with cost of electrical wiring, and specifications, in premises remodeled for the Board of Education in the Auditorium building.

City Telephone Consolidation.

Supervisor Uhl requested from Chief Administrative Officer information as to what is being done in the matter of consolidating all City telephones under one number, UN derhill 8500.

Coroner and District Attorney Offices.

Supervisor Uhl also requested information regarding moving Coroner into Health Center Building, leaving morgue where it is. Also requested Chief Administrative Officer to furnish plan for rearrangement of offices whereby office of District Attorney can be moved to Hall of Justice, saving rental of premises at 333 Kearny street.

Appointment of Col. Wm. H. Tobin, Board of War Memorial Trustees.

The following was presented, read and *confirmed* by the following vote:

February 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Sirs: I have this day appointed, subject to your confirmation, as provided in Section 44 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, Colonel Wm. H. Tobin, U. S. Army, retired, of 2828 Lyon street, San Francisco, to fill the vacancy on the Board of Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial, occasioned by the resignation of James I. Herz, for the term expiring January 2, 1937.

I am,

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Committee for Army Day.

On motion of Supervisor Colman, his Honor the Mayor was authorized and requested to appoint a committee for the proper observance of Army Day, April 7, 1934.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 5 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MARCH 5, 1934.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 12, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.


JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 12, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 12, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 12, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Excused—Supervisor Brown—1.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of February 28 and March 5, 1934, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Supervisors to Administer Relief to Single Men.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1326, as follows:

Whereas, State law places upon the Board of Supervisors responsibility for care of the indigent person, and permits said Board to appoint a committee to take charge of the work; and

Whereas, the committee in charge of relief matters was not appointed by the present Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the single men now on relief, many of whom are overseas war veterans, are receiving shabby treatment in the matter of sleeping quarters, the men being provided with only one blanket, whereas prisoners in the County Jail are provided with two blankets, and in the Federal relief quarters are furnished three blankets; and

Whereas, the men at the shelters are receiving only two meals per day as compared with prisoners in the City and County Jails being fed three times a day, with fish and meat on Fridays at the County Jail, compared with stew or possibly beans on Fridays at the municipal kitchen; and

Whereas, Wednesday, February 28th, the men were locked out of their shelter at 1161 Folsom street and ordered to sleep at 1261 Howard street, and the latter shelter was found to be infested with vermin and unfit for human habitation, causing scores of men to prefer walking the streets during the night to sleeping in said shelter; and

Whereas, rent is being paid for shelters while there is available St. Catherine's Home, at which site temporary buildings can be erected, and which premises will accommodate between five hundred and a thousand men, and, further, the Sheriff is about to vacate the Ingleside Building, which building, at small expense, can be arranged to house four hundred men, and the use of said buildings would save thousands of dollars now being paid for rent of shelters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby withdraw from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee all supervision over the care of indigent sick and dependent single men commencing April 1, 1934; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee be advised that on and after April 1, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will administer relief to indigent sick and dependent single men.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Kendrick, representing the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee, was heard at length. Admitting the plight of single men on relief and in the three shelters and declaring that it is the earnest desire of the Committee to provide better for them, he said to do so would require over a million dollars more a year.

As to the use of St. Catherine's Home for housing single men, he said it would cost considerable money to put the place in condition for that purpose and then it would only accommodate about 350. This applies to the County Jail. The accommodation afforded by both places would only take care of a comparatively small number of those on relief; besides, housing large numbers of idle men in residence districts would be objectionable from many points of view.

The military cantonment idea, he thought, would not work out well in practice when applied to civilians not under military rules and regulations.

Wm. McGuire, in charge of Relief Kitchen, was heard and denied that three 20-gallon cans of beans were dumped in the garbage after rejection by the men on relief. The cans, he said, contained large quantities of lettuce leaves.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, declared that he would oppose the use of St. Catherine's Home for housing unemployed single men on relief. He needed the building and intended to use it for housing employees of the San Francisco Hospital as soon as he would be able to get \$50,000 to put it in condition for that purpose.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved the privilege of the floor for Jno. A. O'Connell, secretary of the Labor Council and member of the Citizens' Advisory Committee, but was objected to by Supervisor Uhl. The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

J. P. Rittermayer, chairman of the Emergency Relief Committee's Sub-committee on Single Men, was also heard, declaring that it was desired to do the best possible for the single men, but that it was altogether a question of finances.

Lincoln J. Martin, representing Overseas War Veterans, was heard, urging cash relief for single men.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to lay the matter over one week. Special order, 3 P. M.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

METAL TRADES.

<i>Shop Rates</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day)	per hour \$1.16
Molders and coremakers	7.04
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

Pile drivers (based on 6-hour day).....	per hour 1.12½
---	----------------

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were *taken up and finally passed* by the following vote:

Change of Grades, Twenty-second Street Between Arkansas and Wisconsin Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 534, Ordinance No. 12.072214, as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Department of Public Works, did, on the 27th day of November, 1933, by Resolution No. 1164, declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Department of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-second Street.

Arkansas street, 220.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

1 foot westerly from Arkansas street, 235.00 feet.

7 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 235.00 feet.

150 feet easterly from Wisconsin street, 250.50 feet.

130 feet easterly from Wisconsin street, 252.50 feet.

Wisconsin street, 284.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-second street between Arkansas and Wisconsin streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Authorizing Exchange of Certain Easements on Ravenswood-Belmont Right of Way in San Mateo County With Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

(Code No. 15.0243)

Also, Bill No. 535, Ordinance No. 15.02433, as follows:

Authorizing exchange of certain easements on Ravenswood-Belmont right of way in San Mateo County with Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for trading certain real property hereinafter described as Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company in exchange for certain other real property hereinafter described as Parcel B. Said Commission requires said Parcel B and is in charge of said Parcel A. Both of said parcels are situated in San Mateo County, California, and are described as follows:

Parcel A: An easement to construct, reconstruct, patrol, maintain and use, from time to time, for electric power transmission and/or distribution purposes, a single line of poles, together with all necessary or proper wires, guys and other appliances installed thereon and connected therewith, over Parcels 38 and 38-A of San Mateo County lands as said parcels are described in deed from Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated March 3, 1930, and recorded March 3, 1930, in Volume 491, at page 1, Official Records of San Mateo County, California. The center line of said electric transmission line shall be located along the following described line:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly boundary line of said Parcel 38, distant thereon north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 1.3 feet from a 1-inch capped pipe set in concrete at the most northerly corner of said Parcel 38-A; and running thence south 129.1 feet, south 49 degrees 00 minutes east 346.7 feet and south 60 degrees 34 minutes

east 100 feet, more or less, to a point on the southerly boundary line of said Parcel 38-A.

Parcel B: All existing right, title and interest of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company in and to the following described portion of Parcel 38-A referred to in Section 1 hereof:

Beginning at the most northerly corner of said Parcel 38-A, and running thence along the boundaries of said Parcel 38-A south 142.02 feet, south 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds east 399.91 feet and north 89 degrees 12 minutes 05 seconds east 149.98 feet; thence north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 149.98 feet to a corner in the northerly boundary of Parcel 38-A; thence along said northerly boundary north 48 degrees 58 minutes 53 seconds west 462.89 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The deed for the conveyance of Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company shall be made subject to the following conditions:

1. The City reserves the right to use the land included within said right of way for any purposes not inconsistent with the use thereof by the grantee under the terms of this grant.

2. If it shall hereafter become necessary to change, alter, move or reconstruct the pole line to be constructed by the grantee on the above described easement in order to allow the City to construct any improvements, but not buildings, on said property, then the grantee, upon the request of the City so to do, shall within a reasonable time change, alter, move or reconstruct said pole line at the grantee's expense.

3. The grantee shall repay the City, on demand, the reasonable value of any property damaged or destroyed by the grantee due to any act or omission of the grantee in the exercise of the rights herein conveyed. The grantee shall do no work of constructing, reconstructing, installing or renewing said pole line without first obtaining the approval of the Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Water Department of its plans for such work.

4. The grantee shall indemnify and save harmless the City, its agents and employees, from any and all claims for injuries or damages resulting from any act, negligence or omission of the grantee in the exercise of the rights herein conveyed.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said Parcel A to Pacific Gas and Electric Company. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to deliver the deed to said company upon receipt of a deed to Parcel B, and to accept and record said deed to Parcel B.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Repealing Proceedings for Improvement of Lawton Street Between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 536, Ordinance No. 12.061146, as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 12.061145 (Bill No. 517), ordering the improvement of Lawton street between Thirty-third and Thirty-fourth avenues under public proceedings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 12.061145 (Bill No. 517), the title of which is recited above, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

NEW BUSINESS.**Adopted.**

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Providing for Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes, March 26, 1934.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1333, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0333 heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to May 15, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 26th day of March, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to May 15, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Retitling Two Positions—Street Repair and Street Cleaning, Board of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 542, Ordinance No. 9.05358, as follows:

An ordinance amending Sections 49 and 50 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by retitling two existing positions to conform to Civil Service classification.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	217	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
2	11	J10	Laborer-Sub Foreman at \$7 per day..	
3	5	C152	Watchman	\$ 155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	225
5½	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	225
6	21	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Trucks, at \$8 per day	
7	5	O14	Driver of Special Equipment, \$8 per day	
8	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day	
9	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
			Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.	

Section 2. Section 50 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....	\$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED.

3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B216	Clerk at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	23	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman at \$6 per day....	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
9	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck, at \$8 per day	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at \$8 per day	
12	4	O152	Eng. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
16	3	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
17	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
20	18	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
21	7	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at \$8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day..	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225

BRIDGES.

27	1	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at \$0.50 per day.....	
29	12	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)	220

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Explanation.

Number of District Directors established under Item 5 of Section 49 reduced from 2 to 1. Item 5½ added. Item 1 of Section 50 changed from Superintendent, Bureau of Street Repair, to General Superintendent of Streets. No change in salary in either case. Both changes due to consolidation of bureaus of Street Cleaning and Street Repair and are in accordance with Civil Service Commission classification. The two changes are simply retitling of existing positions, salaries for which are appropriated in the current Salary Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Crediting Park Commission Accounts for Losses Sustained.

(Code No. 23.01)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1334, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Park Commissioners in the conduct of the Lincoln Park Cafe and the Beach Chalet through robbery in each of said places occurring respectively on August 27 and 28, 1933, sustained a loss of \$148.65, seventy dollars of which sum belonged to the Park Revolving Fund and the remainder of said sum being a part of the daily receipts from said cafe and said chalet for such respective dates; and

Whereas, during the month of December, 1933, the said Park Commission, through no fault of its agents or employees in the operation of the activities of said Park Commission, received a counterfeit ten dollar federal reserve note which was not detected until the same was deposited in bank and which said note was subsequently taken up by the Secret Service Department of the United States Government; and

Whereas, said losses were sustained without any negligence or carelessness of the Board of Park Commissioners and without fault of said Commissioners or their agents or employees; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to make the necessary entries in the accounts of the Park Commission crediting said accounts with the losses herein mentioned.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Accepting Easement for Right of Way for Water Pipe Lines, Fire Hydrants and Fire Boat Manifold Inlet on Fort Mason Military Reservation.

(Code No. 11.0436)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Resolution No. 1329, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept the easement for a right of way for water pipe lines, fire hydrants and a fire boat manifold inlet on the Fort Mason Military Reservation in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, permit for said easement having been granted by the Secretary of War under date of January 10, 1934, and in the acceptance of said easement the City and County of San Francisco does hereby agree to be bound by, and to be subject to, all the conditions, terms and reservations as set forth and contained in said permit granted by said Secretary of War under date as aforesaid; and reference is hereby made to

the said permit and the map and drawings attached thereto for all the terms and conditions thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Including Cervantes Boulevard From Fillmore Street to Marina Boulevard as a Restricted Traffic Street.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 538, Ordinance No. 11.025, as follows:

Amending Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by including Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard, as a restricted traffic street.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. Restricted Traffic Streets. The following parts of streets are designated as restricted traffic streets:

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street;

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

The Park Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco;

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard;

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Amending Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Excluding West Side of Grant Avenue Between Bush and Pine Streets.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 539, Ordinance No. 11.026, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by excluding the west side of Grant avenue between Bush and Pine streets.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37 (a). Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, Day or Night. It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on the following streets:

West side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to the east line of Diamond street, and on the east side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to a point 500 feet northerly along the curb from the point of intersection of the easterly curb line of Diamond street and the westerly curb line of Bernal avenue;

North side of Brosnan place between Valencia and Guerrero streets;
Ewer place;

Frank place;

South side of Geary street from the west property line of Presidio avenue to the east property line of 2686 Geary street;

East side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway;

North side of Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street;

Houston street;

North side of Jessie street between First and Ninth streets;

East side of Lexington avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

Lilac street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets;

Malvina place;

West side of Mason street between Bush and Pine streets;

North side of Natoma street between Tenth and Eleventh streets;

East side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

North side of Stevenson street between First and Ninth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Amending Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Adding North Side of Sloat Boulevard Between Forty-fourth Avenue and Great Highway.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 540, Ordinance No. 11.027, as follows:

Amending Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets, and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by declaring the north side of Sloat boulevard between Forty-fourth avenue and Great Highway, a street upon which parking is restricted to sixty (60) minutes from 7 a. m. to 6 p. m.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 39 (a). Sixty Minute Parking Restriction, Any Day, at Specified Hours. It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same longer than sixty (60) minutes between hours shown below, on any day, on any of the following streets:

From 7 a. m. to 8 p. m., on Taylor street between Beach and Jefferson streets;

From 7 a. m. to 6 p. m., on the north side of Sloat boulevard between Forty-fourth avenue and Great Highway.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Eliminating Army Street, Bay Street, Bush Street, Cortland Avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission Street, Sickles Avenue, Silver Avenue, Valencia Street, as Arterial Streets.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 541, Ordinance No. 11.028, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by eliminating Army street, Bay street, Bush street, Cortland avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission street, Sickles avenue, Silver avenue, Valencia street, as arterial streets.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets.* The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemaný boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemaný boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary *boulevard* from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary *boulevard* to the Great Highway;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemaný boulevard, and from the east line of Alemaný boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Gerat Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of Bay Shore boulevard;

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary boulevard to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue South from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue South from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP."

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

(Code No. 11.01111)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 543, Ordinance No. 11.01116, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled, "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire, and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, and providing for a monthly increase of \$500 on and after June 15, 1935, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets, together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to

do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance, shall show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show an increase in said sum until June 15, 1935, and after which time show an increase of \$500 beginning on July 15, 1935, and each month thereafter until the sum of \$25,000 is accumulated, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall, on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.*

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damage to persons arising out of the actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall thereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Privilege of the Floor.

F. B. Thompson, attorney, representing San Francisco Cab Owners' Protective Association, comprising all cab companies except the Yellow Cab.

W. L. Rothchild, representing the Yellow Cabs, was also heard.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week*:

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Reenactment of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 on Advice of City Attorney Because of Error in Publication.

(Code No. 12.021)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 518, Ordinance No. 12.0211, as follows:

Providing for proceedings for work or improvements in or upon public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads and other public property and rights of way, in the City and County of San Francisco, including property over which possession or right of use has been obtained under the provisions of Sections 14 and 14½ of Article I of the Constitution of California, and for establishing or changing the grades of any such public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads, properties or rights of way; prescribing and providing for the method of assessing the expenses of such work or improvements upon lands in private ownership; providing for a lien on lands so assessed for such work or improvements; providing a method for collecting or enforcing such assessments; providing for bonds to be given under certain conditions by those interested in land so assessed; providing a method for the payment of such bonds; and providing for the sale of lands so assessed for the payment of any of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

PART I.

Section 1. All streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property, rights of way, tidelands and submerged lands, in the City and County of San Francisco, now open or dedicated or which hereafter may be opened or dedicated to public use, and any property in said City and County for the immediate possession and use of which, as right of way required for public use, an order has or shall have been obtained pursuant to Sections 14 and 14½. Article I, California Constitution, and all tidelands and submerged lands which have been or hereafter may be granted by the State of California to said City and County, shall be deemed and held to be open public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property or rights of way owned by said City and County, for the purposes of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County is hereby empowered to establish and change the grades of all said ways, properties and rights of way and fix the width thereof, and is hereby invested with jurisdiction to order to be done therein, thereover or thereon, either singly or in any combination, pursuant to the proceedings hereafter described, any and all of the work mentioned in this ordinance. The words, public ways, when used in this ordinance shall be deemed to include all the existing and future property of said City and County hereinabove mentioned. The word, Supervisors, when hereafter used, will refer to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Supervisors, pursuant to the procedure herein prescribed, are hereby empowered to order the whole or any portion or portions, in either length or width, of any one or more of said public ways to be improved by or have constructed therein, thereover or thereon either singly or in any combination, any of the following work:

(a) The grading or regrading, paving or repaving, planking or replanking, macadamizing or remacadamizing, graveling or regraveling, oiling or reoilng, piling or repiling, capping or recapping, repairing or reconstruction thereof.

(b) The filling of excavations therein.

(c) The construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, crosswalks, steps, safety zones, platforms, seats, statuary, fountains, parks and parkways, culverts, bridges, curbs, gutters, tunnels, subways or viaducts.

(d) Sanitary sewers or instrumentalities of sanitation, together with the necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, tunnels, channels and other appurtenances.

(e) Drains, tunnels, sewers, conduits, culverts and channels for drainage purposes, with necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, channels and appurtenances.

(f) Viaducts, conduits, water pipes, water connections and appurtenances and subways.

(g) Poles, posts, wires, pipes, conduits, tunnels, lamps and other suitable or necessary appliances for the purpose of lighting said public ways or other property owned by said City and County.

(h) Pipes, hydrants and appliances for fire protection.

(i) Breakwaters, levees, bulkheads, retaining walls and walls of rock or other material to protect said public ways and other property in said City and County from overflow by water.

(j) Retaining walls, embankments and other structures necessary or suitable in connection with any of the work in this section mentioned.

(k) The planting of trees, shrubs or any other ornamental vegetation.

(l) The installation of appliances for regulating traffic of pedestrians and vehicles and all other traffic, together with all requisite cables, wires, conduits and all other instrumentalities necessary or proper for the operation of such appliances.

(m) All other work necessary or suitable to improve the whole or any portion of said public ways.

(n) All other work auxiliary to any of the work above mentioned, which may be necessary or convenient for the performance of the same.

Section 3. When, in the judgment of the Director of Public Works of said City and County, public interest or convenience requires that any of the work mentioned in this ordinance be done, the expense of the whole or any part of which is to be assessed upon private property, and said Director deems the same expedient, he may by written order declare such expediency and briefly describe such work. Said Director shall cause to be preserved in the office of the Department of Public Works in permanent and appropriate form a written record of all acts pursuant to the procedure prescribed by this ordinance including all orders made by him hereunder, so that at all times during business hours there shall be open to the public a complete written record of all acts pursuant hereto. All orders of said Director pursuant hereto shall be identified by his signature. Upon making any such order of expediency, said Director shall cause to be prepared specifications, or plans and specifications, as the case may require, for the proposed work.

Section 4. At any time after the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the contemplated work shall have been prepared, said Di-

rector may make an order declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order to be done the work described in his order declaring the expediency thereof, or some part or modification of such work.

Said order declaring his intention shall refer to the public way affected, by its lawful or official name, or the name by which it is commonly known. When the contemplated work is not upon a public street or thoroughfare, the order shall briefly describe the property or right of way on which the work is to be done. Said order will be sufficient if it states in general terms the kind of work contemplated, such as grading, paving, sewerage or other improvements, gives in general the location of the proposed improvement and refers to the specifications, or plans and specifications, therefor, for a full and detailed description of the proposed work. Said order declaring the intention of said Director shall also contain a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the proposed work may appear before said Director and show cause why said proposed work should not be done in accordance with said order declaring the intention of said Director. Said time shall not be less than fifteen or more than thirty days from the date of making said order of intention.

Said Director may include in one proceeding, under one order declaring his intention and in one contract, any of the different kinds of work mentioned in this ordinance on any number of public ways, contiguous or otherwise, and he may except therefrom any of such work already done.

The grade to which any work shall be done shall be such as may be shown on the plans or profiles therefor, or it may be done on such a grade as may formally have been established by the Supervisors. If any official grade already has been established for any of the public ways proposed to be improved, it shall be lawful for the order of said Director declaring his intention, to provide that said work shall be done to new grades or grades different from those so established, and said order shall refer to plans, profiles or specifications for the description of the grade at which the work is to be done. Any property owner whose property is to be assessed to pay the costs of the proposed work may at the time fixed in said order for hearing objections appear before said Director and object to the proposed grade or proposed modification of grade. A failure to make objection at such time shall be deemed to be a waiver of all objections to the proposed grade or proposed change of grade and shall operate as a bar to any claim for damages or any subsequent action looking to the prevention of the work or the recovery of damages on account of the performance of the work to such grade or changed grade. The provisions of this section relative to grades are alternative and do not affect other provisions of law relative to change of grade.

Section 5. Said Director shall make the expense of such work chargeable upon the district in his opinion benefited by such work. In his said order declaring his intention he shall describe such district and declare it to be the district which will be benefited by such work. Such district may be described in such order by stating the exterior boundaries thereof, or by giving a description thereof according to any official or recorded map or maps, or by referring to the maps or block books customarily used by the Assessor and Tax Collector for City and County assessment or tax collection purposes, or by referring to a plat or map which shall be on file in the office of said Director at the time of making the order declaring his intention; said last mentioned plat or map shall indicate by a boundary line the extent of the territory included in the proposed district, and, if referred to as hereinabove provided for, shall govern for all details as to the extent of such assessment district.

When two or more public ways, not contiguous or directly connected, are to be improved under the same proceeding, such number of dis-

tricts may be provided for therein as shall be deemed by said Director to be expedient.

Section 6. Said Director may, if he deem it advisable, and when there is an unexpended and unencumbered balance in any fund in the City and County Treasury which has been appropriated for such general purpose, and the written consent of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller has been obtained, recommend to the Supervisors that not to exceed two-thirds of the expense of any of the work mentioned in this ordinance shall be paid out of said Treasury from such unexpended and unencumbered balance. Said Director shall state the fact of such intended recommendation in his order declaring his intention to recommend that the work be done, specifying in such order the amount so to be recommended for payment from the Treasury.

If the Supervisors follow the recommendation in whole or in part, they shall appropriate for such purpose, in the ordinance ordering the work, the amount so recommended, or such part thereof as they shall consent to; provided, however, that no such appropriation shall be made until the provisions of Section 86 of the Charter of said City and County shall have been complied with. The amount so to be paid from the Treasury shall be payable at such time or times as shall be specified in the notice calling for sealed proposals for the work.

Whenever any of the expense of such work is so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury said Director in making up the assessment hereinafter provided for, shall, unless the Supervisors in said ordinance otherwise provide, and except as otherwise provided in Subdivision 4, Section 24, of this ordinance, first deduct from the whole expense of such work the amount so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury, and shall assess the remainder of said expense upon the parcels of land liable to be assessed therefor in the manner hereinafter provided.

This section shall not be construed as a limitation upon the power of the Supervisors to make an appropriation from the Treasury at any other time or in any other manner to pay not to exceed two-thirds of the cost of any work mentioned in this ordinance.

Section 7. A copy of the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order work to be done shall be published for one day in the official newspaper of said City and County. Such publication shall be made at least ten days before the date fixed in said order for hearing thereon by said Director. A copy of said order shall be posted in the office of said Director at least ten days before the date named in said order for action thereon by said Director.

Said Director shall cause notices of the making of said order to be conspicuously posted along all the streets within the district chargeable for the expense of the work, at not more than three hundred feet in distance apart, on each street so posted, but not less than three on each street in such district.

No proceeding shall ever be held invalid for failure to post any street or streets, as in this section provided, if the provision of this section has been substantially complied with. All posting hereby prescribed must be completed at least ten days before the day set for hearing on said order of said Director declaring his intention.

Said notice shall be headed "Notice of Improvement" in letters of not less than one inch in height, and shall, in legible characters, state the fact of the adoption of such order of said Director declaring his intention, its date, and shall briefly describe the work proposed to be done, and shall refer to said order of said Director for further particulars. Said notices shall also set out the proposed district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work. Said district shall be described in the same manner in which it shall be described in the order of said Director declaring his intention as provided for in Section 5. Said notices shall also state that it is proposed to assess the property within such district to pay the total or partial expense of such work, as the case may be. Said notices shall also state that all objections

to the proposed work or district or otherwise must be filed, in writing, with said Director before the day fixed in his said order for action thereon, or must be made orally on said day, or on the day to which action on said order may be postponed. The day, hour and place fixed in said order for action thereon shall also be indicated in said notices.

The Secretary of the Department of Public Works shall cause to be mailed, at least 10 days prior to the hearing, postage prepaid, a copy of such order to each property owner whose name appears upon the assessment book of the City and County current at the time of the making of such order, and whose property is to be assessed for the proposed work or improvement. In case any lot, piece or parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work or improvement be assessed on such assessment book to "unknown owners," then no copy of such order need be mailed to the owner thereof.

The mailing of such copy of such order shall be to the address as the same appears upon the said assessment book as indicating the address of the owner of the property to be assessed for such work or improvement; and in case no such address appears upon said assessment book, then the mailing of such copy may be made either to an address designated in the last issue of the city directory having relation to a name corresponding to that of such owner, if such a name appear therein, or to an address obtainable from any other probably reliable source of information that may be conveniently available to the person performing such mailing, or such mailing to such owner may be made to the general delivery of the post office at the City and County.

The Supervisors, before ordering the contemplated work to be done or improvement made, may, if they deem it advisable, require an affidavit to be filed showing that the foregoing requirement for the mailing of such copies of the said order has been complied with. Such affidavit showing such mailing shall be conclusive of the facts therein recited.

Such requirement for such mailing of the copies of the order of intention shall not be deemed jurisdictional, and the failure of the said property owners, or any of them, to receive said copies of the said order, or any error or omission in relation to the said mailing of the same, shall in nowise affect the validity of the proceeding or prevent the Supervisors from acquiring jurisdiction to order the proposed work or improvement. Knowledge of the making of such order of intention acquired by any such owner, prior to the date of action thereon, in any manner other than by mailing to him a copy of such order, shall be deemed the equivalent of such mailing for all purposes to be subserved thereby.

Section 8. At any time before the day fixed in said order of said Director for action thereon by said Director, any owner of, or person interested in, property liable to be assessed for the proposed work, or the duly authorized representative of such owner, or other person, in his behalf, may make written protest against the same or to the extent of the district to be assessed therefor, or both, or make any other protest with regard thereto. Such protest must be in writing, contain a description of the property in which each signer thereof is interested, sufficient to identify the same, set forth the nature of his interest therein, and be delivered to the Department of Public Works of said City and County, the secretary or a clerk of which shall endorse thereon the date of receipt thereof. No other protests or objections shall be considered by said Director, except oral protests made at the time at which said Director conducts the hearing mentioned in his said order. At the time set for hearing protests said Director may publicly postpone action on his said order from time to time, and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice of such postponement and shall be governed thereby. The decision of said Director on all such protests shall be final and conclusive except in case of appeal to the Supervisors as in this ordinance hereinafter provided for.

Section 9. If any protest against such proposed work or proposed district or any other protest be sustained by said Director, he shall not thereby be prevented from commencing proceedings anew hereunder which shall embrace the same work and/or the same district or any part or parts of either or both thereof; and new proceedings may be had the same as if all such prior proceedings, no matter how many times instituted, had never been commenced.

If no protests be made against such proposed district, or if all protests made against the same be overruled by said Director, said Director shall accompany his recommendation to the Supervisors that the proposed work be ordered, with a diagram on which shall be delineated each separate parcel of land within the limits of such assessment district, the approximate dimensions of each such parcel, and its relative location to the work proposed to be done. The correctness of such diagram shall be certified by the City Engineer. Instead of said diagram said Director may accompany his said recommendation to the Supervisors with a description of such proposed district.

Section 10. If the protests to any proposed work or proposed district or other protests be all overruled, or if no protests be made, said Director shall, within five days from the date of his action upon his said order declaring his intention, make an order recommending to the Supervisors that they order such work to be done, and said Director shall cause a copy of said last mentioned order to be transmitted to the Supervisors.

When any protests by persons having any interest in the property to be assessed have been overruled by said Director, an appeal may be taken separately by each such protestant to the Supervisors from the decision of such Director. Each such appeal shall be in writing, and shall be signed by each protestant participating therein with his place of residence set down opposite his signature and with a description of the property in which he is interested sufficient for identification of the same. Such appeal must be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supervisors within ten days from the date of said Director's order recommending to the Supervisors that the work be ordered done, and a copy of such appeal must be filed in the office of said Department of Public Works within two days after the date of filing such appeal with the Clerk of the Supervisors. No appeal shall be considered by the Supervisors unless the same be taken and perfected in the manner and within the time herein provided.

When said Director has overruled all such protests, he shall within five days after the date of such action make his order reciting such action, and therein recommend to the Supervisors that they order the proposed work to be done and approve the proposed assessment district and transmit a copy of such order to the Supervisors.

When an appeal or appeals shall have been taken as herein provided, the Supervisors shall fix a time for hearing the same. The Clerk of the Supervisors shall thereupon notify the persons filing such an appeal or appeals of the time fixed for the hearing by mailing a notice thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to each of said persons at his address as given in such notice of appeal. The affidavit of said clerk of said mailing shall be conclusive of the fact.

At the time so fixed for hearing the appeal, the Supervisors shall hear and pass upon the same. Such hearing may be continued from time to time and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice thereof and shall be governed thereby.

Section 11. The Supervisors, if they do not deny such appeal, may by resolution delay further proceedings in relation to the proposed work for not more than one year from the date of the adoption of such resolution. Upon petition of the appellants the Supervisors may continue with the proceedings from time to time during said period of delay.

Upon expiration of the period of delay so fixed by the Supervisors, said Director may again recommend to the Supervisors that the pro-

posed work be done and the proposed district be confirmed, and thereupon the Supervisors, after notice to the appellants as provided for in Section 10, shall order the work to be done and the boundaries of the proposed district confirmed or may declare an abandonment of all proceedings theretofore had in the matter.

The ordering of any proposed work and confirmation of any proposed district shall be by ordinance.

Section 12. Said Director, at any stage of the proceedings for any proposed work, prior to action by the Supervisors upon his recommendation that they order the same done, may by order abandon any or all proceedings theretofore had in relation to such proposed work; and said Director may commence said proceedings anew and continue the same from any part of said proceedings not so abandoned. If said Director abandons any or all proceedings after his making an order of recommendation and before action thereon by the Supervisors, he shall cause notice of such fact forthwith to be transmitted to the Supervisors, and the Supervisors shall take no action upon the recommendation in such case.

If the Supervisors pass an ordinance ordering any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, they may upon recommendation of said Director repeal such ordinance ordering work.

Said Director, from time to time after he has abandoned any proceedings for any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, may institute and continue proceedings hereunder for the work theretofore proposed and abandoned, or for such work or modified work, as he may determine the public interest or convenience requires, all in accordance with the procedure prescribed in this ordinance.

Section 13. The ordinance ordering the work to be done and approving the assessment district shall contain a description of the assessment district similar to that contained in said order of said Director declaring his intention. In all other ordinances, resolutions, notices, orders and determinations, subsequent to said order of said Director declaring his intention and subsequent to the notices of hearing thereon, except the notices of recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment hereinafter provided for, it shall not be necessary to describe the assessment district, but it shall be sufficient to refer to said order of said Director declaring his intention for a description of the work and a description of the assessment district.

Section 14. After the Supervisors, pursuant hereto, have passed an ordinance ordering work to be done, said Director shall cause a notice to be published for two consecutive days in the official newspaper and posted conspicuously in his office for a period of not less than ten days, inviting sealed proposals for the contemplated work.

Said notice shall invite sealed proposals for the contemplated work to be delivered to said Director at his office, or at a place to be designated by him in said notice, on a day and during an hour to be specified therein, which shall be not less than ten days after the date of last publication of said notice as hereinabove provided and after the first day of said posting of said notice. Said notice shall contain a description of the proposed work substantially similar to that contained in the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend that the Supervisors order the same to be done. Said notice shall also contain a reservation of the right to reject any and all bids, and shall specify the period of time within which the work is to be completed after the date of execution of the contract therefor and the amount of the bond to be given by the awardee of the contract for faithful performance of the same.

Reference to the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the proposed work shall also be incorporated in said notice for further information concerning the details of the proposed work.

Section 15. All proposals shall be made upon printed forms to be prepared by said Director and furnished gratuitously upon application.

Every proposal made shall be accompanied by a check certified by a responsible bank, payable to the order of said City and County for an amount not less than ten per centum of the aggregate of the proposal, and no proposal shall be considered unless accompanied by such check.

No person, firm or corporation shall make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same improvement. If on the opening of bids more than one bid appear in which the same person, firm or corporation is interested, all said last mentioned bids shall be rejected.

On the day and during the hour specified in said notice inviting sealed proposals, said Director shall be in his office, or in the place designated by him in said notice, and all bids shall be delivered to him within the hour named in said notice. No bid not so delivered to him shall be considered. Each bid as it shall be received shall be numbered and marked "Filed" by said Director and authenticated by his signature. At the expiration of the hour stated in said notice, said Director shall publicly open, examine and declare the same and an abstract of each bid shall be recorded in a public register to be kept by said Director for such purpose. Said Director shall immediately compare the bids with the record so made, and shall thereupon or at such other time not exceeding twenty days thereafter award the contract for the work to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder, except as otherwise herein provided. Notice of such award shall be caused to be posted for five days by said Director in some conspicuous place in the office of the Department of Public Works and such notice shall be published once in the official newspaper. Said Director may reject any and all bids and may reject the bid of any bidder who has been delinquent or unfaithful in any former contract with said City and County and must reject all bids other than the bid of the lowest reliable and responsible bidder; and, on accepting said lowest bid, he shall thereupon return to the proper parties the checks corresponding to the bids so rejected. If all the bids are rejected said Director shall return all the checks to the proper parties and may again invite sealed proposals for the proposed work as in the first instance.

The check accompanying the accepted bid shall be held by said Director until the contract for doing said work as hereinafter provided has been entered into, either by said lowest bidder, or by owners as hereinafter provided, whereupon said certified check shall be returned to said bidder. If said bidder fails, neglects or refuses to enter into a contract to perform the work as in this ordinance hereinafter provided then the certified check accompanying his bid and the amount therein mentioned shall be declared by order of said Director to be forfeited to said City and County, and shall be collected by it and paid into the treasury of said City and County and credited to the item or items of the annual appropriation for the improvement of streets and sewers, unless said Director, or the Supervisors on appeal, duly remit such forfeiture.

Section 16. The owners of at least three-fourths of the assessable area of the assessment district shall not be required to present sealed proposals, but may upon making an oath that they are such owners, within ten days after the publication of the notice of award, elect to enter into a written contract with said Director to do the whole work specified in said award at the price or prices at which the same has been awarded.

Should such owners not enter into such a contract for said work within said time, the awardee to whom the contract has been awarded shall enter into a contract for said work within seven days after the expiration of the time within which said owners might have entered into a contract for the same.

Section 17. At any time within five days from the date of the publication of the notice of award hereunder of a contract for work, any owner of, or any other person having any interest in, any parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work, who claims that any of the previous acts or proceedings relating to said work are irregular,

defective, erroneous or faulty, may file in the office of said Department of Public Works a written notice specifying in what respect or respects said acts or said proceedings are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty. Said notice shall state that it is made pursuant to this section, and shall contain the address of the person filing the same, and a description of the property owned by or in which he is interested sufficient to identify the same.

All objections to any act or proceeding occurring prior to the time within which such objections are permitted to be filed in relation to said work, not made in writing and in the manner and at the time aforesaid, shall be deemed waived.

Section 18. If the original awardee fails or refuses, for seventeen days after the publication of the notice of award to enter into the contract, when the same in due form has been presented to him for execution by said Director, then said Director, without further proceedings shall again advertise for and receive bids, as in the first instance, and award the contract for the work to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder. Should no bids be received in response to such second call, said Director may again advertise for and receive bids under the same proceedings at any time within six months from the time set for the first reception of bids, and let the contract to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder, and such delay shall in no way affect the validity of any of the proceedings or assessments levied thereunder. The bids of all persons and the election of all owners aforesaid, who have failed to enter into a contract as herein provided, shall be rejected in or upon any bidding subsequent to the first bidding for such work.

Section 19. Every contract in this ordinance referred to shall be executed by said Director on behalf of said City and County. The Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County shall also approve by his signature every such contract which involves the expenditure of over \$2,000. Whenever in any such contract the City and County is obligated to pay any portion of the contract price, the Controller also shall approve such contract by his signature.

Section 20. Every contract entered into by said Director, pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall be signed by the other contracting party. Every such contract shall be signed in triplicate by all parties. One of said triplicates, together with the specifications or plans and specifications, as the case may be, of the work to be done under such contract, shall be kept in the office of said Director; another of said triplicates, with such plans and specifications, shall be delivered to the contractor or contracting owners referred to in Section 16 of this ordinance, and the third triplicate shall be delivered to the Controller.

At the time of execution of the contract by the contractor, or said contracting owners, he or they, as the case may be, shall execute to said City and County, and deliver with the contract, a bond in a sum named in the notice calling for bids, executed by a surety company authorized to do business in this state, or they shall deposit with said Director a certified check upon some solvent bank for said amount, all for the faithful performance of the contract.

The contract shall specify the time within which the work shall be completed, which shall be the same as that specified in the notice inviting bids therefor. Said Director may by order grant extensions of time within which to complete the work. An extension of time may be granted after the expiration of the time fixed in the contract, or as extended as herein provided, and the extension so granted shall be deemed to commence and be effective from the date of such expiration.

Failure of said Director to grant an extension or extensions of time as herein provided for shall not affect the rights of the contractor beyond a reasonable deduction from the contract price for any damage sustained by reason of delay in performance of the contract.

No such extension or extensions of time granted by said Director

for completion of the work shall operate to relieve any surety or sureties on any bond from the liabilities assumed in or by such bond; nor shall any assignment of the contract qualify or change any such liability.

Section 21. If the owners or the awardee, who have entered into such a contract, do not complete the same within the time limited in the contract, or such extended time as is hereinbefore provided for, said Director may reaward the whole or the unfinished portion of said work, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedure in this ordinance prescribed for inviting proposals and awarding the contract. In such event, said owners or awardee shall be debarred from again entering into a contract to do said work or the unfinished portion thereof.

If said owners or the awardee fail or refuse to complete the contract entered into, and said Director reawards the whole work or the incomplete portion thereof at a price in the aggregate exceeding that at which the work was originally awarded, the bond for faithful performance of the contract, in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for, shall be liable for any excess, resulting from such failure or refusal, of any assessment levied against any parcel of land for the expense of the work over what it would have been, had the original contract been performed; provided the original contract has not been cancelled by ordinance of the Supervisors.

Section 22. Every contractor, including contracting owners, to whom is awarded a contract for street work hereunder, shall upon the execution of such contract file with said Director a bond, approved by him, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable under the contract; such bond shall be executed by an authorized corporate surety able to justify in the manner provided by law; such bond must provide that if the contractor, or his, its or their subcontractors, fail to pay for any materials or provisions, or the reasonable rental value of teams, implements or machinery used in, upon, for or about the performance of the work contracted to be done, or for any work or labor of any kind done directly thereon or therefor, that the surety will pay the same, to an amount not exceeding the sum specified in such bond. Any laborer, materialman, person, company or corporation furnishing any of the items hereinabove in this section mentioned used in, upon, for or about, or contributing to, the performance of the work contracted to be done, and whose claim has not been paid may, at any time within ten days from the date of recordation of the assessment in the Department of Public Works, file in said Department a verified statement of his, its or their claim, together with a statement that the same or some part thereof has not been paid. Every person and corporation entitled to the benefit of this section shall severally have a first lien against the assessment, any partial assessment, any reassessment and any bonds issued to represent any such assessment or reassessment, which lien may be enforced in the Superior Court, in and for said City and County, according to the custom and practice of such court. Such action must be commenced within thirty days from the date of filing such verified statement.

No assignment by the contractor of the whole or any part of the money, or of such assessment, partial assessment, reassessment and/or bonds due or to become due him under the contract, or for extras in connection therewith, whether made before or after such verified claim is filed, shall be held to take priority over claims filed under this section, and all such assignments shall have no effect in so far as claims hereunder are concerned.

If any person or corporation against whom any such claim is filed shall dispute its correctness or validity, said Director may permit the contractor to whom the contract was awarded by said Director, or his assignee, to deliver to him a bond executed by a corporation authorized to issue surety bonds in the State of California, in a penal sum equal to one and a quarter times the amount of such claim; said bond shall

guarantee the payment of whatever sum such claimant may recover in an action on said claim. Upon the filing of such a bond, said Director may release such moneys, assessments, partial assessments, reassessments and/or bonds to which such contractor, or his assignees, otherwise shall be entitled. The sureties upon such last mentioned bond shall be jointly and severally liable, with the sureties upon the bond in this section first mentioned, to such claimant.

Suits against the surety or sureties on the bonds in this section mentioned may be brought by any claimant or his assigns, at any time after the claimant has ceased to perform labor or furnish materials or both and until the expiration of one hundred fifty days after the period within which verified claims may be filed as hereinabove provided. The filing of such a verified claim shall not be a condition precedent to the maintenance of an action against the surety or sureties on the bond in this section first mentioned, and an action on said bond may be maintained independently of any other action whatsoever. Upon the trial of any action in this section mentioned the court shall award to the prevailing party a reasonable attorney's fee to be taxed as costs.

Section 23. All work in this ordinance provided for must be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of said Director; and said Director shall require all materials used in such work to be in accordance with the specifications therefor; and all contracts provided for in this ordinance must contain a provision to the effect hereinbefore in this section set forth, and also a provision to the effect that in no case, except where it is otherwise provided in this ordinance or the Charter of said City and County, will said City and County or any department or official thereof, be liable for any portion of the expense of said work, or for any damages resulting in the course of the performance thereof, or for any delinquency of persons or of property assessed.

When any such work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of said Director, he shall so declare by certificate, which shall be made a permanent part of the records of his office, and thereupon he shall cause to be delivered to the contractor a duplicate of such certificate.

Section 24. When any work in, upon or over any public way shall have been completed according to contract, as herein provided for, said Director shall make an assessment to cover the sum due for the work performed and specified in said contract (including all incidental expenses), in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. The assessment shall briefly refer to the contract, the work contracted for and performed, and shall show the amount to be paid therefor, together with any incidental expenses, the amount of each assessment, the name of the owner of each lot (if known to said Director, and if not known the word "unknown" shall be written opposite the number of the lot and the amount assessed against it), and the number of each lot assessed; and said assessment shall have attached thereto a diagram exhibiting the public ways or public way crossings on, in or over which the work has been done, and showing the relative location of each distinct lot to the work done, numbered to correspond with the numbers in the assessment. A mistake in the name of the owner shall not invalidate any assessment.

All incidental expenses incurred in connection with the work must be paid to said Director before the issuance of the warrant, assessment and diagram herein provided for.

Subdivision 1. Where any work mentioned in this ordinance (man-holes, lampholes, cesspools, culverts, crosswalks, piling and capping excepted) is done on either or both sides of the center line of any street for one block or less and further work of the same class opposite to the work already done is ordered to be done to complete the unimproved portion of said street, the assessment to cover the total expense of said work so ordered shall be made upon the lots or portions of lots only fronting the portions of the work so ordered.

Where the sidewalk area of any portion of a street has been officially abolished in whole or reduced in part, and paving or other improvement of the roadway of such street has been extended to the inner line of the said area so abolished, the lot or lots fronting such abolished or reduced sidewalk area shall be additionally assessable for the costs and expenses of such extended paving or other improvement.

Subdivision 2. Immediately after the contractor has fulfilled his contract to the satisfaction of said Director, the said Director shall proceed to estimate upon the lands, lots or portions of lots within said assessment district, as shown by the diagram provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, the benefits arising from such work and to be received by each such lot, portion of such lot, piece or subdivision of land, and shall thereupon assess upon and against said lands in said assessment district the total amount of the expense of such work, together with all incidental expenses, and in so doing shall assess said total sum upon the pieces, parcels, lots or portions of lots, and subdivisions of land, in said district, benefited by said work, to-wit: Upon each respectively in proportion to the benefits received by each of said several lots, portions of lots or subdivisions of land.

Subdivision 3. The expense of all work on such portion of any street required by law to be kept in order by any person, company or corporation having railroad tracks thereon, shall be borne and paid for by such person, company or corporation, and shall be included in the assessment in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for. The provisions of this subdivision shall be applicable to any street whereon railroad tracks have been constructed and are being maintained by the City and County; and the City and County shall pay for all street work which, if such tracks were owned by a private person or corporation, would have to be paid for by such private person or corporation.

Subdivision 4. Whenever any parcel of land belonging to the United States, the State of California, said City and County, or any public agent, mandatory, board or institution, and being in use in the performance of a public function, shall be included within the district declared by said Director, in his order declaring his intention, to be the district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work, said Director may, in his said order, declare that such parcels of land, or any of them, shall be omitted from the assessment thereafter to be made to cover the expense of such work. In the event of such declaration of omission, then the total expense of all such work shall be assessed on the remaining lots lying within such assessment district, without regard to such omitted parcels of land. If, however, said Director shall, in his said order declaring his intention, declare that said parcels of land so owned as aforesaid, or any of them, shall be included in the assessment, or if no declaration be made respecting such parcels of land, or any of them, then the respective sums which shall be assessed against said parcels of land so owned and used shall be paid out of funds in the treasury of said City and County theretofore appropriated for the purpose of street improvement; provided, however, that such assessments shall not be payable out of funds in said treasury, unless the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller of said City and County, in writing, consent thereto before said Director shall make his said order declaring his intention; provided further that all of the provisions of Section 6 of this ordinance must be complied with; and provided further that any such sum or sums so assessed against parcels of land so owned and used shall not be payable out of such City and County funds, when such sum or sums are paid by the owners of or bodies controlling such parcels of land.

Subdivision 5. Any owner or owners of lots or lands fronting upon any street, the width and grade of which have been established by the Supervisors, may perform at his or their own expense (after obtaining permission from the Director of Public Works so to do, but before said Director has made his order of intention to recommend grading inclusive of this) any grading upon said street, not beyond its grade

as then established and thereupon may procure, at his or their own expense, a certificate from the City Engineer setting forth the number of cubic yards of cutting and filling made by him or them in said grading, and the proportions performed by each owner, provided, however, that, as to each lot, but one such certificate shall be issued for such grading; and thereafter such owner may file said certificate in the office of said Department of Public Works. Said certificate shall be recorded in a properly indexed book kept for that purpose in the office of said Department of Public Works. Whenever thereafter the Supervisors order the grading of said street, or any portion thereof, on which any grading certified as aforesaid has been done, the bids and contract must express the price by the cubic yard for grading, and such owner or owners, and his or their successors in interest, shall be entitled to credit on the assessment upon his or their lots and lands fronting on said street for grading thereof, to the amount of the cubic yards of cutting and filling set forth in his or their said certificate, at the prices named in the contract for said grading; or, if the grade meanwhile has been legally changed, only for so much of said certified work as would be required for grading to the grade as changed. Such owner or owners shall not be entitled to any credit that may be in excess of the assessment for grading upon the lots and lands owned by him or them, and proportionately assessed for the whole of said grading. Said Director shall include in the assessment for the whole of said grading upon the same grade the number of cubic yards of grading set forth in any and all certificates so recorded in said office, or for the whole of said grading to the changed grade, so much of said certified work as would be required for grading thereto, and shall enter corresponding credits, deducting the same as payments upon the amounts assessed against the lot and lands owned respectively by said certified owners and their successors in interest; but said Director shall not credit any sums in excess of the assessments for the whole of the grading, which are made upon any lots and lands fronting upon said street and belonging to any such certified owners or their successors in interest.

When any owner or owners of any lots and lands fronting on any street shall have heretofore done, or shall hereafter do any work, except grading, on such street, in front of any block at his or their expense, and the Supervisors shall subsequently order any work to be done of the same class in front of the same block the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be excepted from the order ordering the work to be done; but the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be upon the official grade, and in condition satisfactory to the Director of Public Works at the time said order is passed.

Section 25. To said assessment shall be attached a warrant which shall be signed by said Director and countersigned by the acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works. Said warrant shall be substantially in the following form:

By virtue hereof the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by the authority vested in it, does authorize (name of contractor) his (or their) agents or assigns, to demand and receive the several assessments upon the assessment diagram hereto attached, and this shall be his (or their) warrant for the same.

(Date)

(Name of said Director), Director of Public Works.

Countersigned by (name of acting Secretary of Department of Public Works), Acting Secretary of the Department of Public Works.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be recorded in the office of said Department of Public Works. When so recorded the several amounts assessed shall be and remain a lien upon the parcels of land assessed, respectively, and such lien shall so continue until it be fully paid and discharged of record. Such lien shall be subordinate

to all special assessment liens previously imposed upon the same property, but it shall have priority over all special assessment liens which may thereafter be created against said property. When a property owner, as hereinafter provided, gives a bond, in order that said assessment may be paid in installments, the unpaid balance of such bond shall continue to be a lien upon the parcel of land against which such bond shall be given until the expiration of two years after the date when the last installment payable under said bond shall become due. From the date of recordation of any warrant, assessment and diagram pursuant hereto, all persons interested in such assessment and in all property against which it shall be a lien shall be deemed to have notice of the contents of such warrant, assessment and diagram.

Section 26. No objection to the correctness or legality of the assessment or other act, determination or proceeding of said Director or of any board or officer, or otherwise, up to and including said recordation of the warrant, assessment and diagram, whether such objection appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment and diagram, or not, shall be made except by appeal to the Supervisors as in this section hereinafter provided for.

Upon the recordation in the office of the Department of Public Works of an assessment, diagram and warrant, as hereinbefore provided for, said Director shall cause notice of the recordation of the assessment, diagram and warrant to be given. Said notice shall also specify the time and place, to be fixed by said Director, when and where the protests of all persons interested in the work done or in the assessment, diagram or warrant for payment of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby, will be heard by the Supervisors, and shall also state that said assessment, diagram and warrant will be open to public inspection at the office of the Department of Public Works during business hours. Such notice shall be posted in the office of said Department of Public Works for not less than ten days before the time of hearing therein mentioned, and shall be published once in the official newspaper of said City and County not less than ten days before the time fixed for such hearing. Such notice shall also be posted in the manner provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance at least ten days before such hearing. All of the provisions of Section 7 shall be applicable to such posting. In said notice, reference shall be made to the order of said Director declaring his intention, for a description of the work done and no other description thereof shall be necessary. Said notice shall also contain the description of the assessment district contained in said order of said Director.

The owners, whether named in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or not, the contractor or his assigns, and all other persons interested in the work done, or in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or in any property affected thereby, feeling aggrieved by any act or determination of the said Director or of any board or officer in relation thereto, or who claim that the work has not been performed according to the contract in a good and substantial manner, or having or making any objection whatsoever to the correctness, fairness, reasonableness or legality of, or any other objection whatsoever to, the assessment, diagram or warrant or other act, determination or proceeding of the said Director or of any board or officer, or having or making any other objection whatsoever, shall, not later than the day before the day fixed for such hearing by the Supervisors, file in the office of the Clerk of said Supervisors notice of appeal and a copy thereof in the office of the Department of Public Works. Each such notice of appeal shall contain the name and address of the appellant, and, if he be interested in any property affected by the assessment, a description of the particular property in which he is interested, together with a statement of the nature of his interest therein. Each such notice shall also state the grounds upon which his grievance, claim or objection is based, in sufficient detail to make clear the nature of his grievance, claim or objection. If no appeal be filed with the

Supervisors within the time and in the manner in this section provided, then no hearing need be had by said Supervisors as hereinabove provided for.

If, however, appeal be made as herein provided for, the Supervisors, at the time and place specified in said notice, shall consider all the appeals, protests, grievances, claims and objections filed in the manner and within the time hereinabove specified, and shall hear all evidence, statements and argument offered in support thereof. Such hearing may be postponed by said Supervisors from time to time, and all persons shall be deemed to have notice of all such postponements and shall be governed thereby.

Upon such appeal the Supervisors may remedy and correct any error or informality in the proceedings and revise and correct any of the acts or determinations of said Director relative to said work; may confirm, amend, set aside, alter, modify or correct the assessment in such a manner as to them shall seem just, and may require the work to be completed according to their directions, and may instruct and direct said Director to correct the warrant, assessment or diagram in any particular, or to make and issue a new warrant, assessment and diagram to conform to their decisions in relation thereto, at their option.

All the decisions and determinations of the Supervisors, upon notice and hearing aforesaid, shall be final and conclusive upon all persons as to all errors, informalities and irregularities whether they do or do not appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment or diagram.

No assessment, warrant or diagram, after the issuance of the same, and no proceedings prior thereto, shall be held invalid by any court, or otherwise, for any error, informality, or other defect in the same, when notice of the recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment, diagram and warrant, and of hearing with regard thereto, has been actually published as in this section provided for.

When the time within which to appeal to the Supervisors, as hereinabove provided for, has expired, said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agent or assigns, on demand, provided no appeal has been filed as hereinabove provided for, but not until after the payment to said Director of the incidental expenses not previously paid. If an appeal to the Supervisors be taken within the time and in the manner hereinbefore provided for, then, upon the confirmation of a warrant, assessment and diagram, in connection with the work done, by the Supervisors, the same shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agents or assigns, on demand, after payment of the incidental expenses as aforesaid. By virtue of said warrant so delivered said contractor, or his agents or assigns, shall be authorized to demand and receive the amounts of the several assessments made to cover the sum due for the work specified in the contract and assessment.

Section 27. The warrant, after its delivery to the contractor or his assigns, shall constitute full authority to the contractor, his agent or assigns, to collect the said assessments, and they shall be free to make demands upon the owners by virtue of said warrant and to receive payment of said assessments and give receipts therefor. The warrant shall be returned to the Department of Public Works after its delivery to the contractor, or his assigns, with the written statement of all payments received upon the assessment, signed by the contractor, or his assigns, or some person on his or their behalf, and stating whether any of the assessment remains unpaid in whole or in part and the amount thereof. And if the assessment is payable in installments as provided in Part Two of this ordinance, then the fact that a bond has been given for such assessment shall be stated if such shall have been given. Thereupon the said Director shall cause the return so made to be recorded with the record of the warrant and assessment.

Section 28. At any time after thirty-five days from the date of the

warrant, or if an appeal has been taken to the Supervisors, then at any time after five days from the decision of the Supervisors on such appeal, or after the return of a warrant which has been corrected, altered or modified as herein provided, but not less than thirty-five days after the date of such last mentioned warrant, the contractor or his assignee may sue the owners of, and all other persons having an interest in or encumbrance upon, the land, lots or portions thereof, affected by the assessment, and recover the amount of any assessment remaining unpaid, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per centum per annum from the date of the assessment until paid.

In all cases of recovery under the provisions of this ordinance the plaintiff shall recover such sum as the court may adjudge reasonable, in addition to the taxable costs, as an attorney's fee. The plaintiff shall be entitled to recover such reasonable sum as an attorney's fee in addition to all taxable costs, notwithstanding the suit may be settled or tender made before recovery. The plaintiff shall also be entitled to recover as a cost of suit the expense of a title search or report, and all other necessary expenses of suit.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be held *prima facie* evidence of the regularity and correctness of the assessment and of the prior proceedings and acts of said Director and Supervisors, and otherwise, upon which said warrant, assessment and diagram are based, and like evidence of the right of the plaintiff to recover in the action. The court in which said suit shall be commenced shall have power to adjudge and decree a lien against the lots of land assessed, and to order them to be sold on execution, as in other cases of the sale of real estate by the process of such court, and with like right of redemption within one year of such sale, but not later. In all actions brought to enforce the lien of any assessment made pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance the proceedings therein shall be governed and regulated by the provisions of this ordinance and, when not in conflict with this ordinance, by the Codes of this State.

Section 29. No suit or proceeding to set aside, avoid, annul or correct any assessment or reassessment, or to review any of the proceedings in connection therewith, or to question the validity thereof, or to enjoin the collection thereof, or the issuance of bonds representing, or secured by the same, shall be maintained unless it be commenced within thirty days after the recording of the warrant, diagram, and assessment or reassessment in the Department of Public Works, and thereafter all persons shall be barred from commencing any such action or from interposing as a defense any asserted invalidity of any such assessment or of bonds issued thereon or of any such reassessment or of bonds issued thereon. No proceedings had under this ordinance shall ever be held invalid on the ground that the public way, or any portion thereof, in, over or upon which the work or improvement, or any part thereof, is or shall be done, has not been, or shall not have been, lawfully dedicated or acquired, provided the same shall be lawfully dedicated or acquired, or an order of immediate possession and use thereof shall have been obtained, at any time before the entry of judgment in the suit involving such proceeding.

Section 30. The said Director shall cause to be received at any time the amounts due upon any assessment and warrant issued, and shall also cause to be given a good and sufficient discharge therefor, unless written notice be given him that suit to foreclose an assessment has been filed.

The said Director shall cause to be released any assessment upon the books of the Department of Public Works on the payment of the amount of the assessment, with interest to date of payment, against any lot, or on the production to said Department of Public Works of the receipt of the party to whom the assessment and warrant were issued, or his assigns. If any warrant is lost, upon proof of such

loss, a duplicate may be issued, upon which a return may be made with the same effect as if the original had been so returned. Upon the return of the assessment and warrant as aforesaid, all amounts remaining due thereon shall draw interest at the rate of 7 per centum per annum until paid. Said Director shall have full power to extend the time for the return of such warrant to said department.

The records kept by said Director or department shall have the same force and effect as other public records, and copies thereof, duly certified by said Director or the Secretary of said department, may be used in evidence with the same effect as the originals. The said records shall, during all office hours, be open to the inspection of any person wishing to examine them, free of charge.

Section 31. In case any parcel of land against which any assessment has been levied has been subdivided or partitioned among several owners thereof, said Director of Public Works, on the written application of any owner thereof, shall make a proportionate division of such assessment and may amend the original assessment by a proportionate distribution of the assessment upon the several subdivisions of the parcel of land originally described. Such proportionate division of an assessment shall be without prejudice to the contractor or his assigns as to any assessment made in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance. Such amended assessment shall bear date the same as the original assessment.

Section 32. The contractor shall within ninety days of the issuance of the assessment submit to the Director of Public Works a list of all unpaid assessments, and it shall be the duty of the said Director to notify the Tax Collector of each assessment that is delinquent, and the lot and block number against which such assessment is levied, and it shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to note such delinquency on each annual tax bill, this being in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

PART II.

Section 1. Any assessment imposed under the provisions of this ordinance may, in and by the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance, be made payable in installments.

Whenever assessments are made payable in installments as aforesaid, the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance shall state the number of annual installments in which the assessment may be paid and the rate of interest, not over 7 per centum per annum, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance.

Section 2. In case the owner or owners of any parcel of land against which an assessment is imposed desires to avail himself or themselves of the privilege of paying such assessment in installments, and for and in consideration of such privilege, such owner or owners, within thirty days from the date of the return of the warrant made as required by Section 27 of this ordinance, shall make payment to the Department of Public Works for the contractor or his assigns or other person duly authorized to receive the same, of an amount equivalent to an installment payment on such assessment determinable by the number of installments made payable thereon, which amount so paid shall be deemed a payment of the first installment on such assessment under the terms of the bond hereinafter provided for, and in such bond shall be so designated. The interest payable under the terms of the said bond shall be computed from the date of the assessment on which such bond is based. Such owner or owners must, within not more than thirty days from the date of the payment hereinafter provided for, execute and acknowledge before an officer authorized by law to take acknowledgments of the conveyances of real property, and file with the Department of Public Works, a bond in triplicate, substantially in the following form:

Bond for Street Assessment.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, by proceedings duly and regularly taken, the validity and regularity whereof is hereby acknowledged and admitted, an assessment has been imposed upon the following described property, to-wit: (Description of property), and the amount of such assessment so imposed amounts to the sum of (amount of assessment) dollars;

Now, therefore, the undersigned, for and in consideration of the privilege given to pay such assessment in installments, hereby acknowledge (himself, herself or themselves) indebted, and promise to pay to (name of contractor) or order, said assessment in the sums, and at the times and place hereinafter set forth, to-wit:

Installment One—Amounting to \$..... Date of Payment.

Installment Two—Amounting to \$....., within
.....from the date hereof.

Installment Three—Amounting to \$....., within.....
from date hereof (each additional installment being set forth in the same manner and payable the appropriate time after the preceding numbered installment), together with interest on each of said installments at the rate of .. per centum per annum (being the rate fixed in the assessment issued by the Director of Public Works), until each such installment shall be paid.

Such interest shall be paid, as it accrues, on the dates for payment of said installments of principal. Said installments of principal and interest shall be payable at the office of the Director of Public Works at the City and County of San Francisco, in lawful money of the United States. In case (the undersigned) elect to pay all of said installments before maturity thereof (the undersigned) agree to pay, in addition to all other amounts due, six months' interest in advance.

In the event of default in the payment of any installment of principal or of interest according to the terms of this bond, then all of said installments of principal and all interest thereon shall become immediately due and payable and said Director is hereby authorized to sell the property herein described to pay the amount so due, together with the expenses of such sale.

Such sale shall be made by said Director in the manner and form provided by law for the sale of real property upon execution and after mailing to the undersigned a notice that proceedings to make such sale will be had unless payment of the amount due shall be made within ten days from the mailing thereof. Such notice shall be deposited in the United States Post Office addressed to the addresses given in this bond or such other addresses as may be hereafter filed with said Director.

Or the person in legal ownership of this bond shall, in the event of such default, have the right to foreclose the lien created by the said assessment for any unpaid portion thereof the same as if no bond had been given, and such lien shall continue until such assessment and accrued interest are fully paid. The undersigned agree to pay all expenses of said foreclosure including a reasonable attorney's fee and cost of title search.

It is hereby expressly provided that a lien for the full amount of the sum obligated to be paid under this bond, principal, interest and costs, and expenses of foreclosure, is hereby created and acknowledged upon, in and to the real property described herein and the improvements thereon and appurtenances thereto.

This bond is dated....., 19....

In witness whereof,set.....hand
this day of....., 19....

(Signed).....

Address.....

Section 3. If such bond is not executed within the time and in the manner hereinabove provided for, then the whole of the assessment on which such bond would be based shall thereupon be immediately due and payable.

Section 4. Forms of such bond shall be furnished by the Department of Public Works and thereto shall be attached appropriate coupons for the payment of the installments of principal and the interest on such bond. Such coupons respectively shall be payable to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County thirty days after the respective dates for payment of principal installments and interest by those who have executed the bond to which the coupons shall be attached. Said Director of Public Works shall cause said bonds and coupons to be fully prepared for execution and shall supervise the execution thereof by the proper parties as hereinbefore provided for. When such bonds and attached coupons have been fully prepared and executed in the manner hereinbefore provided for and delivered to the Department of Public Works, one of said triplicates shall be recorded in the office of the Recorder of said City and County, who shall make no charge therefor; after recordation, said triplicate shall be retained in the office of said Department of Public Works; another of said triplicates shall be delivered to the contractor named therein and the other shall be delivered to the Treasurer of said City and County.

Said Director shall cause to be kept a record of all payments received by him on said bonds and the coupons attached thereto and of all penalties accruing thereon, and upon delivering such moneys to the Treasurer said Director shall report to the Treasurer the particular bonds and coupons and penalties to be credited with such payments, so that the Treasurer will know exactly on which bonds and coupons disbursements by him of such moneys shall be made.

Said Director shall also cause all such payments to be entered in an appropriate book of record in his office to the credit of the appropriate bond and assessment. And every such assessment shall remain a first lien upon the property affected until said assessment and the bond based thereon, and the accrued interest thereon and the penalties, if any, thereon shall be fully paid according to the terms of said bond. Said bonds, by their execution, shall be conclusive evidence of the regularity of all proceedings theretofore had under this ordinance.

Said Director is hereby authorized to make any sale authorized by any such bond and shall issue for each sale an original and duplicate certificate of sale in appropriate form, referring to this ordinance, describing the parcel or parcels of land sold and containing the name of the purchaser. The original shall be delivered to the purchaser and the duplicate shall be kept on file and record in the office of said Director.

Section 5. If the property sold, as provided in the above proceedings, be not redeemed within one year after the sale, said Director shall then issue to the party named in the original certificate, or his assignee, a deed of the property described in said certificate, which said deed shall refer, in general terms, to the proceedings under which the same is issued, and shall contain a description of the property, following the description in the certificate; the grantee in such deed is immediately upon receipt thereof entitled to possession of the property described therein.

Section 6. At any time before the expiration of one year from the date of the certificate of sale, any property sold under the provisions of the preceding sections may be redeemed by any person having an interest in the property sold, by the payment to said Director of the amount for which the property was sold, with an additional penalty of 1 per cent per month of the amount for which the same was sold; all such redemption money shall be paid over by said Director to the Treasurer with a statement indicating the specific bond to which such money shall be credited, and the Treasurer

shall pay all such redemption money to the holder of the proper original certificate of sale, upon delivering up the same and receipting for the amount received.

Section 7. Said Director of Public Works shall cause to be kept a record of all bonds given as herein provided, wherein shall be entered the name of the person executing the same, a description of the land described therein, the number and amount of the installments, the time when the same are due, the date and the amount of all payments and the date of all payments to the City and County Treasurer for the holders of each bond.

Section 8. In case it shall appear at any time that any bond made as herein provided has not been executed by the owner or owners of the property described therein, or that for any reason any such bond is invalid, or that a sale in accordance with its terms would not convey a full and clear title to such property, then the person entitled to collect and receipt for the payment of the original assessment, or his assigns, shall have the right to foreclose the lien thereof for any unpaid portion, as such lien was originally imposed and such lien shall continue until such original assessment is fully paid.

Section 9. The bond provided for in Part II of this ordinance may be made, executed and filed in accordance with and subject to the requirements, terms and conditions in said Part II prescribed for such bond, after the expiration of the time, as therein provided for, within which to make, execute and file such bond, if the contractor to whom an assessment, payable in installments, has been issued, or his assignee, or other owner of such assessment, shall consent to the making, executing and filing of such bond after such expiration of time, and such consent shall be expressed on the back or margin of such bond.

PART III.

Section 1. Anything in this ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding, said Director of Public Works shall in the assessment and warrant provided for in Sections 24 and 25 of this ordinance make each assessment which exceeds 50 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied payable in annual installments. No such annual installment payment shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied. The assessed value in this section referred to shall be the assessed value fixed by the Assessor of said City and County for the fiscal year in which said Director shall make the original assessment and warrant. The dates for payment of installments on an assessment shall not extend over a period of more than ten years from the date when the first installment will be payable. The assessment made by said Director shall state the number of installments in which the assessment payable in installments may be paid, the respective dates for payment of the several installments, and the rate of interest, not to exceed 7 per cent, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance. Every assessment and accrued interest, however, may be paid in cash at any time, unless suit has been filed thereon or unless a bond has been executed thereon.

No objection whatsoever relative to the making of such an assessment payable in installments as in this section provided for, or relative to the amount of any such assessment or assessment installment, or relative to the time or manner of payment of any such assessment or assessment installment may be made in any manner or in any court or tribunal except by such party or parties as shall have appealed to the Supervisors in the manner provided for in Section 26 of this ordinance. All persons who have not so appealed to the Supervisors shall be deemed to have waived every objection in this Section 1 of Part III referred to.

Any assessment which violates any of the provisions of this section or of Section 111 of the Charter of said City and County may be amended or corrected, in the manner in this ordinance provided for the amendment or correction of an assessment, or a reassessment may be made as in this ordinance provided for, in order that such original assessment may be made to conform to this section and Section 111 of said Charter.

Section 2. Whenever any assessment heretofore made or issued or filed or which may be hereafter made, issued or filed pursuant to this or any other ordinance is or shall be void or unenforceable, for any cause, or if bonds shall have been, or shall be, issued to represent or be secured by any assessments and such issuance shall not have been, or shall not be, effective through the curative provisions in relation thereto, then, in any of such events, a reassessment therefor may be issued. The true intent and meaning of this section is to make the cost and expense of work or improvement made through an attempted compliance with this ordinance, payable by the real estate benefited by such work or improvement by making a reassessment therefor.

Such power of reassessing embraces both a full and a partial reassessment, and is not exhausted by a single attempted exercise thereof.

A reassessment shall be ordered by the Board of Supervisors under any one of four circumstances.

First—Where the owner or holder of any assessments, or bonds issued under this ordinance to represent or be secured by assessments, or the person who would own or hold any such assessment or bonds if the same were issued, requests the Supervisors to order a reassessment. In such event, if said Supervisors be of the opinion that the assessments or bonds in question are not enforceable, they shall order the making and issuing of a reassessment covering only the assessments owned or held by the petitioner, or the assessments represented or secured by the bonds owned or held by such petitioner, or which would be owned or held by petitioner if issued.

Second—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to foreclose the lien of any assessment or to enforce the obligation of any bond issued to represent or be secured by any assessments issued under this ordinance, has for any reason held such lien unenforceable, then it shall in and by its decree direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Third—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to set aside the lien of any assessment or of any bond representing any assessment, or in any suit to quiet title against the lien of any such assessment, or bond, or in any suit to enjoin the making, filing, confirmation or issuance of any assessment or bond to pay for the cost and expense of any work done hereunder, shall in its judgment decree such assessments or bonds to be void, or unenforceable, or shall enjoin the making, filing or issuance of confirmation of any such assessment or bond, then it shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Fourth—Whenever any contractor or assignee of a contractor shall have done or performed any work or improvements pursuant to proceedings had and taken in attempted compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and whenever prior to the issuance of any assessment, any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to invalidate the contract or any of such proceedings shall for any reason declare said contract or other proceedings to be invalid, then such court shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of an assessment for the reasonable value of the work and improvement actually done and performed in good faith by the contractor, or such portion thereof as was of a kind that could lawfully have been ordered under the provisions of this ordinance.

The manner of making, issuing and enforcing the reassessments shall be as follows:

Said Director of Public Works shall, upon the entering of a decree of court directing a reassessment or upon the making of an order by the Supervisors directing a reassessment, proceed to make a reassessment in the following manner:

If the reassessment be a partial one only, then it shall not be necessary for the diagram to show any other lots than the ones covered by such partial reassessment. If it be a full reassessment, however, then said Director shall prepare and file with the reassessment a diagram showing the lots, pieces or parcels of land deemed by him to have been benefited by the work or improvement. Upon any reassessment as in this section provided for, said Director and the Supervisors shall have unlimited power to fix the boundaries of the district to be charged with the expense of the work in accordance with the benefits of the work to property as such benefits, at the time of reassessment, shall appear to said Director or the Supervisors, and to make the boundaries of such district either the same as they theretofore were or different from the boundaries of the district specified in said Director's declaration of intention. It is the intent hereof that upon any such reassessment said Director and/or the Supervisors then shall have full power to determine what district and property have been benefited by the work and to make the reassessment accordingly. The reassessment shall assess upon and against each of the lots, pieces or parcels of land contained therein an amount arrived at as follows: The benefits derived, or to be derived by each of the said lots, pieces or parcels of land from the work or improvement, estimated as of the date of the filing in the office of the Department of Public Works of the original assessment, shall first be listed. Then there shall be added thereto interest thereon from the date of filing the original assessment in the Department of Public Works at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, and the total sums shall constitute and be the amount of the proposed several assessments in such reassessment. The total of such reassessments, however, exclusive of interest, shall not exceed the cost and expenses of the work of improvement. Such assessment need not be in any prescribed form, but shall refer to the original assessment filed, give the date of filing of said original assessment and state that it is made pursuant to the order of the Supervisors or decree of the court, as the case may be, and shall be accompanied by a diagram showing the lots to be reassessed and their relation to the work. It shall then be presented to the Supervisors, who shall fix a time for hearing before them. Such time must be at least twenty days after the reassessment is so presented. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall then advertise the time of such hearing before the Supervisors by publishing a notice once in the official newspaper. Said Clerk shall also mail notice of such hearing as provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance. But this requirement as to mailing notice shall have no greater effect than that provided for in said Section 7. And a description of the district shall be set forth in the notice. At the time fixed for said hearing, or at such time or times to which the same may be thereafter adjourned, the Supervisors shall consider the objections to said reassessment and in their discretion informally direct the revision, correction or modification of such reassessment in such manner as is most equitable to apportion to each lot, piece or parcel of land hereby benefited the amount of the actual benefits derived from said improvement. When such reassessment shall have been revised, or corrected, or modified so as to comply with the judgment of said Supervisors, then they shall pass a resolution confirming the reassessment. The said Director of Public Works shall thereupon record the reassessment with a certificate at the end thereof by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Said Director shall also note opposite the several assessments in the original assessment that have

been displaced, the fact that the reassessment has been made, giving its date, and shall credit upon such reassessment all payments theretofore made upon the original assessment, or upon the bonds issued to represent the same, together with interest on such payments at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from and after the date of such payments. Such reassessment shall be collectible and payable in the same manner as an original assessment and shall be enforceable by suit in the same manner provided in this ordinance for enforcing an original assessment, and shall have the same weight in evidence. In the event that bonds shall have been issued under or upon the security of the original assessment, they shall also issue upon the reassessment for such sums as may be reassessed against the lots, pieces or parcels of land covered thereby. When the reassessment is recorded the original assessment shall be canceled by said Director so far as the reassessment affects the original assessment involved. New bonds shall not be issued until the original bonds are delivered up to the City and County Treasurer, who shall cancel the same. The lien of such reassessment shall hold its relative rank as to other special assessment liens as of the date of filing of the original assessment.

In the event such work or improvement made in attempted compliance with this ordinance is fully completed to the satisfaction of said Director, then it shall be the duty in any event of said Director to make and file an assessment for costs and expenses thereof so as to form the basis of a reassessment, even though such assessment should be unenforceable.

Section 3. If the Director of Public Works, for any reason whatsoever, may not perform any of the duties or functions by this ordinance imposed upon him, or if it becomes inconvenient for him to perform any such duties or functions, then, in every such case, such duties and functions may be performed by the City Engineer in said Department of Public Works, as a substitute for said Director, or, in any such case, said duties and functions may be performed by any one in said department designated by the Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County. The duties and functions hereby imposed upon said City Engineer may be performed by any one else in said Department designated by said Director. Whenever such a substitute shall act, either for said Director or for said City Engineer, the records of said department shall include a written designation by said Chief Administrative Officer or said Director, as the case may be, appointing such substitute. Such designation shall indicate the period during which such substitute shall be authorized so to act. Such written designation shall be conclusive of all facts therein recited, and all acts of such substitutes, respectively, shall have the same validity as if they had been performed by said Director or said City Engineer, as the case may be.

Section 4. Notice in writing required to be given by said Director may be served by any person over the age of twenty-one years, and the fact of such service may be verified by the oath of the person making it. Such oath may be taken before said Director or the Acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works.

All notices and resolutions required by this ordinance to be published shall be published in the official newspaper.

All notices herein required to be served, whether by delivering, mailing or posting, may be so served by any citizen of the age of twenty-one years or over, and his affidavit thereof shall be prima facie evidence of such service. The affidavit of the publisher of the official newspaper or his clerk, of the publication of any notice required in this ordinance to be published, shall be prima facie evidence of such publishing.

Section 5. Whenever any resolution, order, notice or determination is required to be published or posted, and the duty of posting or procuring the publication or posting of the same is not specifically enjoined upon any officer of the City and County, it shall be the

duty of the Director of Public Works to procure the publication or posting, as the case may be. No proceeding or step herein shall be invalidated or affected by any error or mistake or departure herefrom as to the officer or person posting, or procuring the publication or posting, of any resolution, notice, order or determination hereunder when the same is actually published or posted for the time herein required.

Section 6. The term "incidental expenses," as used in this ordinance, shall include the cost of engineering work; also, the cost of printing and advertising as provided in this ordinance, including the estimated cost of printing any bonds to be issued to represent or be secured by unpaid assessments; also the cost of superintendence of the work mentioned in this Ordinance; also the expenses of making the assessment and of typing and preparing the resolutions, notices and other papers and proceedings for any work authorized by this ordinance; also the expenses of making any analysis and tests to determine that the work and any materials or appliances incorporated therein comply with the specifications; and any other expenses incidental to the construction, completion and inspection of the work in the manner herein provided for. All demands for incidental expenses mentioned in this section shall be presented to the Director of Public Works, by an itemized bill, duly verified by oath of the demandant.

Section 7. The word "street," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include avenues, highways, lanes, alleys, crossings or intersections, courts and places, which have been dedicated and accepted according to law or in common and undisputed use by the public for a period of not less than five years next preceding. The word "places," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include any public park or pleasure ground and common which has been dedicated and accepted according to law, and this ordinance shall include the improvement of a park, public pleasure ground and common.

Section 8. Whenever in proceedings hereunder a time and place for hearing by the Director of Public Works or the Supervisors is fixed and, from any cause, the hearing is not then and there held or regularly adjourned to a time and place fixed, the power of the said Director or Supervisors in the premises shall not thereby be divested or lost, but the said Director or Supervisors may proceed anew to fix a time and place for the hearing, and cause notice thereof to be given by publication by at least one insertion in the official newspaper, such publication to be at least five days before the date of the hearing, and thereupon said Director or Supervisors shall have power to act as in the first instance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be liberally construed to the end that its purposes may be effected. No error, irregularity, informality, and no neglect or omission of any officer of the City and County, in any procedure taken hereunder, shall avoid or invalidate such proceeding or any assessment for the cost of work done hereunder. The exclusive remedy of any person affected or aggrieved thereby shall be by appeal to the Supervisors as herein provided.

Section 10. If any one or more of the sections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences or other parts of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason whatsoever, then it is the intent hereof that the remainder of this ordinance shall stand, and it is hereby declared that such remainder would have been enacted even if such invalid portions, if such there be, had never been enacted.

Section 11. This ordinance may be designated and referred to as the "Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934."

Section 12. Any proceedings for street work heretofore commenced under any method or system provided by any Charter of said City and County, or by act of the Legislature, or by ordinance of said City and County, shall not be affected by this ordinance but may be

continued until completion by and under the method provided by the Charter, law or ordinance under which they were originally commenced, or they may be abandoned by order of said Director of Public Works and instituted under the proceedings provided for in this ordinance, in case no contract for the work or improvement has been entered into.

Section 13. Ordinance No. 2439 and Ordinance No. 4720, heretofore adopted by the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances amendatory thereof, are hereby repealed; provided, however, said ordinances are not hereby repealed in so far as proceedings heretofore commenced pursuant to them are concerned, and as to all such proceedings only said ordinances are not hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Eaton & Smith, Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1331, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of 90 days' time from and after February 23, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Ortega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.

Improvement delayed awaiting opinion of City Attorney as to legality of contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Approving Map Showing Various Streets and Declaring Them Open Public Streets.

(Code No. 12.0826)

Also, Resolution No. 1332, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain diagram entitled "Map showing the opening of Bay Shore boulevard from Army street to the County line; Industrial street from Islais Creek Channel to Bay Shore boulevard and Alemany boulevard from Bay Shore boulevard to San Bruno avenue; also the widening of Salinas avenue between Jamestown and Key avenues; also the realignment of San Bruno avenue at Campbell avenue, and Hester avenue (northerly termination) at Bay Shore boulevard; also portions of streets closed and abandoned," approved by the Department of Public Works' Resolution No. 3805, approved February 28, 1934, be and is hereby approved, and parcels shown hatched thereon be and are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

The following motion was *laid over one week*:

Relative to Reclamation of Candlestick Point Lands for Park and Industrial Purposes.

(Code No. 12.211)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1304, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as it is contemplated by a private concern to request a loan from the Federal Government to build homes on Candlestick Point, it is deemed advisable to inform Director, P. W. A., in Washington, D. C., that San Francisco intends to condemn the land east of Hawes street on Candlestick Point for park purposes; that the proposed work is a 100 per cent labor project and that our unemployed are to be put to work thereon leveling the thirty-six acres of hillside, the earth to be removed to be used as a fill for adjacent mud flats to be reclaimed for industrial purposes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is authorized and directed to send a telegram to Director, P. W. A., informing him of San Francisco's intention in premises.

Leave of Absence—Philip Lee Bush, President, Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of one week, beginning March 8, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1330, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing March 8, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors to Attend
Conference on State Liquor Control.**

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Bill No. 544, Ordinance No. 5.938, as follows:

Authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors to attend a conference on State Liquor Control at Los Angeles, and providing for payment of expenses thereto.

Authorization is hereby granted the President of the Board of Supervisors, James B. McSheehy, or other member of the Board, to attend the conference on State Liquor Control to be held in Los Angeles, California, on the 14th of March, 1934.

Section 2. The expense of said President shall be allowed and paid in conformity with the provisions of section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0562 of the Board of Supervisors.

Passed for second reading by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Receipts and Expenditures, Civic Auditorium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that the Director of Property has been instructed to furnish the Board of Supervisors with statement of receipts and expenditures for the Civic Auditorium and the names of each organization which rented same in 1933.

Ordered filed.

Automobiles Operated by Various City Departments.

Also, communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that report is being prepared and will be forwarded when completed, on cars operated by the various departments, giving in detail information required.

Ordered filed.

Consolidation of City Telephone System.

Also, communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that the telephone company is now investigating the subject of consolidating all City telephones under one PBX, UN derhill 8500, and report will be forwarded as soon as available.

Ordered filed.

Electric Wiring, Board of Education.

Also, communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, advising that the matter of furnishing report of electric wiring and specifications in the premises remodeled for the Board of Education in the Auditorium Building has been referred to the Director of Public Works with instructions to furnish report to the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered filed.

Divisional Highway.

Also, communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting communication from the City Engineer relative to requested estimate of cost of survey of the proposed Divisional Highway from Diamond and Twenty-seventh streets to Alemany boulevard, which approximates the cost at \$10,000.

Ordered filed.

Naval Construction on Pacific Coast.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from H. C. Roosevelt, Acting Secretary of the Navy, referring to shipbuilding program covered by the Vinson-Trammell Bill now before the Senate, declaring that this is an authorization bill and does not appropriate any funds for the construction of the vessels referred to, and declaring that if and when appropriations are made covering this program careful consideration will be given to the shipbuilding interests of the Pacific Coast.

Ordered filed.

Relief for Single Men.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from M. C. Sloss, chairman, San Francisco Emer-

gency Relief Committee, stating that Mr. Charles Kendrick, member of the committee, and Mr. J. P. Rettenmayer, chairman of the Emergency Relief Committee's Subcommittee on Single Men, will appear at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on March 12 and represent the Emergency Relief Committee in the hearing of the resolution of Supervisor Uhl, which purports to withdraw the jurisdiction of the Emergency Relief Committee from single men and place it in the hands of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered filed.

Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Shannon moved suspension of the rules and that the question of garbage disposal be taken up.

Supervisor Uhl made an extended statement in reply to Jas. M. Hanley made at the last meeting of the Health Committee charging him with a personal interest in tidelands at Pt. Richmond which has been under consideration as a garbage fill.

The following matters were read into the record:

Statement of Supervisor Uhl.

Ownership of lands in Contra Costa county whereupon the refuse matter collected by scavengers in the City and County of San Francisco came under discussion before the Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors this morning (March 8th), and inasmuch as a corporation in which I have an interest owns lands adjoining the tidelands on which said refuse is to be deposited, and in order that there can be no misunderstanding regarding the matter, I desire to make the following statement:

That the property of the Aetna Investment Company in which I hold an interest and which was brought into the discussion today is not included in the proposal of Capt. Walters regarding disposal of refuse matter on Contra Costa tidelands; that no one connected with the Aetna Company has been approached by anyone regarding the purchase of the holdings of the Aetna Company, neither does anyone hold an option on said Aetna Company land.

At the Health Committee meeting held February 27th I asked Capt. Walters if he would take Supervisor Schmidt and myself over the land which he proposed to reclaim. Supervisor Schmidt and I, accompanied by Capt. Walters, visited the properties last Sunday, and not until then did I know that the tidelands which Capt. Walters proposes to reclaim with the refuse matter from San Francisco adjoins the property of the Aetna Company.

(Signed) ADOLPH UHL.

San Francisco, March 8, 1934.

Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt and Hayden, Health Committee of Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sirs:

Re: Garbage Disposal.

The writer, together with his son, James L. Hanley, appeared on behalf of J. P. Holland, Inc., for a permit to dispose of the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco. Facts have come to our attention which my client desires to be presented to your Committee.

There is before your Committee an application for a franchise to dispose of the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco in the county of Contra Costa. The application is presented by Captain Walters and his associates. In this application, there is set forth at length a description of the property on which it is proposed that the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco be dumped. We are informed that some 633 acres plus, described in said application, is the property of the Aetna Investment Company, and that record

title stands in its name as of February 21, 1934. The Contra Costa County Title Insurance Company will furnish a title report of said 633 acres confirming this statement. That Parcel 3, described in said Walters' Application for Franchise, is the parcel owned by said Aetna Investment Company.

An examination of the Articles of Incorporation of the Aetna Investment Company on file in the office of the County Clerk of the City and County of San Francisco, which Articles are numbered 17457 in said County Clerk's files, shows that on the date of the incorporation of the Company on May 28, 1920, the Directors appointed by the Articles were Adolph Uhl, San Francisco, George Uhl, San Francisco, and Charles H. Lovell, Piedmont. This Company was incorporated May 28, 1920, and the Articles of Incorporation were filed in the office of the County Clerk on May 29, 1920.

An examination of the records of the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco discloses that a deed from the Aetna Investment Company to St. Paul Investment Company, dated April 8, 1932, and recorded on April 12, 1932, in Book 2340 of Official Records, at page 283, was executed by Eleanor Uhl Dawson, President, and Constance Uhl, Secretary of the Aetna Investment Company. This property is known as the St. Paul Building and is on Geary street near Powell.

Examination of the Tax Collector's records of the City and County of San Francisco shows that H. W. Dawson, of 833 Market street, on December 5, 1932, by check, paid the taxes on the last-mentioned property.

Eleanor Uhl Dawson and Constance Uhl, above mentioned, are the daughters of Supervisor Uhl, and H. W. Dawson is the son-in-law of Supervisor Uhl.

You will recall that at the Health Committee held on Tuesday, February 27, 1934, Supervisor Uhl was present when the hearing was had on the application of Captain Walters. The direct question was asked Supervisor Uhl as follows:

Question: "Do I take it then that Supervisor Uhl is in favor of the plan to dispose of garbage in Contra Costa County?"

Supervisor Uhl answered as follows: "I will answer that through the Chair—Yes."

At the same meeting Supervisor Uhl questioned Captain Walters as follows (this was in relation to the Contra Costa property):

Mr. Uhl: "You would cover it with specifications?"

Captain Walters: "Yes."

Mr. Uhl: "How far are properties of habitation?"

Captain Walters: "Couple of miles—there is no house within a couple of miles—I'd be glad to take the meeting over there any time if you wish to see the property."

Mr. Uhl: "Dr. Schmidt and I would like to go over Sunday morning and see what is included in this." (Meaning Sunday, March 4th.)

It is provided in section 22 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, in part, as follows:

"No Supervisor and no officer or employee of the City and County shall be or become, directly or indirectly, interested in or in the performance of, any contract of . . . nor shall any person in this section designated during the time for which he was elected or appointed, acquire any interest in, any contract with, or work done for, the City and County, or any department or officer thereof, or in any franchise, right or privilege granted by the City and County, unless the same shall be devolved upon him by law; . . . violation of any of the provisions of this section shall constitute official misconduct."

From the foregoing it will be evident to your Health Committee that a full investigation should be had.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd) JAMES M. HANLEY.

Communication of Paul Fratessa.

A communication from Paul Fratessa, attorney, pertaining to the foregoing matter was presented and read by the Clerk.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Schmidt moved that all the facts presented by Supervisor Uhl, in connection with garbage disposal by fill and cover in Contra Costa County, be presented to the Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco for investigation.

Motion carried.

Providing for Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1337, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise, or privilege, for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal, by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County, and designated as Assessor's Block No. 3914 (bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets), or in the immediate vicinity, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the said grantee will file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an affidavit giving the completed cost of said incinerator before the incinerator is placed in active operation.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

That the person to whom the franchise is awarded shall, upon acceptance of said proposal, post a bond of \$50,000 for faithful performance of the foregoing provisions.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Emerson Knight, Member of the Art Commission.

March 12, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of three months, commencing March 15th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following was *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1328, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Emerson Knight, member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence of ninety (90) days from and after March 15, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

In Memoriam: Frank Conklin.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1335, as follows:

Whereas, it is with a distinct shock that the Board of Supervisors learns of the passing of the late Frank Conklin, an outstanding citizen of the community, widely known for his lodge activities and open-handed charity; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, by this means, expresses its deep sense of loss in his passing, that when it adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the late departed, and that copies of this resolution be sent to the relatives of the late Frank Conklin.

Adopted by rising vote.

In Memoriam: Nora Sullivan.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1336, as follows:

Whereas, the beckoning hand of the Angel of Death has summoned from this life to a well merited reward, a great and noble woman in the person of Nora Sullivan, for fifty years a teacher in the public schools of San Francisco, and some time principal of the Junipero Serra School; and

Whereas, Nora Sullivan, beloved sister of Honorable Matt I. Sullivan, former Chief Justice of the State of California, and the late Honorable Jeremiah F. Sullivan, former Superior Judge, was no less distinguished than her distinguished brothers in her very quiet, unobtrusive career and won to her heart a host of loving friends who now mourn her passing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses its deepest sorrow at the death of this well beloved and noble woman, and extends its condolences to her family and relatives; and be it further

Resolved, That when this Board of Supervisors adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of Nora Sullivan.

Adopted by rising vote.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week* by the following vote:

Report of Welfare Committee on Candlestick Point Project.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

San Francisco, March 9, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Your Public Welfare Committee, which has held two public hearings on the subject matter of resolution introduced by

Supervisor Uhl, requesting the Board of Supervisors to protest to the United States Government against loaning Federal money for a proposed housing project in the vicinity of Candlestick Point, begs leave to report that it finds that the only concern for the time being the City may have in the premises is relative to that land east of Hawes street embraced in this proposed housing project, which lands amount to approximately 50 per cent of the land involved in the project.

The committee believes that the Board must be mindful of the danger to the industrial future of San Francisco if this Board remains silent and permits the hill land lying east of Hawes street to be forever removed from the proposed plan for industrial development before the Board of Supervisors.

Further, your committee believes that, with the assistance of the Chamber of Commerce and other associations and by including in the forthcoming budget an amount sufficient to purchase the land lying east of Hawes street, which land has been appraised at \$18,000 by the Director of Property, and that there is a possibility that the scheme of reclaiming tide lands with the material available on Candlestick Point will appeal to the Federal Government because it provides the best opportunity for employment of an almost unlimited number of men on 100 per cent hand labor, which is one of the Government's prime purposes in providing money for C. W. A. projects.

Therefore, your committee recommends that this Board of Supervisors make a formal request of the proper Federal officials to not grant the loan covering the land east of Hawes street. The Board of Supervisors does recommend consideration of a loan for erecting homes on the land lying west of Hawes street on Candlestick Point.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

ADOLPH UHL, Chairman,

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 19, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 19, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 19, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 19, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.
His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 12, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Ratto, the following matter was *laid over one week*:

Hearing of Appeal From Assessment on Garfield Street.

Hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Re-Referred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee*, meeting Friday at 10 a. m. Members requested to visit shelters:

Supervisors to Administer Relief to Single Men.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1326, as follows:

Whereas, State law places upon the Board of Supervisors responsibility for care of the indigent person, and permits said Board to appoint a committee to take charge of the work; and

Whereas, the committee in charge of relief matters was not appointed by the present Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the single men now on relief, many of whom are overseas war veterans, are receiving shabby treatment in the matter of sleeping quarters, the men being provided with only one blanket, whereas prisoners in the County Jail are provided with two blankets, and in the Federal relief quarters are furnished three blankets; and

Whereas, the men at the shelters are receiving only two meals per day as compared with prisoners in the City and County Jails being fed three times a day, with fish and meat on Fridays at the County Jail, compared with stew or possibly beans on Fridays at the municipal kitchen; and

Whereas, Wednesday, February 28th, the men were locked out of their shelter at 1161 Folsom street and ordered to sleep at 1261 Howard street, and the latter shelter was found to be infested with vermin and unfit for human habitation, causing scores of men to prefer walking the streets during the night to sleeping in said shelter; and

Whereas, rent is being paid for shelters while there is available St. Catherine's Home, at which site temporary buildings can be erected, and which premises will accommodate between five hundred and a thousand men, and, further, the Sheriff is about to vacate the Ingleside Building, which building, at small expense, can be arranged to house four hundred men, and the use of said buildings would save thousands of dollars now being paid for rent of shelters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby withdraw from the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee all supervision over the care of indigent sick and dependent single men commencing April 1, 1934; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee be advised that on and after April 1, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will administer relief to indigent sick and dependent single men.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

METAL TRADES.

<i>Shop Rates</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day)	per hour \$1.16
Molders and coremakers	7.04
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

Pile drivers (based on 6-hour day).....per hour 1.12½

Overtime payments shall be as specified in Resolution No. 1238 for employment or craft concerned.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing interested parties on the foregoing resolution.

Supervisor Ratto was elected to preside.

All members before noted being present.

Privilege of the Floor.

John O'Connell, secretary of the San Francisco Labor Council, representing the metal trades; David Ryan, representing cabinet makers and millmen (shop); Chas. Helbing, representing Millmen No. 42, and C. F. Groh, general vice-president, International Machinists, were heard at length.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney, labor representatives and employers get together during the week and present to the Board next Monday an amendment to the resolution that will protect shop men and provide for them the same scale of wages as that paid to those in the field.

Motion carried.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Committee of the whole arose and reported.

Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the matter of shop rates be continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Re-Referred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

Ordinance on Charitable Solicitations.

.(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic, civic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof; and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, to solicit money, property, funds, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind, sell, or offer for sale, any article or service on the plea, statement or assumption that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic, civic or philanthropic purpose, on the streets, in offices or business buildings, by house to house canvass, in any public building or place in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally, by telephone, mail, or in any other manner, unless such person,

organization, society, or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first been duly licensed by the Chief of Police of said City and County for such purpose and shall have obtained a permit therefor.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a license or permit to solicit funds, as provided for in section 1 hereof, shall file an application with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name of the organization or the object for which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of location of such organization or the place from which distribution shall be made for such object, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization or the names of the persons by whom such fund will be distributed.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made thereof.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than thirty days; provided, however, that any such license may be renewed for a period not to exceed an additional thirty days, if such renewal is approved by the said Chief of Police.

(d) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions or emoluments are to be expended, and to whom paid, and the amount thereof.

(e) A financial statement for the last preceding calendar year of any funds received for the purposes set out in section 1 hereof by public solicitation; said statement giving the cost and final distribution of said funds.

(f) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by the said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in section 2 hereof, the said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such cause for such solicitation to be made is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and a license or permit should be issued therefor, he shall endorse his approval thereon and shall issue such license or permit.

Section 4. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto or is using such license as an endorsement of such solicitation, it shall be the duty of said Chief of Police to revoke such license.

Section 5. Appeal.—If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for license or permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such license or permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 6. The provisions of the foregoing sections shall not be applicable to any solicitation made upon premises owned or occupied by the person, organization, society, association or corporation upon whose behalf such solicitation is made, nor to any solicitation for the relief of any individual specified by name at the time of the solicitation where the solicitor represents in each case that the entire amount collected, without any deduction whatever, shall be turned over to the named beneficiary, nor shall they be applicable to any organization, society, association or corporation soliciting contributions solely from persons who are members thereof at the time of such solicitation, nor shall they be applicable to solicitation made solely for evangelical, missionary or religious purposes.

Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any

agent or representative thereof, soliciting such funds as enumerated in this section and claiming the exemption provided herein, shall, upon complaint by anyone to the said Chief of Police, or on his own initiative, be required to submit a full statement of the object and purpose of such solicitation and the cost thereof.

Section 7. The said Chief of Police is hereby empowered to waive the whole or any part of the foregoing sections as and if the Chief of Police shall deem such waiver necessary, when and only in the case of any charitable association applying for such waiver for the purpose of meeting any extraordinary emergency or calamity when time is of the essence of needed succor or relief.

Section 8. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. All ordinances in conflict with the terms of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the City and County of San Francisco to Borrow the Sum of \$466,552 From State of California Under Provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 546, Ordinance No. 19.07112, as follows:

An ordinance to authorize the City and County of San Francisco to borrow the sum of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) from the State of California under provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and providing for the repayment of amount so borrowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) is hereby made by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207, of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the rules, regulations and conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of unemployment relief and administering relief work in the City and County of San Francisco for the period beginning January 1, 1934, or shortly thereafter, and ending June 30, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Section 2. Said loan, if granted, in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars shall constitute a debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, and shall be secured by a note of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, in the words and figures, and executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of said Board, as follows:

\$466,552.

Date _____

The City and County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$466,552, in lawful money of the United States, in the following manner:

One-tenth of the principal of said sum on the 1st day of July, 1934, and an equal one-tenth thereof on the 1st day of July of each and every year thereafter until all of said sum is paid, together with interest on said principal sum at the rate of four and one-half (4½) per cent per annum from date until paid. Said interest to be payable with each installment of principal at the times herein set forth and if not so paid to be compounded as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933. Said installment payments on said note to reduce interest accordingly.

Should the City and County of San Francisco fail to make the payments of the principal sum of this note and the interest due thereon according to the tenor hereof, then, in that event, the officer or officers charged with the duty of ordering the making of payments, or of making payments, out of the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during the years hereafter referred to, as provided in the act creating the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or any other act providing that moneys be transferred or paid into that fund, shall in each year between the year 1938 to 1947, both inclusive, withhold from the moneys due to the City and County of San Francisco from said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during said years, an amount sufficient to pay one-tenth of the amount of the note which may remain due and unpaid on the 1st day of July, 1938, and apply the same to the principal and interest due on this note, all as provided in Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

_____,
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

_____,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute such note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$466,552) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator; provided that, whatever the amount, the same shall constitute a debt of the said City and County of San Francisco to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and be subject to the provisions thereof. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency ordinance and the Board of Supervisors, by the specific vote by which this ordinance is passed, to-wit, a vote of at least three-fourths (¾) of all of the members of said Board, does hereby declare that an actual emergency does exist which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, said emergency being as follows:

That the amount of money which the City is authorized to borrow pursuant to this ordinance is necessary immediately for the preservation of the public health and safety of a large number of the residents of the City and County and for the uninterrupted operation of a department of said City and County government.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Authorizing Appointment of Committee to Attend State Board of Equalization Hearings.

(Code No. 9.031)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1338, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller of the City and County, in communication dated March 14, 1934, has advised the Board of Supervisors that important matters dealing with proposed tax revision and the possible establishment of new revenue tax or procedure, will be considered by the State Board of Equalization at public hearings; and urging that San Francisco, for the protection of its interests, be properly represented at such public hearings; therefore,

Resolved, That the said communication be approved and filed; and

Further Resolved, That the President of the Board is hereby authorized to appoint a committee from the membership of the Board of Supervisors which, together with the City Attorney, the Assessor and the Controller, shall be instructed to attend such conferences or public meetings as the representatives of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Refunds of Amounts of Taxes Paid Through Clerical Errors.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1339, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized paid out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), to the hereinafter named persons; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

(1) To Louis Raoul, the sum of \$15.84, to be found in Vol. 3, page 195, line 1, Unsecured Personal Property Roll for 1933, under name of "Sixteenth Street Hotel."

(2) To T. W. McKee, the sum of \$5.94, duplicate payment, to be found in Vol. 17, page 115, line 13, of Uncollected Personal Property Roll for 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was *finally passed*:

Authorizing the City Attorney to Settle and Compromise Certain Claims Against the City and County.

(Code No. 6.0222)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 545, Ordinance No. 6.02228, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to settle and compromise the claims of O. E. Peterson, C. E. Fosberg and Fred G. Anderson against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to settle and compromise the claim of O. E. Peterson, to-wit, a judgment of \$22,000 against the City and County of San Francisco by the payment to said O. E. Peterson of \$16,176.47, and the claim of C. E. Fosberg, to-wit, a judgment of \$10,000 against the City and County of San Francisco by the payment to said C. E. Fosberg of \$7,352.94, and the claim of Fred G. Anderson, to-wit, a judgment of \$2,000 against the City and County of San Francisco by the payment

of \$1,470.59. All of these said claims arose by reason of the automobile in which said Peterson, Fosberg and Anderson were riding being struck by a fire truck owned by the City and County of San Francisco, and the said above mentioned judgments in favor of said persons having been entered against the City and County on the 15th day of January, 1934.

Section 2. If the above mentioned sums are not paid in satisfaction of said judgment on or before the first day of September, 1934, said compromise and settlement shall not be made.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which said ordinance is passed, does hereby specifically declare and determine that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That a motion for a new trial of the action in which the above mentioned judgments were obtained against the City and County of San Francisco is pending and the same must be finally determined not later than the 21st day of March, 1934, and that it is to the advantage, benefit and best interest of the City that said compromise be made before said motion for a new trial of said action is determined, it is therefore necessary for this ordinance to become effective forthwith to comply with time limitations as established by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

(Code No. 11.0111)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 543, Ordinance No. 11.01116, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled, "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire, and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, and providing for a monthly increase of \$500 on and after June 15, 1935, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets, together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance, shall show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show no increase in said sum until June 15, 1935, and after which time show an increase of \$500 beginning on July 15, 1935, and each month thereafter until the sum of \$25,000 is accumulated, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said associa-*

tion, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall, on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition more than 125 vehicles and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company, approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damages to persons arising out of the actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall thereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Auto Insurance Policy.

Mr. Rothchild, representing the Yellow & Checker Cab Co., consolidated, presented copy of insurance policy issued to his company, which policy was ordered then withdrawn to be sent to Police Department.

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Eliminating Army Street, Bay Street, Bush Street, Cortland Avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission Street, Sickles Avenue, Silver Avenue, Valencia Street, as Arterial Streets.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 541, Ordinance No. 11.028, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing

all ordinances inconsistent herewith," by eliminating *Army street, Bay street, Bush street, Cortland avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission street, Sickles avenue, Silver avenue, Valencia street, as arterial streets.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets.* The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemaný boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemaný boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary *boulevard* from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary *boulevard* to the Great Highway;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemaný boulevard, and from the east line of Alemaný boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of Bay Shore boulevard;

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary boulevard to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue South from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue South from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health, authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such

application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Director of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issue or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be \$5.00 for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. Subdivision 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation, maintenance and traffic in private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation, color and the care, maintenance and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Subdivision 3. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder, by the Director of Public Health.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, per-

sonally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other rates than in this section provided.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within the City and County of San Francisco shall be \$4 during the day time period and \$5 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

No commission, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that clinic, insurance, hospital association and contract work shall have a 25 per cent discount; providing, however, that orders for clinic rates must come direct from the clinic departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge.

Delay or waiting time of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour calculated in quarter-hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where ambulance crew is called to move any person in a home from one bed to another the rate shall be \$2.50 in the First District and \$3 in the Second District.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (\$25) dollars and not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Relative to Reclamation of Candlestick Point Lands for Park and Industrial Purposes.

(Code No. 12.211)

The following matter was *taken up*:

Resolution No. 1304, as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as it is contemplated by a private concern to request a loan from the Federal Government to build homes on Candlestick Point, it is deemed advisable to inform Director, P. W. A., in Washington, D. C., that the Board of Supervisors intends to recommend to the Park Commission condemnation of the land east of Hawes street on Candlestick Point for park purposes; that the proposed work is a 100 per cent labor project and that our unemployed are to be put to work thereon leveling the thirty-six acres of hillside, the earth to be removed to be used as a fill for adjacent mud flats to be reclaimed for industrial purposes; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is authorized and directed to send a telegram to Director, P. W. A., informing him of San Francisco's intention in premises.

Privilege of the Floor.

Courtney Moore, attorney for H. & K. Land Co., was heard at length on the pending matter.

Chair ruled that report of Welfare Committee on the subject was not properly on the calendar.

Motion.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like to move consensus of this Board that the future industrial interests of San Francisco require a further study by the government of the proposed loan to a proposed housing proposition in the vicinity known as Candlestick Point, and that in view of the fact that this is on land east of Hawes street, which may in the future be needed for industrial development, and that the government grant a hearing and an investigation toward preserving the land east of Hawes street for possible future industrial development, and the employment of a large number of people in leveling said land and filling tidelands and further, that this Board of Supervisors offers no objection at this time to any action by the government calculated to a loan for any housing proposition it may have in mind or under consideration dealing with land in that vicinity east of Hawes street. I make that as a motion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I second the motion.

I will ask, then, that this be put in the form of a resolution, and now introduced, on the subject matter. And do you now wish it referred to the Committee in the meantime or not? Do you wish it to come up in the Committee of the Whole of the Board next week?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest this, Supervisor, if you put it in the form of a resolution, I am sure that some member will object, and naturally it will go to the Welfare Committee, where it should go. I think it should be in the form of a resolution, and with one object, I will refer it to the Welfare Committee.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I will object to it myself.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would not want you to go that far.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I want to get this subject matter referred to the Public Welfare Committee with instructions to consider the measures presented thereon, and to report the subject matter—to report on the subject matter one week from today at 3 o'clock.

THE CHAIRMAN: Members of the Board, you have heard—I will call it a verbal resolution—offered by Supervisor Gallagher, in which he asks that the matter be referred—

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The entire subject matter.

THE CHAIRMAN: The entire subject matter be referred to the Public Welfare Committee, and that it report as a Special Order of Business at three o'clock next Monday.

Motion carried.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Supervisor McSheehy was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday*:

Endorsing Constitutional Amendment on State Liquor Control.

(Code No. 533)

Resolution No. 1340, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 1193, adopted December 18, 1933, the President of the Board of Supervisors was directed to call a statewide conference of County and City officials on State liquor control to prepare a Constitutional Amendment pertaining to the liquor traffic; and

Whereas, conferences were held both in San Francisco and Los Angeles at which practically every county in the State was represented and said representatives agreed upon the amendment hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the following Constitutional Amendment and urges all citizens of the State of California to work for its passage:

Proposed Amendment to Article XX, Section 22, Constitution of California.

The State of California shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession and transportation of spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors or any admixtures thereof, within the State. Said liquor shall not be consumed, bought, sold or otherwise disposed of for consumption on the premises, in any public saloon, public bar or public barroom within the State; provided, however, that subject to the aforesaid restrictions, the Legislature may authorize the sale in retail stores of such liquor contained in original packages where such liquor is not to be consumed on the premises where sold, and may authorize such liquor to be kept, bought, sold, served, consumed and otherwise disposed of in any bona fide hotel, restaurant, cafe, cafeteria, railroad dining or club car, passenger ship, or other public eating place, or in any bona fide club after such club has been lawfully operated for not less than one year, but no public bar, public saloon or public barroom shall be maintained at any such place.

The State Board of Equalization, or such other board or body authorized by the Legislature as it may deem proper, shall have the power to license the manufacture, importation and sale of said liquors in this State, subject to local zoning laws, and to collect license fees on account thereof, and shall have the power, in its discretion, to deny or revoke any specific license thereof if it shall determine for good cause that the granting or continuance of such license would be contrary to public welfare or morals. It shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensee of said Board, or other State agency, to manufacture, import or sell intoxicating liquors in this State.

Until ninety (90) days after adjournment of the next regular session of the Legislature after the adoption of this amendment, the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of said liquors in bona fide hotels, restaurants, cafes, cafeterias, railroad dining or club cars, passenger ships, and other public eating places, and in bona fide clubs after such clubs have been lawfully operated for not less than one year, and the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of beers on any premises open to the general public shall be licensed and regulated under the applicable provisions of the so-called State Liquor Control Act, California Statutes 1933, Chapter 658.

Provided, further, however, notwithstanding the powers reserved in the State, there is hereby granted to the cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties, the power to levy and collect occupational taxes upon the business of selling such liquors at retail; and such cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties shall have the power to prescribe zones where such liquor, or kinds thereof may, or may not, be sold; and, subject to such terms and conditions as the Legislature may adopt, said cities, counties, and cities and counties shall be notified in writing at least thirty (30) days before any license may be granted or issued by the State or any of its agencies, and shall have the right to temporarily suspend any such license for cause until such suspension may be reviewed by the Board of Equalization or other State agency or board and said suspension sustained or voided.

The Legislature shall enact such general laws as may be necessary

to carry out the provisions of this section. All constitutional provisions and laws inconsistent with the provisions hereof are hereby repealed.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Declaring Recess, Good Friday, March 30, 1934.

(Code No. 4.054)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1341, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to declare a recess on Good Friday, March 30, 1934, between the hours of 12 noon and 3 o'clock p. m., to permit the employees of the City who wish to participate in the religious exercises that day to do so between the hours mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Protest, Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Miss Clare Hall protesting the passage of ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic, civic or philanthropic purposes, and providing for penalties for violation thereof, the operation of which prevents the conduct of her free employment bureau.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Conferences on New Revenue and Tax Procedure.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, calling attention to activities of State organizations looking to the possible establishment of new revenue and tax procedure legislation affecting the interests of San Francisco, and urging the necessity of San Francisco being properly represented at all conferences on the subject.

(See Resolution No. 1338.)

Street Repair Projects Report.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, advising that he has already submitted to Supervisor Brown all the information available as to street work expenditures on jobs of less than \$1,000 performed during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933.

Ordered filed.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Board again request from the Director of Public Works detailed information on expenditures for street work, with especial reference to item \$302,580, made up of those jobs costing \$1,000 or less.

So ordered.

Grand Jury Hearing.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from George D. Hart, Secretary, Grand Jury, replying to letter dated March 13, 1934, with enclosures, relative to garbage disposal, and advising that the matter has been temporarily set aside until the present investigation now before the Grand Jury is finished.

Ordered filed.

Letter of Thanks, C. W. A.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Powers Symington, Director of C. W. A. for San Francisco County, expressing appreciation for the resolution of the Board of Supervisors commending C. W. A. activities in San Francisco as set forth in Resolution No. 1318.

Ordered filed.

Electric Wiring, Board of Education, Auditorium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, in reference to cost of electric wiring for the Board of Education in the Civic Auditorium, and advising that there were no specifications for the job, the requirements being determined as the work progressed, and that obviously no estimate of cost could be determined. Work was done on an emergency basis as the occupancy of the headquarters were necessary prior to July 15, 1933.

Ordered filed.

Brunswick Street Improvement Requested.

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Longfellow-Mt. Vernon Improvement Club requesting assistance and cooperation in having the work of the improvement of Brunswick street done at this time in order to make the street passable. Many accidents have occurred by reason of its condition.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Convenience Station, Jefferson Street.

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Columbus Civic Club advising of its resolution unanimously adopted recommending and urging immediate construction and maintenance of a convenience station on City property on Jefferson street between Taylor and Jones street.

Referred to Health Committee.

Aquatic Park.

Also, communication from Columbus Civic Club recommending that provision be made in this year's budget for the completion of the Aquatic Park.

Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

Death of Jas. Lynch, Former Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

The Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the passing of James J. Lynch, for more than thirty years an Assistant Clerk in the office of the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Lynch gave thirty-three years of loyal, faithful, efficient service to the City and County of San Francisco. His fidelity to duty, loyalty to trust, was unbroken in all the years he was a City employee.

Mr. Lynch retired at the age of seventy on June 1, 1930. While on retirement he gave valuable assistance to the office of his knowledge of street law and Charter procedure. He was always willing to serve.

The Board adjourns today out of respect to his memory.

Adopted by rising vote.

Supervisor McSheehy appointed Committee of entire Board to attend funeral of James J. Lynch, retired Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Rezoning Odd Fellows' Cemetery.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Engineer, notice that appeal from decision of City Planning Commission, re rezoning property in old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, lacks sufficient number of signatures. Also, communication from Jos. E. Brown, attorney for appellants, protesting City Engineer's check of signatures.

Motions.

In connection with above, Supervisor Uhl moved that opinion be requested from City Attorney as to whether or not appeal is in regular form, and as to the sufficiency of signatures thereof.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be requested to get report back to Board by Monday, March 26, and that it be made a special order of business for 4 p. m.

So ordered.

Conference on Proposed Bond Issue for Relief.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that letter be sent to his Honor the Mayor requesting him to call conference at an early date of all interested persons, looking to consideration of the question as to whether there shall be another bond issue for relief for the coming year, and deciding on the time when said proposal shall be initiated.

So ordered.

City Hall Open All Night.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the Board of the fact that the City Hall is open at all hours in the night. He stated he felt this was a mistake, and moved that the matter be called to the attention of the Director of Property, through the Chief Administrative Officer.

So ordered.

Report of Health Committee on Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented a report of the Health Committee on garbage disposal and moved that when this meeting adjourns today it recess until Thursday, March 22, 1934, for the consideration of said report.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, there being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m., took a recess until Thursday, March 22, 1934, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors March 26, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.


JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Thursday, March 22, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, MARCH 22, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, March 22, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess for the purpose of considering the report of the Health Committee on Garbage Disposal.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Conference on Relief Bond Issue.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to the fact that the Mayor had called a meeting on the subject of a bond issue for continuance of relief measures in his office this morning, but that only four members of the Board were present. His Honor decided not to proceed, and asked that I convey to President McSheehy a message that he inquire as to whether or not the Board is willing to meet with the Mayor at 3:30 tomorrow afternoon on the subject.

He moved that the suggestion of the Mayor that we meet at 3:30 p. m. tomorrow be concurred in, that the Mayor be so advised and that the members of the Board be requested to attend.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment that the Mayor be invited to come here and place his ideas before the legislative body in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Gallagher's motion and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Supervisor Gallagher Excused.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that he be excused at 4 p. m. to attend an important conference at the Chamber of Commerce.

So ordered.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board of Supervisors now resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of discussing report of the Public Health Committee on the question of garbage disposal.

Motion carried.

Chairman.

President McSheehy was elected to act as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, the Clerk proceeded and read the report and the supplemental report of the Public Health Committee on garbage disposal, to-wit:

Report of Health Committee on Garbage Disposal.

March 19, 1934.

The vote cast by the people in 1929 on the various propositions, dealing with garbage disposal, clearly shows a desire on their part for the disposal of garbage by incineration.

Herewith is a statement of the various proposals submitted to the people in 1929, and the vote which each received:

	Yes	No
1. Shall garbage be disposed of by incineration?....	42,570	33,291
2. Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the collection of garbage?....	13,102	58,002
3. Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the disposal of garbage?.....	13,266	55,756
4. Shall the City let a contract to a private individual or corporation whereby said individual or corporation would build an incinerator and dispose of the garbage?.....	22,163	46,622

A consideration of the vote cast on the various proposals shows that the people indicated by a majority of about 9,000 that they favored incineration; but they then proceeded to close the door to every possible method of complying with their wishes, i. e., erection of an incinerator by the City and County, or the granting of a franchise for disposal by incineration to a private individual or corporation. In addition to the above, there appeared upon the ballot an *initiative* proposal, having for its purpose disposal by fill and cover.

This initiative proposal was defeated by a vote of 49,467 to 25,065.

From an analysis of the vote cast on the various proposals submitted it is evident that the voters were confused by the profusion of plans submitted to them, and consequently no logical inference of their desires in the matter can be made from their vote, except that they prefer disposal of garbage by incineration rather than by any other method.

Despite the analysis of the vote above referred to, the City Attorney has informed your committee that the initiative ordinance of 1932 puts the onus of selecting a proper method of disposal squarely upon the Board of Supervisors for the reason that the initiative ordinance of 1932 is the people's last expression on the subject matter, and is therefore controlling.

It is undoubtedly a fact that no matter where or by what method it is proposed to dispose of the city's garbage there will be objection.

Some of such opposition is unquestionably bona fide, and some of it is probably incited by those who have ulterior purposes to accomplish.

Our problem, then, is to determine upon a policy for the disposition of the garbage in the least objectionable manner without sacrificing efficiency or economy of operation. In the matter of efficiency it might be well to point out in the former specifications of the Engineer, the provision which states that no method of disposal will be approved by the Engineer which cannot be shown to have been in successful operation in a city of comparable size for at least two years. This provision is, no doubt, the result of our former unhappy experience with the \$1,000,000 incinerator failure at Army street. The Engineer will not accept the responsibility, and justifiably so, of staking his professional reputation on the ability of any untried incinerator of novel method of disposal to properly function.

Your Committee has given this subject much serious consideration. We have gone into every phase and angle of the subject which has occurred or been suggested to us. We have thoroughly considered every proposal which has been placed before us, and in order to determine a policy as to the best method of disposal for which bids should be sought, we set forth herewith a resume of the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods and plans submitted to us, or which are available:

1. DUMPING AT SEA:

This method is positively prohibited by the terms of the initiative ordinance of 1932.

2. FILL AND COVER IN SAN FRANCISCO:

The proposal to dump the city's garbage by the fill and cover method has been considered by your Health Committee. Your Committee has received two proposals for dumping in San Francisco, one from the Holland Company, and the other from L. Lange.

The advantages are:

- (a) Reclamation of lands for industrial purposes.
- (b) So far as fill and cover is concerned, Dr. Geiger informs your Committee that if the garbage is covered with two feet of clean dirt or rock, properly rolled and tamped under his own specifications, no health menace or rat infestation need be feared.
- (c) This method of disposal could be commenced at any time, and might be used in case of a great emergency.

The disadvantages or obstacles are:

(a) A most strenuous protest from a considerable number of our citizens, and of practically the entire population of the Bay View District. They most emphatically do not want fill and cover in their neighborhood. They bitterly oppose it, and if necessary, it has been intimated they will go to Court to enjoin the City. No matter in what district it may be proposed to dispose of garbage by fill and cover your Committee is convinced that the residents of such district will undoubtedly receive the sympathetic support of the residents in other districts, in their opposition.

(b) Fill and cover is not a permanent method of disposal and at some time in the future, the City would again be confronted with the same problem.

(c) Unless carefully supervised, this method of disposal may result in a health menace.

3. FILL AND COVER OUTSIDE OF SAN FRANCISCO:

Your Committee has received three proposals to dump the garbage outside of the City and County of San Francisco, one from Island Transportation Company, represented by Capt. Benjamin Walters, 117 Buchanan street, San Francisco, proposing to dispose of the City's garbage on lands located in Contra Costa County; a second proposal

from The General Refuse Disposal Company, (formerly the Dodge Steamship Company), 369 Pine street, San Francisco, to dump the garbage "outside of San Francisco County on land distant from San Francisco." No specific location is mentioned; and a third proposal to dispose of the city's garbage by fill and cover from The Culligan Transportation Company, 948 Market street, San Francisco, on lands situated in Napa and Solano Counties, and known as "Russ Island," comprising 1700 acres.

The advantages are:

(a) The opposition of the people of San Francisco to this plan, if any at all, would be negligible.

(b) Some of the contemplated areas available for this method of disposal are said to be sufficient to care for our garbage for at least 50 years.

(c) This method could be engaged in within a very short time.

The disadvantages or obstacles are:

(a) No assurance that a franchise would be granted by the County affected by the disposal of the garbage, or that if such franchise were granted by the Board of Supervisors of such County, that it would not be subsequently defeated or revoked by referendum.

(b) It has been asserted, and it is believed to be a fact, that the State Board of Harbor Commissioners will not grant an irrevocable permit for the use of its wharves or piers. Consequently there can be no assurance to the grantee of a franchise that he would not be required at considerable expense and inconvenience, to move from dock to dock or even be precluded entirely from the use of a dock, by the Harbor Commission, if the exigencies of the waterfront required it.

(c) This is not a permanent method of disposal.

(d) Unless carefully supervised this method is apt to result in a health menace to the residents of the county of disposal and might, if it became a nuisance, be enjoined.

(e) As evidence of the uncertainty of disposing of San Francisco's garbage in another County, your Committee calls your attention to the fact that the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County has passed ordinances prohibiting the disposal of garbage in San Mateo County, and further that the "Bay Shore Sanitary District" in San Mateo County, out of a clear sky, a few days ago, suddenly and peremptorily revoked the permit to fill and cover on lands of the Southern Pacific Company in Visitacion Valley, which lands are now being used for dumping the City's garbage.

The Bay Shore Sanitary District has given the scavengers peremptory notice to quit dumping in its district on March 24, 1934. Your Committee has requested the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Attorney, and the Engineer, Mr. Clyde E. Healy, to take such action as is proper to have the "Bay Shore Sanitary District" extend the life of the permit heretofore granted.

4. INCINERATION:

Your Committee has received proposals to build an incinerator from the following named persons:

Ludwig Incinerator—Untried and consequently not approved by City Engineer.

Martel Smokeless and Odorless Incinerator—Ditto.

Forni Manufacturing Co.—Ditto.

The advantages of incineration are:

(a) It is the opinion of your Committee, as a result of many exhaustive hearings on the subject of garbage disposal, that there will be less bona fide objection to disposal by incineration than by any

other recognized method. Many of the leading improvement clubs and civic organizations have endorsed this method of disposal. We again call your attention to the vote cast in 1929, 42,570 in favor, and 33,291 against.

(b) It is the opinion of the Committee that incinerators are available now which will effectively and efficiently destroy the garbage with a minimum, if any, of objectionable odors, and with no hazard from a health standpoint.

(c) Incineration is a permanent method of garbage disposal, and assuming that the incinerator will function properly, this annoying problem will be definitely settled. There would then be no more complaints, protests or litigation to contend with upon the subject.

(d) A site has already been provided for the erection of the incinerator by the terms of the initiative ordinance, which, by the way, was passed by a substantial majority.

The disadvantages or obstacles to incineration are:

(a) The only real objections to disposal by incineration are the time element required for building, and the money to erect an incinerator that will reduce San Francisco's garbage as at present collected to an ash containing not more than one-half of one per cent of organic matter.

The people refused to approve bonds to erect an incinerator, and two serious efforts have been made to build an incinerator with private capital, but all attempts have failed.

The Committee has, however, received a definite proposal from the Scavengers Protective Association to the following effect:

If the initiative ordinance of 1932 can be amended so as to vest the power in the Board of Supervisors to award a franchise for both collection and disposal, the Scavengers Protective Association has stated it is ready and willing to bid upon such a proposal. The reason for the Scavengers Protective Association's insistence that both collection and disposal be incorporated in one franchise is obvious. It is claimed that there is no profit in the disposal of garbage at the price set up in the ordinance. Any deficit would, therefore, have to be made up on the collection end and this could only be assured by an amendment to the present initiative deleting the provision which states that where 20 per cent of the producers in a garbage district sign a petition in favor of an individual or corporation seeking a garbage collection permit from the Director of Health, it is mandatory upon the Director to grant such permit. The Scavengers Protective Association claims that it has had most unpleasant experiences with enterprising gentlemen who have taken advantage of this provision in the ordinance, and who have procured permits from the Board of Health with no real intention of engaging in the garbage collection business, but whose only purpose is to require the Scavengers Protective Association to purchase such permit. It is apparent that the Scavengers Protective Association could not afford to engage in disposal at a loss if it could not be assured of the collection at a profit.

In connection with this proposal, the Scavengers Protective Association, through its attorney, Mr. Sylvester Andriano, has assured the Committee that if they should be the successful bidders and granted a franchise for both collection and disposal, they would immediately commence the construction of an incinerator of recognized ability to effectively destroy the garbage.

Some objection to such a proposal may be voiced upon the ground that such a franchise would constitute a monopoly. It may be said in refutation of the monopoly argument that so long as the initiative ordinance of 1932 prohibits any increase in the collection rates to the householders, no monopoly, in the commonly accepted sense of the term, can exist.

The Scavengers Protective Association is now contacting its members in an effort to determine their view with respect to a proposal for

incineration separately, but the Committee holds little hope that such a proposal will be immediately forthcoming.

Your Health Committee believes that if the policy of incineration is adopted and carried into effect it will permanently and effectively solve the vexatious problem of disposal of the City's garbage.

By incineration the people and the authorities in Visitacion Valley and in San Mateo will be relieved of San Francisco's garbage by fill and cover or any other method of disposal, and the people in the various districts of San Francisco will have peace of mind and security that the garbage will not be inflicted upon them by fill and cover.

Therefore, your Health Committee recommends as follows:

1. That all proposals heretofore submitted for fill and cover in any place in San Francisco be denied and rejected.

2. That the specifications jointly drawn by the Director of Health and the Assistant City Engineer for the sanitary disposal of garbage by fill and cover in the Bay Shore Sanitary District be most rigidly enforced, to the complete satisfaction of the officials of the Bay Shore Sanitary District, and that in this connection all necessary inspectors be employed at the City's expense to enforce the regulations of the Health Officer and the Engineer. And, further, that every effort be made by the Chief Administrative Officer, the Director of Health, and the Engineer, to conciliate the officials of the Bay Shore Sanitary District to the end that their objections will be removed and that reasonable time shall be granted to the City and County of San Francisco to build an incinerator.

3. That, if conciliation should fail, the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to invoke all possible legal remedies which will permit the continuance of the present method of disposal at its present site, pending the erection of an incinerator.

4. That the Board of Supervisors adopt a policy of permanently disposing of the garbage of this City and County by incineration.

5. That the City Attorney be requested and authorized to prepare legislation to be presented to the voters at a special election to be held at the time of the primary election in August, 1934, which legislation shall provide for the following amendments to the initiative ordinance adopted by the voters in 1932:

- (a) Amend so as to provide that the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to grant an exclusive franchise for both collection and disposal of garbage by incineration; and

- (b) Amend so that the Public Utilities Commission and the Health Department of the City and County shall have joint authority and control over the collection and disposal of garbage under ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC HEALTH COMMITTEE.

By ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Chairman,

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Member.

Supplemental Report and Recommendation on Garbage Disposal.

Since the filing of the foregoing report, the suggestion has been made that an invitation for bids would most probably evoke an offer from the manufacturers of a reliable incinerator to erect a plant and dispose of the City's garbage at a price well within the maximum prescribed in the Initiative Ordinance of 1932, and that such offer would not be predicated upon a franchise for both collection and disposal. If this be true, the necessity for an amendment to the Initiative Ordinance would be obviated. No considerable expense is involved in such a procedure and it is therefore recommended that the City Engineer

and the City Attorney be authorized and requested to, as quickly as possible, prepare plans, specifications and legislation necessary to a call for bids for the disposal of the City's garbage by incineration.

It is the hope of the Committee that this plan of action may be consummated and the results made known at a very early date so that if the effort is futile, the Board will have ample time thereafter to submit to the electorate at the Primary Election in August of this year, a proposal to amend the Initiative Ordinance of 1932, to provide for the grant of a franchise for both collection and disposal.

The Board of Supervisors has long been charged with dilatory practices in connection with the garbage problem. In an endeavor to allay the fears of those adversely affected by the present method of disposal, that it shall continue interminably; with the hope of dispelling the feeling that procrastination shall continue; with the earnest desire to keep faith with the people, in accordance with the foregoing declaration of policy, and with a determination to exert every effort to the end that this matter may be settled as expeditiously as possible, your Committee exhorts the Board to approve of, adopt and execute the provisions of this report *now* and in toto.

Respectfully submitted,

HEALTH COMMITTEE

ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Chairman.

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Member.

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

Communications.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication and resolution of J. M. Hanley, Sr., representing the Bay View Garbage Company, transmitting resolution providing for a system of temporary disposal by fill and cover at India Basin, as defined in Initiative Ordinance No. 17,083, adopted November 8, 1932.

Also, communication from Andriano & Lowery, attorneys for the Scavengers' Protective Association, relative to the pending subject.

Also, communication from F. Martel, submitting a proposition for the disposal of garbage by incineration.

Also, communication from Southern Civic Clubs protesting the fill and cover method of garbage disposal in San Francisco.

Also, communication from City Clerk of San Mateo, transmitting resolution of City Council protesting garbage dump in San Mateo County.

Also, communication from the Bay View Merchants' Association, protesting fill and cover method of garbage disposal in the Bay View District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Senator Thomas Maloney, representing South of Market and Southern Heights Districts, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length in opposition to the renewal of incineration at the old site in the Potrero District.

Miss Brennan, representing housewives in the Southern District, was heard in opposition to incineration on the old plant site or fill and cover in that district.

Harry Young, attorney, representing large numbers of property owners in the Potrero District, also representing Robert Moddy and the San Francisco Development Company, was heard in opposition to incineration in the Potrero District.

Mrs. Hamilton, vice-president of the Potrero Women's Club, residing at 468 Mississippi street, where she has been for 54 years, urged that the Board of Supervisors do nothing to restore the old incinerator in the Potrero District.

M. L. Rose, representing Martel Incinerator, offered to construct one unit of his incinerator plant at a cost of from \$40,000 to \$50,000 and place it anywhere the Board of Supervisors indicates in San Francisco as a demonstration of what his plant will do. Does not ask the City to put up a cent. The unit, he said, will incinerate 144 tons of garbage. All he wants the Board to do is to inspect it when constructed and decide whether or not it will successfully burn the garbage and refuse.

Benjamin Walters, representing the Island Transportation Company, urged disposal by fill and cover which he said is favored by all authorities in the United States. He declared that he had sites in the Upper Bay Region where disposal could be made without objection.

James M. Hanley, Jr., representing James P. Holland Company, and Tidelands Reclamation Corporation, was heard in favor of Holland method of fill and cover.

W. J. Varney, representing Southern Heights District, declared that the people of that district will not tolerate the fill and cover method of garbage disposal any longer in their district and will take legal action to prevent it.

J. L. S. McMillan, representing himself as a taxpayer, was also heard in opposition to fill and cover.

Mrs. Ashton, representing the Bay Side Hill and Valley Improvement Club, urged that the Supervisors settle the question now and not three months from now. She opposed the fill and cover method.

William Cabu, representing the Bay View District, was heard in opposition to fill and cover in the Bay View District.

James M. Hanley, Sr., representing the Bay Shore Garbage Company, was also heard. He declared that the Bay Shore Sanitary District has refused to permit garbage dump at present Bay Shore fill, which leaves San Francisco after Saturday without legal means for disposing of its garbage. He suggests a temporary measure pending a permanent solution of the garbage problem.

John Regan, representing the Bay View District, was opposed to fill and cover in San Francisco, and urged the Board to determine upon some way or method other than dumping at sea or fill and cover in San Francisco.

Mrs. Valerga, 42 Gilroy street, president of the Bay View Improvement Club, was heard in opposition to fill and cover in the Bay View District.

Mrs. Thomas R. Best was also opposed to fill and cover.

J. Kerrigan, secretary, Bay View Civic Club, was also heard in opposition to fill and cover in the Bay View District.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter be taken into the hands of the Board.

So ordered.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved to report back to the Board at 10 minutes to six.

So ordered.

Communications.

Supervisor Roncovieri read a communication from the San Francisco Center of the California League of Women Voters, dated September 3, 1929, in support of his report for the immediate construction of an incinerator by private enterprise on a long-term franchise.

Also, communication dated March 3, 1930, of the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, making the same recommendation.

Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, in response to question of Supervisor Brown, declared that his report on garbage disposal was based on an examination of the garbage question as practiced on the Pacific Coast at Portland and Seattle. It stated that despite the fact that several cities had incinerators constructed, they were not in continuous use, and that a large part of the garbage disposal was taken care of by fill and cover. The report recommends fill and cover at Mills Field. Subsequent studies, he said, have not changed my opinion since the report was written.

In response to questions of Supervisor Uhl, he declared that he had reported twice to the Board of Supervisors on garbage disposal. First in 1932, when he reported against "fill and cover" in San Francisco, and later, on April 27, 1933, when he recommended that competitive bids be called for a sanitary fill at the San Francisco Airport. No action on that recommendation, he said, has yet been taken by the Board of Supervisors.

Dr. Geiger, Director of Public Health, declared that the fill and cover method, properly done and supervised, would be without menace to the public health. The objection of San Mateo County to this treatment at Mills Field, he said, may be overcome by allowing San Mateo County to dump its garbage there also.

Clyde E. Healy, Assistant City Engineer, declared that as a garbage disposal by fill and cover at Mills Field would involve initiative costs of \$100,000, making it prohibitive as a temporary method of disposal.

Committee Arises.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report, and give further consideration to the fill and cover method.

So ordered.

Relief Bond Conference.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the vote by which the motion of Supervisor Gallagher providing for the acceptance of the invitation of His Honor the Mayor to meet with him in conference on relief bond matters tomorrow was defeated, be rescinded.

Motion carried.

Supervisor Hayden moved that we now accept the invitation of His Honor the Mayor to meet in conference with him on Relief Bond matters tomorrow at 1:30 p.m.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon moved to request the Mayor, inasmuch as this is a matter in which a great number of our citizens are interested, that he hold a conference in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in case there is not enough room in his office to accommodate the people who wish to attend.

Supervisor Uhl moved as amendment to the amendment that the

Mayor be requested to sit in with the Board of Supervisors at 3:30 tomorrow afternoon in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Hayden's motion to accept the Mayor's invitation in his office for the relief bond conference, and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Resolution by Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following resolution and urged that the Board meet at 8 o'clock tonight or at 9:30 a. m. tomorrow to continue the discussion:

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1337, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise, or privilege, for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be delivered to the incineration plant,

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County, and designated as Assessor's Block No. 3914 (bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets), thereabouts, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That said grantee will file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an affidavit giving the completed cost of said incinerator before the incinerator is placed in active operation.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

That on the day the person to whom the Board of Supervisors awards the franchise will post a cashier's check of \$50,000 on a San Francisco bank, payable to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Said deposit given in evidence of good faith and as a guarantee that work on the erection of the incinerator will be commenced within thirty (30) days after contract is signed. The cashier's check of

\$50,000 to be returned thirty (30) days after the incinerator has been in operation for at least eight (8) hours each day.

Be it, therefore, Resolved, That bids be called for covering the erection of an incinerator that will reduce all rubbish delivered to the incinerator to an ash. Said bids to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on or before 3 p. m. on Monday, April 23, 1934. Contract for the incinerator to be let to the lowest bidder conforming to foregoing stipulations.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved the adoption of the committee's report.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment, that we favor the policy of incineration.

Supervisor Uhl moved that a committee be appointed to meet the Bay View Sanitary District in order to overcome objections to dumping at Mills Field and along the Bay Shore.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that a committee be authorized by this Board of Supervisors, consisting of the City Attorney, Assistant City Engineer Healy, and the members of the Health Committee, be constituted such committee, to meet the Bay View Sanitary District members, with instructions to report back one week from Monday. (April 9, 1934.)

Motion carried.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the door of the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors be opened for the public at 3 p. m. tomorrow in case the attendance at the Mayor's conference is to large and the chambers of the Board of Supervisors are required.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, April 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.


JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, March 26, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 26, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 26, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Supervisor Havenner was reported out of the City on official business and excused from meeting.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 19, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was taken up:

Endorsing Constitutional Amendment on State Liquor Control.

(Code No. 5.33)

On recommendation of Supervisor McSheehy.

Resolution No. 1340, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 1193, adopted December 18, 1933, the President of the Board of Supervisors was directed to call a statewide conference of County and City officials on State liquor control to prepare a Constitutional Amendment pertaining to the liquor traffic; and

Whereas, conferences were held both in San Francisco and Los Angeles at which practically every county in the State was represented and said representatives agreed upon the amendment hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the following Constitutional Amendment and urges all citizens of the State of California to work for its passage:

Proposed Amendment to Article XX, Section 22, Constitution of California.

The State of California shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession and transportation of spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors or any admixtures thereof, within the State. Said liquor shall not be

consumed, bought, sold or otherwise disposed of for consumption on the premises, in any public saloon, public bar or public barroom within the State; provided, however, that subject to the aforesaid restrictions, the Legislature may authorize the sale in retail stores of such liquor contained in original packages where such liquor is not to be consumed on the premises where sold, and may authorize such liquor to be kept, bought, sold, served, consumed and otherwise disposed of in any bona fide hotel, restaurant, cafe, cafeteria, railroad dining or club car, passenger ship, or other public eating place, or in any bona fide club after such club has been lawfully operated for not less than one year, but no public bar, public saloon or public barroom shall be maintained at any such place.

The State Board of Equalization, or such other board or body authorized by the Legislature as it may deem proper, shall have the power to license the manufacture, importation and sale of said liquors in this State, subject to local zoning laws, and to collect license fees on account thereof, and shall have the power, in its discretion, to deny or revoke any specific license thereof if it shall determine for good cause that the granting or continuance of such license would be contrary to public welfare or morals. It shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensee of said Board, or other State agency, to manufacture, import or sell intoxicating liquors in this State.

Until ninety (90) days after adjournment of the next regular session of the Legislature after the adoption of this amendment, the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of said liquors in bona fide hotels, restaurants, cafes, cafeterias, railroad dining or club cars, passenger ships, and other public eating places, and in bona fide clubs after such clubs have been lawfully operated for not less than one year, and the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of beers on any premises open to the general public shall be licensed and regulated under the applicable provisions of the so-called State Liquor Control Act, California Statutes 1933, Chapter 658.

Provided, further, however, notwithstanding the powers reserved in the State, there is hereby granted to the cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties, the power to levy and collect occupational taxes upon the business of selling such liquors at retail; and such cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties shall have the power to prescribe zones where such liquor, or kinds thereof may, or may not, be sold; and, subject to such terms and conditions as the Legislature may adopt, said cities, counties, and cities and counties shall be notified in writing at least thirty (30) days before any license may be granted or issued by the State or any of its agencies, and shall have the right to temporarily suspend any such license for cause until such suspension may be reviewed by the Board of Equalization or other State agency or board and said suspension sustained or voided.

The Legislature shall enact such general laws as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this section. All constitutional provisions and laws inconsistent with the provisions hereof are hereby repealed.

Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

In connection with the foregoing, the following statement was made by Supervisor McSheehy and copies ordered sent to members:

On December 11, 1933, Supervisor Gallagher introduced a resolution authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors to call a conference of all Mayors, County Supervisors, City Councilmen, Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs of the State. The resolution was referred to the Judiciary Committee. Public hearing was held, and recommended by that Committee on December 15, 1933. The Board of Supervisors on December 18, 1933, passed Resolution No. 1193, which reads as follows:

CONFERENCE OF MAYORS OF STATE ON LIQUOR CONTROL.

(Code No. 5.33)

Resolution No. 1193, as follows:

Whereas, there is an apparent widespread dissatisfaction with the condition surrounding the transportation and sale of spirituous malts and vinous liquors; and

Whereas, several initiative petitions are being circulated proposing amendments to Constitutional Amendment No. 2, the purposes of which amendments are in serious conflict and the inevitable result of which can only be to confuse the electorate and add to the present chaos; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in an effort to ascertain as near as possible and in the quickest way the true sentiment of the people of the State of California on the subject of local option, local control, the distribution of revenues to be derived from wholesale and retail liquor dispensation and other details involved in this important question, the President of the Board of Supervisors be and is hereby directed to call a State-wide conference, to be held at San Francisco, of all Mayors, County Supervisors, City Councilmen, Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs of the State, at which conference the above questions may be discussed; and be it

Further Resolved, That at said conference an effort shall be made to determine whether a straight outright repeal of so-called Constitutional Amendment No. 2 shall be submitted and also the policy of regulation or control which shall be submitted; and be it

Further Resolved, That persons attending said conference in an official capacity shall, if possible, pledge themselves to take charge of and supervise the circulation in their respective cities, counties and towns of an initiative petition to be circulated as a result of this conference, if the conference so decides.

On December 30, 1933, a telegram was sent to the Governor and to 8 Mayors and Supervisors from San Diego as far north as Ukiah, including the largest cities in that area.

Response was received from all indicating a desire and willingness to cooperate and to attend the conference. (List attached of telegrams sent.)

On January 17, 1934, the President of this Board, under authorization of Resolution No. 1193, sent an invitation to some 1200 Mayors, Supervisors, City Councilmen, Sheriffs and Chiefs of Police of every political subdivision in the State to convene on January 25 in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors. In response to that call approximately 150 officials attended.

At the conference it was decided unanimously to authorize the President of this Board to appoint a Committee of Ten to prepare an amendment to the Constitution incorporating the provisions desired by municipalities. That amendment was prepared and thereafter submitted to delegates from Southern California, and the Legislative Policy Committee of the League of California Municipalities.

With very little change it was endorsed by the delegation in the South and is now before you for endorsement as a Board of Supervisors.

This amendment meets as far as is practicable the desires of local communities and municipalities and embraces the following provisions not contained in the existing law:

1. The right of approval on all licenses issued.
2. The right to prescribe districts wherein the different kinds of liquor may be sold.
3. The right to levy an occupational tax from which revenue shall be derived sufficient to offset the cost of enforcement.
4. The right to suspend licenses issued by the State agency authorized by the Legislature to issue them.

Within the last thirty (30) days the Hotel and Restaurant Men's Association have filed a petition to amend the Constitution in the same section, and the petition of that Association permits the sale of all kinds of liquors by the drink in hotels, restaurants, boarding houses and bona fide clubs.

It further perpetuates the Board of Equalization as the State Authority for issuing licenses. In all other respects it is practically identical with the existing amendment.

The amendment presented by the Conference of Officials which you have on the calendar today includes the provision desired by the Hotel and Restaurant Men's Association, but likewise gives counties and municipalities the right to say where liquor shall be sold and how much they shall pay to the City as a just revenue. The Hotel and Restaurant Men did not consult with the officials of San Francisco in the preparation of their amendment and, therefore, we cannot be criticized for submitting an amendment that is not exactly to their liking.

The Retail Liquor Dealers of California have indicated through their representatives that they will cooperate and actively work for the passage of the amendment submitted to you by myself. (Mr. Dean, of the Retail Liquor Dealers Association, is present in the Chambers and will speak in behalf of the amendment. As is also Capt. Skelly of the Police Department, who will present the experience and view of the Police Department in the enforcement of the liquor laws.)

This amendment as now prepared does not entirely satisfy the representatives of San Francisco that conferred with the officials throughout the State, and we would much prefer to have the entire control and regulation of the liquor traffic without hindrance from State or any agency delegated by the State to administer the liquor laws. But it must be remembered that an amendment to the Constitution of the State requires the votes of all the people in the State of California, and any proposition to receive a majority of the votes at an election must be, if possible, satisfactory to all interested as far as it is possible to do so.

There is a considerable difference of opinion as to the method of regulating and enforcing laws dealing with the liquor traffic and in an endeavor to satisfy all parties the Conference decided that the amendment they prepared meets as far as it is humanly possible the needs and desires of all concerned.

There are many counties that have no desire to assume the burdens of liquor control and regulation because they have not the facilities nor are they in any way equipped to issue licenses and enforce the provisions of the Constitution or local laws.

It is very necessary that you bear in mind that the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco or any other City or County cannot change in one iota the provisions of this amendment. It is their privilege to endorse it or to reject it. We have assurances from the major cities and counties throughout the State that this amendment will be endorsed by them, and your representatives, acting in your behalf, urgently request that you place your stamp of approval on this amendment because in their judgment it is to the advantage of San Francisco and its citizens that this amendment pass.

Questions to Be Answered by Next Meeting.

Supervisor Gallagher: There are a few questions that I would like the stenographer to note and transcribe, and for us to have answers to next Monday, and in that connection I would like, if possible, that the City Attorney of Los Angeles and perhaps a few more of them to be here if they can—I think they will be here if you give them word in time. Supervisor Roncovieri asked a question which I would like to receive an answer to next Monday, and I think it can be, and that is, is sale by the glass prohibited under this amendment? I am not

going to go into it at this time, but I want the stenographer to note that question.

Also, there is another question which a number of people would like to have answered: Why was the provision in the original measure dealing with local approval taken out, and substituted therefor a proposition which permits the State Board of Equalization if a local official body objects to impose a stay of 30 days before the request for license can be granted.

Now if any of the other members have any questions will they bring them up now so that we can be prepared to answer?

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown, the foregoing resolution was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., April 2, 1934.* All interested parties to be notified.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0333, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, March 26, 1934, and were opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars in denomination of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on May 15, 1934, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0333 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1933-1934 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1933-1934, in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first money received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1933-1934 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest and if two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1333 of the Board of Supervisors, adopted March 12, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, Bank of America, N. T. & S. A., American Trust Company, by the Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco.—For \$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par. The Tax Anticipation Notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows: \$1,500,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, dated as of the date of delivery thereof, and maturing May 15, 1934; in denomination of \$10,000 each and bearing interest at the rate of one and two-tenths (1.2) per cent per annum, payable at maturity.

E. O. Huttlinger Co.—For all, but not any part less than all, of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) par value, legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco in denomination of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each, to be dated as of day of delivery thereof and to be payable on May 15, 1934, bearing interest at the rate of seventy-five one-hundredths per cent (75/100 per cent) per annum, to be paid at maturity of said notes, we bid you the sum of one million five hundred thousand and sixty dollars (\$1,500,060).

The City Company of New York, Incorporated.—For all but no part of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, more particularly described as follows: Dated—To be dated as of the day of delivery thereof; Maturity—Principal and interest payable May 15, 1934, notes payable to bearer; Interest Rate—1.35 per cent per annum; Denomination—\$10,000; which notes are offered for sale in accordance with your published notice of sale, we bid \$1,500,000, which is the par value thereof, together with a premium of \$11.00.

R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co., by V. E. Breeden.—For \$1,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation 1½ per cent Notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each, dated as of the date of delivery and maturing May 15, 1934, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$1,500,000, being the par value of said notes, together with a premium of \$15.

Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the Finance Committee presented the following resolution, which was *adopted* by the following vote:

Accepting Bid for Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1349, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0333 that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of three o'clock p. m., March 26, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the bid of E. O. Huttlinger Co., by E. O. Huttlinger, as follows, be and is hereby accepted:

For all, but not any part less than all, of one million five hundred

thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) par value, legally issued Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each, to be dated as of day of delivery thereof, and to be payable on May 15, 1934, bearing interest at the rate of seventy-five one-hundredths per cent (75/100 per cent) per annum, to be paid at maturity of said notes, we bid you the sum of one million five hundred thousand and sixty dollars (\$1,500,060).

In accordance with your advertisement and the terms of our bid, we hand you herewith cashier's check of the Wells-Fargo Bank and Union Trust Company, of this City, in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, same to be applied against the purchase price in case we are awarded the notes. If we are the successful bidders, we agree to pay for the notes as soon as they, together with the approving opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist as to the legality of these notes, are ready for delivery.

Further Resolved, That all other bids for said notes be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal From Assessment on Garfield Street.

Hearing the appeals of James J. Lynch et al., for the assessment and warrant issued to Eaton & Smith in the matter of the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street, respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, as per Resolution of Intention No. 115526, adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on October 21, 1931.

Communications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

March 23, 1934.

Subject: Garfield street, Head-Orizaba Assessment.

Claims: Dr. A. H. White, \$250; Jas. J. Lynch, \$150; Alice M. Brooks, \$50.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Attention: Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

Gentlemen: In response to your letter dated March 20, I regret to advise, following exhaustive effort, that there are no funds available in the County Roads Fund nor from any other source at this time, to settle with the property owners on the Garfield street assessment matter, the total of which aggregates \$450.

Very truly yours,

W. H. WORDEN, Director,
Department of Public Works.

San Francisco, March 26, 1934.

The Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Referring to adjustments with property owners on Garfield street:

We understand that the Street Committee of the Board of Supervisors have approved payment to Dr. A. H. White of \$250; to James J. Lynch, \$150; Alice Brooks, \$50, in consideration of the passage of a resolution dismissing appeal from assessment by property owners.

While awaiting the payment of this money until August 1, 1934, or soon thereafter, we agree not to bring suit to force collection of the assessment. It is our understanding that Mr. Leavy and Mr. Worden will make the allocation out of the Good Road Fund at the earliest opportunity.

Very truly yours,

EATON & SMITH,
By CLARENCE B. EATON.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Denying Appeal From Assessment on Garfield Street.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1309, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Department of Public Works on August 3, 1933, for the improvement of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to the easterly line of Head street, the crossing of Orizaba avenue with Grafton avenue and Garfield street respectively, and the crossing of Bright street with Garfield street, by grading to the official line and grade, by the construction of sewers and appurtenances, be and the same is hereby denied, and the assessment confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Breyer, Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Ratto—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

(Code No. 9.092)

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Gallagher and laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

METAL TRADES.

<i>Shop Rates</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day)	per hour \$1.16
Molders and coremakers	7.04
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

Pile drivers (based on 6-hour day).....per hour 1.12½

Overtime payments shall be as specified in Resolution No. 1238 for employment or craft concerned.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jos. Emanuel, representing mill owners; Jos. Hart, representing San Francisco Planing Mill Association; Jno. Muller, representing Cabinet Manufacturers Association; J. Reinhardt, representing the Reinhardt Mills; Senator Albert Boynton, representing the Industrial Association; Mr. Metcalf, representing the Mill Trades Association, and David Ryan, representing the Amalgamated Carpenters, Cabinet Workers and Millmen, were heard at length on the pending question.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was on motion *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**Action Deferred.**

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3:30 p. m. next Monday:*

Roosevelt Terraces.

Communication from City Engineer, that notice that appeal from decision City Planning Commission, re rezoning property in old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, lacks sufficient number of signatures. Also communication from attorney for appellants, protesting City Engineer's check of signatures.

In connection with above, Supervisor Uhl moved that opinion be requested from City Attorney as to whether or not appeal is in regular form, and as to the sufficiency of signatures thereof.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be requested to get report back to Board by Monday, March 26, and that it be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Including Cervantes Boulevard From Fillmore Street to Marina Boulevard as a Restricted Traffic Street.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 538, Ordinance No. 11.025, as follows:

Amending Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by including Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard, as a restricted traffic street.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 25 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 25. Restricted Traffic Streets. The following parts of streets are designated as restricted traffic streets:

Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

Cervantes boulevard from Fillmore street to Marina boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street;

Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street;

The Park Presidio drive from Golden Gate Park to the Presidio of San Francisco;

Sunset boulevard from the south line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard, thence southerly to Lake Merced boulevard and its termination at Skyline boulevard;

The upper two roadways of the Great Highway from the south line of Fulton street to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicles upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pickup or delivery within said block.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

**Amending Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by
Excluding West Side of Grant Avenue Between Bush and Pine
Streets.**

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 539, Ordinance No. 11.026, as follows:

Amending Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by excluding the west side of Grant avenue between Bush and Pine streets.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 37 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37 (a). Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets, Day or Night. It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop the same or park for a longer period of time than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivering of passengers or materials during any hour of the day or night on the following streets:

West side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to the east line of Diamond street, and on the east side of Bernal avenue from the south line of Brook street to a point 500 feet northerly along the curb from the point of intersection of the easterly curb line of Diamond street and the westerly curb line of Bernal avenue;

North side of Brosnan place between Valencia and Guerrero streets;
Ewer place;

Frank place;

South side of Geary street from the west property line of Presidio avenue to the east property line of 2686 Geary street;

East side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway;

North side of Grove street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street;

Houston street;

North side of Jessie street between First and Ninth streets;

East side of Lexington avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

Lilac street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets;

Malvina place;

West side of Mason street between Bush and Pine streets;

North side of Natoma street between Tenth and Eleventh streets;

East side of San Carlos avenue between Sycamore avenue and Twenty-first street;

North side of Stevenson street between First and Ninth streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Amending Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Adding North Side of Sloat Boulevard Between Forty-fourth Avenue and Great Highway.

(Code No. 11.02)

Also, Bill No. 540, Ordinance No. 11.027, as follows:

Amending Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets, and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by declaring the north side of Sloat boulevard between Forty-fourth avenue and Great Highway, a street upon which parking is restricted to sixty (60) minutes from 7 a. m. to 6 p. m.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 39 (a) of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 39 (a). Sixty Minute Parking Restriction, Any Day, at Specified Hours. It shall be unlawful for the driver of any vehicle to stop or park the same longer than sixty (60) minutes between hours shown below, on any day, on any of the following streets:

From 7 a. m. to 8 p. m., on Taylor street between Beach and Jefferson streets;

From 7 a. m. to 6 p. m., on the north side of Sloat boulevard between Forty-fourth avenue and Great Highway.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Retitling Two Positions—Street Repair and Street Cleaning, Board of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 542, Ordinance No. 9.05358, as follows:

An ordinance amending Sections 49 and 50 of Ordinance 9.05339, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by retitling two existing positions to conform to Civil Service classification.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 49 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Cleaning.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	217	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
2	11	J10	Laborer-Sub Foreman at \$7 per day..	
3	5	C152	Watchman	\$ 155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	1	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	225
5½	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	225
6	21	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Trucks, at \$8 per day	
7	5	O14	Driver of Special Equipment, \$8 per day	

8	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day
9	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day..... Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 2. Section 50 of Ordinance 9.05339 is amended to read as follows:

Department of Public Works—Bureau of Street Repair.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Superintendent of Streets.....	\$ 500
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED.

3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B216	Clerk at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	23	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman at \$6 per day....	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
9	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck, at \$8 per day	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at \$8 per day	
12	4	O152	Eng. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.....	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
16	3	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
17	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
20	18	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day.....	
21	7	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at \$8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day..	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225

BRIDGES.

27	1	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at \$6.50 per day.....	
29	12	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)	220
Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.				

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors to Attend Conference on State Liquor Control.

(Code No. 5.93)

Also, Bill No. 544, Ordinance No. 5.938, as follows:

Authorizing the President of the Board of Supervisors to attend a conference on State Liquor Control at Los Angeles, and providing for payment of expenses thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Authorization is hereby granted the President of the Board of Supervisors, James B. McSheehy, or other member of the Board, to attend the conference on State Liquor Control to be held in Los Angeles, California, on the 14th of March, 1934.

Section 2. The expense of said President shall be allowed and paid in conformity with the provisions of section 219 of the Charter and Ordinance No. 9.0562 of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2:

Reenactment of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 on Advice of City Attorney Because of Error in Publication.

(Code No. 12.021)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 518, Ordinance No. 12.0211, as follows:

Providing for proceedings for work or improvements in or upon public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads and other public property and rights of way, in the City and County of San Francisco, including property over which possession or right of use has been obtained under the provisions of Sections 14 and 14½ of Article I of the Constitution of California, and for establishing or changing the grades of any such public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, sidewalks, highways, roads, properties or rights of way; prescribing and providing for the method of assessing the expenses of such work or improvements upon lands in private ownership; providing for a lien on lands so assessed for such work or improvements; providing a method for collecting or enforcing such assessments; providing for bonds to be given under certain conditions by those interested in land so assessed; providing a method for the payment of such bonds; and providing for the sale of lands so assessed for the payment of any of said bonds.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

PART I.

Section 1. All streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property, rights of way, tidelands and submerged lands, in the City and County of San Francisco, now open or dedicated or which hereafter may be opened or dedicated to public use, and any property in said City and County for the immediate possession and use of which, as right of way required for public use, an order has or shall have been obtained pursuant to Sections 14 and 14½. Article I, California Constitution, and all tidelands and submerged lands which have been or hereafter may be granted by the State of California to said City and County, shall be deemed and held to be open public streets, avenues, lanes, alleys, courts, places, public ways, property or rights of way owned by said City and County, for the purposes of this ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors of said City and County is hereby empowered to establish and change the grades of all said ways, properties and rights of way and fix the width thereof, and is hereby invested with jurisdiction to order to be done therein, thereover or thereon, either singly or in any combination, pursuant to the proceedings hereafter described, any and all of the work mentioned in this ordinance. The words, public ways, when used in this ordinance shall be deemed to include all the existing and future property of said City and County hereinabove mentioned. The word, Supervisors, when hereafter used, will refer to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The Supervisors, pursuant to the procedure herein prescribed, are hereby empowered to order the whole or any portion or portions, in either length or width, of any one or more of said public ways to be improved by or have constructed therein, thereover or thereon either singly or in any combination, any of the following work:

(a) The grading or regrading, paving or repaving, planking or replanking, macadamizing or remacadamizing, graveling or regraveling, oiling or reoilng, piling or repiling, capping or recapping, repairing or reconstruction thereof.

(b) The filling of excavations therein.

(c) The construction or reconstruction of sidewalks, crosswalks, steps, safety zones, platforms, seats, statuary, fountains, parks and parkways, culverts, bridges, curbs, gutters, tunnels, subways or viaducts.

(d) Sanitary sewers or instrumentalities of sanitation, together with the necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, tunnels, channels and other appurtenances.

(e) Drains, tunnels, sewers, conduits, culverts and channels for drainage purposes, with necessary outlets, cesspools, manholes, lamp holes, catch basins, flush tanks, septic tanks, disposal plants, connecting sewers, side sewers, ditches, drains, conduits, channels and appurtenances.

(f) Viaducts, conduits, water pipes, water connections and appurtenances and subways.

(g) Poles, posts, wires, pipes, conduits, tunnels, lamps and other suitable or necessary appliances for the purpose of lighting said public ways or other property owned by said City and County.

(h) Pipes, hydrants and appliances for fire protection.

(i) Breakwaters, levees, bulkheads, retaining walls and walls of rock or other material to protect said public ways and other property in said City and County from overflow by water.

(j) Retaining walls, embankments and other structures necessary or suitable in connection with any of the work in this section mentioned.

(k) The planting of trees, shrubs or any other ornamental vegetation.

(l) The installation of appliances for regulating traffic of pedestrians and vehicles and all other traffic, together with all requisite cables, wires, conduits and all other instrumentalities necessary or proper for the operation of such appliances.

(m) All other work necessary or suitable to improve the whole or any portion of said public ways.

(n) All other work auxiliary to any of the work above mentioned, which may be necessary or convenient for the performance of the same.

Section 3. When, in the judgment of the Director of Public Works of said City and County, public interest or convenience requires that any of the work mentioned in this ordinance be done, the expense of the whole or any part of which is to be assessed upon private property, and said Director deems the same expedient, he may by written order declare such expediency and briefly describe such work. Said Director shall cause to be preserved in the office of the Department of Public Works in permanent and appropriate form a written record of all acts pursuant to the procedure prescribed by this ordinance including all orders made by him hereunder, so that at all times during business hours there shall be open to the public a complete written record of all acts pursuant hereto. All orders of said Director pursuant hereto shall be identified by his signature. Upon making any such order of expediency, said Director shall cause to be prepared specifications, or plans and specifications, as the case may require, for the proposed work.

Section 4. At any time after the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the contemplated work shall have been prepared, said Director may make an order declaring his intention to recommend to the

Supervisors that they order to be done the work described in his order declaring the expediency thereof, or some part or modification of such work.

Said order declaring his intention shall refer to the public way affected, by its lawful or official name, or the name by which it is commonly known. When the contemplated work is not upon a public street or thoroughfare, the order shall briefly describe the property or right of way on which the work is to be done. Said order will be sufficient if it states in general terms the kind of work contemplated, such as grading, paving, sewerage or other improvements, gives in general the location of the proposed improvement and refers to the specifications, or plans and specifications, therefor, for a full and detailed description of the proposed work. Said order declaring the intention of said Director shall also contain a notice of the day, hour and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the proposed work may appear before said Director and show cause why said proposed work should not be done in accordance with said order declaring the intention of said Director. Said time shall not be less than fifteen or more than thirty days from the date of making said order of intention.

Said Director may include in one proceeding, under one order declaring his intention and in one contract, any of the different kinds of work mentioned in this ordinance on any number of public ways, contiguous or otherwise, and he may except therefrom any of such work already done.

The grade to which any work shall be done shall be such as may be shown on the plans or profiles therefor, or it may be done on such a grade as may formally have been established by the Supervisors. If any official grade already has been established for any of the public ways proposed to be improved, it shall be lawful for the order of said Director declaring his intention, to provide that said work shall be done to new grades or grades different from those so established, and said order shall refer to plans, profiles or specifications for the description of the grade at which the work is to be done. Any property owner whose property is to be assessed to pay the costs of the proposed work may at the time fixed in said order for hearing objections appear before said Director and object to the proposed grade or proposed modification of grade. A failure to make objection at such time shall be deemed to be a waiver of all objections to the proposed grade or proposed change of grade and shall operate as a bar to any claim for damages or any subsequent action looking to the prevention of the work or the recovery of damages on account of the performance of the work to such grade or changed grade. The provisions of this section relative to grades are alternative and do not affect other provisions of law relative to change of grade.

Section 5. Said Director shall make the expense of such work chargeable upon the district in his opinion benefited by such work. In his said order declaring his intention he shall describe such district and declare it to be the district which will be benefited by such work. Such district may be described in such order by stating the exterior boundaries thereof, or by giving a description thereof according to any official or recorded map or maps, or by referring to the maps or block books customarily used by the Assessor and Tax Collector for City and County assessment or tax collection purposes, or by referring to a plat or map which shall be on file in the office of said Director at the time of making the order declaring his intention; said last mentioned plat or map shall indicate by a boundary line the extent of the territory included in the proposed district, and, if referred to as hereinabove provided for, shall govern for all details as to the extent of such assessment district.

When two or more public ways, not contiguous or directly connected, are to be improved under the same proceeding, such number of dis-

tricts may be provided for therein as shall be deemed by said Director to be expedient.

Section 6. Said Director may, if he deem it advisable, and when there is an unexpended and unencumbered balance in any fund in the City and County Treasury which has been appropriated for such general purpose, and the written consent of the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller has been obtained, recommend to the Supervisors that not to exceed two-thirds of the expense of any of the work mentioned in this ordinance shall be paid out of said Treasury from such unexpended and unencumbered balance. Said Director shall state the fact of such intended recommendation in his order declaring his intention to recommend that the work be done, specifying in such order the amount so to be recommended for payment from the Treasury.

If the Supervisors follow the recommendation in whole or in part, they shall appropriate for such purpose, in the ordinance ordering the work, the amount so recommended, or such part thereof as they shall consent to; provided, however, that no such appropriation shall be made until the provisions of Section 86 of the Charter of said City and County shall have been complied with. The amount so to be paid from the Treasury shall be payable at such time or times as shall be specified in the notice calling for sealed proposals for the work.

Whenever any of the expense of such work is so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury said Director in making up the assessment hereinafter provided for, shall, unless the Supervisors in said ordinance otherwise provide, and except as otherwise provided in Subdivision 4, Section 24, of this ordinance, first deduct from the whole expense of such work the amount so ordered to be paid out of the Treasury, and shall assess the remainder of said expense upon the parcels of land liable to be assessed therefor in the manner hereinafter provided.

This section shall not be construed as a limitation upon the power of the Supervisors to make an appropriation from the Treasury at any other time or in any other manner to pay not to exceed two-thirds of the cost of any work mentioned in this ordinance.

Section 7. A copy of the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend to the Supervisors that they order work to be done shall be published for one day in the official newspaper of said City and County. Such publication shall be made at least ten days before the date fixed in said order for hearing thereon by said Director. A copy of said order shall be posted in the office of said Director at least ten days before the date named in said order for action thereon by said Director.

Said Director shall cause notices of the making of said order to be conspicuously posted along all the streets within the district chargeable for the expense of the work, at not more than three hundred feet in distance apart, on each street so posted, but not less than three on each street in such district.

No proceeding shall ever be held invalid for failure to post any street or streets, as in this section provided, if the provision of this section has been substantially complied with. All posting hereby prescribed must be completed at least ten days before the day set for hearing on said order of said Director declaring his intention.

Said notice shall be headed "Notice of Improvement" in letters of not less than one inch in height, and shall, in legible characters, state the fact of the adoption of such order of said Director declaring his intention, its date, and shall briefly describe the work proposed to be done, and shall refer to said order of said Director for further particulars. Said notices shall also set out the proposed district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work. Said district shall be described in the same manner in which it shall be described in the order of said Director declaring his intention as provided for in Section 5. Said notices shall also state that it is proposed to assess the property within such district to pay the total or partial expense of such work, as the case may be. Said notices shall also state that all objections

to the proposed work or district or otherwise must be filed, in writing, with said Director before the day fixed in his said order for action thereon, or must be made orally on said day, or on the day to which action on said order may be postponed. The day, hour and place fixed in said order for action thereon shall also be indicated in said notices.

The Secretary of the Department of Public Works shall cause to be mailed, at least 10 days prior to the hearing, postage prepaid, a copy of such order to each property owner whose name appears upon the assessment book of the City and County current at the time of the making of such order, and whose property is to be assessed for the proposed work or improvement. In case any lot, piece or parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work or improvement be assessed on such assessment book to "unknown owners," then no copy of such order need be mailed to the owner thereof.

The mailing of such copy of such order shall be to the address as the same appears upon the said assessment book as indicating the address of the owner of the property to be assessed for such work or improvement; and in case no such address appears upon said assessment book, then the mailing of such copy may be made either to an address designated in the last issue of the city directory having relation to a name corresponding to that of such owner, if such a name appear therein, or to an address obtainable from any other probably reliable source of information that may be conveniently available to the person performing such mailing, or such mailing to such owner may be made to the general delivery of the post office at the City and County.

The Supervisors, before ordering the contemplated work to be done or improvement made, may, if they deem it advisable, require an affidavit to be filed showing that the foregoing requirement for the mailing of such copies of the said order has been complied with. Such affidavit showing such mailing shall be conclusive of the facts therein recited.

Such requirement for such mailing of the copies of the order of intention shall not be deemed jurisdictional, and the failure of the said property owners, or any of them, to receive said copies of the said order, or any error or omission in relation to the said mailing of the same, shall in nowise affect the validity of the proceeding or prevent the Supervisors from acquiring jurisdiction to order the proposed work or improvement. Knowledge of the making of such order of intention acquired by any such owner, prior to the date of action thereon, in any manner other than by mailing to him a copy of such order, shall be deemed the equivalent of such mailing for all purposes to be subserved thereby.

Section 8. At any time before the day fixed in said order of said Director for action thereon by said Director, any owner of, or person interested in, property liable to be assessed for the proposed work, or the duly authorized representative of such owner, or other person, in his behalf, may make written protest against the same or to the extent of the district to be assessed therefor, or both, or make any other protest with regard thereto. Such protest must be in writing, contain a description of the property in which each signer thereof is interested, sufficient to identify the same, set forth the nature of his interest therein, and be delivered to the Department of Public Works of said City and County, the secretary or a clerk of which shall endorse thereon the date of receipt thereof. No other protests or objections shall be considered by said Director, except oral protests made at the time at which said Director conducts the hearing mentioned in his said order. At the time set for hearing protests said Director may publicly postpone action on his said order from time to time, and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice of such postponement and shall be governed thereby. The decision of said Director on all such protests shall be final and conclusive except in case of appeal to the Supervisors as in this ordinance hereinafter provided for.

Section 9. If any protest against such proposed work or proposed district or any other protest be sustained by said Director, he shall not thereby be prevented from commencing proceedings anew hereunder which shall embrace the same work and/or the same district or any part or parts of either or both thereof; and new proceedings may be had the same as if all such prior proceedings, no matter how many times instituted, had never been commenced.

If no protests be made against such proposed district, or if all protests made against the same be overruled by said Director, said Director shall accompany his recommendation to the Supervisors that the proposed work be ordered, with a diagram on which shall be delineated each separate parcel of land within the limits of such assessment district, the approximate dimensions of each such parcel, and its relative location to the work proposed to be done. The correctness of such diagram shall be certified by the City Engineer. Instead of said diagram said Director may accompany his said recommendation to the Supervisors with a description of such proposed district.

Section 10. If the protests to any proposed work or proposed district or other protests be all overruled, or if no protests be made, said Director shall, within five days from the date of his action upon his said order declaring his intention, make an order recommending to the Supervisors that they order such work to be done, and said Director shall cause a copy of said last mentioned order to be transmitted to the Supervisors.

When any protests by persons having any interest in the property to be assessed have been overruled by said Director, an appeal may be taken separately by each such protestant to the Supervisors from the decision of such Director. Each such appeal shall be in writing, and shall be signed by each protestant participating therein with his place of residence set down opposite his signature and with a description of the property in which he is interested sufficient for identification of the same. Such appeal must be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Supervisors within ten days from the date of said Director's order recommending to the Supervisors that the work be ordered done, and a copy of such appeal must be filed in the office of said Department of Public Works within two days after the date of filing such appeal with the Clerk of the Supervisors. No appeal shall be considered by the Supervisors unless the same be taken and perfected in the manner and within the time herein provided.

When said Director has overruled all such protests, he shall within five days after the date of such action make his order reciting such action, and therein recommend to the Supervisors that they order the proposed work to be done and approve the proposed assessment district and transmit a copy of such order to the Supervisors.

When an appeal or appeals shall have been taken as herein provided, the Supervisors shall fix a time for hearing the same. The Clerk of the Supervisors shall thereupon notify the persons filing such an appeal or appeals of the time fixed for the hearing by mailing a notice thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to each of said persons at his address as given in such notice of appeal. The affidavit of said clerk of said mailing shall be conclusive of the fact.

At the time so fixed for hearing the appeal, the Supervisors shall hear and pass upon the same. Such hearing may be continued from time to time and all persons interested shall be deemed to have notice thereof and shall be governed thereby.

Section 11. The Supervisors, if they do not deny such appeal, may by resolution delay further proceedings in relation to the proposed work for not more than one year from the date of the adoption of such resolution. Upon petition of the appellants the Supervisors may continue with the proceedings from time to time during said period of delay.

Upon expiration of the period of delay so fixed by the Supervisors, said Director may again recommend to the Supervisors that the pro-

posed work be done and the proposed district be confirmed, and thereupon the Supervisors, after notice to the appellants as provided for in Section 10, shall order the work to be done and the boundaries of the proposed district confirmed or may declare an abandonment of all proceedings theretofore had in the matter.

The ordering of any proposed work and confirmation of any proposed district shall be by ordinance.

Section 12. Said Director, at any stage of the proceedings for any proposed work, prior to action by the Supervisors upon his recommendation that they order the same done, may by order abandon any or all proceedings theretofore had in relation to such proposed work; and said Director may commence said proceedings anew and continue the same from any part of said proceedings not so abandoned. If said Director abandons any or all proceedings after his making an order of recommendation and before action thereon by the Supervisors, he shall cause notice of such fact forthwith to be transmitted to the Supervisors, and the Supervisors shall take no action upon the recommendation in such case.

If the Supervisors pass an ordinance ordering any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, they may upon recommendation of said Director repeal such ordinance ordering work.

Said Director, from time to time after he has abandoned any proceedings for any proposed work pursuant to this ordinance, may institute and continue proceedings hereunder for the work theretofore proposed and abandoned, or for such work or modified work, as he may determine the public interest or convenience requires, all in accordance with the procedure prescribed in this ordinance.

Section 13. The ordinance ordering the work to be done and approving the assessment district shall contain a description of the assessment district similar to that contained in said order of said Director declaring his intention. In all other ordinances, resolutions, notices, orders and determinations, subsequent to said order of said Director declaring his intention and subsequent to the notices of hearing thereon, except the notices of recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment hereinafter provided for, it shall not be necessary to describe the assessment district, but it shall be sufficient to refer to said order of said Director declaring his intention for a description of the work and a description of the assessment district.

Section 14. After the Supervisors, pursuant hereto, have passed an ordinance ordering work to be done, said Director shall cause a notice to be published for two consecutive days in the official newspaper and posted conspicuously in his office for a period of not less than ten days, inviting sealed proposals for the contemplated work.

Said notice shall invite sealed proposals for the contemplated work to be delivered to said Director at his office, or at a place to be designated by him in said notice, on a day and during an hour to be specified therein, which shall be not less than ten days after the date of last publication of said notice as hereinabove provided and after the first day of said posting of said notice. Said notice shall contain a description of the proposed work substantially similar to that contained in the order of said Director declaring his intention to recommend that the Supervisors order the same to be done. Said notice shall also contain a reservation of the right to reject any and all bids, and shall specify the period of time within which the work is to be completed after the date of execution of the contract therefor and the amount of the bond to be given by the awardee of the contract for faithful performance of the same.

Reference to the specifications, or plans and specifications, for the proposed work shall also be incorporated in said notice for further information concerning the details of the proposed work.

Section 15. All proposals shall be made upon printed forms to be prepared by said Director and furnished gratuitously upon application.

Every proposal made shall be accompanied by a check certified by a responsible bank, payable to the order of said City and County for an amount not less than ten per centum of the aggregate of the proposal, and no proposal shall be considered unless accompanied by such check.

No person, firm or corporation shall make, file or be interested in more than one bid for the same improvement. If on the opening of bids more than one bid appear in which the same person, firm or corporation is interested, all said last mentioned bids shall be rejected.

On the day and during the hour specified in said notice inviting sealed proposals, said Director shall be in his office, or in the place designated by him in said notice, and all bids shall be delivered to him within the hour named in said notice. No bid not so delivered to him shall be considered. Each bid as it shall be received shall be numbered and marked "Filed" by said Director and authenticated by his signature. At the expiration of the hour stated in said notice, said Director shall publicly open, examine and declare the same and an abstract of each bid shall be recorded in a public register to be kept by said Director for such purpose. Said Director shall immediately compare the bids with the record so made, and shall thereupon or at such other time not exceeding twenty days thereafter award the contract for the work to the lowest reliable and responsible bidder, except as otherwise herein provided. Notice of such award shall be caused to be posted for five days by said Director in some conspicuous place in the office of the Department of Public Works and such notice shall be published once in the official newspaper. Said Director may reject any and all bids and may reject the bid of any bidder who has been delinquent or unfaithful in any former contract with said City and County and must reject all bids other than the bid of the lowest reliable and responsible bidder; and, on accepting said lowest bid, he shall thereupon return to the proper parties the checks corresponding to the bids so rejected. If all the bids are rejected said Director shall return all the checks to the proper parties and may again invite sealed proposals for the proposed work as in the first instance.

The check accompanying the accepted bid shall be held by said Director until the contract for doing said work as hereinafter provided has been entered into, either by said lowest bidder, or by owners as hereinafter provided, whereupon said certified check shall be returned to said bidder. If said bidder fails, neglects or refuses to enter into a contract to perform the work as in this ordinance hereinafter provided then the certified check accompanying his bid and the amount therein mentioned shall be declared by order of said Director to be forfeited to said City and County, and shall be collected by it and paid into the treasury of said City and County and credited to the item or items of the annual appropriation for the improvement of streets and sewers, unless said Director, or the Supervisors on appeal, duly remit such forfeiture.

Section 16. The owners of at least three-fourths of the assessable area of the assessment district shall not be required to present sealed proposals, but may upon making an oath that they are such owners, within ten days after the publication of the notice of award, elect to enter into a written contract with said Director to do the whole work specified in said award at the price or prices at which the same has been awarded.

Should such owners not enter into such a contract for said work within said time, the awardee to whom the contract has been awarded shall enter into a contract for said work within seven days after the expiration of the time within which said owners might have entered into a contract for the same.

Section 17. At any time within five days from the date of the publication of the notice of award hereunder of a contract for work, any owner of, or any other person having any interest in, any parcel of land liable to be assessed for such work, who claims that any of the previous acts or proceedings relating to said work are irregular,

defective, erroneous or faulty, may file in the office of said Department of Public Works a written notice specifying in what respect or respects said acts or said proceedings are irregular, defective, erroneous or faulty. Said notice shall state that it is made pursuant to this section, and shall contain the address of the person filing the same, and a description of the property owned by or in which he is interested sufficient to identify the same.

All objections to any act or proceeding occurring prior to the time within which such objections are permitted to be filed in relation to said work, not made in writing and in the manner and at the time aforesaid, shall be deemed waived.

Section 18. If the original awardee fails or refuses, for seventeen days after the publication of the notice of award to enter into the contract, when the same in due form has been presented to him for execution by said Director, then said Director, without further proceedings shall again advertise for and receive bids, as in the first instance, and award the contract for the work to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder. Should no bids be received in response to such second call, said Director may again advertise for and receive bids under the same proceedings at any time within six months from the time set for the first reception of bids, and let the contract to the then lowest reliable and responsible bidder, and such delay shall in no way affect the validity of any of the proceedings or assessments levied thereunder. The bids of all persons and the election of all owners aforesaid, who have failed to enter into a contract as herein provided, shall be rejected in or upon any bidding subsequent to the first bidding for such work.

Section 19. Every contract in this ordinance referred to shall be executed by said Director on behalf of said City and County. The Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County shall also approve by his signature every such contract which involves the expenditure of over \$2,000. Whenever in any such contract the City and County is obligated to pay any portion of the contract price, the Controller also shall approve such contract by his signature.

Section 20. Every contract entered into by said Director, pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance, shall be signed by the other contracting party. Every such contract shall be signed in triplicate by all parties. One of said triplicates, together with the specifications or plans and specifications, as the case may be, of the work to be done under such contract, shall be kept in the office of said Director; another of said triplicates, with such plans and specifications, shall be delivered to the contractor or contracting owners referred to in Section 16 of this ordinance, and the third triplicate shall be delivered to the Controller.

At the time of execution of the contract by the contractor, or said contracting owners, he or they, as the case may be, shall execute to said City and County, and deliver with the contract, a bond in a sum named in the notice calling for bids, executed by a surety company authorized to do business in this state, or they shall deposit with said Director a certified check upon some solvent bank for said amount, all for the faithful performance of the contract.

The contract shall specify the time within which the work shall be completed, which shall be the same as that specified in the notice inviting bids therefor. Said Director may by order grant extensions of time within which to complete the work. An extension of time may be granted after the expiration of the time fixed in the contract, or as extended as herein provided, and the extension so granted shall be deemed to commence and be effective from the date of such expiration.

Failure of said Director to grant an extension or extensions of time as herein provided for shall not affect the rights of the contractor beyond a reasonable deduction from the contract price for any damage sustained by reason of delay in performance of the contract.

No such extension or extensions of time granted by said Director for completion of the work shall operate to relieve any surety or sureties on any bond from the liabilities assumed in or by such bond; nor shall any assignment of the contract qualify or change any such liability.

Section 21. If the owners or the awardee, who have entered into such a contract, do not complete the same within the time limited in the contract, or such extended time as is hereinbefore provided for, said Director may reaward the whole or the unfinished portion of said work, as the case may be, in accordance with the procedure in this ordinance prescribed for inviting proposals and awarding the contract. In such event, said owners or awardee shall be debarred from again entering into a contract to do said work or the unfinished portion thereof.

If said owners or the awardee fail or refuse to complete the contract entered into, and said Director reawards the whole work or the incomplete portion thereof at a price in the aggregate exceeding that at which the work was originally awarded, the bond for faithful performance of the contract, in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for, shall be liable for any excess, resulting from such failure or refusal, of any assessment levied against any parcel of land for the expense of the work over what it would have been, had the original contract been performed; provided the original contract has not been cancelled by ordinance of the Supervisors.

Section 22. Every contractor, including contracting owners, to whom is awarded a contract for street work hereunder, shall upon the execution of such contract file with said Director a bond, approved by him, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable under the contract; such bond shall be executed by an authorized corporate surety able to justify in the manner provided by law; such bond must provide that if the contractor, or his, its or their subcontractors, fail to pay for any materials or provisions, or the reasonable rental value of teams, implements or machinery used in, upon, for or about the performance of the work contracted to be done, or for any work or labor of any kind done directly thereon or therefor, that the surety will pay the same, to an amount not exceeding the sum specified in such bond. Any laborer, materialman, person, company or corporation furnishing any of the items hereinabove in this section mentioned used in, upon, for or about, or contributing to, the performance of the work contracted to be done, and whose claim has not been paid may, at any time within ten days from the date of recordation of the assessment in the Department of Public Works, file in said Department a verified statement of his, its or their claim, together with a statement that the same or some part thereof has not been paid. Every person and corporation entitled to the benefit of this section shall severally have a first lien against the assessment, any partial assessment, any reassessment and any bonds issued to represent any such assessment or reassessment, which lien may be enforced in the Superior Court, in and for said City and County, according to the custom and practice of such court. Such action must be commenced within thirty days from the date of filing such verified statement.

No assignment by the contractor of the whole or any part of the money, or of such assessment, partial assessment, reassessment and/or bonds due or to become due him under the contract, or for extras in connection therewith, whether made before or after such verified claim is filed, shall be held to take priority over claims filed under this section, and all such assignments shall have no effect in so far as claims hereunder are concerned.

If any person or corporation against whom any such claim is filed shall dispute its correctness or validity, said Director may permit the contractor to whom the contract was awarded by said Director, or his assignee, to deliver to him a bond executed by a corporation authorized

to issue surety bonds in the State of California, in a penal sum equal to one and a quarter times the amount of such claim; said bond shall guarantee the payment of whatever sum such claimant may recover in an action on said claim. Upon the filing of such a bond, said Director may release such moneys, assessments, partial assessments, reassessments and/or bonds to which such contractor, or his assignees, otherwise shall be entitled. The sureties upon such last mentioned bond shall be jointly and severally liable, with the sureties upon the bond in this section first mentioned, to such claimant.

Suits against the surety or sureties on the bonds in this section mentioned may be brought by any claimant or his assigns, at any time after the claimant has ceased to perform labor or furnish materials or both and until the expiration of one hundred fifty days after the period within which verified claims may be filed as hereinabove provided. The filing of such a verified claim shall not be a condition precedent to the maintenance of an action against the surety or sureties on the bond in this section first mentioned, and an action on said bond may be maintained independently of any other action whatsoever. Upon the trial of any action in this section mentioned the court shall award to the prevailing party a reasonable attorney's fee to be taxed as costs.

Section 23. All work in this ordinance provided for must be done under the supervision and to the satisfaction of said Director; and said Director shall require all materials used in such work to be in accordance with the specifications therefor; and all contracts provided for in this ordinance must contain a provision to the effect hereinbefore in this section set forth, and also a provision to the effect that in no case, except where it is otherwise provided in this ordinance or the Charter of said City and County, will said City and County or any department or official thereof, be liable for any portion of the expense of said work, or for any damages resulting in the course of the performance thereof, or for any delinquency of persons or of property assessed.

When any such work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of said Director, he shall so declare by certificate, which shall be made a permanent part of the records of his office, and thereupon he shall cause to be delivered to the contractor a duplicate of such certificate.

Section 24. When any work in, upon or over any public way shall have been completed according to contract, as herein provided for, said Director shall make an assessment to cover the sum due for the work performed and specified in said contract (including all incidental expenses), in conformity with the provisions of this ordinance. The assessment shall briefly refer to the contract, the work contracted for and performed, and shall show the amount to be paid therefor, together with any incidental expenses, the amount of each assessment, the name of the owner of each lot (if known to said Director, and if not known the word "unknown" shall be written opposite the number of the lot and the amount assessed against it), and the number of each lot assessed; and said assessment shall have attached thereto a diagram exhibiting the public ways or public way crossings on, in or over which the work has been done, and showing the relative location of each distinct lot to the work done, numbered to correspond with the numbers in the assessment. A mistake in the name of the owner shall not invalidate any assessment.

All incidental expenses incurred in connection with the work must be paid to said Director before the issuance of the warrant, assessment and diagram herein provided for.

Subdivision 1. Where any work mentioned in this ordinance (manholes, lampholes, cesspools, culverts, crosswalks, piling and capping excepted) is done on either or both sides of the center line of any street for one block or less and further work of the same class opposite to the work already done is ordered to be done to complete the unim-

proved portion of said street, the assessment to cover the total expense of said work so ordered shall be made upon the lots or portions of lots only fronting the portions of the work so ordered.

Where the sidewalk area of any portion of a street has been officially abolished in whole or reduced in part, and paving or other improvement of the roadway of such street has been extended to the inner line of the said area so abolished, the lot or lots fronting such abolished or reduced sidewalk area shall be additionally assessable for the costs and expenses of such extended paving or other improvement.

Subdivision 2. Immediately after the contractor has fulfilled his contract to the satisfaction of said Director, the said Director shall proceed to estimate upon the lands, lots or portions of lots within said assessment district, as shown by the diagram provided for in Section 9 of this ordinance, the benefits arising from such work and to be received by each such lot, portion of such lot, piece or subdivision of land, and shall thereupon assess upon and against said lands in said assessment district the total amount of the expense of such work, together with all incidental expenses, and in so doing shall assess said total sum upon the pieces, parcels, lots or portions of lots, and subdivisions of land, in said district, benefited by said work, to-wit: Upon each respectively in proportion to the benefits received by each of said several lots, portions of lots or subdivisions of land.

Subdivision 3. The expense of all work on such portion of any street required by law to be kept in order by any person, company or corporation having railroad tracks thereon, shall be borne and paid for by such person, company or corporation, and shall be included in the assessment in this ordinance hereinbefore provided for. The provisions of this subdivision shall be applicable to any street whereon railroad tracks have been constructed and are being maintained by the City and County; and the City and County shall pay for all street work which, if such tracks were owned by a private person or corporation, would have to be paid for by such private person or corporation.

Subdivision 4. Whenever any parcel of land belonging to the United States, the State of California, said City and County, or any public agent, mandatory, board or institution, and being in use in the performance of a public function, shall be included within the district declared by said Director, in his order declaring his intention, to be the district to be assessed to pay the expense of such work, said Director may, in his said order, declare that such parcels of land, or any of them, shall be omitted from the assessment thereafter to be made to cover the expense of such work. In the event of such declaration of omission, then the total expense of all such work shall be assessed on the remaining lots lying within such assessment district, without regard to such omitted parcels of land. If, however, said Director shall, in his said order declaring his intention, declare that said parcels of land so owned as aforesaid, or any of them, shall be included in the assessment, or if no declaration be made respecting such parcels of land, or any of them, then the respective sums which shall be assessed against said parcels of land so owned and used shall be paid out of funds in the treasury of said City and County theretofore appropriated for the purpose of street improvement; provided, however, that such assessments shall not be payable out of funds in said treasury, unless the Chief Administrative Officer and Controller of said City and County, in writing, consent thereto before said Director shall make his said order declaring his intention; provided further that all of the provisions of Section 6 of this ordinance must be complied with; and provided further that any such sum or sums so assessed against parcels of land so owned and used shall not be payable out of such City and County funds, when such sum or sums are paid by the owners of or bodies controlling such parcels of land.

Subdivision 5. Any owner or owners of lots or lands fronting upon any street, the width and grade of which have been established by the Supervisors, may perform at his or their own expense (after obtain-

ing permission from the Director of Public Works so to do, but before said Director has made his order of intention to recommend grading inclusive of this) any grading upon said street, not beyond its grade as then established and thereupon may procure, at his or their own expense, a certificate from the City Engineer setting forth the number of cubic yards of cutting and filling made by him or them in said grading, and the proportions performed by each owner, provided, however, that, as to each lot, but one such certificate shall be issued for such grading; and thereafter such owner may file said certificate in the office of said Department of Public Works. Said certificate shall be recorded in a properly indexed book kept for that purpose in the office of said Department of Public Works. Whenever thereafter the Supervisors order the grading of said street, or any portion thereof, on which any grading certified as aforesaid has been done, the bids and contract must express the price by the cubic yard for grading, and such owner or owners, and his or their successors in interest, shall be entitled to credit on the assessment upon his or their lots and lands fronting on said street for grading thereof, to the amount of the cubic yards of cutting and filling set forth in his or their said certificate, at the prices named in the contract for said grading; or, if the grade meanwhile has been legally changed, only for so much of said certified work as would be required for grading to the grade as changed. Such owner or owners shall not be entitled to any credit that may be in excess of the assessment for grading upon the lots and lands owned by him or them, and proportionately assessed for the whole of said grading. Said Director shall include in the assessment for the whole of said grading upon the same grade the number of cubic yards of grading set forth in any and all certificates so recorded in said office, or for the whole of said grading to the changed grade, so much of said certified work as would be required for grading thereto, and shall enter corresponding credits, deducting the same as payments upon the amounts assessed against the lot and lands owned respectively by said certified owners and their successors in interest; but said Director shall not credit any sums in excess of the assessments for the whole of the grading, which are made upon any lots and lands fronting upon said street and belonging to any such certified owners or their successors in interest.

When any owner or owners of any lots and lands fronting on any street shall have heretofore done, or shall hereafter do any work, except grading, on such street, in front of any block at his or their expense, and the Supervisors shall subsequently order any work to be done of the same class in front of the same block the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be excepted from the order ordering the work to be done; but the work so done at the expense of such owner or owners shall be upon the official grade, and in condition satisfactory to the Director of Public Works at the time said order is passed.

Section 25. To said assessment shall be attached a warrant which shall be signed by said Director and countersigned by the acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works. Said warrant shall be substantially in the following form:

By virtue hereof the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, by the authority vested in it, does authorize (name of contractor) his (or their) agents or assigns, to demand and receive the several assessments upon the assessment diagram hereto attached, and this shall be his (or their) warrant for the same.

(Date)

(Name of said Director), Director of Public Works.

Countersigned by (name of acting Secretary of Department of Public Works), Acting Secretary of the Department of Public Works.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be recorded in the office of said Department of Public Works. When so recorded the

several amounts assessed shall be and remain a lien upon the parcels of land assessed, respectively, and such lien shall so continue until it be fully paid and discharged of record. Such lien shall be subordinate to all special assessment liens previously imposed upon the same property, but it shall have priority over all special assessment liens which may thereafter be created against said property. When a property owner, as hereinafter provided, gives a bond, in order that said assessment may be paid in installments, the unpaid balance of such bond shall continue to be a lien upon the parcel of land against which such bond shall be given until the expiration of two years after the date when the last installment payable under said bond shall become due. From the date of recordation of any warrant, assessment and diagram pursuant hereto, all persons interested in such assessment and in all property against which it shall be a lien shall be deemed to have notice of the contents of such warrant, assessment and diagram.

Section 26. No objection to the correctness or legality of the assessment or other act, determination or proceeding of said Director or of any board or officer, or otherwise, up to and including said recordation of the warrant, assessment and diagram, whether such objection appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment and diagram, or not, shall be made except by appeal to the Supervisors as in this section hereinafter provided for.

Upon the recordation in the office of the Department of Public Works of an assessment, diagram and warrant, as hereinbefore provided for, said Director shall cause notice of the recordation of the assessment, diagram and warrant to be given. Said notice shall also specify the time and place, to be fixed by said Director, when and where the protests of all persons interested in the work done or in the assessment, diagram or warrant for payment of the cost of the same, or in any property affected thereby, will be heard by the Supervisors, and shall also state that said assessment, diagram and warrant will be open to public inspection at the office of the Department of Public Works during business hours. Such notice shall be posted in the office of said Department of Public Works for not less than ten days before the time of hearing therein mentioned, and shall be published once in the official newspaper of said City and County not less than ten days before the time fixed for such hearing. Such notice shall also be posted in the manner provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance at least ten days before such hearing. All of the provisions of Section 7 shall be applicable to such posting. In said notice, reference shall be made to the order of said Director declaring his intention, for a description of the work done and no other description thereof shall be necessary. Said notice shall also contain the description of the assessment district contained in said order of said Director.

The owners, whether named in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or not, the contractor or his assigns, and all other persons interested in the work done, or in the assessment, diagram or warrant, or in any property affected thereby, feeling aggrieved by any act or determination of the said Director or of any board or officer in relation thereto, or who claim that the work has not been performed according to the contract in a good and substantial manner, or having or making any objection whatsoever to the correctness, fairness, reasonableness or legality of, or any other objection whatsoever to, the assessment, diagram or warrant or other act, determination or proceeding of the said Director or of any board or officer, or having or making any other objection whatsoever, shall, not later than the day before the day fixed for such hearing by the Supervisors, file in the office of the Clerk of said Supervisors notice of appeal and a copy thereof in the office of the Department of Public Works. Each such notice of appeal shall contain the name and address of the appellant, and, if he be interested in any property affected by the assessment, a description of the particular property in which he is interested,

together with a statement of the nature of his interest therein. Each such notice shall also state the grounds upon which his grievance, claim or objection is based, in sufficient detail to make clear the nature of his grievance, claim or objection. If no appeal be filed with the Supervisors within the time and in the manner in this section provided, then no hearing need be had by said Supervisors as hereinabove provided for.

If, however, appeal be made as herein provided for, the Supervisors, at the time and place specified in said notice, shall consider all the appeals, protests, grievances, claims and objections filed in the manner and within the time hereinabove specified, and shall hear all evidence, statements and argument offered in support thereof. Such hearing may be postponed by said Supervisors from time to time, and all persons shall be deemed to have notice of all such postponements and shall be governed thereby.

Upon such appeal the Supervisors may remedy and correct any error or informality in the proceedings and revise and correct any of the acts or determinations of said Director relative to said work; may confirm, amend, set aside, alter, modify or correct the assessment in such a manner as to them shall seem just, and may require the work to be completed according to their directions, and may instruct and direct said Director to correct the warrant, assessment or diagram in any particular, or to make and issue a new warrant, assessment and diagram to conform to their decisions in relation thereto, at their option.

All the decisions and determinations of the Supervisors, upon notice and hearing aforesaid, shall be final and conclusive upon all persons as to all errors, informalities and irregularities whether they do or do not appear upon the face of the warrant, assessment or diagram.

No assessment, warrant or diagram, after the issuance of the same, and no proceedings prior thereto, shall be held invalid by any court, or otherwise, for any error, informality, or other defect in the same, when notice of the recordation in the Department of Public Works of the assessment, diagram and warrant, and of hearing with regard thereto, has been actually published as in this section provided for.

When the time within which to appeal to the Supervisors, as hereinabove provided for, has expired, said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agent or assigns, on demand, provided no appeal has been filed as hereinabove provided for, but not until after the payment to said Director of the incidental expenses not previously paid. If an appeal to the Supervisors be taken within the time and in the manner hereinbefore provided for, then, upon the confirmation of a warrant, assessment and diagram, in connection with the work done, by the Supervisors, the same shall be delivered to the contractor, or his agents or assigns, on demand, after payment of the incidental expenses as aforesaid. By virtue of said warrant so delivered said contractor, or his agents or assigns, shall be authorized to demand and receive the amounts of the several assessments made to cover the sum due for the work specified in the contract and assessment.

Section 27. The warrant, after its delivery to the contractor or his assigns, shall constitute full authority to the contractor, his agent or assigns, to collect the said assessments, and they shall be free to make demands upon the owners by virtue of said warrant and to receive payment of said assessments and give receipts therefor. The warrant shall be returned to the Department of Public Works after its delivery to the contractor, or his assigns, with the written statement of all payments received upon the assessment, signed by the contractor, or his assigns, or some person on his or their behalf, and stating whether any of the assessment remains unpaid in whole or in part and the amount thereof. And if the assessment is payable in installments as provided in Part Two of this ordinance, then the

fact that a bond has been given for such assessment shall be stated if such shall have been given. Thereupon the said Director shall cause the return so made to be recorded with the record of the warrant and assessment.

Section 28. At any time after thirty-five days from the date of the warrant, or if an appeal has been taken to the Supervisors, then at any time after five days from the decision of the Supervisors on such appeal, or after the return of a warrant which has been corrected, altered or modified as herein provided, but not less than thirty-five days after the date of such last mentioned warrant, the contractor or his assignee may sue the owners of, and all other persons having an interest in or encumbrance upon, the land, lots or portions thereof, affected by the assessment, and recover the amount of any assessment remaining unpaid, with interest thereon at the rate of 7 per centum per annum from the date of the assessment until paid.

In all cases of recovery under the provisions of this ordinance the plaintiff shall recover such sum as the court may adjudge reasonable, in addition to the taxable costs, as an attorney's fee. The plaintiff shall be entitled to recover such reasonable sum as an attorney's fee in addition to all taxable costs, notwithstanding the suit may be settled or tender made before recovery. The plaintiff shall also be entitled to recover as a cost of suit the expense of a title search or report, and all other necessary expenses of suit.

Said warrant, assessment and diagram shall be held prima facie evidence of the regularity and correctness of the assessment and of the prior proceedings and acts of said Director and Supervisors, and otherwise, upon which said warrant, assessment and diagram are based, and like evidence of the right of the plaintiff to recover in the action. The court in which said suit shall be commenced shall have power to adjudge and decree a lien against the lots of land assessed, and to order them to be sold on execution, as in other cases of the sale of real estate by the process of such court, and with like right of redemption within one year of such sale, but not later. In all actions brought to enforce the lien of any assessment made pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance the proceedings therein shall be governed and regulated by the provisions of this ordinance and, when not in conflict with this ordinance, by the Codes of this State.

Section 29. No suit or proceeding to set aside, avoid, annul or correct any assessment or reassessment, or to review any of the proceedings in connection therewith, or to question the validity thereof, or to enjoin the collection thereof, or the issuance of bonds representing, or secured by the same, shall be maintained unless it be commenced within thirty days after the recording of the warrant, diagram, and assessment or reassessment in the Department of Public Works, and thereafter all persons shall be barred from commencing any such action or from interposing as a defense any asserted invalidity of any such assessment or of bonds issued thereon or of any such reassessment or of bonds issued thereon. No proceedings had under this ordinance shall ever be held invalid on the ground that the public way, or any portion thereof, in, over or upon which the work or improvement, or any part thereof, is or shall be done, has not been, or shall not have been, lawfully dedicated or acquired, provided the same shall be lawfully dedicated or acquired, or an order of immediate possession and use thereof shall have been obtained, at any time before the entry of judgment in the suit involving such proceeding.

Section 30. The said Director shall cause to be received at any time the amounts due upon any assessment and warrant issued, and shall also cause to be given a good and sufficient discharge therefor, unless written notice be given him that suit to foreclose an assessment has been filed.

The said Director shall cause to be released any assessment upon the books of the Department of Public Works on the payment of the amount of the assessment, with interest to date of payment, against any lot, or on the production to said Department of Public Works of the receipt of the party to whom the assessment and warrant were issued, or his assigns. If any warrant is lost, upon proof of such loss, a duplicate may be issued, upon which a return may be made with the same effect as if the original had been so returned. Upon the return of the assessment and warrant as aforesaid, all amounts remaining due thereon shall draw interest at the rate of 7 per centum per annum until paid. Said Director shall have full power to extend the time for the return of such warrant to said department.

The records kept by said Director or department shall have the same force and effect as other public records, and copies thereof, duly certified by said Director or the Secretary of said department, may be used in evidence with the same effect as the originals. The said records shall, during all office hours, be open to the inspection of any person wishing to examine them, free of charge.

Section 31. In case any parcel of land against which any assessment has been levied has been subdivided or partitioned among several owners thereof, said Director of Public Works, on the written application of any owner thereof, shall make a proportionate division of such assessment and may amend the original assessment by a proportionate distribution of the assessment upon the several subdivisions of the parcel of land originally described. Such proportionate division of an assessment shall be without prejudice to the contractor or his assigns as to any assessment made in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance. Such amended assessment shall bear date the same as the original assessment.

Section 32. The contractor shall within ninety days of the issuance of the assessment submit to the Director of Public Works a list of all unpaid assessments, and it shall be the duty of the said Director to notify the Tax Collector of each assessment that is delinquent, and the lot and block number against which such assessment is levied, and it shall be the duty of the Tax Collector to note such delinquency on each annual tax bill, this being in accordance with Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

PART II.

Section 1. Any assessment imposed under the provisions of this ordinance may, in and by the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance, be made payable in installments.

Whenever assessments are made payable in installments as aforesaid, the assessment provided for in Section 24 of this ordinance shall state the number of annual installments in which the assessment may be paid and the rate of interest, not over 7 per centum per annum, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance.

Section 2. In case the owner or owners of any parcel of land against which an assessment is imposed desires to avail himself or themselves of the privilege of paying such assessment in installments, and for and in consideration of such privilege, such owner or owners, within thirty days from the date of the return of the warrant made as required by Section 27 of this ordinance, shall make payment to the Department of Public Works for the contractor or his assigns or other person duly authorized to receive the same, of an amount equivalent to an installment payment on such assessment determinable by the number of installments made payable thereon, which amount so paid shall be deemed a payment of the first installment on such assessment under the terms of the bond hereinafter provided for, and in such bond shall be so designated. The interest payable under the

terms of the said bond shall be computed from the date of the assessment on which such bond is based. Such owner or owners must, within not more than thirty days from the date of the payment herebefore provided for, execute and acknowledge before an officer authorized by law to take acknowledgments of the conveyances of real property, and file with the Department of Public Works, a bond in triplicate, substantially in the following form:

Bond for Street Assessment.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, by proceedings duly and regularly taken, the validity and regularity whereof is hereby acknowledged and admitted, an assessment has been imposed upon the following described property, to-wit: (Description of property), and the amount of such assessment so imposed amounts to the sum of (amount of assessment) dollars;

Now, therefore, the undersigned, for and in consideration of the privilege given to pay such assessment in installments, hereby acknowledge (himself, herself or themselves) indebted, and promise to pay to (name of contractor) or order, said assessment in the sums, and at the times and place hereinafter set forth, to-wit:

Installment One—Amounting to \$..... Date of Payment.

Installment Two—Amounting to \$....., within
.....from the date hereof.

Installment Three—Amounting to \$....., within.....
from date hereof (each additional installment being set forth in the same manner and payable the appropriate time after the preceding numbered installment), together with interest on each of said installments at the rate of .. per centum per annum (being the rate fixed in the assessment issued by the Director of Public Works), until each such installment shall be paid.

Such interest shall be paid, as it accrues, on the dates for payment of said installments of principal. Said installments of principal and interest shall be payable at the office of the Director of Public Works at the City and County of San Francisco, in lawful money of the United States. In case (the undersigned) elect to pay all of said installments before maturity thereof (the undersigned) agree to pay, in addition to all other amounts due, six months' interest in advance.

In the event of default in the payment of any installment of principal or of interest according to the terms of this bond, then all of said installments of principal and all interest thereon shall become immediately due and payable and said Director is hereby authorized to sell the property herein described to pay the amount so due, together with the expenses of such sale.

Such sale shall be made by said Director in the manner and form provided by law for the sale of real property upon execution and after mailing to the undersigned a notice that proceedings to make such sale will be had unless payment of the amount due shall be made within ten days from the mailing thereof. Such notice shall be deposited in the United States Post Office addressed to the addresses given in this bond or such other addresses as may be hereafter filed with said Director.

Or the person in legal ownership of this bond shall, in the event of such default, have the right to foreclose the lien created by the said assessment for any unpaid portion thereof the same as if no bond had been given, and such lien shall continue until such assessment and accrued interest are fully paid. The undersigned agree to pay all expenses of said foreclosure including a reasonable attorney's fee and cost of title search.

It is hereby expressly provided that a lien for the full amount of the sum obligated to be paid under this bond, principal, interest and costs, and expenses of foreclosure, is hereby created and acknowledged

upon, in and to the real property described herein and the improvements thereon and appurtenances thereto.

This bond is dated....., 19....

In witness whereof,set.....hand
this day of....., 19....

(Signed).....

Address.....

Section 3. If such bond is not executed within the time and in the manner hereinabove provided for, then the whole of the assessment on which such bond would be based shall thereupon be immediately due and payable.

Section 4. Forms of such bond shall be furnished by the Department of Public Works and thereto shall be attached appropriate coupons for the payment of the installments of principal and the interest on such bond. Such coupons respectively shall be payable to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County thirty days after the respective dates for payment of principal installments and interest by those who have executed the bond to which the coupons shall be attached. Said Director of Public Works shall cause said bonds and coupons to be fully prepared for execution and shall supervise the execution thereof by the proper parties as hereinbefore provided for. When such bonds and attached coupons have been fully prepared and executed in the manner hereinbefore provided for and delivered to the Department of Public Works, one of said triplicates shall be recorded in the office of the Recorder of said City and County, who shall make no charge therefor; after recordation, said triplicate shall be retained in the office of said Department of Public Works; another of said triplicates shall be delivered to the contractor named therein and the other shall be delivered to the Treasurer of said City and County.

Said Director shall cause to be kept a record of all payments received by him on said bonds and the coupons attached thereto and of all penalties accruing thereon, and upon delivering such moneys to the Treasurer said Director shall report to the Treasurer the particular bonds and coupons and penalties to be credited with such payments, so that the Treasurer will know exactly on which bonds and coupons disbursements by him of such moneys shall be made.

Said Director shall also cause all such payments to be entered in an appropriate book of record in his office to the credit of the appropriate bond and assessment. And every such assessment shall remain a first lien upon the property affected until said assessment and the bond based thereon, and the accrued interest thereon and the penalties, if any, thereon shall be fully paid according to the terms of said bond. Said bonds, by their execution, shall be conclusive evidence of the regularity of all proceedings theretofore had under this ordinance.

Said Director is hereby authorized to make any sale authorized by any such bond and shall issue for each sale an original and duplicate certificate of sale in appropriate form, referring to this ordinance, describing the parcel or parcels of land sold and containing the name of the purchaser. The original shall be delivered to the purchaser and the duplicate shall be kept on file and record in the office of said Director.

Section 5. If the property sold, as provided in the above proceedings, be not redeemed within one year after the sale, said Director shall then issue to the party named in the original certificate, or his assignee, a deed of the property described in said certificate, which said deed shall refer, in general terms, to the proceedings under which the same is issued, and shall contain a description of the property, following the description in the certificate; the grantee in such deed is immediately upon receipt thereof entitled to possession of the property described therein.

Section 6. At any time before the expiration of one year from the date of the certificate of sale, any property sold under the provisions of the preceding sections may be redeemed by any person having an interest in the property sold, by the payment to said Director of the amount for which the property was sold, with an additional penalty of 1 per cent per month of the amount for which the same was sold; all such redemption money shall be paid over by said Director to the Treasurer with a statement indicating the specific bond to which such money shall be credited, and the Treasurer shall pay all such redemption money to the holder of the proper original certificate of sale, upon delivering up the same and receipting for the amount received.

Section 7. Said Director of Public Works shall cause to be kept a record of all bonds given as herein provided, wherein shall be entered the name of the person executing the same, a description of the land described therein, the number and amount of the installments, the time when the same are due, the date and the amount of all payments and the date of all payments to the City and County Treasurer for the holders of each bond.

Section 8. In case it shall appear at any time that any bond made as herein provided has not been executed by the owner or owners of the property described therein, or that for any reason any such bond is invalid, or that a sale in accordance with its terms would not convey a full and clear title to such property, then the person entitled to collect and receipt for the payment of the original assessment, or his assigns, shall have the right to foreclose the lien thereof for any unpaid portion, as such lien was originally imposed and such lien shall continue until such original assessment is fully paid.

Section 9. The bond provided for in Part II of this ordinance may be made, executed and filed in accordance with and subject to the requirements, terms and conditions in said Part II prescribed for such bond, after the expiration of the time, as therein provided for, within which to make, execute and file such bond, if the contractor to whom an assessment, payable in installments, has been issued, or his assignee, or other owner of such assessment, shall consent to the making, executing and filing of such bond after such expiration of time, and such consent shall be expressed on the back or margin of such bond.

PART III.

Section 1. Anything in this ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding, said Director of Public Works shall in the assessment and warrant provided for in Sections 24 and 25 of this ordinance make each assessment which exceeds 50 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied payable in annual installments. No such annual installment payment shall exceed 25 per cent of the assessed value of the land on which such assessment is levied. The assessed value in this section referred to shall be the assessed value fixed by the Assessor of said City and County for the fiscal year in which said Director shall make the original assessment and warrant. The dates for payment of installments on an assessment shall not extend over a period of more than ten years from the date when the first installment will be payable. The assessment made by said Director shall state the number of installments in which the assessment payable in installments may be paid, the respective dates for payment of the several installments, and the rate of interest, not to exceed 7 per cent, to be charged on all deferred payments. The first installment shall be payable at the time provided for in Section 2, Part II, of this ordinance. Every assessment and accrued interest, however, may be paid in cash at any time, unless suit has been filed thereon or unless a bond has been executed thereon.

No objection whatsoever relative to the making of such an assessment payable in installments as in this section provided for, or rela-

tive to the amount of any such assessment or assessment installment, or relative to the time or manner of payment of any such assessment or assessment installment may be made in any manner or in any court or tribunal except by such party or parties as shall have appealed to the Supervisors in the manner provided for in Section 26 of this ordinance. All persons who have not so appealed to the Supervisors shall be deemed to have waived every objection in this Section 1 of Part III referred to.

Any assessment which violates any of the provisions of this section or of Section 111 of the Charter of said City and County may be amended or corrected, in the manner in this ordinance provided for the amendment or correction of an assessment, or a reassessment may be made as in this ordinance provided for, in order that such original assessment may be made to conform to this section and Section 111 of said Charter.

Section 2. Whenever any assessment heretofore made or issued or filed or which may be hereafter made, issued or filed pursuant to this or any other ordinance is or shall be void or unenforceable, for any cause, or if bonds shall have been, or shall be, issued to represent or be secured by any assessments and such issuance shall not have been, or shall not be, effective through the curative provisions in relation thereto, then, in any of such events, a reassessment therefor may be issued. The true intent and meaning of this section is to make the cost and expense of work or improvement made through an attempted compliance with this ordinance, payable by the real estate benefited by such work or improvement by making a reassessment therefor.

Such power of reassessing embraces both a full and a partial reassessment, and is not exhausted by a single attempted exercise thereof.

A reassessment shall be ordered by the Board of Supervisors under any one of four circumstances.

First—Where the owner or holder of any assessments, or bonds issued under this ordinance to represent or be secured by assessments, or the person who would own or hold any such assessment or bonds if the same were issued, requests the Supervisors to order a reassessment. In such event, if said Supervisors be of the opinion that the assessments or bonds in question are not enforceable, they shall order the making and issuing of a reassessment covering only the assessments owned or held by the petitioner, or the assessments represented or secured by the bonds owned or held by such petitioner, or which would be owned or held by petitioner if issued.

Second—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to foreclose the lien of any assessment or to enforce the obligation of any bond issued to represent or be secured by any assessments issued under this ordinance, has for any reason held such lien unenforceable, then it shall in and by its decree direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Third—Whenever any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to set aside the lien of any assessment or of any bond representing any assessment, or in any suit to quiet title against the lien of any such assessment, or bond, or in any suit to enjoin the making, filing, confirmation or issuance of any assessment or bond to pay for the cost and expense of any work done hereunder, shall in its judgment decree such assessments or bonds to be void, or unenforceable, or shall enjoin the making, filing or issuance of confirmation of any such assessment or bond, then it shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of a reassessment to cover the assessments involved in such suit.

Fourth—Whenever any contractor or assignee of a contractor shall have done or performed any work or improvements pursuant to proceedings had and taken in attempted compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, and whenever prior to the issuance of any

assessment, any court of competent jurisdiction in any suit to invalidate the contract or any of such proceedings shall for any reason declare said contract or other proceedings to be invalid, then such court shall, in and by its decree, direct the making of an assessment for the reasonable value of the work and improvement actually done and performed in good faith by the contractor, or such portion thereof as was of a kind that could lawfully have been ordered under the provisions of this ordinance.

The manner of making, issuing and enforcing the reassessments shall be as follows:

Said Director of Public Works shall, upon the entering of a decree of court directing a reassessment or upon the making of an order by the Supervisors directing a reassessment, proceed to make a reassessment in the following manner:

If the reassessment be a partial one only, then it shall not be necessary for the diagram to show any other lots than the ones covered by such partial reassessment. If it be a full reassessment, however, then said Director shall prepare and file with the reassessment a diagram showing the lots, pieces or parcels of land deemed by him to have been benefited by the work or improvement. Upon any reassessment as in this section provided for, said Director and the Supervisors shall have unlimited power to fix the boundaries of the district to be charged with the expense of the work in accordance with the benefits of the work to property as such benefits, at the time of reassessment, shall appear to said Director or the Supervisors, and to make the boundaries of such district either the same as they theretofore were or different from the boundaries of the district specified in said Director's declaration of intention. It is the intent hereof that upon any such reassessment said Director and/or the Supervisors then shall have full power to determine what district and property have been benefited by the work and to make the reassessment accordingly. The reassessment shall assess upon and against each of the lots, pieces or parcels of land contained therein an amount arrived at as follows: The benefits derived, or to be derived by each of the said lots, pieces or parcels of land from the work or improvement, estimated as of the date of the filing in the office of the Department of Public Works of the original assessment, shall first be listed. Then there shall be added thereto interest thereon from the date of filing the original assessment in the Department of Public Works at the rate of 7 per cent per annum, and the total sums shall constitute and be the amount of the proposed several assessments in such reassessment. The total of such reassessments, however, exclusive of interest, shall not exceed the cost and expenses of the work of improvement. Such assessment need not be in any prescribed form, but shall refer to the original assessment filed, give the date of filing of said original assessment and state that it is made pursuant to the order of the Supervisors or decree of the court, as the case may be, and shall be accompanied by a diagram showing the lots to be reassessed and their relation to the work. It shall then be presented to the Supervisors, who shall fix a time for hearing before them. Such time must be at least twenty days after the reassessment is so presented. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall then advertise the time of such hearing before the Supervisors by publishing a notice once in the official newspaper. Said Clerk shall also mail notice of such hearing as provided for in Section 7 of this ordinance. But this requirement as to mailing notice shall have no greater effect than that provided for in said Section 7. And a description of the district shall be set forth in the notice. At the time fixed for said hearing, or at such time or times to which the same may be thereafter adjourned, the Supervisors shall consider the objections to said reassessment and in their discretion informally direct the revision, correction or modification of such reassessment in such manner as is most equitable to apportion to each lot, piece or parcel of land

hereby benefited the amount of the actual benefits derived from said improvement. When such reassessment shall have been revised, or corrected, or modified so as to comply with the judgment of said Supervisors, then they shall pass a resolution confirming the reassessment. The said Director of Public Works shall thereupon record the reassessment with a certificate at the end thereof by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Said Director shall also note opposite the several assessments in the original assessment that have been displaced, the fact that the reassessment has been made, giving its date, and shall credit upon such reassessment all payments theretofore made upon the original assessment, or upon the bonds issued to represent the same, together with interest on such payments at the rate of 7 per cent per annum from and after the date of such payments. Such reassessment shall be collectible and payable in the same manner as an original assessment and shall be enforceable by suit in the same manner provided in this ordinance for enforcing an original assessment, and shall have the same weight in evidence. In the event that bonds shall have been issued under or upon the security of the original assessment, they shall also issue upon the reassessment for such sums as may be reassessed against the lots, pieces or parcels of land covered thereby. When the reassessment is recorded the original assessment shall be canceled by said Director so far as the reassessment affects the original assessment involved. New bonds shall not be issued until the original bonds are delivered up to the City and County Treasurer, who shall cancel the same. The lien of such reassessment shall hold its relative rank as to other special assessment liens as of the date of filing of the original assessment.

In the event such work or improvement made in attempted compliance with this ordinance is fully completed to the satisfaction of said Director, then it shall be the duty in any event of said Director to make and file an assessment for costs and expenses thereof so as to form the basis of a reassessment, even though such assessment should be unenforceable.

Section 3. If the Director of Public Works, for any reason whatsoever, may not perform any of the duties or functions by this ordinance imposed upon him, or if it becomes inconvenient for him to perform any such duties or functions, then, in every such case, such duties and functions may be performed by the City Engineer in said Department of Public Works, as a substitute for said Director, or, in any such case, said duties and functions may be performed by any one in said department designated by the Chief Administrative Officer of said City and County. The duties and functions hereby imposed upon said City Engineer may be performed by any one else in said Department designated by said Director. Whenever such a substitute shall act, either for said Director or for said City Engineer, the records of said department shall include a written designation by said Chief Administrative Officer or said Director, as the case may be, appointing such substitute. Such designation shall indicate the period during which such substitute shall be authorized so to act. Such written designation shall be conclusive of all facts therein recited, and all acts of such substitutes, respectively, shall have the same validity as if they had been performed by said Director or said City Engineer, as the case may be.

Section 4. Notice in writing required to be given by said Director may be served by any person over the age of twenty-one years, and the fact of such service may be verified by the oath of the person making it. Such oath may be taken before said Director or the Acting Secretary of said Department of Public Works.

All notices and resolutions required by this ordinance to be published shall be published in the official newspaper.

All notices herein required to be served, whether by delivering, mailing or posting, may be so served by any citizen of the age of twenty-one years or over, and his affidavit thereof shall be prima

facie evidence of such service. The affidavit of the publisher of the official newspaper or his clerk, of the publication of any notice required in this ordinance to be published, shall be prima facie evidence of such publishing.

Section 5. Whenever any resolution, order, notice or determination is required to be published or posted, and the duty of posting or procuring the publication or posting of the same is not specifically enjoined upon any officer of the City and County, it shall be the duty of the Director of Public Works to procure the publication or posting, as the case may be. No proceeding or step herein shall be invalidated or affected by any error or mistake or departure herefrom as to the officer or person posting, or procuring the publication or posting, of any resolution, notice, order or determination hereunder when the same is actually published or posted for the time herein required.

Section 6. The term "incidental expenses," as used in this ordinance, shall include the cost of engineering work; also, the cost of printing and advertising as provided in this ordinance, including the estimated cost of printing any bonds to be issued to represent or be secured by unpaid assessments; also the cost of superintendence of the work mentioned in this Ordinance; also the expenses of making the assessment and of typing and preparing the resolutions, notices and other papers and proceedings for any work authorized by this ordinance; also the expenses of making any analysis and tests to determine that the work and any materials or appliances incorporated therein comply with the specifications; and any other expenses incidental to the construction, completion and inspection of the work in the manner herein provided for. All demands for incidental expenses mentioned in this section shall be presented to the Director of Public Works, by an itemized bill, duly verified by oath of the demandant.

Section 7. The word "street," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include avenues, highways, lanes, alleys, crossings or intersections, courts and places, which have been dedicated and accepted according to law or in common and undisputed use by the public for a period of not less than five years next preceding. The word "places," as used in this ordinance, shall be deemed to, and is hereby declared to include any public park or pleasure ground and common which has been dedicated and accepted according to law, and this ordinance shall include the improvement of a park, public pleasure ground and common.

Section 8. Whenever in proceedings hereunder a time and place for hearing by the Director of Public Works or the Supervisors is fixed and, from any cause, the hearing is not then and there held or regularly adjourned to a time and place fixed, the power of the said Director or Supervisors in the premises shall not thereby be divested or lost, but the said Director or Supervisors may proceed anew to fix a time and place for the hearing, and cause notice thereof to be given by publication by at least one insertion in the official newspaper, such publication to be at least five days before the date of the hearing, and thereupon said Director or Supervisors shall have power to act as in the first instance.

Section 9. This ordinance shall be liberally construed to the end that its purposes may be effected. No error, irregularity, informality, and no neglect or omission of any officer of the City and County, in any procedure taken hereunder, shall avoid or invalidate such proceeding or any assessment for the cost of work done hereunder. The exclusive remedy of any person affected or aggrieved thereby shall be by appeal to the Supervisors as herein provided.

Section 10. If any one or more of the sections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences or other parts of this ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid for any reason whatsoever, then it is the intent hereof that the remainder of this ordinance shall stand, and it

is hereby declared that such remainder would have been enacted even if such invalid portions, if such there be, had never been enacted.

Section 11. This ordinance may be designated and referred to as the "Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934."

Section 12. Any proceedings for street work heretofore commenced under any method or system provided by any Charter of said City and County, or by act of the Legislature, or by ordinance of said City and County, shall not be affected by this ordinance but may be continued until completion by and under the method provided by the Charter, law or ordinance under which they were originally commenced, or they may be abandoned by order of said Director of Public Works and instituted under the proceedings provided for in this ordinance, in case no contract for the work or improvement has been entered into.

Section 13. Ordinance No. 2439 and Ordinance No. 4720, heretofore adopted by the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, and all ordinances amendatory thereof, are hereby repealed; provided, however, said ordinances are not hereby repealed in so far as proceedings heretofore commenced pursuant to them are concerned, and as to all such proceedings only said ordinances are not hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation of \$467,631 for Relief During April, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 547, Ordinance No. 9.051121, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$467,631 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expenses of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to April 30, 1934, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of four hundred sixty-seven thousand six hundred thirty-one (\$467,631) dollars is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to April 30, 1934.

Section 2. That said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as may be made available in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco and are for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refund of Excess Taxes Collected.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1343, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$11.48 is hereby authorized paid to E. R. Troxel out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded); same being refund of excess amount of taxes collected due to clerical error. Per Vol. 18, page 112, line 6, 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Purchase of Land at \$20 for Alemany Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1344, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Elizabeth Marion White to a portion of Lot 221, Spring Valley Homestead, and that the sum of \$20 be paid for said land from the Boulevard Bond Fund of 1917, Appropriation 152-14.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Leasing of Municipal Pipe Yard Properties for Two Years.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 1355, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and of the Director of Property, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Southern Pacific Company, as lessor, for a period of two years, beginning July 1, 1934, covering the so-called municipal pipe yard property situated at the northwest corner of Sixth and Daggett streets, San Francisco. The terms and conditions of the lease shall be as specified in the proposed lease prepared by the lessor. As rental for said premises, the lessee shall reimburse the lessor for all taxes and assessment paid upon or which may become a lien upon the property during the life of said lease.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed to Land for Street Work.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1345, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Henry H. Skilling and Minnie S. Leffler to Lot 27 in Assessor's Block 5614, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said

land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932 Appropriation No. 170-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Acceptance of Deed From Mercantile American Realty Company to Property at Ocean and Miramar Avenues.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1346, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 11th day of September, 1933, between Mercantile American Realty Company (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) conveying land for street purposes at northwest corner of Ocean and Miramar avenues, being a portion of Lot 5, Block 3196, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Acceptance of Deed From Mercantile American Realty Company to Property at Ocean and Miramar Avenues.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1347, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 11th day of September, 1933, between American Trust Company, formerly Mercantile Trust Company of California (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) conveying land for street purposes at northeast corner of Ocean and Miramar avenues, being portions of Lots 9 and 10 of Block 3197, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Setting Time and Place for Hearing of Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Woodside Avenue, Etc.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Also, Resolution No. 1348, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 2nd day of April, 1934, at 3:30 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of the Hawkins Improvement Company and J. V. Campbell for the assessment and warrant issued to the Fay Improvement Company in the matter of the improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue; and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, as per Resolution of Intention No. 108877 (Second Series), adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on December 23, 1929. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to give notice of the time and place of the hearing of said appeals, also of the acts, determinations or proceedings objected to or complained of, said notice to be published for two days in the official newspaper.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 21, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Jesse C. Colman, member of your Board, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of ten days, commencing March 26th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1342, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing March 26, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Daily News Declared Official Newspaper.

(Code No. 3.03)

The following was presented, read and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1356, as follows:

Whereas, the Purchaser of Supplies has advertised for bids for the official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for the period of one year from April 1, 1934, to April 1, 1935; and

Whereas, the San Francisco News was the lowest and best bidder for the doing of said advertising, and the bid of the said San Francisco News was accepted, and the contract for doing said official advertising was awarded to said San Francisco News; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the San Francisco News, a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the City and County of San Francisco, and having a bona fide daily circulation of at least eight thousand copies, is hereby selected as and declared to be the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Restoration of Wages of Municipal Employees.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *filed in the Clerk's desk, copies to be sent to each member*:

We believe that President Roosevelt's demand for more purchasing power in the hands of the wage earners should be heeded by the City administration. The reports of national and local business firms, the declarations of the local and the State chambers of commerce, and the published figures of reliable statistical agencies, show a distinct revival of business. That revival has been such that there is no need to continue the pay-cut imposed a year ago.

One New York statistical bureau reports that 701 corporations, comprising representative groups of industrial, rail and utility companies, earned in 1933 net profits of \$704,000,000, 195 per cent in excess of their profits in 1932. The State Chamber of Commerce reports manufacturing industries employment up to 23.5 per cent and payrolls improved 26 per cent over a year ago. The San Francisco Chamber of Commerce states that local business has been steadily on the upgrade for eight months, and the general business index is now 26.6 per cent above the low point last year. This index includes such items as carloadings, 23.3 per cent gain; department store sales, 17 per cent gain; manufacturing employment, 23.3 per cent, and payrolls, 28 per cent; real estate sales are up 29 per cent; stock sales 90 per cent and stock values 123 per cent.

These figures show that the business cycle is distinctly on the upgrade. To continue the pay cut of its employees all through the next fiscal year until July, 1935, is neither just nor good business.

We should give sympathetic consideration to the employees because they have contributed upwards of \$4,340,000 to unemployed and other relief. In November, 1931, when there was no money in the City Treasury to aid the destitute unemployed the municipal workers, on their own initiative, volunteered a one-day's pay per month contribution for relief. On this basis they raised \$321,935. At the beginning of the next fiscal year they voluntarily increased this sum to a contribution of \$1,320,000, taxing themselves from 3 per cent to 12 per cent of their monthly wages. Beginning May, 1933, a Charter amendment went into effect doubling the contribution of the employees and making a total sum for the present fiscal year of \$2,700,000. These contributions to help the unemployed have been cheerfully made.

Now the picture has changed. Many California corporations find their earnings back on the profitable levels of 1930 and 1929, reports Mr. C. C. Wakefield of the Examiner financial staff. The coming fiscal year should see wages fully restored. If charity is needed next winter, we may be assured that the City employees will be as prompt and generous then as they have been in the past.

Furthermore, the past year has marked the cessation of a period of falling prices and the beginning of a distinct rise in the cost of living. Dunn and Bradstreet report that wholesale commodity prices are now higher than at any time since November, 1930. February 1st marked a 38 per cent increase over the low of last year. Professor Irving Fisher discloses that his wholesale price index records a 40 per cent increase on March 1st over the low point. For eleven months these prices have been going steadily up. That they will continue to rise is certain with the processing tax on agricultural products, the shorter hours and higher wages in industry, and the steady pressure of the 59c dollar. Retail prices must necessarily follow the rising wholesale prices and already the United States Department of Labor records a 13 per cent increase in the cost of living.

Industry depends on the consuming power of the wage earner. Profits depend on the volume of sales. If industry is to be kept going forward and sales are to continue large enough for merchants and manufacturers to profit, wages must go up to meet rising prices. The purchasing power of our City employees, 13,000 families living and buying in San Francisco, is a large and important factor in local commerce and should not be further crippled by continuation of the pay cut during the coming year.

Salary Deductions, Fiscal Year 1934-1935.

(Code No. 9.053)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1354, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter

of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of July, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred dollars per month, the respective amounts or percentages of said salaries or compensation as are set forth in Subdivision 2 of Section 70.1 of said Charter, and said Section 70.1 is by reference thereto hereby made a part of this resolution for the purpose of determining and fixing the respective amounts to be deducted from said salaries and compensations.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Single Men's Relief to Be Turned Over to California E. R. A.

The following recommendation of the Public Welfare Committee was presented by Supervisor Uhl, who moved its adoption:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1350, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors request the San Francisco Relief Committee to turn over to the California E. R. A. the care and supervision of the single men residing at the shelters, to be financed as formerly by the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved to postpone one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

In Memoriam, Frederick B. Balzar.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1351, as follows:

Whereas, our sister state of Nevada mourns the death of its Chief Executive, Frederick B. Balzar, a frequent visitor to San Francisco and a constant worker for cooperation between the states of Nevada and California; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has been both guest and host to Governor Balzar during his many years of incumbency and deeply regrets his passing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby extends condolences to the widow and daughter of Governor Balzar at the loss of their husband and father; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Executive Mansion at Carson City, Nevada.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Candlestick Point Project.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In connection with the subject matter of resolution by Supervisor Uhl, and motion by Supervisor Gallagher, requesting the Board to protest the government loan for a proposed housing project on land located in the vicinity of what is familiarly known as "Candlestick Point," your Committee begs to recommend the following substitute resolution for the whole:

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1352, as follows:

Resolved, That, inasmuch as representatives of the Chamber of Commerce and other organizations have testified before your Committee that the land immediately adjacent to "Candlestick Point" is one of the few remaining areas available for industrial development, and it having been the policy of civic associations to recommend to us in the past that we adopt measures to provide cheap industrial sites, this Board of Supervisors declares the following policy:

1. That in connection with the request for a loan from United States funds for a housing project located in the immediate vicinity of Candlestick Point, this Board of Supervisors respectfully requests the proper federal authorities to withhold for the time being so much of that loan as would be granted for the project on land east of Hawes street.

2. That the Board of Supervisors request a hearing with the proper federal authorities for the purpose of presenting to them a request for an advance of federal funds to San Francisco, sufficient for the employment of thousands of men at hand labor in removing the earth from the higher portions in and around "Candlestick Point" east of Hawes street, and using same for fill for proposed future municipally-owned industrial lands.

3. That the Board of Supervisors inform the proper federal officials that it has no objections to a loan for that portion of the project lying west of Hawes street.

4. That upon the adoption of this resolution his Honor the Mayor, the Public Welfare Committee of this Board of Supervisors, and such other City officials as may be required, enter negotiations with the proper federal authorities or their representatives at San Francisco, looking to a decision as to whether or not the United States government will advance funds for the industrial purposes above noted.

5. That this Board of Supervisors authorizes his Honor the Mayor and the Public Welfare Committee to state that if the United States government will advance sufficient sum of money for the steady employment, by the method above stated, of approximately 5,000 or more men, that it will make provision for the purchase of the land required to accomplish this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

By ADOLPH UHL, Chairman,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Member,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

Candlestick Point Housing Project.

(Code No. 5.2)

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1352, as follows:

Resolved, That, inasmuch as representatives of the Chamber of Commerce and other organizations have testified before your Committee that the land immediately adjacent to "Candlestick Point" is one of the few remaining areas available for industrial development, and it having been the policy of civic associations to recommend to us in the past that we adopt measures to provide cheap industrial sites, this Board of Supervisors declares the following policy:

1. That in connection with the request for a loan from United States funds for a housing project located in the immediate vicinity of Candlestick Point, this Board of Supervisors respectfully requests the proper federal authorities to withhold for the time being so much of that loan as would be granted for the project on land east of Hawes street.

2. That the Board of Supervisors request a hearing with the proper federal authorities for the purpose of presenting to them a request for an advance of federal funds to San Francisco, sufficient for the employment of thousands of men at hand labor in removing the earth from the higher portions in and around "Candlestick Point" east of Hawes street, and using same for fill for proposed future municipally-owned industrial lands.

3. That the Board of Supervisors inform the proper federal officials that it has no objections to a loan for that portion of the project lying west of Hawes street.

4. That upon the adoption of this resolution, his Honor the Mayor, the Public Welfare Committee of this Board of Supervisors, and such other City officials as may be required, enter negotiations with the proper federal authorities or their representatives at San Francisco, looking to a decision as to whether or no the United States Government will advance funds for the industrial purposes above noted.

5. That this Board of Supervisors authorizes his Honor the Mayor and the Public Welfare Committee to state that if the United States Government will advance sufficient sum of money for the steady employment, by the method above stated, of approximately 5,000 or more men, that it will make provision for the purchase of the land required to accomplish this purpose.

Refused adoption by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Endorsement of Bay View Garden Homes Project Proposed.

Supervisor Shannon moved that this Board of Supervisors go on record as being in favor of federal aid to the Bay View Garden Homes

Company for the purpose of building homes at or near Candlestick Point.

Motion referred to Public Welfare Committee.

President of the United States Requested Not to Curtail Personnel of Post Office Department, San Francisco.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1353, as follows:

Whereas, on March 3, 1934, the Postmaster General of the United States issued an order curtailing the service in the Post Office Department, thereby affecting 255 clerks, carriers and laborers in the San Francisco Post Office; and

Whereas, unless this order is rescinded the personnel affected will be compelled to seek relief from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Post Office Department was created to serve the people rather than to produce revenue, and to impair the service and reduce the personnel is contrary to the express wish of the President of the United States in his appeal to industry to shorten hours, raise wages, and employ more people; now, herefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the President of the United States and the Postmaster General to revoke the order curtailing service and employment in the Post Office Department, and to restore to full time the 255 substitutes in San Francisco affected by that order; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Senator Hiram W. Johnson and William Gibbs McAdoo, and Representatives Florence P. Kahn and Richard J. Welch, as well as to Postmaster General Farley.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was finally passed by the following vote:

Emergency Repair of Islais Creek Storm Sewer.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 548, Ordinance No. 9.051122, as follows:

Appropriating from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Director of Public Works the sum of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) for the purpose of enabling said Director of Public Works to make certain emergency repairs to the sewer extending under Oakdale avenue in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated to the Director of Public Works from the Emergency Reserve Fund the sum of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) in order to permit said Director of Public Works to make certain necessary emergency repairs to an arterial sewer extending under Oakdale avenue and connecting Bayshore boulevard and Third street.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that it is necessary that the same should be effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby, and by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the arterial sewer connecting the Bay Shore boulevard and Third street and extending under Oakdale avenue has caved in and that the same is in a condition dangerous to the safety of the public of the City and County of San Francisco and must, in order to safeguard said public, be repaired forthwith and that no funds other than the appropriation herein made are available for making said repairs.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Mayor to Appoint Fourth of July Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a Citizens Committee to arrange for the proper celebration of Independence Day, July 4, 1934.

So ordered.

Requested Appropriation of \$5,000 for High Pressure System and Sewer Projects.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, requesting additional appropriation of \$5,000 to continue the engineering work required for sewer projects, high pressure system projects, P. W. A., provided for at bond election of November 7, 1933.

Read and ordered filed.

Telegrams Protesting Garbage Dump in San Mateo County.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Telegram from Mrs. E. W. Stephens, President, The North Burlingame Women's Club, protesting against dumping of garbage by San Francisco in San Mateo County.

Ordered filed.

Also telegram from Mrs. H. B. Sprague, President, The Burlingame Women's Club, protesting against dumping of garbage by San Francisco in San Mateo County.

Ordered filed.

Relating to Wage Discussions.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Civil Service Commission replying to request of Supervisor Havenner made at the meeting of March 19, 1934, regarding investigations relating to wage discussions and the codes that have been established in regard to same by the Public Works Administration.

Ordered filed.

San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee—Report of Public Agencies, Week Ending March 17, 1934.

The following was presented by Arthur Warren, secretary of Relief Committee:

FAMILIES, "SINGLE" WOMEN, "SINGLE" MEN GIVEN RELIEF THROUGH THE DISTRICTS, WOMEN'S DIVISION AND BACHELOR MEN'S DIVISION.

	<i>Cases Reported</i>	<i>New Cases</i>	<i>Re- opened</i>	<i>Cases Closed</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Increase or Decrease</i>
Families	8,521	171	605	199	9,098	Inc. 577
"Single" women	2,513	61	81	38	2,617	Inc. 104
Bachelor or "single" men	2,284	192	58	75	2,459	Inc. 175

SINGLE MEN GIVEN RELIEF AT KITCHENS AND SHELTERS

Single Men's Div.....	3,510	169	897	989	3,587	Inc. 77
Chinese Men's Div...	118	17	0	0	135	Inc. 17

SUMMARY:

	<i>Cases</i>	<i>Persons</i>
Families	9,098	36,392
Single women	2,617	2,617
Bachelor single men	2,459	2,459
Shelters, single men	3,587	3,587
Shelters, Chinese	135	135
Total	17,896	45,190

An increase of 2,681 persons on relief during last week.

Meals served during week (Chinese.....)	1,572	Daily average	224
Meals served during week.....	45,958	Daily average	6,565
Beds provided during week	14,923	Daily average	2,132
Beds and cots available.....	15,680	Daily average	2,240
Clean ups	2,585	Daily average	369

Ornamental Iron Work—Federal Building.

(Telegram)

The following telegram was authorized to be sent, to-wit:

San Francisco, March 26, 1934.

Great Lakes Construction Company,
333 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago Illinois

Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco join with others in petitioning your company to award contract for ornamental iron work in Federal Building to a San Francisco shop STOP We are informed that a Los Angeles shop is to be given the work STOP We are assured that several shops here can do the work at approximately same figure STOP If we can keep the work forty five men will have continuous employment for eighteen months STOP We ask your thoughtful consideration.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
President Board of Supervisors.

Similar telegrams were sent by: Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, Down Town Association, Chamber of Commerce, Real Estate Board, Several Improvement Clubs, Architectural Iron Workers Union, Local No. 1, etc.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation \$8,000, Emergency Repairs, Oakdale Avenue Sewer.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Bill No. 548, Ordinance No. 9.051122, as follows:

Appropriating from the Emergency Reserve Fund to the Director of Public Works the sum of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) for the purpose of enabling said Director of Public Works to make certain emergency repairs to the sewer extending under Oakdale avenue in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

There is hereby appropriated to the Director of Public Works from the Emergency Reserve Fund the sum of eight thousand dollars (\$8,000) in order to permit said Director of Public Works to make certain necessary emergency repairs to an arterial sewer extending under Oakdale avenue and connecting Bay Shore boulevard and Third street.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure for the reason that it is necessary that the same should be effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does hereby, and by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists, the nature of said emergency being as follows:

That the arterial sewer connecting the Bay Shore boulevard and Third street and extending under Oakdale avenue has caved in and that the same is in a condition dangerous to the safety of the public of the City and County of San Francisco and must, in order to safeguard said public, be repaired forthwith and that no funds other than the appropriation herein made are available for making said repairs.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriation \$5,000, Cost of Preliminary Engineering Sewer and High Pressure Project.

(Code No. 9.051.)

Bill No. 549, Ordinance No. 9.051123, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34, Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be recharged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be re-charged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Endorsement of House Joint Resolutions Providing for Acquisition of Hunter's Point Dry Dock and Union Iron Works, and Survey of South Bay Bulkhead and Pierhead Lines.

Supervisor Gallagher presented for reference to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, with request for committee's approval, House Joint Resolution 286, authorizing Secretary of the Navy to investigate feasibility of acquiring by purchase or condemnation, the Hunter's Point Works, Union Plant.

Also, for reference to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, House Joint Resolution 289, authorizing establishment of bulkhead and pierhead lines, San Francisco Bay, from Hunter's Point to Ravenswood Point, San Mateo County.

Requests of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl reiterated his request for copies of Electrical Department and Fire Prevention Bureau's reports. Also for report on auditorium receipts for past year.

Supervisor Uhl announced that at the next meeting of the Board he would ask that the resolution re 78-cent water meter charge be brought back to the Board.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Public Utilities Commission furnish a report at the earliest possible date, on the operation of the Municipal Railway, to April 1st.

Supervisor Uhl complained that the Chief Administrative Officer does not furnish information requested within reasonable time. He referred particularly to the moving of the Coroner's office to the Health Building. Clerk was requested to inform the Chief Administrative Officer of above complaint.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.




Monday, April 2, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 2, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 2, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Supervisor Colman was excused from meeting.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meetings of March 22 and 26, 1934, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following recommendation of Supervisor McSheehy was taken up:

Endorsing Constitutional Amendment on State Liquor Control. (Code No. 5.33)

Resolution No. 1340, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 1193, adopted December 18, 1933, the President of the Board of Supervisors was directed to call a statewide conference of County and City officials on State liquor control to prepare a Constitutional Amendment pertaining to the liquor traffic; and

Whereas, conferences were held both in San Francisco and Los Angeles at which practically every county in the State was represented and said representatives agreed upon the amendment hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the following Constitutional Amendment and urges all citizens of the State of California to work for its passage:

Proposed Amendment to Article XX, Section 22, Constitution of California.

The State of California shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession and transportation of spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors or any admixtures thereof, within the State. Said liquor shall not be consumed, bought, sold or otherwise disposed of for consumption on the premises, in any public saloon, public bar or public barroom within the State; provided, however, that subject to the aforesaid restric-

tions, the Legislature may authorize the sale in retail stores of such liquor contained in original packages where such liquor is not to be consumed on the premises where sold, and may authorize such liquor to be kept, bought, sold, served, consumed and otherwise disposed of in any bona fide hotel, restaurant, cafe, cafeteria, railroad dining or club car, passenger ship, or other public eating place, or in any bona fide club after such club has been lawfully operated for not less than one year, but no public bar, public saloon or public barroom shall be maintained at any such place.

The State Board of Equalization, or such other board or body authorized by the Legislature as it may deem proper, shall have the power to license the manufacture, importation and sale of said liquors in this State, subject to local zoning laws, and to collect license fees on account thereof, and shall have the power, in its discretion, to deny or revoke any specific license thereof if it shall determine for good cause that the granting or continuance of such license would be contrary to public welfare or morals. It shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensee of said Board, or other State agency, to manufacture, import or sell intoxicating liquors in this State.

Until ninety (90) days after adjournment of the next regular session of the Legislature after the adoption of this amendment, the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of said liquors in bona fide hotels, restaurants, cafes, cafeterias, railroad dining or club cars, passenger ships, and other public eating places, and in bona fide clubs after such clubs have been lawfully operated for not less than one year, and the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of beers on any premises open to the general public shall be licensed and regulated under the applicable provisions of the so-called State Liquor Control Act, California Statutes 1933, Chapter 658.

Provided, further, however, notwithstanding the powers reserved in the State, there is hereby granted to the cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties, the power to levy and collect occupational taxes upon the business of selling such liquors at retail; and such cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties shall have the power to prescribe zones where such liquor, or kinds thereof may, or may not, be sold; and, subject to such terms and conditions as the Legislature may adopt, said cities, counties, and cities and counties shall be notified in writing at least thirty (30) days before any license may be granted or issued by the State or any of its agencies, and shall have the right to temporarily suspend any such license for cause until such suspension may be reviewed by the Board of Equalization or other State agency or board and said suspension sustained or voided.

The Legislature shall enact such general laws as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this section. All constitutional provisions and laws inconsistent with the provisions hereof are hereby repealed.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of the hearing.

President McSheehy was elected to preside, all members heretofore noted being present.

Privilege of the Floor.

Judge Chesebro, City Attorney of Los Angeles; M. Neill, Assistant City Attorney of Los Angeles; Mr. Geo. D. Collins, representing the Liquor Dealers of the United States, Inc., and Hugh McKevitt, Attorney for California Northern Hotel Association, were heard at length on the pending question.

Supervisor Shannon moved to proceed to consideration and vote on the bill.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment to postpone one week and that invitations to be present be extended to all representatives and supporters of the constitutional amendment and any other body directly concerned in local option.

Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that no motion to postpone is permissible in Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Ratto temporary chairman, ruled both motion and amendment out of order.

Committee Arise.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the committee now rise and report and action be deferred until such time as interested parties might be invited to attend.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to postpone one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the resolution and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Coleman—2.

Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from No to Aye, and moved for reconsideration at 5 p. m. next Monday.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

At the request of Theo. Savage, attorney for the appellants, the following matter was *laid over one week*:

Hearing Appeal—Woodside Avenue.

Hearing the appeals of the Hawkins Improvement Company and J. V. Campbell, fixed for 3:30 p. m. this day, for the assessment and warrant issued to the Fay Improvement Company in the matter of the improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, as per Reso-

lution of Intention No. 108877 (Second Series) adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on December 23, 1929.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Roosevelt Apartments.

Communication from City Engineer, giving notice that appeal from decision City Planning Commission, re rezoning property in old Odd Fellows' Cemetery, lacks sufficient number of signatures. Also communication from attorney for appellants, protesting City Engineer's check of signatures.

In connection with above, Supervisor Uhl moved that opinion be requested from City Attorney as to whether or not appeal is in regular form, and as to the sufficiency of signatures thereof.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be requested to get report back to Board by Monday, March 26, and that it be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.

So ordered. March 26th—Over one week.

Also, hearing of appeal of property owners against rezoning.

City Attorney's Opinion.

A communication from the City Attorney pursuant to the foregoing was presented and read, giving as his opinion that the proper method for the City Engineer to use in determining the percentage of property required upon appeal from the City Planning Commission to the Board of Supervisors is to exclude the property actually involved and to include only the property within the 300-foot area.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jno. Casey, City Engineer, was heard and declared that the petition of the appellants contained 21.8 per cent signatures exclusive of property to be rezoned.

W. C. Scott, representing Roosevelt Apartment Project, was heard.

Lucella Ward, secretary of Apartment House Owners' Association, declared that the City needed homes more than it did apartment houses.

W. W. Chapin, president of the City Planning Commission, Mrs. Stokes and Mr. de Golia, members of the Commission, were also heard.

Planning Commission Sustained.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused passage* by the following vote:

Rezoning, "Roosevelt Terraces," Odd Fellows Cemetery.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1362, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1020, granting an application to rezone property located in the Odd Fellows Cemetery, with boundaries as follows:

On the south by a line approximately 200 feet north of Turk street; on the west by a line approximately 200 feet east of Arguello boulevard; on the north by a line approximately 175 feet south of Geary boulevard; on the east by a line approximately 200 feet west of Parker avenue,

from First Residential District to Second Residential District, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.**
(Code No. 9.092)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein. The rates of pay herein specified are for an 8-hour day unless otherwise noted:

METAL TRADES.

<i>Shop Rates</i>	<i>Per Day</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day)	per hour \$1.16
Molders and coremakers	7.04
Blacksmiths	7.20
Blacksmiths' helpers	5.28
Boilermakers	7.20
Boilermakers' helpers	5.28
Machinists	7.20
Machinists' helpers	5.28
Toolmaker	8.00

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers and millmen (shop)	5.60
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	7.00

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

Pile drivers (based on 6-hour day).....	per hour 1.12½
---	----------------

Overtime payments shall be as specified in Resolution No. 1238 for employment or craft concerned.

Regulations in Regard to Contractors' Working Conditions Under Contract for Public Work or Improvements.

(Code No. ———)

The following bill, prepared by the City Attorney, was presented and read:

Bill No.——, Ordinance No.——, as follows:

Making and providing for regulation in regard to contractors' working conditions under contracts for public work or improvements performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and providing for the fixing of the highest general prevailing rate of wages, and regulating the hours of labor in the performance of said contracts, and providing penalties for the violation of the regulations provided for by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 98 of the charter the following regulations are made and adopted relative to contracts for public work or improvements, exclusive of purchases, which are to be performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco or the costs of which are paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County.

Subdivision A. Every contract for every public work or improvement performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or the cost of which is paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, whether such work or improvement is to be done directly under contract awarded or

indirectly by or under sub-contract, sub-partnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, shall contain, in addition to the provisions hereinafter set forth, all and singular, the conditions contained in section 98 of the Charter as well as the terms and conditions of the act of Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to Require the Use of Materials and Supplies substantially Produced in the United States, on Public Works and for Public Purposes", approved May 3, 1933.

Subdivision B. The term "public work" or "improvement" as used in the ordinance shall include any public work or improvement to be done or performed by the City and County of San Francisco where the cost thereof is to be paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and shall also include all parts of said public work or improvement which are especially made, wrought, constructed or prepared to become a part of, or to be attached to, said public work or improvement as a part thereof, when the same are made, wrought, constructed or prepared according to plans and specifications, details or drawings prepared or used for the construction of said public work or improvement, irrespective as to whether said parts are made, wrought, constructed or prepared at the place where said public work or improvement is being erected or constructed or at any other place, and any arrangement made or entered into by the contractor with any other person for the furnishing of any part of said public work or improvement to be made, wrought, constructed or prepared in accordance with said plans, specifications, details or drawings shall be deemed to be a sub-contract.

Subdivision C. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, and at least once during each calendar year to fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco as said terms are used in section 98 of the Charter, including such rate of wages paid for overtime and holiday work, which said highest general prevailing rate of wages shall be fixed and determined as follows:

When said highest general prevailing rate of wages is to be fixed, the Civil Service Commission shall, on request of the Board of Supervisors, furnish to said Board of Supervisors data as to the highest general prevailing rate of wages of the various crafts and kinds of labor as paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco, including said wages for overtime and holiday work, and the Board of Supervisors shall, upon receipt of such data, fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages for said various crafts and kinds of labor as paid for similar work in the City and County of San Francisco in private employment, and such highest general prevailing rate of wages as so fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors shall remain in force and shall be deemed to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment for similar work, until the same is changed by said Board of Supervisors.

Subdivision D. The officer, board or commission authorized to let or enter into any contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section shall include in the specifications setting forth the terms and conditions for the performance of said contract a detailed statement of such highest general prevailing rate of wages, including said wages for holiday and overtime work, as determined by said Board of Supervisors for the several kinds of labor to be used or employed in the performance of said contract, and the contractor to whom said contract is awarded, shall agree in said contract to pay to all persons performing labor in and about the public work or improvement provided for in said contract, the said highest general prevailing rate of wages

as set forth in said specifications, including said wages for holiday and overtime work.

Subdivision E. Every contract for any public work or improvement shall also contain a provision that the contractor shall insert in every sub-contract or other arrangement which he may make for the performance of any work or labor on said public work or improvement described in said original contract, a provision that said sub-contractor shall pay to all persons performing labor or rendering service under said sub-contract or other arrangement the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors for said labor or services.

Subdivision F. Every contract or sub-contract provided for in subdivision A of this section shall contain a provision that the contractor shall keep, or cause to be kept, an accurate record showing the name, place of residence, citizenship, occupation and per diem pay, of each person engaged in the execution of said contract, and every sub-contractor who shall undertake the performance of any part of said original contract shall keep a like record of each person engaged in the execution of said sub-contract. All of said records shall at all times be open to the inspection of and examination of the duly authorized officers and agents of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision G. Any contract or sub-contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section which does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance shall be null and void and no recovery shall be had thereon, and any officer, board or commission who shall sign, execute or approve any such contract shall be deemed guilty of misfeasance in office.

Subdivision H. Any contractor or sub-contractor who shall fail or neglect to pay to the several persons who shall perform labor under any contract, sub-contract or other arrangement whereby work or labor are performed on any public work or improvement specified in subdivision A of this section, the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed by the Board of Supervisors under authority of this ordinance, shall forfeit, and in the case of any sub-contractor so failing or neglecting to pay said wage, the original contractor and the sub-contractor shall jointly and severally, forfeit to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10.00) dollars per day for each laborer, workman or mechanic employed for each calendar day or portion thereof, while they shall be so employed and not paid said highest general prevailing rate of wages, and it shall be the duty of the officer, board or commission under whose jurisdiction said public work or improvement is being carried on, made or constructed, when certifying to the Controller any payment which may become due under said contract, to deduct from said payment or payments the total amount of said forfeiture provided for in this subdivision, and the Controller, in issuing his warrant for any such payment, shall deduct from the amount which would otherwise be due on said payment or payments the amount of said forfeiture or forfeitures as so certified.

Subdivision I. Any officer, board or commission taking bids for the construction of any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section of this ordinance, shall provide in the specifications prepared for said public work or improvement, or in the general conditions under which bids will be received for the doing of the work incident to said public work or improvement, that any person making a bid or offer to the City and County of San Francisco to perform said work, shall, in his bid or offer, set forth the name and address of each sub-contractor who will perform work or labor or render service to said contractor on or about the construction of said work or improvement, together with the amount to be paid to each sub-contractor. No contractor shall, without the consent of the awarding officer, board or commission, be permitted

to substitute any other person as a sub-contractor in the place of those mentioned in said bid or offer. If any contractor fails to specify a sub-contractor for any particular portion of said work to be performed under his contract, he shall not be permitted to sub-contract said particular portion of said work without the consent of the officer, board or commission letting the contract for the construction of said work or improvement.

Subdivision J. For the purpose of meeting the extraordinary conditions of unemployment existing at the date of the passage of this ordinance, no person performing labor or rendering service in the performance of any contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section of this ordinance, shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday until Friday of each calendar week, both days inclusive, and where the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed by the Board of Supervisors is fixed on other than on an hourly basis, or unless said wage is based upon a definite number of hours specified in the order or resolution fixing said highest general prevailing rate of wages, then, in that event, the wage so fixed shall be deemed to be fixed on an eight hour basis, and for a six hour day a corresponding deduction shall be made in said highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed by said board. Any contractor and/or sub-contractor who shall violate this subdivision of this section of this ordinance shall be liable for the same penalties and forfeit as those specified in subdivision H of this section of this ordinance for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed for each calendar day or portion thereof whereon such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled or permitted to work more than the days and hours specified herein. The provision of this subdivision shall be made a part of all contracts and sub-contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement.

Subdivision K. In the event that any public work or improvement is to be constructed outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and at such a distance therefrom that those engaged in performing labor on said public work or improvement must under ordinary conditions remain at or near the site of said work or improvement when not actually engaged in the performance of labor thereon, then in that event the officer, board or commission responsible for the construction of said public work or improvement may, in making specifications or letting contracts therefor, make provision therein for days and hours of labor beyond the limitations provided for in subdivision J of this section of this ordinance; but not to exceed eight hours in any one calendar day, or six days in any calendar week. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise, making a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portion thereof, the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the officer, board or commission awarding such contract. Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Subdivision L. In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the Government of the United States, all invitations to bidders to bid on said work shall contain a provision to the effect that no bid will be accepted unless it includes or is accompanied by a certificate duly executed by the bidder to the effect that the bidder is complying with, and will continue to comply with, each approved code of fair competition to which he is subject, and, if engaged in any trade or industry for which there is no approved code of fair competition, then stating, as to such trade or industry, he has become a party to, and is complying with, and will continue to comply with an agreement with the President of the United

States under section 4 of the National Industrial Recovery Act. All contracts and sub-contracts executed for the performance of any such work shall contain a provision to the effect that the contractor and/or sub-contractor will comply with the conditions herein provided to be included in said invitations to bid.

Subdivision M. In addition to any other penalties herein provided, for the violation of this ordinance or for the failure of any contractor or sub-contractor to abide by the rules and regulations herein made applicable to contracts for public works and improvements, any contractor or sub-contractor violating the provisions of this section of this ordinance or failing to abide by the rules and regulations herein made, shall be declared by the officer, board or commission responsible for the said public work or improvement, an irresponsible bidder and shall not, for a period of five years, be allowed to act as a contractor or sub-contractor on any public work or improvement for the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be effective ten days after its passage and shall not affect any contract entered into prior to its becoming effective, provided that the highest general prevailing rate of wages fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by resolution No. 1238, Code No. 9.092, adopted February 26th, 1934, shall continue to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be applied under this ordinance until the same is changed according to the provisions hereof.

Section 3. If any section, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase or paragraph of this ordinance is held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more portions thereof may be declared unconstitutional.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 8995 (New Series) and Ordinance No. — are hereby repealed.

Privilege of the Floor.

David Ryan, representing the millmen and cabinet makers, was heard at length. He wanted shop rates for his crafts fixed by ordinance the same as field rates.

Harry Hood, representing machinists, was also heard.

A. T. Wynne, representing Bay City Metal Trades Council, wanted shop rate fixed so that work would be kept in San Francisco which is constantly going elsewhere.

Jno. Mullen, representing cabinet manufacturing owners, was also heard. He wished to cooperate with employees, but could not see how plants could continue to operate if increased shop rates were fixed in resolution.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the matter of fixing wage scales be postponed for hearing before the Finance Committee, and that the Mayor be requested, if advisable, to call special meeting of this Board to consider ordinance prepared by the City Attorney and resolution fixing wage scales. It was requested that the Manager of Utilities, Commissioner of Public Works, and any other department heads who may at this time be contemplating the issuance of calls for bids be requested to delay such call.

Motion *carried*, Supervisor Gallagher dissenting.

Supervisor Gallagher announced that the Finance Committee would meet Wednesday at 3 p.m. to consider both the proposed ordinance and the resolution fixing wage scales.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by Eliminating Army Street, Bay Street, Bush Street, Cortland Avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission Street, Sickles Avenue, Silver Avenue, Valencia Street, as Arterial Streets.

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 541, Ordinance No. 11.028, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by eliminating Army street, Bay street, Bush street, Cortland avenue, Lower Great Highway, Mission street, Sickles avenue, Silver avenue, Valencia street, as arterial streets.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets.* The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemaný boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemany boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary *boulevard* from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary *boulevard* to the Great Highway;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of Bay Shore boulevard;

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary boulevard to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue South from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue South from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

(Code No. 11.0111)

Also, Bill No. 543, Ordinance No. 11.01116, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled, "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of licenses and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire, and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, and providing for a monthly increase of \$500 on and after June 15, 1935, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets, together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any

suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance, shall show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show no increase in said sum until June 15, 1935, and after which time show an increase of \$500 beginning on July 15, 1935, and each month thereafter until the sum of \$25,000 is accumulated, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall, on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.*

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition more than 125 vehicles and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company, approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damages to persons arising out of the actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall thereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was on motion *laid over two weeks*:

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health, authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Director of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issue or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the

City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be \$5.00 for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. Subdivision 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation, maintenance and traffic in private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation, color and the care, maintenance and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Subdivision 3. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder, by the Director of Public Health.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other rates than in this section provided.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within the City and County of San Francisco shall be \$4 during the day time period and \$5 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

No commission, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that clinic, insurance, hospital association and contract work shall have a 25 per cent discount; providing, however, that orders for clinic rates must come direct from the clinic departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge.

Delay or waiting time of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour calculated in quarter-hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (\$25) dollars and not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Leasing of Municipal Pipe Yard Properties for Two Years.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 1345, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and of the Director of Property, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Southern Pacific Company, as lessor, for a period of two years, beginning July 1, 1934, covering the so-called municipal pipe yard property situated at the northwest corner of Sixth and Daggett streets, San Francisco. The terms and conditions of the lease shall be as specified in the proposed lease prepared by the lessor. As rental for said premises, the lessee shall reimburse the lessor for all taxes and assessment paid upon or which may become a lien upon the property during the life of said lease.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Relief Orders Ordinance.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 550, Ordinance No. 19.07113, as follows:

Regulating the filling of orders issued to dependent poor and indigent persons by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any of its agencies, and providing that it shall be unlawful to obtain on any order so issued goods, wares and merchandise other than that specified in said order, and directing how said order when filled shall be returned for payment, and providing for a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any order for food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodity is issued, given or granted by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any agency, board, commission, officer, servant or employee of said City and County to any person who is, or claims to be, an indigent or dependent poor person, or who accepts said order upon the representation that he or she is a dependent poor or indigent person, said order shall specify the kind or character of food, clothing, goods, wares or merchandise which will be delivered pursuant to said order.

Section 2. No person to whom said order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, is issued, given or granted, shall under authority of said order directly, or through any other person, receive, take, accept or have given to him or her any food, clothing, goods, wares or merchandise other than the kind or character thereof specified in said order, and any person who shall directly or indirectly take, receive or accept, under authority of said order, food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or commodities, different from those specified in said order, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 3. No person, firm or corporation honoring or filling, or attempting to honor or fill, any order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, shall, under authority of said order, give or deliver to any person presenting any such order, or permit said person, either directly or indirectly, to take or accept food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities other than the particular class or kind of food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities specified in said order, any person so doing shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 4. Any person, firm, corporation or association filling any order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, shall, before receiving payment for the goods, wares, merchandise or commodities mentioned in said order, return said order to the department of the municipal government, or to the officer, board, commission, agent or employee issuing the same, together with a list or statement of the kind and character of the food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities delivered to, or accepted by the person presenting said order, in fulfillment thereof, and any person, firm, corporation or association furnishing food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities, pursuant to said order, who shall fail to furnish said list or statement, or who shall furnish an incorrect or false list or statement of said food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities furnished or delivered to, or taken by any person under authority of said order, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 5. Any person found guilty of violating this ordinance, or of violating any of its provisions, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovi, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

President of the United States Requested Not to Curtail Personnel of Post Office Department, San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1353, as follows:

Whereas, on March 3, 1934, the Postmaster General of the United States issued an order curtailing the service in the Post Office Department, thereby affecting 255 clerks, carriers and laborers in the San Francisco Post Office; and

Whereas, unless this order is rescinded the personnel affected will be compelled to seek relief from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Post Office Department was created to serve the people rather than to produce revenue, and to impair the service and reduce the personnel is contrary to the express wish of the President of the United States in his appeal to industry to shorten hours, raise wages, and employ more people; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request the President of the United States and the Postmaster General to revoke the order curtailing service and employment in the Post Office Department, and to restore to full time the 255 substitutes in San Francisco affected by that order; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Senators Hiram W. Johnson and William Gibbs McAdoo, and Representatives Florence P. Kahn and Richard J. Welch, as well as to Postmaster General Farley.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Endorsing H. J. Resolution 289, Establishment Bulkhead and Piers in San Francisco Bay.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 1357, as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Resolution 289, presented in the House of Representatives March 3, 1934, by Congressman Welch, "Authorizing establishment of bulkhead and pierhead lines in San Francisco Bay from a point near Point Avisadero (Hunter's Point), San Francisco County, to Ravenswood Point, San Mateo County," be and the same is hereby endorsed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Endorsing H. J. Resolution 286, "Hunter's Point Dry Docks."

(Code No. 5.2)

Also Resolution No. 1358, as follows:

Resolved, that H. J. Resolution 286, introduced in the House of Representatives February 27, 1934, by Congressman McGrath, "which authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Navy to investigate the feasibility of acquiring by purchase or condemnation the Hunter's Point Works, Union Plant (also known as 'Hunter's Point Dry Docks'), now owned or controlled by the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited, and the Union Iron Works, owned or controlled by the Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Limited, both located on San Francisco Bay, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, or any other shipbuilding plant or plants on the Pacific Coast which would seem suitable to him for government operations, and to report his findings to the Congress," be and the same is hereby endorsed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Acceptance of Deed, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

(Code No. 12.17151)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1359, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed

from Custodio Escobar, et ux., to a certain tract of land 30' x 80' on the westerly side of County Road No. 398 and adjoining the south side of the Coast Range Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Alameda County, California, and that the sum of \$150 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 235-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, called out of Public Utilities Committee by Supervisor Uhl, was taken up and action thereon *postponed one week*.

Elimination of Water Meter Installment Charge.

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 1319, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Water Department collects seventy-eight cents for each water meter in every home in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of many of the home owners in this city, the annual payment of \$9.36 for the water meter is an unjust burden imposed by a municipally owned utility; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors favor the elimination of this meter charge against the home owners; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Mayor and to the Public Utilities Commission.

Issuance and Redemption of School Bonds.

(Code No. 21.31.)

The following matter, presented by City Attorney, was taken up and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 1360, as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco authorized by special election held December 19, 1933, for the acquisition and construction of certain new school house buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, and the acquisition of certain lands therefor and the acquisition of certain other property, including furnishings and structures necessary to be used in and about said school house buildings, and for the purpose of making repairs, alterations, additions, betterments and replacements to existing school house buildings, and providing form of bonds.

Resolved: Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 19th day of December, 1933. Resolution No. 1224 of this Board shows the following proposition received more than two-thirds affirmative votes at said election in favor of incurring a bonded indebtedness of \$3,000,000 for "School House Bonds, 1934."

Section 2. That bonds with coupons attached thereto, of the City and County of San Francisco are to be issued, printed, lithographed or engraved, and the bonds are to contain the facsimile signature of the Mayor and to be signed by the Treasurer of the City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and the signature of the Treasurer to the coupons of said bonds shall be by facsimile.

The bonds authorized to be issued shall be issued in conformity with Ordinance No. 21.312.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

BOND No. ———.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
School House Bond, 1934

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ——— one thousand dollars (\$1,000) with interest thereon at the rate of — per centum per annum, payable semi-annually ——— 1 and ——— 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in lawful money of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner, and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter, and from time to time, this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California. In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of said City and County and countersigned by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and has caused the interest coupons attached thereto to be signed by its Treasurer, and the bond to be dated the 1st day of January, 1934, the signature of the Mayor to said bond and the signature of the Treasurer to said coupons attached thereto being by facsimile.

.....
Mayor.
.....
Treasurer.
Countersigned:
.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

COUPON

On _____ 1, 19____, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, _____ dollars (\$_____) in lawful money of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated January 1, 1934, number _____.

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION

San Francisco, _____, 19____.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of _____, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest of said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy, and in the manner for such general tax levy, provide, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid or until there be a sum in the Treasury of said City and County set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest of said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on said bonds and on such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the time for fixing the next general tax levy.

Section 5. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of said bonds shall be placed in the Treasury to the credit of the "1934 School House Bond Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Leave of Absence, Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of three weeks commencing April 6, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053.)

Resolution No. 1361, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby

granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing April 6, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Hearing on Garbage Report.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the hearing of the report of committee sent to San Mateo county regarding objectives of Bay View Sanitary District be heard two weeks from today.

So ordered.

Single Men's Relief.

Communication from M. C. Sloss, chairman of the Citizens Emergency Relief Committee, in response to resolution of the Board of Supervisors requesting that relief of single men be turned over to State E. R. A., stating that the committee deems it inadvisable to comply.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter lay over one week and be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. and that Judge Sloss or his representatives, Mr. Carpenter, Major Kendrick and Mr. Wollenberg, be invited to attend.

In the matter of relief for single men living in shelters, Supervisor Brown asked that the Public Welfare Committee report back to the Board, at its next meeting, as to the attitude of the State, whether the State would be willing to undertake the relief of the single men in San Francisco.

So ordered.

List of Food Purchased.

Supervisor Ratto asked that the Purchaser of Supplies submit list of all foods purchased from the various departments for the last year, food bought in the open market, from whom and at what price. Also, food bought on contract, from whom and duration of contract.

Investigator of Complaints to Report.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Investigator of Complaints send to the Board copy of all complaints which have been registered in his department during the past year.

So ordered.

Remodeling Auditorium for Board of Education.

Supervisor Uhl requests, through the Chief Administrative Officer, a set of blueprints covering the remodeling of the premises for the Board of Education. Also wants specifications for same.

Exposition Commemorating Completion of San Francisco Bay and Golden Gate Bridges.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1363, as follows:

Whereas, two of the world's greatest bridges are under construction across San Francisco Bay costing in excess of \$100,000,000; and

Whereas, the said bridges will set a new record in engineering feats which will be heralded; and

Whereas, it is deemed desirable to celebrate by means of an exposition on completion of said bridges; and

Whereas, our sister cities and counties are also deeply interested in said bridges and in cementing together the various communities which these two bridges will accomplish; and

Whereas, it is important that the exposition should be located on a site convenient to the centers of population; convenient to the bridges; a site where sports of all kinds can be held; provision for livestock

exhibits and a mile track; a site where units of the Navy can be anchored in close proximity to the exposition; and

Whereas, the Federal Government having loaned \$65,000,000 toward the construction of the San Francisco and Oakland bridge; in fact, it can be stated without contradiction that the Federal Government is vitally interested in both bridges due to the strategic part both bridges will play in case of war; and

Whereas, the Federal Government have expressed anxiety to place the unemployed on worthwhile projects, has shown a willingness to contribute financially to worthwhile projects and is making loans to worthwhile projects; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors

(a) Endorses the holding of an exposition to celebrate completion of the bridges.

(b) That the exposition be located at South Basin and adjacent lands.

(c) That the Park Commission be requested to acquire necessary lands adjoining Bay View Park for park purposes, including a livestock pavilion and stadium.

(d) That request be made of the Federal Government for a long-term loan bearing the rate of interest the Government pays on postal savings.

(e) That the Supervisors approve an appropriation of \$10,000 to be made by the Mayor from the Mayor's reserve fund for an immediate study by a committee of architects and engineers appointed by the California Chapter of Architects in order to ascertain the approximate cost of a suitable exposition.

(f) That an invitation be sent to our sister cities and counties to participate and cooperate in the proposed exposition.

Referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Welfare.

Residence Affidavit Ordinance.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ———, as follows:

Requiring all department heads, boards or commissions to obtain and place on file an affidavit of residence of every municipal employee under their respective jurisdictions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be the duty of every department head, board or commission charged with the enforcement of section 7 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, within sixty (60) days after the effective date of this ordinance, to require every officer and employee under the jurisdiction of said department head, board or commission to fill out and subscribe to an affidavit on a form containing the following provisions:

State of California, County of—ss.
, being first duly
 (Name of Officer or Employee)

sworn, says:

That he is now in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco in theDepartment; that he is employed in the capacity of;
 (State character of employment)

that affiant actually lives within the City and County of San Francisco and maintains an abode therein and customarily spends the night in said abode.

Section 2. The affidavit referred to herein shall be filed in the

office of the department head, board or commission in which the affiant is employed and shall be available for inspection by the Mayor or Board of Supervisors or anyone authorized by them.

Section 3. Persons living outside the City and County of San Francisco under authorization of the Director of Public Health as provided for in section 7 of the Charter shall subscribe to an affidavit to that effect and file it in the same manner as the affidavits referred to in section 1 hereof.

Section 4. Any elective or appointive officer or any member of any Board or Commission who fails to enforce the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of official misconduct as provided in section 11.

Any employee responsible for the enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance and who fails to do so, shall be guilty of insubordination and subject to suspension and dismissal in accordance with the provisions of section 154 of the Charter.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 9, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, April 9, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 9, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 9, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 2, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Hearing Appeal—Woodside Avenue.

Hearing the appeals of the Hawkins Improvement Company and J. V. Campbell, fixed for 3:30 p. m. this day, for the assessment and warrant issued to the Fay Improvement Company in the matter of the improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, as per Resolution of Intention No. 108877 (Second Series) adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on December 23, 1929.

Privilege of the Floor.

Theo. Savage, attorney representing appellants, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length on the pending question.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3:30 p. m. next Monday.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Communication from M. C. Sloss, chairman of the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee, advising that after careful consideration said committee adopted a resolution to the effect that, in the judgment

of the committee, it is inadvisable to comply with the request of the Board of Supervisors as expressed in Resolution No. 1350, March 26, 1934, "that the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee turn over to California E. R. A. the care and supervision of the single men residing at shelters, same to be financed as heretofore by the City and County of San Francisco."

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that consideration of the resolution be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m., Monday, April 9, 1934, and that Judge Sloss or his representative, Mr. Carpenter, Major Chas. Kendrick and Chas. Wollenberg be requested to attend.

So ordered.

Hearing on Single Men's Relief.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from R. D. Carpenter, Vice-Chairman, San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee, advising that Judge M. C. Sloss is out of town and, in reference to communication inviting attendance at 4 p. m. this day, with regard to the matter of hearing relative to inadvisability of complying with resolution of the Board of Supervisors to turn single men's relief over to the California ERA, that same has been discussed with Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher, who intimated that it would be sufficient to give him certain information which he requested by letter and which has been furnished.

Ordered filed.

Also, copy of letter addressed to Supervisor Gallagher, above referred to, pursuant to conversation at meeting of Sub Committee on Finance and Organization of the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee, and furnishing information relative to request from State Emergency Relief to make an investigation of the standard of care of the single homeless men in the City and County of San Francisco, together with recommendations and proposed changes.

Ordered filed.

Supervisor Uhl presented copy of resolution of International Legion, U. S. A., Post No. 1, protesting the shifting of single men, including veterans, from CWA jobs to State labor camps on a drastic reduced wage scale which, it is alleged, is contemplated by the State Emergency Relief Administration.

Ordered filed. On clip for hearing Monday.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the members of the Relief Committee come to this Board Monday, April 16, 1934, at 2:30 p. m., for the purpose of going into relief matters.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Lane, pastor of the Ingleside Presbyterian Church, was heard. He declared that he represented 6000 men who assembled in mass meeting Easter Saturday at the Civic Center and that he believed that their demands were just and entitled to favorable consideration.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

Reconsideration—State Liquor Control Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner at last meeting changed his vote from *no* to *aye*

on Resolution No. 1340, which endorses amendment to Article XX, Section 22 to the State Constitution, in the matter of control, licensing and regulating the manufacture, etc., of liquor in the State of California, and moved for a reconsideration of said vote at 5 p. m., Monday, April 9, 1934.

Privilege of the Floor.

Sam Morris, representing the Del Monte Properties Company and California Business Journal, was heard in favor of the initiative measure presented by hotel and restaurant interests, and deemed it inadvisable that two measures be put on the ballot as it might defeat both. The measure of the hotel men, he said, cannot be withdrawn because it is on by petition. San Francisco and Los Angeles, he said, would have enough influence in the Legislature to get a fair adjustment of the license collected.

Don Nickelson was also heard in support of the initiative measure.

Reconsideration Denied.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the question: "Shall the vote whereby Resolution No. 1340 was adopted be reconsidered," and reconsideration was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing Expenditure of \$5,000 to Cover Preliminary Engineering Costs, Sewer and High Pressure Bond Projects.

(Code No. 9.051.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 549, Ordinance No. 9.051123, as follows:

Authorizing the expenditure of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewer and high pressure projects to be constructed from bonds approved on November 7, 1933.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to charge expenditures not exceeding five thousand dollars (\$5,000) and made by the Director of Public Works to cover the cost of preliminary engineering in connection with sewers and the extension of the high pressure projects to be constructed from proceeds of bond issues, approved by the people on November 7, 1933, against Appropriation No. 6, Emergency Reserve Fund, 1933-34, Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 2. All expenditures made and charged in conformity with this ordinance shall be recharged against the funds created by the sale of bonds for the projects above mentioned. The said expenditures to be recharged in accordance with the amount of work done for each of said projects.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

NEW BUSINESS.**Leasing of Municipal Pipe Yard Properties for Two Years.**

The following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 1345, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and of the Director of Property, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Southern Pacific Company, as lessor, for a period of two years, beginning July 1, 1934, covering the so-called municipal pipe yard property situated at the northwest corner of Sixth and Daggett streets, San Francisco. The terms and conditions of the lease shall be as specified in the proposed lease prepared by the lessor. As rental for said premises, the lessee shall reimburse the lessor for all taxes and assessment paid upon or which may become a lien upon the property during the life of said lease.

Jos. Phillips, Director of Property, said there was no reason why a lease for one year with an option for another year could not be made.

Amendment Resolution.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown, the resolution was amended so as to provide for a lease of one year and an option for an extension of a longer period and the resolution so amended and as follows was *adopted* by the following vote, to-wit:

Leasing of Municipal Pipe Yards Properties One Year with Option of Renewal.

(Code No. 12.1739)

Resolution No. 1345, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works and of the Director of Property, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, as lessee, are hereby authorized and directed to enter into a lease with Southern Pacific Company, as lessor, for a period of one year, with option for renewal, beginning July 1, 1934, covering the so-called municipal pipe yard property situated at the northwest corner of Sixth and Daggett streets, San Francisco. The terms and conditions of the lease shall be as specified in the proposed lease prepared by the lessor. As rental for said premises, the lessee shall reimburse the lessor for all taxes and assessment paid upon or which may become a lien upon the property during the life of said lease.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Correction of Fee (\$12.50) Entry by Department of Public Health.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1366, as follows:

Whereas, the Department of Public Health has received a check in the sum of \$12.50 as payment for inspection fee, and which check was returned with the notation "Account Closed;" and

Whereas, the place of business inspected, closed before collection could be made; and

Whereas, no permit has been issued by the Department of Public Health by reason of said inspection; therefore,

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be and is authorized to write off the entry of having received said check in payment of said inspection fee.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1367, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 361 to Director of Public Works for \$292.39, No. 362 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 363 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 364 to Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. for \$380.82, No. 365 to William Taaffe & Company for \$177.72, No. 366 to The Islais Company, Ltd. for \$2,325, No. 367 to The Islais Company, Ltd. for \$980, No. 368 to Louis Sutter for \$448.34, No. 369 to The San Francisco and Fresno Land Co. for \$1,900, No. 370 to The San Francisco and Fresno Land Co. for \$257.18, No. 371 to John F. Cosgrove, John Brandt, Richard J. Welch for \$59.68, No. 372 to Anderson & Ringrose for \$1,289.85, No. 373 to Winchester Estate Company for \$119.88, No. 374 to Winchester Estate Company for \$90.99, No. 375 to Antone V. Beronio and Eleanor M. Beronio for \$800, No. 376 to S. F. Chronicle for \$9.15 No. 377 to The Islais Company, Ltd. for \$1,500, No. 378 to The Islais Company, Ltd. for \$690—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

World's Fair Committee.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, presented as a substitute for resolution of Supervisor Uhl, was taken up:

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 1368, as follows:

Whereas, under Resolution 664, adopted August 15, 1933, his Honor the Mayor appointed a San Francisco World's Fair Committee to investigate and report on a Worlds' Fair commemorating the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland and Golden Gate Bridges; said committee also to recommend a site for said fair.

Resolved, That the said World's Fair Committee be requested to investigate a suggested site at South Basin, whereby the fair could be held with land and water areas, and that at the same time effect reclamation of valuable lands for future economic purposes; also

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a member of the Board of Supervisors on the San Francisco World's Fair Committee.

Motions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the chairman of the Finance Committee be appointed to membership on the World's Fair Committee.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the last resolve be amended providing for the appointment of three members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Shannon asked for a segregation of the resolution and a separate vote on each resolve.

Amendments.

Supervisor Brown moved as a substitute to amend as follows:

Resolved, That the said World's Fair Committee be requested to investigate and if possible give preference to sites belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and which would be improved by use for exposition purposes. That if such sites be unsuitable or unavailable, then all other suitable locations be investigated, giving due consideration to such sites as provide both land and water areas, and at the same time make possible reclamation of land for future economic purposes.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to the amendment that we add "Yerba Buena Shoals, Lake Merced area, the Presidio, Golden Gate Park, Islais Creek District, South Basin and all other available sites."

Amendment accepted as part of Supervisor Brown's amendment.

Supervisor Shannon's Motion Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion to add three members of the Board of Supervisors and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Supervisor Uhl's Motion Lost.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Excused—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Havenner's Amendment Carried.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's amendment and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Supervisor Brown's Substitute Carried.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's substitute and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended and in words and figures following was *adopted* by the following vote:

World's Fair Committee.

(Code No. 5.95)

Resolution No. 1368, as follows:

Whereas, under Resolution 664, adopted August 15, 1933, his Honor the Mayor appointed a San Francisco World's Fair Committee to investigate and report on a World's Fair commemorating the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland and Golden Gate Bridges; said committee also to recommend a site for said Fair.

Resolved, That the said World's Fair Committee be requested to investigate Yerba Buena Shoals, Lake Merced area, the Presidio, Golden Gate Park, Islais Creek District, South Basin and all other available sites, and if possible give preference to sites belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and which would be improved by use for exposition purposes. That if such sites be unsuitable or unavailable, then all other suitable locations be investigated, giving due consideration to such sites as provide both land and water areas, and at the same time make possible reclamation of land for future economic purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor appoint a member of the Board of Supervisors on the San Francisco World's Fair Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Eaton & Smith, Laidley Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1364, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of 30 days' time from and after March 20, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Laidley street between Fairmount and Miguel streets.

Contractor has been delayed by rains and wet ground.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Yosemite Avenue and Ingalls Street.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 1365, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 86 of the Department of Public Works, adopted March 28, 1934, and written recommendation of said Department filed March 30, 1934, to-wit:

Yosemite Avenue.

Hawes street, base. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ingalls street, 1 foot.

Jennings street, 4 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Ingalls Street.

Wallace avenue, base. (The same being the present official grade.)

Yosemite avenue, 1 foot.

Armstrong avenue, 1 foot. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Yosemite avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets and on Ingalls street between Wallace and Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Department of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be

conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Grades on Gorham Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 552, Ordinance No. 12.072215, as follows:

Establishing grades on Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed March 22, 1934.

Gorham Street.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 103.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 450 feet southeasterly from San Jose avenue, 105.50 feet.

(Last described point being point of intersection of an 80-foot vertical curve.)

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from San Jose avenue, 151.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at San Jose avenue easterly line, 176.00 feet.

On Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Gorham Street Between Cayuga and San Jose Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 553, Ordinance No. 12.073123, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding a new section, to be numbered 1137.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 22, 1934, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 1137, to read as follows:

Section 1137. The width of sidewalks on Gorham street between Cayuga avenue and San Jose avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter called of Public Utilities Committee by Supervisor Uhl was laid over one week and made a *Special Order of Business* for 5 p. m.:

Elimination of Water Meter Installment Charge.

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 1319, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Water Department collects seventy-eight cents for each water meter in every home in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of many of the home owners in this city, the annual payment of \$9.36 for the water meter is an unjust burden imposed by a municipally owned utility; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors favor the elimination of this meter charge against the home owners; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Mayor and to the Public Utilities Commission.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Police Department Objects to Proposed Amendment to Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Board of Police Commissioners proposing certain objections to recent amendment to Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111 entitled, "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

Remain on clip, and copies to be sent to all members of the Board.

Public Hearing on Budget.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor the Mayor advising that on Tuesday, April 17, 1934, at 2:30 p. m., in his office, a meeting will be held on proposed budget of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-5, and inviting attendance of the members of the Board, particularly the members of the Finance Committee, and the members of the Public Welfare Committee.

Ordered filed and copies sent to members of Finance and Public Welfare Committees.

Report on Request of Supervisor Uhl for List of Complaints.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 6, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of the request of your Board, made at the instance of Supervisor Uhl, that I give to your Board a list of all complaints lodged in my office and handled by the Inspector of Complaints attached to the office.

Section 25 of the Charter makes it the duty of the Mayor to receive and examine without delay all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the City and County, and to immediately inform the complainant of findings and actions thereon.

Under this provision of the Charter, I am of the opinion that the handling of these complaints is purely an administrative matter and is one which my office has exclusive jurisdiction over and that these

complaints are not the concern of any other department, except in so far as that department may be the subject of the complaint.

I further feel that these complaints are, to a large extent, confidential and unless upon investigation they show some wrongdoing on the part of an official or employee of the City, the confidence of the complainant should be respected.

To give to your Board, or to any other department, a complete list of all complaints would, I feel, be a violation of this confidence and would tend to discourage citizens from making complaints, even when they might have just cause to do so.

Since the establishment of the Bureau of Complaints 354 complaints on various subjects have been received and investigated. Aside from those that come by mail there is an average of at least 25 calls in person and by telephone daily relating generally to City matters. Some of these calls might be classified as complaints and others are merely to obtain information on municipal matters. Aside from these, there are at least 30 people a day who call in reference to employment on City projects and on projects being handled by the various governmental agencies. Each of these callers receives the attention of the Inspector of Complaints and a courteous hearing of their complaints.

If your Board is desirous of obtaining any particular complaint, if you will advise me as to the one desired, I will be very happy to furnish you with it, provided the making of the matter public would not be a breach of confidence of the complainant.

However, I must decline to comply with your very general request for copies of all complaints which I have in my office for the reasons hereinbefore stated.

Sincerely,

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk be directed to obtain from the City Attorney his opinion as to whether the Mayor's refusal to furnish copies of complaints which he requested is legal and well founded.

Motion carried, Supervisor Hayden dissenting.

Spur Track Permit Requested, Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Co.

Supervisor Brown presented petition of the Bauer Schweitzer Hop & Malt Co., Inc., for permission to install a spur track with suitable railroad scale at terminus of spur serving hop and malt plant on the south side of Francisco street, between Powell and Mason streets.

Referred to Joint Industrial Development and Streets Committee.

Protest Against Proposed Balboa Reservoir.

Supervisor Uhl presented communication from West of Twin Peaks Boosters' Club protesting against the construction of the proposed Balboa Reservoir, and referring to petition signed by property owners previously which, it is alleged to show, that the majority of the property owners are opposed to said reservoir, and that it is poor business to construct it on the present site.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Decision of Judge Walter Perry Johnson on License Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, transmitting copy of letter addressed to Mayor Rossi relative to decision of Judge Walter Perry Johnson declaring that the license ordinance imposing tax upon automobile supply stations is void.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Request to Defer Action on Public Works Projects Pending Completion of Wage Rate Legislation by the Board of Supervisors.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. H. Worden, Director, Department of Public Works, relative to the request of the Board of Supervisors that his department defer further procedure on public projects pending completion of legislation by the Board of Supervisors that, considering the urgency of the situation as regards school necessities, it would seem unwise to interfere with the schedule under way on penalty of defeating the objective of the Board of Education, for which reason he respectfully advises that he will continue to proceed under present effective legislation and until other legislation is enacted.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Federal Grant for Construction of School Buildings and High Pressure System.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, informing the Board of Supervisors that the application of San Francisco for a grant for the construction of public school buildings not exceeding \$864,000, and a grant for the high pressure system improvements not to exceed \$460,000 has been approved by the Federal Emergency Administrator of Public Works. The agreements have been handed the City Attorney and resolution properly describing and approving same, and authorizing execution thereof be forthcoming.

Ordered filed.

Invitation of the Santa Rosa Chamber of Commerce to Attend Meeting to Consider Proposed Extension of Northwestern Pacific Railroad From Eureka to a Connection with the Southern Pacific at Coos Bay or Grant's Pass.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Santa Rosa Chamber of Commerce inviting attendance of members of the Board of Supervisors at meeting to be held at the Occidental Hotel in Santa Rosa on Saturday, April 14, to discuss the feasibility of proposed extension of the Northwestern Pacific Railroad from Eureka to a connection with the Southern Pacific either at Coos Bay or Grant's Pass, which prior to the war was recommended and planned for by Harriman of the Southern Pacific Company.

Ordered filed.

Report on Details as to Remodeling Auditorium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting copy of communication to William H. Worden, transmitting request of Board of Supervisors for certain details as to remodeling of the Auditorium.

Ordered filed.

Balboa Reservoir Construction Opposed.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from Twin Peaks Boosters, Inc., opposing the construction of proposed Balboa Reservoir in favor of the erection of homes.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following resolution opposing the construction of proposed Balboa Reservoir until hearing of property owners may be had, to-wit:

(Code No. 15.0251)

Resolution No. 1370, as follows:

Resolved, That, inasmuch as 1760 persons in the Ingleside District adjacent to Balboa Reservoir desire the 17 acres in the Balboa Reservoir be used for home sites, the Public Utilities Commission is requested to postpone further work on said reservoir until the persons interested can be heard.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Supervisor Colman, in this connection, requested that the City Attorney furnish his opinion at the earliest possible date as to the jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors in passing upon the foregoing matter.

So ordered.

Appropriation for Unemployment Relief During March, 1934.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee be requested to furnish an itemized statement of its financial set up for the month of March, 1934.

So ordered.

Interpretation of Section 22 of the Charter.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors request the President of the Board of Freeholders of the Charter to advise this Board of Supervisors as to the intent of the Board of Freeholders and as to the arguments submitted in support of Section 22 thereof as well as the names of the advocates relative to recommendations, suggestions, etc., on the part of the members of the Board of Supervisors.

So ordered.

County Supervisors' Twenty-fourth Annual Convention.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Stanley Abel, Secretary, County Supervisors Association of the State of California, inviting attendance at the Twenty-fourth Annual Convention of County Supervisors Association of the State of California at Santa Cruz, on May 23, 24, 25, 1934, at which the proposal to re-district California, reducing the number of counties from 58 to 15, and the proposition that all county roads be turned over to the State for maintenance, improvement and construction, will be given thorough consideration.

Notices of said Convention ordered sent to all members of the Board.

Seventh Annual Baseball Hunt, Bernal Heights.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Joseph P. Gilmore, Chairman, Bernal Boosters, Inc., inviting all members of the Board of Supervisors to attend Seventh Annual Baseball Hunt to take place on top of Bernal Heights on Saturday morning, April 14, 1934, under the auspices of San Francisco News, the Bernal Boosters, Inc., the Mission and Seals Baseball teams.

Ordered filed and copies sent to each member.

Tax Committee.

President McSheehy named Supervisors Havenner, Ratto and McSheehy on Tax Committee to attend legislative meeting at Sacramento and serve San Francisco's interests.

Hearing Street Work Projects Less Than \$1,000.

Supervisor Brown moved that consideration of report on street work projects less than \$1,000 be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30

p. m., Monday, April 23, 1934, and that Mr. Worden and Mr. McKenzie be invited to attend.

So ordered.

Memorial Day.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a committee for the proper observance of Memorial Day, May 30, 1934.

Motion carried.

Gold Star Mothers' Memorial Services.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a committee to arrange for memorial exercises at Memorial Grove, Golden Gate Park, under the auspices of Gold Star Mothers, on May 30, 1934.

Motion carried.

Federal Appropriation for State Highways.

Communication from Clyde Edmondson, general manager, Redwood Empire Association, requesting telegram or air mail letter be sent representatives in Congress urging final passage of congressional bill calling for appropriation of \$400,000,000 for State highways (California's allotment being \$15,600,000); \$50,000,000 for roads through government lands, and \$10,000,000 for rehabilitation of highways destroyed by floods, slides, earthquakes, etc.

Read in Board and referred to Public Welfare Committee with full power to act.

Columbus Day.

Supervisor Hayden moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized and requested to appoint a committee for the proper observance of Columbus Day, October 12, 1934.

Motion carried.

List of Food Purchased.

Supervisor Ratto requested that the Clerk get in touch with the Purchaser of Supplies and request that a list of food purchased by the various departments to whom and in what quantities as asked for at last meeting be furnished for next Monday's meeting of the Board.

So ordered.

Playground, Bay View District.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from Bay View Civic Club for the acquisition of certain lands in the Bay View District for playground purposes.

Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 8:10 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 16, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, April 16, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.**

100

100

100

100



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 16, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 16, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 9, 1934, was considered read and approved.

MAYOR'S VETO.

Public Convenience Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk, laid over one week, and referred to the Fire, Police and Safety Committee:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

April 11, 1934.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am returning herewith, disapproved by me, Ordinance No. 11.0116 amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111, commonly known as the "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

My reasons for disapproving this ordinance are substantially as follows: On February 24, 1932, Ordinance No. 11.0111 (inadvertently designated in the ordinance returned herewith as Ordinance No. 11.01111) was approved by me, in which, among other things, it was provided that, in lieu of the filing with the Police Commission of any bond or policy of insurance, insuring the public against loss or damage that might result to any person or property from the operation of any of the vehicles referred to in such ordinance, any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire mentioned in said ordinance would have the right to provide a reserve fund which was to be created by the deposit monthly in some recognized financial institution of the sum of \$800, until such fund should reach the sum of \$25,000, after which it should be maintained at such figure. My information is that the only association that took advantage of those provisions of the ordinance authorizing the creation and maintenance of such fund was the San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association, at the present time consisting of approximately 129 members, each of whom is operating a motor vehicle for hire upon the public streets of San Francisco.

On August 22, 1933, Ordinance No. 11.0111 was approved by me, which amended ordinance above referred to, in which it was provided: "that any person or organization of owners of vehicles for hire as specified in this ordinance, which shows a cash reserve on the first of August, 1933, of \$10,000, and thereafter shows a monthly increase of \$500 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter, the cash reserve of said organizations in the sum of \$25,000 shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."

At the time of the approval of this last named ordinance, the said reserve fund of said San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association amounted to \$23,600. Immediately after the approval of said ordinance, said association withdrew from such reserve fund the sum of \$13,600, leaving intact therein the sum of but \$10,000. Thereafter the association continued to pay into said reserve fund the sum of \$500 per month. At the present time the said reserve fund amounts to \$14,000.

In the proposed ordinance returned herewith it is provided that: "any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire as specified in this ordinance shall show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show no increase in said sum until June 15, 1935, and after which time show an increase of \$500 beginning on July 15, 1935, and each month thereafter until the sum of \$25,000 is accumulated, shall be deemed a compliance of the provisions of this ordinance."

The effect of this proposed ordinance, if approved, would be to grant to said association a moratorium of one year: viz: from June 15, 1934, to June 15, 1935, during which period no sum whatever need be added by it to said reserve fund.

After the passage of said ordinance, the Board of Police Commissioners called my attention to the fact that, in its judgment, the people of San Francisco would be seriously prejudiced by its approval, its objection to such approval being based upon the ground that the sum of \$15,000 would not adequately accord them protection against possible injury suffered from motor vehicles operated and maintained by members of the association. In this connection, the Board of Police Commissioners pointed out that one or two serious accidents might exhaust the entire fund, thereby depriving persons who might be thereafter injured by the operation of these vehicles, of any financial protection.

A few days ago a hearing was had in my office with respect to the merits of the proposed ordinance, at which were present representatives of the Board of Police Commissioners and of the San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association. During this hearing it developed that the \$13,600 withdrawn from said fund by said association as above indicated, was so withdrawn for the purpose of paying damage claims then existing against the members of said association. The amount remaining in the fund after the withdrawal was less than the amount withdrawn to satisfy said claims. In the event that a situation should again arise requiring the withdrawal of a comparable sum it must be apparent that practically nothing would be left in the fund to meet claims thereafter accruing.

I have just been informed by the Board of Police Commissioners that at a conference held between it and the representatives of said association since the hearing in my office, it was stated by such representatives that in lieu of said proposed moratorium and the existing requirement compelling the monthly payment of \$500, the association would be willing to make a monthly payment of \$400 until the total sum of \$25,000 is deposited.

In my opinion the moratorium provided for in said proposed ordinance, if it becomes effective, may result in serious prejudice to the people of San Francisco. It is also my opinion that the interests of the people of this city require that monthly payments to said fund be continued in some substantial amount until the aggregate deposit

reaches a sum which will insure them adequate protection. The inadequacy of such financial protection, to some extent, is made manifest by other provisions of the existing ordinance where owners of vehicles not members of any such organization are required to maintain in effect a bond or policy of insurance protecting the public from injury in the following sums, viz:

For the operation of one motor vehicle.....	\$ 10,500
For more than one and less than six motor vehicles..	25,000
For more than five and less than twenty-one motor vehicles	50,000
For more than twenty-one and less than sixty-one motor vehicles	75,000
For more than sixty and less than one hundred motor vehicles	100,000
For more than one hundred motor vehicles.....	125,000

If the within ordinance becomes a law, the only security to the public against injury provided by 129 operators of motor vehicles will be \$15,000, which sum need not be augmented until after June 15, 1935, no logical reason can exist why such increase should not be insisted upon at the present time.

In conclusion may I add that it is my belief that should you conclude to amend the first sentence of paragraph B, section 6, to read as hereinbelow set up, that it would meet with the approval of all concerned:

(b) "Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show a monthly increase of \$400 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations in the sum of \$25,000 shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."

The balance of said paragraph to remain as is.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Communication from M. C. Sloss, chairman of the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee, advising that after careful consideration said committee adopted a resolution to the effect that, in the judgment of the committee, it is inadvisable to comply with the request of the Board of Supervisors as expressed in Resolution No. 1350, March 26, 1934, "that the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee turn over to California E. R. A. the care and supervision of the single men residing at shelters, same to be financed as heretofore by the City and County of San Francisco."

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that consideration of the resolution be made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m., Monday, April 9, 1934, and that Judge Sloss or his representative, Mr. Carpenter, Major Chas. Kendrick and Chas. Wollenberg be requested to attend.

So ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank Belgram, member of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, was heard in the pending matter.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Relief Committee kindly give us a report on the reasons covering in-

creased cost of administration and operation of relief matters; also want to know which of the employees have dependents in their families.

Amendment.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment that a transcript of these proceedings embodying statement just made by Supervisor Uhl be prepared and submitted to the Citizens' Relief Committee and that in turn said committee report back their findings after thorough investigation.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Hearing Appeal—Woodside Avenue.

Hearing the appeals of the Hawkins Improvement Company and J. V. Campbell, fixed for 3:30 p. m. this day, for the assessment and warrant issued to the Fay Improvement Company in the matter of the improvement of Woodside avenue from the existing pavement in Portola drive to the existing pavement in Laguna Honda boulevard, including the intersection of Portola drive, Fowler avenue, Ulloa street, Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue, Vasquez avenue, and Laguna Honda boulevard with Woodside avenue and the improvement of Idora avenue, Hernandez avenue, Balceta avenue and Vasquez avenue from Woodside avenue to the existing pavement southerly therefrom, as per Resolution of Intention No. 108877 (Second Series) adopted by the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on December 23, 1929.

Privilege of the Floor.

Theo Savage, attorney representing the appealants, was heard at length on the pending question.

Wm. Pidge, representing Department of Public Works, was heard in response.

Adopted.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Ratto and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1377, as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Department of Public Works February 15, 1934, for the improvement of Woodside avenue and other streets, by grading to the official line and grade, by the construction of sewers and apurtenances, be and the same is hereby denied, and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

Called out of Public Utilities Committee by Supervisor Uhl.

Elimination of Water Meter Installment Charge.

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 1319, as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Water Department collects seventy-eight cents for each water meter in every home in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of many of the home owners in this city, the annual payment of \$9.36 for the water meter is an unjust burden imposed by a municipally owned utility; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors favor the elimination of this meter charge against the home owners; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the Mayor and to the Public Utilities Commission.

Privilege of the Floor.

Edward J. Cahill, Manager, Public Utilities Commission, in response to a query of Supervisor Uhl, declared that the commission had declared for a reduction in meter installation rates, but had not determined the manner of making reduction.

Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl requested that the resolution be amended so as to provide for a 50 per cent reduction in the meter charge instead of eliminating the charge entirely.

It was *so ordered*.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman contended that the resolution as changed was a new resolution and as such under the rules it must go to committee.

Chairman McSheehy upheld the contention of Supervisor Colman and the resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*.

Appeal from the Decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Brown, being appointed to put the question, said: "There has been an appeal from the decision of the Chair; shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board?"

The roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Excused—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of the Public Health Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *laid over two weeks*:

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health, authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Director of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issue or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be \$5.00 for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. Subdivision 1. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation, maintenance and traffic in private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance.

Subdivision 2. The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation,

color and the care, maintenance and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Subdivision 3. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder, by the Director of Public Health.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other rates than in this section provided.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within the City and County of San Francisco shall be \$4 during the day time period and \$5 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

No commission, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that clinic, insurance, hospital association and contract work shall have a 25 per cent discount; providing, however, that orders for clinic rates must come direct from the clinic departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge.

Delay or waiting time of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour calculated in quarter-hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (\$25) dollars and not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Relief Orders Ordinance.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 550, Ordinance No. 19.07113, as follows:

Regulating the filling of orders issued to dependent poor and indigent persons by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any of its agencies, and providing that it shall be unlawful to obtain on any order so issued goods, wares and merchandise other than that specified in said order, and directing how said order when filled shall be returned for payment, and providing for a penalty for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any order for food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodity is issued, given or granted by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any agency, board, commission, officer, servant or employee of said City and County to any person who is, or claims to be, an indigent or dependent poor person, or who accepts said order upon the representation that he or she is a dependent poor or indigent person, said order shall specify the kind or character of food, clothing, goods, wares or merchandise which will be delivered pursuant to said order.

Section 2. No person to whom said order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, is issued, given or granted, shall under authority of said order directly, or through any other person, receive, take, accept or have given to him or her any food, clothing, goods, wares or merchandise other than the kind or character thereof specified in said order, and any person who shall directly or indirectly take, receive or accept, under authority of said order, food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or commodities, different from those specified in said order, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 3. No person, firm or corporation honoring or filling, or attempting to honor or fill, any order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, shall, under authority of said order, give or deliver to any person presenting any such order, or permit said person, either directly or indirectly, to take or accept food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities other than the particular class or kind of food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities specified in said order, and any person so doing shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 4. Any person, firm, corporation or association filling any order, referred to in Section 1 hereof, shall, before receiving payment for the goods, wares, merchandise or commodities mentioned in said order, return said order to the department of the municipal government, or to the officer, board, commission, agent or employee issuing the same, together with a list or statement of the kind and character of the food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities delivered to, or accepted by the person presenting said order, in fulfillment thereof, and any person, firm, corporation or association furnishing food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities, pursuant to said order, who shall fail to furnish said list or statement, or who shall furnish an incorrect or false list or statement of said food, clothing, goods, wares, merchandise or other commodities furnished or delivered to, or taken by any person under authority of said order, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

Section 5. Any person found guilty of violating this ordinance, or of violating any of its provisions, shall be punished by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Regulations in Regard to Contractors' Working Conditions Under
Contract for Public Work or Improvements.**

(Code No. 9.092)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 556, Ordinance No. 9.0923, as follows:

Making and providing for regulations and working conditions under contracts for public work or improvements performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and providing for the fixing of the highest general prevailing rate of wages, and regulating the hours of labor in the performance of said contracts, and providing penalties for the violation of the regulations provided for by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 98 of the charter the following regulations are made and adopted relative to contracts for public work or improvements, exclusive of purchases, which are to be performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco or the costs of which are paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County.

Subdivision A. Every contract for every public work or improvement performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or the cost of which is paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, whether such work or improvement is to be done directly under contract awarded or indirectly by or under sub-contract, sub-partnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, shall contain, in addition to the provisions hereinafter set forth, all and singular, the conditions contained in section 98 of the Charter as well as the terms and conditions of the act of Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to Require the Use of Materials and Supplies substantially Produced in the United States, on Public Works and for Public Purposes", approved May 3, 1933.

Subdivision B. The term "public work" or "improvement" as used in the ordinance shall include any public work or improvement to be done for or performed by the City and County of San Francisco where the cost thereof is to be paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and shall also include all parts of said public work or improvement which are especially made, wrought, constructed or prepared to become a part of, or to be attached to, said public work or improvement as a part thereof, when the same are made, wrought, constructed or prepared according to plans and specifications, details or drawings prepared or used for the construction of said public work or improvement, irrespective as to whether said parts are made, wrought, constructed or prepared at the place where said public work or improvement is being erected or constructed or at any other place, and any arrangement made or entered into by the contractor with any other person for the furnishing of any part of said public work or improvement to be made, wrought, constructed or prepared in accordance with said plans, specifications, details or drawings shall be deemed to be a sub-contract.

Subdivision C. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, and at least once during each calendar year to

fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco as said terms are used in section 98 of the Charter, including such rate of wages paid for overtime and holiday work, which said highest general prevailing rate of wages shall be fixed and determined as follows:

When said highest general prevailing rate of wages is to be fixed, the Civil Service Commission shall, on request of the Board of Supervisors, furnish to said Board of Supervisors data as to the highest general prevailing rate of wages of the various crafts and kinds of labor as paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco, including said wages for overtime and holiday work, and the Board of Supervisors shall, upon receipt of such data, fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages for said various crafts and kinds of labor as paid for similar work in the City and County of San Francisco in private employment, and such highest general prevailing rate of wages as so fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors shall remain in force and shall be deemed to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment for similar work, until the same is changed by said Board of Supervisors.

In determining the highest general prevailing rate of wages, as provided in this subdivision, the Board of Supervisors shall not be limited to the consideration of data furnished by the Civil Service Commission, but may consider such other evidence upon the subject as said Board shall deem proper and thereupon base its determination upon any or all of the data or evidence considered.

Subdivision D. The officer, board or commission authorized to let or enter into any contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section shall include in the specifications setting forth the terms and conditions for the performance of said contract a detailed statement of such highest general prevailing rate of wages, including said wages for holiday and overtime work, as determined by said Board of Supervisors for the several kinds of labor to be used or employed in the performance of said contract, and the contractor to whom said contract is awarded, shall agree in said contract to pay to all persons performing labor in and about the public work or improvement provided for in said contract, the said highest general prevailing rate of wages as set forth in said specifications, including said wages for holiday and overtime work.

Subdivision E. Every contract for any public work or improvement shall also contain a provision that the contractor shall insert in every sub-contract or other arrangement which he may make for the performance of any work or labor on said public work or improvement described in said original contract, a provision that said sub-contractor shall pay to all persons performing labor or rendering service under said sub-contract or other arrangement the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors for said labor or services.

Subdivision F. Every contract or sub-contract provided for in subdivision A of this section shall contain a provision that the contractor shall keep, or cause to be kept, an accurate record showing the name, place of residence, citizenship, occupation and per diem pay, of each person engaged in the execution of said contract, and every sub-contractor who shall undertake the performance of any part of said original contract shall keep a like record of each person engaged in the execution of said sub-contract. All of said records shall at all times be open to the inspection of and examination of the duly authorized officers and agents of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision G. Any contract or sub-contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section which

does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance shall be null and void and no recovery shall be had thereon, and any officer, board or commission who shall sign, execute or approve any such contract shall be deemed guilty of misfeasance in office.

Subdivision H. Any contractor or sub-contractor who shall fail or neglect to pay to the several persons who shall perform labor under any contract, sub-contract or other arrangement on any public work or improvement specified in subdivision A of this section, the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed by the Board of Supervisors under authority of this ordinance, shall forfeit, and in the case of any sub-contractor so failing or neglecting to pay said wage, the original contractor and the sub-contractor shall jointly and severally, forfeit to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10.00) dollars per day for each laborer, workman or mechanic employed for each calendar day or portion thereof, while they shall be so employed and not paid said highest general prevailing rate of wages, and it shall be the duty of the officer, board or commission under whose jurisdiction said public work or improvement is being carried on, made or constructed, when certifying to the Controller any payment which may become due under said contract, to deduct from said payment or payments the total amount of said forfeiture provided for in this subdivision, and the Controller, in issuing his warrant for any such payment, shall deduct from the amount which would otherwise be due on said payment or payments the amount of said forfeiture or forfeitures as so certified.

Subdivision I. Any officer, board or commission taking bids for the construction of any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision (a) of this section, shall provide in the specifications prepared for said public work or improvement or in the general conditions under which bids will be received for the doing of the work incident to said public work or improvement that any person making a bid or offer to perform said work, shall, in his bid or offer, set forth the name, the location of the mill or shop of each subcontractor who will perform work or labor or render service to said contractor in or about the construction of said work or improvement, together with the amount to be paid to each subcontractor for his said work, labor or service. No contractor shall, without the consent of the awarding officer, board or commission, substitute any other person as a subcontractor in the place of those mentioned in said bid or offer, nor shall any subcontractor assign or transfer his subcontract or permit the same to be performed by any other contractor. If a contractor fails to specify the subcontractor for any portion of the work to be performed under his contract, he shall be deemed to have agreed to perform such portion of said work without subcontracting the same and he shall not be permitted to subcontract said portion of said work. Should said contractor thereafter let out or subcontract any portion of said work for which he has not named a subcontractor, his so doing will be deemed a violation of his contract and the awarding officer, board or commission shall have the right to cancel said contract. The contractor shall not thereafter recover thereon for any of the work done or materials furnished on said public work or improvement.

Subdivision J. For the purpose of meeting extraordinary conditions of unemployment, no person performing labor or rendering service in the performance of any contract or subcontract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section, shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday until Friday of each calendar week, both days inclusive. Any contractor or subcontractor who shall violate this subdivision of this section of this ordinance shall be liable for the same penalties and forfeit as those specified in subdivision H of this section, for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed for each calendar day or portion thereof whereon such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled or permitted to work more than the days and hours specified herein. The provision of this sub-

division shall be made a part of all contracts and subcontracts for the construction of any public work or improvement.

Subdivision K. In the event that any public work or improvement is to be constructed outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and at such a distance therefrom that those engaged in performing labor on said public work or improvement must under ordinary conditions remain at or near the site of said work or improvement when not actually engaged in the performance of labor thereon, then in that event the officer, board or commission responsible for the construction of said public work or improvement may, in making specifications or letting contracts therefor, make provision therein for days and hours of labor beyond the limitations provided for in subdivision J of this section of this ordinance; but not to exceed eight hours in any one calendar day, or six days in any calendar week. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise, making a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portion thereof, the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the officer, board or commission awarding such contract. Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Subdivision L. In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the Government of the United States, all invitations to bidders to bid on said work shall contain a provision to the effect that no bid will be accepted unless it includes or is accompanied by a certificate duly executed by the bidder to the effect that the bidder is complying with, and will continue to comply with, each approved code of fair competition to which he is subject, and, if engaged in any trade or industry for which there is no approved code of fair competition, then stating, as to such trade or industry, he has become a party to, and is complying with, and will continue to comply with an agreement with the President of the United States under section 4 of the National Industrial Recovery Act. All contracts and sub-contracts executed for the performance of any such work shall contain a provision to the effect that the contractor or sub-contractor will comply with the conditions herein provided to be included in said invitations to bid.

Subdivision M. In addition to any other penalties herein provided, for the violation of this ordinance or for the failure of any contractor or subcontractor to abide by the rules and regulations herein contained, any contractor or subcontractor violating the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to abide by the rules and regulations herein set forth, shall be declared an irresponsible bidder by the officer, board or commission responsible for said public work or improvement and shall not, for a period of five (5) years thereafter, be allowed to act as a contractor or subcontractor on any public work or improvement for the City and County of San Francisco. The contract of any such person may, at the option of the awarding officer, board or commission, be cancelled and in the event of such cancellation no recovery shall be had thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be effective ten days after its passage and shall not affect any contract entered into prior to its becoming effective, provided that the highest general prevailing rate of wages fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by resolution No. 1238, Code No. 9.092, adopted February 26th, 1934, shall continue to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be applied under this ordinance until the same is changed according to the provisions hereof.

Section 3. In the awarding of any contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in this ordinance, the awarding officer, board or commission shall require from all contractors and subcontractors

offering or agreeing to perform any work on said public improvement, information concerning their experience, financial qualifications and ability to perform said contract or said subcontract, as well as to whether said contractor or subcontractor possesses, or can obtain in time to perform said contract or subcontract, the necessary equipment. Should said awarding officer, board or commission determine that said contractor or any subcontractor does not possess the necessary experience and financial qualifications to perform said contract or subcontract, or that he does not possess, or cannot obtain in due time the necessary equipment to perform said contract, said awarding officer, board or commission may reject the bid of any such contractor, and should said determination affect only a subcontractor, then said awarding officer, board or commission may compel said contractor to substitute a subcontractor who, in the opinion of said awarding officer, board or commission, possesses the necessary experience, financial qualifications and equipment to perform the said subcontract.

Section 4. In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the government of the United States, all laws, rules and regulations of the said government of the United States, or of any of its departments, relative to the doing of such work and the conditions under which the same is to be performed, shall prevail over the conditions set forth in this ordinance when the same are in conflict.

Section 5. If any section, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase or paragraph of this ordinance is held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more portions thereof may be declared unconstitutional.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 8995 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Supervisor McSheehy, who was absent on the vote of the foregoing matter, returned and declared that had he been present he would have voted in the affirmative.

Fixing Wage Scale—Private Employment on Public Contracts.

Whereupon, the following recommendation of the Finance Committee was taken up:

(Code No. 9.092)

Resolution No. 1325, as follows:

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment to various crafts and employments in the City and County of San Francisco, including the rates of wages paid on holidays and for overtime, is hereby declared and determined to be as set forth herein:

<i>Shop Rates</i>	METAL TRADES.	<i>Per Hour</i>
Pattern makers (based on 7-hour day)		\$1.16
Molders and coremakers		1.10
Blacksmiths		1.10
Blacksmiths' helpers75
Boilermakers		1.10
Boilermakers' helpers75
Machinists		1.10
Machinists' helpers75
Toolmaker		1.10

BUILDING TRADES.

Cabinet workers, millmen, machine and bench hands (shop)	1.10
Varnishers and polishers (shop)	1.10

FIELD EMPLOYMENT.

File drivers 1.12½

Overtime payments shall be as specified in Resolution 1238 for the employment of craft concerned.

Amendment.

Supervisor Brown moved to amend resolution by changing rates set up by the Finance Committee's resolution so that same agrees with the findings of the Civil Service Commission; and further to add a provision "where the money in whole or in part to finance projects is furnished by the Federal Government, then that the Federal minimum shall apply, though higher than the minimum set up in the ordinance."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Two Lots for Bernal Heights Boulevard at \$200.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1371, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from W. H. Newell et al., to Lots 20 and 21 in Assessor's Block 5622, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932—Appropriation No. 170-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Refund of Duplicate Payment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1372, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$8.56 is hereby authorized paid to Dr. C. M. Taber out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded); same being refund of taxes paid in duplicate, and to be found in Vol. 5, page 131, line 8, of Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1933.

(Request of Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing Finance Committee and City Attorney to Consult on Recent Decision of Hon. Perry Johnson Re License Tax on Oil and Gasoline Stations, and to Report on New Ordinance.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Resolution No. 1373, as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee is authorized to consult with the City Attorney on the subject matter of the recent decision of the

Honorable Perry Johnson in the matter of Joseph E. Equi vs. City and County of San Francisco (license tax on oil and gasoline stations), and is authorized to consider and report on a new license ordinance based on the results of said conference.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Requesting Conference with Mayor Regarding the Reinstallation of the San Francisco Exhibit at the Chicago World's Exposition.

(Code No. 5.94)

Resolution No. 1374, as follows:

Whereas, the authorities formerly in charge of the Chicago World's Exposition have agreed with the officials of the City of Chicago that said exposition shall be kept open during a period of one more year; and

Whereas, San Francisco had a most creditable exhibit, to-wit, a Relief Map of the City and County of San Francisco, which received widespread approval and was the subject of tremendous interest during the exposition; and

Whereas, the said map has been reshipped to San Francisco and is now lodged under the direction of the Director of Real Estate; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco has as yet given no favorable consideration to the request that the exhibit be returned and reinstalled at the Chicago Exposition, and San Francisco may not be represented while our sister cities, particularly Los Angeles, will be well represented; and

Whereas, it is represented that the total cost of reshipment and reinstallation, as well as the rental expense, will not exceed the sum of five thousand dollars; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors requests his Honor the Mayor to have a conference with the authorized committee of the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Controller and the civic organizations involved, to the end that the San Francisco exhibit will be restored at the Chicago Exposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$16,400 Out of Emergency Reserve for Hospital Continuation.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 554, Ordinance No. 9.051124, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriations totaling \$16,400 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of various appropriations, Department of Public Health, for hospital continuation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, for hospital continuation the sums of \$600 to the credit of Appropriation 159 (Materials and Supplies, Central Office); \$4,500 to credit of Appropriation 164 (Materials and Supplies, Laguna Honda Home); \$5,000 to credit of Appropriation 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital); \$2,400 to credit of Appropriation 176 (Materials and Supplies, Emergency Hospitals); \$900 to credit of Appropriation 182 (Materials and

Supplies, Hassler Health Home); \$1,000 to credit of Appropriation 163 (Contractual Service, Laguna Honda Home); \$1,000 to credit of Appropriation 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital); \$250 to credit of Appropriation 175 (Contractual Service, Emergency Hospitals); \$750 to credit of Appropriation 181 (Contractual Service, Hassler Health Home).

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance submitted by the Controller and City Attorney was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Borrowing \$466,552 From the State.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 555, Ordinance No. 19.07114, as follows:

An ordinance to authorize the City and County of San Francisco to borrow the sum of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) from the State of California under provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and providing for the repayment of amount so borrowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars (\$466,552) is hereby made by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207, of the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the rules, regulations and conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of unemployment relief and administering relief work in the City and County of San Francisco for the period beginning January 1, 1934, or shortly thereafter, and ending June 30, 1934, or shortly thereafter.

Section 2. Said loan, if granted, in the amount of four hundred sixty-six thousand five hundred fifty-two dollars shall constitute a debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, and shall be secured by a note of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, in the words and figures, and executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of said Board, as follows:

\$466,552.

Date _____

The City and County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$466,552, in lawful money of the United States, in the following manner:

One-tenth of the principal of said sum on the 1st day of July, 1934, and an equal one-tenth thereof on the 1st day of July of each and every year thereafter until all of said sum is paid, together with interest on said principal sum at the rate of four and one-half (4½) per cent per annum from date until paid. Said interest to be payable with each installment of principal at the times herein set forth and if not so paid to be compounded as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933. Said installment payments on said note to reduce interest accordingly.

In addition to the amounts hereinbefore agreed to be paid to the State of California, the City and County agrees to pay to the State on or before the 1st day of July, 1943, any difference between the amount hereinbefore required to be paid and that amount which the State may be required to pay to liquidate so much of the bonded indebtedness

created by the State, pursuant to the provisions of the Relief Bond Act of 1933, as represents in principal and interest thereof the amount of the proceeds of said indebtedness allocated and used to effect the loan to said City and County for the repayment whereof this note is given. In determining the amount of said difference, there shall be credited in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, any premiums that may have been received by the State of California on the sale of any of the said bonds which may have been sold in order to effect the said loan to said City and County.

Should the City and County of San Francisco fail to make the payments hereinbefore required according to the tenor hereof, then, and in that event the officer or officers charged with the duty of ordering the making of payments, or of making payments, out of the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during the years hereafter referred to, as provided in the act creating the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or any other act providing that moneys be transferred or paid into that fund, shall in each year between the years 1938 to 1947, both inclusive, withhold from the moneys due to the City and County of San Francisco from said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during said years, an amount sufficient to pay one-tenth of the amount which may remain due and unpaid on the 1st day of July, 1938, and/or the 1st day of July of each year thereafter so long as there remains unpaid any of the amounts required to be paid hereunder, and shall apply the same to the amounts due as herein provided, all as provided in Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to include in its annual budget each year an amount sufficient to meet the annual payment to become due upon the above obligation, to the end that said obligation may be paid according to the tenor thereof, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to make due provision in each annual budget to meet said payments.

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute such note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$466,552) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator; provided that, whatever the amount, the same shall constitute a debt of the said City and County of San Francisco to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and be subject to the provisions thereof. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency ordinance and the Board of Supervisors, by the specific vote by which this ordinance is passed, to-wit: a vote of at least three-fourths ($\frac{3}{4}$) of all of the members of said Board, does hereby declare that an actual emergency does exist which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, said emergency being as follows:

That the amount of money which the City is authorized to borrow pursuant to this ordinance is necessary immediately for the preservation of the public health and safety of a large number of the residents

of the City and County and for the uninterrupted operation of a department of said City and County government.

Section 5. Ordinance No. 19.0711 and Ordinance No. 19.0712, heretofore enacted, are hereby repealed.

Section 6. This ordinance shall be effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Fixing Time for Hearing Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Corner Silver Avenue and Bay Shore Boulevard.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1375, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Silver avenue and Bay Shore boulevard, is hereby set for Monday, April 23, 1934, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Quitclaim Deed, Crab Fishermen's Protective Association.

(Code No. 12.1719)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1376, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain quitclaim deed dated January 17, 1934, from Crab Fishermen's Protective Association to that certain parcel of city-owned land situated on the south side of Jefferson street, 50 feet frontage by 137.5 feet in depth, 150 feet east of Jones street, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were made a Special Order for 3 p. m., April 23, 1934:

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Garbage Matters.

Consideration of report of Health Committee, Supervisor Roncovieri, chairman, dated March 19, 1934; also supplemental report dated March 22, 1934.

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1337, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise, or privilege, for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall

grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be delivered to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County, and designated as Assessor's Block No. 3914 (bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets), thereabouts, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That said grantee will file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an affidavit giving the completed cost of said incinerator before the incinerator is placed in active operation.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

That on the day the person to whom the Board of Supervisors awards the franchise will post a cashier's check of \$50,000 on a San Francisco bank, payable to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Said deposit given in evidence of good faith and as a guarantee that work on the erection of the incinerator will be commenced within thirty (30) days after contract is signed. The cashier's check of \$50,000 to be returned thirty (30) days after the incinerator has been in operation for at least eight (8) hours each day.

Be it, therefore, Resolved, That bids be called for covering the erection of an incinerator that will reduce all rubbish delivered to the incinerator to an ash. Said bids to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on or before 3 p. m. on Monday, April 23, 1934. Contract for the incinerator to be let to the lowest bidder conforming to foregoing stipulations.

Report of Committee.

Report of Committee on Conference with Bay View Sanitary District as to dumping in San Mateo County at Mills Field and Bayshore.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Inform Federal Authorities That Contract Forms Re Public Improvements Are Approved.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney be authorized and directed to inform the Federal authorities that the contract forms re public improvements are satisfactory and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Breyer, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

City Attorney's Opinion on Supervisor Uhl's Ordinance Re Obtaining Information of Employees on Matters Not Provided by Charter as Qualification for Employment.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney advising on proposed ordinance presented by Supervisor Uhl providing that municipal employees be required to file affidavit of residence and upon the question of obtaining information of said employees on matters not provided by Charter as a qualification for employment.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

City Attorney's Opinion—Inspection of Complaints.

Also, communication from City Attorney in re inspection of complaints made to the office of the Mayor.

Copies ordered sent to members.

Proposed Enforcement of Law Against Standing in Aisles, Doorways, Etc., in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors During Meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri addressed to the President of the Board of Supervisors requesting that Fire Ordinance No. 862 and Fire Ordinance No. 1144, prohibiting the obstruction of passageways, aisles, etc., of any public hall be invoked against crowding in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors at the meetings thereof.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Demands of Civic Center Mass Meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Chairman of Mass Meeting held in Civic Center March 31, 1934, protesting the amount of relief allotted for the Unemployed of San Francisco, and presenting certain demands as to employment at Union Labor Wages and a minimum of \$10 per week for Unemployed workers, and \$3 additional for each dependent until such time as the Unemployment Insurance Bill is passed by Congress. Also, demanding that the Supervisors go on record memorializing Congress for the continuation of CWA jobs or similar projects and go on record as favoring withdrawal of all cuts in Veterans' Compensation and disability allowance, and that cash bonus be immediately paid without any tax charge.

Referred to Joint Finance and Welfare Committee.

Proposed New Juvenile Detention Home.

Supervisor Uhl presented communication from Welfare Council composed of group of allied women's organizations reaffirming its stand on the importance and necessity of a new juvenile detention home in San Francisco, and urging provision be made for same in forthcoming budget.

Referred to Finance Committee for budget consideration.

Curtailment of Personnel in Post Office Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Congressman Richard J. Welch acknowledging receipt of resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors with reference to curtailment of personnel in Post Office Department in San Francisco, and stating that he will bring the matter to the attention of the Postmaster-General in the hope that a remedy may be found.

Read and ordered filed.

Leave of Absence—Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 12, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing April 20, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

This letter has been occasioned for the reason that Mr. Katz did not avail himself of the permission granted to him by your Board on April 2d, Resolution 1361.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1379, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Phil C. Katz, Public Administrator, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing April 20, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence—Mrs. Sigmund Stern, Member Playground Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 14, 1934.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of one month, commencing April 16th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1380, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing April 16, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence—John P. Murphy, Member Recreation Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., April 16, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable John P. Murphy, member of the Recreation Commission, to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing April 17, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1381, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John P. Murphy, member of the Recreation Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing April 17, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Deed From John O. Gantner.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1382, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from J. O. Gantner to Lots 4 and 5, Assessor's Block 1573, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$8,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 2-244.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Proposed Rescinding of Resolution Endorsing Initiative Constitutional Amendment on Liquor Control.

Supervisor Colman: Two weeks ago today I was absent, and the Board adopted a resolution approving the constitutional amendment on the liquor question introduced by Supervisors Gallagher and McSheehy. Supervisor Havenner at that time moved for reconsideration and Supervisors Roncovieri and Havenner, having voted against the endorsement of the resolution, the matter was reconsidered on last Monday and the vote for reconsideration was five to five.

The vote for reconsideration: Ayes: Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Shannon, Brown and myself voted in favor of reconsideration. But Supervisor Roncovieri was excused and was not present. It is my opinion that the closeness of the vote and the importance of the issue makes me feel that the will of the Board may not have been carried out in the adoption of our approval of this constitutional amendment, and, accordingly, I am going to move, if I receive a second, that this Board of Supervisors rescind its action in approving constitutional amendment presented by the President of the Board.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Shannon.

* * * * *

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher: I rise to a point of order, Mr. Shannon. My point of order is that the gentleman is presenting on roll call a motion to rescind an action taken by this Board and the rules of the Board provide that under roll call may be presented matters not on the calendar, not considered in committee and nothing else.

* * * * *

The President: A point of order has been raised * * *

I rule that the point of order is ~~now~~ well taken and the matter is properly before you.

Supervisor Gallagher: I appeal from the decision of the Chair. Seconded by Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Ratto was appointed to put the question.

The Clerk: The ruling of the Chair has been appealed from and the question is shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board. A vote aye sustains the Chair, and a vote no to the contrary.

Supervisor Ratto: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

Supervisor Gallagher, addressing himself to the motion, proceeded to read from page 169, Section 37 of Roberts' Rules of Order, and was interrupted by a point of order from Supervisor Colman, who maintained that what Supervisor Gallagher was reading had nothing to do with the question before the house.

* * * * *

Supervisor Colman: I rise to a point of order that the gentleman is entitled to ten minutes, and that time has already been taken on the floor. He has had the full ten minutes. I make that my point of order.

Supervisor Uhl: I move that the time be extended. Seconded by Supervisor Schmidt.

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Supervisor Gallagher: I arise to a point of order that this assembly has no right not to extend to me time in which to explain my position and the ~~rule~~ of ten minutes is not binding on appeal.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher read Rule 37: "On any question or points of order not embraced in these rules, the Board shall be governed by the rule contained in Roberts' Rules of Order." It says a member shall be given the opportunity to state his reasons for appeal. I haven't been given that yet.

Supervisor Havenner: I move the previous question, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri.

Supervisor Gallagher: What is the previous question?

The Clerk: The appeal from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Gallagher: I rise to a question of personal privilege. I submit that I should be given time to find the rule which does not prescribe my time. I cannot find it and while trying to find it they are trying to choke me off.

Supervisor Colman: No. What is being done here is an attempt to block the will of the majority of this Board by filibustering, something unheard on this Board before, and on a question which can be deter-

mined very quickly, and the only question is, is the motion to be rescinded.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will close for the time being on this appeal. My reasons for appealing are as stated in the book, this particular motion notice should have been given and it should require two-thirds vote, and this language is conjunctive and cannot be read in any other way.

* * * * *

Supervisor Hayden: I call for the previous question.

The Clerk: Is there any ^{any} opposition to putting the previous question. (No response.)

The Clerk: The question is, an appeal has been taken from a decision of the Chair, shall the decision of the Chair remain the decision of the Board? A vote aye sustains the Chair, a vote no overrules.

Chair sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Excused—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Havenner: I move the previous question.

The Chair: I will rule the previous question out of order.

Supervisor Hayden: I appeal from the decision of the Chair.

The Chair then proceeded to explain his position.

Supervisor Ratto was appointed to put the question.

The appeal before the Board is the decision of the President, shall it be sustained?

* * * * *

Supervisor Schmidt: It being time for adjournment, Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn.

President McSheehy: I second the motion. *Carried.*

Thereupon Supervisor Gallagher started to walk from the chambers. I call your attention to the fact that I have been excused and I cannot vote now. You can't vote to rescind until the whole Board is present.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to extend the time of adjournment until seven o'clock.

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—5

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 23, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.


Monday, April 23, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 23, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 23, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Rato, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 16, 1934, was considered read and approved.

Rescinding of Resolution Endorsing Initiative Constitutional Amendment on Liquor Control.

Supervisor Shannon raised the point of order that the question of rescinding of the resolution endorsing initiative State constitutional amendment on liquor control was the first order of business for this day's meeting since the discussion and action thereon was not completed when the hour for recess arrived at last meeting.

The Chair: Point of order well taken and *so ordered*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*:

Hearing of Appeal—Silver Avenue and Bay Shore Boulevard.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Silver avenue and Bay Shore boulevard, set for 2 p. m. this day.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m.*:

Hearing Street Work Projects Less Than \$1,000.

April 9, 1934—Supervisor Brown moved that consideration of report on street work projects less than \$1,000 be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, April 23, 1934, and that Mr. Worden and Mr. McKenzie be invited to attend.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**Action Deferred.**

The following matters were taken up and *made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m., Wednesday, April 25, 1934:*

GARBAGE MATTERS.

Consideration of report of Health Committee, Supervisor Roncovieri, chairman, dated March 19, 1934; also supplemental report dated March 22, 1934.

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1337, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise, or privilege, for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be delivered to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County, and designated as Assessor's Block No. 3914 (bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets), thereabouts, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That said grantee will file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an affidavit giving the completed cost of said incinerator before the incinerator is placed in active operation.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

That on the day the person to whom the Board of Supervisors awards the franchise will post a cashier's check of \$50,000 on a San Francisco bank, payable to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Said deposit given in evidence of good faith and as a guarantee that work on the erection of the incinerator will be commenced within thirty (30) days after contract is signed. The cashier's check of \$50,000 to be returned thirty (30) days after the incinerator has been in operation for at least eight (8) hours each day.

Be it, therefore, Resolved, That bids be called for covering the erec-

tion of an incinerator that will reduce all rubbish delivered to the incinerator to an ash. Said bids to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on or before 3 p. m. on Monday, April 23, 1934. Contract for the incinerator to be let to the lowest bidder conforming to foregoing stipulations.

Report of Committee.

Report of Committee on Conference with Bay View Sanitary District as to dumping in San Mateo County at Mills Field and Bayshore.

Calling for Bids for Disposal of Garbage.

(Code No. 17.08)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnaces and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last

day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County consisting of the block bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work on any of the three propositions, the charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of

California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all city and county taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings.

Estimated cost of equipment.

Estimated amount of interest during construction.

Estimated cost of financing.

Estimated annual interest on investment.

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund.

Estimated cost for bond premium, taxes and all insurance.

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purpose:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same as urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the

best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the state inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the — day of —, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that

in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

MAYOR'S VETO.

Public Convenience Ordinance.

The following matter was taken up:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

April 11, 1934.

To the Honorable,
The Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am returning herewith, disapproved by me, Ordinance No. 11.0116 amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.0111, commonly known as the "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

My reasons for disapproving this ordinance are substantially as follows: On February 24, 1932, Ordinance No. 11.0111 (inadvertently designated in the ordinance returned herewith as Ordinance No. 11.01111) was approved by me, in which, among other things, it was provided that, in lieu of the filing with the Police Commission of any bond or policy of insurance, insuring the public against loss or damage that might result to any person or property from the operation of any of the vehicles referred to in such ordinance, any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire mentioned in said ordinance would have the right to provide a reserve fund which was to be created by the deposit monthly in some recognized financial institution of the sum of \$800, until such fund should reach the sum of \$25,000, after which it should be maintained at such figure. My information is that the only association that took advantage of those provisions of the ordinance authorizing the creation and maintenance of such fund was the San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association. at the present time consisting of approximately 129 members, each of whom is operating a motor vehicle for hire upon the public streets of San Francisco.

On August 22, 1933, Ordinance No. 11.0111 was approved by me, which amended ordinance above referred to, in which it was provided: "that any person or organization of owners of vehicles for hire as specified in this ordinance, which shows a cash reserve on the first of August, 1933, of \$10,000, and thereafter shows a monthly increase of \$500 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter, the cash reserve of said organizations in the sum of \$25,000 shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."

At the time of the approval of this last named ordinance, the said reserve fund of said San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association amounted to \$23,600. Immediately after the approval of said ordinance, said association withdrew from such reserve fund the sum of \$13,600, leaving intact therein the sum of but \$10,000. Thereafter the association continued to pay into said reserve fund the sum of \$500 per month. At the present time the said reserve fund amounts to \$14,000.

In the proposed ordinance returned herewith it is provided that:

"any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire as specified in this ordinance shall show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show no increase in said sum until June 15, 1935, and after which time show an increase of \$500 beginning on July 15, 1935, and each month thereafter until the sum of \$25,000 is accumulated, shall be deemed a compliance of the provisions of this ordinance."

The effect of this proposed ordinance, if approved, would be to

grant to said association a moratorium of one year; viz: from June 15, 1934 to June 15, 1935, during which period no sum whatever need be added by it to said reserve fund.

After the passage of said ordinance, the Board of Police Commissioners called my attention to the fact that, in its judgment, the people of San Francisco would be seriously prejudiced by its approval, its objection to such approval being based upon the ground that the sum of \$15,000 would not adequately accord them protection against possible injury suffered from motor vehicles operated and maintained by members of the association. In this connection, the Board of Police Commissioners pointed out that one or two serious accidents might exhaust the entire fund, thereby depriving persons who might be thereafter injured by the operation of these vehicles, of any financial protection.

A few days ago a hearing was had in my office with respect to the merits of the proposed ordinance, at which were present representatives of the Board of Police Commissioners and of the San Francisco Cab Owners Protective Association. During this hearing it developed that the \$13,600 withdrawn from said fund by said association as above indicated, was so withdrawn for the purpose of paying damage claims then existing against the members of said association. The amount remaining in the fund after the withdrawal was less than the amount withdrawn to satisfy said claims. In the event that a situation should again arise requiring the withdrawal of a comparable sum it must be apparent that practically nothing would be left in the fund to meet claims thereafter accruing.

I have just been informed by the Board of Police Commissioners that at a conference held between it and the representatives of said association since the hearing in my office, it was stated by such representatives that in lieu of said proposed moratorium and the existing requirement compelling the monthly payment of \$500, the association would be willing to make a monthly payment of \$400 until the total sum of \$25,000 is deposited.

In my opinion the moratorium provided for in said proposed ordinance, if it becomes effective, may result in serious prejudice to the people of San Francisco. It is also my opinion that the interests of the people of this city require that monthly payments to said fund be continued in some substantial amount until the aggregate deposit reaches a sum which will insure them adequate protection. The inadequacy of such financial protection, to some extent, is made manifest by other provisions of the existing ordinance where owners of vehicles not members of any such organization are required to maintain in effect a bond or policy of insurance protecting the public from injury in the following sums, viz:

For the operation of one motor vehicle.....	\$ 10,500
For more than one and less than six motor vehicles..	25,000
For more than five and less than twenty-one motor vehicles	50,000
For more than twenty-one and less than sixty-one motor vehicles	75,000
For more than sixty and less than one hundred motor vehicles	100,000
For more than one hundred motor vehicles	125,000

If the within ordinance becomes a law, the only security to the public against injury provided by 129 operators of motor vehicles will be \$15,000, which sum need not be augmented until after June 15, 1935, no logical reason can exist why such increase should not be insisted upon at the present time.

In conclusion may I add that it is my belief that should you conclude to amend the first sentence of paragraph B, section 6, to read as hereinbelow set up, that it would meet with the approval of all concerned:

(b) "Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show a monthly increase of \$400 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued, and at all times thereafter the cash reserves in said organizations in the sum of \$25,000 shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."

The balance of said paragraph to remain as is.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI

Mayor.

Veto Sustained.

The question being put: "Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor," the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Grades on Gorham Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 552, Ordinance No. 12.072215, as follows:

Establishing grades on Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works filed March 22, 1934.

Gorham Street.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 103.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 450 feet southeasterly from San Jose avenue, 105.50 feet.

(Last described point being point of intersection of an 80-foot vertical curve.)

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 150 feet southeasterly from San Jose avenue, 151.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at San Jose avenue easterly line, 176.00 feet.

On Gorham street between Cayuga and San Jose avenues be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Reducing Sidewalk Widths on Gorham Street Between Cayuga and San Jose Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0731)

Also, Bill No. 553, Ordinance No. 12.073123, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding a new section, to be numbered 1137.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office March 22, 1934, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered 1137, to read as follows:

Section 1137. The width of sidewalks on Gorham street between Cayuga avenue and San Jose avenue shall be seven (7) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Exchange of Sale of City-Owned Lands.

(Code No. 12.1749)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Resolution No. 1378, as follows:

Resolved, That an immediate survey be made of lands now owned by the city which might advantageously be exchanged or sold in order to bring about an exchange for lands required for recreation or other purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing the Mayor to Execute All Agreements with State Emergency Relief Administration Re Materials and Equipment, Etc.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1386, as follows:

Whereas, the State Emergency Relief Administration is, for the purpose of furnishing employment to various persons who are now obtaining relief from the City and County of San Francisco, about to undertake the doing and performing of certain work on public projects belonging to the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, from time to time it will be necessary for the City and County of San Francisco to enter into agreements with said State Emergency Relief Administration as to the extent to which said City and County will furnish materials and equipment to be used in and about the said work on said various projects, and also as to the conditions under which said work will be done and performed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby authorized to agree with the said State Emergency Relief Administration as to the particular kind and amount of materials and equipment which will be furnished to the said State Emergency Relief Administration upon any project in which the City and County of San Francisco is interested and upon which work is to be done under the direction of said State Emergency Relief Administration, and to agree on behalf of the said City and County upon the terms and conditions under which said work shall be done and performed, it being understood that no agreement shall be entered

into involving the expenditure of moneys belonging to the City and County of San Francisco unless the Controller first certifies that the funds are available and unencumbered from funds appropriated by this Board of Supervisors for relief purposes or from other funds appropriated to enable the carrying on of said work by said State Emergency Relief Administration; be it

Further Resolved, That said Angelo J. Rossi, as Mayor as aforesaid, be and he is hereby given power and authority to execute any and all agreements in regard to the subject matter hereof which may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending Salary Ordinance—Section 53—Board of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 559, Ordinance No. 9.05359, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 53 of Ordinance 9.05339 (the Annual Salary Ordinance) by reducing the number of employments under item 1 by one and adding item 5½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend section 53 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 250.
2	8	B222	General Clerk	200.
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200.
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	325.
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185.
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185.

Explanatory.

Item 1 formerly enumerated 2 General Clerk positions at \$250. One of these is now vacated by reason of the retirement of the former occupant. It is intended that in its place there be established one General Clerk-Stenographer's position at \$150.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Property.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1385, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from E. T. Harvey, et al., to Lot 31 in Assessor's Block 5625, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932 Appropriation No. 170-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Continuation of Employment of Artists Under NRA.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Finance and Public Welfare.

Resolution No. 1384, as follows:

Whereas, announcement has been made that the CWA Public Works Arts projects will be curtailed, thus depriving unemployed artists, writers and cultural workers of employment and subsistence; therefore

Resolved, That the President and the Congress of the United States are respectfully petitioned and urged to make provision for the employment of local artists on Federal projects and under Public Works Administration; that all unfinished work on the Public Works of Art projects be completed; that EEP quotas be increased both as to projects and employments.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by
Eliminating Third Street as an Arterial Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 557, Ordinance No. 11.029, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by eliminating Third street as an arterial street.*

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets.* The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemanay boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemanay boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary boulevard from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary boulevard to the Great Highway;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden

Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary boulevard to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue South from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue South from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week. Copies to members:*

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

(Code No. 11.0111)

Bill No. 558, Ordinance No. 11.01117, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of license and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, on June 15, 1934, and providing for a monthly increase of \$400 thereafter, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *"Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show a monthly increase of \$400 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserve in said organizations in the sum of \$25,000, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."*

Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company, approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damages to persons arising out of the actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall thereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

President to Appoint Committee of Three Supervisors to Attend Convention of County Supervisors, Santa Cruz, May 23, 24 and 25, 1934.

(Code No. 5.93)

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher.

Resolution No. 1383, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of three Supervisors to represent the Board at the Twenty-fourth Annual Convention of the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California at Santa Cruz, May 23, 24 and 25, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Committee.

Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon, Roncovieri were appointed to attend.

Leave of Absence—Dr. Adolph Schmidt, Supervisor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 17, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me for a leave of absence for Dr. Adolph Schmidt, member of your Board, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of five days, commencing April 25.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1370, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Adolph Schmidt, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing April 25, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Relative to Absence of Superintendent of Relief From Meeting of the Board.

Supervisor Shannon presented communication from C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, correcting impression that he left meeting of the Board of Supervisors during hearing on relief matters before Dr. Lane, pastor of the Ingleside Presbyterian Church, was heard, and for which he was denounced by Supervisor Uhl.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Playground Requested for Longfellow School District.

Supervisor Ratto presented communication from Longfellow-Mt. Vernon Improvement Club transmitting resolution of said organization relative to the securing of an appropriation in the budget to meet present requirements of Recreation Commission and to provide means for purchasing ground for playground purposes in the Longfellow-Mt. Vernon School District.

Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

Junipero Serra Day.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from Chas. R. Boden, transmitting copies of Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 41, setting aside August 28, 1934, as Junipero Serra Day, and Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 42, establishing 1934 as Junipero Serra Year; also, resolution of Pacific Parlor No. 10, N. S. G. W., petitioning Postmaster General to issue commemorative stamp bearing likeness of Junipero Serra.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Resolutions of Supervisors Making Recommendation to Public Utilities Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney re resolutions of Board of Supervisors making recommendations to the Public Utilities Commission with particular reference to proposed abandonment of Balboa Reservoir site, and the development of said property for home building purposes.

Ordered *filed*.

Street Cleaning.

Supervisor Brown proposed the following amendments to Ordinance No. 9019 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance fixing and establishing a five-day working week and a monthly basis for computing the compensation of certain classes of employees of the City and County of San Francisco heretofore paid on a per diem basis, and regulating compensation for emergency overtime work."

The object being to so arrange working schedules as to permit cleaning of downtown streets on Saturdays and Sundays.

Supervisor Brown's amendments to Ordinance No. 9019 (New Series), relative to fixing five-day working week and monthly basis for computing compensation for employees of the City:

Strike out line 2, "comprising Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday of each week," in Section 2.

Section 2, line 16, strike out the words "Saturdays and,".

Section 2, line 22, insert the words "and one other day each week" after the word "Christmas."

Section 2, line 30, strike out the word "Saturdays" and after the

word "holidays" in the 32nd line, insert same wording as above "and one other day each week."

Section 3, line 10, strike out the word "Saturdays."

Referred to Streets Committee.

Resolution Re Meeting in Dreamland Auditorium.

Supervisor Brown: Now, Mr. Chairman, I have here a resolution that I desire to present, but before the Clerk reads it I want to make a brief statement.

On Thursday evening, last, at Dreamland Auditorium, a meeting was held, in the City and County of San Francisco, and I would like to read to you gentlemen excerpts from the newspapers of the following day. The Examiner says:

"Uhl Meeting Talks, 'March on City Hall.'

"Harvey M. Toy suggests demonstration to force Economies, and 'Carry a Brick.'

"And as a means of obtaining the demands, Harvey M. Toy, prominent hotelman and a director of the League, suggested to the 3500 persons present that they march on to the City Hall.

" 'I stand ready to lead it,' said Toy, 'and take a brick in your pocket! It's certain that we could get 20,000 citizens to join with us in such a march.'"

Here is what the Chronicle says:

"Toy, in urging the march on the City Hall, said the citizens should take along a brick if the city officials refused to heed the demands for economy."

One more, The San Francisco News of Saturday states as follows:

"A march on the City Hall to force a reduction in taxes with bricks and by wringing necks if necessary was proposed to an audience of approximately 2500 at Dreamland Auditorium Thursday night by Harvey M. Toy, hotelman and former state official."

"Dangerous Demagoguery.

"Our first inclination was to laugh at Harvey Toy's incitation to mob violence when, at a rally of Adolph Uhl's Good Government League in Dreamland Rink, he offered to lead 20,000 people to the City Hall and to tear down his own hotel building to provide them with bricks.

"And then we remembered that Germany laughed at Adolph Hitler for nearly ten years, only in the end to see Hitler and his organized bullies take command of the country and force it back under the tyranny and persecution of the Dark Ages.

"Mr. Toy's reckless demagoguery is a direct encouragement to every dissatisfied faction and to every crackpot inclined to take matters into his own hands and resort to violence. It will cause rejoicing in the Communist camp. And the accounts of his speech going out to the country will give an entirely false and unjust impression of conditions in this city.

"This spirit of violence is the spirit of Fascism. It is the greatest danger that faces our country and is far more serious than the feeble threat of Communism. Deliberately to stir it up at this time is reprehensible in the extreme.

"Mr. Toy and his associates should be effectually rebuked by the responsible spokesmen of San Francisco's citizenry. They must be made to understand that these are not the methods by which they can fulfill their ambition to control our municipal government."

Now, when I first read about this meeting—

Supervisor Hayden (interrupting): Might I add one more, Supervisor Brown?

Supervisor Brown: I would just as soon not read it now. When I first read of this meeting I was in sympathy with its objects, and

it is certainly a fine part of American life that people should be permitted to meet and discuss in all sobriety, courses of action concerning public policies. The express purpose of that meeting was to effect, if possible, a reduction of taxes, certainly something we are all sympathetic towards. However, when I read these articles, and realized that these newspapers had published these articles, which go to every part of the State of California, indeed, to every part of the United States, and will be quoted in every other part of the civilized world where such matters are discussed, this question of a widely known citizen advocating violence is not only ill-advised and un-American, but it is approaching anarchy. This unfortunate publicity to our city will do more to damage potential industrial development in our city than any other thing I can think of. The people who made these statements, and who incited people at the meeting to act in violence, are enemies of San Francisco. No word can be too strong to describe the fearful effect this will have upon, not only those who read about it in other parts of the country, but upon the various members of our own population.

They may claim that a brick is a figure of speech, but I say to you gentlemen a brick is not a figure of speech, it is a very concrete, forcible argument. Have you ever seen a brick? I will show you one. (Thereupon Supervisor Brown produced two bricks and displayed them upon his desk.)

This Board of Supervisors is most sympathetic to any group of San Francisco citizens who come before us in a proper manner and ask for proper legislation for the reduction of taxes, or for the solving of any other problem that confronts our citizenry. The privilege of our floor has never been refused to any citizen when appealed for in the proper manner, nor will it be in the future if I have anything to say about it, but when they have meetings and incite violence and marching on the City Hall with bricks, I think we should take notice of it, and when they do not appear in the proper way.

Now, the question is, gentlemen, what shall we do with this situation? The bricks are here (tossing the bricks out upon the floor) at the City Hall. I have brought them here to illustrate my point. These bricks are in friendly hands at the present time. I will ask the Clerk to read the resolution.

(Code No. 2.061)

Resolution No. 1388, as follows:

Whereas, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that on Thursday evening, April 19, 1934, there was a public meeting held in Dreamland Auditorium in San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is reported that during the course of that meeting certain parties advocated to the audience that the taxpayers of San Francisco march to the City Hall in force, and that they arm themselves with brick-bats for such march; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors go on record as condemning such suggestion as being un-American, radical and dangerous in the extreme; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors request the District Attorney to make an investigation of the reported incidents to ascertain whether they fall within the provisions of Section 31, Sections 403 to 408, inclusive, of the Penal Code, State of California, and that if such is the case that the District Attorney be requested to take such further action as he may deem necessary.

Referred to Judiciary and Welfare Committees.

City Attorney to Report on Sanitary District at Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Brown requested that the City Attorney investigate the formation of a sanitary district at Mills Field and report back to the Board at its next meeting as to the requirements for the formation of

such a district. Also, what is the effect where a district involves more than one county—must the district be approved by both Boards of Supervisors, or is one Board of Supervisors sufficient?

So ordered.

City Attorney's Opinion Re Investigation of Complaints, Mayor's Office.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the City Attorney's opinion re investigation of complaints, Mayor's office, be spread in full in the Journal of Proceedings.

So ordered.

Reduction of Gas Rates.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the City Attorney, himself, or his representative, appear before the Board Monday, April 30, 1934, at 5 p. m., to explain the status of action before the State Railroad Commission re reduction of gas rates ordered by that commission.

So ordered.

Gas Tax Allotments.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that proposed allotment of one-fourth cent from gas tax money for widening Nineteenth avenue ties up this one-fourth cent for period of nearly six years. In connection therewith, he moved that the Streets Committee address itself to the proposed expenditure of this money and determine whether this money should be tied up for six years on that project or whether it should be spread in units in other directions.

Referred to Joint Finance and Streets Committee.

Intent of Section 22 of the Charter.

Communication from Hon. L. F. Byington, President of Board of Freeholders, re Section 22 of the Charter, was read by Clerk. Copies were ordered to be sent to all members of the Board.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the communication be referred to Joint Judiciary and Public Welfare Committee for consideration at its meeting May 3, 1934.

So ordered.

McLaren Park.

Supervisor Uhl requested information concerning McLaren Park, whether property there will be used and just what the situation is there. Asks that information from Mr. Phillips.

So ordered.

Duboce Tunnel Assessments.

Supervisor Uhl requested from City Engineer's office information as to condition of Duboce Tunnel assessments and whether assessments have been refunded.

So ordered.

Delay in Remodeling School House Buildings.

Supervisor Uhl requested information from Board of Education as to reason for delay in repairing and remodeling school house buildings.

So ordered.

Value of Water Department Building.

Supervisor Uhl requested that Mr. Phillips' statement as to value of Water Department building at 425 Mason street.

So ordered.

Statement From Controller of Sigmund Stern Memorial.

Supervisor Uhl requested information as to amount of money ex-

pending and to be expended on Sigmund Stern Memorial and requested that the Controller furnish statement thereon.

So ordered.

Death of Honorable George H. Cabaniss, Judge of Superior Court.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1391, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors deeply regrets the passing of the Honorable George H. Cabaniss, Judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, and does hereby express its bereavement at the loss of so devoted a citizen who served the City faithfully and well for more than 40 years.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

April 24, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Jesse Colman, Supervisor, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of ten days, beginning April 25.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1394, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing April 25, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Proposed Removal of U. S. Immigration Station at Angel Island.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1390, as follows:

Whereas, a committee consisting of George W. Fitch, Industrial Commissioner of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Horace H. Allen, past president of the Down Town Association, instructed by their respective organizations to investigate the proposed removal of the United States Immigration Station at Angel Island to the San Francisco mainland, together with James B. McSheehy, President of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, have inspected the present and prospective site together with the possibilities and advantages for substituting for said station a suitable building located on the mainland in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, location of the headquarters of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service on the mainland in the City and County of San Francisco would be of incalculable advantage and convenience to the general public, and would greatly facilitate and expedite the transaction of the official business of said service; and

Whereas, location of said headquarters on the mainland in the City

and County of San Francisco would also serve to eliminate the present congested condition of the various separate offices of the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service at this port and would further the expeditious handling of the official business of that service, which business at this port is of great volume and of great importance to the community at large and to the City and County of San Francisco and the surrounding territory; and

Whereas, the removal of said headquarters to the City and County of San Francisco would eliminate the hazard to human lives, to government property and to invaluable government records which now exists because the present remote location and the inflammable character of the wooden buildings which comprise the present immigration station present grave risk of calamity by fire; and

Whereas, the removal of said headquarters to the City and County of San Francisco would also result in a saving to the government in the expenses of operation of the immigration and naturalization service at this port of at least \$65,000 annually; and

Whereas, land owned by the United States government in the army reservation known as the Presidio in the City and County of San Francisco and west of Lyon street is excellently suited to the requirements of the proposed new immigration building and the use of such government-owned land as the site of said proposed new building would result in a major saving to the government, which under present conditions is a consideration of great importance; and

Whereas, said proposed new building if erected within the U. S. Army Reservation at the Presidio in the City and County of San Francisco would in time of need serve to provide additional advantages to the U. S. Army by reason of the facilities which would be provided in said proposed new building and which could be made available for use by the U. S. Army whenever circumstances would require; and

Whereas, the erection of this building would mean an expenditure of one million dollars and would give employment to a large number of men for at least one year; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby endorses the removal of the United States Immigration Station from Angel Island to the Presidio; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to Senators Hiram W. Johnson and William Gibbs McAdoo, and Congressman Richard J. Welch and Congresswoman Florence P. Kahn, and to Col. D. W. MacCormack, Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization, Labor Department, Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Designating 1934 as "Serra Year."

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1389, as follows:

Whereas, during 1934 there will be observed throughout the State of California and the West the 150th anniversary of the death of Father Junipero Serra, founder of the California Missions; and

Whereas, in recognition of this fact and in appreciation of the great work done by Father Serra and his associates in bringing civilization to the west coast of the United States, the California Legislature has decreed that the year of 1934 shall be known officially as "Serra Year"; and

Whereas, a movement has been started by patriotic, civic and his-

torical societies of California to petition the Government of the United States, through the Honorable James A. Farley, Postmaster-General, to issue a special commemorative stamp bearing the likeness of Junipero Serra to be distributed throughout the nation during 1934; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby approve this most praiseworthy movement to thus secure recognition of Father Junipero Serra, and does hereby petition the Honorable James A. Farley, Postmaster-General of the United States of America, to cause to be issued such a commemorative stamp as is described in this resolution; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the Postmaster-General, and to the members of the California delegation in the Congress of the United States.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Board of Supervisors Memorializes Congress to Pass Bill Providing for Immediate Payment of Veterans' Bonus.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1393, as follows:

Whereas, legislation is now pending in Congress, designed to effect an immediate payment of the balance of the so-called bonus awarded to the veterans of the World War; and

Whereas, it is highly desirable in this period of acute economic stress to execute every possible plan for the increased circulation of money; and

Whereas, the government is intent upon a program for the expenditure of moneys, which will lend an impetus to economic recovery; and

Whereas, the debts incurred by the United States in the conduct of the World War, as for materials and supplies and the labor incidental in producing them, have been settled, leaving unpaid only those who at great sacrifice, physically and materially, were actually engaged in the naval or military service; and

Whereas, many veterans of the World War are in dire financial circumstances and are being pressed by their creditors; and

Whereas, the great majority of veterans have been required to borrow upon their adjusted compensation certificates and are unable to repay either principal or interest; and

Whereas, unless the due date of these certificates is exhalted, the interest will consume practically all of the balance owing upon them; and

Whereas, the immediate payment of the bonus would redound to the economic welfare of the country because of the fact that most, if not all, of this money would immediately find its way into circulation through many and diversified channels; and

Whereas, the obligation has been assumed by the Federal Government and is to be paid at a future date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Congress and does urge the immediate passage of a bill, having for its purpose the immediate redemption of adjusted compensation certificates; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the California delegation in Congress, with a request for their support in the matter; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to President Roosevelt, Vice-President Garner and Speaker Rainey of the House of

Representatives, with a request for their favorable consideration in the premises.

Referred to the Public Welfare Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:35 p. m., took a recess until Wednesday, April 25, 1934, at 2 p. m., when the question of garbage disposal will be taken up.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 30, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Wednesday, April 25, 1934

Monday, April 30, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 25, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, April 25, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess April 23, 1934, for the purpose of considering matters relating to disposal of city's garbage.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Committee of the Whole.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the following matters:

Report of Health Committee on Garbage Disposal.

March 19, 1934.

The vote cast by the people in 1929 on the various propositions, dealing with garbage disposal, clearly shows a desire on their part for the disposal of garbage by incineration.

Herewith is a statement of the various proposals submitted to the people in 1929, and the vote which each received:

	Yes	No
1. Shall garbage be disposed of by incineration?....	42,570	33,291
2. Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the collection of garbage?....	13,102	58,002
3. Shall the City establish a municipally owned and operated system for the disposal of garbage?.....	13,266	55,756
4. Shall the City let a contract to a private individual or corporation whereby said individual or corporation would build an incinerator and dispose of the garbage?.....	22,163	46,622

A consideration of the vote cast on the various proposals shows that the people indicated by a majority of about 9,000 that they favored incineration; but they then proceeded to close the door to every possible method of complying with their wishes, i. e., erection of an incinerator by the City and County, or the granting of a franchise for disposal by incineration to a private individual or corporation. In addition to the above, there appeared upon the ballot an *initiative* proposal, having for its purpose disposal by fill and cover.

This initiative proposal was defeated by a vote of 49,467 to 25,065.

From an analysis of the vote cast on the various proposals submitted it is evident that the voters were confused by the profusion of plans

submitted to them, and consequently no logical inference of their desires in the matter can be made from their vote, except that they prefer disposal of garbage by incineration rather than by any other method.

Despite the analysis of the vote above referred to, the City Attorney has informed your committee that the initiative ordinance of 1932 puts the onus of selecting a proper method of disposal squarely upon the Board of Supervisors for the reason that the initiative ordinance of 1932 is the people's last expression on the subject matter, and is therefore controlling.

It is undoubtedly a fact that no matter where or by what method it is proposed to dispose of the city's garbage there will be objection. Some of such opposition is unquestionably bona fide, and some of it is probably incited by those who have ulterior purposes to accomplish.

Our problem, then, is to determine upon a policy for the disposition of the garbage in the least objectionable manner without sacrificing efficiency or economy of operation. In the matter of efficiency it might be well to point out in the former specifications of the Engineer, the provision which states that no method of disposal will be approved by the Engineer which cannot be shown to have been in successful operation in a city of comparable size for at least two years. This provision is, no doubt, the result of our former unhappy experience with the \$1,000,000 incinerator failure at Army street. The Engineer will not accept the responsibility, and justifiably so, of staking his professional reputation on the ability of any untried incinerator of novel method of disposal to properly function.

Your Committee has given this subject much serious consideration. We have gone into every phase and angle of the subject which has occurred or been suggested to us. We have thoroughly considered every proposal which has been placed before us, and in order to determine a policy as to the best method of disposal for which bids should be sought, we set forth herewith a resume of the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods and plans submitted to us, or which are available:

1. DUMPING AT SEA:

This method is positively prohibited by the terms of the initiative ordinance of 1932.

2. FILL AND COVER IN SAN FRANCISCO:

The proposal to dump the city's garbage by the fill and cover method has been considered by your Health Committee. Your Committee has received two proposals for dumping in San Francisco, one from the Holland Company, and the other from L. Lange.

The advantages are:

- (a) Reclamation of lands for industrial purposes.
- (b) So far as fill and cover is concerned, Dr. Geiger informs your Committee that if the garbage is covered with two feet of clean dirt or rock, properly rolled and tamped under his own specifications, no health menace or rat infestation need be feared.
- (c) This method of disposal could be commenced at any time, and might be used in case of a great emergency.

The disadvantages or obstacles are:

- (a) A most strenuous protest from a considerable number of our citizens, and of practically the entire population of the Bay View District. They most emphatically do not want fill and cover in their neighborhood. They bitterly oppose it, and if necessary, it has been intimated they will go to Court to enjoin the City. No matter in what district it may be proposed to dispose of garbage by fill and cover your Committee is convinced that the residents of such district will un-

doubtedly receive the sympathetic support of the residents in other districts, in their opposition.

(b) Fill and cover is not a permanent method of disposal and at some time in the future, the City would again be confronted with the same problem.

(c) Unless carefully supervised, this method of disposal may result in a health menace.

3. FILL AND COVER OUTSIDE OF SAN FRANCISCO:

Your Committee has received three proposals to dump the garbage outside of the City and County of San Francisco, one from Island Transportation Company, represented by Capt. Benjamin Walters, 117 Buchanan street, San Francisco, proposing to dispose of the City's garbage on lands located in Contra Costa County; a second proposal from The General Refuse Disposal Company, (formerly the Dodge Steamship Company), 369 Pine street, San Francisco, to dump the garbage "outside of San Francisco County on land distant from San Francisco." No specific location is mentioned; and a third proposal to dispose of the city's garbage by fill and cover from The Culligan Transportation Company, 948 Market street, San Francisco, on lands situated in Napa and Solano Counties, and known as "Russ Island," comprising 1700 acres.

The advantages are:

(a) The opposition of the people of San Francisco to this plan, if any at all, would be negligible.

(b) Some of the contemplated areas available for this method of disposal are said to be sufficient to care for our garbage for at least 50 years.

(c) This method could be engaged in within a very short time.

The disadvantages or obstacles are:

(a) No assurance that a franchise would be granted by the County affected by the disposal of the garbage, or that if such franchise were granted by the Board of Supervisors of such County, that it would not be subsequently defeated or revoked by referendum.

(b) It has been asserted, and it is believed to be a fact, that the State Board of Harbor Commissioners will not grant an irrevocable permit for the use of its wharves or piers. Consequently there can be no assurance to the grantee of a franchise that he would not be required at considerable expense and inconvenience, to move from dock to dock or even be precluded entirely from the use of a dock, by the Harbor Commission, if the exigencies of the waterfront required it.

(c) This is not a permanent method of disposal.

(d) Unless carefully supervised this method is apt to result in a health menace to the residents of the county of disposal and might, if it became a nuisance, be enjoined.

(e) As evidence of the uncertainty of disposing of San Francisco's garbage in another County, your Committee calls your attention to the fact that the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County has passed ordinances prohibiting the disposal of garbage in San Mateo County, and further that the "Bay Shore Sanitary District" in San Mateo County, out of a clear sky, a few days ago, suddenly and peremptorily revoked the permit to fill and cover on lands of the Southern Pacific Company in Visitacion Valley, which lands are now being used for dumping the City's garbage.

The Bay Shore Sanitary District has given the scavengers peremptory notice to quit dumping in its district on March 24, 1934. Your Committee has requested the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Attorney, and the Engineer, Mr. Clyde E. Healy, to take such action as is proper to have the "Bay Shore Sanitary District" extend the life of the permit heretofore granted.

4. INCINERATION:

Your Committee has received proposals to build an incinerator from the following named persons:

Ludwig Incinerator—Untried and consequently not approved by City Engineer.

Martel Smokeless and Odorless Incinerator—Ditto.

Forni Manufacturing Co.—Ditto.

The advantages of incineration are:

(a) It is the opinion of your Committee, as a result of many exhaustive hearings on the subject of garbage disposal, that there will be less bona fide objection to disposal by incineration than by any other recognized method. Many of the leading improvement clubs and civic organizations have endorsed this method of disposal. We again call your attention to the vote cast in 1929, 42,570 in favor, and 33,291 against.

(b) It is the opinion of the Committee that incinerators are available now which will effectively and efficiently destroy the garbage with a minimum, if any, of objectionable odors, and with no hazard from a health standpoint.

(c) Incineration is a permanent method of garbage disposal, and assuming that the incinerator will function properly, this annoying problem will be definitely settled. There would then be no more complaints, protests or litigation to contend with upon the subject.

(d) A site has already been provided for the erection of the incinerator by the terms of the initiative ordinance, which, by the way, was passed by a substantial majority.

The disadvantages or obstacles to incineration are:

(a) The only real objections to disposal by incineration are the time element required for building, and the money to erect an incinerator that will reduce San Francisco's garbage as at present collected to an ash containing not more than one-half of one per cent of organic matter.

The people refused to approve bonds to erect an incinerator, and two serious efforts have been made to build an incinerator with private capital, but all attempts have failed.

The Committee has, however, received a definite proposal from the Scavengers Protective Association to the following effect:

If the initiative ordinance of 1932 can be amended so as to vest the power in the Board of Supervisors to award a franchise for both collection and disposal, the Scavengers Protective Association has stated it is ready and willing to bid upon such a proposal. The reason for the Scavengers Protective Association's insistence that both collection and disposal be incorporated in one franchise is obvious. It is claimed that there is no profit in the disposal of garbage at the price set up in the ordinance. Any deficit would, therefore, have to be made up on the collection end and this could only be assured by an amendment to the present initiative deleting the provision which states that where 20 per cent of the producers in a garbage district sign a petition in favor of an individual or corporation seeking a garbage collection permit from the Director of Health, it is mandatory upon the Director to grant such permit. The Scavengers Protective Association claims that it has had most unpleasant experiences with enterprising gentlemen who have taken advantage of this provision in the ordinance, and who have procured permits from the Board of Health with no real intention of engaging in the garbage collection business, but whose only purpose is to require the Scavengers Protective Association to purchase such permit. It is apparent that the Scavengers Protective Association could not afford to engage in disposal at a loss if it could not be assured of the collection at a profit.

In connection with this proposal, the Scavengers Protective Association, through its attorney, Mr. Sylvester Andriano, has assured the Committee that if they should be the successful bidders and granted

a franchise for both collection and disposal, they would immediately commence the construction of an incinerator of recognized ability to effectively destroy the garbage.

Some objection to such a proposal may be voiced upon the ground that such a franchise would constitute a monopoly. It may be said in refutation of the monopoly argument that so long as the initiative ordinance of 1932 prohibits any increase in the collection rates to the householders, no monopoly, in the commonly accepted sense of the term, can exist.

The Scavengers Protective Association is now contacting its members in an effort to determine their view with respect to a proposal for incineration separately, but the Committee holds little hope that such a proposal will be immediately forthcoming.

Your Health Committee believes that if the policy of incineration is adopted and carried into effect it will permanently and effectively solve the vexatious problem of disposal of the City's garbage.

By incineration the people and the authorities in Visitacion Valley and in San Mateo will be relieved of San Francisco's garbage by fill and cover or any other method of disposal, and the people in the various districts of San Francisco will have peace of mind and security that the garbage will not be inflicted upon them by fill and cover.

Therefore, your Health Committee recommends as follows:

1. That all proposals heretofore submitted for fill and cover in any place in San Francisco be denied and rejected.

2. That the specifications jointly drawn by the Director of Health and the Assistant City Engineer for the sanitary disposal of garbage by fill and cover in the Bay Shore Sanitary District be most rigidly enforced, to the complete satisfaction of the officials of the Bay Shore Sanitary District, and that in this connection all necessary inspectors be employed at the City's expense to enforce the regulations of the Health Officer and the Engineer. And, further, that every effort be made by the Chief Administrative Officer, the Director of Health, and the Engineer, to conciliate the officials of the Bay Shore Sanitary District to the end that their objections will be removed and that reasonable time shall be granted to the City and County of San Francisco to build an incinerator.

3. That, if conciliation should fail, the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to invoke all possible legal remedies which will permit the continuance of the present method of disposal at its present site, pending the erection of an incinerator.

4. That the Board of Supervisors adopt a policy of permanently disposing of the garbage of this City and County by incineration.

5. That the City Attorney be requested and authorized to prepare legislation to be presented to the voters at a special election to be held at the time of the primary election in August, 1934, which legislation shall provide for the following amendments to the initiative ordinance adopted by the voters in 1932:

- (a) Amend so as to provide that the Board of Supervisors shall have the power to grant an exclusive franchise for both collection and disposal of garbage by incineration; and

- (b) Amend so that the Public Utilities Commission and the Health Department of the City and County shall have joint authority and control over the collection and disposal of garbage under ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC HEALTH COMMITTEE.

By ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Chairman,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Member.

Supplemental Report and Recommendation on Garbage Disposal.

Since the filing of the foregoing report, the suggestion has been made that an invitation for bids would most probably evoke an offer from the manufacturers of a reliable incinerator to erect a plant and dispose of the City's garbage at a price well within the maximum prescribed in the Initiative Ordinance of 1932, and that such offer would not be predicated upon a franchise for both collection and disposal. If this be true, the necessity for an amendment to the Initiative Ordinance would be obviated. No considerable expense is involved in such a procedure and it is therefore recommended that the City Engineer and the City Attorney be authorized and requested to, as quickly as possible, prepare plans, specifications and legislation necessary to a call for bids for the disposal of the City's garbage by incineration.

It is the hope of the Committee that this plan of action may be consummated and the results made known at a very early date so that if the effort is futile, the Board will have ample time thereafter to submit to the electorate at the Primary Election in August of this year, a proposal to amend the Initiative Ordinance of 1932, to provide for the grant of a franchise for both collection and disposal.

The Board of Supervisors has long been charged with dilatory practices in connection with the garbage problem. In an endeavor to allay the fears of those adversely affected by the present method of disposal, that it shall continue interminably; with the hope of dispelling the feeling that procrastination shall continue; with the earnest desire to keep faith with the people, in accordance with the foregoing declaration of policy, and with a determination to exert every effort to the end that this matter may be settled as expeditiously as possible, your Committee exhorts the Board to approve of, adopt and execute the provisions of this report *now* and *in toto*.

Respectfully submitted,

HEALTH COMMITTEE

ALFRED RONCOVIERI, Chairman.

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Member.

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Presented by Supervisor Uhl:

Resolution No. 1337, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise, or privilege, for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco as may hereafter be delivered to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County, and designated as Assessor's Block No. 3914 (bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets), thereabouts, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the

plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That said grantee will file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an affidavit giving the completed cost of said incinerator before the incinerator is placed in active operation.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

That on the day the person to whom the Board of Supervisors awards the franchise will post a cashier's check of \$50,000 on a San Francisco bank, payable to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Said deposit given in evidence of good faith and as a guarantee that work on the erection of the incinerator will be commenced within thirty (30) days after contract is signed. The cashier's check of \$50,000 to be returned thirty (30) days after the incinerator has been in operation for at least eight (8) hours each day.

Be it, therefore, Resolved, That bids be called for covering the erection of an incinerator that will reduce all rubbish delivered to the incinerator to an ash. Said bids to be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on or before 3 p. m. on Monday, April 23, 1934. Contract for the incinerator to be let to the lowest bidder conforming to foregoing stipulations.

Report of Committee.

Report of Committee on Conference with Bay View Sanitary District as to dumping in San Mateo County at Mills Field and Bayshore.

Calling for Bids for Disposal of Garbage.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnaces and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may de-

sire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County consisting of the block bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

- (1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

- (2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

- (3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all city and county taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings.

Estimated cost of equipment.

Estimated amount of interest during construction.

Estimated cost of financing.

Estimated annual interest on investment.

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund.

Estimated cost for bond premium, taxes and all insurance.

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purpose:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows: "

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the state inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the — day of —, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Privilege of the Floor.

F. W. Hammond, representing Jas. Roeblings Sons, protested against locating incinerator at Fifteenth and De Haro streets. Our firm, he said, and many other firms have millions invested in this heavy industrial district and we vigorously protest the locating of the incinerator in that district.

Senator Thos. Maloney thanked the Board on behalf of his constituents in the South of Market and Potrero Heights Districts for having removed the old incinerator and emphatically opposed its relocation in the South of Market or Potrero Districts.

Madeline Feinster, 528 Arkansas street, representing president of the Potrero Women's Club, St. Teresa's Guild and Parent Teachers' Association, was heard in opposition to incineration in Potrero District.

Andrew Alecksen, resident of Rhode Island street; Mrs. Margaret Kenny, 240 Mississippi street; Mr. M. Young, speaking for South of Market and Potrero Districts, opposed incineration of garbage in said districts.

Susan De Valegra, president of the Bay View District Improvement Club, protested against the garbage incinerator in the Bay View District.

Jas. Hanley, Jr., was heard in opposition to scavenger monopoly of garbage disposal.

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, at 4 p. m., on motion of Supervisor Brown, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board of Supervisors.

All members before noted being present and President McSheehy in the Chair.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that this Board adopt the policy of incineration.

Supervisor Brown opposed the policy of incineration. "I believe," he said, "that the only method that is practicable is fill and cover some where outside the territorial limits of the City and County. I asked at last meeting that the City Attorney report on the question of sanitary districts, how formed, and their limitation."

Attorney Dold: Sanitary districts are organized by the signing of a petition by at least twenty-five voters and property owners. That means you must get twenty-five property owners, persons interested in property, at the location where it is supposed to fill and cover.

Supervisor Brown: Cannot sanitary districts be organized embracing two counties and the petition presented to both boards of supervisors who then may call an election?

Supervisor Brown asked that the City Attorney investigate statutes relative to garbage disposal districts.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Substitute Resolution.

The following substitute resolution for all pending matters prepared by Assistant City Attorney Dold and agreed to by Supervisors Roncovieri and Uhl, was taken up:

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse, to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnaces and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive

evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the said City and County consisting of the block bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work on any of the three propositions, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon present tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost for bond insurance and taxes;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same as urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for

the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, at the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the

successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend ninth paragraph as follows: "That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise. All load tests shall be made by the successful bidder at his own expense."

Amendment *carried*.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that this matter remain on the calendar until one week from Monday (May 7).

Committee on Sites.

On motion duly made and carried the following were appointed a special committee to investigate proposed sites for location of garbage incinerator:

Supervisor Roncovieri, Chairman of the Health Committee; Supervisor Uhl, Chairman of the Public Welfare Committee, and Supervisor Shannon, Chairman of the Public Buildings and Land Committee.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, motion of Supervisor Uhl that the matter remain on the calendar for one week from next Monday (May 7) was *carried*.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, there being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 4:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, APRIL 30, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 30, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of April 23, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.**Rescinding Resolution Endorsing Proposed Constitutional Amendment on State Liquor Control.**

The matter of Supervisor Colman's motion to rescind action, whereby the following resolution was adopted, was taken up:

Endorsing Constitutional Amendment on State Liquor Control.

(Code No. 5.33)

Resolution No. 1340, as follows:

Whereas, by Resolution No. 1193, adopted December 18, 1933, the President of the Board of Supervisors was directed to call a statewide conference of County and City officials on State liquor control to prepare a Constitutional Amendment pertaining to the liquor traffic; and

Whereas, conferences were held both in San Francisco and Los Angeles at which practically every county in the State was represented and said representatives agreed upon the amendment hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby endorse the following Constitutional Amendment and urges all citizens of the State of California to work for its passage:

Proposed Amendment to Article XX, Section 22, Constitution of California.

The State of California shall have the exclusive right and power to control, license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession and transportation of spirituous, vinous, malt or fermented liquors or any admixtures thereof, within the State. Said liquor shall not be consumed, bought, sold or otherwise disposed of for consumption on the premises, in any public saloon, public bar or public barroom within the State; provided, however, that subject to the aforesaid restrictions, the Legislature may authorize the sale in retail stores of such liquor contained in original packages where such liquor is not to be consumed on the premises where sold, and may authorize such liquor to be kept, bought, sold, served, consumed and otherwise disposed of in any bona fide hotel, restaurant, cafe, cafeteria, railroad dining or club car, passenger ship, or other public eating place, or in any bona fide club after such club has been lawfully operated for not less than one year, but no public bar, public saloon or public barroom shall be maintained at any such place.

The State Board of Equalization, or such other board or body authorized by the Legislature as it may deem proper, shall have the power to license the manufacture, importation and sale of said liquors in this State, subject to local zoning laws, and to collect license fees on account thereof, and shall have the power, in its discretion, to deny or revoke any specific license thereof if it shall determine for good cause that the granting or continuance of such license would be contrary to public welfare or morals. It shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensee of said Board, or other State agency, to manufacture, import or sell intoxicating liquors in this State.

Until ninety (90) days after adjournment of the next regular session of the Legislature after the adoption of this amendment, the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of said liquors in bona fide hotels, restaurants, cafes, cafeterias, railroad dining or club cars, passenger ships, and other public eating places, and in bona fide clubs after such clubs have been lawfully operated for not less than one year, and the privilege of keeping, buying, selling, serving and otherwise disposing of beers on any premises open to the general public shall be licensed and regulated under the applicable provisions of the so-called State Liquor Control Act, California Statutes 1933, Chapter 658.

Provided, further, however, notwithstanding the powers reserved in

the State, there is hereby granted to the cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties, the power to levy and collect occupational taxes upon the business of selling such liquors at retail; and such cities, counties in unincorporated territory, and cities and counties shall have the power to prescribe zones where such liquor, or kinds thereof may, or may not, be sold; and, subject to such terms and conditions as the Legislature may adopt, said cities, counties, and cities and counties shall be notified in writing at least thirty (30) days before any license may be granted or issued by the State or any of its agencies, and shall have the right to temporarily suspend any such license for cause until such suspension may be reviewed by the Board of Equalization or other State agency or board and said suspension sustained or voided.

The Legislature shall enact such general laws as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this section. All constitutional provisions and laws inconsistent with the provisions hereof are hereby repealed.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors April 2, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved to rescind the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was adopted.

Amendment Lost.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the subject matter be laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved the previous question.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Action Rescinded.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion to rescind and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Brown the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*:

Hearing Street Work Project Less Than \$1,000.

April 9, 1934—Supervisor Brown moved that consideration of report on street work projects less than \$1,000 be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, April 23, 1934, and that Mr. Worden and Mr. McKenzie be invited to attend.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**Hearing of Appeal—Silver Avenue and Bay Shore Boulevard.**

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Silver avenue and Bay Shore boulevard, set for 2 p. m. this day.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. Chapman was heard on behalf of appellants.

Communication from Portola Merchants' Association, in opposition to the proposed rezoning, was read by the Clerk.

W. W. Chapin, president City Planning Commission, opposed the rezoning and declared that it was simply a question of policy which the Commission had adopted of refusing to rezone for oil stations on Bay Shore boulevard where the vicinity was already amply served.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Rezoning Southwest Corner Bay Shore Boulevard and Silver Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1403, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1035, denying the application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southwest corner of Silver avenue and Bay Shore boulevard, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**Final Passage.**

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Regulations in Regard to Contractors' Working Conditions Under Contract for Public Work or Improvements.

(Code No. 9.092)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 556, Ordinance No. 9.0923, as follows:

Making and providing for regulations and working conditions under contracts for public work or improvements performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and providing for the fixing of the highest general prevailing rate of wages, and regulating the hours of labor in the performance of said contracts, and providing penalties for the violation of the regulations provided for by this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to section 98 of the Charter the following regulations are made and adopted relative to contracts for public work or improvements, exclusive of purchases, which are to be performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco or the costs of which are paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County.

Subdivision A. Every contract for every public work or improvement performed at the expense of the City and County of San Francisco, or the cost of which is paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, whether such work or improvement is to be done directly under contract awarded or indirectly by or under sub-contract, sub-partnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, shall contain, in addition to the provisions hereinafter set forth, all and singular, the conditions contained in section 98 of the Charter as well as the terms and conditions of the act of Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act to Require the Use of Materials and Supplies substantially Produced in the United States, on Public Works and for Public Purposes", approved May 3, 1933.

Subdivision B. The term "public work" or "improvement" as used in the ordinance shall include any public work or improvement to be done for or performed by the City and County of San Francisco where the cost thereof is to be paid out of moneys deposited in the Treasury of said City and County, and shall also include all parts of said public work or improvement which are especially made, wrought, constructed or prepared to become a part of, or to be attached to, said public work or improvement as a part thereof, when the same are made, wrought, constructed or prepared according to plans and specifications, details or drawings prepared or used for the construction of said public work or improvement, irrespective as to whether said parts are made, wrought, constructed or prepared at the place where said public work or improvement is being erected or constructed or at any other place, and any arrangement made or entered into by the contractor with any other person for the furnishing of any part of said public work or improvement to be made, wrought, constructed or prepared in accordance with said plans, specifications, details or drawings shall be deemed to be a sub-contract.

Subdivision C. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors, from time to time, and at least once during each calendar year to fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco as said terms are used in section 98 of the Charter, including such rate of wages paid for overtime and holiday work, which said highest general prevailing rate of wages shall be fixed and determined as follows:

When said highest general prevailing rate of wages is to be fixed, the Civil Service Commission shall, on request of the Board of Supervisors, furnish to said Board of Supervisors data as to the highest general prevailing rate of wages of the various crafts and kinds of labor as paid in private employment in the City and County of San Francisco, including said wages for overtime and holiday work, and the Board of Supervisors shall, upon receipt of such data, fix and determine the highest general prevailing rate of wages for said various crafts and kinds of labor as paid for similar work in the City and County of San Francisco in private employment, and such highest general prevailing rate of wages as so fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors shall remain in force and shall be deemed to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages paid in private employment for similar work, until the same is changed by said Board of Supervisors.

In determining the highest general prevailing rate of wages, as provided in this subdivision, the Board of Supervisors shall not be limited to the consideration of data furnished by the Civil Service Commission, but may consider such other evidence upon the subject as said Board shall deem proper and thereupon base its determination upon any or all of the data or evidence considered.

Subdivision D. The officer, board or commission authorized to let or enter into any contract for any public work or improvement

mentioned in subdivision A of this section shall include in the specifications setting forth the terms and conditions for the performance of said contract a detailed statement of such highest general prevailing rate of wages, including said wages for holiday and overtime work, as determined by said Board of Supervisors for the several kinds of labor to be used or employed in the performance of said contract, and the contractor to whom said contract is awarded, shall agree in said contract to pay to all persons performing labor in and about the public work or improvement provided for in said contract, the said highest general prevailing rate of wages as set forth in said specifications, including said wages for holiday and overtime work.

Subdivision E. Every contract for any public work or improvement shall also contain a provision that the contractor shall insert in every sub-contract or other arrangement which he may make for the performance of any work or labor on said public work or improvement described in said original contract, a provision that said sub-contractor shall pay to all persons performing labor or rendering service under said sub-contract or other arrangement the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed and determined by said Board of Supervisors for said labor or services.

Subdivision F. Every contract or sub-contract provided for in subdivision A of this section shall contain a provision that the contractor shall keep, or cause to be kept, an accurate record showing the name, place of residence, citizenship, occupation and per diem pay, of each person engaged in the execution of said contract, and every sub-contractor who shall undertake the performance of any part of said original contract shall keep a like record of each person engaged in the execution of said sub-contract. All of said records shall at all times be open to the inspection of and examination of the duly authorized officers and agents of the City and County of San Francisco.

Subdivision G. Any contract or sub-contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section which does not comply with the provisions of this ordinance shall be null and void and no recovery shall be had thereon, and any officer, board or commission who shall sign, execute or approve any such contract shall be deemed guilty of misfeasance in office.

Subdivision H. Any contractor or sub-contractor who shall fail or neglect to pay to the several persons who shall perform labor under any contract, sub-contract or other arrangement on any public work or improvement specified in subdivision A of this section, the highest general prevailing rate of wages as fixed by the Board of Supervisors under authority of this ordinance, shall forfeit, and in the case of any sub-contractor so failing or neglecting to pay said wage, the original contractor and the sub-contractor shall jointly and severally, forfeit to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of ten (\$10.00) dollars per day for each laborer, workman or mechanic employed for each calendar day or portion thereof, while they shall be so employed and not paid said highest general prevailing rate of wages, and it shall be the duty of the officer, board or commission under whose jurisdiction said public work or improvement is being carried on, made or constructed, when certifying to the Controller any payment which may become due under said contract, to deduct from said payment or payments the total amount of said forfeiture provided for in this subdivision, and the Controller, in issuing his warrant for any such payment, shall deduct from the amount which would otherwise be due on said payment or payments the amount of said forfeiture or forfeitures as so certified.

Subdivision I. Any officer, board or commission taking bids for the construction of any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision (a) of this section, shall provide in the specifications prepared for said public work or improvement or in the general conditions under

which bids will be received for the doing of the work incident to said public work or improvement that any person making a bid or offer to perform said work, shall, in his bid or offer, set forth the name, the location of the mill or shop of each subcontractor who will perform work or labor or render service to said contractor in or about the construction of said work or improvement, together with the amount to be paid to each subcontractor for his said work, labor or service. No contractor shall, without the consent of the awarding officer, board or commission, substitute any other person as a subcontractor in the place of those mentioned in said bid or offer, nor shall any subcontractor assign or transfer his subcontract or permit the same to be performed by any other contractor. If a contractor fails to specify the subcontractor for any portion of the work to be performed under his contract, he shall be deemed to have agreed to perform such portion of said work without subcontracting the same and he shall not be permitted to subcontract said portion of said work. Should said contractor thereafter let out or subcontract any portion of said work for which he has not named a subcontractor, his so doing will be deemed a violation of his contract and the awarding officer, board or commission shall have the right to cancel said contract. The contractor shall not thereafter recover thereon for any of the work done or materials furnished on said public work or improvement.

Subdivision J. For the purpose of meeting extraordinary conditions of unemployment, no person performing labor or rendering service in the performance of any contract or subcontract for any public work or improvement mentioned in subdivision A of this section, shall perform labor for a longer period than thirty hours per week, or five days of six hours each from Monday until Friday of each calendar week, both days inclusive. Any contractor or subcontractor who shall violate this subdivision of this section of this ordinance shall be liable for the same penalties and forfeit as those specified in subdivision H of this section, for each laborer, mechanic or artisan employed for each calendar day or portion thereof whereon such laborer, mechanic or artisan is compelled or permitted to work more than the days and hours specified herein. The provision of this subdivision shall be made a part of all contracts and subcontracts for the construction of any public work or improvement.

Subdivision K. In the event that any public work or improvement is to be constructed outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and at such a distance therefrom that those engaged in performing labor on said public work or improvement must under ordinary conditions remain at or near the site of said work or improvement when not actually engaged in the performance of labor thereon, then in that event the officer, board or commission responsible for the construction of said public work or improvement may, in making specifications or letting contracts therefor, make provision therein for days and hours of labor beyond the limitations provided for in subdivision J of this section of this ordinance; but not to exceed eight hours in any one calendar day, or six days in any calendar week. In the event that emergency conditions shall arise, making a change advisable during the performance of any such contract, or any portion thereof, the hours and days of labor may be extended beyond the limits hereinabove expressed, but not to exceed eight hours per day, upon the written authority of the officer, board or commission awarding such contract. Failure of the contractor to perform his contract within the time provided shall not constitute an emergency.

Subdivision L. In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the Government of the United States, all invitations to bidders to bid on said work shall contain a provision to the effect that no bid will be accepted unless it includes or is accompanied by a certificate duly executed by the bidder to the effect that

the bidder is complying with, and will continue to comply with, each approved code of fair competition to which he is subject, and, if engaged in any trade or industry for which there is no approved code of fair competition, then stating, as to such trade or industry, he has become a party to, and is complying with, and will continue to comply with an agreement with the President of the United States under section 4 of the National Industrial Recovery Act. All contracts and sub-contracts executed for the performance of any such work shall contain a provision to the effect that the contractor or sub-contractor will comply with the conditions herein provided to be included in said invitations to bid.

Subdivision M. In addition to any other penalties herein provided, for the violation of this ordinance or for the failure of any contractor or subcontractor to abide by the rules and regulations herein contained, any contractor or subcontractor violating the provisions of this ordinance, or failing to abide by the rules and regulations herein set forth, shall be declared an irresponsible bidder by the officer, board or commission responsible for said public work or improvement and shall not, for a period of five (5) years thereafter, be allowed to act as a contractor or subcontractor on any public work or improvement for the City and County of San Francisco. The contract of any such person may, at the option of the awarding officer, board or commission, be cancelled and in the event of such cancellation no recovery shall be had thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall be effective ten days after its passage and shall not affect any contract entered into prior to its becoming effective, provided that the highest general prevailing rate of wages fixed by the Board of Supervisors, by resolution No. 1238, Code No. 9.092, adopted February 26th, 1934, shall continue to be the highest general prevailing rate of wages to be applied under this ordinance until the same is changed according to the provisions hereof.

Section 3. In the awarding of any contract for any public work or improvement mentioned in this ordinance, the awarding officer, board or commission shall require from all contractors and subcontractors offering or agreeing to perform any work on said public improvement, information concerning their experience, financial qualifications and ability to perform said contract or said subcontract, as well as to whether said contractor or subcontractor possesses, or can obtain in time to perform said contract or subcontract, the necessary equipment. Should said awarding officer, board or commission determine that said contractor or any subcontractor does not possess the necessary experience and financial qualifications to perform said contract or subcontract, or that he does not possess, or cannot obtain in due time the necessary equipment to perform said contract, said awarding officer, board or commission may reject the bid of any such contractor, and should said determination affect only a subcontractor, then said awarding officer, board or commission may compel said contractor to substitute a subcontractor who, in the opinion of said awarding officer, board or commission, possesses the necessary experience, financial qualifications and equipment to perform the said subcontract.

Section 4. In all contracts for the construction of any public work or improvement which involves the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the government of the United States, all laws, rules and regulations of the said government of the United States, or of any of its departments, relative to the doing of such work and the conditions under which the same is to be performed, shall prevail over the conditions set forth in this ordinance when the same are in conflict.

Section 5. If any section, subdivision, sentence, clause, phrase or paragraph of this ordinance is held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declaring that

it would have passed this ordinance and each and every part thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more portions thereof may be declared unconstitutional.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 8995 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

Reduction of Gas Rates.

April 23, 1934—Supervisor Gallagher requested that the City Attorney himself or his representative appear before the Board of Supervisors Monday, April 30, 1934, at 5 p. m., and explain the status of the action before the State Railroad Commission re reduction of gas rate ordered by that Commission.

April 30, 1934—*City Attorney requested to furnish Board of Supervisors for next meeting a written statement giving status of gas rate litigation.*

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$16,400 Out of Emergency Reserve for Hospital Continuation.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 554, Ordinance No. 9.051124, as follows:

Authorizing supplemental appropriations totaling \$16,400 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of various appropriations, Department of Public Health, for hospital continuation.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, for hospital continuation the sums of \$600 to the credit of Appropriation 159 (Materials and Supplies, Central Office); \$4,500 to credit of Appropriation 164 (Materials and Supplies, Laguna Honda Home); \$5,000 to credit of Appropriation 170 (Materials and Supplies, San Francisco Hospital); \$2,400 to credit of Appropriation 176 (Materials and Supplies, Emergency Hospital); \$900 to credit of Appropriation 182 (Materials and Supplies, Hassler Health Home); \$1,000 to credit of Appropriation 163 (Contractual Service, Laguna Honda Home); \$1,000 to credit of Appropriation 169 (Contractual Service, San Francisco Hospital); \$250 to credit of Appropriation 175 (Contractual Service, Emergency Hospitals); \$750 to credit of Appropriation 181 (Contractual Service, Hassler Health Home).

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Indefinitely Postponed.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and on motion *indefinitely postponed*:

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the issuance and revocation of permits therefor; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health, authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

NEW BUSINESS.**Adopted.**The following resolutions were *adopted*:**Refunds of Amounts Paid for Taxes in Duplicate and Through Errors.**

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1395, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized paid out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), Fiscal Year 1933-34, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

To A. W. and Helen Prellwitz, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 17, Lot 33, Block 2325, of 1933-34 Real Estate Rolls.....	\$59.16
To Edward M. Jerry, duplicate payment, per Vol. 4, page 170, line 10, of 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, Receipt No. 23167	2.38
To Ethel L. Mitchell, duplicate payment, per Vol. 7, page 14, line 22, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1933, Receipt No. 37546	3.96
To Lucy A. Arnold, erroneous payment, per Vol. 22, Lot 39, Block 3156, of 1933 Real Estate Rolls.....	3.48
To Christian L. Neubert, duplicate payment, per Vol. 17, page 96, line 18	5.15
To Bozeman Canning Co., duplicate payment, per Vol. 7, page 130, line 18, of 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....	5.94

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Engaging Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, Attorneys, New York, to Pass on Validity of School House Bonds, 1933, Authorized at Election Held December 19, 1933.

(Code No. 21.31)

Also, Resolution No. 1396, as follows:

Resolved, That the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, are engaged to pass on validity of School House Bonds, \$3,000,000, authorized by the people December 19, 1933. The fee for approving the validity of bonds to be \$1,500. The fee, if unable to approve the validity of the bonds, to be \$750.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Payment of Cost for Engraving Bonds, Etc.

(Code No. 15.031)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 560, Ordinance No. 15.0313, as follows:

Authorizing the payment of the cost of engraving bonds and other expenses incident to loans and grants obtained from the government of the United States on Public Works Administration projects to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant or warrants on such funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount sufficient to meet the cost of engraving and issuing the bonds for the construction of the various public works and improvements financed in whole or in part by the government of the United States through the Public Works Administration, and also to pay other expenses incurred in the obtaining of loans and/or grants for the construction of said public works and improvements.

Section 2. When the said bonds, approved by the people, are sold and the moneys have been received from said sale, the Controller shall transfer the expenditures to said bond funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,275.34 Out of Appropriation 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction).

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 561, Ordinance No. 9.051125, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$1,275.34 out of Appropriation No. 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction) for the improvement of Third street between Mariposa and Alameda streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That a supplemental appropriation of \$1,275.34 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the improvement, by resurfacing, of Third street between Mariposa and Alameda streets.

Recommendation by Department of Public Works).

(Funds approved by the Controller).

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Appropriating \$466,552 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to May 31, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 562, Ordinance No. 9.051126, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$466,552 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$466,552 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and Municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 to the Credit of Contractual Service, Agricultural Commission.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 563, Ordinance No. 9.051127, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$300 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$300 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission); same being for contractual service.

(Approved by Mayor and Controller.)

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."

(Code No. 11.0111)

The following recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police was taken up:

Bill No. 558, Ordinance No. 11.01117, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of license and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, on June 15, 1934, and providing for a monthly increase of \$400 thereafter, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the opera-

tion of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Commission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *"Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which show a cash re-*

serve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show a monthly increase of \$400 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserve in said organizations in the sum of \$25,000, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."

Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company, approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damages to persons arising out of the actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall thereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Amendment.

Supervisor Brown moved that subsection (b) of Section 1 be amended to read \$500 instead of \$400.

Privilege of the Floor.

E. J. Barger, representing San Francisco Cab Owners' Protective Association, declared that there were no outstanding judgments although there were several claims pending.

Amendment Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Brown—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; defining the term ambulance; providing for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits therefor; fixing minimum and maximum rates to be charged for transportation of persons in private ambulances, and for service connected therewith; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health; authorizing the Director of Public Health to inspect, investigate and control operation and maintenance of all private ambulances; providing fees to be paid for permits for, and signs permitted on, private ambulances; restricting use of private ambulances and permitting exclusive color schemes thereof; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and his rules and regulations and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Di-

rector of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issue or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be \$5.00 for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation and maintenance of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and of the rules and regulations of the Director of Public Health.

The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation, color and the care, maintenance, safety and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Such rules and regulations may provide for safety appliances, brakes, lights and equipment of private ambulances; and for the allotment of an exclusive color scheme for ambulances of any permit holder; and for the prevention of color schemes and decorations of ambulances intended to deceive the public by imitating the appearances of a public emergency hospital ambulance, and that the term ambulance shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyances unless the same is used to move a sick or injured person; and that no vehicle or conveyance shall be used as an ambulance which is in any manner used for the removal or conveyance of the dead, and that no person who personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning, operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other minimum rates than in this section provided, nor maximum rates in excess of \$1 over such minimum rates.

Subdivision 2. *The district within the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter described, shall for the purpose of this ordinance be known as the "First District." Said district is bounded and more particularly described as follows:*

Commencing at the intersection of the Embarcadero and Broadway, thence along the northerly and easterly waterfront to Channel street, thence along Channel street to Division street, thence along Division street to Potrero avenue, along Potrero avenue to San Bruno avenue, thence along San Bruno avenue to Cortland avenue, along Cortland avenue to Mission street, thence to Thirtieth street, thence westerly on Thirtieth street to Twin Peaks, along the easterly and northerly sides of Twin Peaks to the outer edge of Sutro Forest to Lawton street, thence west on Lawton street to Tenth avenue, thence northerly along Tenth avenue to the waterline, thence easterly to the point of commencement. Within the said district both sides of all streets named are included.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within said First District shall be \$4.00 during the day time period and \$5.00 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. and 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

Subdivision 3. *All other territory within the City and County of San Francisco, outside of the area described in the "First District" shall, for the purpose of this ordinance, be known as the "Second District."*

The charge for transport from any place in the City and County of San Francisco to or from any place in the Second District shall be \$5.00 during the day time and \$6.00 during the night time period.

No commissions, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that 25 per cent discount may be made to clinics and hospital benevolent associations, directly operated by a hospital in the City and County of San Francisco; providing, however, that orders for same must come direct from the departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge thereof.

Waiting time of ambulance and crew at point of call or discharge in excess of 10 minutes shall be at the rate of \$5.00 per hour calculated in quarter hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Subdivision 5. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder by the Director of Public Health.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (\$25) dollars and not more than five hundred

(\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.:*

Board of Supervisors Memorializes Congress to Pass Bill Providing for Immediate Payment of Veterans' Bonus.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1393, as follows:

Whereas, legislation is now pending in Congress, designed to effect an immediate payment of the balance of the so-called bonus awarded to the veterans of the World War; and

Whereas, it is highly desirable in this period of acute economic stress to execute every possible plan for the increased circulation of money; and

Whereas, the government is intent upon a program for the expenditure of moneys, which will lend an impetus to economic recovery; and

Whereas, the debts incurred by the United States in the conduct of the World War, as for materials and supplies and the labor incidental in producing them, have been settled, leaving unpaid only those who at great sacrifice, physically and materially, were actually engaged in the naval or military service; and

Whereas, many veterans of the World War are in dire financial circumstances and are being pressed by their creditors; and

Whereas, the great majority of veterans have been required to borrow upon their adjusted compensation certificates and are unable to repay either principal or interest; and

Whereas, unless the due date of these certificates is exhlarated, the interest will consume practically all of the balance owing upon them; and

Whereas, the immediate payment of the bonus would redound to the economic welfare of the country because of the fact that most, if not all, of this money would immediately find its way into circulation through many and diversified channels; and

Whereas, the obligation has been assumed by the Federal Government and is to be paid at a future date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Congress and does urge the immediate passage of a bill, having for its purpose the immediate redemption of adjusted compensation certificates; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the California delegation in Congress, with a request for their support in the matter; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to President Roosevelt, Vice-President Garner and Speaker Rainey of the House of Representatives, with a request for their favorable consideration in the premises.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Food, Fruit, Vegetables, Etc., Wrapped in Newspapers.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and read by the Clerk:

Communication from San Francisco Housewives' League calling attention to the fact that many stores in San Francisco supplying food, fruits, vegetables, etc., are wrapping said purchases in newspapers, which is considered a menace to the public health.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

One Hundredth Anniversary of the Death of General Marquis de Lafayette.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Harry L. Todd, Postmaster, City and County of San Francisco, declaring that May 20 will be the one-hundredth anniversary of the death of General Marquis de Lafayette, who, he declares, next to General Washington, contributed more than any other individual to the winning of American independence, and suggesting that there be held some sort of a demonstration under the sponsorship of the Board of Supervisors and supported by the press and radio on that occasion, in order that the youth of America might be reminded of the unselfish service of that undaunted patriot.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of three to arrange for the celebration of the one-hundredth anniversary of the death of General Marquis de Lafayette, and that Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri be named the chairman of that committee.

So ordered.

Budget, 1934-35.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, declaring that in accordance with the provisions of the Charter (Section No. 72), that he will transmit to the Board of Supervisors tomorrow the proposed budget for the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors sit as a Committee of the Whole and proceed to consideration of budget when it is presented.

Motion ruled out of order by chair and matter *referred to Finance Committee.*

Public Hearing.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board of Supervisors meet Tuesday, May 8, 1934, at 10 a. m., for public hearing on budget. Clerk directed to publish notice.

So ordered.

Delay in Remodeling School Buildings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. A. Ormond, secretary Board of Education, in response to query of Supervisor Uhl as to the delay in remodeling and repairing the Girls' High School and advising that the work on

school buildings is entirely in the hands of the Department of Public Works, which is proceeding with the greatest possible speed.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Clerk was directed to take up specifically the matter of the delay in remodeling and repair of the Girls' High School and request report thereon at the earliest possible date.

Relief Hearing.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Mr. Alexander, vice-chairman Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, acknowledging receipt of transcript of proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of the meeting of April 16, 1934, and declaring that the necessary data, with proper reply, is now in process of preparation and will be submitted to the Committee at its next meeting Wednesday, May 2, and that the Board of Supervisors may expect to receive this reply as quickly thereafter as possible, not later than Monday, May 7.

Ordered filed.

San Francisco's Proposed Participation, Century of Progress Exposition in Chicago.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting communication from Leland W. Cutler, chairman of the California State Commission to A Century of Progress, which is hereto attached, and declaring that in view of the fact that unless San Francisco participates in the Exposition at Chicago, Northern California will be entirely unrepresented while Southern California will occupy the entire California State Building; therefore, recommending the sum of \$5,000 be expended from San Francisco's Publicity and Advertising Fund to enable us to display the same exhibit as was displayed last year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Re Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company for Spur Track Permit.

The following matters were taken up:

April 30, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: At a meeting of the Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial Development on April 26, 1934, the application of the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company for permission to install, maintain and operate a spur track leading off the State Belt Railroad on North Point street, proceeding thence along Mason street to Francisco street, thence easterly along Francisco street to the plant of the Bauer-Schweitzer Company, was heard and discussed.

At the conclusion of the discussion, a motion was made by Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, proposing that the Joint Committee recommend the granting of the permit, subject to the following terms and conditions: The hours of operation on the track shall be restricted to between 7 a. m. and 8 a. m., and 5 p. m. and 6 p. m.; no cars to be operated on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays. The permittee shall be restricted to the use of two cars per day. This permit to remain in full force and effect for the period of one year, at the expiration of which the permit shall be revoked, if it be determined that the property owners in the immediate vicinity are adversely affected by its operation.

The vote on the foregoing motion was as follows:

Supervisors—Ratto, no; Roncovieri, no; Brown, aye; Uhl, aye.

Supervisor Colman on leave of absence. Supervisor Shannon absent.

The chairman of the Joint Committee, Supervisor Ratto, was then authorized and requested to poll the absent members of the Joint Com-

mittee in order that the Committee's recommendation could be presented to the Board for action on Monday, April 30, 1934. Pursuant to these instructions the balance of the Committee was polled and they voted as follows:

Supervisors—Shannon, no; Colman, aye.

Supervisor Brown stated he would file a minority report.

Respectfully submitted,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

By J. R. McGRATH,
Clerk Streets Committee.

**Minority Report of the Joint Committee on Streets and Commercial
and Industrial Development.**

(Code No. 12.20)

Resolution No. 1402, as follows:

Whereas, the application of the Bauer-Schweitzer Company to construct a spur track from North Point street southerly on Mason street and thence easterly on Francisco street to their plant location on Francisco street was heard before this Committee, and said application failed of passage by the vote of three to three; and

Whereas, the members of the Committee for the affirmative are of the opinion that an injustice has been done to the Bauer-Schweitzer Company by failure to grant said application; and

Whereas, said members of said Committee desire to call the application to the attention of the Board of Supervisors as a whole; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said members of said Committee urge the Board of Supervisors to adopt this minority report favoring the spur track application of the Bauer-Schweitzer Company in lieu of the report of the Committee submitted and rejecting said application.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the foregoing matters.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Privilege of the Floor.

Anthony Devoto, attorney representing Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company, and Geo. Fitch, representing the Chamber of Commerce, were heard in favor of the proposed spur track.

Steve Malatesta, representing North Beach Property Owners' Association; Mrs. Rose Canchilla, 971 Filbert street, owner of six flats at 490 Francisco street, where eighteen children are housed and whose parents will move if spur track is installed; John McLaughlin, representing Teamsters' Union, who said granting of spur track permit would throw twenty men out of employment; John O'Connell, secretary of San Francisco Labor Council; Michael Casey, representing Auto Truck Drivers of San Francisco, were heard in opposition to the proposed spur track.

Communication from Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company, disclaiming any desire to damage anyone's property, was read by the Clerk and confirmed by Captain Tucker.

Supervisor Havenner raised point of order that inasmuch as it is not in legal form there should be two applications, one for a spur track

in the heavy industrial zone and one in the light industrial zone. The latter is the only one that can be considered by this Board.

Chair ruled that the point of order was not well taken.

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose. All members heretofore noted being present.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon the following bill was presented and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Granting Permission to Bauer-Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company to Construct and Operate Spur Track to Serve Its Plant on Francisco Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

Bill No. 564, Ordinance No. 12.2013, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company to construct a spur track from the existing drill track on North Point street, commencing at a point approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence along North Point street to Mason street; thence along Mason street to Francisco street; thence along Francisco street to the plant of the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company to construct a spur track from the existing drill track on North Point street, commencing at a point approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence along North Point street to Mason street; thence along Mason street to Francisco street; thence along Francisco street to the plant of the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company, and more particularly described as follows:

Description of center line of spur: Beginning at a point in existing North Point street drill track approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence easterly and southerly on a curve and crossing portion of North Point and Mason streets to a point which is 10 feet westerly from the easterly line of Mason street and approximately 117 feet southerly from the southerly line of North Point street; thence southerly and crossing Bay and Vandewater streets and portion of Mason street to a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of Mason street and approximately 110 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street; thence southerly and easterly on a curve and crossing portion of Mason and Francisco streets to a point which is 19 feet northerly from the southerly line of Francisco street and approximately 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Mason street; thence easterly and parallel to Francisco street a distance of 220 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof, are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be considered as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written into this ordinance. Provided that the rails to be laid of girder type; and all services and installations, both corporation and municipal, are to be reconstructed as required.

The hours during which cars may be operated on this spur track shall be between 7 a. m. and 8 a. m., and 5 p. m. and 6 p. m.; no cars to be operated on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

The permittee shall be restricted to the use of two cars incoming and two cars outgoing per day.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Loan and Grant Agreements Between City and County of San Francisco and the United States Government.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, transmitting copies of loan and grant agreements between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States government covering the following projects: Hetch Hetchy Dam; improvement and extension of water system, sewer system, and improvement of airport, and requesting that the agreements be confirmed by the adoption of the resolutions which he has had prepared by the City Attorney and submitted to the Board.

Ordered filed.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolutions were presented by the Finance Committee and *adopted*:

Hetch Hetchy Dam Loan.

(Code No. 15.031)

Resolution No. 1397, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving the execution of a certain loan agreement dated, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States, through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a loan and grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said loan and grant are to be used by the said City and County for the purpose of construction by the City and County of an addition to O'Shaughnessy Dam (also known as Hetch Hetchy Dam), all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration Docket No. 1429; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said loan and grant; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a loan agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement "Borrower," and the United States of America, called in said agreement "The Government," which said agreement deals with the making of said loan and grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used for the construction by the City and County of an addition to O'Shaughnessy Dam (also known as Hetch Hetchy Dam), and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said loan and grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Loan Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 1429; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Water Works System Loan.

(Code No. 15.021)

Also, Resolution No. 1398, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving the execution of a certain loan agreement dated, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States, through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a loan and grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said loan and grant are to be used by the said City and County for the purpose of making certain improvements to its present water works system, all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration, Docket No. 1510; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said loan and grant; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a loan agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement "Borrower," and the United States of America, called in said agreement "The Government," which said agreement deals with the making of said loan and grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used in making proposed improvements to the present water works system of said City and County, and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said loan and grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Loan Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 1510"; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that

Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Sewer System Loan.

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 1399, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving the execution of a certain loan agreement dated, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States, through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a loan and grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said loan and grant are to be used by the said City and County for the construction of replacements and extensions to its sanitary sewer system and the construction of sewage treatment plants, all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration Docket No. 1534; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said loan and grant; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a loan agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement "Borrower," and the United States of America, called in said agreement "The Government," which said agreement deals with the making of said loan and grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used for the construction by the City and County of San Francisco of replacements and extensions to its sanitary sewer system and the construction of sewage treatment plants, and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said loan and grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Loan Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 1534"; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the

City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Municipal Airport Loan.

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Resolution No. 1400, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving the execution of a certain loan agreement dated, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States, through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a loan and grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said loan and grant to be used by the said City and County for the construction of certain improvements to its municipal airport, all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration Docket No. 1538; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said loan and grant; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a loan agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement "Borrower," and the United States of America, called in said agreement "The Government," which said agreement deals with the making of said loan and grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used for the construction by the City and County of San Francisco of certain improvements to its municipal airport, and the manner in which, and the conditions under which, said loan and grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Loan Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 1538"; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing loan agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence—J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Public Health.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

April 30, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California (leaving by automobile) for a period of five days, commencing May 1.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

April 30, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: In attending the State Medical Association meeting at Riverside, California, it is my intention to absent myself on official business from duties in San Francisco for this convention on May 1, 2 and 3, leaving by automobile.

Because of the necessity of investigating certain sanitary arrangements at Boulder Dam with reference to contemplated work at Hetch Hetchy Dam of similar type, leave of absence to leave the State is requested for two days, May 4 and 5, on official business. This is in accordance with Section 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sincerely,

J. C. GEIGER, M. D., Director.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1401, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing May 1, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Controller Requested to Submit to Board Breakdown on Annual Bond Interest and Redemption.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Controller be requested to submit to the Board a breakdown on the annual bond interest and redemption, showing clearly the amount of interest and of redemption on each one of the projects; also for a list of any off-setting revenues that may be coming in.

So ordered.

Reduction of Water Meter Rates.

Supervisor Colman requested that resolution introduced by Supervisor Uhl, providing for reduction of water meter rates, be put on the calendar for the next meeting of the Board with adverse recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee.

So ordered.

Barnes' Circus Paying License Fee to City as Animal Show.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the fact that Barnes' Circus is paying license fee to city as an animal show whereas they are advertising a "circus" and should properly pay a higher fee. Clerk was directed so to notify the Tax Collector.

So ordered.

Citizens Emergency Relief Committee Requested to Furnish Information as to Wages Set by Federal Government in Relief Work.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk get from the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee information as to wages set by the Federal Government in the matter of relief work.

So ordered.

Chief of Department of Electricity Requested to Furnish Detailed Cost Covering Installation of Automatic Signals at Intersections.

Supervisor Uhl requested from the Chief of the Department of Electricity cost in detail covering the installation of automatic signals at intersections.

So ordered.

Mr. Harvey Toy Invited to Attend Meeting of Joint Judiciary and Public Welfare Committee on May 3.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Mr. Harvey Toy be invited to attend meeting of Joint Judiciary and Public Welfare Committee on May 3.

So ordered.

In Memoriam: Charles Berg.

(Code No. 5.91)

Resolution No. 1404, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby publicly expresses its deep sorrow at the death of the late Charles Berg, for many years engineer at the Laguna Honda Home and San Francisco Hospital, and that when the Board adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Opinion of City Attorney in Re Inspection of Complaints Made to the Office of the Mayor.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, April 23, 1934, the following matters were ordered spread in the minutes:

April 16, 1934.

Gentlemen: I have your letter reading as follows:

"A week ago Supervisor Uhl, through the Board of Supervisors, sent a request to the Mayor for copies of all complaints filed in his office during the past year. The Mayor replied, declining. (See copy attached.)

"Supervisor Uhl now wants to know if the Board has a right to obtain copies of these complaints."

Attached to your communication is a copy of a letter addressed to your Board by his Honor, Mayor Rossi, wherein he declines to permit a general inspection of the complaints lodged in his office pursuant to the provisions of section 25 of the Charter.

Opinion.

Section 25 of the Charter provides:

"He (the Mayor) shall receive and examine, without delay, all complaints relating to the administration of the affairs of the City and County, and immediately inform the complainant of findings and actions thereon."

It would appear to me that the matter of handling these complaints is an administrative affair and one which the Charter vests in the Mayor. This being true, the right of the Board of Supervisors, or any member thereof, to inspect said complaints, or to receive copies thereof, is no greater than that of any other citizen of the municipality. It appears to me that the complaints in question must, to a

large extent, be considered as confidential if the provision in the Charter authorizing the investigation and report on these complaints is to accomplish the evident purpose the Charter framers had in mind.

Complaints are made either against persons, or against conditions, and if made against the latter, persons must naturally be involved. It would be hard to conceive that a person, although he might be justified, would make a complaint regarding the actions of a public official or employee if he felt that the complaint and the name of the complainant were to be made public. Furthermore, in fairness to the person complained of a reflection of wrong-doing should not be made upon him when, possibly, after investigation, no wrong-doing is discovered, for too often a charge of wrong-doing, even though untrue, injures the person against whom it is made.

Therefore, I am of the opinion that these particular records for which your Board has asked are, to a large extent, confidential and are not subject to inspection by the public generally as are the ordinary records of any office. As a matter of fact, they are not public records in the sense that they are records which the Mayor is compelled to keep and possibly they come within the category of "other matters in the office of any officer" which are referred to in section 1032 of the Political Code which deals with the right of inspection of public records. The pertinent portion of this section reads as follows:

"The public records and *other matters* in the office of any officer are at all times during office hours open to the inspection of any citizen of this State."

The Supreme Court, in the case of *Coldwell v. Board of Public Works of San Francisco*, 187 Cal. 510, has drawn a distinction between the terms "public record" and "other matters" when it held that certain preliminary estimates and plans for the construction of the Hetch Hetchy project made by subordinates of the City Engineer were not public records of that officer until they received his approval and became the plans for the construction of the project. The Court did, however, hold that they came within the definition of "other matters" and as they involved a matter in which all citizens might be interested they were open to inspection. However, there is nothing particularly confidential about the records of the City Engineer's office and, as I have said, the Court held that all citizens of San Francisco had an interest in them.

On more than one occasion, however, the Court has defined the meaning of the term "other matters." In *Colnon v. Orr*, 71 Cal. 43, which case dealt with the right of the citizens to inspect certain charges filed in the office of the Treasurer and Secretary of the Stockton Insane Asylum, the Court denied the right of inspection, saying:

"It is not every written charge made to a Board of Supervisors, a Board of Directors, or trustees of a college or other state institution, which, upon being filed in the office of their secretary or treasurer, or custodian of their records, becomes thereby a public record to which any citizen may have access at pleasure.

"To declare such to be the law would be to say that any communication aspersing the character of a public officer, being received by the Board of Directors, to which he is amenable, and filed with the custodian of their records, would thereby become a public record, and be open to the idle curiosity of any and all persons.

"In this way the most honorable of men might be attacked, and each individual of the whole public be permitted to inspect the document containing such attack without having the slightest beneficial interest in the matter, and actuated by no other motive than to repeat what might or might not be a slander all over a community."

The case of *Whelan v. Superior Court*, 114 Cal. 549, deals with the same subject. In this case the record to be inspected was certain instructions given to the Sheriff relative to levying an execution. The Superior Court held that said instructions then on file in the

office of the Sheriff were not such "other matters" as came within the provisions of section 1032 of the Political Code, saying:

"It does not, by reason of being in writing, become a 'public record,' or any other public matter, in the office of the Sheriff. The 'other matters' referred to in section 1032, which a citizen is entitled to inspect, is matter which is 'public,' and in which the whole public may have an interest."

In view of the expression of the Supreme Court on this particular matter, I am of the opinion that your Board has not the right to call upon the Mayor to produce the particular records of his office referred to.

Respectfully,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Intent of Freeholders in Adopting Section 22 of the Charter.

April 16, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am in receipt of a communication from the clerk of your Board, in which it is stated that you request me, as President of the Board of Freeholders, the members of which drafted the present Charter, to advise your Board as to the intent of the Freeholders in adopting section 22 of said Charter and to also give the names of other advocates thereof. The clerk also stated that President McSheehy of your Board requested that my reply be in writing.

Your inquiry, doubtless, refers principally to the second paragraph of section 22, which reads as follows:

"Neither the Board of Supervisors, nor its committees, nor any of its members, shall dictate, suggest or interfere with appointments, promotions, compensations, disciplinary actions, contracts, requisitions for purchases or other administrative recommendations or actions of the Chief Administrative Officer, or of department heads under the Chief Administrative Officer, or under the respective boards and commissions. The Board of Supervisors, and each board or commission relative to the affairs of its own department, shall deal with administrative matters only in the manner provided by this Charter, and any dictation, suggestion or interference herein prohibited on the part of any Supervisor or member of a Board or Commission shall constitute official misconduct; provided, however, that nothing herein contained shall restrict the power of hearing and inquiry as provided in this Charter."

In substance the part that particularly applies to the Board of Public Utilities is:

"Neither the Board of Supervisors, nor its committees, nor any of its members, shall dictate, suggest or interfere with appointments, promotions, compensations, disciplinary actions, contracts, requisitions for purchases or other administrative recommendations or actions * * * under the respective Boards and Commissions."

As to the intent of the Board of Freeholders in adopting said section 22, I may say that it was clearly along the line of the fixed policy of the Freeholders to have the Board of Supervisors a legislative and not an administrative department of government.

In the early part of its deliberations, the Board of Freeholders unanimously adopted the following resolution: "That the Board of Supervisors be established with legislative and policy-making powers only, and be completely separated from control of administrative affairs." Supervisor Uhl, as a Freeholder, acted with the attorneys on the drafting committee in heartily approving said resolution and also section 22.

This declaration of principle was based upon what was undoubtedly

the matured and unanimous judgment of the Freeholders, that is, that the administration of the affairs of the City, other than legislative, should be under executive control. There were found in charters of other cities similar provisions, and the principle of embodying in the law effective restrictions confining the work of the Board of Supervisors to legislative matters has met with the approval of writers well versed in the law which applies to the management and control of municipal governments.

My recollection is that all Freeholders who expressed an opinion on section 22 of our municipal Charter were advocates of it, and that the vote for its adoption was unanimous. No one who appeared before the Board of Freeholders voiced any disapproval thereof.

May I respectfully cite an incident that occurred at the last meeting of the Public Utilities Commission as an example of what a departure from the salutary provisions of section 22 of the Charter might lead to.

The engineers whose foresight and ability have for the last forty years been devoted to securing an adequate water supply for the people of San Francisco and its industries, have selected sites advantageously located for reservoirs, within which to store the waters which are to protect our City from future disaster. These sites were selected by trained engineers, and their location is strongly approved by the present engineers of our municipal water department. The Public Utilities Commission hold these properties in trust for the people, and the sites should not be sold or abandoned except upon the advice of engineers trained in matters of the conservation and distribution of waters.

The Commission had been advised that a real estate operator had stated that he had a purchaser for the 42-acre Balboa reservoir site and was endeavoring to have it abandoned as a reservoir site, sold by the City and subdivided into building lots, and that he had secured the signatures of over 1700 residents of the district with this end in view. The engineers connected with the Municipal Water Department advise the Commission that the site was most wisely selected for reservoir purposes and should not be sold or abandoned.

On April 9th, last, a member of the Board of Supervisors attended a regular meeting of the Public Utilities Commission and in effect supporting the position of said real estate operator, stated that he represented over 1700 people who opposed the building of the Balboa reservoir and asked that the entire plan of building a reservoir be abandoned and the land used for homesites; that he was there in behalf of merchants of the district to protest anything being done toward the construction of the reservoir.

We ask, does not such action on the part of a Supervisor clearly violate the provision of the Charter which provides that a Supervisor shall not "dictate, suggest or interfere with * * * administrative recommendations or actions" which are "under the respective boards and commissions"? If this is not violative of the Charter, a Supervisor could appear at the next meeting of the Commission and state that he represented 2000 citizens, or voters, of another district who opposed building a reservoir on the Amazon Tract site and many other sites, or opposed the laying of water pipes through a particular district to bring the waters of the Hetch Hetchy system to San Francisco.

If you contend that it is proper to have a Supervisor appear before the Public Utilities Commission and urge the sale of properties entrusted to the Commission for utility purposes, it would be equally proper for another Supervisor to appear and urge a lowering or raising of water or railway rates; another one to advocate an extension or curtailment of street-car or bus lines or a change in the operation thereof; another to demand greatly increased expenditures for street lights; and still another to advise as to the management of the municipal airport, each one at the same time claiming to represent thou-

sands of good citizens. All of these activities clearly outside of legislative duties could also be assigned to political motives.

These illustrations are given to throw light upon the interpretation of section 22 and make clear the intent of those who advocated its adoption. They clearly show that the section is in no way ambiguous.

The management of our utilities is wisely entrusted to a Commission whose members must give careful study to the operation thereof, guided by the advice of competent executives and engineers; and if the Commission yielded to demands not well considered or not coming from competent sources, the revenues from our public utilities would soon diminish or vanish and the said public utilities stand discredited by the people. Section 22 of the Charter is a clearly worded provision adopted to promote good government.

I might also add: What would the provisions of said section amount to if, when urging a line of conduct for a Commission to follow, a public official should say: "For the time being I am not arguing as a Supervisor, but merely in my capacity as a private citizen"? A man holding public office cannot put to one side his official status, as readily as he can discard an outer garment. If a Supervisor were to strip himself of all outer garments, he would still remain a Supervisor clothed with certain legislative powers, but subject to the provisions of law prohibiting him from performing certain other acts.

Very respectfully yours,

LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

ADJOURNMENT.


There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:50 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 7, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Vol. 29—New Series

No. 20

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY


Monday, May 7, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 7, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 7, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 25 and 30, were considered read and approved.

Budget of Municipal Expenditures for Fiscal Year 1934-1935.

The following was presented, read and public hearing fixed for Tuesday, May 8, 1934, at 10 a. m.:

MAYOR'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

May 1, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Conforming with section 72 of the Charter, I am herewith transmitting to you a budget of estimated receipts and expenditures for the City and County of San Francisco for the ensuing fiscal year. I conferred with the heads of all departments and likewise held many open hearings at which all interested groups and individuals were accorded the opportunity of presenting their viewpoints upon matters in which they were concerned. In this connection, I might add that these conferences were tremendously interesting and enlightening and in many instances aided me in reaching a decision.

At all times while deliberating upon this budget estimate, I was mindful of the public welfare, the taxpayer, and the efficient operation of our municipal departments.

I believe it proper that I should direct your attention to major items of increase appearing in this budget recommendation. They are as follows:

Park Department—\$120,000.

To be applied for the completion of the rotunda of the Palace of Fine Arts.

Completion of the approach to Coit Memorial.

Continuation of the reconstruction of the Conservatory, Golden Gate Park.

Convenience stations.

Improvements to McCoppin Square.

An amount necessary as reported by the Director of Properties on account of annual payment for the purchase of land for Fleishhacker Playfield and principal and interest due.

Recreation Department—Increase of \$200,000.

Ninth and Ortega—land and improvement.

Longfellow School site—land.

Grattan School site—land.

Bayview District—land.

Thirty-eighth and Fulton—field house.

Southern Heights improvements.

St. Mary's Park.

Glen Park field house.

Sunset District land site.

Eureka Valley improvements.

I regret indeed that economic conditions will not permit a more generous consideration of the above items, for in this department the womanhood and manhood of tomorrow is molded. These centers, established in the interest of our children's safety and welfare, provide for them a physical and moral background not obtainable elsewhere.

Library—Increase of \$69,500.

During the past year few new books were purchased. Normally, each year in excess of twenty thousand volumes are discarded because of the excessive wear and tear upon them. This year, due to the lack of funds for rebinding purposes, an additional twenty-five thousand books have been taken from circulation.

Due to the unemployment situation, the demands upon our public libraries increased tremendously. For this, however, we should be thankful, for it reflects the book-mindedness of our citizens. It is, therefore, our obligation to provide them with proper reading material and facilities during their idleness.

Health Department—Increase of \$139,000.

Central Office—Administrative, clinics, social service, laboratory, inspection, field nursing.....	\$ 599,104
Laguna Honda Home	473,533
Isolation Hospital	40,162
San Francisco Hospital	1,165,996
Emergency Hospital	203,110
Hassler Health Farm	84,715

County Welfare Department—Increase of \$122,000.

Maintenance of feeble-minded	\$ 148,000
Widows' pensions—orphans and half orphans....	220,000
Maintenance of the aged.....	455,000
Blind pensions	100,000
Maintenance of minors	595,000

Total Health and Welfare, \$4,084,620.

Our needy sick, handicapped, aged and orphans must be adequately cared for and every dollar herein set up will be used for these humanitarian purposes.

Juvenile Detention Home—

I keenly realize the great handicap and added costs placed upon the Juvenile Detention Home operations due to the inadequacy of their quarters. The subject matter has been called to the attention of the

Director of Properties, and up to the present time no report has been received from him, hence no action could be taken.

Likewise, I have referred to the Director of Properties the item of purchasing land immediately adjacent to the Palace of Fine Arts building. I feel that Resolution No. 1378, passed by your Board, which reads as follows:

"Resolved, That an immediate survey be made of lands now owned by the City which might advantageously be exchanged or sold in order to bring about an exchange for lands required for recreation or other purposes,"

will possibly be the means of eventually acquiring the land in question. However, this is a matter for subsequent consideration.

Enclosed you will please find copy of a letter received from the Director of Properties touching upon this item.

Police and Fire Departments—

The security of the lives and property of our citizens is in the hands of these departments, and the personnel of both could well be increased, but at the present time I deem it improper that this additional burden should be cast upon the taxpayers of our City, and I am satisfied that the heads of these departments, as well as the personnel, are mindful of this condition and they will in the future, as they have in the past, continue to provide for us the splendid fire and police services that made it possible for us to justifiably boast of the efficiency of these departments.

At a time when courage is the vital necessity to combat the growing tendency toward lawlessness and when there is a crying need for a more careful regulation of the automobile traffic of the City, it would be false economy on the part of our Police Department to jeopardize the lives of our people by ruthlessly decreasing the personnel of the force.

While the allowance for personnel services in these departments merely provides for the minimum as set up in the Salary Ordinance appropriation, I feel it only proper that your attention should be called to the fact that the number of employees in this department are fewer than in 1932.

Unemployment Relief—

One million dollars has been set up in this budget estimate—same to be used either in direct support of the needy or for labor whereby city persons may aid in their own support or purchase materials which will aid in providing such labor. Unquestionably, a much larger amount will be required for relief purposes. I believe the amount additionally needed should be made available through governmental subvention.

You will please be further advised that the estimate of the Citizens Relief Committee for relief purposes for the year 1934-35 is \$8,000,000.

Bond Interest and Redemption—

Included in the budget for the coming fiscal year there is \$12,194,070. There is also included an additional amount of \$145,000 for the repayment of the loan and interest in connection with the unemployment relief loan from the State. This is an entirely new item.

Likewise, there appears an item of \$476,011 in the Water Department's budget set up as a reserve for interest and redemption, this amount to accommodate interest and redemption on P. W. A. projects under this department's jurisdiction.

In this connection I might add that the Controller has advised me that after City agreements have been executed he will then have prepared a supplemental budget of P. W. A. project items. The supplementary budget will be in your hands in sufficient time for the tax-fixing date.

Public Utilities—

The amount set up for the lighting of public streets by the Public Utilities Commission is hereby recommended, and I have been assured that this amount will adequately take care of the street lighting situation for all districts.

The Public Utilities Commission has added to the lighting budget an item of \$234,000 for the acquisition or construction of a lighting system in various streets, in order to reduce the cost of operation and in order to improve street lighting. With this expenditure an annual saving of \$28,000 will apply.

All funds for heat, light and power for all departments in the City service have this year been transferred to the bureau hitherto set up for Public Building Lighting by the Public Utilities Commission. In this way the administration of all money for these services is concentrated at one point of control. Without question this will result in a marked economy. In addition to the financial savings, the checking of bills and the making of payments will be greatly simplified.

The Utilities Commission has informed me that the forthcoming fiscal year will see reduction in water rates approximating 10 per cent, which will automatically reduce the contribution of the Water Department to the credit of the General Fund.

I am in receipt of a letter from the San Francisco-San Mateo District Agricultural Association, a copy of which I am herewith enclosing, requesting an appropriation of \$250,000 being placed in this budget—the same to be used for the construction of a livestock and horse show exposition building and improvements in Agricultural District 1-A, who further assure me that no further demands will be made upon San Francisco either for maintenance, upkeep, improvements, or for any other purpose in connection with this project.

This letter was received too late to give it consideration in my budget estimate to your Board. However, I wish you to know that should your Board feel inclined to include in this budget the amount in question, under the conditions outlined, in the accompanying letter, I will sustain the recommendation.

Section 72 of the Charter also provides that the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors an estimate of the tax rate required to sustain the proposed budget.

The budget as it is herewith being presented to you, exclusive of bonds, utilities, and county roads, amounts to \$45,643,642, as contrasted to departmental requests of \$48,222,302, a reduction of \$2,576,660. These figures are also exclusive of salary deductions, for in the absence of emergency legislation Charter provisions must be adhered to.

In a spirit of fairness to the taxpayers and the municipal employees, I feel that I should suggest, operative as of July 1st, 1934, a fifty per cent restoration of the salary deduction now in force. I predicate this recommendation upon the thought that the cause which prompted the emergency salary deduction legislation, at least in part, has been overcome, and therefore the employees' salaries accordingly should be adjusted.

I have been advised by the Assessor that no accurate estimate of the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1934-35 is obtainable at this time. Therefore, as a basis for rate calculation, I will use the present assessment roll figures. Furthermore, due to the uncertainty of certain items of revenue, the Controller has reserved the right to supplement his estimated income returns but assures me that it will be in your hands prior to the tax-fixing date.

With proper consideration given to the factors above mentioned, this budget can be sustained by a tax rate of \$3.80 to \$3.83 per one hundred dollars assessed valuation.

In consonance with the provisions of the Charter I am herewith transmitting to your Honorable Board a draft of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance as presented to me by the Controller.

In conclusion, may I take this opportunity of giving expression of my gratifications to the Boards, Commissions, the department heads, and more particularly to the Controller and the Civil Service Commission and their associates for the splendid cooperation given me in the compilation of this budget. Many of the refinements contained therein were only made possible through their devoted assistance.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

April 13, 1934.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: On April 23, 1934, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 1378, as follows:

"Resolved, That an immediate survey be made of lands now owned by the City which might advantageously be exchanged or sold in order to bring about an exchange for lands required for recreation or other purposes."

This resolution is similar to requests made to this Department by you on several occasions since the new Charter went into effect. The procedure is outlined in section 92 of the Charter and reads as follows:

"The proceeds of the sale of any property under the control of the Department shall be applied by the Supervisors to the purchase of additional land for the use of such Department if required thereby; otherwise such proceeds shall be applied to the purchase of additional real estate for any City and County purpose, provided, however, that the proceeds of the sale of any property acquired for the use of any utility shall revert to the funds of said utility. The Director of Property may, in lieu of sale, arrange for the trading of any real property proposed to be sold for other property required by the Department in charge thereof on the recommendation of the officer, Board or Commission in charge of such property and the authorization by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors."

Carrying out your previous requests, this Department has endeavored to sell vacant and unused property as soon as a suitable price could be obtained therefor. These sales for the last six months of the fiscal year 1931-1932 amounted to \$19,425, for the fiscal year 1932-1933, \$78,839.43, and to date for this fiscal year, \$5,620. The sales were made for the benefit of the Public Utilities Commission, Fire Department, School Department, Department of Public Works and the Department of Public Health. At the present time there is on deposit in the City Treasury in the Land Reserve Fund the following amounts credited to the following departments:

Department of Public Works	\$ 9,191.00
Department of Public Health	6,634.60
Fire Department	19,625.00
General	5,425.00

The entire amount credited to the Public Utility Commission has been set aside for the purchase of necessary lands for the extension of the University Mound Reservoir.

The majority of the vacant City-owned lands is held in the name of the School Department, and in the event of sale the moneys would probably be needed for the purchase of other School Department lands.

The Recreation Commission has no vacant property and consequently would not be able to acquire new property by the method of trading.

MONDAY, MAY 7, 1934.

It is not the policy of this Department to recommend the sale of any City vacant property at the present depression prices. All of the sales to date have been made on what might be termed a normal market. Considerable thought has been given to the matter of sales and trading during the last two and one-half years, and every effort will be made to dispose of City property not used or required for City purposes as soon as proper offers are received.

Under date of March 9, 1934, I recommended to you and the Chief Administrative Officer that when the County Jail is moved to its new location in San Mateo County that the present site and buildings be transferred to the Recreation Commission. This will fill a long-felt need in this District.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) JOSEPH J. PHILLIPS,
Director of Property.

SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL
ASSOCIATION

W. L. DOUGLAS, Secretary
790 Mills Building

April 30, 1934.

Honorable Angelo Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco,
California.

My dear Mr. Mayor: This letter is for the purpose of assuring you and the Board of Supervisors that San Francisco will not be asked to contribute anything to the expense and upkeep of the livestock exposition project and buildings for Agricultural District 1-A after the proposed \$250,000 appropriation has been made. This appropriation and the purchase of a site for the exposition buildings are necessary to release the \$250,000 now in the State Treasury and appropriated by the Legislature for this purpose. The site for the exposition buildings, which will be deeded to and owned by the State of California, will be furnished by those citizens and industries who want to see this project completed. Six thousand dollars has already been subscribed for this purpose, so that San Francisco will not be asked to contribute anything for site purchase.

With this assurance it is hoped that you can include in your forthcoming recommendations to the Supervisors an item of \$250,000 for Agricultural District 1-A for the construction of livestock and horse show exposition buildings and improvements. This appropriation may be made with the distinct understanding that San Francisco shall not be expected to contribute anything further for the purchase of a site or for maintenance, upkeep or the expenses of the project. The expense, maintenance, further development and operation after erection will be borne by the State of California in the same manner as other similar State institutions are maintained and developed.

Since this matter was submitted to the people upon a basis of \$1,500,000 for the completed project, we have revised our plans so that a complete unit sufficient for the holding of livestock expositions and horse shows can be erected at an expense of \$695,000. Inasmuch as the government has already approved this project for a much larger sum, it is believed that the difference between the \$500,000 State and City money, and the \$695,000—namely, \$195,000 (being 30 per cent of the cost of labor and materials)—will be readily appropriated by the Federal Government. This unit will furnish 65,000 days of actual construction labor, which, in the above estimates, have been reckoned at \$5 per day.

It is the declared policy of our trustees to afford the City of San Francisco the use of these buildings and grounds for educational and recreational purposes. We have heretofore offered the School Department the use of space during such time as it is not being used for livestock exposition and horse-show purposes. You may, therefore, be

assured that the City, at the cost only of light, heat, water, maintenance, janitor service and repairs, etc., made necessary by the use, will enjoy these privileges.

The livestock exposition buildings will be located in San Francisco and San Mateo Counties at or near the county line in the Visitation Valley area, unless it shall hereafter be deemed advisable to locate them at the site selected for the Bridge Exposition.

I thank you for the very careful consideration which you have given this project, and fully appreciate your desire to make available the \$250,000 now in the State Treasury appropriated for the benefit of our City. Please accept my best wishes and regard.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) C. H. SOOY,
President, San Francisco-San Mateo
District Agricultural Association.

(Budget in detail on file in Clerk's Office, Board of Supervisors.)

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Hearing Street Work Projects Less Than \$1,000.

April 9, 1934—Supervisor Brown moved that consideration of report on street work projects less than \$1,000 be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m., Monday, April 23, 1934, and that Mr. Worden and Mr. McKenzie be invited to attend.

So ordered.

On this day, May 7, 1934, at the appointed time, appeared Director of Public Works Worden and Mr. H. McKenzie of the Street Repair Department, and replied to numerous questions propounded by Supervisor Brown relative to street work projects for less than \$1,000.

Referred.

Whereupon, the matter was referred to the Commercial Development and Streets Committee jointly.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Board of Supervisors Memorializes Congress to Pass Bill Providing for Immediate Payment of Veterans' Adjusted Compensation.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1393, as follows:

Whereas, legislation is now pending in Congress, designed to effect an immediate payment of the balance of the so-called bonus awarded to the veterans of the World War; and

Whereas, it is highly desirable in this period of acute economic stress to execute every possible plan for the increased circulation of money; and

Whereas, the government is intent upon a program for the expenditure of moneys, which will lend an impetus to economic recovery; and

Whereas, the debts incurred by the United States in the conduct of the World War, as for materials and supplies and the labor incidental in producing them, have been settled, leaving unpaid only those who at great sacrifice, physically and materially, were actually engaged in the naval or military service; and

Whereas, many veterans of the World War are in dire financial circumstances and are being pressed by their creditors; and

Whereas, the great majority of veterans have been required to borrow upon their adjusted compensation certificates and are unable to repay either principal or interest; and

Whereas, unless the due date of these certificates is accelerated, the interest will consume practically all of the balance owing upon them; and

Whereas, the immediate payment of the adjusted compensation would redound to the economic welfare of the country because of the fact that most, if not all, of this money would immediately find its way into circulation through many and diversified channels; and

Whereas, the obligation has been assumed by the Federal Government and is to be paid at a future date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Congress and does urge the immediate passage of a bill, having for its purpose the immediate redemption of adjusted compensation certificates; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the California delegation in Congress, with a request for their support in the matter; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to President Roosevelt, Vice-President Garner and Speaker Rainey of the House of Representatives, with a request for their favorable consideration in the premises.

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. L. Wilson, representing Veterans of Foreign Wars, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard in favor of the proposed resolution.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the resolution *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

Report of City Attorney on Status of Gas Rate Litigation.

No action.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), by
Eliminating Third Street as an Arterial Street.**

(Code No. 11.02)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 557, Ordinance No. 11.029, as follows:

Amending Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith," *by eliminating Third street as an arterial street.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 24 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 24. *Arterial Streets.* The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Alemany boulevard from the west line of Bay Shore boulevard to the midline of San Jose avenue;

Bay Shore boulevard from the west line of San Bruno avenue to the County line of San Francisco;

Bernal avenue southerly from the midline of Randall street to San Jose avenue, thence on San Jose avenue to the north line of Sagamore street, and from the south line of Alemany boulevard to the City and County line of San Francisco;

California street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Arguello boulevard;

Fell street from the west line of Polk street to the east line of Van Ness avenue, and from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street;

Fulton street from the west line of Franklin street to the east line of the Great Highway;

Geary *boulevard* from the west line of Presidio avenue to Point Lobos avenue, thence along Point Lobos avenue from Geary *boulevard* to the Great Highway;

Junipero Serra boulevard from the west line of Portola drive to the City and County line of San Francisco;

Lincoln way from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Third avenue, thence on the Kezar Stadium road in Golden Gate Park to the west line of the intersecting roadway approximately 500 feet westerly of Stanyan street;

Lombard street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Lyon street;

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to Portola drive, thence on Portola drive to the east line of Claremont boulevard and on Portola drive from the west line of Claremont boulevard to the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Monterey boulevard from the west line of San Jose avenue to Santa Clara avenue, thence on Santa Clara avenue to the south line of Portola drive;

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the west line of Junipero Serra boulevard;

Ocean avenue from the east line of the main roadway of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of San Jose avenue, from the east line of San Jose avenue to the west line of Alemany boulevard, and from the east line of Alemany boulevard to the west line of Mission street;

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Presidio avenue;

Potrero avenue from the north line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue;

Seventh avenue from the south line of Lincoln way to Laguna Honda boulevard, thence on Laguna Honda boulevard to Dewey boulevard, thence on Dewey boulevard to Claremont boulevard, thence on Claremont boulevard to the center line of Portola drive;

Sloat boulevard from the east line of the Great Highway to the west line of Nineteenth avenue and from the east line of Nineteenth avenue to the west line of Portola drive;

Tenth street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street;

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary boulevard to the south line of Fulton street, thence on the roadway through Golden Gate Park to the north line of Lincoln way at Nineteenth avenue;

Twenty-fourth street from the west line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue;

Van Ness avenue from the south line of North Point street to the north line of Market street;

Van Ness avenue South from the south line of Market street to the center line of Howard street;

Van Ness avenue South from the north line of Thirteenth street to the north line of Army street.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to cause to be placed and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the word "STOP."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Amending Salary Ordinance—Section 53—Board of Public Works.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 559, Ordinance No. 9.05359, as follows:

An ordinance amending section 53 of Ordinance 9.05339 (the Annual Salary Ordinance) by reducing the number of employments under item 1 by one and adding item 5½.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Amend section 53 of Ordinance 9.05339 to read as follows:

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 250.
2	8	B222	General Clerk	200.
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200.
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	325.
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185.
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 to the Credit of Contractual Service, Agricultural Commission.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 563, Ordinance No. 9.051126, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$300 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$300 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission); same being for contractual service.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Clerk to Advertise for Bids—1933 Water Distribution Bonds.

(Code No. 15.021)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1408, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper notice of sale that on the 14th day of May, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p. m. on said date for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

\$1,324,000, 4 per cent "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," dated December 1, 1933, comprising 67 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1934 to 1942, inclusive; 66 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing each year 1943 to 1952, inclusive; 61 bonds maturing in 1953.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Requesting the California State Highway Commission to Appropriate \$67,000 for the Purchase of Rights of Way for Junipero Serra Boulevard, Sections 2 and 3.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1409, as follows:

Whereas, Joint Highway District No. 10 has adopted a resolution requesting the State of California to appropriate \$67,000—one-third of \$200,000 required for purchase of necessary rights of way—Sections 2 and 3 of the Junipero Serra boulevard extension, and said resolution has been indorsed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby requests the Highway Commission of the State of California to grant said request.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Demands.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1410, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 379 to Director of Public Works for \$285.68, No. 380 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 381 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 382 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$1,320.10, No. 383 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$187.58, No. 384 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$876.27, No. 385 to Umberto Venturi, Vincenzo Salvo, Evo Fontana for \$1,180.55, No. 386 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$1,200, No. 387 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$800, No. 388 to Peter Humbert for \$50, No. 389 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$25.26—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was, on motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, *laid over one week*:

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse, to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnaces and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free to the successful bidder

for the life of the franchise. All load tests shall be made by the successful bidder at his own expense.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work on any of the three propositions, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon present tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost for bond insurance and taxes;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same as urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the gov-

ernmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, at the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Extension of Time, Eaton & Smith, Improvement of Carolina Street.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1406, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton and Smith be, and they are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 19, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.

Contractor is awaiting certification of contract by Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Intention to Establish Grades on Poppy Lane Between Diamond and Conrad Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

Also, Resolution No. 1407, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 196 of the Director of Public Works dated April 20, 1934, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board April 21, 1934, to-wit:

Poppy Lane.

44.98 feet westerly from Diamond street, 288.50 feet.

74.94 feet westerly from Diamond street, 292.35 feet.

Northerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 296.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 295.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 189.66 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 297.70 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 151.50 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 300 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 27.60 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 315.30 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.29 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.79 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 173.5 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 321.78 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 115 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 329 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334 feet.

Northwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334.50 feet.

On Poppy Lane between Diamond and Conrad streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor, and the present official grade of Diamond and Conrad streets at Poppy Lane.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment dis-

trict is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades.

The Director of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this Resolution of Intention.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Accepting the Roadway of the Crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 565, Ordinance No. 12.081115, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of crossing, Jarboe avenue and Putnam street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Crossing of Jarboe avenue and Putnam street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Accepting Deed, Land for University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1412, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Ernest Crescio et ux., to lots 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Assessor's Block 6056, San Francisco, required for the extension of University Mound Reservoir, and that the sum of \$31,534 be paid for said land from 1933-1934 Appropriation No. 224-1. Said sum of \$31,534 shall be repaid to Appropriation No. 224-1 from the proceeds to be derived from the sale of the 1933 Water Distribution Bonds.

It is understood that the improvements now located on the above land shall remain the property of the grantors and shall be removed from the premises by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Accepting Deed, Land University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1413, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Giovanni Rinaldi, et al., to lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8,

in Assessor's Block 6057, San Francisco, required for the extension of University Mound Reservoir; and that the total sum of \$33,000 be paid for said land, the sum of \$26,312.27 to be paid from the Water Department Land Purchase Fund and the sum of \$6,687.73 to be paid from 1933-1934 Appropriation No. 224-1. Said sum of \$6,687.73 shall be repaid to Appropriation No. 224-1 from the proceeds to be derived from the sale of the 1933 Water Distribution Bonds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing Extension of Agreement, Hetch Hetchy Easement.

(Code No. 15.034)

Also, Resolution No. 1414, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, under authority of Resolution No. 25034 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, accepted a certain deed dated January 26, 1926, from R. L. Price, et ux., to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the lands of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit, by Resolution No. 848 of this Board, adopted June 19, 1933, was extended to April 1, 1934; and

Whereas, said R. L. Price et ux., have requested a further extension of said time limit to April 1, 1935; and

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has recommended an extension of said time limit to April 1, 1935; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a written agreement with R. L. Price, et ux., extending said time limit to April 1, 1935, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified by said agreement.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence, Lawrence Arnstein, Chairman of Health Advisory Board.

San Francisco, Cal., May 1, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. Lawrence Arnstein, Chairman of the Health Advisory Board, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of three weeks, commencing May 1st.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1405, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mr. Lawrence Arnstein, chairman of the Health Advisory Board, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of

three weeks, commencing May 1, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Agreement With State Emergency Relief Administration for Operating State Labor Camps for Single Men.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from H. A. R. Carleton, director of Transient Service and State Labor Camps, State Emergency Relief Administration, transmitting four copies of agreement between this City and the State Emergency Relief Administration for operating the State labor camps for single resident men. And stating that it is important these agreements be executed without delay as enrollment for State labor camps can begin as soon as executed.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

California Conference of Social Work on Public Emergency Relief in California.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Aleta Brownlee, inviting attendance of the Board of Supervisors at the California Conference of Social Work re public emergency relief in California, which conference takes place in San Diego May 13 to 17, 1934.

Ordered *filed*.

Associated General Contractors Deny Advocacy of Charter Amend- ment Reducing Limitation of \$1,000 for Street Work Projects Without Calling for Bids.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Floyd O. Booe, secretary-manager Northern California Chapter, The Associated General Contractors of America, Inc., transmitting copy of an official communication denying advocacy of a Charter amendment or the adoption of a special ordinance reducing the limitation of \$1,000 which the Charter provides for street work without calling of bids to a similar limitation in the amount of \$100, which proposition was imputed to the Associated General Contractors by the Building Trades Council of San Francisco in communication to the Board of Supervisors dated April 27, 1934.

Referred to Streets and Commercial Development Committee.

Opposition to Glen Park Reservoir.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Marian G. Porter, secretary Glen Park Community Club, advising that said organization has gone on record as being opposed to a reservoir in Glen Park.

Ordered *filed*.

Pay of Employees of State and Local Relief Administration.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, calling attention to order issued by FERA providing "State and Local Relief Administrations may not employ anyone for less than \$15 a week in any city over 500,000 population, or in the immediate trade area of such city;" and declaring he cannot find any bulletin which gives the

salaries quoted by Supervisor Uhl in the proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of April 16.

Ordered *filed*.

Bond Interest and Redemption Breakdown.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy re request of Supervisor Colman stating that breakdown on bond interest and redemption is to be found in the Budget sheets, Index 26, sheets 3 and 4, revenue to offset same will be found in Appropriation Ordinance under Appropriation No. 26-800, under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer.

Ordered *filed*.

Remodeling Girls' High School.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. A. Ormond, Secretary Board of Education, re request of Supervisor Uhl as to cause of delay in remodeling Girls' High School, advising that the matter is in the hands of the Director, Department of Public Works, and that bids are to be opened on May 16 covering work involved. Further that the matter has been pressed through to the point of acceptance by the Bureau at Sacramento to the greatest possible degree.

Ordered *filed*.

Mother's Day Celebration Invitation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alma N. Water, secretary General Program Committee of Citizens' Committee for the Celebration of Mother's Day, in the Mothers' National Grove in Golden Gate Park, Lincoln way and Twenty-fifth avenue, at 2 p. m., Sunday, May 13, 1934, and extending invitation to members of the Board of Supervisors to be present.

Ordered *filed*.

Protest Against Supervisor Brown's Brick Incident.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Women's Welfare League advising of resolution adopted Monday evening, April 23, at Whitcomb Hotel, protesting action of Supervisor Brown in his address before the Board of Supervisors condemnatory of "brick speech" at mass meeting of Good Government League in Dreamland Auditorium, April 19, 1934.

Ordered *filed*.

McLaren Park.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the Clerk renew his request for information as to status of McLaren Park project.

So ordered.

Automobile Log.

Supervisor Uhl, under Rule 30 of the Rules of Proceedings, called out of the Rules Committee his resolution requiring chauffeurs of the Board of Supervisors to keep a log of their activities.

Supervisor McSheehy requested a week's delay and promised that commencing with today he would instruct the chauffeurs to comply with the request of Supervisor Uhl.

Single Men's Relief.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk write the Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee for information as to what has been done on single men's relief program; also inquire who has been named to take care of single men's work.

So ordered.

City Employees Parking Machines in Front of City Hall.

Supervisor Ratto asked that an investigation be made of city employees parking their automobiles around the City Hall.

So ordered.

Opinion of City Attorney on Recommendations of Board of Supervisors That New Streets Be Opened.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from City Attorney in re recommendations of Board of Supervisors that new streets be opened, and declaring that said matter is a capital expenditure or public improvement which the Board has the right to make provision for in its annual budget.

Also, Resolution No. 979, requesting Streets Committee to hold meeting of all assessable property owners, representatives of improvement clubs, City Engineer and others relative to the construction of new street off Wisconsin street to provide an adequate entrance to Potrero Playground.

Referred to Director of Public Works.

New Street Off Wisconsin Street.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the opinion of the City Attorney on the province of the Board in adopting Resolution No. 979, re creation of new street off Wisconsin street, to serve as an entrance to the Potrero Playground, together with said Resolution No. 979, be sent to the Director of Public Works for his consideration, report and comment thereon, and that he be advised that a report on said subject matter should be received quickly because of the fact that the budget is under consideration.

So ordered.

Investigation of Statements Made at Dreamland Mass Meeting of Good Government League.

The following was presented by Supervisor Uhl and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, May 7, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Public Welfare and Judiciary, in joint session Thursday, May 3, 1934, having under consideration proposed resolution of Supervisor Brown requesting investigation by the District Attorney of certain statements made at mass meeting of the Good Government League at Dreamland Auditorium April 19, 1934, begs leave to report that said matter was given hearing and careful consideration and Supervisor Brown's resolution thereafter was ordered filed by a vote of 3 to 2 on the grounds that the District Attorney is cognizant of the remarks made by Mr. Harvey M. Toy and, under the law, it is the duty of the District Attorney to call to the attention of the Grand Jury any violations of the statutes.

It is, therefore, the judgment of a majority of the Joint Committee that the matter rests with the District Attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

JOINT COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
WELFARE AND JUDICIARY,

By ADOLPH UHL, Chairman.

ADOLPH SCHMIDT, Member.

A. J. GALLAGHER, Member.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved the adoption of the foregoing majority report.

Minority Report.

Thereupon, Supervisor Hayden presented the following minority report and moved that it be substituted for the majority report:

San Francisco, California, May 7, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The undersigned, constituting a minority of the Joint Committee on Public Welfare and Judiciary, begs leave to report adversely to the action of said Joint Committee in filing the resolution presented by Supervisor Brown calling upon the District Attorney to investigate the question whether certain statements made at the Dreamland Auditorium mass meeting of the Good Government League on the evening of April 19, 1934, were in violation of the laws of California.

We, therefore, recommend the adoption of the resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

J. EMMET HAYDEN, Member.

FRANCK HAVENNER, Member.

Minority report *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

**Recommending Grand Jury Investigation of Meeting Held in
Dreamland Auditorium, Thursday Evening, April 19, 1934.**

(Code No. 2.061)

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 1388, as follows:

Whereas, it has come to the attention of the Board of Supervisors that on Thursday evening, April 19, 1934, there was a public meeting held in Dreamland Auditorium in San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is reported that during the course of that meeting certain parties advocated to the audience that the taxpayers of San Francisco march to the City Hall in force, and that they arm themselves with brick-bats for such march; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors go on record as condemning such suggestion as being un-American, radical and dangerous in the extreme; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors request the District Attorney to make an investigation of the reported incidents to ascertain whether they fall within the provisions of Section 31, Sections 403 to 408, inclusive, of the Penal Code, State of California, and that if such is the case that the District Attorney be requested to take such further action as he may deem necessary.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Mayor's Committee, "Flag Day," Sunday, June 17, 1934.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1416, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully authorized and requested to appoint a suitable Citizens' Committee for the proper observance of "Flag Day," Sunday, June 17, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Investigation of Removal of Railway Tracks, Tenth Avenue
and Masonic Avenue.**

(Code No. 15.049)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1415, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has received bids for the removal of tracks on Masonic avenue and on Tenth avenue, indicating an intention to abandon street railway transportation on Tenth avenue; and

Whereas, transportation facilities have a direct influence on real estate values, and curtailment or abandonment of service on Tenth avenue would depreciate the investment of home owners in that vicinity; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee is hereby directed to investigate the proposed removal of tracks on Tenth avenue and to report to this Board the effect of such removal on transportation to that neighborhood; and be it

Further Resolved, That said report be filed prior to the award of bids by the Public Utilities Commission on May 14.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 10 a. m., tomorrow, May 8, 1934, for the purpose of conducting public hearing on Budget for 1934-1935.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 14, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Tuesday, May 8, 1934

Monday, May 14, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, MAY 8, 1934, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, May 8, 1934, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of May 7, 1934, for the purpose of hearing the public on the proposed budget of municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1934-1935|

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Budget of Municipal Expenditures for Fiscal Year 1934-1935.

MAYOR'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

May 1, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Conforming with section 72 of the Charter, I am here-with transmitting to you a budget of estimated receipts and expenditures for the City and County of San Francisco for the ensuing fiscal year. I conferred with the heads of all departments and likewise held many open hearings at which all interested groups and individuals were accorded the opportunity of presenting their viewpoints upon matters in which they were concerned. In this connection, I might add that these conferences were tremendously interesting and enlightening and in many instances aided me in reaching a decision.

At all times while deliberating upon this budget estimate, I was mindful of the public welfare, the taxpayer, and the efficient operation of our municipal departments.

I believe it proper that I should direct your attention to major items of increase appearing in this budget recommendation. They are as follows:

Park Department—\$120,000.

To be applied for the completion of the rotunda of the Palace of Fine Arts.

Completion of the approach to Coit Memorial.

Continuation of the reconstruction of the Conservatory, Golden Gate Park.

Convenience stations.

Improvements to McCoppin Square.

An amount necessary as reported by the Director of Properties on account of annual payment for the purchase of land for Fleishhacker Playfield and principal and interest due.

Recreation Department—Increase of \$200,000.

Ninth and Ortega—land and improvement.

Longfellow School site—land.

Grattan School site—land.

Bayview District—land.

Thirty-eighth and Fulton—field house.

Southern Heights improvements.

St. Mary's Park.

Glen Park field house.

Sunset District land site.

Eureka Valley improvements.

I regret indeed that economic conditions will not permit a more generous consideration of the above items, for in this department the womanhood and manhood of tomorrow is molded. These centers, established in the interest of our children's safety and welfare, provide for them a physical and moral background not obtainable elsewhere.

Library—Increase of \$69,500.

During the past year few new books were purchased. Normally, each year in excess of twenty thousand volumes are discarded because of the excessive wear and tear upon them. This year, due to the lack of funds for rebinding purposes, an additional twenty-five thousand books have been taken from circulation.

Due to the unemployment situation, the demands upon our public libraries increased tremendously. For this, however, we should be thankful, for it reflects the book-mindedness of our citizens. It is, therefore, our obligation to provide them with proper reading material and facilities during their idleness.

Health Department—Increase of \$139,000.

Central Office—Administrative, clinics, social service, laboratory, inspection, field nursing.....	\$ 599,104
Laguna Honda Home	473,533
Isolation Hospital	40,162
San Francisco Hospital	1,165,996
Emergency Hospital	203,110
Hassler Health Farm	84,715

County Welfare Department—Increase of \$122,000.

Maintenance of feeble-minded	\$ 148,000
Widows' pensions—orphans and half orphans....	220,000
Maintenance of the aged.....	455,000
Blind pensions	100,000
Maintenance of minors	595,000

Total Health and Welfare, \$4,084,620.

Our needy sick, handicapped, aged and orphans must be adequately cared for and every dollar herein set up will be used for these humanitarian purposes.

Juvenile Detention Home—

I keenly realize the great handicap and added costs placed upon the Juvenile Detention Home operations due to the inadequacy of their quarters. The subject matter has been called to the attention of the Director of Properties, and up to the present time no report has been received from him, hence no action could be taken.

Likewise, I have referred to the Director of Properties the item of purchasing land immediately adjacent to the Palace of Fine Arts building. I feel that Resolution No. 1378, passed by your Board, which reads as follows:

"Resolved, That an immediate survey be made of lands now owned by the City which might advantageously be exchanged or sold in order to bring about an exchange for lands required for recreation or other purposes,"

will possibly be the means of eventually acquiring the land in question. However, this is a matter for subsequent consideration.

Enclosed you will please find copy of a letter received from the Director of Properties touching upon this item.

Police and Fire Departments—

The security of the lives and property of our citizens is in the hands of these departments, and the personnel of both could well be increased, but at the present time I deem it improper that this additional burden should be cast upon the taxpayers of our City, and I am satisfied that the heads of these departments, as well as the personnel, are mindful of this condition and they will in the future, as they have in the past, continue to provide for us the splendid fire and police services that made it possible for us to justifiably boast of the efficiency of these departments.

At a time when courage is the vital necessity to combat the growing tendency toward lawlessness and when there is a crying need for a more careful regulation of the automobile traffic of the City, it would be false economy on the part of our Police Department to jeopardize the lives of our people by ruthlessly decreasing the personnel of the force.

While the allowance for personnel services in these departments merely provides for the minimum as set up in the Salary Ordinance appropriation, I feel it only proper that your attention should be called to the fact that the number of employees in this department are fewer than in 1932.

Unemployment Relief—

One million dollars has been set up in this budget estimate—same to be used either in direct support of the needy or for labor whereby city persons may aid in their own support or purchase materials which will aid in providing such labor. Unquestionably, a much larger amount will be required for relief purposes. I believe the amount additionally needed should be made available through governmental subvention.

You will please be further advised that the estimate of the Citizens Relief Committee for relief purposes for the year 1934-35 is \$8,000,000.

Bond Interest and Redemption—

Included in the budget for the coming fiscal year there is \$12,194,070. There is also included an additional amount of \$145,000 for the repayment of the loan and interest in connection with the unemployment relief loan from the State. This is an entirely new item.

Likewise, there appears an item of \$476,011 in the Water Department's budget set up as a reserve for interest and redemption, this amount to accommodate interest and redemption on P. W. A. projects under this department's jurisdiction.

In this connection I might add that the Controller has advised me that after City agreements have been executed he will then have prepared a supplemental budget of P. W. A. project items. The supplementary budget will be in your hands in sufficient time for the tax-fixing date.

Public Utilities—

The amount set up for the lighting of public streets by the Public Utilities Commission is hereby recommended, and I have been assured that this amount will adequately take care of the street lighting situation for all districts.

The Public Utilities Commission has added to the lighting budget an item of \$234,000 for the acquisition or construction of a lighting system in various streets, in order to reduce the cost of operation and in order to improve street lighting. With this expenditure an annual saving of \$28,000 will apply.

All funds for heat, light and power for all departments in the City service have this year been transferred to the bureau hitherto set up for Public Building Lighting by the Public Utilities Commission. In this way the administration of all money for these services is concentrated at one point of control. Without question this will result in a marked economy. In addition to the financial savings, the checking of bills and the making of payments will be greatly simplified.

The Utilities Commission has informed me that the forthcoming fiscal year will see reduction in water rates approximating 10 per cent, which will automatically reduce the contribution of the Water Department to the credit of the General Fund.

I am in receipt of a letter from the San Francisco-San Mateo District Agricultural Association, a copy of which I am herewith enclosing, requesting an appropriation of \$250,000 being placed in this budget—the same to be used for the construction of a livestock and horse show exposition building and improvements in Agricultural District 1-A, who further assure me that no further demands will be made upon San Francisco either for maintenance, upkeep, improvements, or for any other purpose in connection with this project.

This letter was received too late to give it consideration in my budget estimate to your Board. However, I wish you to know that should your Board feel inclined to include in this budget the amount in question, under the conditions outlined, in the accompanying letter, I will sustain the recommendation.

Section 72 of the Charter also provides that the Mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors an estimate of the tax rate required to sustain the proposed budget.

The budget as it is herewith being presented to you, exclusive of bonds, utilities, and county roads, amounts to \$45,643,642, as contrasted to departmental requests of \$48,222,302, a reduction of \$2,576,660. These figures are also exclusive of salary deductions, for in the absence of emergency legislation Charter provisions must be adhered to.

In a spirit of fairness to the taxpayers and the municipal employees, I feel that I should suggest, operative as of July 1st, 1934, a fifty per cent restoration of the salary deduction now in force. I predicate this recommendation upon the thought that the cause which prompted the emergency salary deduction legislation, at least in part, has been overcome, and therefore the employees' salaries accordingly should be adjusted.

I have been advised by the Assessor that no accurate estimate of the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1934-35 is obtainable at this time. Therefore, as a basis for rate calculation, I will use the present assessment roll figures. Furthermore, due to the uncertainty of certain items of revenue, the Controller has reserved the right to supplement his estimated income returns but assures me that it will be in your hands prior to the tax-fixing date.

With proper consideration given to the factors above mentioned, this budget can be sustained by a tax rate of \$3.80 to \$3.83 per one hundred dollars assessed valuation.

In consonance with the provisions of the Charter I am herewith transmitting to your Honorable Board a draft of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance as presented to me by the Controller.

In conclusion, may I take this opportunity of giving expression of my gratifications to the Boards, Commissions, the department heads, and more particularly to the Controller and the Civil Service Commission and their associates for the splendid cooperation given me in the

compilation of this budget. Many of the refinements contained therein were only made possible through their devoted assistance.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

April 13, 1934.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir: On April 23, 1934, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 1378, as follows:

"Resolved, That an immediate survey be made of lands now owned by the City which might advantageously be exchanged or sold in order to bring about an exchange for lands required for recreation or other purposes."

This resolution is similar to requests made to this Department by you on several occasions since the new Charter went into effect. The procedure is outlined in section 92 of the Charter and reads as follows:

"The proceeds of the sale of any property under the control of the Department shall be applied by the Supervisors to the purchase of additional land for the use of such Department if required thereby; otherwise such proceeds shall be applied to the purchase of additional real estate for any City and County purpose, provided, however, that the proceeds of the sale of any property acquired for the use of any utility shall revert to the funds of said utility. The Director of Property may, in lieu of sale, arrange for the trading of any real property proposed to be sold for other property required by the Department in charge thereof on the recommendation of the officer, Board or Commission in charge of such property and the authorization by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors."

Carrying out your previous requests, this Department has endeavored to sell vacant and unused property as soon as a suitable price could be obtained therefor. These sales for the last six months of the fiscal year 1931-1932 amounted to \$19,425, for the fiscal year 1932-1933, \$78,839.43, and to date for this fiscal year, \$5,620. The sales were made for the benefit of the Public Utilities Commission, Fire Department, School Department, Department of Public Works and the Department of Public Health. At the present time there is on deposit in the City Treasury in the Land Reserve Fund the following amounts credited to the following departments:

Department of Public Works	\$ 9,191.00
Department of Public Health	6,634.60
Fire Department	19,625.00
General	5,425.00

The entire amount credited to the Public Utility Commission has been set aside for the purchase of necessary lands for the extension of the University Mound Reservoir.

The majority of the vacant City-owned lands is held in the name of the School Department, and in the event of sale the moneys would probably be needed for the purchase of other School Department lands.

The Recreation Commission has no vacant property and consequently would not be able to acquire new property by the method of trading.

It is not the policy of this Department to recommend the sale of any City vacant property at the present depression prices. All of the sales to date have been made on what might be termed a normal market. Considerable thought has been given to the matter of sales and

TUESDAY, MAY 8, 1934.

trading during the last two and one-half years; and every effort will be made to dispose of City property not used or required for City purposes as soon as proper offers are received.

Under date of March 9, 1934, I recommended to you and the Chief Administrative Officer that when the County Jail is moved to its new location in San Mateo County that the present site and buildings be transferred to the Recreation Commission. This will fill a long-felt need in this District.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) JOSEPH J. PHILLIPS,
Director of Property.

SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL
ASSOCIATION

W. L. DOUGLAS, Secretary
790 Mills Building

April 30, 1934.

Honorable Angelo Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco,
California.

My dear Mr. Mayor: This letter is for the purpose of assuring you and the Board of Supervisors that San Francisco will not be asked to contribute anything to the expense and upkeep of the livestock exposition project and buildings for Agricultural District 1-A after the proposed \$250,000 appropriation has been made. This appropriation and the purchase of a site for the exposition buildings are necessary to release the \$250,000 now in the State Treasury and appropriated by the Legislature for this purpose. The site for the exposition buildings, which will be deeded to and owned by the State of California, will be furnished by those citizens and industries who want to see this project completed. Six thousand dollars has already been subscribed for this purpose, so that San Francisco will not be asked to contribute anything for site purchase.

With this assurance it is hoped that you can include in your forthcoming recommendations to the Supervisors an item of \$250,000 for Agricultural District 1-A for the construction of livestock and horse show exposition buildings and improvements. This appropriation may be made with the distinct understanding that San Francisco shall not be expected to contribute anything further for the purchase of a site or for maintenance, upkeep or the expenses of the project. The expense, maintenance, further development and operation after erection will be borne by the State of California in the same manner as other similar State institutions are maintained and developed.

Since this matter was submitted to the people upon a basis of \$1,500,000 for the completed project, we have revised our plans so that a complete unit sufficient for the holding of livestock expositions and horse shows can be erected at an expense of \$695,000. Inasmuch as the government has already approved this project for a much larger sum, it is believed that the difference between the \$500,000 State and City money, and the \$695,000—namely, \$195,000 (being 30 per cent of the cost of labor and materials)—will be readily appropriated by the Federal Government. This unit will furnish 65,000 days of actual construction labor, which, in the above estimates, have been reckoned at \$5 per day.

It is the declared policy of our trustees to afford the City of San Francisco the use of these buildings and grounds for educational and recreational purposes. We have heretofore offered the School Department the use of space during such time as it is not being used for livestock exposition and horse-show purposes. You may, therefore, be assured that the City, at the cost only of light, heat, water, maintenance, janitor service and repairs, etc., made necessary by the use, will enjoy these privileges.

The livestock exposition buildings will be located in San Francisco and San Mateo Counties at or near the county line in the Visitation Valley area, unless it shall hereafter be deemed advisable to locate them at the site selected for the Bridge Exposition.

I thank you for the very careful consideration which you have given this project, and fully appreciate your desire to make available the \$250,000 now in the State Treasury appropriated for the benefit of our City. Please accept my best wishes and regard.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) C. H. SOOY,
President, San Francisco-San Mateo
District Agricultural Association.

(Budget in detail on file in Clerk's Office, Board of Supervisors.)

Privilege of the Floor.

Thaddeus Cline, representing Upper Sunset and Forest Hill Districts, asked for the approval of the project for a small playground for little children on Ninth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco which has been recommended by the Playground Commission at a total cost of \$18,000 for building, lands, equipment, and all facilities.

Harvey M. Toy, campaign manager, Good Government League, introduced the following speakers, who advanced arguments for a decreased tax rate:

Eugene N. Fritz, Apartment House and Managers' Association, declared that he represented investments in apartment houses amounting to \$100,000,000 that were in default by reason of economic conditions at this time. He mentioned the Cathedral Apartments, Stanford Court Apartments, Francisco Apartments, Alexander Hamilton Apartments, Brockelbank Apartments, El Cortez Apartments, and Gaylord, as all being in default. He declared that Orpheum bonds only brought 15 cents on the dollar. In one corporation mentioned he declared that it took 55 per cent of the income to pay the taxes.

Frank Bradley, president, Hotel Men's Association, residing at 1608 Eddy street, said that he represented 1400 hotels in San Francisco, of which 157 failures were reported in the last six months. He mentioned the Clift Hotel and others that had been closed down. Last year, he said, he had a terrific struggle to keep the doors open, and can't shoulder any further burden. Municipal employees, he said, can "take it on the chin for another year." He protested any restoration of their salaries.

Frank Lansing, president, Good Government League, residence 1918 Fell street, stated that he was devoting his time to cutting down taxes in San Francisco. Can't pay taxes now levied. Must be reduced. Flats and small buildings on Fell and Clayton streets of which he is cognizant have been offered for sale for over two years without an offer of any kind. Opposed restoration of municipal employees' salaries.

F. Joseph Teysen, German American Alliance, opposed restoration of municipal employees' salaries.

Mr. Harvey M. Toy thanked the Board for its consideration and retired from the chambers.

Supervisor Roncovieri took this occasion to point out that 75.2 per cent of the budget was composed of mandatory items. Twenty-five per cent is discretionary and within the power of the Board of Supervisors to reduce. The Police Department, he said, consists of 1200 men divided in three shifts, leaving but 600 men at a time to protect and guard the city.

Mrs. Marcus S. Koshland, 3800 Washington street, representing the San Francisco Garden Club, San Francisco Center of the League of

Women's Clubs, and the Marina Improvement Association, spoke for the preservation of beautiful places in San Francisco. She mentioned the Palace of Fine Arts and urged that the property to the north be acquired in order that this alleged eyesore might be removed. If property to the north, she said, of the Palace of Fine Arts is sold for the erection of cheap apartment houses it would ruin the view and setting of this magnificent structure. She proposed that the land be acquired as a children's playground. It would be, she said, the largest playground in San Francisco, for there are 1000 children who would use it for recreational purposes. She suggested exchange of other city property for its acquisition. It will mean much for San Francisco. Supervisor Uhl moved that Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property, furnish an appraisal of the lands suggested to be acquired.

William J. Varley, representing the Southern Council of Civic Clubs, residing at 133 Rae avenue, favored the recommendations of the Recreation Commission for playgrounds and recreation centers at Mount Vernon-Longfellow School, Glen Park, and Southern Heights District, Raymond avenue.

Mrs. Eric Hammond, 351 Sixteenth avenue, representing Second District Parent-Teachers' Association, favored the recommendation of the Recreation Commission in fairness and justice to the children of the city. She heartily endorsed all additional moneys appropriated for the Recreation Commission and hoped that the board would find it possible to grant these additional sums requested. Also favored more money for the Public Library. Money appropriated would be used for rebinding books which have been allowed to deteriorate during the past year, and will result in a big loss if not taken care of.

Miss Doris Largomasini, 36 Oliver street, representing the Girl Scouts of the Longfellow-Mount Vernon School District, was heard, urging appropriations for the Recreation Department, especially for the Longfellow-Mount Vernon School District.

Mrs. Rose Walker and Mrs. Effie Johnstone, 172 Seville street, representing the Crocker Amazon District, Southern Federation of Civic Clubs, also spoke for the Longfellow-Mount Vernon Playground.

Mrs. W. Pazzash, 2925 Diamond street, representing Glen Park District, urged appropriation for a playground in that district.

Dr. R. H. Blaque, 372 Flood Building, representing the Lafayette Club, urging that the period of emergency be continued during the next fiscal year, and that there be no restoration of municipal salaries.

Mrs. Sherman T. Blake, 2275 Beach street, representing the California Spring Blossom and Wild Flower Association, declared that she has been working for twelve years for the beautification of this city. She urged the acquisition of the property to the north of the Palace of Fine Arts, which she said is now an eyesore, and the conversion of it into a playground for children of the district.

William J. Osterloh, 693 Mission street, representing the Bookbinders' Union and Allied Printing Trades Council, spoke in favor of the appropriation for the Public Library as set forth in the Mayor's budget. He declared that several years back rebinding of books has been neglected to the detriment of the volumes in the Public Library, and that unemployment amounts to 50 per cent of those engaged in the industry.

G. W. Jones, 4824 Third street, representing Bay View Improvement Association, declared that in 1933 there was \$15,000,000 of assessed property in the Bay View District, and \$500,000 paid in taxes and request made for \$30,000 for playgrounds and recreation center. The Mayor has allowed \$15,000 for a site at Mendell, Oakdale and Newcomb avenues. He asked for the full amount, and suggested that other vacant city property might be traded to make the acquisition of the playground site possible.

Gus Pollock, 756 36th avenue, president of the West End Improvement Association, declared that there was not a supervised playground in the Park Presidio District west of 24th avenue. He urged acquisition of the property in 38th and 39th avenue, between Cabrillo and Fulton streets, transferred from the Board of Education to the Recreation Commission for playground purposes.

Miss Elizabeth B. Cassidy, 1095 Market street, representing Crescent District Improvement Club, spoke in favor of Saint Mary's Park Playground, for which a recommendation of \$5,350 has been made.

Eugene J. Sieven, Cortez Building, representing himself as a taxpayer, called attention to the necessity for reducing, or keeping down rather, the tax rate, and stated that the federal government had curtailed its payrolls to the amount of \$5,000,000. City should not restore salaries pending this emergency condition.

George H. Allen, representing the Visitacion Valley Improvement Club, urged that the item of \$3,500 for branch library in Visitacion Valley be approved.

Mrs. Cressi Caverley, representing Visitacion Valley Improvement Association and Bay Side Hills and Valley Parents' Association, on behalf of the consolidated district, urged appropriations for library facilities in districts mentioned.

Mrs. Lyman Wentworth, 1482 30th avenue, representing Sunset Community Club, spoke for an appropriation for playgrounds at 33d avenue and Judah street.

E. A. Benson, 620 Portola drive, representing Sunset Community Improvement Club, recommended endorsement of budget increase for library purposes.

Stephen Malatesta, 333 Pine street, representing Property Owners' Association of North Beach, urged budget appropriation for completion of approach to Coit Memorial Tower on Telegraph Hill, suggested improvement to consist of steps, shrubs, waterfall. This, he said, will create work for the unemployed, and will exercise no hardship on taxpayers if left in the budget.

J. Mahoney, 517 Valley street, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, urged endorsement of \$10,000 appropriation for small unsupervised playground for the Upper Noe Valley District; also urged \$25,000 appropriation for survey of the proposed route for divisional highway connecting Golden Gate Park and southern sections of San Francisco.

Mrs. William S. Wells, 1545 Taylor street, representing Nob Hill Improvement Club, spoke for an appropriation for recreational facilities in the Nob Hill District.

Ernest Swanson, 2200 Ulloa street, representing Parkside Improvement Club, declared that Supervisor Havenner, when chairman of the Finance Committee, appropriated money for the park in that district, but that Superintendent McLaren used the money for other purposes. He urged that \$7,500 set aside for finishing field and clubhouse be endorsed, and I am here, he said, to see that it is used for the purpose intended.

Capt. C. N. Jensen, 2126 Taraval street, representing Parkside District Improvement Club, recommended the endorsement of budget appropriation for playground in said district.

D. Hayden, 157 Divisadero street, representing the Divisional Highway Association, spoke in favor of Budget Item 24, recommendation of the Department of Public Works, \$20,000 out of County Road Fund, to continue the purchase of property at Castro and Diamond streets. When completed, he said, will have a divisional highway from Pacific Heights to 17th and Harrison streets. Money is to be used for engineering study and plans.

Mrs. H. J. Kemp, 134 Joice street, favored playground on Randall street. Parents work during the day, she said, and children are left to their own devices after school hours. She urged that the appropriation be allowed.

George Stimmel, representing the Citizens' Budget Committee, and Building Owners' Managers Association, opposed any increase in the tax rate, and asked that the public hearings on the budget be not concluded on May 8, but heard again on May 15.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that he be advised if he cannot come to this hearing, that the Finance Committee will be asked to give himself and other representatives of those interested a hearing before said committee.

So ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

William H. Nanry, 68 Post street, representing San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research, was heard at length urging no increase in the tax rate and no restoration of municipal employees' salaries during the present emergency.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:30 p. m. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m., and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Privilege of the Floor.

William Coughlin, representing the Associated General Contractors, 351 California street, objected to the allocation of County Road as set forth in the budget. Less than 10 per cent, he said, is devoted to work for improvement of county roads contrary to the law and Charter. Senate Bill 561 gives full jurisdiction over the County Road Fund to Boards of Supervisors, and he opposed any diversion of these funds to other purposes.

Carl W. Miles headed a delegation asking for favorable consideration of an adequate appropriation in the budget for the ensuing fiscal year for publicity and advertising. He introduced the following speakers:

George Pressley, vice-president and general manager, Chamber of Commerce, who requested that the fund of \$225,000 allocated for publicity and advertising in the Mayor's budget for 1934-35 be endorsed and retained.

Clarence E. Bane, San Francisco Convention and Tourist Bureau, declared that 100 conventions were scheduled for San Francisco this year and urged that adequate appropriation be made for their entertainment.

Clyde Edmondson, secretary-manager, Redwood Empire Association, declared that adequate provisions for publicity and advertising meant new cash customers for San Francisco and Northern California. It was estimated that 12,500 tourists are headed this way for the coming year.

Bernard Scott, representing the State Chamber of Commerce, was also heard at length urging adequate provision for publicity and advertising.

William Unmack, general manager, Knights Templars Convention, declared that advertising money comes back 100 per cent in trade and business. He asked for \$25,000 for the Knights Templar Convention which is scheduled for San Francisco this year. He has already raised from private sources approximately \$100,000.

Harvey Knowles, commander, County Council, American Legion, declared that the American Legion Convention will be held here in the coming year and 50,000 Legionnaires are expected by the month of August. He asked for \$15,000 contribution. Is spending \$30,000. Wants city to bear 50 per cent of the cost of the convention.

John Cuddy, manager, Californians Incorporated, addressed the Board at length, requesting that last year's appropriations for Californians Incorporated, be allowed.

Supervisor Gallagher asked for a statement of the amount raised for similar funds in other cities, and was advised that ten cents on every dollar was for Californians Incorporated.

City Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, will you furnish committee or Board with a breakdown of your request for \$250,000 which the Mayor cut down to \$225,000 for publicity and advertising?

Exposition to be held in San Francisco to celebrate completion of Bay bridges.

Supervisor Uhl asked for a breakdown of the "\$25,000 allotted for the ensuing fiscal year, and also a breakdown of the \$215,000 spent last year for publicity and advertising." Declined to give the data because haven't got the data to give. Don't propose to make allocations of this fund until I know what the fund is.

Fitzgerald Ames, 21 Woodland avenue, president of the Garden Fathers' Council and Parent Teachers' Association, Haight and Ashbury District, declared that his organization requested \$50,000 for playground and that his Honor the Mayor reduced it to \$25,000 for a first unit. He urged that it be not taken from the budget.

William C. Rothwell, representing the Haight and Ashbury Improvement Club, also addressed the Board in favor of the foregoing project.

George Stimmel, president, Citizens' Budget Committee, requested further time to make detailed recommendations to the Finance Committee for reduction in the budget which his organization deemed advisable. He urged that the lowest possible tax rate be adopted in view of the bankrupt condition in various apartment house and hotel districts of the city.

George Pressley, president of the Chamber of Commerce, spoke at length to the same effect.

Mrs. Laughenbury, representing the San Francisco Real Estate Board, was also heard in opposition to any increase in the tax rate.

A. Norrell, representing the Unemployed Council, 741 Valencia street, urged that the Board of Supervisors memorialize Congress to pass the Unemployment Insurance Bill, and declared that the overhead of the Citizens' Relief Committee could be put into direct relief to good advantage.

Arthur M. Brouillett, representing the Bar Association of California, spoke for the retention of item 17 in the Mayor's budget, appropriating \$5,000 for the codification of city ordinances.

A. B. Barmiel, Eureka Valley Promotion Association, urged the retention of the item of \$25,000 recommended by the Recreation Com-

mission, approved by Mayor Rossi, for playground for children of the Eureka Valley District.

Edward Vandeleur, representing the San Francisco Labor Council, was heard at length requesting 50 per cent restoration of municipal employees' compensation.

John A. O'Connell, representing San Francisco Labor Council, spoke for the upholding of living standards among the workers, declaring that the purchasing power of the people was the basis of our prosperity.

Mrs. Thos. R. Best spoke for the restoration of the No. 2 bus line, which has been discontinued, though it has been in operation for seventeen years.

Cameron King, representing the San Francisco Federation of Municipal Employees, spoke at length expressing that in his opinion the depression was 40 per cent over, and that in line with President Roosevelt's policy all salaries from which deductions had been made throughout the depression should now be returned; ~~said that the Down Town Association, the Chamber of Commerce, labor organizations, etc., were~~ in favor of the return of municipal salaries to their former level. He quoted the Municipal Research Bureau of the City of Detroit as authority for the statement that San Francisco has the lowest tax rate in the United States and is in solvent condition. He urged that nothing be done at this time in declaring the continued existence of emergency conditions, that the Board wait until September when the tax rate is up for adoption, when he estimated there would be a full recovery from the depression.

Mr. Baensen was heard at length urging larger appropriations for recreation.

List of City Property for Sale or Exchange.

(Code No. 12.1749)

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following resolution which was adopted:

Resolution No. 1425, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is in possession of a great amount of real estate, for which there is no prospective public use and which is salable or subject to trading in for other needed properties for playground, parks, etc.; and

Whereas, this Board has heard insistent appeals for added playground sites, some of which are proposed in districts wherein the cost of acquisition would certainly result in an increased tax rate; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Director of Properties is hereby requested to supply to this Board a list of City-owned real estate which might be considered as of no future use for public purposes, the location and footage as well as the approximate market value thereof; and be it

Further Resolved, That upon submission of this information this Board of Supervisors, in conjunction with his Honor the Mayor, and departments involved, consider the advisability of sale or trade, with a view to location of parks and playgrounds in districts where deemed advisable; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Director of Properties is respectfully requested to furnish this information, if possible, on or before May 15th, next.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 14, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 14, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:10 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of May 7, 1934, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.**Sale of Water Distribution Bonds.**

Sealed bids for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, May 14, 1934, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$1,324,000 four per cent "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," dated December 1, 1933, comprising 67 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1934 to 1942, inclusive; 66 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1943 to 1952, inclusive; 61 bonds maturing in 1953.

The said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent per annum, payable semi-annually December 1 and June 1, and shall not be sold at less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery. The said "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," are ready for immediate delivery.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and referred to the Finance Committee:

1. Guaranty Co. of New York, Syndicate Manager. The First of Boston Corporation, The Northern Trust Company, Chicago.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,337,637.20.

2. By R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager. R. H. Moulton & Company, Bankers Trust Company, Dean Witter & Co.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,337,528.

3. The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, First National Bank of New York, First of Michigan Corporation, Darby & Co., Heller, Bruce & Co., by The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, by Paul B. Kelly.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,330,646.48.

4. The City Company of New York, Inc., Weeden & Co., by The City Company of New York, Inc., W. Waynew Glover.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,338,951.

5. Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Chicago, by Leland M. Bell, representative.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,344,385.

6. E. O. Huttlinger Co., on behalf of Lehman Brothers, F. S. Moseley & Co., Milwaukee Company, Estabrook & Co., Wells Dickey & Co., E. O. Huttlinger Co.

For all, but not less than all, of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,345,316.40.

7. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., Bancamerica-Blair Corp., Stone & Webster and Blodgett, Inc., Phelps, Fenn & Co., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., Inc., by Halsey, Stuart & Co.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,331,745.40.

8. William R. Staats Co., by J. Earle Jardine, Jr.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$1,324,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, \$28,135.

9. Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., R. W. Pressprich & Co., American Securities Co., by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager.

For all of the bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,351,129.

Adopted.

Subsequently during the meeting the following resolution, reported by the Finance Committee, presented and *adopted*:

Sale of \$1,324,000 Water Distribution Bonds, Dated December 1, 1933.

(Code No. 15.021)

Resolution No. 1421, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

\$1,324,000 four per cent "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933," dated December 1, 1933, comprising 67 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1934 to 1942, inclusive; 66 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year 1943 to 1952, inclusive; 61 bonds maturing in 1953.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of William R. Staats & Company by J. Earle Jardine, Jr., for all of the said bonds offered for sale, the sum of \$1,324,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, plus a premium of \$28,135, is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to William R. Staats & Company.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing Payment of Cost for Engraving Bonds, Etc.

(Code No. 15.031)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 560, Ordinance No. 15.0313, as follows:

Authorizing the payment of the cost of engraving bonds and other expenses incident to loans and grants obtained from the government of the United States on Public Works Administration projects to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Controller is hereby authorized to draw his warrant or warrants on such funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco in an amount sufficient to meet the cost of engraving and issuing the bonds for the construction of the various public works and improvements financed in whole or in part by the government of the United States through the Public Works Administration, and also to pay other expenses incurred in the obtaining of loans and/or grants for the construction of said public works and improvements.

Section 2. When the said bonds, approved by the people, are sold and the moneys have been received from said sale, the Controller shall transfer the expenditures to said bond funds.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,275.34 Out of Appropriation 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction).

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 561, Ordinance No. 9.051125, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$1,275.34 out of Appropriation No. 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction) for the improvement of Third street between Mariposa and Alameda streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That a supplemental appropriation of \$1,275.34 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 137-3-4 (Street Reconstruction), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the improvement, by resurfacing, of Third street between Mariposa and Alameda streets.

(Recommendation by Department of Public Works.)

(Funds approved by the Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Appropriating \$466,552 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to May 31, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 562, Ordinance No. 9.051126, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$466,552 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion

of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$466,552 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and Municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Private Ambulance Ordinance.

(Code No. 17.13)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 456, Ordinance No. 17.131, as follows:

Regulating the use of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; defining the term ambulance; providing for the issuance, transfer and revocation of permits therefor; fixing minimum and maximum rates to be charged for transportation of persons in private ambulances, and for service connected therewith; providing for rules and regulations to be issued by the Director of Public Health; authorizing the Director of Public Health to inspect, investigate and control operation and maintenance of all private ambulances; providing fees to be paid for permits for, and signs permitted on, private ambulances; restricting use of private ambulances and permitting exclusive color schemes thereof; authorizing the Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco to enforce the provisions of this ordinance and his rules and regulations and providing penalties for the violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision 1. The term "ambulance" is hereby defined as a vehicle or conveyance to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 2. No person, firm or corporation by themselves or through their agents, servants or employees shall operate, manage or maintain any private ambulances within the City and County of San Francisco without first obtaining a permit as hereinafter provided.

Subdivision 3. Any person, firm or corporation now operating, managing, or maintaining a private ambulance, or desiring to secure a permit to do so shall make written application therefor which shall state the place or places from which it is intended or desired to operate, manage or maintain a private ambulance, giving the description of the premises as well as the location of the same and state therein the name and business and residence address of the applicant or applicants, the number and character of vehicles to be used as such private ambulances, and such other matters as may be required by the Director of Public Health. It shall be the duty of the Director of Public Health to cause an investigation to be made of the premises named and described in such application, for the purpose of determining the fitness and suitability of such premises for such business from a sanitary standpoint. In case the applicant is at the time of such

application operating, managing or maintaining a private ambulance, the Director of Public Health shall make an investigation to determine as to whether the applicant has complied with the state laws and City ordinances relating to health, safety and sanitation. Should the Director of Public Health be satisfied that the applicant has complied with the laws of the State, and the ordinances of the City relating to health, safety and sanitation, he shall, upon the payment of such applicant to the Tax Collector of the permit fee as hereinafter fixed, issue or cause to be issued to such applicant a permit to operate, manage and maintain a private ambulance.

Subdivision 4. Every private ambulance operated, managed and maintained by any person, firm or corporation under permit as aforesaid shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Director of Public Health or his duly appointed assistants or inspectors; and the Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to inspect the same, or cause inspection thereof to be made, whenever and as often as he may deem proper. If, upon such inspection, he shall find any such private ambulance operated, managed or maintained in violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance, or contrary to any of the health or sanitary ordinances, rules or regulations of the City and County, or contrary to any State law in relation thereto, then and in that event such permit shall be revoked; provided, however, that no such permit shall be revoked until after a hearing given by the Director of Public Health in the matter of the revocation of such permit after five days' notice in writing has been served upon owner or holder of such permit, which said notice shall be given to said owner or holder of said permit by mailing said notice by registered mail addressed to his place of residence or business as the same appears on the permit or on the records of the Department of Public Health, which notice shall state the ground of the complaint against said owner and the time and place where said hearing shall take place.

Section 2. The annual fee for any person, firm or corporation securing a permit under this ordinance shall be \$5.00 for each ambulance payable in advance. Every person, firm or corporation operating any private ambulance under the provisions of this ordinance shall have a sign conspicuously displayed on the front thereof bearing the words "Private Ambulance."

Section 3. No permit shall be sold, assigned or transferred without written permission from the Director of Public Health.

Section 4. The Director of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to regulate and control the operation and maintenance of private ambulances in the City and County of San Francisco; to provide for the inspection of all vehicles used as private ambulances; and to enforce the provisions of this ordinance, and of the rules and regulations of the Director of Public Health.

The Director of Public Health is hereby authorized and empowered to adopt rules and regulations covering the sanitation, color and the care, maintenance, safety and operation of all vehicles used for private ambulances.

Such rules and regulations may provide for safety appliances, brakes, lights and equipment of private ambulances; and for the allotment of an exclusive color scheme for ambulances of any permit holder; and for the prevention of color schemes and decorations of ambulances intended to deceive the public by imitating the appearances of a public emergency hospital ambulance, and that the term ambulance shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance unless the same is used to move a sick or injured person; and that no vehicle or conveyance shall be used as an ambulance which is in any manner used for the removal or conveyance of the dead, and that no person who personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Section 5. Subdivision 1. No person, firm or corporation owning,

operating or controlling any private ambulance shall charge other minimum rates than in this section provided, nor maximum rates in excess of \$1 over such minimum rates.

Subdivision 2. The district within the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter described, shall for the purpose of this ordinance be known as the "First District." Such district is bounded and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of the Embarcadero and Broadway, thence along the northerly and easterly waterfront to Channel street, thence along Channel street to Division street, thence along Division street to Potrero avenue, along Potrero avenue to San Bruno avenue, thence along San Bruno avenue to Cortland avenue, along Cortland avenue to Mission street, thence to Thirtieth street, thence westerly on Thirtieth street to Twin Peaks, along the easterly and northerly sides of Twin Peaks to the outer edge of Sutro Forest to Lawton street, thence west on Lawton street to Tenth avenue, thence northerly along Tenth avenue to the waterline, thence easterly to the point of commencement. Within the said district both sides of all streets named are included.

The charge for transport from any one place to any other place within said First District shall be \$4.00 during the day time period and \$5.00 during the night time period.

For the purpose of this ordinance 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. shall be deemed day time and 7 p. m. to 7 a. m. night time.

Subdivision 3. All other territory within the City and County of San Francisco, outside of the area described in the "First District" shall, for the purpose of this ordinance, be known as the "Second District."

The charge for transport from any place in the City and County of San Francisco to or from any place in the Second District shall be \$5.00 during the day time and \$6.00 during the night time period.

No commissions, rebates or allowances of any kind shall be made to any person, firm, corporation or association whatsoever except that 25 per cent discount may be made to clinics and hospital benevolent associations, directly operated by a hospital in the City and County of San Francisco; providing, however, that orders for same must come direct from the departments of hospitals or from the doctors in charge thereof.

Waiting time of ambulance and crew at point of call or discharge in excess of 10 minutes shall be at the rate of \$5.00 per hour calculated in quarter hour periods.

X-ray or treatment cases where ambulance is held for the return trip a charge for delay of ambulance and crew shall be at the rate of \$5 per hour provided, however, that an allowance of twenty minutes shall be made without charge where ambulance and crew is so held.

Where one ambulance is used for double-stretcher cases the charge shall be a one and one-half rate.

Section 6. Subdivision 1. No ambulance shall be so decorated as to convey to the public the idea that it is an emergency hospital ambulance.

Subdivision 2. The term "ambulance" shall not be used or displayed on any vehicle or conveyance, public or private, unless used to move a sick or injured person.

Subdivision 3. No vehicle or conveyance which will in any manner be used or shall be used for the removal or conveyance of the dead shall be used as an ambulance.

Subdivision 4. No person or persons who, in any manner, personally handles the dead shall operate or be employed in the operation of any ambulance.

Subdivision 5. Any permit holder may adopt a color or combination of color scheme which may be exclusively allotted to such holder by the Director of Public Health.

Section 7. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of

the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five (\$25) dollars and not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than one hundred (100) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Every day that a violation of this ordinance occurs shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

Section 8. If any section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, subdivision, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, Entitled "Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance."
(Code No. 11.0111)

On recommendation of Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 558, Ordinance No. 11.01117, as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, entitled "Providing for the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity in connection with the issuance of license and permits for the operation of motor vehicles engaged in the business of or used for transporting passengers for hire and providing a penalty for any violation thereof, and repealing Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series)," *by fixing the cash reserve of self insurers at \$15,000, on June 15, 1934, and providing for a monthly increase of \$400 thereafter, and providing for the filing of current balance sheets together with policies of excess insurance as may be required by the Police Commission, and repealing all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 6 of Ordinance No. 11.01111, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. Unless otherwise provided by ordinance, no person, firm or corporation, after the date of the passage of this ordinance, shall operate any motor vehicle for hire unless and until such person, firm or corporation shall:

(a) File with the Police Commission and thereafter keep in full force and effect a policy of insurance or bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, and executed by a surety or sureties approved by the said Commission insuring the public against any loss or damage that may result to any person or property from the operation of such vehicle or vehicles; provided, the minimum amount of recovery in such policy of insurance or bond specified shall not be less than the following sums, i. e.:

For the injury to any one person or the death of any one person in any one accident, \$5,000;

For the injury to two or more persons or the death of two or more persons in any one accident, \$10,000;

For the injury or destruction of property in any one accident, \$500.

Provided, however, that for vehicles having a seating capacity of more than ten persons the limit of liability for death or injury in any one accident shall be \$20,000 instead of \$10,000, as hereinabove specified.

Provided, however, that such person, firm or corporation may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy or liability insurance, file with said Com-

mission a bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, executed by a responsible and solvent corporation authorized to conduct a bonding insurance business under the laws of the State of California, which bond shall be conditioned for the payment of all final judgments which may be rendered against any such person, firm or corporation for damages on account of injuries to property or persons, including both passengers and the public, occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle described in Section 1 hereof, and which bond shall be in an amount graduated according to the number of motor vehicles owned or offered for hire, according to the following scale:

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire only one such motor vehicle, said bond shall be in the sum of \$10,500;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one but less than six such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$25,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than five but less than twenty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$50,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than twenty but less than sixty-one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$75,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than sixty but less than one hundred and one such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$100,000;

Where such person, firm or corporation owns or offers for hire more than one hundred such motor vehicles, said bond shall be in the sum of \$125,000.

Provided, however, that for vehicles described in Section 1 hereof, having a seating capacity of more than ten persons, said bond shall be in a sum double that prescribed in the above graduated scale.

In the event of the return unsatisfied of any execution issued on any final judgment from which an appeal may be taken without bond, rendered against any such person, firm or corporation in any suit for damages on account of injury to person or property occasioned by the operation of any such motor vehicle, such person, firm or corporation shall, within ten (10) days after the return of such execution unsatisfied (provided said judgment is still unpaid) increase the amount of his bond by the amount of such judgment, and failing to do so shall forthwith cease the operation of motor vehicles in San Francisco until such additional bond is deposited or said judgment is paid.

All policies or bond shall contain a provision for a continuing liability thereunder up to the full amount of the penalty thereof, notwithstanding any recovery thereon.

(b) *"Provided, that any association or organization of owners of vehicles for hire, as specified in this ordinance which show a cash reserve on June 15, 1934, of \$15,000, and thereafter show a monthly increase of \$400 until the sum of \$25,000 shall have accrued and at all times thereafter the cash reserve in said organizations in the sum of \$25,000, shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions of this ordinance."*

Said reserve shall be used for the purpose of satisfying and liquidating claims for damages to persons and property arising out of the negligence of the operators of said association, and shall be subject to execution in satisfaction of final judgment rendered against any operator or member of said organization or association after execution has been levied and returned unsatisfied against a member of said organization on a final judgment arising out of the negligent operation of a member's automobile, and such organization or association shall on the 15th day of each month after June 15, 1934, advise the Police Commission the amount of such reserve, and where the same is on deposit.

Provided, however, that if such person, firm or corporation has net assets of at least \$25,000, if operating one and not more than 125 vehicles, and, in addition thereto, \$250 net assets for each and every vehicle in excess of 125 operated, they may, in lieu of the aforesaid policy of liability insurance, or bond, file with the Police Commission a current balance sheet certified to by a Certified Public Accountant, showing such assets and liabilities, together with a policy of excess insurance, both in such form as the Police Commission may deem proper, and executed by an insurance company, approved by said Police Commission, agreeing to indemnify such person, firm or corporation for any and all such sums, which they shall by law become liable to pay or by final judgment to be adjudged to pay to any other person or persons as compensation for the injury to or death of, or damages to persons arising out of actual operation of any vehicle, or vehicles, operated by them.

Provided, however, that such excess policy shall cover only the liability for the excess or loss over \$5,000 up to \$25,000, for any one person, or \$10,000 up to \$50,000 for any one accident involving more than one person.

Any person, firm or corporation electing to file a balance sheet and excess policy of insurance as herein provided, shall hereafter file with the Police Commission, at such period or periods as may be prescribed by Police Commission rule, new balance sheets certified to by a Certified Public Accountant.

Provided, however, that any policy of insurance or bond heretofore filed with and approved by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Ordinance No. 9045 (New Series), shall be deemed a compliance with the provisions hereof, and the Board of Supervisors shall, within five days after the effective date of this ordinance, transmit all such policies of insurance and/or bonds to the Police Commission.

It shall be unlawful for any owner to operate or cause to be operated any vehicle without having a policy or bond as described in this section in full force and effect at all times during the operation of such vehicle.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Granting Permission to Bauer-Schweitzer Hop & Malt Company to Construct and Operate Spur Track to Serve Its Plant on Francisco Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 564, Ordinance No. 12.2013, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company to construct a spur track from the existing drill track on North Point street, commencing at a point approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence along North Point street to Mason street; thence along Mason street to Francisco street; thence along Francisco street to the plant of the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company to construct a spur track from the existing drill track on North Point street, commencing at a point approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence along North Point street to Mason street; thence along Mason street to Francisco street; thence

along Francisco street to the plant of the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company, and more particularly described as follows:

Description of center line of spur: Beginning at a point in existing North Point street drill track approximately 270 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mason street; thence easterly and southerly on a curve and crossing portion of North Point and Mason streets to a point which is 10 feet westerly from the easterly line of Mason street and approximately 117 feet southerly from the southerly line of North Point street; thence southerly and crossing Bay and Vandewater streets and portion of Mason street to a point 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of Mason street and approximately 110 feet northerly from the northerly line of Francisco street; thence southerly and easterly on a curve and crossing portion of Mason and Francisco streets to a point which is 19 feet northerly from the southerly line of Francisco street and approximately 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Mason street; thence easterly and parallel to Francisco street a distance of 220 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof, are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be considered as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written into this ordinance. Provided that the rails to be laid of girder type; and all services and installations, both corporation and municipal, are to be reconstructed as required.

The hours during which cars may be operated on this spur track shall be between 7 a. m. and 8 a. m., and 5 p. m. and 6 p. m.; no cars to be operated on Saturdays, Sundays or holidays.

The permittee shall be restricted to the use of two cars incoming and two cars outgoing per day.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$20,000 to the County Welfare Department for Maintenance of Needy Aged and Pensions for Needy Blind.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 566, Ordinance No. 9.05160, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$20,000 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of Appropriations 189 (Maintenance of Aged) and 190 (Blind Pensions), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, for the maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The following amounts are hereby appropriated and authorized out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, as follows:

\$15,000 to the credit of Appropriation 189 (Maintenance of Aged), and \$5,000 to the credit of Appropriation 190 (Blind Pensions), County Welfare Department, for the maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco during the Fiscal Year 1933-1934.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an

actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the needy aged and the blind of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco, have been expended, and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the maintenance of the needy aged and for pensions for the blind.

Requested by the Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer.

Funds approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was on motion of Supervisor Uhl *laid over one week*:

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal. (Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or any one delivering such garbage and refuse, to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnace and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three

hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise. All load tests shall be made by the successful bidder at his own expense.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse

collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work on any of the three propositions, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon present tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost for bond insurance and taxes;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same as urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced

in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the ——— day of ———, 1934, at the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter,

conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfil the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfil and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Grades on Poppy Lane Between Diamond and Conrad Streets.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 567, Ordinance No. 12.0714, as follows:

Establishing grades on Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office April 21, 1934:

Poppy Lane.

44.98 feet westerly from Diamond street, 288.50 feet.

74.94 feet westerly from Diamond street, 292.35 feet.

Northerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 296.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 295.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 189.66 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 297.70 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 151.50 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 300.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 27.60 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 315.30 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.29 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.79 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 173.5 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 321.78 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 115 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 329.00 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334.00 feet.

Northwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334.50 feet.

On Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor, and the present official grade of Diamond and Conrad streets at Poppy lane.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Repealing Resolution No. 1407, Intention to Establish Grades on Poppy Lane Between Diamond and Conrad Streets.

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1317, as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 1407, intention to establish grades on Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets, be and is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Market Street Railway Permitted to Abandon Tracks on First Street Between Bryant Street and The Embarcadero.

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1415, as follows:

Whereas, at the present time the Market Street Railway Company maintains certain street railway tracks on First street between Brannan street and The Embarcadero; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works is about to improve First street between Brannan street and The Embarcadero and said improvement cannot be proceeded with until the said street railway tracks of the Market Street Railway Company are removed; and

Whereas, said tracks are of practically no service to the traveling public of the City and County of San Francisco and are practically unused by said Market Street Railway Company; and

Whereas, the Director of Public Works has requested the Board of Supervisors to permit said Market Street Railway Company to abandon the use of said tracks; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby given permission to abandon its street railway tracks on First street between Brannan street and The Embarcadero and to cause said tracks to be removed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Investigation of Removal of Railway Tracks, Tenth Avenue and Masonic Avenue.

(Code No. 15.049)

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. 1415, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission has received bids for the removal of tracks on Masonic avenue and on Tenth avenue, indicating an intention to abandon street railway transportation on Tenth avenue; and

Whereas, transportation facilities have a direct influence on real estate values, and curtailment or abandonment of service on Tenth avenue would depreciate the investment of home owners in that vicinity; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee is hereby directed to investigate the proposed removal of tracks on Tenth avenue and to report to this Board the effect of such removal on transportation to that neighborhood; and be it

Further Resolved, That said report be filed prior to the award of bids by the Public Utilities Commission on May 14.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that the foregoing matter be stricken from the calendar.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Agreement for Operating State Labor Camps for Single Resident Men.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1418, as follows:

Resolved, That the following agreement between the Emergency Relief Administration of the State of California and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, for the operation of the California State Labor Camps for single resident men, is hereby approved, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to execute said agreement which is as follows:

This agreement, executed in triplicate and entered into this _____ day of _____, 1934, by and between the State Emergency Relief Administration of the State of California, hereinafter known as the party of the first part, and the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Francisco, State of California, hereinafter known as the party of the second part.

Witnesseth as Follows.

Whereas, it is mutually agreed and understood that the party of the first part is engaged in establishing and maintaining and operating labor camps and projects for the purpose of such constructive work as may be designated by the Administrator of the Emergency Relief of the State of California under authorization of any law of the State of California; and

Whereas, the occupants of such camps shall be single, homeless, resident men only, over the age of twenty-five (25) providing that exception may be made to include men between the ages of eighteen (18) and twenty-five (25) who are without dependents and who are ineligible to admission to Civilian Conservation Corps Camps, and who are found to be definitely in need of relief or on county unemployment rolls of the County of San Francisco, State of California; and

Whereas, said men are to be furnished food, lodging and first aid medical attention through the California Emergency Relief Administration, during the period of their occupation in camp and a cash relief allowance not to exceed five dollars (\$5) per month on the basis of a thirty (30) hour work week; and

Whereas, the party of the second part desires that the State Emergency Relief Administration receive as occupants of said camps and projects such numbers of single, homeless, resident men over twenty-five (25) years of age, or other single homeless, resident men between the ages of eighteen (18) and twenty-five (25) who are without dependents and who are ineligible for enrollment in Civilian Conservation Corps Camps and who are found to be definitely in need of relief or on county unemployment rolls of the County of San Francisco, State of California.

Now, therefore, the party of the first part and the party of the second part do hereby mutually agree as follows:

1. That in consideration of the party of the first part accepting men from the County of San Francisco, State of California, and furnishing such men with lodging, subsistence, etc., as above set forth, that the party of the second part hereby agrees that in cases of men being

MONDAY, MAY 14, 1934.

transferred to work camps or projects that are not within the County of San Francisco, State of California, that such persons hereby will not forfeit their full residence rights within the County of San Francisco, State of California.

2. That in consideration of the party of the first part accepting men from the County of San Francisco, State of California, and furnishing such men with lodging, subsistence, etc., as above set forth, that the party of the second part will, for all men so accepted, furnish transportation to said camp or project, providing that in no case will the County of San Francisco, State of California, furnish transportation to a point outside of California or to a camp that is more than two hundred fifty (250) miles distant from the county seat of the County of San Francisco, State of California.

3. That the party of the second part hereby agrees that before such men are sent to said camp or project to have them examined by a competent medical examiner who will certify that all men so examined are free from any infections or contagious diseases and physically and mentally are fit to enter said camp or project.

4. That the party of the second part hereby agrees that in all cases of accident or sickness, where hospitalization and medical attention is deemed to be necessary for the men covered by this agreement, to assume full responsibility for such hospitalization and medical service that cannot be cared for by first aid attention established in the camp or project, whether the camps or projects are located within the County of San Francisco or whether the men are assigned to camps or projects located outside the County of San Francisco.

5. That in the event of the death of any of the men received in said camps or projects from the County of San Francisco, State of California, the party of the second part will assume full charge of the remains and pay all burial expenses.

6. That, notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein contained, the party of the first part reserves the exclusive rights to determine the person or persons who shall be admitted to said camps or projects, and it is agreed and understood that the party of the first part has not by virtue of this instrument or otherwise assumed any obligation to maintain said camps or projects for any definite period.

In witness whereof, the duly authorized officers of said parties hereto have in their official capacities hereunto set their hands and affixed their seals on this year and date as first above written.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

By _____,

Attest: _____

EMERGENCY RELIEF ADMINISTRATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

By _____,

Administrator.

Attest: _____

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence—George Filmer, Member Public Utilities Commission.

(Code No. 4.053)

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 9, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Hon. George Filmer, member of the Public Utilities Commission, for leave of absence,

with permission to leave the State of California for a period of one month, commencing June 7 to July 7, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1419, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. George Filmer, member of the Public Utilities Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, commencing June 7, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Washington Conference on Relief.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from his Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, advising of a conference to be held in the City of Washington within the next two days relative to the granting to the various cities in California of additional sums to care for the unemployment relief situation, and declaring that it is extremely important that San Francisco be represented there, and suggesting that C. M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, at present attending meeting of State Relief Organizations, be delegated to represent San Francisco at said conference.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his Honor the Mayor or his financial representative be also sent to the Washington conference to represent San Francisco's interests in an attempt to obtain additional Federal relief for this city.

Motion carried.

Bauer-Schweitzer Spur Track.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Department of Public Works reciting that an application for construction, maintenance and operation of a spur track along North Point street and Mason street to the boundary line of the Heavy Industrial District at Vanderwater street, has been granted to the Bauer-Schweitzer Hop and Malt Company upon condition that said tracks shall be constructed in accordance with detailed requirements of the City Engineer as set forth in his letter dated May 4, 1934, and operated so as not to establish an unreasonable interference with the public use of the streets affected.

Ordered filed.

Sunset Tunnel Refund Report.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Wm. H. Worden, Director Department of Public Works, advising that on April 26 that application forms and affidavits were mailed to the assessment payers in the Sunset Tunnel Assessment District whose addresses had been established by the Engineering Department. When these forms and affidavits are returned properly made out, verification will be made of the claims and when records verify same they will be forwarded to the Controller, who will mail check to applicants.

Ordered filed.

Circus License.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Chas. F. Skelly, Secretary Board of Police Commissioners, advising that the department is in no position to determine whether the Al G. Barnes' Wild Animal Show is a circus or wild animal show until it opens here May 3, 1934. The Captain will investigate on that date and see that the operators have the required licenses as provided for in Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Ordered *filed*.

Repairs and Rehabilitation, Girls' High School.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Wm. H. Worden, Director Department of Public Works, advising that no delays have occurred in the procedure necessary in the reconstruction of the Girls' High School. Bids are to be received for this work May 16; work will be prosecuted with every energy to speedy conclusion.

Ordered *filed*.

Change of Name of the San Francisco Hospital.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. C. Geiger, M. D., Director Public Health, declaring that an analysis of the service rendered by the San Francisco Hospital to the indigent sick makes it essentially a charity hospital, and requesting that a suitable resolution be adopted renaming the institutions of the Health Department as follows:

San Francisco Hospital be changed to Charity Hospital of the City and County of San Francisco.

Laguna Honda Home be changed to Relief Home of the City and County of San Francisco.

Hassler Health Home be changed to Hassler Charity Hospital for Tuberculosis.

Referred to Health Committee.

Cost of Traffic Signals.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, enclosing report from Superintendent of the Department of Electricity relative to the cost of installation and operation of traffic signals.

Ordered *filed*. *Copy to Supervisor Uhl.*

Valuation of Water Department Building.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting report of Jos. J. Phillips, Director of Property, stating that present inactivity of the real estate market has marked effect on the selling of any real property, but that it is his opinion when more normal conditions exist the Water Department Building at 425 Mason street would have a value of \$291,000.

Ordered *filed*. *Copy to Supervisor Uhl.*

Removal of Municipal Railway Tracks on Tenth Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, advising that the adoption of a resolution protesting the removal of Municipal Railway tracks on Tenth avenue would have to stop with the actual investigation and no report could be made upon the subject without the same being in violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

Ordered *filed*.

Commendation of Board of Supervisors by Workers' Ex-Service League, Post 34.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Workers' Ex-Service League, Post No. 34, San Francisco, California, transmitting copy of resolution of said organization commending Board of Supervisors for passing the resolution in favor of the immediate payment of the national adjusted compensation of veterans of the World's War.

Ordered filed.

Filtered Water Used by Municipal Departments.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Purchaser of Supplies T. A. Brooks, reporting usage in detail of filtered water for drinking purposes by the various departments in the City government.

Copies ordered sent to members.

Protest, Reduction of Fire Protection on Waterfront.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from W. A. Newhall, division manager Union Oil Company of California, protesting against any step that would reduce fire protection on the waterfront, and stating that it is their belief that the fireboats of the San Francisco Fire Department are a necessary integral part of the fire protection facilities of a great port such as San Francisco, and their continuance most important.

Ordered filed.

Protest, Reduction of Police Force.

Communications from the following received, read and ordered filed, protesting any reduction in the personnel of the San Francisco Police Department: Charles Kendrick, Russ Building; J. V. Beckmann (The Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co.), No. 2 Pine street; Charles Hicks (Aetna Casing Company), 2075 Oakdale avenue; Bernard J. Hicks, manager U. S. Tennis String Co., Army street and Potrero avenue; Hubert Pasteue; Joseph P. Gilmore, member of Assembly, Twenty-first District, 4585 Mission street; Sylvan Blondheim (Sylvan Blondheim General Agencies), Army street and Potrero avenue; Whitney Brothers, Playland at the Beach; G. Peschiera, Roma Baking Company; Bruce Fair, president, Edward F. O'Day, director of public relations, Knights of the Royal Arch of the State of California, Hearst Building; G. B. Harper, secretary Excelsior Merchants' Association, 4551 Mission street; C. H. Rodney, secretary Ocean View Improvement Club, 14 Capitol avenue; Steven Tarrico, president, Fred Lehr, secretary, Bernal Boosters, Inc., 324 Cortland avenue; R. A. McNeil, general manager Golden State Theatre Circuit, 25 Taylor street; Wright P. Hall, president Westwood Park Association, Room 1002, No. 703 Market street; Mrs. H. G. Douglas, secretary West of Twin Peaks Boosters' Club, 389 Ashton avenue; Dr. J. J. McCormick, president Ocean Avenue Merchants' Association, 389 Ashton avenue; Edward F. Ford, president, Thos. E. Ward, secretary, Twenty-third Assembly Club, Inc., Corinthian avenue and Mission street; E. C. Reese, president Ocean View Community Club, 111 Board street.

Final Passage.

The following recommendation of his Honor the Mayor was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Director of Relief Authorized to Attend Conference at Washington.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 568, Ordinance No. 19.07115, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Relief to attend a meeting of representatives of municipalities of the State of California, to be held in the

City of Washington during the third week in May, 1934, in regard to Federal relief to be allowed to California municipalities.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Charles M. Wollenberg, the Director of Relief of the City and County of San Francisco, be and is hereby authorized and directed to represent the City and County of San Francisco at a meeting of the representatives of the municipalities of the State of California, to be held with the President of the United States or with his representative in the City of Washington during the third week of May of 1934 for the purpose of obtaining from the Federal Government allowances to the cities of California to care for the unemployment relief situation.

Section 2. That the expenses of said Charles M. Wollenberg incident to attending said meeting be and the same are hereby authorized pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0562.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith; the need of which said emergency is as follows, to-wit:

That a meeting of the representatives of the various cities in the State of California is to be held in the City of Washington during the third week of May, 1934, relative to the granting by the Federal Government of additional amounts to the various cities in the State of California, to enable said cities to care for those persons who are unable to care for themselves by reason of unemployment conditions, and that as the representatives of San Francisco must leave forthwith to attend said conference, it is necessary in order to comply with time limitation that this ordinance become effective forthwith.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Application of Bay Shore Sanitary District for Permit for Disposal of Garbage.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 1420, as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and requested to make an application to the Bayshore Sanitary District for a permit for the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco therein, for a period of eighteen (18) months.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Confirmation of Grant Agreement Between United States Government and City, School Buildings and Additions.

(Code No. 21.31)

Resolution No. 1422, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving execution of a certain grant agreement dated _____, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said grant is to be used by the said City and County for the purpose of aiding in the financing the construction of twelve school buildings and additions to three existing school buildings, all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration, Docket No. 7492; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said grant and has agreed to grant to the City and County of San Francisco thirty per cent of the cost of labor and materials employed in the construction of the said new school buildings and in the additions to existing school buildings; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a grant agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement the "First Party," and the United States of America, called in said agreement the "Second Party," which said agreement deals with the making of said grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used in aiding in the construction of new school house buildings and in making additions and alterations in existing school house buildings, and the manner in which and the conditions under which said grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 7492"; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Confirmation of Grant Agreement Between United States Government and City, High Pressure Fire Protection System.

(Code No. 11.0434)

Resolution No. 1423, as follows:

Confirming, adopting, ratifying and approving execution of a certain grant agreement dated ———, 1934, entered into by and between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has heretofore made an application to the government of the United States, through the agency of the Public Works Administration, for a grant under and pursuant to the provisions of the National Industrial Recovery Act of the United States, the proceeds of which said grant is to be used by the said City and County for the purpose of aiding in financing the construction of certain improvements to its present high pressure fire protection system, all pursuant to the application of the City and County, Public Works Administration, Docket No. 1531; and

Whereas, the government of the United States, through the agency of said Public Works Administration, has approved the said application of the City and County of San Francisco for said grant and has agreed to grant to the City and County of San Francisco thirty per cent of the cost of labor and materials employed in the construction of the improvements to said high pressure fire protection system; and

Whereas, there has been prepared by the Public Works Administration a grant agreement in writing by and between the City and County of San Francisco, called in said agreement the "First Party," and the United States of America, called in said agreement the "Second Party," which said agreement deals with the making of said grant to the City and County of San Francisco, the proceeds thereof to be used in aiding in the making of proposed improvements to the present high pressure fire system of said City and County, and the manner in which, and the conditions under which said grant will be made, as well as the general conditions which will govern the doing of the said proposed work by the said City and County, which said agreement is endorsed "Grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America," and covers the project, or projects, mentioned in the application of the City and County, which said application is designated "Public Works Administration Docket No. 1531"; and

Whereas, a copy of the aforesaid agreement has been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and is now a record thereof; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, that the said grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, hereinbefore designated and described and filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, as aforesaid, be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and that Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of said City and County, and J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, be and they are hereby authorized to execute (in triplicate) the said agreement for and on behalf of said City and County and to cause to be affixed thereto the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco, and that when said agreement is so executed and the corporate seal of the City and County of San Francisco is affixed thereto, the same shall constitute and shall be recognized as a valid existing grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Attendance of Non-Members at Finance Committee Hearings.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors be instructed to observe the time honored rule of this Board of cordiality between its members and that they permit any member of the Board who may desire to attend their sessions and ask questions to do so.

So ordered.

Rules Committee to Formulate Permanent Rule on Questions Propounded to Committees.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Rules Committee be requested

to formulate a permanent rule covering the propounding of questions by others than members of a committee of the Board at meetings of a committee.

So ordered.

Recess for Budget Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl moved that when the Board adjourns it does so to recess until such time as the Finance Committee presents the Budget, at which time the Board will go into session and take up the Budget for consideration.

So ordered.

Commendation of Congressman Welch's Amendment to Philippine Independence Bill.

Supervisor Gallagher, referring to the amendment presented by Congressman Welch to the bill providing for Philippine Independence, called attention to it as a splendid piece of legislation and moved that this Board of Supervisors extend its commendation and congratulation to the author who was instrumental in having this provision inserted, declaring Philipinos aliens, and under the provisions of the law and limited to a quota of fifty a year for entrance into the United States.

So ordered.

Retention of Supervisors' Lockers.

Supervisor Havenner declared that in the rearrangement of the Board an order had been given by some one to do away with the mail lockers. He objected very much to such order and declared that the mail lockers were a great convenience. He urged that they be retained and made a motion to that effect which was *carried unanimously*.

Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Uhl called out of the Finance Committee his resolution declaring existence of an emergency and providing for salary deductions, and moved that the matter be made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday, and that the Federation of Municipal Employees and representatives of taxpaying groups be notified.

So ordered.

Longshoremen Strikers Protest Against Solicitation for Strikebreakers at Relief Shelters and Kitchens.

E. J. Diedrick, representative of the International Longshoremen's Union was granted the privilege of the floor by Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, and protested the solicitation or sending of strikebreakers into strike areas by employees of the Relief Administration. He said Mrs. Mallory, Valencia 8224, reports that Raymond Wright, 435 Jersey street, applied for relief at Associated Charities and was told to go to the waterfront and get work, so he could be taken off the relief roll. Then he was told they had other men working there who had been dropped from the roll (statement of E. M. Brooks, 535 Clayton street). Miss Jensen, District No. 9, Citizens' Emergency Relief, refuses to pay rent after tomorrow, May 15, says no funds. She asked E. M. Brooks "Why don't you go to work at the waterfront? The boys are making big money there."

Referred to Public Welfare Committee. Supervisor Uhl announced that the Welfare Committee would meet tomorrow at 10 a. m., to consider the matter and requested that Dr. Heyman, Mr. Carpenter and Miss Marie Jensen be notified to attend.

Referred.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to the fact that the telephone on

MONDAY, MAY 14, 1934.

the President's rostrum is connected through the Mayor's office. He moved that it be connected through Underhill 8500, like other city phones.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 5 p. m., recessed to meet in accordance with Supervisor Uhl's motion when budget is reported by Finance Committee.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 21, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, May 21, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

RECORDED
INDEXED

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 21, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 21, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 8 and 14, 1934, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Salary Deductions, Fiscal Year 1934-35.

(Code No. 9.053)

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Uhl was taken up:

Resolution No. 1354, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said

City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of July, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees, whose gross earnings exceed one hundred dollars per month, the respective amounts or percentages of said salaries or compensation as are set forth in Subdivision 2 of Section 70.1 of said Charter, and said Section 70.1 is by reference thereto made a part of this resolution for the purpose of determining and fixing the respective amounts to be deducted from said salaries and compensations.

Privilege of the Floor.

William Nanry, representing Bureau of Governmental Research; Harvey N. Toy, representing Good Government League; Eugene N. Fritz, representing Hotel and Apartment Owners' and Managers' Association; M. Moore, representing Apartment House Owners' and Lessees' Association; Thos. J. Campbell, bricklayer, 1827 McAllister street; George Fitch, Industrial Agent, Chamber of Commerce, was heard at length, urging the adoption of the resolution of Supervisor Uhl for salary reduction.

Miss Earl F. Treadwell, San Francisco Center, League of Women Voters, declared that the platform of her organization stood for economy in government and favored a cut in municipal salaries in view of the present emergency.

John J. O'Connor, representing the Asphalt Pavers' Local No. 34; Henry Heidelberg, representing San Francisco Central Labor Council; Edward Vandeleur, representing street car men; George F. Grove, representing International Union of Machinists; D. J. Ryan, representing 3800 carpenters in San Francisco, were heard in opposition to the proposed resolution of Supervisor Uhl.

R. S. MacMillan, representing himself as a taxpayer, referred to alleged neglect of the lowest paid of municipal employees—the institutional help of the San Francisco Hospital. Mr. Michael Rowan, president of the Institutional Help Association, was heard urging an increase in the pay of institutional help in the San Francisco Hospital.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing resolution and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supplemental Appropriation of \$300 to the Credit of Contractual Service, Agricultural Commission.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 563, Ordinance No. 9.051126, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$300 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$300 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1933-1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 194 (Contractual Service—Agricultural Commission); same being for contractual service.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Accepting the Roadway of the Crossing of Jarboe Avenue and Putnam Street.

(Code No. 12.0811)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 565, Ordinance No. 12.081115, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of crossing, Jarboe avenue and Putnam street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Crossing of Jarboe avenue and Putnam street.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over temporarily*:

Declaring Emergency and Providing for Deduction of Salaries.

The Finance Committee had for consideration Supervisor Shannon's resolution readjusting salaries of municipal officers and employees for the forthcoming fiscal year, and reports the same to the Board for consideration and action thereon, to-wit:

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1432, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life,

health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of July, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees, whose gross earnings exceed one hundred dollars per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed \$100 per month and do not exceed \$120 per month, one and one-half ($1\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$120 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three and one-half ($3\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, six and one-quarter ($6\frac{1}{4}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, seven and one-half ($7\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, nine (9) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than two and three-quarters ($2\frac{3}{4}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Agreement With State Toll Bridge Authority to Change Location of Western Terminus of Transbay Bridge.

(Code No. 12.112)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1431, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, on the 19th day of February, 1934, adopt Resolution No. 1291 requesting the California Toll Bridge Authority to change the location of the westerly terminus of the bridge to be constructed across the Bay of San Francisco from the County of Alameda to the City and County of San Francisco, to the end that said change would comply with the recommendation of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said California Toll Bridge Authority has agreed to make the requested changes provided that the City and County of San Francisco shall surrender to the said California Toll Bridge Authority, three hundred ninety thousand dollars (\$390,000) of the bonds heretofore issued and sold by said Toll Bridge Authority, and which the City has agreed to surrender as the same are acquired by the City in consideration of the said Toll Bridge Authority making the necessary changes in the location of the said westerly terminus of said toll bridge; and

Whereas, there has been presented to the Board of Supervisors, an agreement to be entered into between the said California Toll Bridge Authority and the City and County of San Francisco, which accepts the offer of the said City and County of San Francisco made by said Resolution No. 1291; and

Whereas, said California Toll Bridge Authority cannot finance the changes necessary to be made in the said westerly terminus of said bridge until the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to contribute to the cost thereof the said three hundred ninety thousand dollars (\$390,000) worth of bonds, which said City has heretofore agreed to purchase; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with the California Toll Bridge Authority, wherein and whereby the said California Toll Bridge Authority shall agree to change the location of the westerly terminus of said bridge in conformity with the recommendations of the Art Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and the City and County of San Francisco shall agree to surrender to the said California Toll Bridge Authority, three hundred ninety thousand dollars (\$390,000) worth of bonds heretofore issued by said Toll Bridge Authority and which the City and County of San Francisco has agreed to purchase; the surrender of said bonds to be made as the same are acquired by the City and County; it being understood that the said Toll Bridge Authority shall defray all of the expenses incident to changing the terminus of said bridge, including the acquisition of the necessary land therefor.

The said agreement shall further provide that if the Government of the United States has not made provision to enable said Toll Bridge Authority to finance the changes in the location of said terminus within twelve months from the date of said agreement, that said agreement shall be null and void; and it is

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute the aforesaid agreement for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse, to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnace and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the City and County of San Francisco, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise. All load tests shall be made by the successful bidder at his own expense.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the

plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work on any of the three propositions, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon present tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost for bond insurance and taxes;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same as urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid

set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, at the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of three hundred thousand (\$300,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfil the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfil and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Accepting Deeds to Sewer Easements, Vicinity of Precita and Treat Avenues.

(Code No. 12.1011)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1426, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 25th day of April, 1934, by and between Kino and Mary Cofone, grantors, and the City and

County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding a sewer easement in Lot 144 of Precita Valley Lands, approved by Department of Public Works' Order No. 239, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Accepting Deed to Sewer Easement, Vicinity of Precita and Treat Avenues.

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 1427, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed made the 25th day of April, 1934, by and between Clara Rogers, grantor, and the City and County of San Francisco, grantee, deeding a sewer easement in Lot 144 of Precita Valley Lands, approved by Department of Public Works' Order No. 239, be and is hereby accepted.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Grades on Leland Avenue Between Westerly Line of Hahn Street and Its Westerly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 569, Ordinance No. 12.0715, as follows:

Establishing grades on Leland avenue between westerly line of Hahn street and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Leland avenue between the westerly line of Hahn street produced and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 11, 1934:

Leland Avenue.

Southerly line of, at Hahn street, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Hahn street westerly line produced, 148.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on the westerly line of Hahn street produced, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 151.00 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 145.00 feet.

60 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 145.00 feet.

On Leland avenue between the westerly line of Hahn street produced and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Establishing Grades on Kramer Place Between Greenwich Street and Its Southerly Termination, and on Pardee Alley Between Kramer Place and Grant Avenue.

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 570, Ordinance No. 12.0716, as follows:

Establishing grades on Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination, and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination, and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 12, 1934:

Kramer Place.

25 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 139.80 feet.
117.50 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 124.00 feet.
137.50 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 122.50 feet.

Pardee Alley.

Northerly line of, at Kramer place, 133.14 feet.
Southerly line of, at Kramer place, 130.84 feet.
20 feet easterly from Kramer place, 133.43 feet.
Grant avenue westerly line of (for Pardee alley), 134.00 feet.
Northerly line of, at Grant avenue, 143.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
Southerly line of, at Grant avenue, 140.89 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Greenwich street at Kramer place.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Accepting the Roadway of Laidley Street Between Fairmount and San Miguel Streets.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 571, Ordinance No. 12.081116, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Laidley street between Fairmount and San Miguel streets, including the crossing of Laidley and Fairmount streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Laidley street between Fairmount and San Miguel streets, including the crossing of Laidley and Fairmount streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Intention to Change and Establish Grades on Rankin Street,
Jerrold Avenue and Innes Avenue.**

(Code No. 12.0721)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1428, as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Order No. 285 of the Director of Public Works, dated May 11, 1934, making written recommendation of said action, filed with said Board May 14, 1934, to-wit:

Jerrold Avenue.

Quint street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 2.00 feet.

Rankin street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.50 feet.

Selby street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.50 feet.

Toland street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rankin Street.

Hudson avenue, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Innes avenue, 2.00 feet.

Jerrold avenue, 2.00 feet.

Kirkwood avenue, 3.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Innes Avenue.

300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rankin street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.00 feet.

Selby street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.00 feet.

Toland street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Rankin street between Hudson and Kirkwood avenues, on Jerrold avenue between Quint and Toland streets, and on Innes avenue between Toland street and a line parallel with and 300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

**Agreement With Gough Street Railroad Company in Relation to
Installation of Certain Sewer Pipes.**

(Code No. 12.1011)

Also, Resolution No. 1429, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized to enter into that certain written agreement with the Gough Street Railroad Company, dated April 25, 1934, relating to

the installation of certain sewer pipes across the company's railroad right of way extending along Sloat boulevard, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Acceptance of Deed, Crocker Estate Company, Realignment of Silver Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1430, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated April 26, 1934, from Crocker Estate Company to a portion of Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 5801, San Francisco, required for the realignment of part of Silver avenue.

It is understood that the City and County of San Francisco shall at its own cost and expense construct a street on said property and reconstruct the curbs, sidewalk and adjoining street.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Official Rate for Printing Delinquent Tax.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 21, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: For the purpose of enabling your Board to fix the rate for advertising the delinquent tax list for the fiscal year 1933-1934, bids were advertised for to cover the printing, publishing and distribution of the delinquent tax list and sales list. The following bids were received:

(1) The Chronicle Publishing Co. quoted at \$0.08 per line per issue for the delinquent tax list and \$0.03 per line for the sales list.

(2) The Daily News Company, Ltd., quoted \$0.084 per line per issue for the delinquent tax list and \$0.031 per line for the sales list.

(3) National Industrial Review and Economy News quoted \$0.03 per line per issue for the delinquent tax list and \$0.025 per line for the sales list.

I am advised by the City Attorney that the law provides that the Board of Supervisors must fix the rate to be paid for the publication. Furthermore, I am advised by the Tax Collector that it is necessary that the delinquent list must go to the printer immediately in order that the first publication may be available on the date provided by the law.

Very truly yours,

T. A. BROOKS, Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 3.03)

Resolution No. 1433, as follows:

Resolved, That the official rate for printing, publishing and distributing the Delinquent Tax List of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, is hereby set at three cents per twelve-em line of six point size type, per issue for the delinquent tax list and \$0.025 per line for the sales list. These rates

to include the entire charge for three publications, together with all charges appertaining to the publication of the above mentioned publication of the above mentioned delinquent tax list, including all specially bound books and all other conditions that are necessary for the legal publication of this list as specified and outlined by the Tax Collector.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Director of Property to Appraise, and Negotiate for the Purchase or Exchange of Property at Northwest Corner of Third and Mariposa Streets for Incinerator Site.

The following was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and on motion of Supervisor Havenner *laid over one week*:

(Code No. 4.1719)

Resolution No. 1440, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has declared as its policy that the permanent method of disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco shall be incineration; and

Whereas, this Board did appoint a Special Committee whose function it was to select a site upon which an incinerator might be erected; and

Whereas, it is the sense of the committee, after a thorough investigation, that, from the standpoint of location and engineering feasibility, the lot situate at the northwest corner of Third and Mariposa streets is a desirable site for the erection of an incinerator; and

Whereas, the owner of this property has indicated his willingness to sell the property to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and request the Director of Property to appraise this lot; to negotiate with the owner of the property for its acquisition by purchase or exchange for other property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, and to report his findings and recommendations to this Board.

ALFRED RONCOVIERI,
ADOLPH UHL.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 6:45 p. m. took a recess until 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, MAY 21, 1934, 8 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled, all members heretofore noted being present.

Supervisor Shannon's Salary Deduction Ordinance.

The following matter was taken up for consideration:

Declaring Emergency and Providing for Deduction of Salaries.

(Code No. 9.053)

The Finance Committee had for consideration Supervisor Shannon's resolution readjusting salaries of municipal officers and employees for the forthcoming fiscal year, and reports the same to the Board for consideration and action thereon, to-wit:

Resolution No. 1432, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County and providing for deductions from the sal-

aries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of July, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees, whose gross earnings exceed one hundred dollars per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed \$100 per month and do not exceed \$120 per month, one and one-half ($1\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$120 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three and one-half ($3\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, six and one-quarter ($6\frac{1}{4}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, seven and one-half ($7\frac{1}{2}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, nine (9) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than two and three-quarters ($2\frac{3}{4}$) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where

the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Supervisor Uhl's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl offered the following amendment to the resolution presented by Supervisor Shannon:

Change the subdivisions as follows: (a) from \$100 to \$120—1 per cent; (b) from \$120 to \$150—1 per cent; (c) from \$150 to \$185—10 per cent; (d) \$185 to \$200—12½ per cent; (e) \$201 to \$275—15 per cent; (f) from \$275 to \$600—20 per cent; (g) from \$600 to \$834—25 per cent; (h) over \$834—25 per cent.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment to the amendment to strike out of his resolution subdivision (a) eliminating the lowest bracket from salary deductions and correcting the lettering of the subsequent subdivisions.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that Supervisor Shannon's amendment was out of order:

The Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Appeal From the Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the chair.

Chair Sustained.

Supervisor Ratto being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the chair be the decision of the Board?", and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Excused—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Supervisor Shannon's Amendment Defeated.

Whereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's amendment to the amendment and the same was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Supervisor Uhl's Proposed Amendment Defeated.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's proposed amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Supervisor Shannon's Resolution Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Shannon's resolution for salary deduction was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Declaring Emergency and Fixing Salaries by Initiative Ordinance.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl proposed an initiative ordinance calling a special election for August 20, 1934, for the purpose of declaring the

existence of an emergency and making deductions of municipal salaries for the ensuing fiscal year.

Privilege of the Floor.

Henry Heidelberg, Assistant City Attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and said that he had consulted City Attorney John J. O'Toole and that it was his opinion that Supervisor Uhl's proposed initiative ordinance declaring an emergency and fixing salary deductions which he proposed to submit to the electorate at a special election on August 20, 1934, was illegal, and moreover, before it could be submitted to the people, would require the unanimous approval of the Board of Supervisors and the consent of the Mayor. Subsequently this statement of his opinion was confirmed by City Attorney John J. O'Toole.

Withdrawn.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *withdrawn* by Supervisor Uhl.

President McSheehy requested the Clerk to have a survey made through the proper department as to the statements frequently made that 10 per cent of the taxpayers were paying 90 per cent of the taxes, and that 90 per cent of the property owners accounted for 10 per cent of the taxes.

So ordered.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Committee of Citizens to Make Necessary Arrangements for Public Dedication of New County Jail.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1436, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to make the necessary arrangements for a public dedication of our new County Jail.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Sale of "Poppies" During Memorial Week, May 25 to May 30, Inclusive.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 1434, as follows:

Whereas, the American Legion Auxilliary and the San Francisco County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, will conduct their annual sale of "poppies" from May 25 to May 30, inclusive, the proceeds to be used for child welfare and veteran relief work, the funds dispensed throughout the year in cases of extreme distress; and

Whereas, this is a commendable project, and all citizens who can afford to do so are asked to purchase and wear "poppies"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, in meeting assembled, do hereby endorse the annual "poppy" sale of the American Legion Auxilliary and the San Francisco County Council, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, and do commend this worthy enterprise to the attention of the citizens for their support and consideration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Board of Supervisors Request Shipping Interests and Longshoremen and Dock Workers Adjust Their Differences to the End That Peace and Prosperity of San Francisco May Be Conserved.

(Code No. 5.4)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1435, as follows:

Whereas, there is now and has been for several days past a strike in progress, arising from a controversy between the shipping interests and the longshoremen and dock workers; and

Whereas, several affiliated organizations have, as a sympathetic gesture, joined with the workers directly involved; and

Whereas, this strike has assumed a serious aspect because of the number of men involved and the effect which such a situation has upon our commercial activities; and

Whereas, commerce and the shipping industry is one of the principal businesses of San Francisco and one which directly affects all of our people very materially; and

Whereas, economic conditions are such that this situation is difficult, serious and expensive for all concerned, and lends opportunity for activity by certain political organizations which are not conducive to the best interests of San Francisco and its people; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby request, on behalf of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, that the interested parties make every effort to adjust their differences, to the end that the peace and prosperity of San Francisco may be conserved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Declaring an Emergency and Providing for Salary Deductions at Special Election, Tuesday, August 28, 1934.

(Code No. 9.053)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1437, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned ordinance; and the Board of Supervisors thereof does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the above mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said ordinance; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following ordinance to be voted on at said special election:

"An ordinance declaring an extraordinary economic condition during the fiscal year 1934-1935, and authorizing and reducing of budget appropriations to be made to various officers and employees and departments of the City and County of San Francisco."

Whereas, extraordinary and economic conditions actually exist in the City and County of San Francisco creating a public emergency, and that said conditions will continue during the fiscal year 1934-35, and that it is necessary that certain deductions be made from the budget appropriations to be made to the various officers, employees and departments of the City and County of San Francisco during said fiscal year 1934-35; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Extraordinary conditions exist in the City and County of San Francisco creating a public emergency therein, and said emergency will continue during the fiscal year 1934-35.

Section 2. That during said fiscal year 1934-35, deductions shall be made from the budget appropriations allocated to various officers,

employees and departments of the City and County of San Francisco as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed \$100 per month and do not exceed \$120 per month, one (1%) per cent of the amount of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$120 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, four (4%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, seven (7%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, twelve and one-half (12½%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20%) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

Section 3. Said deductions from said budget appropriations allocated to officers, employees and departments so far as salaries or compensation to be paid officers or employees, shall be made in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Section 4. All ordinances or resolutions in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect from and after its passage.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby directed to take all the necessary steps for holding the above mentioned election, and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County of the above ordinance.

Referred to Finance and Judiciary Committee.

Resignations of Members of Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and copies ordered sent to the members of the Board:

Mayor's Office, San Francisco.

May 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Beg to attach copy of communication from the chair-

MONDAY, MAY 21, 1934.

man and members of the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee, dated May 14, tendering their resignations, effective not later than August 1, 1934. This is for your information and such action as you may feel disposed to take.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Mayor's Office, San Francisco.

May 14, 1934.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Sir: After long and careful consideration, the members of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee have come to the unanimous conclusion that they must, at an early date, be relieved of their duties and responsibilities.

The committee was set up in September, 1932. Its establishment was based on the following understandings and assumptions: (1) It was created in compliance with the pledge, given to the public during the campaign for the Relief Bond Issue of \$6,500,000, that the expenditure of the bond moneys would be supervised and controlled by a non-political Citizens' Committee.

(2) The committee was to act as an advisory body, to aid the Director of Relief, and not to have direct charge of the administration of relief.

(3) The conditions calling for large public expenditures for unemployment relief were believed to constitute an emergency, of uncertain but temporary duration.

All of these conditions have been removed or radically altered by the course of events. The proceeds of the bond issue have now been virtually exhausted. Since the entry of the federal government into the relief picture, the committee has been called upon to assume direct executive authority over, and responsibility for, the administration of relief. Finally, what was thought to be a temporary emergency now appears to be a condition which will confront the public for an indefinite time, perhaps permanently.

The members of this committee have been serving for almost two years, a period far beyond that in contemplation when they accepted appointment. They believe that the fact that the City and County must meet a continuing, rather than an emergency, problem, and one involving duties of increased magnitude and scope, calls for the establishment of a more permanent form of organization.

We therefore respectfully advise you that the committee and its members insist upon retiring, and we hereby tender our resignations to take effect not later than August 1, 1934. We are fixing this date in order to allow the governmental authorities of the City and County sufficient time to devise and install such machinery for the direction and administration of relief as they may deem proper. It may be added that the members of the committee stand ready to retire at any earlier date that may meet the pleasure of the City and County government.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) M. C. SLOSS, Chairman,
ANNE DE CRUCHY TREADWELL,
MRS. PAUL E. SPRINGER,
W. P. FULLER,
R. D. CARPENTER,
JNO. A. O'CONNELL,
CHARLES KENDRICK,
JAMES B. SMITH,
F. N. BELGRANO, JR.,
HARRY A. MILTON,
COLBERT COLDWELL.

Protest, Massing of Police on Waterfront During Strike.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from H. Bridges, Strike Committee, International Longshoremen's Association, transmitting list of striking longshoremen and seamen delegated at a mass meeting to protest before the Board of Supervisors the massing of San Francisco police on the waterfront, and denying to said strikers their legal rights to carry on peaceful picketing.

Ordered *filed*.

Endorsement of Mayor Rossi's 50 Per Cent Salary Restoration.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Asphalt Pavers, Local No. 84, by John J. O'Connor, Secretary and Business Representative, advising that said organization has unanimously endorsed the proposal made by his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, that 50 per cent of the pay cut now in effect for city employees be restored on July 1 of this year, and that the other 50 per cent be continued for one year more.

Ordered *filed*.

Incinerator Site.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Charles W. Brock, Secretary, Thomas Magee & Sons, transmitting metes and bounds description of property submitted in letter of May 11, 1934, at northwest corner of Third and Mariposa streets, suggested as a district for proposed garbage incinerator.

Ordered *filed*.

Provisions of Garbage Incineration Proposals.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Walter Mayer, Golden State Hotel, referring to proposal for bids for garbage disposal and making certain recommendations as to provisions thereof as will permit a larger degree of participation by those who have methods of garbage disposal to offer. Also, suggesting reduction of the amount of the bond required, and making provision for use of by-products derived from operation.

Referred to Health Committee.

In Memoriam: Andrew Younger Wood.

(Code No. 5.91.)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1438, as follows:

The Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret of the death of Andrew Younger Wood, journalist and publisher of The Recorder.

For many years "Andy" Wood identified himself with the transactions of the Board of Supervisors as the representative of his newspaper, The Recorder, of which he was the managing editor.

It was the good fortune of many of us to know "Andy" intimately, and to know him was to love him. His was a sincere and noble nature. Modest and retiring, yet withal a man who by sheer force of character and ability achieved a unique place in his beloved city as a recorder and publisher of legal and legislative proceedings; moreover, a man whose brilliant editorials on contemporary political and economic problems displayed a clear and keen insight into problems now perplexing the American people.

This Board of Supervisors, therefore, publicly expresses its deep

sorrow at the passing of so worthy a citizen. To his bereaved family we extend our heartfelt sympathy and commiseration. The Board adjourns today out of respect to his revered memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at 10:40 p. m., took a recess until 10 a. m. tomorrow morning, when the budget will be taken up for consideration.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 4, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

*O.K. to bind
No 23 not pub*

Vol. 29—New Series

No. 24

Monday, May 28, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



**The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.**



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 28, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 28, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the previous meetings were laid over for approval until next meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Grades on Poppy Lane Between Diamond and Conrad Streets.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 567, Ordinance No. 12.0714, as follows:

Establishing grades on Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office April 21, 1934:

Poppy Lane.

44.98 feet westerly from Diamond street, 288.50 feet.

74.94 feet westerly from Diamond street, 292.35 feet.

Northerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 296.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at the first angle westerly from Diamond street, 295.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 189.66 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 297.70 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 151.50 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 300.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 27.60 feet northeasterly from the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 315.30 feet.

Northwesterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.29 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at the first angle northeasterly from Conrad street, 318.79 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 173.5 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 321.78 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 115 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 329.00 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334.00 feet.

Northwesterly line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southeasterly line of, 25 feet northeasterly from Conrad street, 334.50 feet.

On Poppy lane between Diamond and Conrad streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor, and the present official grade of Diamond and Conrad streets at Poppy lane.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following emergency matters were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$357,669.22 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to May 31, 1934, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 574, Ordinance No. 9.051130, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$357,669.22 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$357,669.22 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to May 31, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed

does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

**Appropriating \$500 for Investigation of Infantile Paralysis, and
Declaring Existence of an Emergency.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 575, Ordinance No. 9.051131, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$500 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Department of Public Health, to be used by the Director of Public Health to make certain investigations regarding infantile paralysis in the State of California, to the end that precautionary measures may be taken to prevent any outbreak of said disease in the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring an emergency exists.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer and the recommendation of the Mayor, the sum of \$500 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Department of Public Health, to be used and expended by the Director of Public Health to make certain investigations regarding the increased prevalence of infantile paralysis in the State of California, to the end that precautionary measures may be taken to prevent any outbreak of said disease in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This said appropriation is made from the said Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that the expenditure of said sum is necessary for the protection of the public health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco and there is not other available funds to pay the cost of the above mentioned investigation.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance for the reason that the same must become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists, the nature of said emergency being that the appropriation herein made is necessary to be expended forthwith for the protection of the health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deeds to Land.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1441, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lands in San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 152-10A:

John G. Noakes et ux. (portion of Lot 3-F in Assessor's Block 4335)	\$2,779.70
Charles A. Larson (portion of Lot 2-D in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,808.00
Gennaro Saccone et ux. (portion of Lot 2-E in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,560.00
Amilcare Perrigno et ux. (portion of Lot 2-F in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,560.00
John Ivanetich et ux. (portion of Lot 2-G in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,430.00
Otto Toreson (portion of Lot 3-B in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,160.00
Elmer H. Peterson et ux. (portion of Lot 3-C in Assessor's Block 4334)	2,130.00
Eva Ellen McCormack (portion of Lots 3-D and 3-E in Assessor's Block 4334)	6,430.00
Marie Kreissig (portion of Lot 3-C in Assessor's Block 4335)	2,676.50
William Joseph Waters et ux. (portion of Lot 3-D in Assessor's Block 4335)	2,745.90
Johanna Hildreth (portion of Lot 3-E in Assessor's Block 4335)	2,362.20
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.	
Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.	

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

The following recommendation of Public Health Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 1387, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal by incineration, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, delivered to the incineration plant, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse, to the incineration plant.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

That the grantee of this franchise, or privilege, shall erect a complete incineration plant, including approaches, within one year from date of grant, in accordance with his own plans and specifications.

That such incineration plant shall have a capacity of at least eight hundred (800) tons per day, as determined by a test of six consecutive days, such test to be made in accordance with specifications prepared by the City Engineer. Said specifications shall be kept on file and open for inspection by the City Engineer, or may be obtained from said City Engineer by depositing twenty-five (\$25) dollars as a guarantee for the safe return of such specifications.

If the City Engineer should determine that the furnaces and appurtenances have not met the guarantees on this first test, he shall so notify the grantee in writing within five (5) days following the last day of the test period. The grantee shall then be allowed sixty (60) days following this date of notification in which to make, at his own expense, any alteration or changes to the equipment that he may desire to make, in order to improve its operation. A second test shall then be run under similar conditions to the first test and this second test shall start on the fifth day following the date of delivery of the grantee's notice in writing to the Board of Supervisors that the plant is ready for this second test.

Should the furnaces and appurtenances fail to meet the requirements of the guarantees, on a second test, this failure shall be conclusive evidence that they are defective and not in accordance with the guarantees, and the franchise shall then be declared forfeited, and the grantee shall thereupon remove the building, furnaces, including all foundations, and appurtenances, within ninety (90) days after second test, and the whole amount of the bond in the penal sum of one hundred fifty thousand (\$150,000) dollars shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damage and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon such bond.

Should the City Engineer determine that the furnaces and appurtenances meet all the requirements of the guarantees, he shall so certify to the Board of Supervisors, within five (5) days following the last day of the first or second test period, and thereupon the grantee shall be granted permission to operate the incinerator in accordance with and conforming to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the incineration plant shall be built on a site furnished by the City and County of San Francisco, and designated as the block bounded by Alameda, De Haro, Fifteenth and Rhode Island streets, being Block 3914 as per current Assessor's Block Books, or on a site commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Mariposa street and the westerly line of Third street; running thence westerly along the northerly line of Mariposa street three hundred and eighty (380) feet; thence at a right angle northerly four hundred and thirty-three (433) feet to the center line of Seventeenth street, now closed; thence at a right angle easterly along last mentioned line three hundred and eighty (380) feet to the westerly line of Third street; and thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Third street four hundred and thirty-three (433) feet to the point of commencement, rent free to the successful bidder for the life of the franchise.

All load tests shall be made by the successful bidder at his own expense.

That the said City and County shall have the right to purchase the plant at the end of any calendar year for cost, less depreciation, the amount of said cost and depreciation per annum to be fixed by agreement or arbitration.

That the purchase of the plant by the said City and County shall terminate the operating franchise or privilege.

That upon termination of the franchise the complete plant, in good operating condition, free from all liens or encumbrances, shall become the property of the said City and County without cost.

Each bidder must file with his bid complete plans and specifications of the building or buildings, foundations, furnaces and layout of approaches he proposes to build, and he must include therewith all of the guarantees set forth in the hereinbefore mentioned specifications prepared by the said City Engineer.

He must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials, which may accumulate at the incineration plant or place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement furnishing evidence of established reputation and experience in the construction of incineration plants and of the successful operation of at least one garbage and refuse incineration plant, using a furnace of the type proposed by the bidder.

(2) A statement of power consumption per ton of garbage and refuse disposed of.

(3) A statement as to whether additional fuel will be required.

(4) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(5) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(6) A statement giving the average number of pounds of garbage and refuse burned per hour per square foot of grate upon which combustion takes place in the proposed furnace.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the bidder shall furnish with his bid a general statement covering estimates, itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of buildings;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost of bond premium, taxes, and all insurance;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved:

That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise

or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county, or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than

the 20th day of August, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That when the franchise is awarded the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of one hundred fifty thousand (\$150,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Privilege of the Floor.

Senator Thos. Maloney was heard at length in opposition to locations set forth for the incinerator plants.

M. L. Rose, 174 Ellis street, was heard in regard to proposition to built an incinerator to demonstrate his contention that his proposition will do the work of properly disposing city garbage by incineration.

Fred Moody warned the Board to be careful in awarding contract for incineration and referred to failure of this method in the past.

Sylvester Andriano, representing the Scavengers' Union, was also heard.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the chair be requested to appoint a committee of three to continue negotiations with San Mateo County looking to obtaining permanent legal permission to dispose of garbage by fill and cover in San Mateo County.

Motion *carried*—Supervisors Havenner, Colman and Gallagher appointed.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Director of Property to Appraise Lot, Third and Mariposa Streets, and to Negotiate for Its Acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 17.08)

On recommendation of Special Committee for Selection of Incinerator Site.

Resolution No. 1440, as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has declared as its policy that

the permanent method of disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco shall be incineration; and

Whereas, this Board did appoint a Special Committee whose function it was to select a site upon which an incinerator might be erected; and

Whereas, it is the sense of the Committee, after a thorough investigation, that, from the standpoint of location and engineering feasibility, the lot situate at the northwest corner of Third and Mariposa streets is a desirable site for the erection of an incinerator; and

Whereas, the owner of this property has indicated his willingness to sell the property to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize and request the Director of Property to appraise this lot, to negotiate with the owner of the property for its acquisition by purchase or exchange for other property owned by the City and County of San Francisco and to report his findings and recommendations to this Board.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Hearing Appeal, Grading and Sewering Fitzgerald Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Resolution No. 1442, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 11th day of June, 1934, at 3:00 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Sale of \$260,000 Airport Bonds 1933 to the United States Government in Conformity With Agreement With Federal Government.

(Code No. 15.051)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1443, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, in conformity with the provisions of the agreement dated May 19, 1934, entered into between the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, and the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of which said agreement was filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of April, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco sell and deliver to the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, \$260,000 of bonds heretofore approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on November 7, 1933, and designated Airport Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall be delivered from time to time as the proceeds thereof are required for the project herein mentioned upon payment of the purchase price of par and accrued interest.

That of the said \$260,000 worth of bonds to be sold as herein provided, the Government of the United States will cancel and surrender to the City and County of San Francisco an amount of said

bonds equal to 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the improvements to the San Francisco Municipal Airport, for which said bonds were issued. The said bonds to be canceled and surrendered as said grant is earned, in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid agreement entered into between the Government of the United States and the said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt—8.

No—Supervisor Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Sale of \$2,625,000 Sewer Bonds 1933 to United States Government in Conformity With Agreement With Federal Government.

(Code No. 12.122)

Also, Resolution No. 1444, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, in conformity with the provisions of the agreement dated May 19, 1934, entered into between the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, and the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of which said agreement was filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of April, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco sell and deliver to the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, \$2,625,000 of bonds heretofore approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on November 7, 1933, and designated Sewer Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall be delivered from time to time as the proceeds thereof are required for the project herein mentioned upon payment of the purchase price of par and accrued interest.

That of the said \$2,625,000 worth of bonds to be sold as herein provided, the Government of the United States will cancel and surrender to the City and County of San Francisco an amount of said bonds equal to 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon reconditioning and rebuilding existing sewers, the construction of structures at the ends of existing sewers, sewerage disposal plants and new sewers throughout the said City and County, for which said bonds were issued. The said bonds to be canceled and surrendered as said grant is earned, in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid agreement entered into between the Government of the United States and the said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Sale of \$3,500,000 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds 1933 to United States Government in Conformity With Agreement With Federal Government.

(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Resolution No. 1445, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, in conformity with the provisions of the agreement dated May 19, 1934, entered into between the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, and the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of which said agreement was filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of April, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco sell and deliver to the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, \$3,500,000 of bonds heretofore approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on November 7, 1933, and designated Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall be delivered from time to time as the proceeds thereof are required for the project herein mentioned upon payment of the purchase price of par and accrued interest.

That of the said \$3,500,000 worth of bonds to be sold as herein provided, the Government of the United States will cancel and surrender to the City and County of San Francisco an amount of said bonds equal to 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the construction of the addition to O'Shaughnessy Dam, for which said bonds were issued. The said bonds to be canceled and surrendered as said grant is earned, in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid agreement entered into between the Government of the United States and the said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Sale of \$10,771,000 Water Distribution Bonds 1933 to United States Government in Conformity With Agreement With Federal Government.

(Code No. 15.021)

Also, Resolution No. 1446, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, in conformity with the provisions of the agreement dated May 19, 1934, entered into between the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, and the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of which said agreement was filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on the 30th day of April, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco sell and deliver to the Government of the United States, through its Public Works Administration, \$10,771,000 of bonds heretofore approved by the electors of the City and County of San Francisco on November 7, 1933, and designated Water Distribution Bonds, 1933.

Said bonds shall bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall be delivered from time to time as the proceeds thereof are required for the project herein mentioned upon payment of the purchase price of par and accrued interest.

That of the said \$10,771,000 worth of bonds to be sold as herein provided, the Government of the United States will cancel and surrender to the City and County of San Francisco an amount of said bonds equal to 30 per cent of the cost of the labor and materials employed upon the additions to the Water Distribution System of said City and County, for which said bonds were issued. The said bonds to be canceled and surrendered as said grant is earned, in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid agreement entered into between the Government of the United States and the said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Commendation of M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1447, as follows:

Whereas, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, for many years our illustrious City Engineer, and one of the engineering geniuses of the age, has passed the seventieth milestone of a life full of glorious and unparalleled activity in his chosen profession; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, by this means, wishes to commend him for his efforts in the engineering field for the betterment of San Francisco, and hopes that he will be spared for many years to come in health, prosperity and contentment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Mayor to Appoint Committee for Reception to W. Lawson Little,
Winner of the British Amateur Golf Championship.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Resolution No. 1448, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange for a public reception to W. Lawson Little, a San Francisco boy, who so gloriously upheld the traditions of our City by winning the British Amateur Golf Championship at Prestwick Links, Scotland, Saturday, May 26, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

**Commending Lieutenants Paul Codos and Maurice Rossi in Their
Flight From Paris to San Diego.**

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 1449, as follows:

Whereas, Lieutenants Paul Codos and Maurice Rossi, in their flight from Paris to San Diego, California, have recently crossed the Atlantic from East to West and, with high hope, expect to complete their projected flight to San Diego shortly, merit the acclaim of all enthusiasts of aviation; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere and profound admiration and respect for these intrepid flyers for their contribution to the conquest of the air, and that copies of this resolution be presented to them on their arrival, as an expression of the regard held for them by the people of San Francisco;

Further Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be requested to invite Lieutenants Paul Codos and Maurice Rossi to visit San Francisco and that a committee be appointed by the Mayor to arrange for their proper reception.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Committee to Investigate Strike Conditions.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his Honor the Mayor appoint a committee of impartial citizens to investigate the longshoremen's strike and ascertain the facts in connection therewith. He suggested that the Mayor appoint on this committee the Catholic Archbishop, the Protestant Bishop and the leading Jewish Rabbi.

Motion carried.

Telegram, Salary Deductions.

Supervisor McSheehy presented telegram directed to himself as President of the Board of Supervisors from Civic League of Improvements Clubs and Associations, Arthur Joel, president; George W. Gerhard, secretary, deploring in view of the depressed condition of business and lack of employment, abnormal vacancies and reduced rentals, that the Board of Supervisors should not have agreed to a 50 per cent deduction of municipal salaries compared with the 100 per cent deduction of last year which would have saved approximately \$1,350,000 and brought about a proportionate decrease in the tax rate.

Ordered *filed*.

Instructions to Relief Officials and Employees That Impartiality Be Observed as Between Employers and Strikers in the Present Labor Disorders.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk and copies ordered sent to the International Longshoremen's Association, the San Francisco Labor Council and the San Francisco Building Trades Council:

"May 18, 1934.

"To the Public Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

"Gentlemen: The resolution adopted by your committee at your meeting held on Tuesday, May 15, 1934, relative to the administration of relief in connection with strike conditions, was brought before the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee at its meeting held on May 16, 1934.

"I am directed to advise you that the committee at the last-named meeting adopted a resolution, as follows:

"Resolved, That definite instructions be given to all employees and officials of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Administration that no advice or suggestion be made to relief clients that they take employment where strike conditions prevail;

"And the Director of Relief be directed to instruct all persons in charge of shelters and kitchens under the supervision of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Administration not to permit solicitation of persons housed or fed therein to accept employment where strike conditions exist."

"Yours very truly,

"M. C. SLOSS, Chairman,

"CITIZENS' EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE."

Underground District, Van Ness Avenue South, From Twelfth to Army Streets.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Ralph W. Wiley, Chief, Department of Electricity, re: recommendation for new underground district, Van Ness avenue South from Twelfth to Army streets, stating that this street will be used more each year as an out-of-town thoroughfare, and the overhead equipment should be placed underground at some future date, but that this is not the proper time to make such a recommendation, as there are several districts pending which are necessary to accommodate the approaches to the proposed Trans-bay bridge and the widening of the streets which have been included in the State Highway System which will involve a large expenditure of money and which matter should be finished before the other underground districts are considered.

Read and referred to Streets Committee.

Invitation to Military Pageant.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Communication from Col. R. E. Mittelstaedt, 250th Coast Artillery, California National Guard, inviting the President and the members of the Board of Supervisors to attend as guests a celebration of Organization Day at the National Guard Armory, Fourteenth and Mission streets, at 7:30 p. m., Wednesday, June 6, 1934. After the drill program by local uniformed bodies, dancing will be held in the Drill Hall.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher invitation was accepted, and copies were ordered sent to each member.

Protest Against Commercial High School Boys Recruited to Take Strikers' Places on the Waterfront.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the United Front Seamen's Strike Committee, Marine Workers' Industrial Union, by S. Telford, its chairman, protesting in the name of the thousand seamen who are striking and in the name of the S. S. President Hoover, on recruiting from the Commercial High School of students to take the place of strikers in labor controversy now prevailing on the water front.

Ordered filed.

Protest Against Selection of Proposed Site for Incinerator at Third and Mariposa Streets.

Communication from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, by George W. Gerhard, secretary, protesting the selection of the proposed site for incinerator at Third and Mariposa streets on the ground that Third street is one of the main arteries of the City leading down the Peninsula, and provides a connecting link with the San Francisco Trans-bay Bridge which, when completed, is expected to throw enormous amount of additional traffic to this important thoroughfare, and suggesting that the incinerator be located on submerged lands where a new industrial district may be created, as was the case of the former incinerator site which eventually developed into an important industrial center.

Referred to Health Committee.

J. P. Holland, Inc., Offers to Cooperate in Solving Garbage Problem.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from J. P. Holland, Inc., offering cooperation to the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, Mr. Cleary and Dr. Geiger, in solving the problem of garbage disposal and requesting permission to demonstrate his method of doing so.

Referred to Health Committee.

Disposition of Refuse, Initiative Ordinance 17.083, Survey and Examination Into Rates, Year Ended December 31, 1933.

Communication from Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, complying with the provisions of Section 16 of Initiative Ordinance No. 17.083, covering the disposition of refuse and reporting on the survey and examination into the rates to the producer for the collection and disposition of refuse for the year ended December 31, 1933.

Referred to Health Committee.

Report of Joint Committee of Finance and Judiciary on Special Election for Salary Deductions.

The Joint Committee on Finance and Judiciary, to which was referred Supervisor Uhl's Resolution No. 1437, declaring an emergency and providing for a special election Tuesday, August 28, 1934, to continue the present salary deductions for municipal employees, re-referred

the subject matter to the Board without recommendation in view of the City Attorney's opinion to the effect that he said action would be illegal since the Charter provides that such emergency can only be declared by the Board of Supervisors in conjunction with his Honor the Mayor. Resolution *filed*.

RECESS.


Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:15 p. m., took a recess until May 31, 1934, at 2 p. m., for final action on Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 25, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



THURSDAY, MAY 31, 1934

FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 1934


Monday, June 4, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, MAY 31, 1934—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of May 25, 1934, for the purpose of acting on the final passage of the Budget for the fiscal year 1934-1935.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Thereupon the Clerk announced that he had been advised by the City Attorney that there would have to be another publication of the Budget before the Board of Supervisors could take final action on same.

Leave of Absence—Philip Lee Bush, Member Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 29, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A request has been made to me by Honorable Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for the period May 30, 1934-June 4, 1934, inclusive.

May I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request?

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1473, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip Lee Bush, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period May 30, 1934-June 4, 1934, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board recess until 3 p. m. tomorrow, June 1, 1934.

Motion carried.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 2:15 p. m. the Board recessed until Friday, June 1, 1934, at 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 1934—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Thursday, May 31, 1934.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:
Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri,
Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Final Passage.

Thereupon, the following bill was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING ALL ESTIMATED RECEIPTS AND ALL ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The several amounts of estimated receipts, income, and revenue enumerated herein are hereby provided for and appropriated to the several funds and/or departments indicated in this ordinance for the purpose of meeting appropriations herein provided. Such estimated receipts, revenue, and income are computed on the accrual basis and cash received in payment thereof either before or after the close of the fiscal year shall not be considered as income or revenue, but as payment on account.

The several amounts of proposed expenditure for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, are hereby appropriated to the several funds and/or departments as enumerated herein. Each department for which an expenditure appropriation is herein made shall be authorized to use the amount of moneys so appropriated for the purposes specified in this appropriation ordinance, subject to the limitations provided herein. Where revenues for any fund or department are provided herein by transfer from any other fund or department, or where a duty or a performance has been transferred from one department to another, the Controller is authorized to make the necessary transfer, provided further, that in no event shall transfers from utility surplus exceed the limitations set forth in section 129 of the Charter.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to vacancies in permanent positions established in the annual salary ordinance unless the Mayor shall approve and until the Controller shall certify that funds are available to pay for the service to be rendered and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

Appointing officers shall not make appointments to seasonable or temporary positions until the Controller shall certify that funds are available and until the Civil Service Commission shall verify the legality of the position and compensation.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated or established, the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 100,900.00, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made until the necessary funds are reappropriated by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of section 80 of the Charter; provided, however, should the position made vacant be one which in the opinion of the Controller is necessary for the uninterrupted and proper functioning of the department in which said vacancy has occurred, the head of said department may fill said position in accordance with the provisions of the Charter with a temporary appointee, which appointment shall continue until the necessity therefor is determined by the Board of Supervisors, but in no event longer than forty (40) days.

The Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified immediately of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position. Appropriations for bond interest or redemption, fixed charges and other appropriations not allocated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the Chief Administrative Officer, for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Liabilities incurred and expenditures made under expenditure appropriations herein enumerated shall in no case exceed the amount of each such appropriation, unless the same shall have been increased by supplemental appropriations made in the manner provided by section 80 of the Charter. Liabilities incurred and expenditures made for permanent employments shall in no case exceed the number of, and the salary or wage for, employments in each class as enumerated in the annual salary ordinance, except as additional employments may be authorized under the provisions of the Charter.

Where appropriations are made for specific projects or purposes which may involve the payment of salaries or wages, the head of the department to which such appropriations are made, or the head of a department authorized by contract or inter-departmental order to make expenditures from each such appropriation, shall file with the Controller, when requested, an estimate of the amount of any such expenditures to be made during the ensuing period.

Items of proposed expenditure that are designated herein as appropriated for the use of a department only to the extent that fees or other revenues are collectible therefor, or reimbursement is recollectible in full from the departments, persons or institutions for which the service is rendered, shall be subject to limitation by the Controller to the amount of such receipts or collections. Each department head to whom such a provisional appropriation is made shall file with the Controller monthly an estimate in detail of the proposed expenditures for the ensuing period, and also a statement of the amount of expenditures made and the receipts or reimbursements received or receivable since the last report.

The term "department" as used in this ordinance shall mean department, bureau, office, utility, board, or commission, as the case may be. The term "department head" as used herein shall be as defined in section 20 of the Charter.

Section 2. The Controller is hereby authorized and directed to set up appropriate accounts, as provided by section 76 of the Charter, for the items of receipts and expenditures appropriated herein, subject to the provisions of the Charter dealing with the appropriation and transfer of funds, the certification of obligations and the payment of warrants.

Section 3. The sums appropriated by this appropriation ordinance to the several departments shall be expended in accordance with a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly, as determined by the Controller and agreed to by the Mayor for departments under his jurisdiction and/or the Chief Administrative Officer.

The Controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to section 86 of the Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure, and shall not approve the incurring of liability on any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the Department head and that of the Mayor or Chief Administrative Officer and the approval of the Controller.

The several items of expenditure appropriated to the several departments being based upon estimated receipts, income, or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the Controller to revise estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a potential shortage, the Controller may hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the expenditure appropriations set forth herein until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation payable from taxes made by this ordinance shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department unless otherwise specified herein.

Section 4. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as provided in section 3.

Section 5. The existing Special, Trust Funds and Reserves are hereby continued, and the receipts in, and expenditures from, each such fund are hereby appropriated in accordance with law and the conditions under which each such fund was established.

The Controller is authorized to set up additional Special, Trust Funds and Reserves, as they may be created by either additional bequests or under other conditions.

The Controller is authorized to set up appropriations for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due.

Section 6. The several amounts of estimated receipts herein appropriated, and each fund or department to which such appropriation is made, are as follows:

Budget Allowance	Balance 6-30-34	Balance 6-30-35	Receipts from Surplus	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts
GENERAL FUND—From Schedule No. 1	18,678,755			189,202	2,932,050	1,631,313	13,926,190	18,678,755
OTHER CURRENT FUNDS								
Emergency Reserve	150,000						150,000	150,000
Common School (Tentative)	8,874,319		400,000		5,010,500		3,463,819	8,874,319
Special School Tax (Tentative)	801,465						801,465	801,465
Library Department	388,666				18,000	see note C	370,666	388,666
Recreation Department	553,400				30,500	see note B	522,900	553,400
Park Department	1,084,261				312,150	see note A	772,111	1,084,261
de Young Memorial Museum	72,215				500	8,230	63,485	72,215
California Palace Legion of Honor ..	69,615				500		69,115	69,615
War Memorial	93,475				25,000		68,475	93,475
Employees' Retirement	2,115,590					650,500	1,465,090	2,115,590
Workmen's Compensation	40,000						40,000	40,000
Bond Interest and Redemption	14,039,018				70,000	6,961,559	7,007,459	14,039,018
Tax Judgments	98,547						98,547	98,547
Publicity and Advertising	155,000						155,000	155,000
County Road	1,107,957	278,500	87,857		1,020,000		100	1,107,957
Water Department	6,321,358	800,000	275,000		6,046,358			6,321,358
Hetch Hetchy Power	1,974,765				1,974,765			1,974,765
Public Utilities Commission	68,732					68,732		68,732
Municipal Railway	3,068,910	169,554	136,510		2,932,400			3,068,910
Installation Fund, Dept. of Electricity	3,000				3,000			3,000
Interest Tax Anticipation Notes	25,000						25,000	25,000
State of California Unemployment Relief Loan	100,000						100,000	100,000
State of California Unemployment Relief Loan Interest	45,000						45,000	45,000
Special Elections	21,713						21,713	21,713
Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor ..	1,000,000						1,000,000	1,000,000
*Total Current Funds	60,950,761	1,648,054	899,367	189,202	20,375,723	9,320,334	30,166,135	60,950,761

(Budget 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Exhibit A

BUDGET 1934-1935 (continued)

City and County of San Francisco

	Budget Allowance	Balance 6-30-34	Balance 6-30-35	Receipts from Surplus	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Taxes	Total Receipts
Carried forward	60,950,761	1,648,054	748,687	899,367	189,202	20,375,723	9,320,334	30,166,135	60,950,761
BOND FUNDS									
1932 Hetch Hetchy	369,413	369,413	369,413
1933 Water Distribution	8,900,000	8,900,000	8,900,000
1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam	3,500,000	3,500,000	3,500,000
1933 Sewer	2,047,553	2,047,553	2,047,553
1933 High Pressure	1,960,000	1,960,000	1,960,000
1933 Airport	260,000	260,000	260,000
1934 School House	1,457,000	1,457,000	1,457,000
Total Bonds Funds	18,493,966	18,493,966	18,493,966
Total Budget	79,444,727	1,648,054	748,687	899,367	189,202	38,869,689	9,320,334	30,166,135	79,444,727

Note A—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 10 cents on each \$100 of the 1934-35 assessment roll, plus \$25,000.

Note B—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 7 cents on each \$100 of the 1934-35 assessment roll.

Note C—That portion of the appropriation which is to be met from taxes shall not exceed the amount to be provided by a levy of 5 cents on each \$100 of the 1934-35 assessment roll.

Budget Allowance	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts by Transfer	Receipts from Taxes	Total Receipts
Board of Supervisors.....	80,200			
Mayor.....	45,880			
Assessor.....	249,465			
City Attorney.....	92,560	16,000		
District Attorney.....	106,625			
Treasurer.....	45,800			
Sheriff.....	330,035			
Public Defender.....	18,380			
Police Department.....	3,419,044			
Fire Department.....	3,160,775			
Board of Permit Appeals.....	8,000			
Park Department.....	128,706			
Recreation Department.....	199,130			
Art Commission.....	40,100			
Aquarium.....	40,500			
Airport.....	165,674			
Municipal Court.....	213,430			
Superior Court.....	203,065			
Law Library.....	10,800			
Juvenile Court.....	662,740			
Juvenile Detention Home.....	29,920			
Adult Probation Department.....	26,580			
Chief Administrative Officer.....	19,500			
Chief Administrative Officer (Other Appropriations)	442,952			
Director of Finance and Records.....	6,000			
Tax Collector.....	149,945			
Registrar of Voters.....	266,309			
Recorder.....	94,020			
County Clerk.....	148,580			
Public Administrator.....	38,902			
Purchasing Department.....	679,830	8,575		
Real Estate Department.....	12,715			
Real Estate Department (Auditorium).....	53,060	1,425		
	40,000			

(Schedule I continued on next page)

	Budget Allowance	Receipts Allocated to Appropriations	Receipts Allocated to Funds	Receipts by Transfer	Receipts from Taxes	Total Receipts
Department of Public Works.....	1,428,861	37,000
Department of Electricity.....	208,006
Department of Public Health.....	2,579,040	5,000
County Welfare Department.....	817,600
Coroner.....	47,720
Agricultural Commission.....	17,060
Department of Weights and Measures.....	23,418
Controller.....	180,753
(Other Appropriations).....	71,000
City Planning Commission.....	13,900
Lighting of Public Streets and Buildings.....	2,031,545	892,675
Civil Service Commission.....	53,630
Public Pound.....	17,000
From Water Revenue Fund.....	707,638
Estimated Revenues from Schedule No. 2.....	2,932,050
Receipts from Taxes.....	13,926,190
Totals.....	18,678,755	189,202	2,932,050	1,631,313	13,926,190	18,678,755

Classification	General Fund		Allocated to Fund	Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund						General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Licenses									
Business	670,000								
Business (Badges and Tags)	12,000								
Vehicle	110,000								
Marriage	10,000								
Ferry	2,400								
Dog	8,000								
Beverage (From State)	150,000								
Inspection Fees (Ord. 3.0412)	30,000								
Penalties	18,000								
Total	1,010,400								1,010,400
Permits									
Building	40,000								
Bill Board	300								
House Numbers	1,750								
Street Space	3,500								
Police Department	3,500								
Fire Department	1,500								
Boiler	3,000								
Total	53,550								53,550
Fines									
Municipal Courts	40,000								
Superior Courts	4,000								
Traffic Courts	60,000								
Total	104,000								104,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Schedule II

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1934-35 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations			General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Interest Revenue	150,000	150,000
Penalties and Costs—Delinquent Taxes	120,000	120,000
Franchises							
Telephone	240,000
Railway	45,000
Total	285,000	285,000
Subventions							
State Bond Interest and Redemption	70,000
Orphans and Half Orphans	138,000
Widows' Pensions	126,500
Blind Pensions	50,000
Tubercular Patients	60,000
Fire Boats	84,202
Needy Aged	250,000
County Roads	1,020,000
Common School Fund	4,893,000
Federal Aid to High Schools	15,000
Total	624,500	84,202	5,998,000	6,706,702
Departmental Revenues							
Tax Collector—Delinquent Revenues							
Other Than Taxes	1,000
Advertising Fees	3,000

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Classification	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations	Other Current Funds	Capital Funds	General Fund	Other Current Funds	Total
Departmental Revenues—Continued							
Bureau of Engineering—Survey Fees	1,500						
—Excavating Fees	9,000						
—Special Inspection		12,000					
—Tearing Up Streets		25,000					
Health Department—General	100,000						
—S. F. Hospital	2,500						
—Laguna Honda Home	4,500						
Sheriff Fees	40,000						
Municipal Court Fees	75,000						
County Clerk Fees	90,000						
Coroner	1,000						
Treasurer	7,600						
Registrar of Voters	300						
Police Department	2,000						
Recorder	90,000						
Fire Department—Power	2,800						
Department of Electricity	40,000						
Juvenile Court—Maintenance	33,000						
Public Administrator	60,000						
Pound	3,000						
Purchasing Department	2,000						
War Memorial			25,000				
City Planning Commission	400						
Real Estate Department							
Rents—General	10,000						
Rents—Auditorium		40,000					
Rents—School			102,500				

(Schedule II continued on next page)

Schedule II

ESTIMATED REVENUES 1934-35 (Continued)

Classification	General Fund		Capital Funds	Receipts by Transfer		Total
	Allocated to Fund	Allocated to Appropriations		General Fund	Other Current Funds	
Departmental Revenues—Continued						
Airport	19,000
Park	312,150
Recreation	30,500
Library	18,000
Calif. Palace of the Legion of Honor	500
De Young Museum	500
Installation Fund—Dept. of Electricity	3,000
Art Commission—Pop Concerts	9,000
Agricultural Commission	6,000
Total	584,600	105,000	..	492,150	..	1,181,750
Public Utilities						
Hetch Hetchy Power Operative	1,974,765
Municipal Railway	2,932,400
Water Department	6,046,358
Total	10,953,523	..	10,953,523
Bond Sales						
1932 Hetch Hetchy	369,413	369,413
1933 Water Distribution	8,900,000	8,900,000
1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam	3,500,000	3,500,000
1933 Sewer	2,047,553	2,047,553
1933 High Pressure System	1,960,000	1,960,000
1933 Airport	260,000	260,000
1934 School House	1,457,000	1,457,000
Total	18,493,966	18,493,966
Receipts by Transfer						
General Fund	1,631,313	..
Bond Interest and Redemption	6,961,559
Employees' Retirement Fund	650,500
Public Utilities Commission	68,732
De Young Museum	8,230

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS						
1.101.00	Permanent Salaries	67,200
1.106.00	Fees and Special Compensation	4,100
1.200.00	Contractual Services	2,900
1.900.00	Audit of Controller's Books	6,000	80,200
			80,200				
2	MAYOR						
2.101.00	Permanent Salaries	40,180
2.200.00	Contractual Service	5,700	45,880
			45,880				
2.900.00	Emergency Reserve	150,000
			150,000				
3	ASSESSOR						
3.101.00	Permanent Salaries	132,540
3.102.00	Temporary Salaries	96,725
3.200.00	Contractual Service	200
3.400.00	Equipment Installation	20,000	249,465
			249,465				
4	CITY ATTORNEY						
4.101.00	Permanent Salaries	81,860
4.200.00	Contractual Services	10,700	76,560
			92,560				
	From Public Utilities Commission	16,000
						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds	
		Appropriation	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
5	DISTRICT ATTORNEY							
5.101.00	Permanent Salaries	101,780						
5.900.00	Detention and Prosecution of Criminals	1,995						
5.901.00	District Attorney's Secret Fund.....	2,850	106,625		106,625			
6	TREASURER							
6.101.00	Permanent Salaries	45,800	45,800		45,800			
7	SHERIFF							
7.101.00	Permanent Salaries	274,400						
7.102.00	Temporary Salaries	12,000						
7.200.00	Contractual Services	2,785						
7.300.00	Materials and Supplies	16,000						
7.334.00	Foodstuffs.....	24,700						
7.800.00	Fixed Charges	150	330,035		330,035			
8	PUBLIC DEFENDER							
8.101.00	Permanent Salaries	18,380	18,380		18,380			
9	POLICE DEPARTMENT							
9.101.00	Permanent Salaries	3,379,940						
9.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,312						
9.200.00	Contractual Services	11,218						
9.300.00	Materials and Supplies, City Prison....	1,400						
9.300.01	Materials, Supplies, Stations, Bureaus	11,174						
9.334.00	Foodstuffs	5,000						
9.900.00	Contingent Fund	9,000	3,419,044		3,419,044			

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
10	FIRE DEPARTMENT						
10.101.01	Permanent Salaries	2,903,829					
10.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Fire Boats	144,971					
10.102.01	Temporary Salaries	1,325					
10.102.02	Temporary Salaries—Fire Boats	2,333					
10.103.00	Wages	6,477					
10.200.00	Contractual Services	27,590					
10.300.00	Materials and Supplies	13,050					
10.400.00	Equipment	60,000					
10.800.00	Fixed Charges	1,200					
		3,160,775	84,202	3,076,573			
11	BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS						
11.101.00	Permanent Salaries	3,000					
11.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	5,000					
		8,000		8,000			
12	PARK DEPARTMENT						
12.101.00	Permanent Salaries	90,000					
12.103.00	Wages	656,541					
12.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	2,500					
12.200.00	Contractual Services	90,000					
12.300.00	Materials and Supplies	81,600					
12.334.00	Foodstuffs	84,000					
12.400.00	Equipment	10,000					
12.800.00	Fixed Charges	27,400					
12.806.00	Retirement Deduction	31,500					
12.900.00	Services of Other Departments	10,720			1,084,261		
		1,084,261					
12.500.01	Rotunda—Palace of Fine Arts	35,000					
12.500.02	Convenience Station—Lands End	5,000					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
12	PARK DEPARTMENT—Continued						
12.500.03	Convenience Station—Kezar Stadium	5,000					
12.500.04	McCoppin Square—Field, etc.	7,500					
12.600.01	Fleishhacker Playground, etc.	8,464					
12.600.02	Fleishhacker Parcels	67,742	128,706				
13	RECREATION DEPARTMENT						
13.101.00	Permanent Salaries	285,358					
13.102.00	Temporary Salaries	9,544					
13.103.00	Wages	38,720					
13.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	6,664					
13.200.00	Contractual Service	51,539					
13.203.01	Truck Hire	2,556					
13.203.02	Auto Hire	2,574					
13.300.00	Materials and Supplies	53,213					
13.400.00	Equipment	7,265					
13.600.01	Land	72,432					
13.800.00	Fixed Charges	23,535	553,400		553,400		
13.500.01	38th Ave. and Fulton Field House	9,500					
13.500.02	Southern Heights Improvements	12,280					
13.500.03	St. Mary's Park Improvements	5,350					
13.500.04	Glen Park Field House	50,000					
13.500.05	Eureka Valley Improvements	25,000					
13.600.02	9th and Ortega Land and Improvements	12,000					
13.600.03	Longfellow Site Land	20,000					
13.600.04	Grattan School Site Land	25,000					
13.600.05	Bayview District Land	15,000					
13.600.06	Sunset District Land Site	25,000	199,130		199,130		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appre. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						Capital Funds
		General Fund			Other Current Funds			
		Appro- piation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
LIBRARY								
14								
14.101.00	Permanent Salaries	170,460						
14.103.00	Wages	66,332						
14.200.00	Contractual Services	80,235						
14.300.00	Materials and Supplies	58,659						
14.400.00	Equipment	3,000						
14.800.00	Fixed Charges	9,980			388,666		388,666	
WAR MEMORIAL								
15								
15.101.00	Permanent Salaries	58,920						
15.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,363						
15.103.00	Wages	1,880						
15.200.00	Contractual Services	18,312						
15.300.00	Materials and Supplies	5,000						
15.400.00	Equipment	500						
15.900.00	Services of Other Departments	2,500			93,475		93,475	
ART COMMISSION								
16								
16.101.00	Permanent Salaries	3,900						
16.200.00	Contractual Service	400						
16.200.01	Municipal Band	15,000						
16.200.02	Municipal Pop Concerts	14,500						
16.200.03	Municipal Pop Concerts—Rents	1,000						
16.200.04	Municipal Chorus Director	4,000						
16.200.05	Municipal Chorus Expense	800						
16.200.06	Christmas Eve Celebration	500			9,000	31,100		
		40,100						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appre. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
17	CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR						
17.101.00	Permanent Salaries	36,976					
17.102.00	Temporary Salaries	744					
17.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	500					
17.200.00	Contractual Services	8,408					
17.200.01	French Exhibition	6,000					
17.200.02	American Painting Exhibition	1,500					
17.203.00	Auto Hire	382					
17.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,000					
17.400.00	Equipment	1,200					
17.800.00	Fixed Charges	1,675					
17.900.00	Services of Other Departments	8,230			69,615		
18	DE YOUNG MUSEUM						
18.101.00	Permanent Salaries	59,360					
18.102.00	Temporary Salaries	405					
18.103.00	Wages	1,705					
18.106.00	Fees and Special Compensations	1,030					
18.200.00	Contractual Service	2,645					
18.200.01	Pioneer Exhibition	3,500					
18.200.02	California Painting Exhibition	500					
18.203.00	Auto Hire	325					
18.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,900					
18.400.00	Equipment	445					
18.800.00	Fixed Charges	400			63,985		
	From Calif. Palace Legion of Honor					8,230	

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Exhibit B

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
19.200.00	AQUARIUM	40,500	40,500	40,500
20	MUNICIPAL COURT						
20.101.00	Permanent Salaries	190,980
20.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees	1,100
20.106.00	Court Reporters	15,300
20.200.00	Contractual Services	50
20.200.01	Reporters' Transcriptions	6,000	213,430	213,430
21	SUPERIOR COURT						
21.101.00	Permanent Salaries	119,240
21.105.00	Jury and Witness Fees	28,500
21.105.01	Grand Jury	11,000
21.106.01	Court Reporters	11,325
21.106.02	Examination of Insane	10,000
21.200.00	Reporters' Transcriptions	18,000
21.900.00	Court Orders	5,000	203,065	203,065
22	LAW LIBRARY						
22.101.00	Permanent Salaries	10,800	10,800
23	JUVENILE COURT						
23.101.00	Permanent Salaries	64,860
23.200.00	Contractual Service	2,700
23.206.00	Maintenance of Minors	575,000
23.207.00	State Schools	20,000
23.800.00	Fixed Charges	180	662,740	662,740

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
24	JUVENILE DETENTION HOME						
24.101.00	Permanent Salaries	19,620
24.102.00	Temporary Salaries	3,030
24.200.00	Contractual Service	970
24.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,000
24.334.00	Foodstuffs	4,300
		29,920	29,920				
25	ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT						
25.101.00	Permanent Salaries	26,280
25.200.00	Contractual Services	300
		26,580	26,580				
26	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER						
26.101.00	Permanent Salaries	18,000
26.200.00	Contractual Service	1,500
		19,500	19,500				
26.200.01	Maintenance Criminal Insane and Narcotics	15,000
26.200.02	Feeble Minded	148,000
26.200.03	Transportation Indigent Insane	1,000
26.200.04	Clothing Blind and Deaf in Schools	200
26.802.00	Premium on Official Bonds & Ins.	18,000
26.809.01	Rental 333 Kearny Street	10,752
26.900.00	Agricultural District No. 1-A.	250,000
	"the sum of \$250,000, to be paid to and deposited with number one-a agricultural district association, a state institution of the state of California, for use in the construction and completion of an agricultural exposition building for the use of said number one-a agricultural district association"						
		442,952	442,952				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					Capital Funds	
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER— Continued							
26.804.00	To meet the necessary expenses to be incurred during the fiscal year 1934-35 for the support of the indigent sick and dependent poor whose support is chargeable to the City and County, and the sum hereby appropriated may be used either in the direct support of said indigent sick and dependent poor, or to furnish labor whereby said persons may aid in their own support, or to purchase materials which will aid in providing such labor	1,000,000			1,000,000			
26.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption From Water Department 1928 Spring Valley Redemption 1928 Spring Valley Interest Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Redemption Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Interest Hetch Hetchy San Lorenzo Interest From Municipal Railway Redemption Municipal Railway Interest From Hetch Hetchy 1932's From Hetch Hetchy Power Operative From County Road Fund	14,039,018			7,077,459			
						1,000,000		
						1,000,000		
						1,620,000		
						150,000		
						250,000		
						47,025		
						100,000		
						92,500		
						118,000		
						1,553,646		
						200,000		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
26,800.00	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER— Continued						
	Bond Interest and Redemption— Continued						
	From Water Department					476,011	
	From 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund					44,691	
	From 1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bond Fund					186,431	
	From 1933 Sewer Bond Fund					13,151	
	From 1933 High Pressure System Bond Fund					1,781	
	From 1933 Airport Bond Fund					800	
	From 1934 School House Bond Fund From Federal Grants:					1,000	
	1933 Water Distribution Bond					332,071	
	1933 Hetch Hetchy Bond					125,069	
	1933 Sewer Bond					127,794	
	1933 High Pressure System Bond					157,165	
	1933 Airport Bond					46,000	
	1934 School House Bond					318,424	

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
	CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER Continued						
26.803.01	Interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....	25,000			25,000		
26.803.02	Interest on State Unemployment Relief Loan	45,000			45,000		
26.703.00	Installment Payment on State Unemployment Relief Loan	100,000			100,000		
26.804.01	Publicity and Advertising	155,000			155,000		
27	DIRECTOR OF FINANCE & RECORDS						
27.101.00	Permanent Salaries	6,000	6,000				
28	TAX COLLECTOR						
28.101.01	Permanent Salaries	90,800					
28.101.02	Permanent Salaries-Bureau Delinquent Revenue	6,600					
28.102.01	Temporary Salaries	33,375					
28.102.02	Temporary Salaries-Bureau Delinquent Revenue	10,000					
28.200.00	Contractual Service	3,960					
28.800.00	Fixed Charges	210	149,945		149,945		
29	REGISTRAR OF VOTERS						
29.101.00	Permanent Salaries	60,960					
29.102.00	Temporary Salaries	47,165					
29.106.00	Election Workers	46,030					
29.200.00	Contractual Service	57,354					
29.400.00	Equipment	50,000					
29.803.00	Warehouse Rental	4,800	266,309		266,309		
29.900.00	Special Election Fund	21,713			21,713		

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION				
		General Fund		Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer
30	RECORDER	93,920				
30.101.00	Permanent Salaries	100				
30.200.00	Contractual Service		94,020			
31	COUNTY CLERK	148,520				
31.101.00	Permanent Salaries	60				
31.200.00	Contractual Service		148,580			
32	PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR	38,200				
32.101.00	Permanent Salaries	702				
32.200.00	Contractual Service		38,902			
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT	123,240				
33.101.00	Permanent Salaries	290,694				
33.225.00	Contractual Service					
	Telephone and Telegraph					
	Adult Probation	64				
	Agricultural Commission...	188				
	Art Commission	30				
	Assessor,	375				
	Board of Permit Appeals...	26				
	Board of Supervisors.....	525				
	Chief Administrative Officer.	750				
	City Attorney	300				
	City Planning Commission...	8				
	Civil Service	120				
	Controller,	300				
	Coroner,	263				
	County Clerk	79				
	Department of Electricity...	300				
	Department of Public Works.	506				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

APPRO. No.	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					Capital Funds
			General Fund		Other Current Funds		Receipts by Transfer	
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts		
33,225.00	36 Contractual Service—Continued Telephone and Telegraph—Cont'd. Department of Public Health 3,525 District Attorney 394 Fire Department 862 Juvenile Court 225 Law Library 34 Municipal Court 195 Police Department 2,250 Public Administrator 206 Public Defender 64 Purchaser of Supplies..... 131 Real Estate Department.... 199 Recorder. 60 Registrar of Voters..... 124 Retirement System 19 Sheriff. 285 Superior Courts 675 Tax Collector 112 Treasurer. 75 Weights and Measures..... 26 13,295							
33,209.00	Shop Expense Chief Administrative Officer 50 Assessor 120 Controller 80 Coroner 100							

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund				Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Receipts by Transfer	
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd.							
	Contractual Service—Continued							
33.209.00	Shop Expense—Continued							
	Department of Electricity	550						
	Fire Department	122,000						
	Department of Public Health	5,000						
	Laguna Honda Home	250						
	Emergency Hospitals	1,900						
	Dept. of Wts. and Measures	100						
	Dept. of Public Works	17,000						
	Juvenile Court	70						
	Mayor	50						
	Police Department	20,000						
	Registrar	90						
	Sheriff	100						
	Supervisors	50						
	Tax Collector	40						
		167,550						
33.217.00	Towel Service							
	Department of Electricity	164						
	Department of Public Works	2,920						
	Fire Department	25						
	Police Department	675						
	Purchaser—Shop No. 1	36						
		3,820						
33.203.01	Transportation							
	Rental of Trucks—306 days	2,142						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 1934

Exhibit B

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Other Current Funds Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd						
	Contractual Service—Continued						
33.204.00	Scavenger Service						
	Department of Electricity.	35					
	Dept. of Public Health....	1,260					
	Dept. of Public Works ...	918					
	Fire Department	1,832					
	Juvenile Detention Home .	65					
	Police Department	336					
	Sheriff	224					
		4,670					
33.900.00	Services of Other Departments						
	Chemist	600					
33.203.02	Auto Hire						
	Agricultural Commission ..	2,160					
	Assessor	5,040					
	City Planning Commission .	360					
	Dept. of Health—Central Office	3,135					
	Dept. of Health—Emergency Hospitals	300					
	Dept. of Works—Building Inspection	2,520					
	Department of Works—Building Repair	1,800					
	Department of Works—Street Cleaning	1,080					
	Department of Works—Bureau of Engineering.....	3,360					

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd.						
	Contractual Service—Continued						
33.203.02	Auto Hire—Continued						
	Real Estate Department.....	960					
	Registrar	700					
	Sheriff	1,080					
	Tax Collector	360					
		<u>23,215</u>					
33.210.00	Maintenance and Repair of Office Equipment	150					
	Purchasing Department	200					
	Assessor	125					
	Controller—Addressograph ..	650					
	Burroughs	432					
	Service of Tabulating... ..	100					
	Todd Sales	100					
	Insto Service	25					
	Coroner	40					
	County Clerk	100					
	County Welfare Department ..	200					
	Dept. of Health—Central Office ..	10					
	Laguna Honda Home	100					
	S. F. Hospital	600					
	Emergency	400					
	Fire Department	680					
	Police Department	100					
	Real Estate Department	195					
	Sheriff	200					
	Treasurer						
		<u>4,407</u>					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Continued						
	Contractual Service—Contd.						
33.233.00	Other Contractual Services.....						
	Assessor—Rental of Postage Meters.....	70					
	Directory Service.....	90					
	License Tags, Badges, Plates.	5,000					
	McGill Commodity Service....	60					
	Official Advertising.....	30,000					
	Printing Public Documents..	3,500					
	Printing Journals and Calendars:						
	Supervisors.....	14,000					
	Superior Courts.....	4,000					
	Municipal Courts.....	3,000					
	Rental of Tabulating Machines:						
	Purchaser.....	4,650					
	Controller.....	525					
		5,175					
	Removal of Dead Animals....	6,000					
	Miscellaneous Contractual Services.....	100					
		70,995					
	Materials and Supplies.....						
	Books, Periodicals, Printing, Stationery, Postage, etc.:						
	Adult Probation Dept.....	490					
	Agricultural Commission....	200					
	Art Commission.....	100					
		12,900					
33.328.00		172,898					

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Contd. Materials and Supplies—Contd. Books, etc.—Contd. Board of Permit Appeals..... 25 Board of Supervisors..... 800 Chief Administrative Officer... 100 City Attorney 500 City Planning Commission 125 Blueprinting and Mimeo-graphing 150 Civil Service Commission 3,500 Controller 11,000 Coroner 600 County Clerk 3,800 County Welfare 1,800 Department of Electricity 1,100 Department of Finance and Records 175 Department of Public Health... 15,500 Department of Public Works.. 5,500 District Attorney 1,000 Fire Department 2,000 Multigraph parts and supplies 300 Grand Jury 500 Juvenile Court 900 Law Library 350 Mayor..... 1,500 Municipal Courts 3,100 Police Department 10,300 Public Administrator 1,000 Public Defender 100						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					Capital Funds
		General Fund		Other Current Funds		Receipts by Transfer	
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts		
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Contd. Materials and Supplies—Contd. Books, etc.—Contd.						
	Purchasing Department	7,500					
	Real Estate Department	300					
	Blueprints and Photostats ..	200					
	Recorder	3,800					
	Sealer of Weights & Measures..	165					
	Superior Courts—Law Books...	1,000					
	Secretary	1,000					
	Sheriff	2,100					
	Tax Collector	6,000					
	Treasurer	1,100					
		103,000					
33.318.00	Gasoline and Oil						
	Assessor	200					
	Bureau of Building Repair ..	260					
	Building Inspection	50					
	Coroner	240					
	Dept. Health—Central Office ..	600					
	Laguna Honda Home	2,400					
	S. F. Hospital	800					
	Emergency Hospital	2,000					
	Hassler Health Home	700					
	Dept. of Works—General	400					
	Sewer Repair	1,700					
	Street Cleaning	6,735					
	Engineering	400					
	Fire Department	9,000					
	Juvenile Court	160					

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds			Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd.							
	Materials and Supplies—Cont'd.							
33.318.00	Gasoline and Oil—Cont'd.							
	Police Department	16,950						
	Purchasing Department	30						
	Central Shop No. 2.....	300						
	Registrar of Voters	100						
	Sheriff	300						
	Tax Collector	190						
		43,515						
33.337.00	Tires and Tubes							
	Chief Administrative Officer ...	150						
	Controller	70						
	Coroner	150						
	Dept. of Electricity	750						
	Dept. of Health	2,000						
	Dept. of Works	7,500						
	District Attorney	100						
	Juvenile Court	100						
	Mayor	150						
	Police Department	8,184						
	Purchaser—Shop No. 2	175						
	Registrar	94						
	Sheriff	300						
	Supervisors	150						
	Tax Collector	110						
	Weights and Measures	100						
		20,083						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						Capital Funds
		General Fund		Other Current Funds		Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts			
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd							
	Materials and Supplies—Cont'd.							
33.340.00	Janitorial Supplies							
	Fire Department	6,200						
	Purchaser—Cent. Warehouse ..	100						
		6,300						
33.400.00	Equipment		92,998					
	Furniture & Office Equipment..	10,000						
	Warehouse Equipment	2,000						
	Adult Probation	170						
	Agricultural Commission	1,110						
	Chief Administrative Officer..	150						
	Controller—Files	640						
	Desks and Chairs	360						
	County Welfare	500						
	Civ. Ser. Com.—Add. Machines	235						
	Chairs and Tables	750						
	Typewriters	110						
	Dept. Health—Central Office..							
	1 Dental Chair	410						
	8 Typewriters @ \$80.00.....	640						
	1 Sedan	2,000						
	6 Coupes @ \$600.00	3,600						
	Dept. of Health—Laguna							
	Honda Home							
	1 3-ton Truck	2,000						
	2 Horses	200						
	50 Hospital Beds	800						
	50 Hair Mattresses	600						
	50 Pillows	65						

Appro. No.	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd	Detail Appropriations	General Fund		Other Current Funds		Capital Funds	
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts		Receipts by Transfer
33	PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Cont'd							
33,400.00	Equipment—Cont'd							
	Dept. Health—L. H. H.—Cont'd							
	3 2-Plate Electric Stoves....	45						
	3 1-Plate Electric Stoves ...	23						
	1 Timer for Cardiograph ...	300						
	4 4-Drawer Met. Fil. Cabnits.	160						
	Battleship Linoleum	800						
	Dept. Health—S. F. Hospital..	35,000						
	Emergency Hospital							
	Ambulances	5,000						
	Dept. Health—Hassler							
	Health Home							
	1 Platform Truck	80						
	1 Hospital Hand Truck ...	50						
	1 Safe Cabinet	500						
	1 Dishwasher	300						
	Dept. of Public Works	2,100						
	Fire Department	1,250						
	Juvenile Court	300						
	Juvenile Detention Home ...	500						
	Municipal Courts	1,000						
	Police Department	15,000						
	Recorder	1,200						
	Registrar of Voters—new							
	truck	2,800						
	Tax Collector	250						
			679,830	671,255				
			8,575	
			
	From Hetch Hetchy Construction..							
			92,998					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
34	REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT						
34.101.00	Permanent Salaries	12,600					
34.200.00	Contractual Service	115	12,715		12,715		
35	Auditorium						
35.101.00	Permanent Salaries	30,450					
35.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,300					
35.200.00	Contractual Service	9,250					
35.300.00	Materials and Supplies	6,500					
35.900.00	Services Other Departments	560	53,060		11,635		
	From Dept. of Public Health			1,425			
36	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS						
	General						
36.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Director	8,000					
36.101.02	Permanent Salaries—General Office	27,725					
36.101.03	Permanent Salaries—Telephone Exchange	11,430	47,155		47,155		
37	Bureau of Accounts						
37.101.00	Permanent Salaries	24,600					
37.200.00	Contractual Service	25	24,625		24,625		
38	Bureau of Building Repair						
38.101.01	Permanent Salaries—Superintendent	21,400					
38.101.02	Permanent Salaries—Maintenance	215,820					
38.102.00	Temporary Salaries—Maintenance	823					
38.103.00	Wages	81,051					
38.200.00	Contractual Service	1,050					
38.203.00	Truck Hire	1,680					

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appro- piation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd							
38	Bureau of Building Repair—Continued						
38.300.00	Materials and Supplies	10,000
38.340.00	Janitorial Supplies	5,700
38.319.00	Engine Room Supplies	14,500
38.900.00	Services of Other Departments	100
38.213.00	Repairs to Public Buildings	22,737	374,861	374,861
39	Bureau of Building Inspection						
39.101.00	Permanent Salaries	37,925
39.233.00	Earthquake Survey	5,000	42,925	42,925
40	Bureau of Engineering						
40.101.00	Permanent Salaries	188,360
40.200.00	Contractual Service	6,900
40.214.00	Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers	10,000
40.300.00	Materials and Supplies	4,350
40.900.00	Special Inspection	12,000	221,610	12,000	209,610
41	Central Permit Bureau						
41.101.00	Permanent Salaries	16,200	16,200	16,200

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						Capital Funds
		General Fund			Other Current Funds			
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—								
Continued								
42	Bureau of Street Cleaning							
42.101.00	Permanent Salaries	21,270						
42.103.00	Wages	411,816						
42.200.00	Contractual Service	100						
42.203.00	Truck and Team Hire	18,000						
42.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,600						
42.400.00	Equipment	1,200						
42.800.00	Fixed Charges	1,800						
42.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,700	457,486					
			457,486					
43	Bureau of Sewer Repair							
43.101.00	Permanent Salaries	12,900						
43.103.00	Wages	150,411						
43.200.00	Contractual Service	100						
43.203.00	Team and Truck Hire	24,718						
43.300.00	Materials and Supplies	13,280						
43.400.00	Equipment	6,750						
43.900.00	Services of Other Departments	7,400	215,559					
			215,559					
43.901.00	Tearing Up Streets (Side Sewers)	25,000	25,000					
44	Sewage Pumping Station							
44.101.00	Permanent Salaries	2,250						
44.200.00	Contractual Service	1,000						
44.300.00	Materials and Supplies	190	3,440					
			3,440					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
	Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd.						
County Road Fund						
Street Repair						
45	Permanent Salaries	9,900				
45.101.00	Wages	204,204				
45.103.00	Contractual Service	13,740				
45.200.00	Truck Hire	17,370				
45.203.01	Auto Hire	360				
45.203.02	Materials and Supplies	74,300				
45.300.00	Equipment	10,000				
45.400.00	Fixed Charges	28,500				
45.800.00	Services of Other Departments	8,550				
45.900.00		366,924				
Bridges						
46	Permanent Salaries	54,295				
46.101.00	Contractual Service	3,600				
46.200.00	Materials and Supplies	620				
46.300.00	Services of Other Departments	4,669				
46.900.00		63,184				
General						
47	Bond Interest and Redemption	200,000				
47.901.00	Street Lighting	40,000				
47.902.00	Traffic Lines	7,900				
47.903.00	Traffic (Services of Dept. of Elect.) ..	5,000				
47.904.00	Traffic (Services of Police Dept.) ..	6,000				
47.905.00	Services of Real Estate Dept.	2,500				
47.906.00						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						Capital Funds
		General Fund			Other Current Funds			
		Appro- priation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer		
DEPT. OF PUBLIC WORKS—Cont'd								
County Road Fund—Continued								
48	Street Construction							
48.502.01	El Camino Real.....	100,000						
48.502.02	Sunset Parkway Maintenance.....	18,000						
48.502.03	Boulevard Tree Maintenance	6,175						
48.502.04	Jefferson Square, Sidewalks	990						
48.502.05	Engineering Services, Bridges	8,000						
48.900.00	Unallocated Balance	283,284	677,849					
	Total County Road Fund.....		1,107,957			1,107,957		
	Total Department of Public Works		2,536,818					
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY								
49	Permanent Salaries	163,220						
49.101.00	Temporary Salaries	750						
49.102.00	Wages	15,688						
49.103.00	Contractual Services	360						
49.200.00	Materials and Supplies	27,988	208,006		203,006			
49.300.00								
	From County Road Fund			5,000				
49.900.00	Installation Fund		3,000			3,000		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
50	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH						
	Central Office						
	Permanent Salaries						
50.101.01	Administration	26,656					
50.101.02	Accounting	13,440					
50.101.03	Statistics	13,620					
50.101.04	Meat Inspection	60,168					
50.101.05	Communicable Diseases	22,020					
50.101.06	Clinics	22,800					
50.101.07	Bacteriological Laboratory	18,534					
50.101.08	School Inspection—Medical	23,500					
50.101.09	Dental	22,800					
50.101.10	Child Welfare—Medical	10,720					
50.101.11	Milk and Food Inspection	53,880					
50.101.12	Chemical Laboratory	6,900					
50.101.13	Plumbing Inspection	29,340					
50.101.14	Housing Inspection	25,800					
50.101.15	Industrial Inspection	11,700					
50.101.16	City Physicians	21,600					
50.101.17	Field Nursing—Administration	31,320					
50.101.18	Field Nursing—Schools	63,360					
50.101.19	Field Nursing—Other	37,620					
50.101.20	Social Service	8,700					
50.101.21	Tuberculosis Bureau	18,060					
50.101.22	Outpatient Maternity Services	8,880					
50.200.00	Contractual Service	11,601					
50.300.00	Materials and Supplies	17,430					
50.800.00	Fixed Charges	1,830					
50.900.00	Services of Other Departments	1,525					
50.811.00	Burial of Indigent Dead	10,800	594,604		594,604		

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	Capital Funds	FUND ALLOCATION					
			General Fund		Other Current Funds		Capital Funds	
			Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts		Receipts by Transfer
50	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH							
	—Continued							
51	Laguna Honda Home							
51.101.00	Permanent Salaries	128,797						
51.101.01	Inmate Help	29,405						
51.101.02	Institutional Help	36,120						
51.102.00	Temporary Salaries	2,000						
51.200.00	Contractual Service	3,211						
51.300.00	Materials and Supplies	72,600						
51.334.00	Foodstuffs	200,000						
51.900.00	Services of Other Departments	473,533	473,533					
52	Isolation Hospital							
52.101.00	Permanent Salaries	26,202						
52.101.01	Institutional Help	13,740						
52.102.00	Temporary Salaries	220	40,162					
53	San Francisco Hospital							
53.101.00	Permanent Salaries	238,704						
53.101.01	Internes and Student Nurses	18,040						
53.101.02	Nursing	214,740						
53.101.03	Institutional Help	239,567						
53.102.00	Temporary Salaries	27,320						
53.200.00	Contractual Service	4,750						
53.300.00	Materials and Supplies	168,995						
53.334.00	Foodstuffs	220,000						
53.809.00	Room Allowance for Employees	40,800						
53.900.00	Services of Other Departments	10,000	1,182,916					
			1,182,916					

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	
50	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH						
	—Continued						
54	Emergency Hospital	141,420					
54.101.00	Permanent Salaries	33,480					
54.101.01	Nursing	10,170					
54.102.00	Temporary Salaries	4,315					
54.200.00	Contractual Services	10,400					
54.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,475					
54.334.00	Foodstuffs	850					
54.900.00	Services of Other Departments	203,110	203,110				
55	Hassler Health Home						
55.101.00	Permanent Salaries	33,600					
55.101.01	Institutional Help	12,000					
55.102.00	Temporary Salaries	1,750					
55.200.00	Contractual Service	700					
55.300.00	Materials and Supplies	11,300					
55.334.00	Foodstuffs	25,000					
55.800.00	Fixed Charges	365					
	Total Department of Health	84,715	84,715				
		2,579,040					
56	COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT						
56.101.00	Permanent Salaries	41,160					
56.200.00	Contractual Service	640					
56.203.00	Auto Hire	800					
56.804.01	Widows' Pensions	220,000					
56.804.02	Maintenance of Aged	455,000					
56.804.03	Blind Pensions	100,000					
		817,600	817,600				

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	Fund Receipts	Transfer by	Capital Funds
57	CORONER						
57.101.00	Permanent Salaries	46,700					
57.200.00	Contractual Services	420					
57.300.00	Materials and Supplies	600	47,720				
58	AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION						
58.101.00	Permanent Salaries	15,900					
58.200.00	Contractual Services	200					
58.800.00	Fixed Charges	960	17,060				
59	DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES						
59.101.00	Permanent Salaries	22,800					
59.300.00	Materials and Supplies	333					
59.800.00	Fixed Charges	285	23,418				
60	CONTROLLER						
60.101.00	Permanent Salaries	161,500					
60.102.00	Temporary Salaries	16,145					
60.200.00	Contractual Service	1,108					
60.800.00	Services of Other Departments	2,000	180,753				
	Other Appropriations:						
60.233.01	State Legislative Expense	4,000					
60.233.02	Pre-legislative Expense	2,000					
60.705.02	Other Judgments and Claims	56,000					
60.801.00	Auto Liability Claims	9,000	71,000				
60.705.00	Tax Judgments	98,547	98,547		98,547		
61	CITY PLANNING COMMISSION						
61.101.00	Permanent Salaries	11,600					
61.102.00	Temporary Salaries	2,300	13,900				

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund				Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Transfers by	Fund Receipts	Transfers by	Receipts by	
62	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION							
62.101.00	Permanent Salaries	34,200
62.106.00	Legal Expenses	16,000
62.200.00	Contractual Service	15,800
62.300.00	Materials and Supplies	1,100
62.400.00	Equipment	500
62.800.00	Fixed Charges	1,132	68,732
	From Municipal Railway	7,152
	From Water Department	37,977
	From Hetch Hetchy Power	16,451
	From Hetch Hetchy Water	7,152
63	LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS							
63.231.01	Lighting of Public Streets	766,000
63.231.02	Lighting of Public Buildings	37,700
63.231.03	Electricity and Gas	985,245
	Airport	6,000
	Auditorium	6,000
	California Palace Legion of Honor	3,500
	Department of Electricity ..	1,400
	Department of Health—Central Office	3,700
	Department of Health—Laguna Honda Home	22,000
	Department of Health—San Francisco Hospital ...	27,300
	Department of Health—Emergency Hospitals	2,200

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION						Capital Funds
		General Fund			Other Current Funds			
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Receipts by Transfer	
63	LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS—Continued							
63.231.03	Electricity and Gas—Continued							
	Department of Health—							
	Hassler Health Home	3,900						
	Department of Works—							
	General	900						
	Department of Works—							
	Asphalt Plant	900						
	Department of Works—							
	Drawbridges	1,800						
	Department of Works—							
	Traffic Signals	6,000						
	De Young Memorial Museum	1,300						
	Fire Department	16,000						
	Juvenile Detention Home	250						
	Libraries	13,000						
	Municipal Railway	472,500						
	Park	55,525						
	Police Department	1,800						
	Public Buildings	35,000						
	Purchasing Department	3,000						
	Recreation Department	6,850						
	Registrar	120						
	Schools	52,000						
	Sheriff	15,000						
	War Memorial	15,000						
	Water Department	212,300						
		985,245						

FUND ALLOCATION

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	
63	LIGHTING OF PUBLIC STREETS AND BUILDINGS—Continued						
63.300.00	Materials and Supplies	200
63.400.00	Equipment	400
63.509.01	Capital Expenditures	234,000
63.509.02	Alterations to secure lower rates	3,000
63.900.00	Services of Other Departments	5,000
		2,031,545	1,138,870				
	From Airport	6,000
	From Auditorium	6,000
	From Cal. Palace of Legion of Honor	3,500
	From Dept. of Works—Asphalt Plant	900
	From Dept. of Works—Drawbridges	1,800
	From Dept. of Works—Traffic Signals	6,000
	From de Young Memorial Museum	1,300
	From Library	13,000
	From Municipal Railway	472,500
	From Park	55,525
	From Recreation	6,850
	From School	52,000
	From War Memorial	15,000
	From Water Department	212,300
	From County Road Fund	40,000
64	SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT						
64.101.00	Permanent Salaries	12,600
64.102.00	Temporary Salaries	19,200
64.103.00	Wages	2,817
64.200.00	Contractual Services	13,107
64.300.00	Materials and Supplies	2,500
64.400.00	Equipment	3,200

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appro- piation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Transfer Receipts by	Fund Receipts	Transfer Receipts by	Capital Funds
64	SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT—Continued						
64.500.00	Buildings, Structures and Improvements	5,000					
64.600.00	Land Purchase	105,000					
64.800.00	Fixed Charges	2,250	19,000	146,674			
			165,674				
65	MUNICIPAL RAILWAY						
65.101.00	Permanent Salaries	246,540					
65.102.00	Temporary Salaries	6,566					
65.103.00	Wages	1,602,842					
65.200.00	Contractual Services	487,636					
65.212.00	Replacements	185,000					
65.300.00	Materials and Supplies	45,000					
65.800.00	Fixed Charges	362,500					
65.806.00	Pensions and Retirement Allowances	100,000					
65.900.00	Services of Other Departments	32,826					
			3,068,910			3,068,910	
66	WATER DEPARTMENT						
66.101.00	Permanent Salaries)						
66.102.00	Temporary Salaries)	922,513					
66.103.00	Wages						
66.200.00	Contractual Services	359,127					
66.203.00	Truck Hire	2,400					
66.300.00	Materials and Supplies	99,737					
66.334.00	Foodstuffs	3,500					
66.400.00	Equipment	4,555					
66.500.00	Additions and Betterments	235,230					
66.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption, S. V...	3,067,025					
66.803.00	Bond Interest and Redemption, P. W. A.	476,011					
66.808.00	Fixed Charges	356,895					
66.900.01	Service of Other Departments	86,727					
66.900.02	Appropriation to General Fund	707,638				6,321,358	

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund				Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation	Fund	Receipts by	Transfer	Fund	Receipts by	
67	HETCH HETCHY POWER							
67.101.00	Permanent Salaries	117,060						
67.102.00	Temporary Salaries	12,165						
67.103.00	Wages	27,500						
67.200.00	Contractual Service	14,127						
67.300.00	Materials and Supplies	22,000						
67.334.00	Foodstuffs	6,000						
67.813.00	Provision for Depreciation	70,000						
67.808.00	Fixed Charges	36,850						
67.812.00	Taxes	6,442						
67.500.00	Capital Additions	76,522						
67.800.00	Bond Interest and Redemption	1,553,646						
67.900.00	Service of Other Departments	32,453						
		1,974,765						
68	HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION							
68.803.00	Interest During Construction	119,413						
68.808.00	Roads and Trails in Yosemite National Park	250,000						
		369,413						369,413
69	SCHOOL DEPARTMENT							
70	Common School Fund	8,874,319						
	Special School Tax Fund	801,465						
		8,874,319						
		801,465						
71	CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION							
71.101.00	Permanent Salaries	39,260						
71.102.00	Temporary Salaries	13,720						
71.200.00	Contractual Services	650						
		53,630						
		53,630						

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

DETAIL OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR EXPENDITURES 1934-1935 (Continued) Exhibit B

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	FUND ALLOCATION					
		General Fund			Other Current Funds		
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Capital Funds
72	EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Employees' Retirement Fund)						
72.100.00	Permanent Salaries	25,740					
72.102.00	Temporary Salaries	2,200					
72.106.00	Fees and Special Compensation	1,875					
72.200.00	Contractual Service	1,350					
72.300.00	Materials and Supplies	200					
72.400.00	Equipment	425					
72.800.00	Fixed Charges	2,083,800	2,115,590		1,465,090		
	From Library Fund					6,000	
	From Common School Fund					405,000	
	From Park Fund					32,000	
	From Special School Tax					9,500	
	From Recreation Fund					11,000	
	From Hetch Hetchy Power						
	Operative Fund					7,000	
	From Water Revenue Fund					45,000	
	From Municipal Railway Fund					100,000	
	From Miscellaneous Bond Funds, etc.						
72.802.00	Compensation Insurance		40,000		40,000	35,000	

(Detail of Appropriations for Expenditures, 1934-1935, continued on next page)

Appro. No.	Detail Appropriations	General Fund				Other Current Funds		Capital Funds
		Appropriation Receipts	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Fund Receipts	Receipts by Transfer	Receipts by Transfer	
73,200.00	PUBLIC POUND	17,000	17,000
	BOND FUNDS							
93,900.00	1933 Water Distribution Bonds	8,900,000	8,900,000
94,900.00	1933 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds	3,500,000	3,500,000
95,900.00	1933 Sewer Bonds	2,047,553	2,047,553
96,900.00	1933 High Pressure Bonds	1,960,000	1,960,000
97,900.00	1933 Airport Bonds	260,000	260,000
98,900.00	1934 School House Bonds	1,457,000	1,457,000
	Transfer from Water Department	Cr. 707,638	707,638
	Totals	79,444,727	189,202	16,858,240	1,631,313	34,582,985	7,689,021	18,493,966

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 2:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JUNE 4, 1934

MONDAY, JUNE 4, 1934—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met and adjourned to the Mayor's office to pay their respects to the memory of the late Governor Hon. Jas. Rolph, Jr., whose body was laying in state in the rotunda of the City Hall.

By direction of the President of the Board, Supervisor McSheehy, the Clerk announced to those present that the Board had adjourned out of respect to the memory of the late Governor, James Rolph, Jr.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 25, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, June 11, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 11, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 11, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Referred.

The following matter was ordered *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

May 22, 1934—Supervisor Uhl moved, in view of the resignations of the members of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, that the subject matter of relief administration be made a Special Order of Business for May 28, 1934, at 2:30 p. m.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Grades on Leland Avenue Between Westerly Line of Hahn Street and Its Westerly Termination.

(Code No. 12.071)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 569, Ordinance No. 12.0715, as follows:

Establishing grades on Leland avenue between westerly line of Hahn street and its westerly termination.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Leland avenue between the westerly line of Hahn street produced and its westerly termination are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 11, 1934:

Leland Avenue.

Southerly line of, at Hahn street, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Hahn street westerly line produced, 148.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on the westerly line of Hahn street produced, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 151.00 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 145.00 feet.

60 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 468.71 feet westerly from Sawyer street, 145.00 feet.

On Leland avenue between the westerly line of Hahn street produced and its westerly termination be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Establishing Grades on Kramer Place Between Greenwich Street and Its Southerly Termination, and on Pardee Alley Between Kramer Place and Grant Avenue.

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 570, Ordinance No. 12.0716, as follows:

Establishing grades on Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination, and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination, and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office May 12, 1934:

Kramer Place.

25 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 139.80 feet.

117.50 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 124.00 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Greenwich street, 122.50 feet.

Pardee Alley.

Northerly line of, at Kramer place, 133.14 feet.

Southerly line of, at Kramer place, 130.84 feet.

20 feet easterly from Kramer place, 133.43 feet.

Grant avenue westerly line of (for Pardee alley), 134.00 feet.

Northerly line of, at Grant avenue, 143.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Grant avenue, 140.89 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Kramer place between Greenwich street and its southerly termination and on Pardee alley between Kramer place and Grant avenue be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Greenwich street at Kramer place.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**Accepting the Roadway of Laidley Street Between Fairmount
and San Miguel Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 571, Ordinance No. 12.081116, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Laidley street between Fairmount and San Miguel streets, including the crossing of Laidley and Fairmount streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Laidley street between Fairmount and San Miguel streets, including the crossing of Laidley and Fairmount streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was *withdrawn for correction*:

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE.

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1935.

(Code No. 9.053.)

Bill No. 573, Ordinance No. 9.05360, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that where funds are specifically appropriated for such purpose in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and are thereafter available temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated or established, the Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of appropriation No. 100.900.00, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made until the necessary funds are reappropriated by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of Section 80 of the Charter. Provided, however, should the position made vacant be one which in the opinion of the Controller is necessary for the uninterrupted and proper functioning of the department in which said vacancy has occurred, the head of said department may fill said position in accordance with the Civil Service provisions of the Charter with a temporary appointee, which appointment shall continue until the necessity therefor is determined by the Board of Supervisors, but in no event longer than 40 days.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1934-35 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and

the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls or pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	*For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	*For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day.....	\$ 8.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day.....	13.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
3 meals per day	17.50 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
Board, Room and Laundry....	27.50 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single meal 25	.35
		Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital		\$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, in the San Francisco Hospital....		55
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department		25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department		50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department		25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department		15

*The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction, shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the above schedule.

Section 4. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	11		Supervisors	\$ 200
3	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	350
4	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
5	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	175
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	350
8	4	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographers	200
9	1	B416	Finance Committee Stenographer.....	325
10	1	D4	Sergeant at Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	250

Section 5. MAYOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger	165
5	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
8	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office	300

Section 6. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor	\$ 666.66
2	1	B54	Chief Clerk, Assessor's Office.....	375.00
3	1	B106	Chief Teller, Assessor's Office.....	250.00
4	4	B222	General Clerk	250.00
5	1	B222	General Clerk (10 mo. at \$250).....	
6	2	B222	General Clerk	200.00
7	1	B222	General Clerk (exempt, sec. 28).....	200.00
8	8	B222	General Clerk	190.00
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300.00
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250.00
11	3	B228	Senior Clerk	190.00
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	300.00
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	250.00
14	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	250.00
15	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	225.00
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
17	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
18	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
19	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190.00
20	3	G2	Real Estate Appraiser.....	250.00
21	1	G4	Senior Real Estate Appraiser.....	350.00
22	2	G8	Improvement Appraiser	250.00
23	1	G10	Senior Improvement Appraiser.....	265.00
24	3	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	250.00
25	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	225.00
26	1	G16	Senior Personal Property Appraiser....	300.00
27	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor.....	400.00

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

28	16	B222	General Clerk	150.00
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
30	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150.00
31	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser	190.00
32			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	150.00
33			Other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules,	

Section 7. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk	175.00
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155.00
6	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
7	1	F702	Valuation Engineer	300.00
8	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer	750.00
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil	250.00
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	350.00
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	600
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil.....	500.00
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil.....	600.00
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service.....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150.00

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney.....	\$ 666.66
2	4	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200.00
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	190.00
3½	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	150.00
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	225.00
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250.00
6	2	B222	General Clerk.....	190.00
6½	1	B222	General Clerk (part time).....	50.00
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	175.00
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150.00
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator.....	150.00
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist.....	175.00
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	375.00
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	190.00
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	300.00
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	200.00
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150.00
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	275.00
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00

Section 9. TREASURER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant	200
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	250
5	3	B102	Teller	240
6	1	B102	Teller	175
7	1	B104	Senior Teller	275
8	1	B104	Senior Teller	240
9	2	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	325
10	1	B222	General Clerk	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (exempt Sec. 31)	165

Section 10. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	\$ 666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (exempt Sec. 32)	275
4	1	B222	General Clerk	250
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk	197
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
12	9	C154	Keeper	160
13	1	C156	Head Keeper	185
14	1	D2	Bailiff	215
15	24	D2	Bailiff	197
16	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
17	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17½	3	D52	Jail Matron	170
18	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
19	20	D60	Jailer	197
20	5	D60	Jailer	170
21	1	D60	Jailer	225
22	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
24	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
25	8	D102	Writ Server	215
26	2	D102	Writ Server	197
27	1	I12	Cook	195
28	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
29	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
30	1	L360	Physician	335
31	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room).....	210
32	1	O52	Farmer	200

Section 11. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender	\$ 666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	350

Section 12. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150

BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

11	1		Captain of Inspectors	\$ 416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	10	Q60	Lieutenants	250**
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225

UNIFORM FORCE

18	1		Captain of Traffic	\$ 333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
27	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
28	964	Q2	Policemen	200
29	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
30	87	Q40	Corporal	215**
31	95	Q50	Sergeant	220**
32	41	Q60	Lieutenant	250**
33	18	Q80	Captain.	300**

**In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Patrolmen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Patrolman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer	600
3	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator	155
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	225
8	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	130
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	885	H2	Firemen.	180-200*
11	28	H10	Chief's Operator	210
12	14	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines	220**
13	119	H20	Lieutenant.	222.50**

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	74	H30	Captain	235**
15	25	H40	Battalion Chief	350**
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer	400
17	1	L360	Physician	235
18	7	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	220
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	230
21	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam En- gines	280
22	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
23	1	M4	Master Mechanic	416.66
24	13	O304	Hydrantman Gateman	215
25	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman	255
26	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day	
FIRE BOAT CREWS				
27	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief)	255
28	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	255
29	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)	255
30	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)	205

* At rates fixed by Charter.

**In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 14. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals	250

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant	\$ 175
2	1		Athletic Organizer	475
3	9		Attendants	75
4	2		Attendant (men's)	140
5	1		Cashier, Chief	185
6	1		Cashier	125
7	1		Cook (Children's Quarters)	90
8	1		Engineer	175
9	1		Engineer, Chief	215
10	1		Engineer, Assistant	175
11	1		Fireman	175
12	1		Golf Starter	180
13	2		Golf Starters, Relief	150
14	1		Harbor Master, Day	125
15	1		Harbor Master, Night	125
16	1		Janitor	125
17	1		Laundryman	145
18	1		Life Guard	125
19	1		Manager, Restaurant	200
20	1		Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	135
21	1		Matron	80
22	2		Pump Man	175

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1		Secretary	400
24	1		Stenographer	175
25	1		Stenographer	125
26	1		Stenographer	115
27	1		Superintendent	725
28	1		Superintendent (assistant in charge of construction)	325
28½	1		Superintendent (Assistant)	250
29	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
30	1		Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	200
31	1		Surveyor	215
32	1		Surveyor, Assistant	210
33	1		Timekeeper	135
34	1		Utility (Children's Quarters)	175
35	1		Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)..	95
36	2		Windmill Attendants	125
37	1		Zoological Expert	300
37⅛	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	50
37¼	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	75
37½	1		Bathhouse Attendant	135
37¾	1		Golf Starter, Sharp's Park	175

Section 15¼. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
38			Animal Keepers	5
39			Apprentice	3
40			Ball Field Boys (per hour).....	.50
41			Booth Helpers (per hour).....	.25
42			Booth Helpers (per hour)30
43			Boys to attend donkeys (per hour).....	.30
44			Boys to attend ponies (per hour).....	.20
45			Blacksmith	8
46			Carpenters	9
47			Carpenters	8
48			Carpenters (Assistants)	7
49			Cashiers (per hour)30
50			Cashier, Assistant	3
51			Cashier	3.50
52			Cashier	4
53			Cashier	3
54			Chauffeur	7
55			Chauffeurs	7.50
56			Clerks	3
57			Clerks	4
58			Clerks	5
59			Cook	7.50
60			Cook	6.50
61			Cook, Relief	5.50
62			Cook	4
63			Cook	8
64			Dishwasher	3.50
65			Dishwasher	4
66			Foremen	6
67			Foreman	6.50
68			Foremen	7
69			Foreman	7.50
70			Foremen	8

Section 15½. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
71		Foreman	8.50
72		Gardeners	5.50
73		Gardeners	6
74		Gardener	6.50
75		Janitor	5
76		Janitor (Golf Clubhouse)	4
77		Janitress	3
78		Keeper (Aviary)	5.50
79		Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour)30
80		Laborers	4
81		Laborers	5
82		Laborers	5.50
83		Laborers	6
84		Laborers	2.50
85		Laborers (per hour)50
86		Laborers, Apprentice	2.50
87		Laborers, Apprentice	3
88		Laborers, Apprentice	4
89		Matron	\$ 3
90		Manager	5
91		Manager (H. F. Playfield Booth)	5.83
92		Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour)30
93		Modelers (Plaster)	15
94		Mower Men	6.50
95		Office Boy	2.50
96		Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Playfield)	5
97		Pantryman (Harding Cafe)	3.50
98		Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour)30
99		Porter	4
100		Porter	3.50
101		Porter	3
102		Rides Man	3
103		Stableman	5
104		Starters, Sub. (Golf Course)	5
105		Starter, Assistant	5
106		Stenographers	4
107		Superintendent of Parks and Squares, Assistant	8
108		Supply Agent	5
109		Store Room Keeper	3.50
110		Teamsters	6
111		Teamsters	6.50
112		Tennis Courts Manager	5.50
113		Tractor Driver	6
114		Tractor Man	6.50
115		Tractor Man	9
116		Tractor Repairer	8
117		Truck Repairer	8
118		Utility Helpers (per hour)25
119		Utility	4
120		Waiter	3
121		Waiter	3.50
122		Waiter	4
123		Waitresses	3
124		Waitresses	3.50
125		Waitresses	4
126		Waitresses	5
127		Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo)	5
128		Yardman	2.50
129		Yardman	4.50

Section 15½. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
130			Yardman	4.
131			Yardman	3.50
132			Yardman	3

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 185
6	1	B222	General Clerk	150
7	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman..	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance (as needed).....	350
14	11	J4	Laborer.	150
15	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	25	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
19	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time).....	75
20	2	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
21	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 21	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
24	2	O58	Gardener	135
25	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
26	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
27	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept...	250
28	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
29	1	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	85
31	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
32		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
33	1	R56	Playground Director	175
34	1	R56	Playground Director	160
35	25	R56	Playground Director	150
36	4	R56	Playground Director	140
37	2	R56	Playground Director	135
38	4	R56	Playground Director	130
39	18	R56	Playground Director	125
41	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
42		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 41)	150
43		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
44	33	R56	Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only)	

Section 17. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mo.).....\$	200
46		R102	Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 45).....	285
47	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics	210
48	1	R108	Supervisor of Music	185
49	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
50	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	135
51	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	210
		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo.....	150
52	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	130
53	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming	175
54		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
55		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	
57			Pianist (as needed), \$2.50 per call....	
58			Referee (as needed), \$2.50 to \$10 per game	
59			1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
60			3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	

CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

61		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day.....	
62		A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
63		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
63½		B4	Bookkeeper,	150
64		B512	General Clerk-Typist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
65		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day.....	
66		I8	Head Baker (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
67		I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.).....	162.50
68		I16	Chef (deduct for R. & L.).....	210.50
69		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
70		J4	Laborer	150
71		L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
72		P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
73			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract)	
74			Camp Guide (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	
75			Life Guard (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	200
2	1	B72	Business Manager, Public Library.....	375
3	1	B222	General Clerk	175
5	5	B222	General Clerk	85
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
9	1	B504	Clerk-typist	160
10	1	C52	Elevator Operator	110
11	1	C102	Janitress	75

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	1	C102	Janitress	65
13		C102	Janitress, 55c per hour	
14	1	C104	Janitor	185
15	2	C104	Janitor	125
16	1	C152	Watchman	150
17	4	J54	Book Repairer	110
18	1	J54	Book Repairer	90
19	1	J54	Book Repairer	125
20	1	O10	Truck Driver, light truck	160

DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7		Branch Librarian	175
22	6		Assistant	100
23	2		Assistant	110
24	16		Assistant	120
25	9		Assistant	130
26	3		Assistant	140
27	2		Assistant	150
28	15		Assistant	160
29	10		Assistant	175
30	1		Assistant	225
31	1		Assistant	250
32	1		Librarian	400
33	61		Substitutes, 50c per hour	
34	35		Pages, 30c per hour	
35	1		Station Keeper (Part Time)	50
36	6		Station Keeper (Part Time)	15

Section 19. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	\$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	3	C52	Elevator Operator	145
4	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman	160
5	8	C104	Janitor	145
6	4	C152	Watchman	145
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, \$6 per day	
8	1	E108	Electrician	220
9	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week	
10	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
11	3	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
12	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week	

AS NEEDED

15		A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day	
16		E109	Stage Electrician, \$12.50 per day	
17		C105	Concierge (part time, not over \$75 per month), \$3 per day	
18		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125

Section 20. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time). \$	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission	250
			Stage Help (as needed) not more than \$12.50 per day	

Section 21. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item	No. of Class	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
No. Employees	No.		
1	1	Assistant Director	\$ 333.34
2	1	Engineer and Building Superintendent.	200
3	1	Organist (part time).....	300
4	1	Organist (part time).....	125
5	1	Chief Galleryman	200
6	1	Galleryman	150
7	3	Galleryman	125
8	1	Galleryman	120
9	1	Head Janitor	130
10	2	Janitor's Assistants	125
12	1	Stenographer	150
13	2	Stenographer	125
14	1	Librarian	85
15	1	Gallery Assistant	125
16	3	Caretaker	85
20	1	Watchman	125
21	1	Organ Repairer (as needed).....	33
22		Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150

Section 22. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item	No. of Class	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
No. Employees	No.		
1	1	Director	\$ 491.66
3	1	Assistant to Director	200
4	1	Recorder	125
5	1	Secretary to Director	150
6	2	Docent	125
7	1	Docent	100
8	1	Stenographer	85
9	1	Head Galleryman	200
10	1	Printer	140
11	1	Clerk	100
12	1	Mechanic	180
13	1	Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1	Janitor	130
15	1	Assistant Janitor	120
16	1	Head Caretaker	95
17	6	Caretaker	85
18	1	Secretary Board of Trustees.....	240
19	1	Lecturer, \$10 per Sunday	
20	2	Gallerymen	125
21	11	Gallerymen	120
22	1	Carpenter, \$5.50 per day	
23	1	Watchman	125

TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED

24	Seasonal Clerical Service.....	150
25	Plasterer Foreman, \$11 day	
26	Construction Superintendent	200
27	Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in the resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City.	

Section 23. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Science and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

Section 24. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	200
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	250
6	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6½	2	B160	Civil Law Clerk	200
7	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
8	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	200
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	240
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B222	General Clerk	240
12	8	B222	General Clerk	200
12½	1	B222	General Clerk	175
13	1	B210	Office Assistant	125
14	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
15	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
16	2	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 25. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges	\$ 416.66
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
4½	1		Information Clerk	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	7	B252	Court Interpreter	175
6½	1	B252	Court Interpreter	155
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
8	3	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	
10	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

*(Titles fixed by State law)

Section 26. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian	\$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian	425
3	1	O102	Bookbinder	200

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 225
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk	210
3	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	210
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
7	1	T56	Probation Officer	225
8	10	T56	Probation Officer	210
9	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
10	3	T60	Senior Probation Officer	225
11	1	T64	Referee	200
12	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.....	350

Section 28. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor	\$ 145
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.).....	68
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.).....	110
4	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	161.50
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	126.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.)	145
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)..	132.50
8	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	105.50
9	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.)....	88
10	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.)	167.50
11	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.)	207.50
TEMPORARY AS NEEDED				
13	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	126.50
14	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.).	105.50

Section 29. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 210
2	6	T56	Probation Officer.....	210
3	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
4	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer.....	190
5	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer.....	350

Section 30. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer.....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records.....	\$ 500

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	\$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office.....	300
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	18	B222	General Clerk	200
9½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1		Director License Bureau.....	215
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

18	12	B222	General Clerk	150
19	2	G152	License Adjuster	190
20			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150

Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations.....	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters	450
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
5	2	B222	General Clerk	250
6	7	B222	General Clerk	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk	205
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
9	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator...	225
12	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines.....	250
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjusters.....	225
14½	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster	175
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150
17		B305	Voting Machine Adjusters (as needed).	155
18		B202	Judges of Election, \$5 per day.....	
19		B204	Inspectors of Election, \$5 per day.....	

Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk	\$ 250
2	1	B81	Recorder	\$ 666.66
4	7	B222	General Clerk	200
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk (7 mo. at \$155, 5 mo. at \$215)	
8	2	B228	Senior Clerk	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	17	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200
11	1	M254	Machinist	225

Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	\$ 200
2	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
4	5	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	200
5	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
7	1	B169	County Clerk	666.66
8	17	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	240
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
13	7	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 36. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 375
2	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
3	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	300
4	1	B173	Public Administrator	666.66
5	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
8	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	666.66

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....	\$ 466.66
2	1	B222	General Clerk	150
3	2	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	150
6	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
7	3	B352	Storekeeper	150
8	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
9	1	B354	General Storekeeper	220
10	2	B354	General Storekeeper	200
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper	175
12	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper	325
13	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer.....	225

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B360	Stationery Buyer (3 mo.).....	275
15	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	130
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	170
17	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
18	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	200
19	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies (5 mos.)	275
20	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	225
20½	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200
21	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent.....	250
22	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
23	1	B372	Purchasing Agt.—Hetch Hetchy Project	400
24	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies.....	833.33
25	1	B404	Clerk Stenographer	100
26	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
27	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
28	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	160
29	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
30	2	B504	Clerk Typist	175
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	190
33	2	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
34	1	J12	Foreman Laborer	195
35	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day	
36	2	N302	Inspector of General Supplies	200

Section 38. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$9 per day.....	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day.....	
42½	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
45½	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop	300
50	22	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
51	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day	
52	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
53	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
54	6	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
54½	1	M108	Blacksmith, \$8 per day.....	
55	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$6.58 per day....	
56	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day	
57	3	M252	Machinist Helper, \$6.58 per day.....	
58	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
59	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day.....	
61	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, \$6.50 per day...	

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	\$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium.....	250
3	4	C104	Janitor	155
4	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
5	2	C152	Watchman	180
6	1	E108	Electrician ..	237.50
7	1	J64	Chair Repairer, \$6.50 per day.....	
8	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines...	220
9	1		Organ Repairer (part time).....	75
10	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day.....	
11		C104	Janitor (as needed).....	145
12		C152	Watchman (as needed).....	145
13	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	

Section 40. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property) ..	600

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	275
7	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property).....	375
8			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser (as needed) at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works.....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant. .	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	155
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk (7 mo. @ \$200, 5 mo. @ \$225)	
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
9½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator	165

Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk	\$	225
2	1	B222	General Clerk		200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk		275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist		200

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$	180

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A106	Building Inspector	\$	275
2	7	A106	Building Inspector		225
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....		75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		175
5	1	F558	Structural Engineer		300
6	1	F560	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection (11 mo. @ \$500, 1 mo. @ \$625)		
7	1	M158	Boiler Inspector		250

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A106	Building Inspector	\$	225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman		200
4	1	F112	City Architect		600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) as needed..		75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator		250

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$	250
2	1	B222	General Clerk		225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk (2 mo. @ \$200, 10 mo. @ \$250)		
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist		175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....		650
7	1	F10	City Engineer		500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....		250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.....		225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....		175
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....		250

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
16	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	210
17	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
18	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
19	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
20	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
21	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
22	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
23	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
24	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
25	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints.	250
26	1	F506	Engineer Grades	275
27	2	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investi- gations	275
28	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
30	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
31	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
31½	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	250
32	13	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	225
34	4	F610	Surveyors	250
35	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
36	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor.....	275
37	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
38	4	N252	Street Inspector	225

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE
MONEYS**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B312	Blue Printer	\$ 215
40	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
41	1	B316	Photographer	265
42	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	225
43	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
44	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
50	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
52	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
53	1	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
54	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
56	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
57	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
58	2	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
60	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
61	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
62	5	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
64	3	F610	Surveyor	250
66	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
67	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist.....	400
68		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
69		B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
70		F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
71		F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman	200
72		F260	Civil Engineer Designer	250
73		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
74		F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	275
75		F654	Traffic Checker	175
76		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
77		F106	Architectural Designer	250
78		F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
79		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
80		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	250
81		F362	Electrical Engineer	300
82		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
83		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
84		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
85		F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
86		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
87		F558	Structural Engineer	250

Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs	\$ 225
2	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	250
3	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	225
4	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	375

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

5	12	A52	Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at \$9 per day..	
6	8	A56	Bricklayer at \$11 per day.....	
7	19	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
8	4	O14	Driver, spec. equip., at \$8 per day.....	
*9	28	O204	Cribber, at \$7 per day.....	
10	16	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$8.50 per day.....	
11	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair	250

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

*Ten of these not heretofore included as compensations are paid by property owners.

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Supt. of Streets.....	500

Section 49½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	227	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
2	12	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
3	2	C152	Watchman	155
3a	2	C152	Watchman	145
3b	1	C152	Watchman 9 mo., at \$145, 3 mo.....	155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	225
6	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	275
7	20	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck \$8 per day..	
8	4	O14	Driver of Special Equipment \$8 per day	
9	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day	
10	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O298	Supervisor of St. Repair.....	325

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

2	1	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
4½	1	A551	Apprentice at \$6.50 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	23	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at \$6 per day..	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day....	
9	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, hvy. truck, at \$8 per day.	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at \$8 per day.	
12	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
16	3	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
17	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
20	22	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day....	
21	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at \$8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225

BRIDGES

27	6	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
28	5	C153	Bridge Attendant	145
29	1	C153	Bridge Attendant 1 mo. at 155, 11 mo. at	145
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)....	220
32	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings, 2 mo. at \$275, 10 mo. at.....	300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings..	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	250
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W....	250
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W....	275
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber, D. P. W.	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.....	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	18	C52	Elevator Operator	155
10½	1	C52	Elevator Operator	145
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
12½	1	C102	Janitress (Part Time), as needed.....	75
13	49	C104	Janitor	155
13½	4	C104	Janitor	145
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
19½	2	C202	Window Cleaner	160
20	3	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
24	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines...	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines....	300

**Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR
(Continued)**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employment is *not* established as a continuing position but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
27		A52	Hod Carrier	day	\$ 9
27½		A56	Bricklayer	day	11
28		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day	6
29		A60	Marble Setter	day	10
30		A62	Tile Setter	day	10
31	22	A154	Carpenter	day	9
32		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day	9.50
33		A162	Lather	day	10
34		A172	Hardwood Floorman	day	10
35	15	A202	Cement Finisher Helper	day	8
36	2	A204	Cement Finisher	day	9
37		A206	Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher...	day	9.50
38	2	A252	Glazier	day	8.50
39	1	A252	Glazier	day	9.50
40	2	A302	Locksmith	day	8
41	1	A352	Painter's Shopman	day	7
42	30	A354	Painter	day	9
43		A356	Sub-Foreman Painter	day	9.50
44		A380	Paper Hanger	day	10
45	1	A392	Plasterer	day	11
46	1	A402	Plumber's Shopman	day	7
47	23	A404	Plumber	day	10
48	1	A452	Sheet Metal Shopman	day	6.50
49	9	A456	Sheet Metal Worker	day	10
50	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	day	10.50
51	10	A504	Steamfitter	day	10
52	1	A551	Apprentice	day	7
54	1	B222	General Clerk	day	7
55	1	C152	Watchman		145
56	1	C202	Window Cleaner		155
57	4	E108	Electrician	day	9
58	1	J4	Laborer	day	6

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$	250
2	8	B222	General Clerk		200
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk		200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk		325
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		185
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		155
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist		185

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector	240
8	13	E4	Electrical Inspector	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher.....	250
12	1	E108	Electrician	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity	300
16	1	E154	Lineman	220
17	10	E154	Lineman	215
17½	2	E154	Lineman	185
18	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
19	2	E160	Foreman Lineman	240
20	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	416.66
21	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
22	1	J12	Labor Foreman	195
23	1	J66	Garageman	150
24	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day	
25	2	M254	Machinist	225
26	5	M260	Instrument Maker	225
27	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector	\$ 250
2	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector	240
3	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector.....	325
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	190
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
7	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	50
8	5	B222	General Clerk	190
9	1	B222	General Clerk	185
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
11	2	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
13	4	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
14	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time).....	75
15	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	125
20	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
22	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
23	1	F520	Consulting Sanitary Eng. (Part Time)..	150
24		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
25	1	C102	Janitress	75
26	1	J58	Disinfecter	200

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	4	J74	Rat Catcher	115
28	1	L14	Assistant Director of Health.....	400
29	1	L18	Director of Health	833.33
30	1	L52	Bacteriological Labor. Tech.	125
31	1	L56	Bacteriologist	225
32	2	L56	Bacteriologist	175
33	1	L58	Director of Laboratories	300
34	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspec.	200
35	1	L102	Food Chemist's Assistant	100
36	1	L104	Food Chemist	225
37	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist	250
38	2	L152	Dental Hygienist	150
39	13	L156	Dentist (Part Time)	100
40	1	L158	Director Dental Bur. (Part Time)....	250
41	1	L252	Optometrist (Part Time).....	150

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	\$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
44½	1	L364	Pediatrician (Part Time).....	75
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$10 per day.....	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene.....	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau.....	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time).....	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time).....	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time).....	150
58	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	200
59	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	5	N56	Market Inspector	200
61½	1	N56	Market Inspector	185
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	9	N204	Housing Inspector	200
70½	2	N205	Industrial Inspector	200
70¾	1	N205	Industrial Inspector	175
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Investigator.....	215

Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator	100
6	1	I8	Head Baker	190
7	3	I12	Cook	165
7½	1	I12	Cook, 9 months at.....	165
8	1	I12	Cook (Part Time).....	75
9	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
10	1	I16	Chef	200
11	1	I22	Butcher	100
12	1	I24	Senior Butcher	235
13	4	I54	Waitress	110
14	1	I58	Dining Room Steward	140
15		I102	Inmate Help, not over	50
16		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
17	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
18	4	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
19	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
20	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	120
21	2	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
22	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
23	2	I112	Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
24	1	I154	Laundress	95
25	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
26	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
27	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry	189
28	1	I254	Seamstress	90
29	1	I256	Head Seamstress	115
30	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
31	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
32	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent	275
33	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist	100
35	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
36	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	200
37	5	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
38	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
40	1	L452	X-Ray Technician	150
41	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	220
47	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
48	19	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
48½	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.), 9 months at	135
49	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
50	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
51	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (de- duct for B., R. & L.)	\$ 77.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
4	1	I54	Waitress	110
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	385
11		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
12	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
13	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (de- duct for B., R. & L.)	235

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN
FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	2	B222	General Clerk	\$ 150
6	1	B222	General Clerk	100
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	250

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
10	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	160
11	7	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
11½	12	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for B. & R.)not over	75
12	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
13	2	B454	Telephone Operator	125
14	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
17	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
18	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	170
19	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	145
20	2	E108	Electrician	237.50
21	1	I6	Pastry Cook	175
22	8	I12	Cook	165
23	1	I16	Chef	200
24	8	I54	Waitress	110
24a	8	I56	Waiter	110
25		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B. R. & L.), less than.....	80
26	1	I118	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
28	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
29	16	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	85
30	5	I154	Laundress	98
31	3	I154	Laundress	94
32	6	I154	Laundress	92
33	1	I154	Laundress	93
34	1	I156	Starcher	118
35	1	I158	Sorter	127
36	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
37	1	I166	Wringerman	136.33
38	2	I170	Washer	129.50
39	1	I172	Head Washer	152.50
40	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry	200
41	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
42	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
43	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	125
44	2	I254	Seamstress.	90
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN
FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
50	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	1	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	2	L304	Pharmacist	190

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	16	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
58	3	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician	75
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct \$55 for full family maintenance.	280
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	119	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at \$5.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	
74½		P103	Special Nurse, as needed (Psychiatric or Communicable Diseases) \$7 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at \$6.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours at \$8.00 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
78½	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170

Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
80	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285
81	3	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	160
82	1	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	160

Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
84	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
85	6	P206	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator	150
94	2	T156	Social Service Investigator	180

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

95	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator ...	120
96	3	T156	Social Service Investigator (deduct for R.)	140

Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
3	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon	200
4	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals	225
5	1	L508	Chief Surgeon	250
6	16	O6	Ambulance Driver	200
6½	2	O6	Ambulance Driver	175
7	3	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	165
8	22	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	200
9	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward	250
10	14	P102	Registered Nurse	165
11	3	P102	Registered Nurse	135
12	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.)	162.50
4	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.)	182.50

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than.....	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer at \$5 per day.....	
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	37.50
11½	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
12	1	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
13	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal)	210
14	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
16	6	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
17	1	P114	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

18	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
----	---	------	---------------------------------------	----

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk	\$ 185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
3½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
4	1	B510	Braille Typist	150
5	7	T152	Junior Social Service Investigators....	150
6	7	T156	Social Service Investigators	150
7	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator	200
8	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

Section 64. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter	\$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
4½	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT— AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector	250
4	3	N154	Horticultural Inspector	175
5	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner....	400

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	\$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Mea- sures	275
3	4	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures....	225
4	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	500

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	10	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	5	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls.....	300
10	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
15½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½	1	B301	Payroll Machine Operator	165
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	160
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist	175

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	150

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

36	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	175
----	---	----	-------------------------	-----

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting	
3	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary \$	350
4	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
5	1	F254	Civ. Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	250

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities	1,000
3	1	B67	Secretary, Utility Commission.....	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	350
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7½	1	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150
8	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	200

Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 150
2	1	C104	Janitor	125
3	1	F52	Meteorological Computor	150
4	1	F54	Meteorologist	150
5	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent	175
6	1	F62	Superintendent	300

TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES

7		A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
8		A354	Painter, \$9 per day.....	
10		E108	Electrician, \$9 per day.....	
12		J52	Airport Attendant	150

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B10	Accountant.	\$ 275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer.	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities.	833.33
9	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	250
10	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	240
11	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	225
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	240
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer.	550
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.	275
25	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer.	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer.	650
29	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.	330
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.	265
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment.	290
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
43	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	225
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer.	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator.	200
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines \$10 per day	

CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

49		Seasonal Clerical Services as needed.	150
50	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
51	F106	Architectural Designer	250
52	F108	Architect	300
53	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction.	225
54	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.	225
55	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.	250
56	F214	Construction Engineer	300
57	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	160
58	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.	250
59	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman.	200
60	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.	160
61	F432	Mechanical Draftsman	200
62	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.	250
63	F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
64	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.	175
65	F610	Surveyor	250
66	O2	Chauffeur	170

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, \$10.35 per day	
4	1	B10	Accountant	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant.....	325
6	2	B222	General Clerk	150
7	4	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B234	Head Clerk.....	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief).....	145
17	30	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	135
19	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day	
20	7	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day....	
22	1	C152	Watchman, \$5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day	
25	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day.....	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer.....	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$5.80 per day.....	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, \$5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day.....	
34	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day....	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic.....	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway..	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
43	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day.....	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
46	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, \$8 per day..	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway.....	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway.....	240
50	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour.....	
51	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour.....	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	175
54	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway.....	210

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
3	1	A404	Plumber	225
4	1	A551	Apprentice at \$7 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant	85
6	2	B210	Office Assistant	75
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
8	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
9	1	B10	Accountant	400
10	1	B24	Auditor, Water Department.....	700
11	1	B109	Cashier, Water Department.....	325
12	10	B222	General Clerk	150
13	4	B222	General Clerk (part time)	75
14	1	B222	General Clerk	200
15	2	B222	General Clerk	190
16	1	B222	General Clerk	180
17	32	B222	General Clerk	175
18	2	B222	General Clerk	165
19	2	B222	General Clerk	160
20	5	B222	General Clerk	150
21	2	B228	Senior Clerk	225
22	1	B228	Senior Clerk	215
23	6	B228	Senior Clerk	200
24	2	B228	Senior Clerk	180
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
26	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
26¼	9	B247	Meter Readers	175
26½	1	B247	Meter Readers	165
26¾	5	B247	Meter Readers	150
27	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	160
28	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
29	11	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
30	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
31	1	B315	Photographer Water Service.....	200
32	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
33	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper	265
34	3	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
35	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
36	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
37	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
38	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
38½	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
39	1	B454	Telephone Operator	165
40	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
41	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at \$4 per day	
42	8	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	C52	Elevator Operator	160
44	4	C104	Janitor	155
45	1	C104	Janitor (or Janitress) (part time) deduct for board and room.....	45.50
46	1	C104	Janitor at \$6 per day.....	
47	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	175
48	2	C152	Watchman	150
49	2	C152	Watchman	145
50	1	E154	Lineman	200
51	1	F2	Asst. Engineer, Water Service.....	500
53	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
54	2	F252	Junior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	175
55	2	F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman.....	225
56	2	F258	Senior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	250
57	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	175
57½	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	160
58	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	375
59	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer.....	200
59a	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	175
60	1	I12	Cook (deduct for room).....	130
61	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	100
62	74	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
65	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
66	6	M54	Auto Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
67	4	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
68	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairer.....	200
69	1	M268	Foreman Machinist	285

Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$7.50 per day	
2	2	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$6.50 per day	
3	1	O58	Gardener at \$6.50 per day.....	
4	1	O58	Gardener	135
5	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
6	1	O58	Gardener at \$5 per day.....	
7	1	O116	Teamster (2-Horse Vehicle) at \$6.50 per day	
8	1	O152	Eng. of Hoisting and Portable Engines at \$10 per day.....	
9	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	165
10	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	150
11	1	O164	Oiler	185
12	9	O164	Oiler	175
13	8	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Eng.....	185
14	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (Relief).....	185
15	8	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Eng.....	220
16	1	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Eng. (deduct \$15 for House).....	220
17	1	O170	Asst. Chief Engr. Sta. St. Eng.....	235
18	1	O172	Chief Eng. Sta. Steam Eng.....	265
19	1	U44	Gen'l Mgr. & Chief Engineer.....	833.33

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	U51	Supervisor Docks & Shipping.....	275
21	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections.....	300
22	1	U56	Asst. Supervisor Cons. Accts.....	290
23	1	U60	Supervisor, Consumers' Accts.....	340
24	1	U80	Asst. Manager, Water Sales.....	360
25	1	U88	Manager Water Sales	475
26	2	U104	Leadman at \$6 per day.....	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable at \$7.50 per day.....	
28	14	U112	Pipe Calker at \$7.50 per day.....	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman at \$7.75 per day..	
30	15	U116	Service Man at \$7.50 per day.....	
31	1	U116	Service Man at \$7.75 per day.....	
32	7	U120	Gateman at \$7.75 per day.....	
33	7	U122	Shutoff Man	175
34	1	U123	Service Inspector	185
35	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector	200
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	160
38	6	U126	Meter Inspector	175
39	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector	200
40	8	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	160
41	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	165
41½	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper	165
42	1	U132	Contractors & Builders Inspector.....	225
43	1	U136	Gen'l Foreman, Service Meters.....	300
44	1	U140	Gen'l Foreman, Main Pipes.....	325
45	1	U142	Ass't Supt. City Distribution.....	350
46	1	U144	Superintendent City Distribution.....	500
47	15	U206	Water Department Worker at \$6 per day	
48	1	U206	Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
49	1	U212	Ranger	145
50	1	U212	Ranger	135
51	3	U212	Ranger (deduct for House).....	145
53	6	U214	Pump Operator	165
53a	2	U214	Pump Operator at \$6 per day.....	
54	2	U214	Pump Operator	140
55	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
56	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$7 per day.....	
57	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$6.25 per day.....	
58	1	U215	Head Pump Operator.....	200
59	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for House)	200
60	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$25 for House)	200
61	1	U226	Gen. Maintenance Foreman, Alameda Dist.	200
62	1	U227	Gen. Maintenance Foreman (deduct for House), Peninsula Dist.	210
63	1	U228	Meterman, Country	175
64	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman	200
65	1	U231	Asst. Supt. Ala. Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	225
66	1	U232	Supt. Alameda Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
67	1	U236	Asst. Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
68	1	U246	Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$50 for House)	466.66

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
69	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture at \$6.50 per day	
70	1	V30	Asst. Supt. Agriculture.....	200
71	1	V40	Superintendent Agriculture	600
72	2		Housekeeper (part time)	20
73	1		Housekeeper (part time)	35

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Construction Superintendent	300
2			Inspector.	200
3			Timekeeper, plus board.....	175
4			Transitmen	200
5			Chainman	140
6			Field Draftsman	140
7			Office Estimator	175
8			Tunnel Foreman	200
9			Safetyman	250
10			Detectorman	212.50
11			Camp Mechanic	225
12			Dishwasher, plus board	75
13			Waiter, plus board	70
14			Tunnel Superintendent	250
15			Shift Boss, at \$9 per day.....	
16			Tunnel Shift Boss at \$7 per day.....	
17			Miner at \$6 per day.....	
18			Miner at \$5.50 per day.....	
19			Chucktender at \$5 per day.....	
20			Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
21			Motorman at \$5.50 per day.....	
22			Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
23			Timberman at \$5.50 per day.....	
24			Concrete Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
25			Steelworker Foreman at \$10 per day..	
26			Steelworker at \$9 per day.....	
27			Hoistman at \$9 per day.....	
28			Tractor Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Graderman at \$6.50 per day.....	
30			Tool Sharpener at \$6.50 per day.....	
31			Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5.25 per day	
32			Campman at \$5 per day.....	
33			Waterboy at \$3.50 per day	
34			General Tunnel Foreman	300
35			Tunnel Foreman	250
36			Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
37			Foreman Construction	200
38			Surveyor	175
39			Electrician at \$8.75 per day.....	
40			Blacksmith's Helper at \$5.25 per day..	
41			Straw Boss at \$5.50 per day.....	
42			Pipe Joint Inspector at \$6.50 per day....	
43			Blacksmith at \$6.50 per day.....	
44			Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	325
45			Sanitary Engineer (part time).....	75

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46			Bacteriologist (part time)	75
47			Biologist (part time)	75
48			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at 50c per hour....	
49			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at \$5 per day....	
50			Cook at \$6 per day	
51			Water Pipe Welder at \$7.50 per day....	
52			Trucks and teams and drivers as needed by the San Francisco Water Dept., to be paid for at rates established by Purchaser of Supplies' Contracts..	
53			Assistant Bacteriologist at 50c per hour	
54			Assistant Biologist at 50c per hour....	
55			Sanitary Inspectors	175
56			Chief Inspector	250
57			Architectural Draftsman	250
58			Architect	300
59			Civil Engineer Inspector.....	250
60			Consulting Engineer at \$50 per day....	
61			Hydraulic Engineer Designer.....	300
62			Structural Engineer Designer.....	300
63			Mechanical Engineer Designer.....	325
64			Civil Engineer Designer.....	325
65			Electrical Engineer Designer.....	325
66			Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
67			Rigger at \$7 per day.....	
68			Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
69			Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day.	
70			Steam Shovel Foreman at \$7 per day..	
71			Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
72			Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day	
73			Watchman	125
74			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
75			Boilermaker Helper at 75c per hour....	
76			Carpenter Foreman at \$10 per day.....	
77			Carpenter Helper at \$5 per day.....	
78			Cement Gun Operator at \$7 per day....	
79			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
80			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
81			Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
82			Grout Gunman at \$6 per day.....	
83			Grout Gun Operator at \$6 per day.....	
84			Gunite Helper at \$5 per day.....	
85			Gunite Mixerman at \$5.50 per day.....	
86			Gunite Shiftboss at \$7.25 per day.....	
87			Instrument Man	212.50
88			Rodman at \$5.25 per day.....	
89			Electric Engineer Draftsman.....	200
90			Electrical Engineer Designer.....	275
91			Electrical Engineer Inspector.....	250
92			Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	300
93			Mechanical Engineer	350
94			Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	300
95			Office Engineer	350
96			Chauffeur	170

**Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1		Auto Machinist	210
4	1		Blacksmith	190
7	1		Clerk, General	190
7½	1		Accountant	250
7¾	1		Bookkeeper	175
8	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	160
8½	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	175
9	1		Cook	167.50
10	1		Dam Tender	160
11	2		Dam Tenders	150
12	1		Dam Tender	140
13	1		Dam Tender	125
14	1		Dam Tender, Assistant.....	135
15	1		Dishwasher	117.50
16	1		Ditch Tender	135
17	1		Ditch Tender	125
18	1		Engineer, Assistant	250
19	1		Engineer, Electrical	400
20	1		Engineer, Junior	150
21	3		Floormen	145
22	1		Floorman, Relief	150
23	1		Foreman, General	300
24	1		Gardener	175
25	1		Gardener, Assistant	135
26	3		Governorman	140
27	1		Housekeeper	117.50
28	1		Janitor	120
29	1		Labor Foreman	210
30	1		Lineman Foreman	250
31	4		Operators	150
32	1		Operator, Chief	250
33	1		Operator, Chief	210
34	4		Operators, First	185
35	1		Operator, First Relief.....	190
36	3		Operators, Second	165
37	1		Operator and Electrician....	210
38	1		Operator and Machinist.....	210
39	1		Painter	210
42	1		Pumpman and Fire Patrolman....	150
43	1		Road Foreman	200
45	1		Walter	107.50
46	1		Yardman	135
47	1		General Storekeeper	180
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL				
48	1		Engineer, Assistant	237.50
49	2		Foreman—General Maintenance	200
50	2		Patrolmen	210
51	2		Patrolman Helpers	137.50
52	1		Watchman	125

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

**TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL
EMPLOYMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic at \$8.73 per day.....	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Blacksmith at \$1.091 per hour.....	
4			Blacksmith Helper at \$0.818 per hour..	
5			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
6			Boilermaker's Helper at 75c per hour..	
7			Boatman at \$5.50 per day.....	
8			Brakeman at \$6 per day.....	
9			Campman	120
10			Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
11			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
12			Cement Gun Operator at \$6 per day....	
13			Chuckman at \$5.25 per day.....	
14			Clerk	175
15			Clerk, General	190
16			Compressorman at \$5 per day.....	
17			Compressorman at \$7.67 per day.....	
18			Concreteman at \$5 per day.....	
19			Cook (Camp)	167.50
20			Cook's Helper	137.50
21			Dishwasher	112.50
22			Drill Doctor at \$5.75 per day.....	
23			Driver at \$8.80 per day.....	
24			Driver at \$10.80 per day.....	
25			Driver at \$6 per day.....	
26			Driver at \$6.50 per day.....	
27			Driver at \$7 per day.....	
28			Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Driver at \$8 per day.....	
30			Driver at \$9.20 per day.....	
31			Driver at \$10 per day.....	
32			Electrical Foreman	250
34			Engineer, Assistant	287.50
35			Field Assistant	175
36			Field Assistant	150
37			Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
38			Foreman at \$6.50 per day.....	
39			Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
40			Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
41			Groundman at \$6 per day.....	
42			Gunite Operator at \$6 per day.....	
43			Helper at \$4.50 per day.....	
44			Helper at \$4.75 per day.....	
45			Helper at \$5 per day.....	
46			Helper at \$5.50 per day.....	
47			Helper at \$6 per day.....	
48			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
49			Hoisting Engineer at \$9 per day.....	
50			Hostler at \$6 per day.....	
51			Housesmith at \$9 per day.....	
52			Housesmith Foreman at \$10 per day...	
53			Housewireman at \$9 per day.....	
54			Inspector	162.50
55			Inspector	200
56			Instrumentman	125
57			Instrumentman	187.50
58			Jackhammerman at \$5 per day.....	

Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59			Lampman	150
60			Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
61			Lineman Apprentice at \$7.73 per day...	
62			Machineman at \$5.75 per day.....	
63			Machinist at \$8.73 per day.....	
64			Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
65			Mucker Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
66			Mucker, Top, at \$4 per day.....	
67			Nipper at \$5.25 per day.....	
68			Nozzleman at \$6.50 per day.....	
69			Operator's Helper	175
70			Painter at \$9 per day.....	
71			Pipeman at \$5.50 per day.....	
72			Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
73			Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
74			Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
75			Pumpman at \$5.75 per day.....	
76			Rigger at \$6 per day.....	
77			Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
78			Shift Boss at \$7.25 per day.....	
79			Skiptender at \$5.75 per day.....	
80			Station Tender at \$5.25 per day.....	
81			Station Tender—Pumpman at \$5.75 per day	
82			Steamfitter at \$10 per day.....	
83			Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day..	
84			Steam Shovel Engineer.....	240
85			Steam Shovel Fireman at \$7 per day...	
86			Steam Shovel Fireman.....	165
87			Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
88			Steam Shovel Oiler	150
89			Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day.	
90			Steam Shovel Watchman.....	165
91			Timekeeper	175
92			Top Foreman at \$6.25 per day.....	
93			Tool Sharpener at \$6 per day.....	
94			Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5 per day...	
95			Tunnel Foreman	275
96			Tunnel Foreman, Assistant.....	240
97			Walter	107.50
98			Walter, Head	117.50
99			Watchman	
100			Water Workers at \$5 per day.....	
101			Welder at \$1.091 per hour.....	
102			Welder Helper at 75c per hour.....	

Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
1	Attendant		\$ 4.75	
2	Baker			\$147.50
3	Bed Maker.....			107.50
4	Blacksmith	\$1.091		
5	Blacksmith Helper.....	.818		
6	Boilermaker	1.091		
7	Boilermaker's Helper.....	.75		

**Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
8	Bookkeeper			215.00
9	Bookkeeper			205.00
10	Bookkeeper (Supervising Accountant, Utilities)			550.00
11	Bookkeeper, Assistant			190.00
12	Brakeman		4.50	
13	Campman			120.00
14	Carpenter		9.00	
15	Carpenter Foreman		10.00	
16	Carpenter's Helper.....		4.50	
17	Cement Gun Operator.....		6.00	
18	Chuckman		5.25	
19	Clerk			125.00
20	Clerk			140.00
21	Clerk			150.00
22	Clerk			165.00
23	Clerk			175.00
24	Clerk			200.00
25	Clerk (Experienced) (Asst. Auditor)			337.50
26	Clerk, General			180.00
27	Clerk, General			187.50
28	Clerk, General			225.00
29	Clerk, Ledger			175.00
30	Clerk, Relief			150.00
31	Compressorman		7.67	
32	Compressorman, Relief		7.67	
33	Cook			157.50
34	Cook			167.50
35	Cook			177.50
36	Cook			187.50
37	Cook			212.50
38	Cook-Baker			147.50
39	Cook Helper			122.50
40	Cook Helper			127.50
41	Cook, Night			137.50
42	Cook, Second			137.50
43	Cook, Second			147.50
44	Cost Accountant			275.00
45	Dishwasher			112.50
46	Dishwasher			117.50
47	Draftsman			175.00
48	Draftsman			212.50
49	Draftsman			250.00

**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
50	Drill Doctor		5.75	
51	Drill Doctor		6.00	
51 $\frac{1}{4}$	Driver90		
51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Driver95		
51 $\frac{3}{4}$	Driver	1.15		
52	Driver	1.00		
53	Driver	1.10		
54	Driver	1.25		

Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
55	Driver	1.35		
55½	Driver		5.50	
56	Driver		6.00	
57	Driver		6.50	
58	Driver		7.00	
59	Driver		7.50	
60	Driver		8.00	
61	Driver		9.20	
62	Driver		10.00	
63	Dumpman		4.50	
64	Dumpman		5.00	
65	Electrician	1.091		
66	Elec. Foreman, Gen.....			287.50
67	Engineer, Assistant			212.50
68	Engineer, Assistant			237.50
69	Engineer, Assistant			250.00
70	Engineer, Assistant			287.50
71	Engineer, Asst. Elec.			250.00
72	Engineer, Asst. Const.....			375.00
73	Engineer, Construction			600.00
73½	Engineer, Electrical			350.00
74	Engineer, Hydraulic			350.00
75	Engineer, Office			200.00
76	Engineer, Office			225.00
77	Field Assistant			100.00
78	Field Assistant			125.00
79	Field Assistant			150.00
80	Field Assistant			162.50
81	Field Assistant			175.00
82	Field Assistant			225.00
83	Fire Boss			212.50
84	Fire Boss, Relief			212.50
85	Foreman	1.216		
86	Foreman		6.00	
87	Foreman		6.25	
88	Foreman		6.50	
89	Foreman		7.00	
90	Foreman		7.25	
91	Foreman		7.50	
92	Foreman		8.00	
93	Foreman			262.50
94	Foreman			287.50
95	Foreman, Sub-		6.50	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
96	Form Man		5.25	
97	Gate Tender		5.75	
98	Grout Gunman (or Op.)....		6.00	
99	Gun Operator		6.00	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum	Month
			Rate per Day	
100	Gunite Helper		5.00	
101	Gunite Mixer Man.....		5.50	
102	Gunite Operator		6.00	
103	Gunite Shift Boss.....		7.00	
104	Gunite Shift Boss		7.25	
105	Hammersman		4.00	
106	Helper		4.50	
107	Helper		4.75	
108	Helper		5.00	
109	Helper		5.25	
110	Helper		5.50	
111	Helper		5.75	
112	Helper		6.00	
113	Hoisting Engr. or Relief Hoisting Engr.....		9.00	
114	Hostler		6.50	
115	Housesmith		9.00	
116	Housesmith Foreman		10.00	
117	Inspector			162.50
118	Inspector			187.50
119	Inspector			200.00
120	Inspector			225.00
121	Inspector			237.50
122	Inspector			250.00
123	Inspector, Chief			275.00
124	Inspector, Concrete			212.50
125	Inspector, S. & S.			312.50
126	Instrumentman			125.00
127	Instrumentman			150.00
128	Instrumentman			175.00
129	Instrumentman			187.50
130	Instrumentman			190.00
131	Instrumentman			200.00
132	Instrumentman			212.50
133	Janitress			100.00
134	Kitchen Helper			117.50
135	Kitchen Helper			112.50
136	Lampman			150.00
137	Lineman Apprentice966		
138	Machineman		5.75	
139	Machinist	1.091		
140	Machinist Apprentice818		
141	Machinist Apprentice 2d Year818		
142	Machinist Foreman	1.227		
143	Machinist Helper75		
144	Machinist Helper818		
145	Machinist Helper Apprentice927		
146	Machinist Helper Apprentice955		
147	Machinist Helper Apprentice		5.50	
148	Material Man			175.00
149	Mechanic, Camp			225.00
150	Mechanic, Camp95		
151	Mechanic, General Master...			453.50
152	Mechanic, Head			225.00
153	Mechanic, Helper		6.00	
154	Mixerman		5.50	
155	Motorman, Gas		7.67	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum	Month
			Rate per Day	
156	Motorman, Top		5.25	
157	Motorman, Tunnel		5.75	
158	Mucker		6.00	
159	Mucker Foreman		6.00	
160	Mucker Top		4.00	
161	Mucker Top		4.25	
162	Mucking Machine Operator.		7.00	

Section 82. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

163	Nipper		5.25	
164	Nozzleman		6.50	
165	Nurse			162.50
166	Nurse, Head			162.50
167	Nurse, Practical			112.50
168	Nurse		7.25	
169	Nurse		8.25	
170	Oiler		7.00	
171	Paymaster, Assistant			225.00
172	Physician			337.50
173	Pit Foreman		7.00	
174	Plant Foreman			240.00
175	Porter		4.50	
176	Powderman		5.25	
177	Powderman		5.50	
178	Powderman		5.75	
179	Pumpman		5.75	
180	Pumpman		5.00	
181	Rescueman75		
182	Rigger		6.00	
183	Rigger Foreman		8.00	
184	Rodman		5.25	
185	Shaftman		6.00	
186	Shift Boss		7.25	
187	Shop Foreman, Section.....	1.216		
188	Shop Foreman			287.50
189	Skip Tender		5.75	
190	Special Agent			225.00
191	Station Tender		5.25	
192	Station Tender-Pumpman ..		5.75	
193	Steamfitter		10.00	
194	Steam Shovel Engineer		10.00	240.00
195	Steam Shovel Fireman.....		7.00	165.00
196	Steam Shovel Oiler		6.00	150.00
197	Steam Shovel Operator ...		10.00	240.00
198	Steam Shovel Watchman ..		7.00	165.00
199	Steel Foreman		6.25	
200	Stenographer			175.00
201	Storekeeper			225.00
202	Storekeeper			240.00
203	Superintendent			287.50
204	Superintendent			500.00
205	Surveyor (Const. Engineer)			375.00

**Section 83. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per	
			Day	Month
206	Templatemán		5.25	
207	Time Clerk			150.00
208	Time Clerk, Relief		4.00	
209	Timekeeper			175.00
210	Timekeeper, Relief			175.00
211	Toolsharpenér, Head		6.75	
212	Toolsharpenér, Second		6.50	
213	Toolsharpenér, Helper		5.25	
214	Top Foreman		6.25	
215	Top Man		5.25	
216	Track Foreman (or Track Boss)		6.00	
217	Track Foreman		6.50	
218	Tractor Driver		8.00	
219	Transportation Man			225.00
220	Tunnel Foreman			275.00
221	Tunnel Foreman			312.50
222	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant			240.00
223	Typist-Clerk			150.00
224	Typist-Clerk			165.00
225	Typist-Clerk			185.00
226	Waiter			107.50
227	Waiter			112.50
228	Waiter, Head			117.50
229	Waitress			112.50
230	Watchman		5.00	
231	Watchman			150.00
232	Welder	1.091		
233	Welder Helper75		
234	Yardman			135.00

**Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES**

When rates of pay are fixed by action of the Board of Education, the employments will be included herein by amendment of this ordinance.

**Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Re- pairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
153	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter, School Dept, at \$10 per day	
154	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
155	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	2	B210	Office Assistant (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165

**Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
166½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at \$5 per day	
171	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	75
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$4.20 per day.....	
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.30 per day.....	
184	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.00 per day.....	
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, \$5 per day, 196 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, \$2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
196	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
197	1	C52	Elevator Operator	155
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	134	C104	Janitor	155
201	9	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time).....	16
203	6	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	160
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook	130
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time).....	50
211	12	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening (as required).....	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines...	220

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at \$8 per day.....	
221	1	O58	Gardener, \$6 per day.....	
222	1	O58	Gardener, \$5.50 per day.....	
223	6	O58	Gardener, \$5 per day	
223 ¾			Temporary Clerical Employment as needed	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224			2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.	
225			1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.	
226			3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.	
227			1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.	

Section 86. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	100
2	1	B222	General Clerk	155
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert.....	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary.....	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

15	2	B222	General Clerk	155
----	---	------	---------------------	-----

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System.....	500
2	1	B222	General Clerk	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed).....	200
6			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day	
7	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
8		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed)	150
9			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
11½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
12	1	N410	Investigator	200
13		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions....	
14			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board	

Section 88. Employment in any position herein established shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules if the funds appropriated for such purpose become exhausted or if the work for which the employment is created is completed. In those departments in which positions are established for the performance of interdepartmental service or in which positions are dependent upon contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, employment in such positions shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules upon completion of the service or fulfillment of the contract under which the service is rendered.

Section 89. If any section, subsection, item, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion or portions of this ordinance.

Section 90. This ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1934.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$50,000 Out of Publicity and Advertising, for Payment to the Chamber of Commerce.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 577, Ordinance No. 9.051132, as follows:

Appropriating \$7,935 from Appropriation 78 (Publicity and Advertising, unallocated) and the sum of \$42,065 from Publicity and Advertising Fund, being total of \$50,000, to the credit of Appropriation 78-1, for payment to the Chamber of Commerce as provided for by Resolution No. 1230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,935 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 78 (Publicity and Advertising, unallocated), and the sum of \$42,065 set aside out of Publicity and Advertising Fund, a total of \$50,000, to the credit of Appropriation 78-1, for payment to the Chamber of Commerce as provided for by Resolution No. 1230, to-wit:

For the exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County, for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of the City and County, and of advertising and exhibiting for said purpose, the agricultural, horticultural, industrial,

commercial and climatic, educational, recreational, artistic, musical, cultural and other resources of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Unemployment Relief, Non-Civil Service Employments.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1462, as follows:

Resolved, That under the provisions of Section 149 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual unemployment emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco; such unemployment emergency shall be deemed to continue until June 30, 1935.

That in accordance with such special rules as the Civil Service Commission may adopt pursuant to Section 149 of the Charter, the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee be and it is hereby authorized to act as the appointing power for the purpose of making such appointments as may be necessary to continue the work of said relief agencies in administering said unemployment relief, all of said employments to be created by special appropriation for unemployment relief; provided, however, that only bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco shall be appointed to such positions.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Re-referred.

The following matter was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

Supplementing Resolution No. 1238 Which Fixes the Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages Paid in Private Employment in the City and County of San Francisco, in so far as said Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages Applies to the Construction of an Addition to the Hetch Hetchy Dam, and Fixing the Hours of Labor Thereon.

(Code No. 9.092)

(Code No. 15.03)

Resolution No. 1463, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission is about to construct an addition to the Hetch Hetchy Dam, which is situated at such a distance from the City and County of San Francisco that those engaged in the work of the construction of said addition must, under ordinary conditions, remain at or near the site of said construction when not engaged in the performance of labor thereon, and is therefore subject to the exception specified in Subdivision K of Ordinance No. 9.0923; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rates of wages as fixed in said resolution shall apply to all work done on the additions to said Hetch Hetchy Dam, subject to the following modifications which will apply to said work on said addition to said Hetch Hetchy Dam and to no other work, said modifications to be as follows, to-wit:

On all construction eight hours shall constitute a basic days work on all single shift work, and where the highest general prevailing rate of wage for said work is fixed in said Resolution No. 1238 on an hourly basis, said wage shall be paid at said hourly rate for eight hours; and where said highest general prevailing rate of wage is fixed,

for any employment, on a daily basis and the number of hours to be worked each day is less than eight hours, the hourly rate of wage of said employee shall be computed and said hourly wage multiplied by eight, and said result shall be the daily wage for said employment for an eight-hour day; time for truck drivers, however, to be reckoned by half day and full day only.

For all construction work over eight hours in any one calendar day the following overtime shall be allowed, to-wit: time and one-half for the first four hours over eight hours and thereafter double time, except that all iron workers, all engineers, bricklayers and hod carriers shall be allowed double time for all work over eight hours in any one day. Double time shall be paid for Saturday, Sunday and holiday work, except as herein provided, when performed on the holidays specified in said resolution. Non-construction work which must normally be performed on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays shall not be subject to overtime provided that persons performing said work shall not be permitted to work more than eight hours in any one day or six days in any one week. Ordinary laborers shall not be paid overtime for Saturday work;

On all such portions of the actual construction work as are carried on continuously by three shifts daily for seven days per week, the employees in all shifts shall be paid eight hours pay for seven hours work, but in such cases the requirements for double time pay for Saturday, Sunday and holiday work shall not apply.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,277.62 for Garbage Removal.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 579, Ordinance No. 9.051133, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$1,277.62 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation 105 (Scavenger Service), fiscal year 1933-1934, for cost of removal of garbage and refuse from city buildings and institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$1,277.62 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation 105 (Scavenger Service), fiscal year 1933-1934, and authorized expended for the removal of garbage and refuse from city buildings and institutions.

(Recommended by the Mayor and approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinance was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$550,758.07 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor to June 30, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 580, Ordinance No. 9.051134, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$550,758.07 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to June 30, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of

said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$550,758.07 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to June 30, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Appropriation of \$6,887.02 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of the Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 581, Ordinance No. 9.051135, as follows:

Appropriating \$6,887.02 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing its expenditure, to-wit:

Personal service	\$1,012.70
Temporary service	500.00
Present deficit in personal service	876.32
Repairs for and automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,498.00
Total	<u>\$6,887.02</u>

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$6,887.02 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$6,887.02, to-wit:

(1) Personal service	\$1,012.70
(2) Temporary service	500.00
(3) Present deficit in personal service	876.32
(4) Repairs for and automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,498.00
Total	<u>\$6,887.02</u>

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

(Controller approved as to funds being available.)

Supervisors Roncovieri and Shannon, Aye.

Supervisor Gallagher, No.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment that items 1, 2 and 4, Personal service, \$10,012.70; temporary service, \$500; repairs, etc., \$4,498, be stricken out.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Re-referred.

Whereupon the foregoing resolution was, on motion, *re-referred to the Finance Committee with instructions to report next Monday as a Special Order at 2:30 p. m.*

Adopted.

The following resolutions *were adopted*:

Refunds of Tax Overpayments.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1451, as follows:

Resolved, That the hereinafter mentioned amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of Appropriation 84 (Taxes Refunded), and authorized paid to the following named; being refunds of amounts paid as taxes, and due to error, to-wit:

- (1) To Edw. L. Fisher, as per Vol. 14, page 31, line 12 of the 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls\$18.22
- (2) To Ford Motor Company, Richmond, California, as per Vol. 24, Lot 1/8 of Block 3613 of the 1933-34 Real Estate Assessment Rolls 10.44
(Recommendations of Assessor.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1452, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 390 to Director of Public Works for \$285.68, No. 391 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 392 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 393 to H. Moffat Co. for \$2,900, No. 394 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$500, No. 395 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$500, No. 396 to The Islais Company, Ltd., for \$900, No. 397 to Charlotte Horrigan, administratrix of the estate M. Dore, deceased, for \$264, No. 398 to D. Matheson, Treasurer, for \$3.92, No. 399 to Reinhart Lumber & Planing Mill Co. for \$6,000—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southeast Corner Alabama and Army Streets.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1453, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeasterly corner of Alabama and Army streets, is hereby set for Monday, June 18, 1934, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Geary Boulevard, 70 Feet Easterly From Twentieth Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Also, Resolution No. 1454, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission denying an application to rezone from Commercial District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly line of Geary boulevard, 70 feet easterly from Twentieth avenue, is hereby set for Monday, June 18, 1934, at 2 p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 5 p. m.*:

Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal, Public Proceedings for Fitzgerald Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1455, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 10th day of December, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Resolution No. 1442 is hereby repealed.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Alta Mar Way.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 576, Ordinance No. 12.073124, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1138.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1934, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Thirty-eight (1138), to read as follows:

Section 1138. The width of sidewalks on Alta Mar way between Seal Rock Drive and Point Lobos avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Extension of Time to Eaton & Smith to Complete Improvement of Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1456, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and they are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 24, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Ortega street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.

Contractor awaiting decision as to legality of contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

(Supervisor Uhl requested to be informed of these extensions hereafter as he intended to oppose more than two extensions.)

Action Deferred.

The following matter referred to Board without recommendation by the Public Welfare Committee was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*:

Supervisors Uhl and Gallagher attending.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that had there been a full attendance of the committee and an opportunity offered for a minority report, he would have submitted one favoring the passage of the following ordinance:

(Revised May 8, 1934.)

Regulating the Soliciting of Contributions for Charitable, Patriotic or Philanthropic Purposes in the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, by house-to-house canvass, or in residences, flats, apartment houses or hotels, or in offices or business buildings, or in any public building or place or on the public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally or by telephone, mail or in any other manner, to solicit memberships, advertising, money, property, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind or to sell or offer for

sale, any article or service or tickets of admission to any performance, card party, benefit, lecture, picnic or other place of amusement or entertainment whatsoever on the plea, statement or representation that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purpose, unless such person, organization, society, or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first been duly licensed by the Chief of Police of said City and County for such purpose and shall have obtained a permit therefor.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a license or permit to solicit funds, as provided for in Section 1 hereof, shall file at least five days before the granting of such license or permit, an application in writing and under oath with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name or names of the organization or of the person or persons by whom or by which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of such organization or person or persons, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization and the names of the persons who will be responsible for the distribution of such funds, and the names of all persons who will solicit such funds; provided that the names of persons who will solicit such funds without any remuneration or compensation either direct or indirect need not be required, when in the opinion of said Chief of Police the number of such persons make it impracticable to name them all.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made of the funds derived therefrom, and the address or location of the place or places from which distribution or disbursement of said funds shall be made for such purpose or object.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than one year; provided that when such license is issued for a period of less than one year, it may be renewed for additional periods not exceeding in all the total period of one year for such original license and renewals; provided that licenses granted for a period of one year may be extended for further periods not to exceed one year upon the filing of a new application.

(d) A financial statement by such applicant setting forth:

(i) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments are to be expended, the character of the services for which said expenses are to be incurred and where possible the names of the persons to whom payments are to be made and the amounts each of said persons will receive.

(ii) All funds received and disbursed by said applicant during the calendar year next immediately preceding the filing of said application.

(iii) All funds received or collected by public solicitation or otherwise during the preceding calendar year with a statement of the wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments expended in connection with said solicitation during such year.

(iv) The purposes for which the funds proposed to be solicited are to be expended, together with a budget of said applicant for the calendar year next immediately succeeding the date of said application, in cases where applicant intends to continue its activities for such succeeding calendar year.

(e) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

Section 3. Upon such filing said application shall become and remain a public record open to the inspection of all persons.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in Section 2 hereof, said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such purpose or object for such solicitation to be made is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and that the applicant and other persons to be engaged

in said solicitation are of good character and that the total costs and expenses of such solicitation are not disproportionate to the sum proposed to be collected thereby, and that a license or permit should be issued therefor, he shall endorse his approval thereon and shall issue such license or permit for such period, not to exceed one year, as in his opinion is proper; provided that said license or permit may include the applicant together with its agents or representatives, named in said application.

Section 5. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto, or is using such license as an endorsement of such solicitation, said Chief of Police may revoke such permit and license.

Section 6. Appeal.—If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for license or permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such license or permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purpose by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with the Chief of Police a written statement of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer.

Section 8. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor; and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 10. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed From Louis Ferrari for Land Required for Extension of University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

From the Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1457, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Louis Ferrari et al., to Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 in Assessor's Block 6041, San Francisco, required for the extension of University Mound Reservoir and that the sum of \$7,500 be paid for said land from 1933-1934 Appropriation No. 224-1. Said sum of \$7,500 shall be repaid

to Appropriation No. 224-1 from the Water Distribution Bond Fund of 1933.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

City Attorney to Condemn Land Required for Extension to University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 1458, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following parcel of real property situated in San Francisco, California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southeasterly line of Wayland street with the northeasterly line of University street, and running thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Wayland street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 300 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 120 feet to the northeasterly line of University street, and thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of University street 300 feet to its intersection with the southeasterly line of Wayland street and the point of commencement.

Being portions of Lots Numbers 6, 7 and 8 in Block Number 64 of University Mound.

Be It Further Resolved, That said land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of an extension to the University Mound Reservoir of the San Francisco Water Department. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Withdrawn.

The following bill was *withdrawn for correction*:

Removal of Market Street Railway Tracks on Harrison Street and Granting Permission for Operation of So-called Trolley Bus.

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 578, Ordinance No. 15.0914, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its street railway tracks over and along Harrison street, commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street,

and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon, and substitute, in lieu of such service, the overhead electric trolley bus service.

Whereas, the State Highway Commission of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco have requested Market Street Railway Company to discontinue its street car service on fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street, as an aid in providing proper approaches to what is known as the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge, San Francisco terminal, now in course of construction, and substitute in lieu thereof an overhead trolley bus service; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has expressed its willingness to substitute the overhead trolley bus service as requested; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the plan set forth in the preamble hereof, Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove street railway tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon over fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street, commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton Street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the abandonment of said tracks, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. In lieu thereof and in substitution of street car service over the fixed tracks in said street, which tracks are to be removed under the provisions hereinabove set forth, the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, are hereby granted permission and the right to install, operate and maintain over and along Harrison street commencing at Third street and Harrison street, thence southwesterly along Harrison street to Fourteenth street; thence westerly along Fourteenth street to Folsom street; thence southerly along Folsom street to Eighteenth street; thence westerly along Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to and over its junction with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Waller street; thence westerly on Waller street to Stanyan street; thence northerly on Stanyan street

to Haight street; thence easterly on Haight street to Shrader street; thence southerly on Shrader street to Waller street; also westerly on Sixteenth street from Folsom street to Van Ness avenue south (formerly known as Howard street); thence southerly on Van Ness avenue south to Eighteenth street, what is known as the overhead electric trolley bus service, with all wires and appliances necessary for the operation of said buses.

The substitution of such service will more adequately serve the transportation needs of the public because of such bridge construction, and will be in aid of the public service and convenience.

Section 3. The permission hereby granted is given for the period of duration of the operating permit granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, dated February 9th, 1931, or any extension thereof, and under which said permit it is operating its street railway in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be enacted and passed in accordance with the Charter provisions covering the passage of ordinances.

Supervisor Colman gave notice the foregoing matter would be considered in Public Utilities Committee Thursday at 3 p. m.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Director of Property to Sell at Auction Abandoned Water Flume at Niles Canyon.

(Code No. 12.17252.)

From the Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1460, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction approximately 2300 feet of abandoned 3 feet x 40 feet wooden flume located on certain San Francisco Water Department land in Niles Canyon, Alameda County, California.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, said flume to be removed by the purchaser within sixty (60) days after date of sale.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Albert Greenbaum, Art Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 6, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Albert Greenbaum, Art Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of 30 days, commencing June 8th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1459, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Albert Greenbaum, Art Commissioner, is hereby granted

a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 8, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution *was adopted*:

State Highway Projects Under State Gas Tax Fund.

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Joint Streets and Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1461, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby approve the following project statements covering portions of the State Highway system upon which, in their regular order, moneys allocated by the State out of the one-quarter cent gas tax fund shall be expended:

Project Statement No. 1—Change in alignment of Sloat boulevard from Thirty-ninth avenue to Forty-second avenue.

Project Statement No. 2—Sloat boulevard-Skyline boulevard cutoff leading from Skyline boulevard southerly from the Harding Golf Links road to Sloat boulevard at Thirty-ninth avenue.

Project Statement No. 3—The widening of San Jose avenue from the County line to Alemany boulevard.

Project Statement No. 4—The widening of San Jose avenue from the County line to Bepler street connecting with El Camino Real.

Project Statement No. 5—The widening of Nineteenth avenue from Sloat boulevard to Lincoln way, and its extension across Golden Gate Park to Fulton street at Park-Presidio boulevard.

Project Statement No. 6—The improvement of Park-Presidio boulevard from Fulton street to the Presidio.

Further Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and he is hereby authorized and requested to execute the foregoing project statements for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco and to transmit them to the District Engineer of the State Department of Public Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Report by Director of Relief Charles N. Wollenberg on Washington Relief Conference.

MR. CHARLES N. WOLLENBERG: Mr. President, and gentlemen: I went, pursuant to your instructions, to Washington, to take up the question of appropriation, and other matters concerning the relief program. I want to say that we had a conference with Senator Johnson on the morning of our arrival. At noon time we met the California delegation, and we spent the afternoon of Thursday, and the afternoon of Friday, and Saturday morning, with Mr. Hopkins. There were many things said in these meetings, that Mr. Hopkins asked to be regarded as confidential. The principal matter was pursuant to what we considered the plight of some California communities in being—or, rather, in receiving—allocations that we thought were smaller than they should receive in comparison with those of other cities. Mr. Hopkins was arguing on the theory that San Francisco county, and Alameda county, were counties that were in good financial condition,

that their bonds brought a higher price than the bonds of any other counties, almost, in the United States; therefore, we were not in distress and we could provide our own money. When we mentioned certain cities and counties that were getting almost a hundred per cent federal relief, he explained to us that those cities and counties were bankrupt. Our argument then was that we didn't propose to be driven into the bankrupt class, and we wanted additional funds allocated at this time to save us from going into that condition.

After, as I said, three conferences that lasted many hours, Mr. Hopkins agreed that we had a reasonable request, and gave us certain understandings that would very materially change the amount of money coming to San Francisco. If the present bill, or the bill at the time we were there—because bills change quite rapidly in Congress these days—if the present request of the President goes through, then the sum of \$867,000,000 for the relief program for a nine months' period went through, he assured us that the allocation to California would be substantially increased, that that would change the situation as of July 1st in that, weighing that with San Francisco and its problem, it would mean that we would virtually receive a seventy-five per cent allocation of our loan. That is probably double the amount of money that was coming to California in April and May—coming to San Francisco in April and May. And it means that with the passage of this bill as of July 1st the San Francisco relief load, as far as emergency unemployment class is concerned, will almost be carried by the federal funds, and the county funds will have to carry those cases that are classified as county responsible; that is, cases in which no member of the family has a possibility of working, a possibility of employment. It is interesting to note that the San Francisco plan of work relief, which was in operation up until last November, is what has been adopted all over the United States as the plan of the Federal Government at this time. The so-called employment plan under C. W. A. is out. They figure there was not enough money possible to carry on that program. Under the work relief program they are spending approximately one-third the amount of money that they spent under C. W. A. and they figure that is possibly all the money that can be raised for the next nine months.

To picture your predicament as against other communities, I visited Philadelphia, New York and Chicago. Mr. Hopkins, great criticism of California was that the State of California has not provided one single dollar for relief. There has been no state relief program. There has been no action in the Legislature, or by the people, that provides money as a contribution for the counties for a relief program. The twenty million dollars bond issue is a loan to the counties, to be repaid, and he criticized California very severely and stated that next fall, unless something is done in that regard, federal contribution to California will be materially cut down. We argued with him on that, and he didn't agree to it. He seemed to give consent to the fact that until our Legislature met in January, they would continue this nine months' program as outlined. Now, in New York, the State of New York has voted and contributed to the counties \$155,000,000. The city of New York is providing four million a month, and the state matches that with four million a month, and the Federal Government contributes eight million a month; their relief program being sixteen million dollars a month.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: That is for New York City?

MR. WOLLENBERG: That is for New York City, the five boroughs of the City of New York, sixteen million dollars a month. The day I was there they estimated the bill for the month of May at \$17,130,000. In other words, they were going to run over their budget something over a million dollars, and they were trying to find eighteen thousand families that could be stripped from the relief roll for the rest of that month, in order to make up the million dollars. They had, the day I was there, 133,000 families on work relief, and 16,000 families on

direct relief, 293,000 families, better than a million and a quarter people, or one person in every six and seven-eighths in the City of New York, on relief, that day.

Now, the same picture is true in Chicago. The State of Illinois contributes two million six hundred thousand dollars a month to Cook County and Chicago. Cook County and Chicago being bankrupt, and their bonds and paper not negotiable, contributes nothing, and the Federal government puts in six million a month to the state's two million six hundred thousand, making a total of \$8,600,000 a month for Cook County.

In Philadelphia, where state funds are now exhausted, they are virtually all on Federal relief.

The same is true in Detroit and Cleveland, and other cities. Some states are entirely on Federal relief.

The interesting development as far as California is concerned, is the possibility that the Federal government, changing its plan in July and dealing directly with the counties rather than through the state, Mr. Hopkins states that he is not certain that we should be compelled to deal through the state authority when the state doesn't contribute any money to the relief program, and he might put his own man in these various counties that are contributing their share of the burden, as he says San Francisco has, and Alameda County has, and give us a Federal man and pay us directly through the counties, not the state.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Wasn't Pierce Williams their man?

MR. WOLLENBERG: Pierce Williams is head of the Pacific Coast Department.

Now, that, briefly, is the story. Where other matters—and I have many notes on those—but certain matters were agreed that should not be discussed until after the legislation was through the Congress and signed by the President.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I ask, through the Chair, a question? That is, in our May 28th Calendar, there was provided \$357,699 for the care of the indigent sick and dependent poor, and in the Calendar today is an item of \$550,000.

MR. WOLLENBERG: Yes.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, did we receive a return from the Federal government on last month's appropriation, and if so, how much did that cost the taxpayers of San Francisco?

MR. WOLLENBERG: I can't answer that exactly, Mr. Uhl. May I explain these appropriations? The Controller can give you that, but if you wish an explanation of these two appropriations I will give that to you. All appropriations are made on the money in hand, in the Controller's office. Under the Charter, we can't obligate anything we haven't got. For the month of May, which you are speaking of, \$756,000, that is what your portion was last month, a great deal more money than you provided.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Have you any idea how much the Federal Government paid?

MR. WOLLENBERG: Pretty nearly all of it.

SUPERVISOR UHL: What I am trying to get at is, what per cent of the load is the Federal Government carrying from now on?

MR. WOLLENBERG: In the month of June, I can't answer you. I think between 87 and 90 per cent. That is just a guess. I don't know how much money we are going to get.

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is for nine months?

MR. WOLLENBERG: I said the Federal program was a new program for July 1st.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Is that adopted or approved?

MR. WOLLENBERG: It hasn't gone through Congress yet. They are fighting to chop it. The Congress wants to appropriate more money than the President approves of. If that goes through, Mr. Hopkins said, "I am going to give you a nine months' program so you will know where you stand from month to month." That nine months' program will not start until July 1st.

Motion.

After hearing report of Director of Relief Charles M. Wollenberg, on his trip to Washington, Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board commend the Director of Relief for his efforts and the success of his mission in Washington, and that Mr. Wollenberg's statement to the Board be transcribed in full for the Journal of Proceedings.

So ordered.

Mayor's Veto—Annual Appropriation Ordinance.

The following was presented and read and made a *Special Order for 4 p. m. next Monday*:

MAYOR'S OFFICE.
200 City Hall.

June 11, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I herewith return to you Ordinance No. 9.051129, Code No. 9.051, which ordinance constitutes the annual appropriation ordinance passed by your Board on June 1st of the present year.

Item Appropriation No. 100.900.00, showing an estimated revenue of \$75,000 by reason of vacated positions is hereby vetoed and disapproved, and my approval of the remainder of the ordinance carries with it the disapproval and veto of this particular item.

My reasons for disapproving this item and my objections to it are as follows:

1. That the item cannot be looked upon as an estimated revenue to the City for the reason that it is made up of appropriations already made and which cannot be reappropriated by your Board and which, according to the opinion of the City Attorney (copy of which is herewith attached), are not subject to reappropriation by your Board.

2. Even if the amount was a proper estimate of revenue, past experience has shown that the amount estimated is far in excess of any amount which can be saved to the City by the nonfilling of positions. When I submitted to you my annual budget estimate, I had taken into consideration all positions which might be vacated by reason of persons reaching the retirement age, and made due allowance for the nonfilling of these positions except in cases where it was absolutely necessary for the proper conduct of the government that they should be filled. Therefore, without seriously hampering governmental efficiency, I am of the opinion that nothing like the amount which you estimate can be saved by not filling vacated positions.

My approval of the ordinance carries with it an approval of all other appropriations made. I do, however, direct your attention to the following paragraph in the ordinance above mentioned:

"In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated or established, the Controller shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment to the credit of Appropriation No. 100.900.00, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made until the necessary funds are reappropriated by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the provisions of Section 80 of the Charter; provided, however, should the position made vacant be one which in the opinion of the Controller is necessary

for the uninterrupted and proper functioning of the department in which said vacancy has occurred, the head of said department may fill said position in accordance with the provisions of the Charter with a temporary appointee, which appointment shall continue until the necessity therefor is determined by the Board of Supervisors, but in no event longer than forty (40) days."

I have been advised by the City Attorney, in his opinion above mentioned, that this particular clause has no place in the Appropriation Ordinance for the reason that when that Board makes its appropriation, it makes it for the year and cannot maintain any strings upon the appropriation except in the single cases where any part of the appropriation becomes surplus.

Under ordinary conditions, I would have exercised my veto power against the whole ordinance by reason of the particular clause above quoted being contained in it, but owing to the shortness of time intervening between now and the first of the coming fiscal year and the attendant expense which a republication of the ordinance would entail, I am not disapproving the entire ordinance, but merely directing your attention to the City Attorney's opinion to the effect that this particular clause is illegal and is, therefore, a nullity, and that my approving the ordinance in its present form will not make this particular provision effective, it being an illegal provision and having no place in the Appropriation Ordinance. The balance of the ordinance can be made effective without it.

With this exception, the ordinance above mentioned is returned to you with my approval, save and except as to the above mentioned item of \$75,000 covering vacated positions.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor. .

Transfer of County Jail Properties.

From his Honor, the Mayor, recommending the enactment of legislation to transfer to the jurisdiction of the Recreation Commission, County Jail properties in Ingleside District.

Referred to Education, Parks and Recreation Committee.

Fourth of July Invitation.

Invitation from the Mayor of Santa Clara, addressed to his Honor, the Mayor, inviting the Mayor and city officials to participate in celebrating July 4th at Santa Clara. Supervisor Shannon moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee of three to represent San Francisco at Santa Clara. President McSheehy appointed Supervisors Shannon, Uhl and McSheehy.

Longshoremen's Strike.

Communication from his Honor, the Mayor, explaining his views in regard to appointment of committee to investigate conditions re Longshoremen's strike. Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Mayor be advised of the fact that what was requested in the resolution of the Board of Supervisors was not a settlement committee, but a fact finding committee, and that the Mayor be requested either to act or refuse to act in the premises. Supervisor Shannon moved that a copy of Mr. Gallagher's remarks be transcribed and sent to the Mayor. On motion of Supervisor Colman, the entire matter was referred to the Public Welfare Committee for the purpose of seeing how the Board of Supervisors can help in the matter.

In Re Motion by Supervisor Andrew Gallagher for Appointment of Fact-Finding Committee as to Longshoremen's Strike.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, the Mayor has misinterpreted the purport of my resolution in the present action. What I desire to find out, Mr. Chairman—what I desire to do—is get the air cleared, and get the public mind satisfied as to the merits in-

volved—not the merits, but the statements made in this controversy. Mr. McGrady—is that his name?

THE CLERK: Yes.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: —makes the statement, apparently, to all of the press that the Communists are in control. That is denied. There is a question whether he made it or not. Further statements have been made that Communists are in control. It is further represented that men were employed for thirty hours at a stretch, although they have a thirty-hour week, or moved from dock to dock and got further employment. It is further alleged that men had to pay \$5 a week to stevedoring bosses, or their agents, to get jobs. I think the people of this town ought to know what are the facts. That is what the Mayor was asked to do. And if, in the appointment of such committee, several suggestions are made, if they find themselves able to offer any assistance toward the settlement of this controversy which has tied up the commerce of the port, and which now is reaching out, Mr. Chairman, into all avenues of mercantile activity in the City, why, they could be authorized to do so. But I think the people of San Francisco ought to know the facts. I think they want to know what is there to the statement that Communists are running this strike. I don't believe it, and I am nearly positive it is not true. I want to know if it is true that these men had to squeeze somebody's palm to get jobs, and my investigation verifies that statement. I think these facts ought to be worked out. I think the people, under the leadership of the Archbishop, probably the Protestant Bishop, the Rabbi, and those people, ex-Supervisor Harrelson—that the real facts would be given to the public. I don't think, if these men would be guaranteed, in the matter of hearing it, that there would be an absolutely square deal, that they would object to that condition. But having it reported in every newspaper in town that the government's representative said that Communists were in control has soured the situation very materially. Further than that, I feel, in view of the public alarm I am informed that exists, that clarification should be had. I am informed by one of the largest retail merchants in San Francisco, owning one of the largest stores here, that his store is suffering because of the fact that the women do not care to come down to the heart of the City to shop because of the rumors of strike adjacent to the center of the town. Is the Chief of Police right in his showing the order that these men must stay on one side of the Embarcadero? Personally, I doubt such a police edict of that kind. Is the Chief of Police unduly restraining the rights and liberties of either side in this controversy? Is the Police Department being used? Is there too much brutality and unnecessary brutality? Those things ought to be answered. And I move, Mr. Chairman, that the Mayor be advised of the fact that what was requested in this letter was not a settlement committee but a fact finding committee.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Second the motion.

THE PRESIDENT: You have heard the motion made by Supervisor Gallagher.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I will go a little bit further, and move a copy of Mr. Gallagher's remarks be transcribed and sent to the Mayor.

THE PRESIDENT: That will be included in the motion.

Referred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman moved reference of the subject matter to the Public Welfare Committee.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Protest County Consolidation.

Resolution Board of Supervisors, Lake County, protesting the proposed consolidation of present counties of California into five major counties.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Conference of Mayors in Lyons, France.

From the Mayor, communication informing Board of invitation to the Mayor to attend Sixth Congress of the International Union of Local Authorities, Lyon, France, July 19 to 22, 1934.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Employees Holding Two Positions.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the clerk request the various department heads to advise the Board as to the names of persons employed therein who may be working in two departments of the City government at this time, and receiving salaries for both employments from separate departments.

So ordered.

Citizens' Committee on American Legion Week.

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the proper observance of American Legion Week, June 21-28, 1934.

So ordered.

Resolutions in Memoriam.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board adjourn out of respect to the memory of C. E. Grunsky, former City Engineer, and that the Clerk be directed to prepare the proper resolution therefor.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the proper resolution in respect to the memory of the late Governor Rolph, and former Mayor, be prepared. Supervisor Colman moved that a committee of the Board be appointed with power to arrange for proper memorial services for the late Governor, whereupon Supervisor McSheehy appointed Supervisors Hayden, Colman and Havenner to serve on such committee.

Supplementing Initiative Ordinance of 1932 on Garbage, With Respect to Collection Routes.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. 582, Ordinance No. 17.084, as follows:

Supplementing that certain ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 20, 1932, approved by the Mayor September 21, 1932, and ratified by the electors at the general election on November 8, 1932, and "Providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance" prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation without a permit so to do; and prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation on any route or routes other than the route or routes for which a permit or permits have been issued to such person, firm or corporation, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

In Re, Referendum or Initiative Ordinance Declaring an Emergency and Making Deductions from Salaries, under Section 70.1 of the Charter.

The following was presented and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

May 31, 1934.

Dear Sirs: I am in receipt of your request asking that I advise you as to whether an emergency may be declared and deductions made from salaries under Section 70.1 of the Charter, by a referendum or initiative ordinance.

OPINION.

Section 70.1 of the Charter was adopted as an amendment thereto by vote of the people in April, 1933, and was thereafter duly approved by the Legislature which was then in session. The portion of the section pertinent to the present inquiry reads as follows:

"Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

"Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

"Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

"If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

"The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:"

(Here follows stated deductions ranging from three to twenty per cent of various salaries.)

"The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said Retirement Sys-

tem, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

"Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

"Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section."

It may be well to direct attention to a few of the salient features of the amendment aside from the actual deductions from salaries which it provides for. They are:

A. Before the Board of Supervisors has any power in the premises to declare the existence of the emergency "extraordinary economic conditions" must exist both in the judgment of the Mayor and in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors. It is clear that both the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors must concur in the fact that these conditions exist.

B. When both the Mayor and the Board have concurred that such conditions do exist, then the Board, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, *with the concurrence of the Mayor*, may proceed to declare the existence of the emergency and make the salary deductions in accordance with the provisions of the section.

C. It is expressly provided that the provisions of this section shall have precedence over other provisions of the Charter which are in conflict with it.

D. That within ten days after the amendment is effective the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall, by *resolution*, declare the existence of an emergency, etc.

The amendment was adopted for the purpose of permitting the deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City whose compensations were either fixed by Charter provision, or which could not be reduced below a certain figure by reason of the provisions of Section 71. It is also clear from the wording of the amendment, that the deductions were not to be of a permanent character.

The pertinent Charter provisions relative to the initiative and referendum are found in Section 179. I quote from the section:

"The registered voters shall have power to propose by petition, and to adopt or to reject at the polls, any ordinance, act or other measure which is within the power conferred upon the Board of Supervisors to enact, * * *

"Any ordinance which the Supervisors are empowered to pass may be submitted to the electors by a majority of the Board at a general election or at a special election called for the purpose, said election to be held not less than thirty days from the date of the call. Any such ordinance may be proposed by one-third of the Supervisors or by the Mayor, and when so proposed shall be submitted to the electors at the next succeeding general election."

It is quite evident from the last quoted section that the only ordinance or measure which may be submitted by the initiative is one

which is within the power conferred upon the Board to enact. Also that the only ordinance which may be referred is one which the Board is empowered to pass.

Can the Board by itself pass a measure under Section 70.1? It seems to me that it cannot. The very first words of the section, as I have already stated, makes the Mayor a necessary part in order to initiate the measure, and then makes the concurrence of the same official necessary to declare the emergency which permits the deductions from salaries. Even if we admit that the "concurrence" of the Mayor, with the three-fourths vote of the Board, might be expressed by his approving the measure as he does other ordinary measures, it is quite clear that it was the intention of the people that the Mayor should perform his part in initiating the measure; otherwise, why the language, "Whenever in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors"?

Furthermore, the Charter, as written, made definite provision for the payment of certain salaries. If Section 70.1 had not been enacted those provisions would still prevail. The new section sets up the only method by which fixed salaries can be changed, i.e., by making deductions therefrom in accordance with the terms of the section. The people have stated that its provisions shall prevail over conflicting provisions in other sections.

Therefore, before these deductions can be made, the conditions must be followed, and the Board itself cannot make them, or even start to make them—the Mayor must be a party to initiating the legislation. Hence the measure is not one which the Board by itself has the right to enact, and for that reason can neither be initiated by the people or referred by the Board to the people.

See *Hurst v. City of Burlingame*, 207 Cal. 134, where the Supreme Court said:

"It is the established law of this state that an ordinance proposed by the electors of a county or of a city in this state under the initiative law must constitute such legislation as the legislative body of such county or city has the power to enact under the law granting, defining and limiting the powers of such body. (Cases cited.) It is too clear for controversy that if the Board of Trustees of the City of Burlingame had adopted the ordinance in question without compliance with requirements of the Zoning Act above outlined, said ordinance would have been inoperative and void. It is equally clear that the infirmity would not be cured by the purported adoption of the ordinance by the electors of the city under the initiative law. The zoning law vests in the legislative body of the city broad discretionary power, but when the method for the exercise of the power is prescribed by the statute such method is the measure of the power to act."

See also *Myers v. Stringham*, 195 Cal. 672, where our Supreme Court said:

"Section 44 of the Charter, it is true, purports to govern the section of the City Council as the legislative body of the city and does not purport in terms to govern legislation by the initiative. But under the initiative provisions of the Charter the proposed ordinance must first be submitted to the council for its adoption or rejection and may thereafter be submitted to the electors of the city only in the event the council refuses to adopt it. Under such circumstances any ordinance which may be adopted under the initiative provisions of the Charter must be such an ordinance as might properly and legally be adopted by the council itself."

See also *Rhode Island Episcopal Convention v. City Council of Providence*, 159 Atl. 647, where the Supreme Court of Rhode Island held that where its Mayor, the Board of Aldermen and Common Council should constitute its City Council, any legislative act by the City Council must be concurred in by all three bodies.

It would therefore appear that as long as the people of the city have provided a certain way whereby deductions may be made from existing salaries, the Charter provisions on the subject must be fol-

lowed before the deductions can be made effective. To make these deductions by an ordinance, even by the initiative or the referendum in a manner other than that provided in the Charter, would practically be changing the Charter by an ordinance. This cannot be done.

In view of the foregoing I must advise you that, under the terms of Section 70.1, the power of declaring the emergency mentioned and making deductions in existing salaries is vested jointly in the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and that neither can act on the subject independently of the other; that the Board cannot refer a measure which it initiates without the Mayor joining in its initiation, nor is such a measure subject to the initiative.

Further, it is extremely doubtful if a measure enacted under authority of Section 70.1 is a "legislative act." Under the State Constitution, as well as under the decisions of the Supreme Court of this state and of our sister states, only legislative acts as distinguished from administrative acts are subject to the initiative and referendum. However, as I have already held that the Board by itself has not the power to submit the measure, it is unnecessary to determine this point at this time and no conclusion is reached on it.

Very truly yours,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

To the Board of Supervisors.

Protest, Police Strike Activities.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from International Longshoremen's Association calling attention to Civic Center mass meeting protesting activities of Police Department and alleging unjustifiable attacks on strikers.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

San Francisco Housing Authority.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Apartment House Owners and Lessees' Association recommending the appointment of a so-called San Francisco Housing Authority to cooperate with Federal Housing Authority in clearing up slum areas in San Francisco.

Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Report on Garbage Disposal.

The following was presented and *referred to Public Health Committee*:

Communication from Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary, transmitting report of Committee on Garbage Disposal.

Residence of Relief Officials.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *referred to Public Welfare Committee*:

Communication from Citizens' Advisory Relief Committee giving residence of certain employees of the relief administration.

Unallocated Budget Funds.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the chairman of the Streets Committee call a conference of the Director of Public Works and the members of the Finance and Streets Committee in the matter of unallocated funds as per budget recently agreed upon.

So ordered.

Hetch Hetchy Investigation.

Supervisor Uhl called attention to article in the paper regarding the near completion of the Hetch Hetchy Tunnel, and asked permit

to go and inspect same next week. Supervisors Uhl and Colman agreed to go on Friday. Supervisor Uhl moved that a committee of the entire Board go Friday.

So ordered. Supervisor Uhl appointed chairman of committee to make arrangements.

Public Utilities Committee also authorized to make arrangements for annual Hetch Hetchy inspection.

Leave of Absence—Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 8, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Chief Administrative Officer, Alfred J. Cleary, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of ten days, commencing June 11.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1463, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer Alfred J. Cleary is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing June 11, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Special Session of Legislature, Time of Payment of Taxes and Modification of Tax Penalties.

(Code No. 5.3)

Resolution No. 1464, as follows:

Whereas, many property owners in the City and County of San Francisco are having difficulty in meeting their taxes; and

Whereas, Governor Frank F. Merriam is considering the advisability of calling a special session of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Governor Frank F. Merriam be and he is hereby requested to include in the call for the special session of the Legislature the matter of the time when taxes may be paid and the modification of tax penalties; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to send a copy of this resolution to Governor Frank F. Merriam.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Narcotic Agent.

(Code No. 17.01)

Resolution No. 1465, as follows:

Resolved, That Jacob C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1934, and ending June 30, 1935, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health; namely, the Laguna Honda

Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, the Central Office and the Hassler Health Home at Redwood City, California, Department of Public Health, and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, Calif.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

President of Board of Supervisors Requested to Appoint Committee of Three Members of Board of Supervisors to Represent City and County of San Francisco at Salinas Rodeo, Salinas, California, July 26-29, Inclusive.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 1466, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of three members of this Board of Supervisors to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the Salinas Rodeo, Salinas, California, July 26-29, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Welfare Appeals Referred for Decision.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the appeals of the San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee to the State Department of Social Welfare regarding the residence qualifications of Joseph Barton and Nellie Barton, which is disputed by San Francisco and Sutter Counties; and Robert Sloan and Dorothy Sloan, which is disputed by San Francisco and Alameda Counties, be approved and submitted to the State Department of Social Welfare for decision.

Telegram.

San Francisco, Calif., June 10, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

In response to Redwood Empire invitation, Highway Commission will meet at Lakeport July 7, preceded by meeting in Sacramento July 6. Your entire Board, also County Engineer and Field Committeeman should attend and submit your highway projects. Please name official spokesman for your County. Final details will reach you later.

REDWOOD EMPIRE ASSOCIATION.

Communication From His Honor the Mayor Declaring the Existence of a Public Emergency and Submitting Schedule for Deductions From Salaries.

MAYOR'S OFFICE.

(200 CITY HALL)

June 9, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: After a very lengthy conference with Mr. Ray C. Branion, State Emergency Relief Administrator, whom I invited to my office for the purpose of discussing the relief situation, you may feel assured that had any doubt been in my mind as to the seriousness of the economic situation that doubt would have been entirely overcome.

I am considerably concerned over the relief situation and I firmly believe the economic conditions are such that I would be derelict in

my duty if I did not, as made permissive under Section 70.1, Sub 1 of the Charter, officially declare that a public emergency exists. This declaration I hereby officially make and most respectfully request your concurrence.

As a result of a very intensive survey made at my request, I estimate our relief requirements for the fiscal year 1934-35 to be approximately \$8,000,000. Of this amount the Federal Government insists that not less than 25 per cent, or \$2,000,000 be furnished by the municipality. Inasmuch as \$1,000,000 is provided for this purpose in the 1934-35 Budget, I recommend salary deductions operative as of July 1, 1934, to continue on during the fiscal year 1934-35, as follows:

<i>Compensations</i>	<i>Monthly</i>	<i>Proposed Deduction</i>	<i>Amount of Proposed Deduction</i>
No deduction where compensation does not exceed \$125.			
Exceeding \$125 and not exceeding \$150....		3%	\$ 140,801.00
Exceeding \$150 and not exceeding \$185....		5%	88,504.00
Exceeding \$185 and not exceeding \$275....		7½%	664,174.00
Exceeding \$275 and not exceeding \$600....		10%	106,314.00
Exceeding \$600 and not exceeding \$833.33.		12%	38,880.00
Exceeding \$833.33 and not exceeding \$1,000		14%	3,360.00
			<hr/> \$1,042,033.00

With relation to per diem men—no more than 3 per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week, shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

As you will perceive from the tabulation above, \$1,042.033 will be available. This amount, supplemented to the \$1,000,000 at present set up in our 1934-35 Budget will, I am sure, provide an amount adequate to meet our relief requirements for the fiscal year 1934-35.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

ADJOURNMENT.


Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 5:55 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.




Monday, June 18, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 18, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 18, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of appeal from decision of the City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Commercial District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly side of Geary boulevard, 70 feet easterly of Twentieth avenue.

Privilege of the Floor.

Sam Markowitz, representing Geary Street Merchants, was heard in favor of the appellant's petition.

U. Dufourcq, appellant, explained that he had been doing business in the vicinity for years, that now he merely wished to change to another location in the same district.

City Planning Commission Overruled.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution *adopted*:

Rezoning Property at Northerly Side of Geary Boulevard, 70 Feet Easterly of Twentieth Avenue From Commercial District to Light Industrial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1471, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1058, denying the application to rezone from Commercial District to Light Industrial District, property located at the northerly side of Geary boulevard, 70 feet easterly from Twentieth avenue, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of Alabama and Army streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

Louis Figone, property owner, appellant; W. J. Varley, representing Southern Civic Club, and E. H. Peterson, Army Street Promotion Association were heard in favor of the proposed zone change.

M. Meyer, member of City Planning Commission, was heard at length in support of the decision of the City Planning Commission.

City Planning Commission Overruled.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the following resolution was adopted:

Rezoning Southeast Corner Alabama and Army Streets From Second Residential District to Commercial District.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1472, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by its Resolution No. 1054, denying application to rezone from Second Residential District to Commercial District, property located at the southeast corner of Alabama and Army streets, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Referred to Board without recommendation by the Public Welfare Committee:

Supervisors Uhl and Gallagher attending.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that had there been a full attendance of the committee and an opportunity offered for a minority report, he would have submitted one favoring the passage of the following ordinance:

(Revised May 8, 1934.)

Regulating the Soliciting of Contributions for Charitable, Patriotic or Philanthropic Purposes in the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows: .

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, by house-to-house canvass, or in residences, flats, apartment houses or hotels, or in offices or business buildings, or in any public building or place or on the public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally or by telephone, mail or in any other manner, to solicit memberships, advertising, money, property, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind or to sell or offer for sale, any article or service or tickets of admission to any performance,

card party, benefit, lecture, picnic or other place of amusement or entertainment whatsoever on the plea, statement or representation that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purpose, unless such person, organization, society, or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first been duly licensed by the Chief of Police of said City and County for such purpose and shall have obtained a permit therefor.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a license or permit to solicit funds, as provided for in Section 1 hereof, shall file at least five days before the granting of such license or permit, an application in writing and under oath with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name or names of the organization or of the person or persons by whom or by which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of such organization or person or persons, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization and the names of the persons who will be responsible for the distribution of such funds, and the names of all persons who will solicit such funds; provided that the names of persons who will solicit such funds without any remuneration or compensation either direct or indirect need not be required, when in the opinion of said Chief of Police the number of such persons make it impracticable to name them all.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made of the funds derived therefrom, and the address or location of the place or places from which distribution or disbursement of said funds shall be made for such purpose or object.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than one year; provided that when such license is issued for a period of less than one year, it may be renewed for additional periods not exceeding in all the total period of one year for such original license and renewals; provided that licenses granted for a period of one year may be extended for further periods not to exceed one year upon the filing of a new application.

(d) A financial statement by such applicant setting forth:

(i) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments are to be expended, the character of the services for which said expenses are to be incurred and where possible the names of the persons to whom payments are to be made and the amounts each of said persons will receive.

(ii) All funds received and disbursed by said applicant during the calendar year next immediately preceding the filing of said application.

(iii) All funds received or collected by public solicitation or otherwise during the preceding calendar year with a statement of the wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments expended in connection with said solicitation during such year.

(iv) The purposes for which the funds proposed to be solicited are to be expended, together with a budget of said applicant for the calendar year next immediately succeeding the date of said application, in cases where applicant intends to continue its activities for such succeeding calendar year.

(e) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

Section 3. Upon such filing said application shall become and remain a public record open to the inspection of all persons.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in Section 2 hereof, said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such purpose or object for such solicitation to be made is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and that the applicant and other persons to be engaged in said solicitation are of good character and that the total costs and

expenses of such solicitation are not disproportionate to the sum proposed to be collected thereby, and that a license or permit should be issued therefor, he shall endorse his approval thereon and shall issue such license or permit for such period, not to exceed one year, as in his opinion is proper; provided that said license or permit may include the applicant together with its agents or representatives, named in said application.

Section 5. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto, or is using such license as an endorsement of such solicitation, said Chief of Police may revoke such permit and license.

Section 6. Appeal.—If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for license or permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such license or permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purpose by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with the Chief of Police a written statement of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer.

Section 8. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor; and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 9. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 10. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Privilege of the Floor.

Gerald O'Gara, representing Better Business Bureau, was heard, urging the adoption of the proposed legislation.

Claire Hall, operating Free Employment and Advertising Bureau, was heard, requesting that the word "service" which covered the operations of her bureau be stricken from the ordinance.

Edw. Vandeleur, president of the San Francisco Labor Council, was heard in opposition to the proposed legislation, but asked, in case it is enacted, that bona fide labor organizations be exempted.

Wm. F. Wilson, representing United Veterans' Council, was also heard in the pending matter.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend, eliminating bona fide labor organizations from the provisions of the ordinance.

Re-referred.

Whereupon, the proposed bill was *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee for hearing and amendment Tuesday, June 26, at 10 a. m.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.**Mayor's Veto Sustained.**

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Appropriation No. 100,900.00, Annual Appropriation Ordinance—Vacated positions, \$75,000.

Question: "Shall the item stand notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?"

The roll was called and the Mayor's veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.**Action Deferred.**

The following recommendation of Committee on Streets and Traffic was, on motion, *laid over to July 23, 1934, and made a Special Order of Business for 5 p. m.*:

Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal, Public Proceedings for Fitzgerald Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1455, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 10th day of December, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Resolution No. 1442 is hereby repealed.

NEW BUSINESS.**Passed for Second Reading.**

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$4,600 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of the Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 586, Ordinance No. 9.051136, as follows:

Appropriating \$4,600 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing its expenditure, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,100.00

\$4,600.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$4,600, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,100.00
	<hr/>
	\$4,600.00

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

(Controller approved as to funds being available.)

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Refused Passage.

The following bill was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$1,812.70 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of the Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 587, Ordinance No. 9.051137, as follows:

Appropriating \$1,812.70 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing its expenditure, to-wit:

Personal Service	\$1,012.70
Present deficit in Personal Service.....	800.00

\$1,812.70

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,812.70 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$1,812.70, to-wit:

Personal Service	\$1,012.70
Present deficit in Personal Service.....	800.00

\$1,812.70

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

(Controller approved as to funds being available.)

Supervisors Roncovieri and Shannon, Aye.

Supervisor Gallagher, No.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," Automobile Supply Stations.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 588, Ordinance No. 3.04132, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by fixing the fee for automobile supply stations at \$6.25 per quarter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the busi-

ness of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile supply station under a permit from the Board of Supervisors shall pay a license of six and 25/100 (\$6.25) dollars per quarter for each such station.

Explanation.

In accordance with the decision of the Honorable Walter Perry Johnson, Judge of the Superior Court, the present license fee of \$40 per quarter was declared invalid. Therefore the Committee recommends the foregoing amendment.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed From Alfonzo Ferrigno et ux., to Portion Lot 3-A, Assessor's Block 4334, San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1468, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alfonzo Ferrigno et ux., to a portion of Lot 3-A, Assessor's Block 4334, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$2,175 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 152-10A.

It is understood that the above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on said land, which improvements are to be relocated by the grantors.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Supplementing Resolution No. 1238 Which Fixes the Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages Paid in Private Employment in the City and County of San Francisco, in so far as said Highest General Prevailing Rate of Wages Applies to the Construction of an Addition to the Hetch Hetchy Dam, and Fixing the Hours of Labor Thereon.

(Code No. 9.092)

(Code No. 15.03)

Also, Resolution No. 1477, as follows:

Whereas, the Public Utilities Commission is about to construct an addition to the Hetch Hetchy Dam, which is situated at such a distance from the City and County of San Francisco that those engaged in the work of the construction of said addition must, under ordinary conditions, remain at or near the site of said construction when not engaged in the performance of labor thereon, and are therefore subject to the exception specified in Subdivision K of Ordinance No. 9.0923; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the highest general prevailing rates of wages as fixed in said Resolution No. 1238 shall apply to all work done on the additions to said Hetch Hetchy Dam, subject to the following modifications which will apply to said work on said addition to said Hetch Hetchy Dam and to no other work, said modifications to be as follows, to-wit:

On all construction eight hours shall constitute a basic days work on all single shift work, and where the highest general prevailing rate of wage for said work is fixed in said Resolution No. 1238 on an hourly basis, said wage shall be paid at said hourly rate for eight hours; and where said Resolution No. 1238 fixes the highest general prevailing rate of wage, for any employment, on a daily basis for

a working day of less than eight hours, the hourly rate of wage of said employee shall be computed and said hourly wage multiplied by eight, and said result shall be the daily wage for said employment for an eight-hour day; time for truck drivers and hoisting and portable engineers, or operating engineers, however, to be reckoned by half day and full day only.

For all construction work over eight hours in any one calendar day the following overtime shall be allowed, to-wit: time and one-half for the first four hours over eight hours and thereafter double time, except that all iron workers, all engineers, bricklayers and hod carriers shall be allowed double time for all work over eight hours in any one day. Saturday, Sunday and holiday work shall be paid for as provided for by Resolution No. 1238, except as otherwise herein provided. Non-construction work which must normally be performed on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays shall not be subject to overtime provided that persons performing said work shall not be permitted to work more than eight hours in any one day or six days in any one week.

On all such portions of the actual construction work as are carried on continuously by three shifts daily for seven days per week, the employees in all shifts shall be paid eight hours pay for seven hours work, but in such cases the requirements for double time pay for Saturday, Sunday and holiday work shall not apply.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Supplementing Initiative Ordinance of 1932 on Garbage, With Respect to Collection Routes.

(Code No. 17.08)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 582, Ordinance No. 17.084, as follows:

Supplementing that certain ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 20, 1932, approved by the Mayor September 21, 1932, and ratified by the electors at the general election on November 8, 1932, and "Providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance," prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation without a permit so to do, and prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation on any route or routes other than the route or routes for which a permit or permits have been issued to such person, firm or corporation, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, whether such person, firm or corporation is licensed to collect refuse or not, as provided in Sections 4 and 8 of that certain ordinance "No. 17.083, approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the

City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing for penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance," to collect any refuse from any dwelling place, household, apartment house, store, office building, restaurant, hotel, institution or commercial establishment in the City and County of San Francisco or on any of the garbage routes into which said City and County is divided, under and by virtue of the provisions of Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance, approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health a permit so to do in the manner and on the terms and conditions specified in Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

Section 2. Any permit applied for by any person, firm or corporation and issued by the Director of Public Health under the provisions of the aforesaid ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, shall be for a certain route or certain routes as said route or routes are defined, designated and delineated by Section 4 of said ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, and shall constitute permission to collect refuse only on the route or routes designated in said permit.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Director of Public Health under the provisions of Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance adopted by the electors at the general election on November 8, 1932, to collect garbage or to attempt to collect refuse from any dwelling place, household, apartment house, store, office building, restaurant, hotel, institution or commercial establishment, situate on any other route or routes than the route or routes for which such permit is issued.

Section 4. The term "refuse" as used in this ordinance shall be taken to mean all waste and discarded materials as defined by Section 1 of the aforesaid ordinance adopted by the electors at the general election held November 8, 1932.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not to exceed \$500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one of the sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was adopted:

San Francisco Housing Authority. (Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1467, as follows:

Whereas, at a meeting represented by the various civic, labor and improvement organizations of this city it was determined to be desirable that this city cooperate with the Public Emergency Housing Corporation in the elimination and replacement in San Francisco of slums

or blighted areas and to secure from the Federal Government grants and necessary loans to finance the clearance and improvements of such districts by the appointment of a San Francisco Housing Authority; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of seven to serve as such, and to be known as the San Francisco Housing Authority.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 583, Ordinance No. 12.073125, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Nineteen (119) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office —————, 1934, by amending Section One Hundred and Nineteen (119) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 119. The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between Division street and Twenty-fifth street shall be 9 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the westerly side of) between Division street and Army street shall be 9 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between Twenty-fifth street and the first angle southerly therefrom shall be 16 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between the first angle southerly from Twenty-fifth street and Army street shall be abolished;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue between Army street and San Bruno avenue shall be 12.50 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Improvement of Bradford Street, by Sewering, Between Cortland Avenue and a Point 160 Feet North of Jarboe Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 584, Ordinance No. 12.061147, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 15, 1934, having recommended the ordering of street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bradford street between Cortland avenue and a point 160 feet northerly from the northerly line of Jarboe avenue, including the intersection of Bradford street and Cortland avenue, by the construction of the following sewers and appurtenances:

Item No. 1—8-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.

Item No. 2—8-inch by 6-inch "Y" branches in place.

Item No. 3—Brick manholes complete.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, amended January, 1934, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as follows:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5689; Lots 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 of Block 5690; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Improvement of Crossing of Filbert and Kearny Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 585, Ordinance No. 12.061148, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 28, 1934, having recommended the ordering of street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the

payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Filbert street and Kearny street, by the construction of the following:

Item No. 1—Excavation.

Item No. 2—Concrete in retaining walls and posts.

Item No. 3—Reinforcing steel.

Item No. 4—Concrete coping (10 x 18).

Item No. 5—Concrete coping (10 x 12).

Item No. 6—Unarmored concrete curb.

Item No. 7—Reset granite curb.

Item No. 8—One-course concrete sidewalk.

Item No. 9—Concrete pavement, class "E".

Item No. 10—Storm water inlet.

Item No. 11—10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert.

Item No. 12—10-inch cast iron pipe culvert, class "C".

Item No. 13—1½-inch Std. W. I. galvanized pipe in railings.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lot 1 of Block 87; Lot 9 of Block 86; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 53, 54, 55, 55A and 56 of Block 104; Lots 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 42 of Block 105; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Method for Transferring City Property.

(Code No. 12.175)

On recommendation of Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Bill No. 589, Ordinance No. 12.1751, as follows:

Providing for the method whereby real property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department thereof, including the School Department, may be transferred from the jurisdiction of one department to the jurisdiction of another department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any real property, whether improved or unimproved, belonging to the City and County of San Francisco or to any department thereof, including the Department of Education, is no longer used advantageously by the department which owns it or under whose jurisdiction it is, or when any such property can be more advantageously used by a department other than the department which owns it or under whose jurisdiction it is, the said real property, including any improvements thereon, may be transferred to the jurisdiction of such department which can more advantageously use the same and for the purpose of transferring jurisdiction of said real property the hereafter proceedings shall be had.

Section 2. The officer, board or commission in charge of the department which desires to have said real property transferred to it shall file with the Mayor a request in writing that said transfer shall be made, which said request shall contain a description of the desired property and state the specific purposes for which said property is to be used by said department. Whenever any department desiring to

have said property transferred to it is under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer, the latter shall approve said request for said transfer.

Section 3. Upon said request being received by said Mayor he shall refer the same to the Director of Property for a report as to the estimated value of said property and the character of the improvements thereon and as to whether, in the opinion of said Director of Property, the same can be advantageously used by the said department desiring the same transferred for the purposes specified in said request. The Director of Property shall make said report to the Mayor within ten days after receipt of same.

Section 4. If, on receipt of said report the Mayor shall be of the opinion that said property can be advantageously used by the department desiring the same, he shall request the department owning the same, or under whose jurisdiction it is, to consent to the transfer of said property to the department desiring said transfer; and if said first mentioned department consents to said transfer the Mayor shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it order said property transferred to the department desiring the same, sending to said Board with said request such data regarding said property as he has received from the Director of Property. No property shall be transferred from one department to another without the consent of the department owning or having jurisdiction over the same.

Section 5. Upon receiving said request from the Mayor that said property be transferred, the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration to the same, and if the Board shall determine that said property is no longer necessary or advantageous to the department owning or having jurisdiction of the same and can be advantageously used by the department asking for the same, the Board shall, by resolution, order a transfer of the same to said department. Any such transfer may be made without limit or for a limited period to be stated in said resolution. No property which has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco or by any department thereof as a gift for any specific purpose shall be transferred if said transfer would be a violation of the trusts upon which said property is held.

Section 6. When the Board of Supervisors shall adopt any resolution transferring any property from one department to another as herein provided a copy of said resolution shall be forthwith delivered to the Director of Property, who shall keep the same in his office and make the necessary record of said transfer.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE.

Consideration of the Annual Salary Ordinance was taken up.

Annual Salary Ordinance.

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1935.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 573, Ordinance No. 9.05360, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; continuing and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved as an amendment that the present deductions be continued.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved to amend as per Mayor's schedule as follows:

No deduction where compensation does not exceed \$125.

Exceeding \$125 and not exceeding \$150.....	3%
Exceeding \$150 and not exceeding \$185.....	5%
Exceeding \$185 and not exceeding \$275.....	7½%
Exceeding \$275 and not exceeding \$600.....	10%
Exceeding \$600 and not exceeding \$833.33	12%
Exceeding \$833.33 and not exceeding \$1,000.....	14%

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved for a 25 per cent restoration of salary.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved to substitute the third paragraph of last year's ordinance for the third paragraph of the foregoing.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the Salary Ordinance, as amended, and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE.**Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1935.****(Code No. 9.053.)**

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 573, Ordinance No. 9.05360, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum compensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that where funds are specifically appropriated for such purpose in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and are thereafter available temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer, and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amounts reserved.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1934-35 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of

April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls or pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

	*For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash	*For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash
1 meal per day.....	\$ 8.00 Per Mo.	\$10.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day.....	13.00 Per Mo.	16.50 Per Mo.
<hr/>		
3 meals per day	17.50 Per Mo.	22.50 Per Mo.
Room or House	8.00 Per Mo.	10.00 Per Mo.
Laundry	2.00 Per Mo.	2.50 Per Mo.
<hr/>		
Board, Room and Laundry....	27.50 Per Mo.	35.00 Per Mo.
Single meal25	.35
		Per Mo.
Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital		\$150
Complete family maintenance furnished the Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, in the San Francisco Hospital....		55
House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department		25
House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department		50
House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department		25
House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department		15

*The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction, shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the above schedule.

Section 4. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	11		Supervisors	\$ 200
3	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	350
4	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
5	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	175
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	350
8	4	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographers	200
9	1	B416	Finance Committee Stenographer.....	325
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	250

Section 5. MAYOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger	165
5	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
8	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office	300

Section 6. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor	\$ 666.66
2	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor	400.00
3	1	B54	Chief Clerk, Assessor's Office.....	375.00
4	1	B106	Chief Teller, Assessor's Office.....	250.00
5	4	B222	General Clerk	250.00
5½	1	B222	General Clerk (10 mo. at \$250).....	
6	2	B222	General Clerk	200.00
7	1	B222	General Clerk (exempt, sec. 28).....	200.00
8	8	B222	General Clerk	190.00
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300.00
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250.00
11	3	B228	Senior Clerk	190.00
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	300.00
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	250.00
14	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	250.00
15	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	225.00
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
17	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190.00
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
20	3	G2	Real Estate Appraiser.....	250.00
21	1	G4	Senior Real Estate Appraiser.....	350.00
22	2	G8	Improvement Appraiser	250.00
23	1	G10	Senior Improvement Appraiser.....	265.00
24	3	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	250.00
25	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	225.00
26	1	G16	Senior Personal Property Appraiser....	300.00

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

28	16	B222	General Clerk	150.00
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
30	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150.00
31	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser	190.00
32			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150.00
33			Other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Section 7. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk	175.00
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155.00
6	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
7	1	F702	Valuation Engineer	300.00
8	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer	750.00
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil	250.00
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	350.00
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	600.00
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	500.00
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil	600.00
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150.00

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney	\$ 666.66
2	4	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200.00
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	190.00
3½	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	150.00
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk	225.00
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office ..	250.00
6	2	B222	General Clerk	190.00
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist	175.00
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	375.00
13	1	B222	General Clerk (part time)	50.00
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal	250.00
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal	190.00
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	375.00
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	300.00
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	250.00
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	200.00
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150.00
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	375.00
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	275.00
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	250.00

Section 9. TREASURER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant	200
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	250
5	3	B102	Teller	240
6	1	B102	Teller	175
7	1	B104	Senior Teller	275
8	1	B104	Senior Teller	240
9	2	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	325
10	1	B222	General Clerk	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (exempt Sec. 31)	165

Section 10. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	\$ 666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (exempt Sec. 32)	275
4	1	B222	General Clerk	250
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk	197
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
12	9	C154	Keeper	160
13	1	C156	Head Keeper	185
14	1	D2	Bailiff	215
15	24	D2	Bailiff	197
16	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
17	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17½	3	D52	Jail Matron	170
18	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
19	20	D60	Jailer	197
20	5	D60	Jailer	170
21	1	D60	Jailer	225
22	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
24	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
25	8	D102	Writ Server	215
26	2	D102	Writ Server	197
27	1	I12	Cook	195
28	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
29	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
30	1	L360	Physician	335
31	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room).....	210
32	1	O52	Farmer	200

Section 11. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender	\$666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	350

Section 12. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	\$ 100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150

BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

11	1		Captain of Inspectors	\$ 416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	10	Q60	Lieutenants	250**
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225

UNIFORM FORCE

18	1		Captain of Traffic	\$ 333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
27	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
28	964	Q2	Policemen	200
29	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
30	87	Q40	Corporal	215**
31	95	Q50	Sergeant	220**
32	41	Q60	Lieutenant	250**
33	18	Q80	Captain.	300**

**In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Patrolmen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Patrolman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	\$ 100
2	1		Chief Engineer	600
3	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.	400
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.	150
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator	155
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	225
8	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	130
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	885	H2	Firemen.	180-200*
11	28	H10	Chief's Operator	210
12	14	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines	220**
13	119	H20	Lieutenant.	222.50**

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	74	H30	Captain	235**
15	25	H40	Battalion Chief	350**
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer	400
17	1	L360	Physician	235
18	7	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	220
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	230
21	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	280
22	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
23	1	M4	Master Mechanic	416.66
24	13	O304	Hydrantman Gateman	215
25	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman	255
26	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day	

FIRE BOAT CREWS

27	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief)	255
28	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	255
29	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)	255
30	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)	205

* At rates fixed by Charter.

**In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 14. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals	250

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant	\$ 175
2	1		Athletic Organizer	475
3	9		Attendants	75
4	2		Attendant (men's)	140
5	1		Cashier, Chief	185
6	1		Cashier	125
7	1		Cook (Children's Quarters)	90
8	1		Engineer	175
9	1		Engineer, Chief	215
10	1		Engineer, Assistant	175
11	1		Fireman	175
12	1		Golf Starter	180
13	2		Golf Starters, Relief	150
14	1		Harbor Master, Day	125
15	1		Harbor Master, Night	125
16	1		Janitor	125
17	1		Laundryman	145
18	1		Life Guard	125
19	1		Manager, Restaurant	200
20	1		Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	135
21	1		Matron	80
22	2		Pump Man	175

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1		Secretary	400
24	1		Stenographer	175
25	1		Stenographer	125
26	1		Stenographer	115
27	1		Superintendent	725
28	1		Superintendent (assistant in charge of construction)	325
28½	1		Superintendent (Assistant)	250
29	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
30	1		Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	200
31	1		Surveyor	215
32	1		Surveyor, Assistant	210
33	1		Timekeeper	135
34	1		Utility (Children's Quarters)	175
35	1		Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters) ..	95
36	2		Windmill Attendants	125
37	1		Zoological Expert	300
37½	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	50
37¼	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	75
37½	1		Bathhouse Attendant	135
37¾	1		Golf Starter, Sharp's Park	175

Section 15¼. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
38			Animal Keepers	5
39			Apprentice	3
40			Ball Field Boys (per hour).....	.50
41			Booth Helpers (per hour).....	.25
42			Booth Helpers (per hour)30
43			Boys to attend donkeys (per hour).....	.30
44			Boys to attend ponies (per hour).....	.20
45			Blacksmith	8
46			Carpenters	9
47			Carpenters	8
48			Carpenters (Assistants)	7
49			Cashiers (per hour)30
50			Cashier, Assistant	3
51			Cashier	3.50
52			Cashier	4
53			Cashier	3
54			Chauffeur	7
55			Chauffeurs	7.50
56			Clerks	3
57			Clerks	4
58			Clerks	5
59			Cook	7.50
60			Cook	6.50
61			Cook, Relief	5.50
62			Cook	4
63			Cook	8
64			Dishwasher	3.50
65			Dishwasher	4
66			Foremen	6
67			Foreman	6.50
68			Foremen	7
69			Foreman	7.50
70			Foremen	8
71			Foreman	8.50
72			Gardeners	5.50

Section 15 ¼. **PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
73			Gardeners	6
74			Gardener	6.50
75			Janitor	5
76			Janitor (Golf Clubhouse)	4
77			Janitress	3
78			Keeper (Aviary)	5.50
79			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour)30
80			Laborers	4
81			Laborers	5
82			Laborers	5.50
83			Laborers	6
84			Laborers	2.50
85			Laborers (per hour)50
86			Laborers, Apprentice	2.50
87			Laborers, Apprentice	3
88			Laborers, Apprentice	4

Section 15 ½. **PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
89			Matron	3
90			Manager	5
91			Manager (H. F. Playfield Booth)	5.83
92			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour)30
93			Modelers (Plaster)	15
94			Mower Men	6.50
95			Office Boy	2.50
96			Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Play- field)	5
97			Pantryman (Harding Cafe)	3.50
98			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour)30
99			Porter	4
100			Porter	3.50
101			Porter	3
102			Rides Man	3
103			Stableman	5
104			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course)	5
105			Starter, Assistant	5
106			Stenographers	4
107			Superintendent of Parks and Squares, Assistant	8
108			Supply Agent	5
109			Store Room Keeper	3.50
110			Teamsters	6
111			Teamsters	6.50
112			Tennis Courts Manager	5.50
113			Tractor Driver	6
114			Tractor Man	6.50
115			Tractor Man	9
116			Tractor Repairer	8
117			Truck Repairer	8
118			Utility Helpers (per hour)25
119			Utility	4
120			Waiter	3
121			Waiter	3.50
122			Waiter	4
123			Waitresses	3
124			Waitresses	3.50
125			Waitresses	4
126			Waitresses	5
127			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo)	5

Section 15½. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
128		A154	Yardman	2.50
129		A154	Yardman	4.50
130		A154	Yardman ..	4.
131		A154	Yardman	3.50
132		A154	Yardman	3

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 185
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
10½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman..	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance (as needed).....	350
14	11	J4	Laborer.	150
15	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	25	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
19	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time)....	75
20	2	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
21	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 21)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
24	2	O58	Gardener	135
25	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
26	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
27	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept...	250
28	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
29	1	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	85
31	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
32		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
33	1	R56	Playground Director	175
34	1	R56	Playground Director	160
35	25	R56	Playground Director	150
36	4	R56	Playground Director	140
37	2	R56	Playground Director	135
38	4	R56	Playground Director	130
39	18	R56	Playground Director	125
41	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
42		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 41)	150
43		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
44	33	R56	Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only)	

Section 17. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mo.).....\$	200
46		R102	Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 45).....	285
47	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics	210
48	1	R108	Supervisor of Music	185
49	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
50	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	135
51	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	210
		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo.....	150
52	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	130
53	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming	175
54		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
55		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	
57			Pianist (as needed), \$2.50 per call....	
58			Referee (as needed), \$2.50 to \$10 per game	
59			1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
60			3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	

CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

61		A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day.....	
62		A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
63		A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
64		B512	General Clerk-Typist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
65		E154	Lineman at \$9 per day.....	
66		I8	Head Baker (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
67		I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.).....	162.50
68		I16	Chef (deduct for R. & L.).....	210.50
69		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
70		J4	Laborer	150
71		L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
72		P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
73			Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract)	
74			Camp Guide (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	
75			Life Guard (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	
76		B4	Bookkeeper	150

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	200
2	1	B72	Business Manager, Public Library.....	375
3	1	B222	General Clerk	175
5	5	B222	General Clerk	85
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
9	1	B504	Clerk-typist	160
10	1	C52	Elevator Operator	110
11	1	C102	Janitress	75

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	1	C102	Janitress	65
13		C102	Janitress, 55c per hour	
14	1	C104	Janitor	185
15	2	C104	Janitor	125
16	1	C152	Watchman	150
17	4	J54	Book Repairer	110
18	1	J54	Book Repairer	90
19	1	J54	Book Repairer	125
20	1	O10	Truck Driver, light truck	160

DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7		Branch Librarian	175
22	6		Assistant	100
23	2		Assistant	110
24	16		Assistant	120
25	9		Assistant	130
26	3		Assistant	140
27	2		Assistant	150
28	15		Assistant	160
29	10		Assistant	175
30	1		Assistant	225
31	1		Assistant	250
32	1		Librarian	400
33	61		Substitutes, 50c per hour	
34	35		Pages, 30c per hour	
35	1		Station Keeper (Part Time)	50
36	6		Station Keeper (Part Time)	15

Section 19. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
4	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman	160
5	8	C104	Janitor	145
6	5	C152	Watchman	145
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, \$6 per day	
8	1	E108	Electrician	220
9	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week	
10	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	220
11	3	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
12	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week	

AS NEEDED

15		A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day	
16		E109	Stage Electrician, \$12.50 per day	
17		C105	Concierge (part time, not over \$75 per month), \$3 per day	
18		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125

Section 20. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission	250
			Stage Help (as needed) not more than \$12.50 per day	

Section 21. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director	\$ 333.34
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	200
3	1		Organist (part time).....	300
4	1		Organist (part time).....	125
5	1		Chief Galleryman	200
6	1		Galleryman	150
7	3		Galleryman	125
8	1		Galleryman	120
9	1		Head Janitor	130
10	2		Janitor's Assistants	125
12	1		Stenographer	150
13	2		Stenographer	125
14	1		Librarian	85
15	1		Gallery Assistant	125
16	3		Caretaker	85
20	1		Watchman	125
21	1		Organ Repairer (as needed).....	33
22			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)..	150

Section 22. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director	\$ 491.66
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	2		Docent	125
7	1		Docent	100
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer	140
11	1		Clerk	100
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary Board of Trustees.....	240
19	1		Lecturer, \$10 per Sunday	
20	2		Gallerymen	125
21	11		Gallerymen	120
22	1		Carpenter, \$5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125

TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED

24		Seasonal Clerical Service.....	150
25		Plasterer Foreman, \$11 day	
26		Construction Superintendent	200
27		Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in the resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City.	

Section 23. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Science and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

Section 24. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	200
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	250
6	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6½	2	B160	Civil Law Clerk	200
7	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
8	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	200
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	240
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B222	General Clerk	240
12	8	B222	General Clerk	200
12½	1	B222	General Clerk	175
13	1	B210	Office Assistant	125
14	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
15	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
16	2	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 25. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges	\$ 416.66
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
4½	1		Information Clerk	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	7	B252	Court Interpreter	175
6½	1	B252	Court Interpreter	155
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
8	3	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	
10	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

*(Titles fixed by State law)

Section 26. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian	\$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian	425
3	1	O102	Bookbinder	200

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 225
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk	210
3	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	210
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
7	1	T56	Probation Officer	225
8	10	T56	Probation Officer	210
9	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
10	3	T60	Senior Probation Officer	225
11	1	T64	Referee	200
12	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.....	350

Section 28. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor	\$ 145
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.).....	68
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.).....	110
4	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	161.50
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	126.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.)	145
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)..	132.50
8	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	105.50
9	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.)....	88
10	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.)	167.50
11	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.)	207.50
TEMPORARY AS NEEDED				
13	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	126.50
14	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.).	135

Section 29. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 210
2	6	T56	Probation Officer.....	210
3	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
4	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer.....	190
5	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer.....	350

Section 30. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer.....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records.....	\$ 500

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	\$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office.....	300
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	18	B222	General Clerk	200
9½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1		Director License Bureau.....	215
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	12	B222	General Clerk	150
19	2	G152	License Adjuster	190
20			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150

Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations.....	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters	450
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
5	2	B222	General Clerk	250
6	7	B222	General Clerk	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk	205
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
9	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator...	225
12	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines.....	250
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjusters.....	225
14½	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster	175
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150
17		B305	Voting Machine Adjusters (as needed).	155
18		B202	Judges of Election, \$5 per day.....	
19		B204	Inspectors of Election, \$5 per day.....	

Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk	\$ 250
2	1	B81	Recorder	666.66
4	7	B222	General Clerk	200
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk (7 mo. at \$155, 5 mo. at \$215)	
8	2	B228	Senior Clerk	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	17	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200
11	1	M254	Machinist	225

Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	\$ 200
2	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
4	5	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	200
5	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
7	1	B169	County Clerk	666.66
8	17	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	240
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
13	7	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 36. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 375
2	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
3	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	300
4	1	B173	Public Administrator	666.66
5	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
8	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	666.66

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....	\$ 466.66
2	1	B222	General Clerk	150
3	2	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	150
6	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
7	3	B352	Storekeeper	150
8	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
9	1	B354	General Storekeeper	220
10	2	B354	General Storekeeper	200
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper	175
12	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper	325
13	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer.....	225

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B360	Stationery Buyer (3 mo.).....	275
15	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	130
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	170
17	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
18	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	200
19	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies (5 mos.)	275
20	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	225
21	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent....	250
22	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
23	1	B372	Purchasing Agt.—Hetch Hetchy Project	400
24	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies.....	833.33
25	1	B404	Clerk Stenographer	100
26	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
27	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
28	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	160
29	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
30	2	B504	Clerk Typist	175
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	190
33	2	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
34	1	J12	Foreman Laborer	195
35	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day	
36	2	N302	Inspector of General Supplies	200
37	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200

Section 38. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
39	1	M108	Blacksmith, \$8 per day.....	
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$9 per day.....	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day.....	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop	300
50	22	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
51	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day	
52	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
53	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
54	6	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
55	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$6.58 per day....	
56	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day	
57	3	M252	Machinist Helper, \$6.58 per day.....	
58	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
59	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day.....	
60	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
61	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, \$6.50 per day...	

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	\$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium.....	250
3	4	C104	Janitor	155
4	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
5	2	C152	Watchman	180
6	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
7	1	J64	Chair Repairer, \$6.50 per day.....	
8	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines...	220
9	1		Organ Repairer (part time).....	75
10	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day.....	
11		C104	Janitor (as needed).....	145
12		C152	Watchman (as needed).....	145
13	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	

Section 40. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property) ..	600

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	275
7	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property).....	375
8			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works.....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant.	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	155
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk (7 mo. @ \$200, 5 mo. @ \$225)	
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
9½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator	165

**Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk	\$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	200

**Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
SEWAGE PUMPING STATION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180

**Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A106	Building Inspector	\$ 275
2	7	A106	Building Inspector	225
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time)	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
5	1	F558	Structural Engineer	300
6	1	F560	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection (11 mo. @ \$500, 1 mo. @ \$625)	
7	1	M158	Boiler Inspector	250

**Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE**

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A106	Building Inspector	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
4	1	F112	City Architect	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) as needed..	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator	250

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk (2 mo. @ \$200, 10 mo. @ \$250)	
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.....	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	175
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
13	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	250

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
16	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	210
17	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
18	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
19	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
20	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
21	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
22	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
23	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
24	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	250
25	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints.	250
26	1	F506	Engineer Grades	275
27	2	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investi- gations	275
28	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
30	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
31	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
32	13	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	225
34	4	F610	Surveyors	250
35	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
36	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor.....	275
37	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
38	4	N252	Street Inspector	225

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE
MONEYS**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B312	Blue Printer	\$ 215
40	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
41	1	B316	Photographer	265
42	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	225
43	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
44	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
50	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
52	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
53	1	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
54	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
56	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
57	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
58	2	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
59½	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
60½	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
62	5	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
64	3	F610	Surveyor	250
66	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
67	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist.....	400
68		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
69		B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
70		F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
71		F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman	200
72		F260	Civil Engineer Designer	250
73		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
74		F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	275
75		F654	Traffic Checker	175
76		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
77		F106	Architectural Designer	250
78		F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
79		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
80		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	250
81		F362	Electrical Engineer	300
82		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
83		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
84		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
85		F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
86		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
87		F558	Structural Engineer	250

**Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs	\$ 225
2	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	250
3	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	225
4	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	375

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

5	12	A52	Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at \$9 per day..	
6	8	A56	Bricklayer at \$11 per day.....	
7	19	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
8	4	O14	Driver, spec. equip., at \$8 per day.....	
*9	28	O204	Cribber, at \$7 per day.....	
10	16	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$8.50 per day.....	
11	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair	250

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

*Ten of these not heretofore included as compensations are paid by property owners.

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Supt. of Streets.....	500

Section 49½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	227	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
2	12	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
3	2	C152	Watchman	\$ 155
3a	2	C152	Watchman	145
3b	1	C152	Watchman 9 mo., at \$145, 3 mo.....	155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning....	225
6	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	275
7	20	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck \$8 per day..	
8	4	O14	Driver of Special Equipment \$8 per day	
9	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day	
10	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	23	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at \$6 per day..	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
9	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, hvy. truck, at \$8 per day.	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at \$8 per day.	
12	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
16	3	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
17	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	

**Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
20	22	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day....	
21	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at \$8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225
27	1	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at \$6.50 per day.....	

BRIDGES

29	6	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
29a	5	C153	Bridge Attendant	145
29b	1	C153	Bridge Attendant 1 mo. at 155, 11 mo. at	145
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)....	220
32	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established
by purchaser's contract.

**Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings, 2 mo. at \$275, 10 mo. at.....	300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings..	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	250
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W....	250
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W....	275
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber, D. P. W.	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	18	C52	Elevator Operator	155
10½	1	C52	Elevator Operator	145
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
12½	1	C102	Janitress (Part Time), as needed.....	75
13	49	C104	Janitor	155
13½	4	C104	Janitor	145
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
19½	2	C202	Window Cleaner	160
20	3	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines...	185
24	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines...	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines....	300

**Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR
(Continued)**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employment is *not* established as a continuing position but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
27		A52	Hod Carrier	day	\$ 9
28		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day	6
29		A60	Marble Setter	day	10
30		A62	Tile Setter	day	10
31	23	A154	Carpenter	day	9
32		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day	9.50
33		A162	Lather	day	10
34		A172	Hardwood Floorman	day	10
35	15	A202	Cement Finisher Helper	day	8
36	2	A204	Cement Finisher	day	9
37		A206	Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher....	day	9.50
38	2	A252	Glazier	day	8.50
39	1	A252	Glazier	day	9.50
40	2	A302	Locksmith	day	8
41	1	A352	Painter's Shopman	day	7
42	30	A354	Painter	day	9
43		A356	Sub-Foreman Painter	day	9.50
44		A380	Paper Hanger	day	10
45	1	A392	Plasterer	day	11
46	1	A402	Plumber's Shopman	day	7
47	23	A404	Plumber	day	10
48	1	A452	Sheet Metal Shopman	day	6.50
49	9	A456	Sheet Metal Worker	day	10
50	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.	day	10.50
51	10	A504	Steamfitter	day	10
52	1	A551	Apprentice	day	7
54	1	B222	General Clerk	day	7
55	1	C152	Watchman	per month	145
56	1	C202	Window Cleaner	per month	155
57	4	E108	Electrician	day	9
58	1	J4	Laborer	day	6
59	A56		Bricklayer	day	11

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$	250
2	8	B222	General Clerk		200
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk		200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk		325
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		185
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		155
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist		185

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 225
3	1	B222	General Clerk	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector	240
8	13	E4	Electrical Inspector	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher.....	250
12	1	E108	Electrician	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity	300
16	1	E154	Lineman	220
17	10	E154	Lineman	215
17½	2	E154	Lineman	185
18	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
19	2	E160	Foreman Lineman	240
20	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	416.66
21	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
22	1	J12	Labor Foreman	195
23	1	J66	Garageman	150
24	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day	
25	2	M254	Machinist	225
26	5	M260	Instrument Maker	225
27	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector	\$ 250
2	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector	240
3	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector.....	325
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	190
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
7	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	50
8	5	B222	General Clerk	190
9	1	B222	General Clerk	185
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
11	2	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
13	4	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
14	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time).....	75
15	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	125
20	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
22	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
23	1	F520	Consulting Sanitary Eng. (Part Time)..	150
24		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
25	1	C102	Janitress	75
26	1	J58	Disinfecter	200

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	4	J74	Rat Catcher	115
28	1	L14	Assistant Director of Health	400
29	1	L18	Director of Health	833.33
30	1	L52	Bacteriological Labor. Tech.	125
31	1	L56	Bacteriologist	225
32	2	L56	Bacteriologist	175
33	1	L58	Director of Laboratories	300
34	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspec.	200
35	1	L102	Food Chemist's Assistant	100
36	1	L104	Food Chemist	225
37	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist	250
38	2	L152	Dental Hygienist	150
39	13	L156	Dentist (Part Time)	100
40	1	L158	Director Dental Bur. (Part Time)....	250
41	1	L252	Optometrist (Part Time)	150

Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	\$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
44½	1	L364	Pediatrician (Part Time)	75
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$10 per day	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time)	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time)	150
58	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	200
59	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	5	N56	Market Inspector	200
61½	1	N56	Market Inspector	185
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	9	N204	Housing Inspector	200
70½	2	N205	Industrial Inspector	200
70¾	1	N205	Industrial Inspector	175
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Investigator.....	215

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator	100
6	1	I8	Head Baker	190
7	3	I12	Cook	165
7½	1	I12	Cook, 9 months at.....	165
8	1	I12	Cook (Part Time).....	75
9	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
10	1	I16	Chef	200
11	1	I22	Butcher	100
12	1	I24	Senior Butcher	235
13	4	I54	Waitress	110
14	1	I58	Dining Room Steward	140
15		I102	Inmate Help, not over	50
16		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
17	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
18	4	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
19	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
20	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	120
21	2	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
22	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
23	2	I112	Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
24	1	I154	Laundress	95
25	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
26	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
27	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry	189
28	1	I254	Seamstress	90
29	1	I256	Head Seamstress	115
30	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
31	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
32	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent	275
33	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist	100
35	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for B. & L.)	137.50
36	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	200
37	5	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
38	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
40	1	L452	X-Ray Technician	150
41	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	220
47	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
48	19	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
48½	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.), 9 months at	135
49	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
50	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
51	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (de- duct for B., R. & L.)	\$ 77.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
4	1	I54	Waitress	110
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	385
12		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	37.50 to 39.50
13	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
13½	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (de- duct for B., R. & L.)	235

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN
FRANCISCO HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	2	B222	General Clerk	\$ 150
6	1	B222	General Clerk	100
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	250

**Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
10	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	160
11	7	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
11½	12	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for B. & R.)not over	75
12	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
13	2	B454	Telephone Operator	125
14	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
17	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
18	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	170
19	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	145
20	2	E108	Electrician	237.50
21	1	I6	Pastry Cook	175
22	8	I12	Cook	165
23	1	I16	Chef	200
24	8	I54	Waitress	110
24a	8	I56	Waiter	110
25		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B. R. & L.), less than.....	80
26	1	I118	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
28	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
29	16	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	85
30	5	I154	Laundress	93
31	3	I154	Laundress	94
32	6	I154	Laundress	92
33	1	I154	Laundress	93
34	1	I156	Starcher	118
35	1	I158	Sorter	127
36	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
37	1	I166	Wringerman	136.33
38	2	I170	Washer	129.50
39	1	I172	Head Washer	152.50
40	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry	200
41	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
42	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
43	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
44	2	I254	Seamstress	90
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B.,R.&L.)	150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50

**Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN
FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
50	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	1	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	2	L304	Pharmacist	190

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	16	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
58	3	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician	75
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine....	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct \$55 for full family maintenance.)	280
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	119	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at \$5.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
74½		P103	Special Nurse, as needed (Psychiatric or Communicable Diseases) \$7 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at \$6.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours at \$8.00 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
78½	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170

Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
80	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285
81	3	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	160
82	1	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	160

**Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
84	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
85	6	P206	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150
94	2	T156	Social Service Investigator	180

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

95	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator....	120
96	3	T156	Social Service Investigator (deduct for R.)	140

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B352	Storekeeper	\$ 100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
3	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon	200
4	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals	225
5	1	L508	Chief Surgeon	250
6	16	O6	Ambulance Driver	200
6½	2	O6	Ambulance Driver	175
7	3	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward.....	165
8	22	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	200
9	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward....	250
10	14	P102	Registered Nurse	165
11	3	P102	Registered Nurse	135
12	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.)	162.50
4	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.)	182.50

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than.....	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer at \$5 per day.....	
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	37.50
11½	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
12	1	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
13	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal)	210
14	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
16	6	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
17	1	P114	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

18	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
----	---	------	---------------------------------------	----

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk	\$ 185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
3½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
4	1	B510	Braille Typist	150
5	7	T152	Junior Social Service Investigators....	150
6	7	T156	Social Service Investigators	150
7	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator	200
8	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

Section 64. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Photographic Reporter	\$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
4½	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT— AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector	250
4	3	N154	Horticultural Inspector	175
5	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner....	400

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	\$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures	275
3	4	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures.....	225
4	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	500

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	10	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	5	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls.....	300
10	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
15½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½	1	B301	Payroll Machine Operator	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist	175

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	150

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

36	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	175
----	---	----	-------------------------	-----

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting	
3	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary \$	350
4	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
5	1	F254	Civ. Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	250

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities	1,000
3	1	B67	Secretary, Utility Commission.....	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	350
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7½	1	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150
8	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	200

Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 150
2	1	C104	Janitor	125
3	1	F52	Meteorological Computer	150
4	1	F54	Meteorologist	150
5	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent	175
6	1	F62	Superintendent	300

TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES

7	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
8	A354	Painter, \$9 per day.....	
10	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day.....	
12	J52	Airport Attendant	150

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B10	Accountant.	\$ 275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer.....	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities.....	833.33
12½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
13	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
13½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	225
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	240
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer.....	550
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.....	275
25	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer.....	650
29	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	330
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	265
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment.....	290
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
43	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	225
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator....	200
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines \$10 per day	

CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

49		Seasonal Clerical Services as needed....	150
50	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
51	F106	Architectural Designer	250
52	F108	Architect	300
53	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction...	225
54	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	225
55	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
56	F214	Construction Engineer	300
57	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
58	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
59	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman.....	200
60	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
61	F432	Mechanical Draftsman	200
62	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
63	F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
64	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	175
65	F610	Surveyor	250
66	O2	Chauffeur	170

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, \$10.35 per day	
4	1	B10	Accountant	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	2	B222	General Clerk	150
7	4	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	145
17	30	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	135
19	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day	
20	7	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day....	
22	1	C152	Watchman, \$5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day	
25	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, \$5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day	
34	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day....	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway..	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
43	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day...	
46	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, \$8 per day..	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway	240
50	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	175
54	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway....	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	210

**Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day	
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

**Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
3	1	A404	Plumber	225
4	1	B210	Office Assistant	85
5	2	B210	Office Assistant	75
6	1	A551	Apprentice at \$7 per day.....	
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
8	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
9	1	B10	Accountant	400
10	1	B24	Auditor, Water Department.....	700
11	1	B109	Cashier, Water Department.....	325
12	10	B222	General Clerk	150
13	4	B222	General Clerk (part time)	75
14	1	B222	General Clerk	200
15	2	B222	General Clerk	190
16	1	B222	General Clerk	180
17	32	B222	General Clerk	175
18	2	B222	General Clerk	165
19	2	B222	General Clerk	160
20	5	B222	General Clerk	150
21	2	B228	Senior Clerk	225
22	1	B228	Senior Clerk	215
23	6	B228	Senior Clerk	200
24	2	B228	Senior Clerk	180
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
26	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
26 1/4	9	B247	Meter Readers	175
26 1/2	1	B247	Meter Readers	165
26 3/4	5	B247	Meter Readers	150
27	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	160
28	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
29	11	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
30	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
31	1	B315	Photographer Water Service.....	200
32	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
33	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper	265
34	3	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
35	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
36	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
37	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
38	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
38 1/2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
39	1	B454	Telephone Operator	165
40	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
41	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at \$4 per day	
42	8	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	C52	Elevator Operator	160
44	4	C104	Janitor	155
45	1	C104	Janitor (or Janitress) (part time) deduct for board and room.....	45.50
46	1	C104	Janitor at \$6 per day.....	
47	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	175
48	2	C152	Watchman	150
49	2	C152	Watchman	145
50	1	E154	Lineman	200
51	1	F2	Asst. Engineer, Water Service.....	500
53	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
54	2	F252	Junior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	175
55	2	F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman.....	225
56	2	F258	Senior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	250
57	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	175
57½	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	160
58	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	375
59	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer.....	200
59a	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	175
60	1	I12	Cook (deduct for room).....	130
61	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	100
62	74	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
65	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
66	6	M54	Auto Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
67	4	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
68	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairer.....	200
69	1	M268	Foreman Machinist	285

Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$7.50 per day	
2	2	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$6.50 per day	
3	1	O58	Gardener at \$6.50 per day.....	
4	1	O58	Gardener	135
5	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
6	1	O58	Gardener at \$5 per day.....	
7	1	O116	Teamster (2-Horse Vehicle) at \$6.50 per day	
8	1	O152	Eng. of Hoisting and Portable Engines at \$10 per day.....	
9	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	165
10	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	150
11	1	O164	Oiler	185
12	9	O164	Oiler	175
13	8	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Eng.....	185
14	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (Relief).....	185
15	8	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Eng.....	220
16	1	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Eng. (deduct \$15 for House).....	220
17	1	O170	Asst. Chief Engr. Sta. St. Eng.....	235
18	1	O172	Chief Eng. Sta. Steam Eng.....	265
19	1	U44	Gen'l Mgr. & Chief Engineer.....	833.33

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	U51	Supervisor Docks & Shipping.....	275
21	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections.....	300
22	1	U56	Asst. Supervisor Cons. Accts.....	290
23	1	U60	Supervisor, Consumers' Accts.....	340
24	1	U80	Asst. Manager, Water Sales.....	360
25	1	U88	Manager Water Sales	475
26	2	U104	Leadman at \$6 per day.....	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable at \$7.50 per day.....	
28	14	U112	Pipe Calker at \$7.50 per day.....	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman at \$7.75 per day..	
30	15	U116	Service Man at \$7.50 per day.....	
31	1	U116	Service Man at \$7.75 per day.....	
32	7	U120	Gateman at \$7.75 per day.....	
33	7	U122	Shutoff Man	175
34	1	U123	Service Inspector	185
35	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector	200
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	160
38	6	U126	Meter Inspector	175
39	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector	200
40	8	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	160
41	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	165
41½	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper	165
42	1	U132	Contractors & Builders Inspector.....	225
43	1	U136	Gen'l Foreman, Service Meters.....	300
44	1	U140	Gen'l Foreman, Main Pipes.....	325
45	1	U142	Ass't Supt. City Distribution.....	350
46	1	U144	Superintendent City Distribution.....	500
47	15	U206	Water Department Worker at \$6 per day	
48	1	U206	Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
51	1	U212	Ranger	145
52	1	U212	Ranger	135
52a	3	U212	Ranger (deduct for House).....	145
53	6	U214	Pump Operator	165
53a	2	U214	Pump Operator at \$6 per day.....	
54	2	U214	Pump Operator	140
55	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
56	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$7 per day.....	
57	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$6.25 per day.....	
58	1	U215	Head Pump Operator.....	200
59	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for House)	200
60	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$25 for House)	200
61	1	U226	Gen. Maintenance Foreman, Alameda Dist.	200
62	1	U227	Gen. Maintenance Foreman (deduct for House), Peninsula Dist.	210
63	1	U228	Meterman, Country	175
64	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman	200
65	1	U231	Asst. Supt. Ala. Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	225
66	1	U232	Supt. Alameda Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
67	1	U236	Asst. Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
68	1	U246	Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$50 for House)	466.66

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
69	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture at \$6.50 per day	
70	1	V30	Asst. Supt. Agriculture.....	200
71	1	V40	Superintendent Agriculture	600
72	2		Housekeeper (part time)	20
73	1		Housekeeper (part time)	35

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Construction Superintendent	300
2			Inspector.	200
3			Timekeeper, plus board.....	175
4			Transitmen	200
5			Chainman	140
6			Field Draftsman	140
7			Office Estimator	175
8			Tunnel Foreman	200
9			Safetyman	250
10			Detectorman	212.50
11			Camp Mechanic	225
12			Dishwasher, plus board	75
13			Waiter, plus board	70
14			Tunnel Superintendent	250
15			Shift Boss, at \$9 per day.....	
16			Tunnel Shift Boss at \$7 per day.....	
17			Miner at \$6 per day.....	
18			Miner at \$5.50 per day.....	
19			Chucktender at \$5 per day.....	
20			Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
21			Motorman at \$5.50 per day.....	
22			Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
23			Timberman at \$5.50 per day.....	
24			Concrete Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
25			Steelworker Foreman at \$10 per day..	
26			Steelworker at \$9 per day.....	
27			Hoistman at \$9 per day.....	
28			Tractor Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Graderman at \$6.50 per day.....	
30			Tool Sharpener at \$6.50 per day.....	
31			Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5.25 per day	
32			Campman at \$5 per day.....	
33			Waterboy at \$3.50 per day	
34			General Tunnel Foreman	300
35			Tunnel Foreman	250
36			Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
37			Foreman Construction	200
38			Surveyor	175
39			Electrician at \$8.75 per day.....	
40			Blacksmith's Helper at \$5.25 per day..	
41			Straw Boss at \$5.50 per day.....	
42			Pipe Joint Inspector at \$6.50 per day....	
43			Blacksmith at \$6.50 per day.....	
44			Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	325
45			Sanitary Engineer (part time).....	75

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Class Employees No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46		Bacteriologist (part time)	75
47		Biologist (part time)	75
48		Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at 50c per hour....	
49		Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at \$5 per day....	
50		Cook at \$6 per day	
51		Water Pipe Welder at \$7.50 per day....	
52		Trucks and teams and drivers as needed by the San Francisco Water Dept., to be paid for at rates established by Purchaser of Supplies' Contracts..	
53		Assistant Bacteriologist at 50c per hour	
54		Assistant Biologist at 50c per hour....	
55		Sanitary Inspectors	175
56		Chief Inspector	250
57		Architectural Draftsman	250
58		Architect	300
59		Civil Engineer Inspector.....	250
60		Consulting Engineer at \$50 per day....	
61		Hydraulic Engineer Designer.....	300
62		Structural Engineer Designer.....	300
63		Mechanical Engineer Designer.....	325
64		Civil Engineer Designer.....	325
65		Electrical Engineer Designer.....	325
66		Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
67		Rigger at \$7 per day.....	
68		Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
69		Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day.	
70		Steam Shovel Foreman at \$7 per day..	
71		Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
72		Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day	
73		Watchman	125
74		Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
75		Boilermaker Helper at 75c per hour....	
76		Carpenter Foreman at \$10 per day....	
77		Carpenter Helper at \$5 per day.....	
78		Cement Gun Operator at \$7 per day....	
79		Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
80		Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
81		Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
82		Grout Gunman at \$6 per day.....	
83		Grout Gun Operator at \$6 per day.....	
84		Gunite Helper at \$5 per day.....	
85		Gunite Mixerman at \$5.50 per day.....	
86		Gunite Shiftboss at \$7.25 per day.....	
87		Instrument Man	212.50
88		Rodman at \$5.25 per day.....	
89		Electrical Engineer Draftsman	200
90		Electrical Engineer Designer.....	275
91		Electrical Engineer Inspector.....	250
92		Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	300
93		Mechanical Engineer	350
94		Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	300
95		Office Engineer	350
96		Chauffeur	170

Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1		Auto Machinist	210
4	1		Blacksmith	190
7	1		Clerk, General	190
7½	1		Accountant	250
7¾	1		Bookkeeper	175
8	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	160
8½	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	175
9	1		Cook	167.50
10	1		Dam Tender	160
11	2		Dam Tenders	150
12	1		Dam Tender	140
13	1		Dam Tender	125
14	1		Dam Tender, Assistant.....	135
15	1		Dishwasher	117.50
16	1		Ditch Tender	135
17	1		Ditch Tender	125
18	1		Engineer, Assistant	250
19	1		Engineer, Electrical	400
20	1		Engineer, Junior	150
21	3		Floormen	145
22	1		Floorman, Relief	150
23	1		Foreman, General	300
24	1		Gardener	175
25	1		Gardener, Assistant	135
26	3		Governorman	140
27	1		Housekeeper	117.50
28	1		Janitor	120
29	1		Labor Foreman	210
30	1		Lineman Foreman	250
31	4		Operators	150
32	1		Operator, Chief	250
33	1		Operator, Chief	210
34	4		Operators, First	185
35	1		Operator, First Relief.....	190
36	3		Operators, Second	165
37	1		Operator and Electrician....	210
38	1		Operator and Machinist.....	210
39	1		Painter	210
42	1		Pumpman and Fire Patrolman...	150
43	1		Road Foreman	200
45	1		Walter	107.50
46	1		Yardman	135
47	1		General Storekeeper	180
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL				
48	1		Engineer, Assistant	237.50
49	2		Foreman—General Maintenance	200
50	2		Patrolmen	210
51	2		Patrolman Helpers	137.50
52	1		Watchman	125

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

**TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL
EMPLOYMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic at \$8.73 per day.....	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Blacksmith at \$1.091 per hour.....	
4			Blacksmith Helper at \$0.818 per hour..	
5			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
6			Boilermaker's Helper at 75c per hour..	
7			Boatman at \$5.50 per day.....	
8			Brakeman at \$6 per day.....	
9			Campman	120
10			Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
11			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
12			Cement Gun Operator at \$6 per day...	
13			Chuckman at \$5.25 per day.....	
14			Clerk	175
15			Clerk, General	190
16			Compressorman at \$5 per day.....	
17			Compressorman at \$7.67 per day.....	
18			Concreteman at \$5 per day.....	
19			Cook (Camp)	167.50
20			Cook's Helper	137.50
21			Dishwasher	112.50
22			Drill Doctor at \$5.75 per day.....	
23			Driver at \$8.80 per day.....	
24			Driver at \$10.80 per day.....	
25			Driver at \$6 per day.....	
26			Driver at \$6.50 per day.....	
27			Driver at \$7 per day.....	
28			Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Driver at \$8 per day.....	
30			Driver at \$9.20 per day.....	
31			Driver at \$10 per day.....	
32			Electrical Foreman	250
34			Engineer, Assistant	287.50
35			Field Assistant	175
36			Field Assistant	150
37			Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
38			Foreman at \$6.50 per day.....	
39			Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
40			Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
41			Groundman at \$6 per day.....	
42			Gunit Operator at \$6 per day.....	
43			Helper at \$4.50 per day.....	
44			Helper at \$4.75 per day.....	
45			Helper at \$5 per day.....	
46			Helper at \$5.50 per day.....	
47			Helper at \$6 per day.....	
48			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
49			Hoisting Engineer at \$9 per day.....	
50			Hostler at \$6 per day.....	
51			Housesmith at \$9 per day.....	
52			Housesmith Foreman at \$10 per day...	
53			Housewireman at \$9 per day.....	
54			Inspector	162.50
55			Inspector	200
56			Instrumentman	125
57			Instrumentman	187.50
58			Jackhammerman at \$5 per day.....	

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59			Lampman	150
60			Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
61			Lineman Apprentice at \$7.73 per day...	
62			Machineman at \$5.75 per day.....	
63			Machinist at \$8.73 per day.....	
64			Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
65			Mucker Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
66			Mucker, Top, at \$4 per day.....	
67			Nipper at \$5.25 per day.....	
68			Nozzleman at \$6.50 per day.....	
69			Operator's Helper	175
70			Painter at \$9 per day.....	
71			Pipeman at \$5.50 per day.....	
72			Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
73			Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
74			Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
75			Pumpman at \$5.75 per day.....	
76			Rigger at \$6 per day.....	
77			Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
78			Shift Boss at \$7.25 per day.....	
79			Skiptender at \$5.75 per day.....	
80			Station Tender at \$5.25 per day.....	
81			Station Tender—Pumpman at \$5.75 per day	
82			Steamfitter at \$10 per day.....	
83			Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day..	
84			Steam Shovel Engineer.....	240
85			Steam Shovel Fireman at \$7 per day...	
86			Steam Shovel Fireman.....	165
87			Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
88			Steam Shovel Oiler	150
89			Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day.	
90			Steam Shovel Watchman.....	165
91			Timekeeper	175
92			Top Foreman at \$6.25 per day.....	
93			Tool Sharpener at \$6 per day.....	
94			Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5 per day...	
95			Tunnel Foreman	275
96			Tunnel Foreman, Assistant.....	240
97			Waiter	107.50
98			Waiter, Head	117.50
99			Watchman	
100			Water Workers at \$5 per day.....	
101			Welder at \$1.091 per hour.....	
102			Welder Helper at 75c per hour.....	

**Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
1	Attendant		\$ 4.75	
2	Baker			\$147.50
3	Bed Maker.....			107.50
4	Blacksmith	\$1.091		
5	Blacksmith Helper.....	.818		
6	Boilermaker	1.091		
7	Boilermaker's Helper.....	.76		

**Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
8	Bookkeeper			215.00
9	Bookkeeper			250.00
10	Bookkeeper (Supervising Ac- countant, Utilities)			550.00
11	Bookkeeper, Assistant			190.00
12	Brakeman		4.50	
13	Campman			120.00
14	Carpenter		9.00	
15	Carpenter Foreman		10.00	
16	Carpenter's Helper.....		4.50	
17	Cement Gun Operator.....		6.00	
18	Chuckman		5.25	
19	Clerk			125.00
20	Clerk			140.00
21	Clerk			150.00
22	Clerk			165.00
23	Clerk			175.00
24	Clerk			200.00
25	Clerk (Experienced) (Asst. Auditor)			337.50
26	Clerk, General			180.00
27	Clerk, General			187.50
28	Clerk, General			225.00
29	Clerk, Ledger			175.00
30	Clerk, Relief			150.00
31	Compressorman		7.67	
32	Compressorman, Relief		7.67	
33	Cook			157.50
34	Cook			167.50
35	Cook			177.50
36	Cook			187.50
37	Cook			212.50
38	Cook-Baker			147.50
39	Cook Helper			122.50
40	Cook Helper			127.50
41	Cook, Night			137.50
42	Cook, Second			137.50
43	Cook, Second			147.50
44	Cost Accountant			275.00
45	Dishwasher			112.50
46	Dishwasher			117.50
47	Draftsman			175.00
48	Draftsman			212.50
49	Draftsman			250.00

**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
50	Drill Doctor		5.75	
51	Drill Doctor		6.00	
51 $\frac{1}{4}$	Driver90		
51 $\frac{1}{2}$	Driver95		
51 $\frac{3}{4}$	Driver	1.15		
52	Driver	1.00		
53	Driver	1.10		
54	Driver	1.25		

Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
55	Driver	1.35		
55½	Driver		5.50	
56	Driver		6.00	
57	Driver		6.50	
58	Driver		7.00	
59	Driver		7.50	
60	Driver		8.00	
61	Driver		9.20	
62	Driver		10.00	
63	Dumpman		4.50	
64	Dumpman		5.00	
65	Electrician	1.091		
66	Elec. Foreman, Gen.			287.50
67	Engineer, Assistant			212.50
68	Engineer, Assistant			237.50
69	Engineer, Assistant			250.00
70	Engineer, Assistant			287.50
71	Engineer, Asst. Elec.			250.00
72	Engineer, Asst. Const.			375.00
73	Engineer, Construction			600.00
73½	Engineer, Electrical			350.00
74	Engineer, Hydraulic			350.00
75	Engineer, Office			200.00
76	Engineer, Office			225.00
77	Field Assistant			100.00
78	Field Assistant			125.00
79	Field Assistant			150.00
80	Field Assistant			162.50
81	Field Assistant			175.00
82	Field Assistant			225.00
83	Fire Boss			212.50
84	Fire Boss, Relief			212.50
85	Foreman	1.216		
86	Foreman		6.00	
87	Foreman		6.25	
88	Foreman		6.50	
89	Foreman		7.00	
90	Foreman		7.25	
91	Foreman		7.50	
92	Foreman		8.00	
93	Foreman			262.50
94	Foreman			287.50
95	Foreman, Sub-		6.50	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
96	Form Man		5.25	
97	Gate Tender		5.75	
98	Grout Gunman (or Op.)....		6.00	
99	Gun Operator		6.00	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per	
			Day	Month
100	Gunité Helper		5.00	
101	Gunité Mixer Man.....		5.50	
102	Gunité Operator		6.00	
103	Gunité Shift Boss.....		7.00	
104	Gunité Shift Boss		7.25	
105	Hammersman		4.00	
106	Helper		4.50	
107	Helper		4.75	
108	Helper		5.00	
109	Helper		5.25	
110	Helper		5.50	
111	Helper		5.75	
112	Helper		6.00	
113	Hoisting Engr. or Relief Hoisting Engr.....		9.00	
114	Hostler		6.50	
115	Housesmith		9.00	
116	Housesmith Foreman		10.00	
117	Inspector			162.50
118	Inspector			187.50
119	Inspector			200.00
120	Inspector			225.00
121	Inspector			237.50
122	Inspector			250.00
123	Inspector, Chief			275.00
124	Inspector, Concrete			212.50
125	Inspector, S. & S.....			312.50
126	Instrumentman			125.00
127	Instrumentman			150.00
128	Instrumentman			175.00
129	Instrumentman			187.50
130	Instrumentman			190.00
131	Instrumentman			200.00
132	Instrumentman			212.50
133	Janitress			100.00
134	Kitchen Helper			117.50
135	Kitchen Helper			112.50
136	Lampman			150.00
137	Lineman Apprentice966		
138	Machineman		5.75	
139	Machinist	1.091		
140	Machinist Apprentice818		
141	Machinist Apprentice 2d Year818		
142	Machinist Foreman	1.227		
143	Machinist Helper75		
144	Machinist Helper818		
145	Machinist Helper Apprentice927		
146	Machinist Helper Apprentice955		
147	Machinist Helper Apprentice		5.50	
148	Material Man			175.00
149	Mechanic, Camp			225.00
150	Mechanic, Camp95		
151	Mechanic, General Master...			453.50
152	Mechanic, Head			225.00
153	Mechanic, Helper		6.00	
154	Mixerman		5.50	
155	Motorman, Gas		7.67	

**Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per	
			Day	Month
156	Motorman, Top		5.25	
157	Motorman, Tunnel		5.75	
158	Mucker.		4.50	
159	Mucker Foreman		6.00	
160	Mucker Top		4.00	
161	Mucker Top		4.25	
162	Mucking Machine Operator.		7.00	

**Section 82. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

163	Nipper		5.25	
164	Nozzleman		6.50	
165	Nurse			162.50
166	Nurse, Head			162.50
167	Nurse, Practical			112.50
168	Nurse		7.25	
169	Nurse		8.25	
170	Oiler		7.00	
171	Paymaster, Assistant			225.00
172	Physician			337.50
173	Pit Foreman		7.00	
174	Plant Foreman			240.00
175	Porter		4.50	
176	Powderman		5.25	
177	Powderman		5.50	
178	Powderman		5.75	
179	Pumpman		5.75	
180	Pumpman		5.00	
181	Rescueman75		
182	Rigger		6.00	
183	Rigger Foreman		8.00	
184	Rodman		5.25	
185	Shaftman		6.00	
186	Shift Boss		7.25	
187	Shop Foreman, Section.....	1.216		
188	Shop Foreman			287.50
189	Skip Tender		5.75	
190	Special Agent			225.00
191	Station Tender		5.25	
192	Station Tender-Pumpman ..		5.75	
193	Steamfitter		10.00	
194	Steam Shovel Engineer		10.00	240.00
195	Steam Shovel Fireman.....		7.00	165.00
196	Steam Shovel Oiler		6.00	150.00
197	Steam Shovel Operator ...		10.00	240.00
198	Steam Shovel Watchman ..		7.00	165.00
199	Steel Foreman		6.25	
200	Stenographer			175.00
201	Storekeeper			225.00
202	Storekeeper			240.00
203	Superintendent			287.50
204	Superintendent			500.00
205	Surveyor (Const. Engineer)			375.00

**Section 83. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per	
			Day	Month
206	Templaman		5.25	
207	Time Clerk			150.00
208	Time Clerk, Relief		4.00	
209	Timekeeper			175.00
210	Timekeeper, Relief			175.00
211	Toolsharpener, Head		6.75	
212	Toolsharpener, Second		6.50	
213	Toolsharpener, Helper		5.25	
214	Top Foreman		6.25	
215	Top Man		5.25	
216	Track Foreman (or Track Boss)		6.00	
217	Track Foreman		6.50	
218	Tractor Driver		8.00	
219	Transportation Man			225.00
220	Tunnel Foreman			275.00
221	Tunnel Foreman			312.50
222	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant			240.00
223	Typist-Clerk			150.00
224	Typist-Clerk			165.00
225	Typist-Clerk			185.00
226	Waiter			107.50
227	Waiter			112.50
228	Waiter, Head			117.50
229	Waitress			112.50
230	Watchman		5.00	
231	Watchman			150.00
232	Welder	1.091		
233	Welder Helper75		
234	Yardman			135.00

**Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES**

When rates of pay are fixed by action of the Board of Education, the employments will be included herein by amendment of this ordinance.

**Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Re- pairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
153	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter, School Dept., at \$10 per day	
154	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
155	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	2	B210	Office Assistant (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
166½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at \$5 per day	
171	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	75
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (PartTime) at \$4.20 per day.....	
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.30 per day.....	
184	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (PartTime) at \$3.00 per day.....	
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, \$5 per day, 196 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, \$2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
196	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
197	1	C52	Elevator Operator	155
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	134	C104	Janitor	155
201	9	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time).....	16
203	6	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	160
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook	130
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time).....	50
211	12	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening (as required).....	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines...	220

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at \$8 per day.....	
221	1	O58	Gardener, \$6 per day.....	
222	1	O58	Gardener, \$5.50 per day.....	
223	6	O58	Gardener, \$5 per day	
223½			Temporary Clerical Employment as needed	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224	2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225	1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226	3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227	1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Section 86. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	\$ 100
2	1	B222	General Clerk	155
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert.....	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary.....	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

15	2	B222	General Clerk	155
----	---	------	---------------------	-----

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System.....	\$ 500
2	1	B222	General Clerk	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed).....	200
6			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day	

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed)	150
8	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
9			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
11½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
12	1	N410	Investigator	200
13		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions....	
14			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board	

Section 88. Employment in any position herein established shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules if the funds appropriated for such purpose become exhausted or if the work for which the employment is created is completed. In those departments in which positions are established for the performance of interdepartmental service or in which positions are dependent upon contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, employment in such positions shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules upon completion of the service or fulfillment of the contract under which the service is rendered.

Section 89. If any section, subsection, item, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion or portions of this ordinance.

Section 90. This ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Agreement for Settlement of Longshoremen's Strike.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and referred to the *Public Welfare Committee*:

Communication from his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, transmitting copy of agreement entered into by the Waterfront Employers' Union and the International Longshoremen's Association and its affiliated locals, through its international president, etc., which agreement was signed in his office on Saturday, June 16, about 4 p. m., and which is presented for the information of the Board in view of what has subsequently taken place, leaving the subject matter pretty much in the same condition that it was prior to the signing of the agreement; also advising that he has called a meeting of the confreres of last Saturday for Monday, June 18, at 2:45 p. m.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved the Board request his Honor the Mayor to reconsider Supervisor Gallagher's resolution regarding longshoremen's strike and his previous motion to appoint a fact-finding committee (not a settlement committee) to ascertain the facts in regard to the longshoremen's strike.

Relief for Unemployed Newspaper Men.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk of the Board confer with proper officials relative to providing means for the employment of unemployed newspaper men in the gathering of data on the functions, etc., of the SERA, FERA, and other state and federal government bodies, for the compilation of a history thereof.

So ordered.

Naval Construction on Pacific Coast.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk, and *referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee*:

Telegram

• Washington, D. C., June 14.

Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor Clerk's Office, 235 City Hall.

Navy Department has been manifesting friendly interest towards Pacific Coast construction. Plans for new ships now available at Mare Island and Bremerton for inspection of prospective bidders.

CLARENCE F. LEA.

Federal Relief.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, transmitting copy of telegram received from Aubrey Williams, Assistant Administrator of Federal Relief, which telegram sets up what the Federal Government expects of state and local governments in defraying the cost of unemployment relief, to-wit:

Washington, D. C., June 18, 1934.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Re your inquiry what is expected of state local government in defraying cost unemployment relief. This administration expects and insists upon state and local government defraying their fair share cost unemployment relief. This is a condition of future grants of funds to any state. Outside of few states conclusively shown to be unable to pay, states and local governments are defraying more than 50 per cent of cost of relief. For first quarter present year, Connecticut local state, 63 per cent, Federal 37 per cent. Kansas, 59 local and state, 41 Federal. New York local state, 82, Federal 18. Washington, local state 65, Federal 35. New Jersey, local state, 65, Federal 35. Total all states, local and state, 51.3 per cent, federal 48.7 per cent. This administration feels California local and state fully able to defray at least 50 per cent total obligations.

AUBREY WILLIAMS, Assistant Administrator.

Mayor's Recommendations for Salary Deductions.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, and *referred to Finance Committee*:

Communication from his Honor the Mayor recommending salary deductions operative as of July 1, 1934, to continue on during the fiscal year 1934-35, showing varying percentages in compensation categories, totaling in amount \$1,042,033.

Cooperation of Municipal Employees.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from San Francisco Federation of Municipal Employees offering fullest cooperation with his Honor, Angelo J. Rossi, in proposing the declaration of an emergency and the deduction of various percentages from the wages of city employees amounting to over \$1,000,000.

Employees Thank Board for Salary Increase.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

Communication from Federation of City Institutional Employees expressing thanks and appreciation to each member of the Board for salary increase allowed.

San Francisco, Calif., June 16, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Committee on Public Welfare to which was referred the motion of Supervisor Gallagher for the appointment by his Honor the Mayor of a Fact Finding Committee on the issues involved in the long struggle between ship owners of San Francisco and vicinity, and the members of the various organizations, particularly the International Longshoremen's Association, begs leave to report that your Committee considered this matter further and feels that his Honor the Mayor has misunderstood and misinterpreted the purport of this request. The letter in response thereto indicates that at the time he was dealing with possible settlement of the controversy he did not desire to add committees to those already functioning. However, events during the past week have indicated positive need for such Fact Finding Committee composed of members unattached to either parties to the controversy. Therefore, your Committee reiterates the need of giving the people the facts in connection with this controversy, and recommends that the Board again request His Honor the Mayor to consider Supervisor Gallagher's resolution and to appoint such committee in accordance with its terms.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

By ADOLPH UHL, Chairman.

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Member.

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Member.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Leave of Absence—Philip Lee Bush, President Board of Education.

June 15, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, for the period June 16 to June 19, inclusive.

I respectfully ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this leave.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1473, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip Lee Bush, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period June 16 to June 19, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Expression of Appreciation for Free Exhibit of Eleven Famous French Paintings Loaned to California Palace of the Legion of Honor.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1474, as follows:

Whereas, the Republic of France, through its Minister of Beaux Arts and the Directors of the Muses du Louvre, have graciously loaned to the California Palace of the Legion of Honor for free exhibit to the people of San Francisco, a rare selection of eleven famous French paintings, which comprehensively represent the development and history of over five centuries of French painting; and

Whereas, the loan of these historic masterpieces has inspired American museums, individual collectors and dealers further to contribute to this exhibition over two hundred characteristic works of French art for the education and artistic gratification of the people of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this exhibition since its inauguration has been visited not only by thousands of San Franciscans, but also by visitors from many other parts of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board does hereby express its gratitude and appreciation to the aforesaid officials and the people of the Republic of France for their generous contribution to the artistic welfare of the people of the City of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Special Hearing on Exposition Sites.

Supervisor Uhl presented

Resolution No. 1476, as follows:

Whereas, it is contemplated that San Francisco will hold an exposition in 1937 to celebrate the completion of the two bridges; and

Whereas, plans have been prepared for locating such exposition immediately north of Yerba Buena Island; and

Whereas, the proposed site is to be subsequently used for a metropolitan airport, which airport will become a competitor to the San Francisco Airport and will therefore jeopardize San Francisco's investment which will ultimately approximate \$1,700,000 and on which a loss of anywhere from \$60,000 to \$100,000 a year will be sustained in any competition with the metropolitan airport; and

Whereas, it is desirable that the proposed exposition should be located somewhere in San Francisco, preferably along the Bay Shore tidelands, which could be reclaimed and subsequently used for industrial purposes, which land will have a considerable value, as was evidenced in the reclamation of the Marina section for the P. P. I. E., and which land is now of great value; and

Whereas, the success of the exposition is dependent upon the convenient location to the center of population and as time for holding the exposition is only 36 months off; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board will set a special hearing on exposition sites on June 18, 1934, at 3 p. m., and the Clerk be instructed to notify interested persons to be present.

Referred to Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.

In Memoriam, Carl Ewald Grunsky.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors with deep regret learns of the passing of Carl Ewald Grunsky, Director of the California Academy of Sciences; and

Whereas, Mr. Grunsky was president of the American Society of

Civil Engineers, City Engineer of San Francisco from 1900 to 1904, a former member of the Isthmian Canal Commission, and Consulting Engineer of the United States Reclamation Service. San Francisco loses a valued citizen and a very eminent engineer; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its profound sorrow at the passing of Carl Ewald Grunsky.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Sale of School and High Pressure System Bonds.

(Code No. 21.31)

(Code No. 11.0434)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1469, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper (Notice of Sale) that on the 25th day of June, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals, up to the hour of 3 p. m., for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Three million dollars of "School House Bonds 1934" dated January 1, 1934. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1; comprising 300 bonds of one thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1935 to 1944, inclusive.

Two million dollars of "High Pressure System Bonds 1933" dated December 1, 1933. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1; comprising 100 bonds of one thousand-dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1934 to 1953, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:07 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, June 25, 1934

Wednesday, June 27, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 25, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 25, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Shannan, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of Monday, May 28, 1934,
was considered, read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

SALE OF BONDS—3 P. M.

Notice is hereby given that sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, June 25, 1934, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Three million dollars "School House Bonds 1934" dated January 1, 1934. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1; comprising 300 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1935 to 1944, inclusive.

Two million dollars "High Pressure System Bonds 1933" dated December 1, 1933. Said bonds will bear interest at rate or rates not to exceed 6 per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1; comprising 100 bonds of one thousand dollar denomination, maturing each year from 1934 to 1953, inclusive.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of 5 per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted June 18, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) \$3,000,000 School House Bonds, 1934, to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent; \$2,000,000 High Pressure Bonds, 1933, to bear interest at the rate of $3\frac{3}{4}$ per cent, par value and premium of \$7887. Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Chase National Bank, Northern Trust Company, Edward B. Smith & Co. By Harris Trust and Savings Bank.

(2) Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc., Weeden & Co., Anglo California National Bank, Heller, Bruce & Co., Kean, Taylor & Co., Wallace & Co., Mercantile Commerce Bank & Trust Co., Eldredge & Co., First of Michigan Corporation, Wm. R. Staats Co., Schaumburg, Rebhann & Osborne. By Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$5,000,081.

The bonds shall bear interest as follows: \$1,200,000 School House Bonds maturing \$300,000 each January 1, 1935, to 1938, inclusive, and \$500,000 High Pressure System Bonds maturing \$100,000 each December 1, 1934, to 1938, inclusive; shall bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum; \$1,800,000 School House bonds maturing \$300,000 each January 1, 1939, to 1944, inclusive, and \$1,500,000 High Pressure System Bonds maturing \$100,000 each December 1, 1939, to 1953, inclusive, shall bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent per annum.

(3) E. O. Huttlinger Co., by E. O. Huttlinger, President, on behalf of Lehman Bros., and Halsey Stuart & Co., Inc., joint managers.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of five million eleven thousand two hundred and fifty dollars (\$5,011,250) and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery; all bonds to bear interest at the rate of three and one-half per cent ($3\frac{1}{2}\%$) per annum.

(4) Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Co., Inc., R. W. Pressprich & Co., American Trust Company. By Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by Malcolm M. Prosser.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$5,000,139 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery. Said bonds are more particularly described as follows:

Nine hundred and seventy-five thousand (\$975,000) par value School House Bonds, 1934, maturing: \$300,000 each year, January 1, 1935, to 1937, inclusive, and \$75,000 due January 1, 1938, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent (5%) per annum, payable semi-annually; and \$2,025,000 par value School House Bonds, 1934, maturing: \$225,000 on January 1, 1938, and \$300,000 maturing January 1, 1939, to 1944, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent (3%) per annum, payable semi-annually; and

Four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) par value High Pressure System Bonds, maturing: \$100,000 each year, December 1, 1934, to 1937, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 5 per cent (5%) per annum, payable semi-annually, and \$1,600,000 par value High Pressure

System Bonds, 1933, maturing: \$100,000 each year, December 1, 1938, to 1953, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent (3%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

(5) R. H. Moulton & Company, Dean Witter & Co., First Boston Corporation, Bankers Trust Company, Security First National Bank. By R. H. Moulton & Company, Syndicate Manager.

For all of the bonds offered for sale the sum of \$5,000,000 and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery for the bonds as described below:

Three million dollars (\$3,000,000) City and County of San Francisco School House Bonds: \$1,200,000, due \$300,000 each January 1, 1935, to 1938, inclusive, as 5 per cent bonds; \$1,800,000, due \$300,000 each January 1, 1939, to 1944, inclusive, as 3 per cent bonds.

Two millions dollars (\$2,000,000) City and County of San Francisco High Pressure System Bonds: \$500,000, due \$100,000 each December 1, 1934, to 1938, inclusive, as 5 per cent bonds; \$1,500,000, due \$100,000 each December 1, 1939, to 1953, inclusive, as 3 per cent bonds.

Adopted.

Subsequently, during the meeting, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Sale of Bonds—\$3,000,000 School House Bonds 1934, and \$2,000,000 High Pressure System Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 21.31)

(Code No. 11.0134)

Resolution No. 1486, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

School House Bonds, 1934, to the amount of \$3,000,000.

High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$2,000,000; and being bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company; Blyth & Co., Inc.; R. W. Pressprich & Co.; American Trust Company; by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by Malcolm S. Prosser, for \$975,000 par value School House Bonds, 1934, maturing: \$300,000 each year, January 1, 1935, to 1937, inclusive, and \$75,000 due January 1, 1938, to bear interest at the rate of five per cent (5%) per annum, payable semi-annually; and \$2,025,000 par value School House Bonds, 1934, maturing \$225,000 on January 1, 1938, and \$300,000 maturing January 1, 1939, to 1944, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of three per cent (3%) per annum, payable semi-annually; and \$400,000 par value High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, maturing: \$100,000 each year, December 1, 1934, to 1937, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of five per cent (5%) per annum, payable semi-annually and \$1,600,000 par value High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, maturing: \$100,000 each year, December 1, 1938, to 1953, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of three per cent (3%) per annum, payable semi-annually, be and the same is hereby accepted, and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankamerica Company, Blythe & Co., Inc., R. W. Pressprich & Co., American Trust Company, by Bankamerica Company, Syndicate Manager, by Malcolm S. Prosser, for the price bid therefor, to-wit: \$5,000,139, and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$50,000 Out of Publicity and Advertising, for Payment to the Chamber of Commerce.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 577, Ordinance No. 9.051132, as follows:

Appropriating \$7,935 from Appropriation 78 (Publicity and Advertising, unallocated) and the sum of \$42,065 from Publicity and Advertising Fund, being total of \$50,000, to the credit of Appropriation 78-1, for payment to the Chamber of Commerce as provided for by Resolution No. 1230.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$7,935 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 78 (Publicity and Advertising, unallocated), and the sum of \$42,065 set aside out of Publicity and Advertising Fund, a total of \$50,000, to the credit of Appropriation 78-1, for payment to the Chamber of Commerce as provided for by Resolution No. 1230, to-wit:

For the exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County, for the purpose of inducing immigration to and increasing the trade and commerce of the City and County, and of advertising and exhibiting for said purpose, the agricultural, horticultural, industrial, commercial and climatic, educational, recreational, artistic, musical, cultural and other resources of said City and County.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmfidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$1,277.62 for Garbage Removal.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 579, Ordinance No. 9.051133, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$1,277.62 out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation 105 (Scavenger Service), fiscal year 1933-1934, for cost of removal of garbage and refuse from city buildings and institutions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$1,277.62 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of Appropriation 105 (Scavenger Service), fiscal year 1933-1934, and authorized expended for the removal of garbage and refuse from city buildings and institutions.

(Recommended by the Mayor and approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Establishing Sidewalk Widths on Alta Mar Way.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 576, Ordinance No. 12.073124, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 1138.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office May 25, 1934, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered Eleven Hundred and Thirty-eight (1138), to read as follows:

Section 1138. The width of sidewalks on Alta Mar way between Seal Rock Drive and Point Lobos avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deeds, Two Lots for Bernal Heights Boulevard and Payment of \$200 Out of 1931 Boulevard and Roads Bonds.

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1479, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Victoria French Allen et al. to Lots 38 and 39 in Assessor's Block 5629, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$200 be paid for said land from the 1931 Bond Fund, Boulevards and Roads, 1931-1932, Appropriation No. 170-3.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Board of Supervisors to Act as a Board of Equalization.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 1480, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors will meet on Monday, July 2, 1934, at 2 p. m., and examine the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1934 and will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 16, 1934, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Authorizing Designation of Employees of Assessor's Office as Deputy Clerks of Board of Supervisors While Acting as Board of Equalization.

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 1481, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized to designate such employees of the Assessor's Office as may be necessary as deputy clerks of this Board of Supervisors during the time of the meeting of the Board of Equalization to perform duties in connection therewith.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

**Providing for Notice in Official Newspaper, Re Filing Statement
of Real and Personal Property.**

(Code No. 1.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 1482, as follows:

A list of all persons resident of the City and County of San Francisco who have failed to file with the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco a statement under oath setting forth specifically all real and personal property not exempt from taxation owned by each of said persons on the first Monday of March, 1934, at 12 o'clock noon of said day, after demand therefor was made by said Assessor, having been filed with the Board; be it

Resolved, That each of the aforesaid persons who have failed to file said statement, be and he or she is hereby required to file with the Clerk of the Board within ten days from and after the date hereof a statement under oath setting forth specifically all the property owned or controlled or in the possession of said person on the first Monday of March, 1934; and be it

Further Resolved, That notice to all of the said persons herein referred to be given by the publication of a notice in the official newspaper, which said notice shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit:

To each individual person who has failed to file with the Assessor a verified statement setting forth all the real and personal property not exempt from taxation, owned by such person or in his possession or under his control, at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1934.

You and each of you are hereby required to file with the undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, within ten days from and after the date hereof, a statement under oath setting forth specifically all of the property owned or controlled by you, or under your possession, at 12 o'clock noon on the first Monday of March, 1934.

Dated June 29, 1934.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Final Passage.

The following emergency ordinances were presented by the Finance Committee and *finally passed*.

**Authorizing the Borrowing of \$550,709 From the State Under the
Provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1932.**

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 591, Ordinance No. 9.051138, as follows:

An ordinance to authorize the City and County of San Francisco to borrow the sum of five hundred fifty thousand seven hundred and nine dollars (\$550,709) from the State of California under provisions of Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, and providing for the repayment of amount so borrowed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That application for a loan in the amount of five hundred fifty thousand seven hundred and nine dollars (\$550,709) is hereby made by the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933, Chapter 207 of

the Statutes of 1933, and in accordance with the rules, regulations and conditions of the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator.

This sum is necessary to aid in meeting the cost of unemployment relief and administering relief work in the City and County of San Francisco, subsequent to July 1, 1934.

Section 2. Said loan, if granted, in the amount of five hundred fifty thousand seven hundred and nine dollars shall constitute a debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, and shall be secured by a note of the City and County of San Francisco to the State of California, in the words and figures and executed by the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of said Board, as follows:

\$550,709.

Date _____

The City and County of San Francisco promises to pay to the State of California the sum of \$550,709, in lawful money of the United States, in the following manner: One-tenth of the principal of said sum on the 1st day of July, 1935, and an equal one-tenth thereof on the 1st day of July of each and every year thereafter until all of said sum is paid, together with interest on said principal sum at the rate of three and three-quarters (3¾) per cent per annum from date until paid. Said interest to be payable with each installment of principal at the times herein set forth and if not so paid to be compounded as provided in the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933. Said installment payments on said note to reduce interest accordingly.

In addition to the amounts hereinbefore agreed to be paid to the State of California, the City and County agrees to pay to the State on or before the 1st day of July, 1943, any difference between the amount hereinbefore required to be paid and the amount which the State may be required to pay to liquidate so much of the bonded indebtedness created by the State, pursuant to the provisions of the Relief Bond Act of 1933; as represents in principal and interest thereof the amount of the proceeds of said indebtedness allocated and used to effect the loan to said City and County for the repayment whereof this note is given. In determining the amount of said difference there shall be credited in favor of the City and County of San Francisco, any premiums that may have been received by the State of California on the sale of any of the said bonds which may have been sold in order to effect the said loan to said City and County.

Should the City and County of San Francisco fail to make the payments hereinbefore required according to the tenor hereof, then, and in that event the officer or officers charged with the duty of ordering the making of payments, or of making payments, out of the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during the years hereafter referred to, as provided in the act creating the Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or any other act providing that moneys be transferred or paid into that fund, shall in each year between the years 1938 to 1947, both inclusive, withhold from the moneys due to the City and County of San Francisco from said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund, or out of the fund into which the moneys now paid into said Motor Vehicle Fuel Fund are paid during said years, an amount sufficient to pay one-tenth of the amount which may remain due and unpaid on the 1st day of July, 1938, and/or the 1st day of July of each year thereafter so long as there remains unpaid any of the amounts required to be paid hereunder, and shall apply the same to the amounts due as herein provided, all as provided in Section 23 of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933.

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors.

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that it is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to include in its annual budget each year an amount sufficient to meet the annual payment to become due upon the above obligation, to the end that said obligation may be paid according to the tenor thereof, and the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to make due provision in each annual budget to meet said payments.

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Clerk of said Board are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute such note set forth hereinabove in the amount requested (\$550,709) or in any amount not exceeding the amount requested which may be granted by the State Emergency Relief Commission and the State Emergency Relief Administrator; provided that, whatever the amount, the same shall constitute a debt of the said City and County of San Francisco to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the Unemployment Relief Bond Act of 1933 and be subject to the provisions thereof. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and said Clerk are authorized to furnish all necessary information and to execute any additional documents requested in connection with this application.

Section 4. This ordinance is passed and enacted as an emergency ordinance and the Board of Supervisors, by the specific vote by which this ordinance is passed, to-wit, a vote of at least three-fourths ($\frac{3}{4}$) of all of the members of said Board, does hereby declare that an actual emergency does exist which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, said emergency being as follows:

That the amount of money which the City is authorized to borrow pursuant to this ordinance is necessary immediately for the preservation of the public health and safety of a large number of the residents of the City and County and for the uninterrupted operation of a department of said City and County government.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be effective immediately upon its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Appropriating \$4,600 From Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of the Police Department, and Declaring the Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 593, Ordinance No. 9.051140, as follows:

Appropriating \$4,600 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$4,600, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to	
June 30, 1934	4,100.00
	<hr/>
	\$4,600.00

And declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$4,600, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to	
June 30, 1934	4,100.00
	<hr/>
	\$4,600.00

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure, and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the proper functioning of the Police Department of the City and County and for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

(Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.)

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Appropriating \$19,900 to the County Welfare Department for Maintenance of the Needy Aged and Pensions for the Needy Blind.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 594, Ordinance No. 9.051141, as follows:

Appropriating and authorizing the expenditure of \$19,900 from Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the County Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco for the maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$19,900 is hereby appropriated and authorized expended out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1933-1934, to the credit of the County Welfare Department of the City and County of San Francisco for the maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind during the fiscal year 1933-1934.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco during the fiscal year 1933-1934, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended, and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the maintenance of the needy aged and pensions for the blind.

(Recommended by the Mayor.)

(Approved by the Controller as to funds being available.)

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Emergency Appropriation of \$200,000 for the Care of the Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 592, Ordinance No. 9.051139, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$200,000 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to June 30, 1934, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$200,000 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco to June 30, 1934.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and Municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said City and County and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 992, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, does hereby declare that an emergency actually exists which necessitates the immediate passage of this ordinance, and said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the appropriation herein made is necessary for the preservation of the health and safety of a large number of the residents and inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of the work of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Authorizing Leasing the City-Owned Parcel of Land at the Northwest corner of Polk and McAllister Streets.

(Code No. 12.1737)

The following matter ordered on Calendar by Supervisor Shannon, Chairman, Public Buildings Committee, was taken up:

Bill No. 590, Ordinance No. 12.17395, as follows:

Authorizing leasing the City-owned parcel of land at the northwest corner of Polk and McAllister streets, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing as a gasoline and oil service station and for no other purposes, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent, after 5 days' published notice, the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Polk street with the northerly line of McAllister street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Polk street 120 feet to the southerly line of Redwood street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Redwood street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along last named line 137 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Polk street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall begin on August 11, 1934, and shall be for a term to be fixed by the Director of Property and shall be subject to such covenants and conditions as may be deemed necessary or desirable by the Director of Property and approved by the City Attorney.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to strike

out the words "as a gasoline or oil station or for any other purpose" in third line of Section 1.

So ordered.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Action Deferred.

The following was taken up and *laid over for hearing at a recessed meeting to be held at 4 p. m., Wednesday, June 27, 1934:*

Removal of Market Street Railway Tracks on Harrison Street and Permission to Operate Trolley Bus.

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 595, Ordinance No. 15.0914, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its street railway tracks over and along Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street, and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and substitute, in lieu of such service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over the route hereinafter provided for.

Whereas, the State Highway Commission of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco have requested Market Street Railway Company to discontinue its street car service on fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Tenth street and to remove its railway tracks from said portion of said street, or to permit the same to be removed by the proper authority to the end that Harrison street from Fifth street to Tenth street may be utilized as a state highway route and an avenue of approach to the westerly terminus of the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has consented to discontinue its said street car service and to remove or permit its railway tracks to be removed over the said portion of Harrison street from Third street to Tenth street upon condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall also permit the said Market Street Railway Company to discontinue the operation of street cars upon the following additional streets, to-wit: continuing on Harrison street from Tenth street to Fourteenth street; thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth street, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street; thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street; thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street; thence westerly along Waller street

to Stanyan street; and to discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and to substitute, in lieu of said street car service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over and along the route and streets set forth in Section 2 of this ordinance; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the plan set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said street railway tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon over fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the abandonment of said tracks provided the overhead electric trolley bus service hereinafter mentioned is substituted for the street car service now maintained over said tracks, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. In lieu and in substitution of street car service over the fixed tracks in said streets above mentioned, which said tracks are to be removed under the provisions herein contained, the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, are hereby granted permission and the right to install, operate and maintain an overhead electric trolley bus service with all wires and appliances necessary for the operation of said buses over and along Harrison street commencing at Third street and Harrison street, thence **southwesterly** along Harrison street to Fourteenth street; thence westerly along Fourteenth street to Guerrero street; thence southerly along Guerrero street to Eighteenth street; thence westerly along Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to and over its junction with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue): thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Waller street; thence westerly on Waller street to Stanyan street; thence northerly on Stanyan street to Haight street; thence easterly on Haight street to Shrader street; thence southerly on Shrader street to Waller street; also southerly on Mission street from Fourteenth street to Sixteenth street; thence westerly on Sixteenth street to Guerrero street.

Said buses to be operated southerly on Mission street from Fourteenth street to Sixteenth street and westerly on Sixteenth street to Guerrero street only between the hours of 6 o'clock p. m. and 6 o'clock a. m.

The substitution of such service will more adequately serve the transportation needs of the public by reason of the construction of said San Francisco Bay Bridge, and will be in aid of the public service and convenience.

Section 3. The permission hereby granted is given for the period of duration of the operating permit granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, dated February 9, 1931, or for any extension thereof, and under which said permit said Market Street

Railway Company is operating its street railway in the City and County of San Francisco and all the terms and conditions of said operating permit shall govern the operation of said electric trolley buses in so far as said terms and conditions may be applicable thereto.

Section 4. The permit herein granted to operate said electric trolley buses over the route and streets mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, in so far as said permit covers streets not included in the operating permit of said Market Street Railway Company dated February 9, 1931, is granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 132 of the Charter and as an extension of an existing street railway and as a permit supplemental to said operating permit above mentioned.

Section 5. The continuation of this permit will be dependent upon the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, complying with all the terms and conditions of said operating permit above mentioned in so far as they may be applicable to said electric trolley buses, and upon the further condition that, when the present railway tracks over and along the streets mentioned in Section 1 hereof are removed, the Market Street Railway Company will restore the pavement in said streets to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works except on such portions of said streets which may be reconstructed by the State Highway Commission.

Section 6. This ordinance shall be enacted and passed in accordance with the Charter provisions covering the passage of ordinances and when so passed and enacted shall be deemed to be the manner in which the rights herein granted and the powers herein exercised should be granted and exercised.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Granting Permission to Market Street Railway Company to Operate Bus Line Over Geneva Avenue and Other Streets.

(Code No. 15.091)

Resolution No. 1483, as follows:

Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile buses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

From the intersection of Geneva avenue and Mission street; thence easterly along Geneva avenue to Walbridge street; thence easterly along Walbridge street to the San Mateo County line, and from the San Mateo County line northerly along Schwerin street to Sunny Dale avenue; thence easterly along Sunny Dale avenue to Bayshore Highway (formerly San Bruno avenue) and thence along said Bayshore Highway (formerly San Bruno avenue) in a southerly direction approximately one thousand (1000) feet.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and for the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said buses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and determine, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceeding instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said buses will at all times be operated by skilled operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all state and municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said buses operated under this permit a fare of five (5) cents for each passenger shall be charged, which such fare when paid on a bus shall entitle the passenger to a transfer to the street railway lines of the Market Street Railway Company on Mission street and on Bayshore Highway (formerly San Bruno avenue), and the Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street and Bayshore Highway (formerly San Bruno avenue) lines transfers which will be accepted in lieu of fare on said buses traveling over the route herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit, subject to all the conditions herein contained.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Leave of Absence—Harry K. Wolff, Member Civil Service Commission.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 18, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of three weeks, commencing June 26, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was adopted:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1478, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing June 26, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Purchase of Street Lighting Cable.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from John A. Roeblings' Sons Company of California calling attention to their facilities for furnishing street lighting cable, and stating that their long establishment in San Francisco, their standing and reputation warrants favorable consideration of their

product, and stating they would appreciate an opportunity of participating in a share of the business of furnishing street lighting cable.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

Le Roy Service Survey.

Supervisor Ratto presented:

Communication from Le Roy Service calling attention to their quantity survey service of competitive bidding and requesting the courtesy of the unrestricted use of plans and specifications on proposed City construction work as soon as available.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

License Ordinance Returned.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the President of the Board confer with the Mayor with the idea of calling a conference to be composed of the Tax Collector, Controller, and any other departments, including the Board of Supervisors and Mayor's office, as may be deemed necessary for the purpose of studying existing license ordinances and determining whether or not fees are sufficiently compensating.

Motion carried.

Committee to Attend Highway Conference.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the President of this Board be authorized to appoint three members of the Board of Supervisors and representatives of the City Engineer's office to attend meeting of the State Highway Commission July 21, 1934, at Lakerport.

Motion carried. Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto and Uhl appointed.

Participation of Municipal Employees in Politics.

(Code No. 4.01)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1485, as follows:

Whereas, Section 157 of the Charter reads as follows:

"Active participation in City and County politics, relative to the election or appointment of public officials, by Civil Service employees and eligibles of the City and County, is subversive to the best interests of the merit system, and, therefore, persons holding positions in the classified Civil Service or on eligible lists for such positions shall take no active part in such political campaigns, * * *"; and

Whereas, there are a large number of Civil Service employees and eligibles on the several Civil Service lists who are desirous of exercising the right to participate in the political activities of the several candidates to be voted for at the coming State primary election and at the coming State general election; and

Whereas, said employees are uncertain as to whether or not the activities of said several candidates who are to be voted for at the coming primary and general State elections come within the prohibitions contained in said Section 157; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission be requested to determine as to whether the participation by Civil Service employees and eligibles on the several Civil Service lists in the activities of those candidates who are to be voted for at the coming primary or general state elections is a violation of the provisions of said Section 157 of the Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

In Memoriam: James Rolph, Jr.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1484, as follows:

Whereas, one of the greatest careers of public service and achievement has been ended by the death of James Rolph, Jr., Governor of the State of California; and

Whereas, the heart of every San Franciscan is weighted with sorrow in the passing of their former Mayor as was demonstrated by the thousands paying tribute in last solemn rites in this City Hall; and

Whereas, the place he occupied in the hearts of his fellow citizens will be void for years to come and his passing has meant a distinct loss that is not to be met easily nor casually; and

Whereas, James Rolph, Jr., labored diligently for the honors which came to him and which he held by dint of prodigious effort which finally cost him his life years before he need have gone had he quitted his difficult post and retired to private life; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly mourn the passing of a first citizen, a fine neighbor and a most excellent public servant, in the death of James Rolph, Jr.; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the family of the deceased.

Supervisor Hayden expressed himself at length in eulogy of the life, social and political activities of the late Governor.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted unanimously by rising vote.*

Plan for Handling Bridge Traffic.

Attention was called to survey of Downtown Association of proposed plan for handling interurban bridge traffic in San Francisco, published in recent newspapers, and Supervisor Uhl moved that City Engineer report thereon and that matter be referred to Streets and Public Utilities Committee.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 4 p. m. Wednesday, June 27, 1934, at which time it will consider resolution granting Market Street Railway Company permission to remove its tracks on Harrison street and operate trolley buses.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27, 1934, 4 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors convened at 4 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon was noted present at 5:15 p. m.

Supervisor Ratto presided.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted* by the following vote:

Withdrawal from Sale—Property Erroneously Assessed.

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1487, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor in a communication dated June 23, 1934, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1933, be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1934, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer the same for sale:

<i>Vol.</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>
2	169	270	26
2	203	297	$\frac{7}{8}$
3	30	337	7
6	44	922	23
7	85	1093	2
7	85	1093	5
7	85	1096	2
7	145	1170	4/9
8	57	1236	11
9	117	1403	16
10	49	1453	34
17	157	2379	14½
17	157	2379	14½
18	169	2619	16/17
18	173	2620	21
20	220	2989	27
23	57	3530	12
24	165	3614	48
27	108	4139	23
28	107	4644	6/7½
28	107	4644	6/7½
31	75	5221	2 5/12
31	75	5221	2 7/12
37	160	6246	25
38	174	6472	8
39	85	6546	18
39	124	6564	30 1/3 Int.
40	206	6731	6
41	195	6836	14
42	211	7060	42

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence—Leo A. Murasky, Judge of the Municipal Court.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

June 27, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Leo A. Murasky, Judge of the Municipal Court, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for the period from June 30 to July 17.

May I ask that your honorable Board concur with me in granting this permission?

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1488, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable Leo A. Murasky, Judge of the Municipal Court, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from June 30 to July 17, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Funds for Relief.

His Honor, Mayor Rossi, addressed the Board regarding the borrowing of money from the State for relief purposes. He stated that he would not disapprove the ordinance finally passed by the Board on Monday, June 25, although he did not think it good business to borrow from the State for relief purposes. His Honor, the Mayor, urged that the Board take action, declaring the existence of an emergency and providing for deductions from the salaries of City officials and employees in accordance with recommendation previously submitted, thereby making available an estimated amount of \$1,042,000 for relief.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, the Board recessed at 6 p. m., to reconvene at 8:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

RE-ASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 8:30 p. m. and the following members were voted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Ratto presiding.

Removal of Market Street Railway Tracks on Harrison Street and Permission to Operate Trolley Bus.

(Code No. 15.091)

The following recommendations of Public Utilities Committee, laid over from Monday, June 25, 1934, was taken up:

Bill No. 578, Ordinance No. 15.0914, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its street railway tracks over and along Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street, and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and substitute, in lieu of such service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over the route hereinafter provided for.

Whereas, the State Highway Commission of the State of California

and the City and County of San Francisco have requested Market Street Railway Company to discontinue its street car service on fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Tenth street and to remove its railway tracks from said portion of said street, or to permit the same to be removed by the proper authority to the end that Harrison street from Fifth street to Tenth street may be utilized as a state highway route and an avenue of approach to the westerly terminus of the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has consented to discontinue its said street car service and to remove or permit its railway tracks to be removed over the said portion of Harrison street from Third street to Tenth street upon condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall also permit the said Market Street Railway Company to discontinue the operation of street cars upon the following additional streets, to-wit: continuing on Harrison street from Tenth street to Fourteenth street; thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth street, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street; thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street; thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street; thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street; and to discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and to substitute, in lieu of said street car service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over and along the route and streets set forth in Section 2 of this ordinance; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the plan set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said street railway tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon over fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the abandonment of said tracks provided the overhead electric trolley bus service hereinafter mentioned is substituted for the street car service now maintained over said tracks, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. In lieu and in substitution of street car service over the fixed tracks in said streets above mentioned, which said tracks are to be removed under the provisions herein contained, the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, are hereby granted permission and the right to install, operate and maintain an overhead electric trolley bus service with all wires and appliances necessary

for the operation of said buses over and along Harrison street commencing at Third street and Harrison street, thence suothwesterly along Harrison street to Fourteenth street; thence westerly along Fourteenth street to Guerrero street; thence southerly along Guerrero street to Eighteenth street; thence westerly along Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to and over its junction with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Waller street; thence westerly on Waller street to Stanyan street; thence northerly on Stanyan street to Haight street; thence easterly on Haight street to Shrader street; thence southerly on Shrader street to Waller street; also southerly on Mission street from Fourteenth street to Sixteenth street; thence westerly on Sixteenth street to Guerrero street.

Said buses to be operated southerly on Mission street from Fourteenth street to Sixteenth street and westerly on Sixteenth street to Guerrero street only between the hours of 6 o'clock p. m. and 6 o'clock a. m.

The substitution of such service will more adequately serve the transportation needs of the public by reason of the construction of said San Francisco Bay Bridge, and will be in aid of the public service and convenience.

Section 3. The permission hereby granted is given for the period of duration of the operating permit granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, dated February 9, 1931, or for any extension thereof, and under which said permit said Market Street Railway Company is operating its street railway in the City and County of San Francisco and all the terms and conditions of said operating permit shall govern the operation of said electric trolley buses in so far as said terms and conditions may be applicable thereto.

Section 4. The permit herein granted to operate said electric trolley buses over the route and streets mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, in so far as said permit covers streets not included in the operating permit of said Market Street Railway Company dated February 9, 1931, is granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 132 of the Charter and as an extension of an existing street railway and as a permit supplemental to said operating permit above mentioned.

Section 5. The continuation of this permit will be dependent upon the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, complying with all the terms and conditions of said operating permit above mentioned in so far as they may be applicable to said electric trolley buses, and upon the further condition that, when the present railway tracks over and along the streets mentioned in Section 1 hereof are removed, the Market Street Railway Company will restore the pavement in said streets to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works except on such portions of said streets which may be reconstructed by the State Highway Commission.

Section 6. This ordinance shall be enacted and passed in accordance with the Charter provisions covering the passage of ordinances and when so passed and enacted shall be deemed to be the manner in which the rights herein granted and the powers herein exercised should be granted and exercised.

Correction.

Supervisor McSheehy called attention to errors in description in first paragraph:

The words, "to Frederick street, thence westerly on Frederick street to Clayton street," were struck out, and the words "also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets," inserted in lieu thereof.

This correction was also made in Paragraph 3, and Section 1.

During the discussion the following persons addressed the Board:

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Fred Allen, representing the Market Street Railway Company; Mr. W. H. Humphrey, of 370 Upper Terrace, representing the Haight-Ashbury Improvement Club and property owners in the vicinity; Mr. Edward Vandeleur; Dr. C. F. Griffin, Secretary, Central Council of Civic Clubs; Mr. G. Skipton, Transportation Engineer of the Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Company, 1 Montgomery street.

Communication.

Pursuant to request by Supervisor Uhl the following communication was read into the record:

June 26, 1934.

Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Subject: Proposed Trolley Bus Operation in City of San Francisco.

Dear Sir: As we have made a very extensive survey of the application of trolley buses for Line No. 33, as well as various other routes in the City, it occurs to us that, in addition to the general data contained in the Trolley Bus Booklet forwarded to you about a week ago, you would be interested in some of the essentials of this form of transportation which make the trolley bus particularly suitable for Line No. 33, where it is now desirable, on account of the building of the Bay Bridge, to eliminate the rails on Harrison street.

Although trolley bus operation on the Pacific Coast is not well known, its successful operation in such locations as Chicago, Indianapolis, Detroit, Brooklyn, New Orleans, and some eight or ten other cities, proves beyond a doubt its effectiveness for the proposed operation in San Francisco. The essential features that make this form of transportation particularly suitable for the case at hand are:

First—It permits the elimination of rails from Harrison street, and provides a superior service from the standpoint of speed, greater frequency of service and comfort.

Second—It provides exceptional safety, as it may pick up the passengers at the curb; it is provided with high speed power brakes, and the duties of the operators are minimized.

Third—The trolley bus is mobile. It can run around obstructions such as moving trucks, moving vans, street repairs, etc.

Fourth—As the vehicle does not utilize a gear shift or a clutch and as its accelerator is automatic, greater comfort is provided to the passenger.

Fifth—The trolley bus is a very quiet form of transportation, as there is no clashing of gears, no rumbling of steel wheels on rails and crossings and no noisy engine exhaust. It is most suitable to residential districts or districts involving school zones.

Sixth—The trolley bus does not give off obnoxious exhaust gases which are annoying to passengers and pedestrians.

Seventh—The trolley bus is particularly suitable to Line No. 33, as higher speeds may be maintained up the steep grades and the loss of time at the switchback on Market street extension is eliminated.

In view of the above inherent advantages which have been proven in numerous other large cities, it is hoped that you will be successful in convincing the Market Street Railway to substitute this form of transportation for the existing rail transportation, thus solving the bridge approach problem, and, in addition, providing a superior form of transportation to the people living in the vicinity of the so-called Route No. 33.

Yours very truly,
G. SKIPTON, Transportation Division.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to amend Section 4 to read as follows:

"Section 4. Sufficient buses shall be operated to maintain an eight (8) minute headway from 8 a. m. to 1 a. m. daily between terminals as described in Section 1 hereof; and from 6 a. m. until 9 a. m. and from 4 p. m. to 7 p. m. there shall be maintained five (5) minute headway between said terminals."

Motion.

The following motions were *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannan, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Chair declared motion to amend *lost*.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, proposed that new Section 5 be added as follows:

"Section 5. Every bus, while carrying passengers, shall be in charge of a motorman and conductor, and it shall be unlawful to operate each bus with only one man."

The vote thereon was as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Chair declared motion to amend *lost*.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved to insert new Section 6, reading as follows:

"Section 6. The fare for one continuous passage between terminals as described herein shall be five cents (5c), and existing transfer privileges on this route shall continue in effect for the life of this permit."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to amend Section 7, as follows:

"Section 7. All buses used in the exercise of this permit shall, if possible, be constructed in the City and County of San Francisco."

Chair asked if there was any objection.

There being none, the Chair declared the amendment *adopted*.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the ordinance, as amended, and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Removal of Market Street Railway Tracks on Harrison Street and Permission to Operate Trolley Bus.

(Code No. 15.091)

Bill No. 578, Ordinance No. 15.0914, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its street railway tracks over and along Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with

Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street, also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street, and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and substitute, in lieu of such service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over the route hereinafter provided for.

Whereas, the State Highway Commission of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco have requested Market Street Railway Company to discontinue its street car service on fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Tenth street and to remove its railway tracks from said portion of said street, or to permit the same to be removed by the proper authority to the end that Harrison street from Fifth street to Tenth street may be utilized as a state highway route and an avenue of approach to the westerly terminus of the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has consented to discontinue its said street car service and to remove or permit its railway tracks to be removed over the said portion of Harrison street from Third street to Tenth street upon condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall also permit the said Market Street Railway Company to discontinue the operation of street cars upon the following additional streets, to-wit: continuing on Harrison street from Tenth street to Fourteenth street; thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth street, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street; also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets; thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street; thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street; and to discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and to substitute, in lieu of said street car service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over and along the route and streets set forth in Section 2 of this ordinance; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the plan set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said street railway tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon over fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street, also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the abandonment of said tracks provided the overhead electric trolley bus service hereinafter mentioned is substituted for the street

car service now maintained over said tracks, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. In lieu and in substitution of street car service over the fixed tracks in said streets above mentioned, which said tracks are to be removed under the provisions herein contained, the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, are hereby granted permission and the right to install, operate and maintain an overhead electric trolley bus service with all wires and appliances necessary for the operation of said buses over and along Harrison street commencing at Third street and Harrison street, thence southwesterly along Harrison street to Fourteenth street; thence westerly along Fourteenth street to Guerrero street; thence southerly along Guerrero street to Eighteenth street; thence westerly along Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to and over its junction with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Waller street; thence westerly on Waller street to Stanyan street; thence northerly on Stanyan street to Haight street; thence easterly on Haight street to Shrader street; thence southerly on Shrader street to Waller street; also northerly along Folsom street from Fourteenth street to Ninth street; thence southeasterly along Ninth street to Harrison street, this line to be operated only between the hours of 6 p. m. and 6 a. m.

The substitution of such service will more adequately serve the transportation needs of the public by reason of the construction of said San Francisco Bay Bridge, and will be in aid of the public service and convenience.

Section 3. The permission hereby granted is given for the period of duration of the operating permit granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, dated February 9, 1931, or for any extension thereof, and under which said permit said Market Street Railway Company is operating its street railway in the City and County of San Francisco and all the terms and conditions of said operating permit shall govern the operation of said electric trolley buses in so far as said terms and conditions may be applicable thereto.

Section 4. The permit herein granted to operate said electric trolley buses over the route and streets mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, in so far as said permit covers streets not included in the operating permit of said Market Street Railway Company dated February 9, 1931, is granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 132 of the Charter and as an extension of an existing street railway and as a permit supplemental to said operating permit above mentioned.

Section 5. The continuation of this permit will be dependent upon the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, complying with all the terms and conditions of said operating permit above mentioned in so far as they may be applicable to said electric trolley buses, and upon the further condition that, when the present railway tracks over and along the streets mentioned in Section 1 hereof are removed, the Market Street Railway Company will restore the pavement in said streets to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works except on such portions of said streets which may be reconstructed by the State Highway Commission. All tracks to be abandoned pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall be removed by the Market Street Railway Company at its expense.

Section 6. The fare for one continuous passage between terminals as described herein shall be five (5) cents, and existing transfer privileges on this route shall continue in effect for the life of this permit.

Section 7. All buses used in the exercise of this permit shall be, if possible, constructed in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be enacted and passed in accordance with the Charter provisions covering the passage of ordinances

and when so passed and enacted shall be deemed to be the manner in which the rights herein granted and the powers herein exercised should be granted and exercised.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote as follows:

"I am voting 'No' on this permit and wish my vote so recorded and made part of the record for the following reasons:

"Without the provision requiring a definite schedule and an eight (8) minute headway, the people of the district are not properly served. The service required by my amendment will meet the needs of the district served more adequately and efficiently than the existing service. The installation of the overhead trolley bus and its operation by one man is an opening wedge to a revision of service by the Market Street Railway Company which eventually would place hundreds of men on our relief rolls.

"The heavy traffic during the peak hours and on Sundays to Golden Gate Park require two (2) men on the bus to render the type of service to which the people are entitled. More than once this Board has recorded itself in favor of two-men car service, and I shall endeavor at every opportunity to see that street cars or buses of this type be manned by two (2) men.

"The provision regarding the fare and the transfer privileges does no harm to the ordinance and should remain as a further security. My desire to assist home industry prompts the inclusion in the ordinance of the mandate that buses be manufactured in San Francisco.

"May I call to the attention of the members, further, that now is the time to secure favorable provisions in the ordinance because the difficulties presented in amending the ordinance at a later date once the permit is granted are almost insurmountable. Our chance for such regulation is here and we should take advantage of it."

Supervisor Havenner—Explanation of His Vote.

"I want to, for the sake of the record, give my reasons for my vote on this ordinance.

"I do not intend that my vote on this particular matter shall be construed as a precedent for any future votes that I may be called upon to cast for permits that may be applied for by this company, or any other company, for one-man cars in San Francisco. I think I have made my position very clear on that question in a recent issue before this Board, and I see no reason to depart from that standpoint. However, I think this is an exceptional instance. The entry of the Bay Bridge into San Francisco is of far more importance to this city than the conditions that might be imposed upon this particular line of the street railway, and I do not wish to be put in the position which the Supervisor has assumed because he cannot obtain certain concessions with respect to this particular permit, of casting a vote in obstruction to the proper development of the approaches of the Bay Bridge. In my judgment that particular issue is of far more importance than the temporary conditions which may prevail with respect to this little used branch of the street railway system in San Francisco. I reserve my right to exercise my judgment as I have exercised it in the past on the broad question of one-man car operation, and I have not changed my views with respect to that question.

"The other issues raised here tonight in my judgment are trivial and I think they have been raised with the idea of harassing the street railway company. I believe my own record in San Francisco establishes very clearly that in every important controversy concerning

the Market Street Railway and the Municipal Railway companies I have championed the cause of the publicly-owned railroad. I am not willing, therefore, to be put in the position of indulging in small harassing of a company in which I do not happen to agree, and I think that is the situation that has been presented to us tonight. The company did not seek this permit. The State Toll Bridge Authority did ask us to remove the tracks, and the State Toll Bridge Authority asked us to remove the tracks, even if it entailed the permanent cessation of that particular branch of the street railway service in San Francisco, and I think I would have been willing to vote for that, and I want the public to know it. The company, however, stated it wanted to continue some of the service there, and it seems to me that the substitute they have offered in lieu of a complete cessation of service there is something of a benefit to the people of San Francisco.

"I do not want to be regarded by the people of San Francisco, regardless of my views on this question, as a petty objector to any concessions than those with which I do not happen to agree. That, then, is the situation with which I am confronted tonight. I am going to vote for this permit."

Supervisor Shannon Explains Vote.

Supervisor Shannon's explanation of his vote:

"I want to be recorded as making the same explanation, following the language of Mr. Havenner, with this exception. I feel regarding the matter of headway, the company is in the business to make money, and when the business warrants it we will find that they will increase the headway. Under the administration of Mr. Kahn, the Market Street Railway is viewed in a different light today than it has been in the past. They are trying to give service—trying to get in the good graces of the people, and have accomplished it. When the business warrants it we will find that they will give a better headway."

Statement by Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl asked that his statement, as follows, be made part of the record:

"At no time have I or any member of my family, or any relative owned any stock or bonds of the Market Street Railway or ever been on their salary roll. I believe this is a step in the right direction. I believe it is going to show us whether or not the trackless trolley cars are going to be an improved mode of transportation, and if they are, it will be an improvement in regards to transportation. I believe in what Supervisor Havenner said regarding harassing any corporation. This is not a charitable organization. We cannot expect from them, or the municipal railway, more than they can give from the revenue received. I am absolutely on this Board to use my own judgment in matters of this kind, and to vote according to my best judgment. I am not afraid of the statement Supervisor McSheehy made that the matter will come back to haunt us at a later date. Therefore, I am going to vote in favor of this ordinance."

Further Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

Supervisor McSheehy's further statement:

"I want only to say one word. I am not trying to harass the Market Street Railroad. I have no animosity against that company. I am not trying to harass that company and have no grievance."

Commending the American Legion in Combating Communistic Propaganda in the United States.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1489, as follows:

Whereas, the safety of American institutions of government rests upon the loyalty of the citizens of the United States; and

Whereas, the American Legion has patriotically taken up the work of awakening the people of California to the dangers of communism, secretly or publicly preached by the enemies of organized government; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco heartily commends the zeal of the American Legion in reawakening the spirit of pure Americanism in this land, and pledges its aid to the Legion and to all patriotic citizens in combating and crushing out communistic propaganda and teachings in the United States.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 11:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 2, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, July 2, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 2, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 2, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy being absent, Supervisor Shannon
was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of June 11, 18, 25 and
27, 1934, were considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were
taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE.

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1935.

(Code No. 9.053.)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 573, Ordinance No. 9.05360, as follows:

An ordinance enumerating all positions continued and/or created
by the Board of Supervisors in adopting the Annual Budget and Ap-
propriation Ordinance; continuing, and/or creating and/or establishing
these positions; enumerating and including therein all positions
created by Charter or State law for which salaries are appropriated
in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance; specifying and fixing the
compensation of incumbents therein, and providing for maximum com-
pensation of persons appointed to positions herein enumerated which
may become vacant during the fiscal year.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-
cisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 73 of the
Charter the positions hereinafter enumerated under the respective
departments are hereby created and/or established and/or continued

for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935. Positions created or authorized by Charter or State law are enumerated and included herein.

Appointing officers as specified in the Charter are hereby authorized to make or continue appointments, as needed, during the said fiscal year to positions enumerated in their respective sections of this ordinance, but in no case to exceed the number of positions or the rate of pay herein enumerated and established; provided that where funds are specifically appropriated for such purpose in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and are thereafter available temporary appointments to positions defined by the rules of the Civil Service Commission as seasonal or temporary positions may be made by the respective appointing officers in excess of the number of permanent positions herein established or enumerated.

In the event of a vacancy occurring in a permanent position herein enumerated the Controller and the Civil Service Commission shall be notified of such vacancy by the appointing officer, and the Controller shall immediately reserve the related unexpended compensation from the unencumbered balance of funds appropriated for such employment, and no appointment to such vacancy shall be made unless approved by the Mayor and unless the Controller shall certify to the release of the necessary funds from the amounts reserved.

Inasmuch as Section 141 of the Charter provides that the Civil Service Commission shall be the judge of the classification of positions, any change hereafter made by the Civil Service Commission during the fiscal year 1934-35 in the class title or number of the classification of the duties of a position herein enumerated shall not be deemed an action requiring amendment of this ordinance, provided no change in number of positions or salaries of such positions or the creation of a new position are involved, but shall be deemed merely a clerical procedure and such change of class title and class number and the date thereof shall be reported to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Controller and the department concerned.

Section 2. Salary or wage rates herein specified are the maximum gross compensations fixed for the present incumbents of the respective positions herein enumerated, including the valuation of maintenance furnished.

In filling vacancies in positions herein enumerated or in appointing employees to temporary or seasonal positions as provided in Section 1 hereof, which are subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter, the person appointed to such vacancy or temporary or seasonal position shall be paid a wage or salary not to exceed the salary or wage fixed for such position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the Civil Service Commission under date of April 9, 1930, or as such proposed schedule may be amended as provided by Charter or extended by the Civil Service Commission to include classifications not included therein; provided, however, that persons who have acquired permanent status in a position who are reemployed in the same position after lay-off or leave of absence shall be paid the salary or wage received at the time laid off or granted such leave.

No maintenance shall be provided to any employee in a position subject to the provisions of Section 151 of the Charter in addition to the compensation herein fixed or provided.

Charges for any and all maintenance furnished employees in positions subject to Section 151 of the Charter as indicated herein shall be made and indicated on time rolls and pay rolls, and deductions for such maintenance shall be indicated and made on time rolls or pay rolls in accordance with the following schedule fixed by the Civil Service Commission; provided, however, that no charge shall be made for meals furnished cooks, bakers, waiters and other kitchen workers.

*For those receiving \$80 per month or less in cash

*For those receiving more than \$80 per month in cash

1 meal per day.....\$ 8.00 Per Mo.
2 meals per day..... 13.00 Per Mo.

\$10.00 Per Mo.
16.50 Per Mo.

3 meals per day 17.50 Per Mo.
Room or House 8.00 Per Mo.
Laundry 2.00 Per Mo.

22.50 Per Mo.
10.00 Per Mo.
2.50 Per Mo.

Board, Room and Laundry.... 27.50 Per Mo.
Single meal25

35.00 Per Mo.
.35

Per Mo.

Complete family maintenance furnished the Superintendent of Laguna Honda Home and the Superintendent of San Francisco Hospital\$150

Complete family maintenance furnished the Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, in the San Francisco Hospital.... 55

House furnished the Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendent, Alameda Division of the Water Department, and the Assistant Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department 25

House furnished the Superintendent of the Peninsula Division of the Water Department 50

House furnished the Head Pump Operator of the Water Department 25

House furnished the Engineer, Stationary Steam Engines, at the Water Department 15

*The letters B., R. & L. used in this ordinance to indicate deduction, shall be construed to mean Board, Room or House and Laundry and deductions made in accordance with the above schedule.

Section 4. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	11		Supervisors	\$ 200
3	1	B88	Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	350
4	1	B90	Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.....	500
5	1	B174	Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	175
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	350
8	4	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographers	200
9	1	B416	Finance Committee Stenographer.....	325
10	1	D4	Sergeant-at-Arms, Board of Supervisors	200
11	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	250

Section 5. MAYOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Mayor	\$ 833.33
2	1	B74	Confidential Secretary to Mayor	350
3	1	B76	Executive Secretary to Mayor	400
4	1	B212	Special Messenger	165
5	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B414	Head Clerk-Stenographer	225
7	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator	150
8	2	O4	Special Chauffeur	200
9	1	N404	Inspector of Complaints, Mayor's Office	300

Section 6. ASSESSOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assessor	\$ 666.66
2	1	G20	Chief Assistant Assessor	400.00
3	1	B54	Chief Clerk, Assessor's Office.....	375.00
4	1	B106	Chief Teller, Assessor's Office.....	250.00
5	4	B222	General Clerk	250.00
5½	1	B222	General Clerk (10 mo. at \$250).....	
6	2	B222	General Clerk	200.00
7	1	B222	General Clerk (exempt, sec. 28).....	200.00
8	8	B222	General Clerk	190.00
9	1	B228	Senior Clerk	300.00
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250.00
11	3	B228	Senior Clerk	190.00
12	1	B234	Head Clerk	300.00
13	1	B234	Head Clerk	250.00
14	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	250.00
15	1	B242	Blockbook Draftsman	225.00
16	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
17	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190.00
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200.00
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
20	3	G2	Real Estate Appraiser	250.00
21	1	G4	Senior Real Estate Appraiser.....	350.00
22	2	G8	Improvement Appraiser	250.00
23	1	G10	Senior Improvement Appraiser.....	265.00
24	3	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	250.00
25	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser.....	225.00
26	1	G16	Senior Personal Property Appraiser....	300.00

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

28	16	B222	General Clerk	150.00
29	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
30	3	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150.00
31	1	G14	Personal Property Appraiser	190.00
32			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150.00
33			Other temporary services at rates not in excess of salary standardization schedules.	

Section 7. CITY ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		City Attorney	\$ 833.33
2	1	B222	General Clerk	175.00
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200.00
4	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175.00
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155.00
6	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150.00
7	1	F702	Valuation Engineer	300.00
8	1	F706	Chief Valuation Engineer	750.00
9	2	K4	Attorney, Civil	250.00
10	2	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	350.00
11	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil	600.00
12	1	K8	Principal Attorney, Civil.....	500.00
13	1	K12	Chief Attorney, Civil.....	600.00
14	1	K16	Special Counsel, Water Service.....	833.33
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)..	150.00

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney.....	\$ 666.66
2	4	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200.00
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	190.00
3½	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	150.00
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	225.00
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250.00
6	2	B222	General Clerk.....	190.00
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150.00
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	175.00
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150.00
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator.....	150.00
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist.....	175.00
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	375.00
13	1	B222	General Clerk (part time)	50.00
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal.....	190.00
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	300.00
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal.....	200.00
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150.00
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	375.00
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	275.00
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal.....	250.00

Section 9. TREASURER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Treasurer	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant	200
3	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	250
5	3	B102	Teller	240
6	1	B102	Teller	175
7	1	B104	Senior Teller	275
8	1	B104	Senior Teller	240
9	2	B112	Assistant Cashier, Treasurer's Office....	325
10	1	B222	General Clerk	175
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (exempt Sec. 31)	165

Section 10. SHERIFF

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Sheriff	\$ 666.66
2	1	B84	Under Sheriff	300
3	1	B98	Confidential Secretary to Sheriff (exempt Sec. 32)	275
4	1	B222	General Clerk	250
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk	197
7	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	225
9	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	215
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
11	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
12	9	C154	Keeper	160
13	1	C156	Head Keeper	185
14	1	D2	Bailiff	215
15	24	D2	Bailiff	197
16	1	D3	Woman Bailiff	160
17	7	D52	Jail Matron	197
17½	3	D52	Jail Matron	170
18	1	D54	Head Jail Matron	200
19	20	D60	Jailer	197
20	5	D60	Jailer	170
21	1	D60	Jailer	225
22	6	D64	Captain of Watch	197
23	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	275
24	1	D66	Supt. of Jail	250
25	8	D102	Writ Server	215
26	2	D102	Writ Server	197
27	1	I12	Cook	195
28	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
29	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil (part time)	150
30	1	L360	Physician	335
31	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for room).....	210
32	1	O52	Farmer	200

Section 11. PUBLIC DEFENDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Public Defender	\$ 666.66
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
3	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	350

Section 12. POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	100
2	1		Chief of Police	600
3	1		Chief Clerk	300
4	1		Property Clerk	300
5	1		Police Surgeon	200
6	1	B78	Secretary, Board of Police Commissioners	325
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	250
9	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	6	B454	Telephone Operator	150

BUREAU OF INSPECTORS

11	1		Captain of Inspectors	416.66
12	72		Inspector	230
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	230
14	1	D152	Criminologist	300
15	3	Q20	Police Women	200
16	10	Q60	Lieutenants	250**
17	1	Q62	Photographer, Police Department	225

UNIFORM FORCE

18	1		Captain of Traffic	333.33
19	1		Inspector of Motor Vehicles	230
20	1		Inspector of Horses and Equipment	230
21	1		Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance..	230
22	1	D52	Jail Matron	170
23	3	D52	Jail Matron	175
24	1	I14	Junior Chef	195
25	9	J70	Hostlers	180
26	3	O158	Motor Boat Operator	200
27	1	O158	Motor Boat Operator (Relief) at rate of	200
28	964	Q2	Policemen	200
29	25	Q30	Police Patrol Driver	200
30	87	Q40	Corporal	215**
31	95	Q50	Sergeant	220**
32	41	Q60	Lieutenant	250**
33	18	Q80	Captain.	300**

**In event of a vacancy in this rank the position may be abolished and the number of Patrolmen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Patrolman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioner	100
2	1		Chief Engineer	600
3	1	B68	Chief Clerk, Fire Department.....	400
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150
5	1	B306	Multigraph Operator	155
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	225
8	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	130
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	885	H2	Firemen.	180-200*
11	28	H10	Chief's Operator	210
12	14	H15	Engineer of Fire Engines	220**
13	119	H20	Lieutenant.	222.50**

Section 13. FIRE DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	74	H30	Captain	235**
15	25	H40	Battalion Chief	350**
16	4	H50	Assistant Chief Engineer	400
17	1	L360	Physician	235
18	7	O166	Firemen of Stationary Steam Engines..	195
19	2	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	220
20	4	O168	Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines.	230
21	1	O172	Chief Engineer of Stationary Steam Engines	280
22	3	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
23	1	M4	Master Mechanic	416.66
24	13	O304	Hydrantman Gateman	215
25	1	O310	Foreman Hydrantman Gateman	255
26	1	U112	Pipe Calker, at \$7.50 per day	
FIRE BOAT CREWS				
27	1	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats (Relief)	255
28	4	H120	Pilot of Fire Boats	255
29	9	H110	Marine Engineer (Fire Boats)	255
30	9	H102	Marine Stoker (Fire Boats)	205

* At rates fixed by Charter.

**In event of a vacancy in this rank, the position may be abolished and the number of positions for Firemen correspondingly increased without amendment of this ordinance and the Fireman's position may be filled subject to the provisions of Sections 1 and 2 hereof.

Section 14. BOARD OF PERMIT APPEALS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Members of Board, \$15 per meeting.	
2	1	B61	Secretary, Board of Permit Appeals	250

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Accountant	175
2	1		Athletic Organizer	475
3	9		Attendants	75
4	2		Attendant (men's)	140
5	1		Cashier, Chief	185
6	1		Cashier	125
7	1		Cook (Children's Quarters)	90
8	1		Engineer	175
9	1		Engineer, Chief	215
10	1		Engineer, Assistant	175
11	1		Fireman	175
12	1		Golf Starter	180
13	2		Golf Starters, Relief	150
14	1		Harbor Master, Day	125
15	1		Harbor Master, Night	125
16	1		Janitor	125
17	1		Laundryman	145
18	1		Life Guard	125
19	1		Manager, Restaurant	200
20	1		Manager (Lincoln Cafe)	135
21	1		Matron	80
22	2		Pump Man	175

Section 15. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
23	1		Secretary	400
24	1		Stenographer	175
25	1		Stenographer	125
26	1		Stenographer	115
27	1		Superintendent	725
28	1		Superintendent (assistant in charge of construction)	325
28½	1		Superintendent (Assistant)	250
29	1		Superintendent of Motor Vehicles.....	250
30	1		Superintendent of Restaurant Activities	200
31	1		Surveyor	215
32	1		Surveyor, Assistant	210
33	1		Timekeeper	135
34	1		Utility (Children's Quarters)	175
35	1		Waitress, Head (Children's Quarters)..	95
36	2		Windmill Attendants	125
37	1		Zoological Expert	300
37½	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	50
37¼	1		Attendant, Coit Tower	75
37½	1		Bathroom Attendant	135
37¾	1		Golf Starter, Sharp's Park	175

Section 15¼. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
38			Animal Keepers	5
39			Apprentice	3
40			Ball Field Boys (per hour).....	.50
41			Booth Helpers (per hour).....	.25
42			Booth Helpers (per hour)30
43			Boys to attend donkeys (per hour).....	.30
44			Boys to attend ponies (per hour).....	.20
45			Blacksmith	8
46			Carpenters	9
47			Carpenters	8
48			Carpenters (Assistants)	7
49			Cashiers (per hour)30
50			Cashier, Assistant	3
51			Cashier	3.50
52			Cashier	4
53			Cashier	3
54			Chauffeur	7
55			Chauffeurs	7.50
56			Clerks	3
57			Clerks	4
58			Clerks	5
59			Cook	7.50
60			Cook	6.50
61			Cook, Relief	5.50
62			Cook	4
63			Cook	8
64			Dishwasher	3.50
65			Dishwasher	4
66			Foremen	6
67			Foreman	6.50
68			Foremen	7
69			Foreman	7.50
70			Foremen	8
71			Foreman	8.50
72			Gardeners	5.50

Section 15¼. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)
TEMPORARY PER DIEM AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
73			Gardeners	6
74			Gardener	6.50
75			Janitor	5
76			Janitor (Golf Clubhouse)	4
77			Janitress	3
78			Keeper (Aviary)	5.50
79			Kiddy-Kar Boys (per hour)30
80			Laborers	4
81			Laborers	5
82			Laborers	5.50
83			Laborers	6
84			Laborers	2.50
85			Laborers (per hour)50
86			Laborers, Apprentice	2.50
87			Laborers, Apprentice	3
88			Laborers, Apprentice	4

Section 15½. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
89			Matron	3
90			Manager	5
91			Manager (H. F. Playfield Booth)	5.33
92			Merry-Go-Round Boys (per hour)30
93			Modelers (Plaster)	15
94			Mower Men	6.50
95			Office Boy	2.50
96			Operator Merry-Go-Round (H. F. Play- field)	5
97			Pantryman (Harding Cafe)	3.50
98			Picnic Cafe Helpers (per hour)30
99			Porter	4
100			Porter	3.50
101			Porter	3
102			Rides Man	3
103			Stableman	5
104			Starters, Sub. (Golf Course)	5
105			Starter, Assistant	5
106			Stenographers	4
107			Superintendent of Parks and Squares, Assistant	8
108			Supply Agent	5
109			Store Room Keeper	3.50
110			Teamsters	6
111			Teamsters	6.50
112			Tennis Courts Manager	5.50
113			Tractor Driver	6
114			Tractor Man	6.50
115			Tractor Man	9
116			Tractor Repairer	8
117			Truck Repairer	8
118			Utility Helpers (per hour)25
119			Utility	4
120			Walter	3
121			Walter	3.50
122			Walter	4
123			Waitresses	3
124			Waitresses	3.50
125			Waitresses	4
126			Waitresses	5
127			Watchman, Night (H. F. Zoo)	5

Section 15½. PARK DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Per Diem Rate
128			Yardman	2.50
129			Yardman	4.50
130			Yardman ..	4.
131			Yardman	3.50
132			Yardman	3

Other mechanical and craft classifications as needed for temporary construction activities at rates not to exceed the prevailing rate for the respective class.

Section 16. RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter at \$10 per day.	
3	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
4	1	A392	Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
5	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 185
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
9	1	B351	Supervisor of Recreation Supplies and Equipment	200
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
10½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
11	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
12	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman..	210
13	1	F304	Supervisor of Playground Construction and Maintenance (as needed).....	350
14	11	J4	Laborer.	150
15	1	J12	Labor Foreman	175
17	25	J72	Playground Caretaker	150
18	27	J72	Playground Caretaker	145
19	1	J72	Playground Caretaker (part time).....	75
20	2	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck	150
21	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
22		O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (same as Item 21)	150
23	1	O54	Foreman, Building & Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
24	2	O58	Gardener ..	135
25	1	O62	Superintendent of Grounds, Recreation Dept.	175
26	1	R2	Secretary and Supervisor of Spec. Activities	250
27	1	R3	Assistant Superintendent, Rec. Dept...	250
28	1	R4	Superintendent, Rec. Dept.	400
29	1	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	85
31	14	R56	Playground Director (part time).....	75
32		R56	Playground Director (part time).....	65
33	1	R56	Playground Director	175
34	1	R56	Playground Director	160
35	25	R56	Playground Director	150
36	4	R56	Playground Director	140
37	2	R56	Playground Director	135
38	4	R56	Playground Director	130
39	18	R56	Playground Director	125
41	1	R56	Playground Director (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
42		R56	Playground Director (9½ mo.) (same as Item 41)	150
43		R56	Playground Director, 65c per hour....	
44	33	R56	Playground Directors, 65c per hr. (Sunday only)	

Section 17. RECREATION DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
45	1	R102	Camp Manager (9½ mo.).....\$	200
46		R102	Camp Manager (2½ mo.) (deduct for B., R. & L.) (same as Item 45).....	285
47	1	R106	Supervisor of Dramatics	210
48	1	R108	Supervisor of Music	185
49	2	R112	Matron, Swimming Pool—7 mo.....	110
50	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	135
51	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	210
		R114	Swimming Instructor—5 mo.....	150
52	1	R114	Swimming Instructor—7 mo.....	130
53	1	R116	Supervisor of Swimming	175
54		R112	Matron, Swimming Pool, 65c per hour..	
55		R114	Swimming Instructor, 65c per hour....	
57			Pianist (as needed), \$2.50 per call....	
58			Referee (as needed), \$2.50 to \$10. per game	
59			1½-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	
60			3-ton Truck, at rates established by Purchasers' Contract	

CAMP MATHER SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT, AS NEEDED

—All maintenance provided shall be charged for and deduction made from salaries in accordance with schedule specified herein.

61	A154	Carpenters at \$9 per day.....	
62	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
63	A404	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
64	B512	General Clerk-Typist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
65	E154	Lineman at \$9 per day.....	
66	I8	Head Baker (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
67	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.).....	162.50
68	I16	Chef (deduct for R. & L.).....	210.50
69	I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
70	J4	Laborer	150
71	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
72	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
73		Team Hire for Camp, at rates specified in Purchasers' Contract)	
74		Camp Guide (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	
75		Life Guard (P. T.) deduct for B., R. & L. less than \$80.....	
76	B4	Bookkeeper	150

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	200
2	1	B72	Business Manager, Public Library.....	375
3	1	B222	General Clerk	175
5	5	B222	General Clerk	85
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
9	1	B504	Clerk-typist	160
10	1	C52	Elevator Operator	110
11	1	C102	Janitress	75

Section 18. PUBLIC LIBRARY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
12	1	C102	Janitress	65
13		C102	Janitress, 55c per hour	
14	1	C104	Janitor	185
15	2	C104	Janitor	125
16	1	C152	Watchman	150
17	4	J54	Book Repairer	110
18	1	J54	Book Repairer	90
19	1	J54	Book Repairer	125
20	1	O10	Truck Driver, light truck	160

DEPARTMENTAL TITLES

21	7		Branch Librarian	175
22	6		Assistant	100
23	2		Assistant	110
24	16		Assistant	120
25	9		Assistant	130
26	3		Assistant	140
27	2		Assistant	150
28	15		Assistant	160
29	10		Assistant	175
30	1		Assistant	225
31	1		Assistant	250
32	1		Librarian	400
33	61		Substitutes, 50c per hour	
34	35		Pages, 30c per hour	
35	1		Station Keeper (Part Time)	50
36	6		Station Keeper (Part Time)	15

Section 19. WAR MEMORIAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B59	Secretary, Board of Trustees, War Memorial	\$ 250
2	1	B96	Managing Director, War Memorial	500
3	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
4	1	C106	Janitor, Sub-Foreman	160
5	8	C104	Janitor	145
6	5	C152	Watchman	145
7	1	C202	Window Cleaner, \$6 per day	
8	1	E108	Electrician	220
9	1	E109	Stage Electrician, \$75 per week	
10	1	E130	Elevator Mechanic	-220
11	3	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	220
12	1	A165	Stage Carpenter, \$75 per week	

AS NEEDED

15		A170	Stage Property Man, \$12.50 per day	
16		E109	Stage Electrician, \$12.50 per day	
17		C105	Conclerge (part time, not over \$75 per month), \$3 per day	
18		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125

Section 20. ART COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (part time)	75
2	1	B57	Secretary, Art Commission	250
			Stage Help (as needed) not more than \$12.50 per day	

Section 21. CALIFORNIA PALACE OF THE LEGION OF HONOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Assistant Director	\$ 333.34
2	1		Engineer and Building Superintendent.	200
3	1		Organist (part time).....	300
4	1		Organist (part time).....	125
5	1		Chief Galleryman	200
6	1		Galleryman	150
7	3		Galleryman	125
8	1		Galleryman	120
9	1		Head Janitor	130
10	2		Janitor's Assistants	125
12	1		Stenographer	150
13	2		Stenographer	125
14	1		Librarian	85
15	1		Gallery Assistant	125
16	3		Caretaker	85
20	1		Watchman	125
21	1		Organ Repairer (as needed).....	33
22			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150

Section 22. M. H. deYOUNG MEMORIAL MUSEUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director	\$ 491.66
3	1		Assistant to Director	200
4	1		Recorder	125
5	1		Secretary to Director	150
6	2		Docent	125
7	1		Docent	100
8	1		Stenographer	85
9	1		Head Galleryman	200
10	1		Printer	140
11	1		Clerk	100
12	1		Mechanic	180
13	1		Assistant Mechanic	135
14	1		Janitor	130
15	1		Assistant Janitor	120
16	1		Head Caretaker	95
17	6		Caretaker	85
18	1		Secretary Board of Trustees.....	240
19	1		Lecturer, \$10 per Sunday	
20	2		Gallerymen	125
21	11		Gallerymen	120
22	1		Carpenter, \$5.50 per day	
23	1		Watchman	125

TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AS NEEDED

24		Seasonal Clerical Service.....	150
25		Plasterer Foreman, \$11 day	
26		Construction Superintendent	200
27		Other building crafts as needed for temporary construction activities at rates of pay not to exceed the rates established for such classifications in the resolution fixing wage scales for work to be performed under contract for the City.	

Section 23. STEINHART AQUARIUM

Positions and rates of pay fixed by California Academy of Science and not included herein. Salaries audited by voucher.

Section 24. MUNICIPAL COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	12		Judges	\$ 625
2	1	B85	Jury Commissioner, Municipal Court...	450
3	12	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	200
4	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
5	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	250
6	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6½	2	B160	Civil Law Clerk	200
7	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
8	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	200
9	1	B170	Chief Assistant Clerk, Municipal Court..	240
10	1	B172	Clerk of Municipal Court.....	500
11	1	B222	General Clerk	240
12	8	B222	General Clerk	200
12½	1	B222	General Clerk	175
13	1	B210	Office Assistant	125
14	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
15	4	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day, plus transcriptions.	
16	2	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 25. SUPERIOR COURT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	16		Judges	\$ 416.66
2	1		Secretary-Jury Commissioner	600*
3	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	300*
4	1		Assistant Secretary-Jury Commissioner.	250*
4½	1		Information Clerk	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	7	B252	Court Interpreter	175
6½	1	B252	Court Interpreter	155
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
8	3	B420	Phonographic Reporter, \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions.	
10	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	150

*(Titles fixed by State law)

Section 26. LAW LIBRARY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	K102	Assistant Law Librarian	\$ 275
2	1	K104	Law Librarian	425
3	1	O102	Bookbinder	200

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper ...	\$ 225
2	1	B254	Interpreter Clerk	210
3	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150

Section 27. JUVENILE COURT—PROBATION OFFICE (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	210
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
7	1	T56	Probation Officer	225
8	10	T56	Probation Officer	210
9	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
10	3	T60	Senior Probation Officer	225
11	1	T64	Referee	200
12	1	T72	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.....	350

Section 28. JUVENILE COURT—DETENTION HOME

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	C104	Janitor	\$ 145
2	1	I2	Kitchen Helper (deduct for R.).....	68
3	1	I12	Cook (deduct for R.).....	110
4	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	161.50
5	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.)	126.50
6	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 1 M.)	145
7	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)..	132.50
8	6	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R. & B.)	105.50
9	1	T4	Woman Attendant (deduct for R.)....	88
10	1	T10	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for R. & B.)	167.50
11	1	T12	Superintendent, Juvenile Det. Home (deduct for R. & B.)	207.50

TEMPORARY AS NEEDED

13	1	T2	Male Attendant (deduct for R. & 2 M.).	126.50
14	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for R. & B.).	135

Section 29. ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 210
2	6	T56	Probation Officer.....	210
3	1	T56	Probation Officer	180
4	1	T58	Probation Officer-Stenographer.....	190
5	1	T70	Chief Probation Officer.....	350

Section 30. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Chief Administrative Officer.....	\$ 1,000
2	1	B97	Executive Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	250
3	1	B415	Confidential Secretary, Chief Administrative Officer	175
4	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator (Part time)	75

Section 31. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS—DIRECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B95	Director of Finance and Records.....	\$ 500

Section 32. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— TAX COLLECTOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B93	Tax Collector	\$ 666.66
1a	1	B92	Chief Clerk	325
2	1	B102	Teller	240
3	1	B102	Teller	215
4	1	B104	Senior Teller	215
5	1	B108	Chief Teller Tax Collector's Office.....	300
7	3	B222	General Clerk	165
8	1	B222	General Clerk	215
9	18	B222	General Clerk	200
9½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
12	1		Director License Bureau.....	215
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
15	1	G152	License Adjuster	250
16	1	B91	Director Bureau of Delinquent Revenue	300
17	1	K4	Attorney, Civil	250

The following positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, but the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
18	12	B222	General Clerk	150
19	2	G152	License Adjuster	190
20			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150

Section 33. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B62	Chief Clerk Elections	\$ 350
2	1	B64	Chief Clerk Registrations.....	350
3	1	B66	Registrar of Voters	450
4	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time).....	75
5	2	B222	General Clerk	250
6	7	B222	General Clerk	225
7	1	B222	General Clerk	205
8	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
9	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
11	1	B304	Senior Addressing Machine Operator...	225
12	1	B355	Custodian of Voting Machines.....	250
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
14	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjusters.....	225
14½	1	B305	Voting Machine Adjuster	175
15			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed).	150
17		B305	Voting Machine Adjusters (as needed).	155
18		B202	Judges of Election, \$5 per day.....	
19		B204	Inspectors of Election, \$5 per day.....	

Section 34. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— RECORDER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B80	Chief Clerk	\$ 250
2	1	B81	Recorder . . .	666.66
4	7	B222	General Clerk	200
5	5	B222	General Clerk	215
6	1	B222	General Clerk (7 mo. at \$155, 5 mo. at \$215)	
8	2	B228	Senior Clerk	215
9	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
10	17	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200
11	1	M254	Machinist	225

Section 35. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— COUNTY CLERK

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	18	B152	Court Room Clerk.....	\$ 200
2	1	B154	Criminal Law Clerk.....	200
3	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
4	5	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	200
5	3	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	240
6	1	B168	Chief Clerk—County Clerk's Office.....	300
7	1	B169	County Clerk	666.66
8	17	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
11	1	B228	Senior Clerk	240
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
13	7	B504	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 36. DEPT. OF FINANCE AND RECORDS— PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 375
2	1	B160	Civil Law Clerk.....	250
3	1	B164	Senior Civil Law Clerk.....	300
4	1	B173	Public Administrator	666.66
5	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
6	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
8	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil.....	666.66

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B18	Chief Accountant, Purchasing Dept.....	\$ 466.66
2	1	B222	General Clerk	150
3	2	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5	3	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator.....	150
6	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
7	3	B352	Storekeeper	150
8	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
9	1	B354	General Storekeeper	220
10	2	B354	General Storekeeper	200
11	2	B354	General Storekeeper	175
12	1	B357	Chief Storekeeper	325
13	1	B358	Assistant Stationery Buyer.....	225

Section 37. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	1	B360	Stationery Buyer (3 mo.).....	275
15	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	130
16	1	B362	Produce Buyer and Storekeeper.....	170
17	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	300
18	1	B364	Produce Buyer and General Storekeeper	200
19	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies (5 mos.)	275
20	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	225
21	1	B370	R. R. Equipment Purchasing Agent....	250
22	1	B371	Purchasing Agent—Water Service.....	325
23	1	B372	Purchasing Agt.—Hetch Hetchy Project	400
24	1	B374	Purchaser of Supplies.....	833.33
25	1	B404	Clerk Stenographer	100
26	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
27	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
28	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	160
29	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
30	2	B504	Clerk Typist	175
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist.....	190
33	2	J4	Laborer, \$6 per day	
34	1	J12	Foreman Laborer	195
35	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day	
36	2	N302	Inspector of General Supplies	200
37	1	B366	Assistant Purchaser of General Supplies	200

Section 38. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—INTER-DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	J66	Garageman, \$6.50 per day.....	
39	1	M108	Blacksmith, \$8 per day.....	
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$9 per day.....	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day.....	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop	300
50	22	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
51	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day	
52	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
53	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
54	6	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
55	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$6.58 per day....	
56	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day	
57	3	M252	Machinist Helper, \$6.58 per day.....	
58	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
59	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day.....	
60	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
61	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, \$6.50 per day...	

Section 39. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT—EXPOSITION AUDITORIUM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A154	Carpenter	\$ 225
2	1	C4	Superintendent of Auditorium.....	250
3	4	C104	Janitor	155
4	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	195
5	2	C152	Watchman	180
6	1	E108	Electrician	237.50
7	1	J64	Chair Repairer, \$6.50 per day.....	
8	1	O168	Engineer—Stationary Steam Engines...	220
9	1		Organ Repairer (part time).....	75
10	1	C101	Dressing Room Maid (as needed) (part time), \$3 per day.....	
11		C104	Janitor (as needed).....	145
12		C152	Watchman (as needed).....	145
13	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	

Section 40. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 175
2	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	275
3	1	G206	Chief Right of Way Agent (Director of Property) ..	600

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	200
6	1	G202	Division Right of Way Agent.....	275
7	1	G204	Assistant Chief Right of Way Agent (Asst. Director of Property).....	375
8			Real Estate and Improvement Appraiser at rates fixed by special appropriation.	

Section 41. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Director of Public Works.....	\$ 666.66
2	1	B10	Accountant.	250
3	1	B94	Chief Clerk, Department of Public Works	400
4	1	B210	Office Assistant	190
5	1	B222	General Clerk	200
6	1	B222	General Clerk	155
7	1	B228	Senior Clerk (7 mo. @ \$200, 5 mo. @ \$225)	
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
9½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
10	5	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B458	Chief Telephone Operator	165

Section 42. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— CENTRAL PERMIT BUREAU

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3	B222	General Clerk	\$ 225
2	1	B222	General Clerk	200
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	275
4	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	200

Section 43. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— SEWAGE PUMPING STATION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O202	Sewer Pumping Station Attendant.....	\$ 180

Section 44. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A106	Building Inspector	\$ 275
2	7	A106	Building Inspector	225
3	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time)	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
5	1	F558	Structural Engineer	300
6	1	F560	Superintendent, Bureau of Building Inspection (11 mo. @ \$500, 1 mo. @ \$625)	
7	1	M158	Boiler Inspector	250

Section 45. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE

INTERDEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	2	A106	Building Inspector	\$ 225
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
3	2	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
4	1	F112	City Architect	600
5	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time) as needed..	75
6	1	F104	Architectural Estimator	250

Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF ENGINEERING

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$ 250
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk (2 mo. @ \$200, 10 mo. @ \$250)	
4	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
5	1	B504	Clerk-Typist	175
6	1	F4	Second Assistant City Engineer.....	650
7	1	F10	City Engineer	500
8	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
9	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
11	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	175
12	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	250
13	1	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	250

**Section 46. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
14	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
16	1	F256	Cartographer and Art Designer	210
17	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
18	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
19	1	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	375
20	3	F260	Civil Engineering Designer	300
21	1	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer	325
22	1	F270	Chief Civil Engineering Designer	450
23	1	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.....	250
24	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.....	250
25	1	F502	Engineer Assessments and Complaints.	250
26	1	F506	Engineer Grades	275
27	2	F510	Engineer Street Improvement Investi- gations	275
28	1	F514	Engineer Street Improvement Plans....	300
29	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
30	1	F518	Office Engineer	315
31	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
32	13	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.....	225
34	4	F610	Surveyors	250
35	1	F612	Office Surveyor	225
36	1	F614	Assistant Chief Surveyor.....	275
37	1	F616	Chief Surveyor	325
38	4	N252	Street Inspector	225

**Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)**

**EMPLOYMENTS PREDICATED ON REVENUE AND BOND ISSUE
MONEYS**

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B312	Blue Printer	215
40	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
41	1	B316	Photographer	265
42	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	225
43	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
44	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
50	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
52	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
53	1	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
54	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
56	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
57	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
58	2	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
59½	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
60½	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
62	5	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
64	3	F610	Surveyor	250
66	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
67	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist.....	400
68		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
69		B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
70		F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
71		F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman	200
72		F260	Civil Engineer Designer	250
73		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
74		F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	275
75		F654	Traffic Checker	175
76		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
77		F106	Architectural Designer	250
78		F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
79		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
80		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	250
81		F362	Electrical Engineer	300
82		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
83		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
84		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
85		F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
86		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
87		F558	Structural Engineer	250

Section 48. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O208	General Foreman Sewer Connections and Repairs	225
2	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	250
3	1	O214	Assistant Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	225
4	1	O216	Superintendent, Bureau of Sewer Repair	375

EMPLOYMENTS AS NEEDED

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

5	12	A52	Bricklayer's Hodcarrier at \$9 per day..	
6	8	A56	Bricklayer at \$11 per day.....	
7	19	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
8	4	O14	Driver, spec. equip., at \$8 per day.....	
*9	28	O204	Cribber, at \$7 per day.....	
10	16	O210	Sewer Cleaner at \$8.50 per day.....	
11	1	O208	Gen. Foreman, Sewer Connection and Repair	250

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

*Ten of these not heretofore included as compensations are paid by property owners.

Section 49. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— BUREAU OF STREETS

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	F220	General Supt. of Streets.....	500

Section 49½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	227	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
2	12	J10	Laborer—Sub-Foreman at \$7 per day...	
3	2	C152	Watchman	\$ 155
3a	2	C152	Watchman	145
3b	1	C152	Watchman 9 mo., at \$145, 3 mo.....	155
4	2	J66	Garagemen	150
5	2	J108	District Director of Street Cleaning...	225
6	1	J112	Supervisor of Street Cleaning.....	275
7	20	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck \$8 per day..	
8	4	O14	Driver of Special Equipment \$8 per day	
9	1	O18	Sub. Sta. For. and Truck Driver at \$8 per day	
10	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established by purchaser's contract.

Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS— DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
2	1	O298	Supervisor of Street Repair.....	325

The occupants of the following positions have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

3	2	A202	Cement Finisher's Helper at \$8 per day	
4	2	A204	Cement Finisher at \$9 per day.....	
5	1	B210	Office Assistant at \$5.40 per day.....	
6	23	J4	Laborers at \$6 per day.....	
7	1	J10	Laborer, Sub-Foreman, at \$6 per day..	
8	2	J12	Laborer, Foreman, at \$7 per day.....	
9	1	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
10	11	O12	Truck Driver, hvy. truck, at \$8 per day.	
11	2	O14	Driver, Spec. Equipment, at \$8 per day.	
12	4	O152	Engr. Hoisting Port. Engine at \$10 per day	
13	1	O168	Engineer, Sta. St. Eng.	220
14	1	O252	Dryerman at \$9 per day.....	
15	1	O254	Foreman, Asph. Plant, at \$10 per day..	
16	3	O260	Rammer at \$7 per day.....	
17	2	O264	Paver at \$8 per day.....	
18	5	O268	Granite Cutters at \$9.50 per day.....	

**Section 50. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
DIVISION OF STREET REPAIR (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
19	2	O274	Asphalt Mixerman at \$9 per day.....	
20	22	O276	Asphalt Workers at \$7.50 per day....	
21	11	O278	Asphalt Finishers at \$8 per day.....	
22	4	O280	Sub-Foremen, Asph. Fin., at \$8 per day	
23	2	O282	Foreman, Asphalt Fin., at \$9 per day	
24	1	O294	General Foreman	275
25	1	O294	General Foreman	250
26	1	O294	General Foreman	225
27	1	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
28	1	A551	Apprentice at \$6.50 per day.....	

BRIDGES

29	6	C153	Bridge Attendant	155
29a	5	C153	Bridge Attendant	145
29b	1	C153	Bridge Attendant 1 mo. at 155, 11 mo. at	145
30	10	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	220
31	1	O168	Engineer Sta. St. Engines (Relief)....	220
32	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. St. Engines.....	275

Teams and trucks, as needed, at rates established
by purchaser's contract.

**Section 51. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings, 2 mo. at \$275, 10 mo. at.....	300
2	1	A8	Assistant Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair Public Buildings..	275
3	1	A10	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repair of Public Buildings	400
4	1	A160	Foreman Carpenter, D. P. W.....	250
5	1	A208	Foreman Cement Finisher, D. P. W....	250
6	1	A358	General Foreman Painter, D. P. W....	275
7	1	A408	Foreman Plumber, D. P. W.	275
8	1	A460	Foreman Sheet Metal Worker.....	300
9	1	A506	Foreman Steamfitter	275
10	18	C52	Elevator Operator	155
10½	1	C52	Elevator Operator	145
11	1	C54	Elevator Starter	180
12	1	C102	Janitress	155
12½	1	C102	Janitress (Part Time), as needed.....	75
13	49	C104	Janitor	155
13½	4	C104	Janitor	145
14	5	C104	Janitor	165
15	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	190
16	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	175
17	1	C108	Foreman Janitor	180
18	1	C110	Head Janitor	260
19	5	C152	Watchman	155
19½	2	C202	Window Cleaner	160
20	3	C202	Window Cleaner	170
21	1	C204	Sub-Foreman Window Cleaner.....	185
22	1	E112	Foreman Electrician	275
23	5	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Engines....	185
24	7	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Engines...	220
25	1	O172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	280
26	1	O172	Chief Engineer Sta. Steam Engines....	300

**Section 52. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR
(Continued)**

Employments as required on miscellaneous repair of public buildings, including schools, as provided in Section 95 of the Charter. Number of employments is enumerated wherever the employee has attained permanent civil service tenure in this department. The employment is not established as a continuing position but "as needed" when the services are required and the funds are provided.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		
27		A52	Hod Carrier	day \$	9
28		A58	Marble Setter's Helper	day	6
29		A60	Marble Setter	day	10
30		A62	Tile Setter	day	10
31	23	A154	Carpenter	day	9
32		A158	Sub-Foreman Carpenter	day	9.50
33		A162	Lather	day	10
34		A172	Hardwood Floorman	day	10
35	15	A202	Cement Finisher Helper	day	8
36	2	A204	Cement Finisher	day	9
37		A206	Sub-Foreman Cement Finisher...	day	9.50
38	2	A252	Glazier	day	8.50
39	1	A252	Glazier	day	9.50
40	2	A302	Locksmith	day	8
41	1	A352	Painter's Shopman	day	7
42	30	A354	Painter	day	9
43		A356	Sub-Foreman Painter	day	9.50
44		A380	Paper Hanger	day	10
45	1	A392	Plasterer	day	11
46	1	A402	Plumber's Shopman	day	7
47	23	A404	Plumber	day	10
48	1	A452	Sheet Metal Shopman	day	6.50
49	9	A456	Sheet Metal Worker	day	10
50	1	A458	Sub-Foreman Sheet Metal Worker	day	10.50
51	10	A504	Steamfitter	day	10
52	1	A551	Apprentice	day	7
54	1	B222	General Clerk	day	7
55	1	C152	Watchman	per month	145
56	1	C202	Window Cleaner	per month	155
57	4	E108	Electrician	day	9
58	1	J4	Laborer	day	6
59	A56		Bricklayer	day	11

Teams and trucks at rates established by purchaser's contract.

**Section 53. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—
BUREAU OF COST ACCOUNTING**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title		Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B222	General Clerk	\$	250
2	8	B222	General Clerk		200
3	1	B228	Senior Clerk		200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk		325
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		185
5½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer		155
6	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist		185

Section 54. DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	B222	General Clerk	225
3	1	B222	General Clerk	190
4	1	B228	Senior Clerk	250
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	4	B454	Telephone Operator	150
7	1	E2	Line Inspector	240
8	13	E4	Electrical Inspector	250
9	1	E8	Chief Electrical Inspector.....	275
10	8	E52	Fire Dispatcher	225
11	1	E54	Chief Fire Dispatcher.....	250
12	1	E108	Electrician	240
13	1	E108	Electrician at \$9 per day.....	
14	1	E110	Radio Maintenance Man at \$8 per day..	
15	1	E116	Superintendent of Plant, Department of Electricity	300
16	1	E154	Lineman	220
17	10	E154	Lineman	215
17½	2	E154	Lineman	185
18	1	E156	Cable Splicer at \$9.50 per day.....	
19	2	E160	Foreman Lineman	240
20	1	F366	Chief, Department of Electricity.....	416.66
21	3	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
22	1	J12	Labor Foreman	195
23	1	J66	Garageman	150
24	1	J76	Traffic Button Maintenance Man at \$9 per day	
25	2	M254	Machinist	225
26	5	M260	Instrument Maker	225
27	1	M264	Foreman Instrument Maker.....	250

Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	A412	Plumbing Inspector	250
2	7	A412	Plumbing Inspector	240
3	1	A416	Chief Plumbing Inspector.....	325
4	1	B4	Bookkeeper	190
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	400
7	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	50
8	5	B222	General Clerk	190
9	1	B222	General Clerk	185
10	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
11	2	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
13	4	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
14	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time).....	75
15	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
16	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
17	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	125
18	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
19	1	B454	Telephone Operator	125
20	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
22	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
23	1	F520	Consulting Sanitary Eng. (Part Time)..	150
24		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
25	1	C102	Janitress	75
26	1	J58	Disinfecter	200

**Section 55. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
27	4	J74	Rat Catcher	115
28	1	L14	Assistant Director of Health.....	400
29	1	L18	Director of Health	833.33
30	1	L52	Bacteriological Labor. Tech.	125
31	1	L56	Bacteriologist	225
32	2	L56	Bacteriologist	175
33	1	L58	Director of Laboratories	300
34	1	L60	Bacteriological Milk Inspec.	200
35	1	L102	Food Chemist's Assistant	100
36	1	L104	Food Chemist	225
37	1	L106	Senior Food Chemist	250
38	2	L152	Dental Hygienist	150
39	13	L156	Dentist (Part Time)	100
40	1	L158	Director Dental Bur. (Part Time)....	250
41	1	L252	Optometrist (Part Time).....	150

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
42	7	L360	Physician	\$ 300
43	2	L360	Physician	150
44	1	L360	Physician	190
44½	1	L364	Pediatrician (Part Time).....	75
45	2	L364	Pediatrician	250
46	11	L364	Pediatrician	150
47	1	L364	Pediatrician	175
48	1	L364	Pediatrician	100
49	1	L364	Pediatrician at \$10 per day.....	
50	1	L366	Director Bur. Child Hygiene.....	333.33
51	4	L370	Epidemiologist	225
52	1	L376	Director Tuberculosis Bureau.....	350
53	1	L404	Psychologist	175
54	4	L404	Psychologist	150
55	1	L404	Psychologist (Part Time).....	75
56	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time).....	200
57	1	L408	Chief Psychologist (Part Time).....	150
58	7	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	200
59	10	N52	Food and Restaurant Inspector.....	175
60	1	N54	Chief Food Inspector	325
61	5	N56	Market Inspector	200
61½	1	N56	Market Inspector	185
62	1	N58	Chief Market Inspector	225
63	9	N60	Abattoir Inspector	200
64	4	N62	Veterinarian	201
65	5	N62	Veterinarian	200
66	2	N64	Dairy Inspector	300
67	1	N64	Dairy Inspector	225
68	1	N208	Chief Industrial Inspector	250
70	9	N204	Housing Inspector	200
70½	2	N205	Industrial Inspector	200
70¾	1	N205	Industrial Inspector	175
71	1	N206	Chief Housing Inspector	250
72	1	P52	Field Nurse	175
73	58	P52	Field Nurse	165
74	1	P52	Field Nurse	150
75	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	225
76	1	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	175

**Section 56. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
CENTRAL OFFICE (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
77	8	P54	Supervising Field Nurse	190
78	1	P58	Director Field Nursing	275
79	1	P101	Chinese Visiting Nurse	165
80	2	P102	Registered Nurse	125
81	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	165
82	1	T156	Social Service Investigator	180
83	1	T160	Senior Social Serv. Investigator.....	215

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1	B222	General Clerk (Part Time).....	75
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
5	1	B454	Telephone Operator	100
6	1	I8	Head Baker	190
7	3	I12	Cook	165
7½	1	I12	Cook, 9 months at.....	165
8	1	I12	Cook (Part Time).....	75
9	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
10	1	I16	Chef	200
11	1	I22	Butcher	100
12	1	I24	Senior Butcher	235
13	4	I54	Waitress	110
14	1	I58	Dining Room Steward	140
15		I102	Inmate Help, not over	50
16		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than.....	80
17	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
18	4	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
19	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
20	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	120
21	2	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
22	1	I110	Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
23	2	I112	Head Institutional Attendant (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
24	1	I154	Laundress	95
25	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
26	1	I170	Washer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	102.50
27	1	I174	Superintendent of Laundry	189
28	1	I254	Seamstress	90
29	1	I256	Head Seamstress	115
30	1	I302	Instructor, Basketry (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
31	1	I304	Instructor, Weaving (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
32	1	L8	Assistant to Superintendent	275
33	1	L10	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33

**Section 57. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
LAGUNA HONDA HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	L54	Assistant Bacteriologist	100
35	1	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.)	137.50
36	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	200
37	5	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
38	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
39	1	L360	Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
40	1	L452	X-Ray Technician	150
41	1	O12	Truck Driver, Heavy Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
42	1	O52	Farmer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
43	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for B., R. & L.)	220
44	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
45	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
46	3	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Engines	220
47	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	185
48	19	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
48½	2	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.), 9 months at	135
49	4	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
50	1	P118	Superintendent of Nurses (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235
51	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135

**Section 58. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
ISOLATION HOSPITAL**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist (part time) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	\$ 77.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
3	1	I14	Junior Chef	175
4	1	I54	Waitress	110
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.), less than	80
6	1	I204	Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)	85
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
8	2	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
9	1	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
10	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	385
12		P54	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	37.50 to 39.50
13	7	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	135
13½	1	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
14	1	P116	Superintendent Isolation Hospital (deduct for B., R. & L.)	235

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH— SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5	2	B222	General Clerk	\$ 150
6	1	B222	General Clerk	100
7	1	B222	General Clerk	150
8	1	B234	Head Clerk	250

Section 59. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
9	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	190
10	1	B238	Hospital Statistician	160
11	7	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	100
11½	12	B404	Clerk-Stenographer (part time) (deduct for B. & R.)	not over 75
12	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
13	2	B454	Telephone Operator	125
14	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	125
17	1	C6	Supt. of Building T. B. Hosp. (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
18	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	170
19	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	145
20	2	E108	Electrician	237.50
21	1	I6	Pastry Cook	175
22	8	I12	Cook	165
23	1	I16	Chef	200
24	8	I54	Waitress	110
24a	8	I56	Waiter	110
25		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B. R. & L.), less than.....	80
26	1	I118	Senior Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
27	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
28	1	I122	House Mother (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	87.50
29	16	I152	Flat Work Ironer.....	85
30	5	I154	Laundress	98
31	8	I154	Laundress	94
32	6	I154	Laundress	92
33	1	I154	Laundress	93
34	1	I156	Starcher	118
35	1	I158	Sorter	127
36	1	I164	Marker and Distributor	127
37	1	I166	Wringerman	136.33
38	2	I170	Washer	129.50
39	1	I172	Head Washer	152.50
40	1	I178	Superintendent of Laundry	200
41	1	I206	Porter Sub-Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
42	1	I208	Porter Foreman (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
43	1	I210	Head Porter (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	125
44	2	I254	Seamstress	90
45	1	I256	Head Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
46	1	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN
FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
50	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	1	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	2	L304	Pharmacist	190

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	16	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
58	3	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician	75
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine....	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct \$55 for full family maintenance.	280
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	119	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at \$5.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	
74½		P103	Special Nurse, as needed (Psychiatric or Communicable Diseases) \$7 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at \$6.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours at \$8.00 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
78½	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170

Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
79	1	P110	Asst. Supt. of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
80	1	P122	Director of Institutional Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	285
81	3	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	160
82	1	P204	Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	160

**Section 60½. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
83	1	P206	Senior Anaesthetist (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
84	1	P208	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	145
85	6	P206	Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
86	1	P210	Senior Operating Room Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
87	1	P212	Head Nurse Obstetrical (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
88	1	P214	Head Nurse Pediatrics (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
89	1	P216	Head Nurse Psychiatric (deduct for B., R. & L.)	150
90		P254	Student Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50 to 39.50
91	2	P304	Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
92	1	P306	Senior Instructor of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
93	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator.....	150
94	2	T156	Social Service Investigator	180

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

95	1	T152	Junior Social Service Investigator....	120
96	3	T156	Social Service Investigator (deduct for R.)	140

**Section 61. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
EMERGENCY HOSPITALS**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B352	Storekeeper	100
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
3	12	L504	Emergency Hospital Surgeon	200
4	1	L506	Assistant Chief Surgeon Emergency Hospitals	225
5	1	L508	Chief Surgeon	250
6	16	O6	Ambulance Driver	200
6½	2	O6	Ambulance Driver	175
7	3	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward.....	165
8	22	P2	Emergency Hospital Steward	200
9	1	P4	Chief Emergency Hospital Steward.....	250
10	14	P102	Registered Nurse	165
11	3	P102	Registered Nurse	135
12	1	P102	Registered Nurse (Relief) at \$5 per day	

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B454	Telephone Operator (deduct for B., R. & L.)	87.50
2	1	C152	Watchman (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	87.50
3	2	I12	Cook (deduct for R. & L.)	162.50
4	1	I14	Junior Chef (deduct for R. & L.)	182.50

**Section 62. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—
HASSLER HEALTH HOME (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
5		I103	Institutional Help (deduct for B., R. & L.) less than.....	80
6	2	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
7	1	I254	Seamstress (deduct for B., R. & L.)....	92.50
8	2	J4	Laborer (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
9	1	J4	Laborer at \$5 per day.....	
10	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
11	1	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	37.50
11½	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	260
12	1	O10	Truck Driver, Light Truck (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	160
13	1	O54	Foreman, Building and Grounds (deduct for 1 meal)	210
14	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	150
15	1	O58	Gardener (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	92.50
16	6	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
17	1	P114	Superintendent, Hassler Health Home (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	285

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

18	3	I116	Orderly (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	85
----	---	------	---------------------------------------	----

Section 63. COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B228	Senior Clerk	\$ 185
2	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	185
3	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
3½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
4	1	B510	Braille Typist	150
5	7	T152	Junior Social Service Investigators....	150
6	7	T156	Social Service Investigators	150
7	1	T160	Senior Social Service Investigator	200
8	1	T162	Director of County Welfare Department	300

Section 64. CORONER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter	\$ 200
2	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
3	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
4	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	150
4½	1	L52	Bacteriological Laboratory Technician..	125
5	1	L62	Pathologist (part time).....	125
6	1	L110	Toxicologist	150
7	1	L502	Autopsy Surgeon	250
8	3	N4	Coroner's Investigator	215
9	1	N4	Coroner's Investigator	200
10	1	N8	Coroner's Chief Investigator	275
11	1	N10	Coroner	666.66
12	3	O8	Morgue Ambulance Driver	200

Section 65. HORTICULTURAL INSPECTION DEPARTMENT— AGRICULTURAL COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	\$ 150
2	1	N154	Horticultural Inspector	250
4	3	N154	Horticultural Inspector	175
5	1	N156	County Agricultural Commissioner....	400

Section 66. SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	\$ 225
2	1	N356	Senior Inspector of Weights and Measures	275
3	4	N354	Inspector of Weights and Measures.....	225
4	1	N358	Sealer of Weights and Measures.....	500

Section 67. CONTROLLER

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		Controller	\$ 833.33
2	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
3	10	B4	Bookkeeper	175
4	1	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
5	5	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
6	1	B14	Senior Accountant	300
7	3	B14	Senior Accountant	275
8	1	B21	Chief Assistant Controller	500
9	1	B55	Supervisor of Pay Rolls.....	300
10	1	B210	Office Assistant (part time)	75
11	3	B222	General Clerk	200
12	1	B222	General Clerk	190
13	2	B222	General Clerk	185
14	1	B222	General Clerk	175
15	2	B222	General Clerk	160
15½	1	B222	General Clerk	150
16	2	B228	Senior Clerk	250
17	1	B228	Senior Clerk	200
18	1	B234	Head Clerk	300
19	2	B234	Head Clerk	200
20	2	B234	Head Clerk	225
21	1	B237	Tax Redemption Clerk	200
21½	1	B301	Payroll Machine Operator	155
22	2	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
23	1	B310	Tabulating Machine Operator	150
24	2	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	165
25	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	200
26	1	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	175
27	2	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
27½	1	B460	Secretarial Telephone Operator.....	150
28	1	B504	Clerk Typist	175
29	1	B504	Clerk Typist	150
30	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	215
31	1	B512	General Clerk Typist	190
32	3	B512	General Clerk Typist	165
33	2	B512	General Clerk Typist	175

Section 67. CONTROLLER (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
34	1	K6	Senior Attorney—Civil (part time)....	250
35			Seasonal Clerical Services.....	150

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

36	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	175
----	---	----	-------------------------	-----

Section 68. CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners, \$15 per meeting.....	
2	1	B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), \$12.50 per meeting	
3	1	F158	City Planning Engineer and Secretary \$	350
4	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	200
5	1	F254	Civ. Engineering Draftsman (as needed)	250

Section 69. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—GENERAL OFFICE

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	5		Commissioners	\$ 100
2	1		Manager of Utilities	1,000
3	1	B67	Secretary, Utility Commission.....	300
5	1	B53	Director of Public Relations.....	350
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
7½	1	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150
8	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	200

Section 70. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO AIRPORT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B4	Bookkeeper	\$ 150
2	1	C104	Janitor	125
3	1	F52	Meteorological Computor	150
4	1	F54	Meteorologist	150
5	1	F60	Assistant Superintendent	175
6	1	F62	Superintendent	300

TEMPORARY PERSONAL SERVICES

7		A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day.....	
8		A354	Painter, \$9 per day.....	
10		E108	Electrician, \$9 per day.....	
12		J52	Airport Attendant	150

Section 71. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— ENGINEERING

These positions were heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary or interdepartmental services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B10	Accountant.	\$ 275
2	1	B246	Map Clerk	150
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	200
6	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
7	1	F8	First Assistant City Engineer.	700
8	1	F12	Consulting Engineer, Utilities.	833.38
12½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	250
13	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	240
13½	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	225
14	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.	200
15	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	250
16	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	240
19	1	F320	Senior Civil Engineer.	550
21	1	F354	Electrical Engineering Designer.	275
25	2	F356	Electrical Engineering Inspector.	225
25½	1	F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer.	300
26	1	F362	Electrical Engineer	350
27	1	F370	Chief Electrical Engineer.	650
29	4	F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.	265
30	1	F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.	330
32	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	400
34	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.	290
35	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer.	265
37	1	F456	Designer St. Ry. Equipment.	290
40	1	F518	Office Engineer	350
41	1	F518	Office Engineer	300
43	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	225
44	1	F554	Structural Engineering Designer.	250
45	1	N102	Light-Water Complaint Investigator.	200
47	1	O4	Special Chauffeur	225
48	1	O152	Engr. Hoisting and Portable Engines \$10 per day	

CLASSIFICATIONS AS NEEDED

49		Seasonal Clerical Services as needed.	150
50	F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
51	F106	Architectural Designer	250
52	F108	Architect	300
53	F202	Inspector Public Works Construction.	225
54	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.	225
55	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector.	250
56	F214	Construction Engineer	300
57	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman.	160
58	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.	250
59	F352	Electrical Engineering Draftsman.	200
60	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.	160
61	F432	Mechanical Draftsman	200
62	F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.	250
63	F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
64	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant.	175
65	F610	Surveyor	250
66	O2	Chauffeur	170

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION— MUNICIPAL RAILWAY

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter, \$9 per day	
2	9	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day	
3	1	A370	Foreman Car and Auto Painter, \$10.35 per day	
4	1	B10	Accountant	225
5	1	B14	Senior Accountant	325
6	2	B222	General Clerk	150
7	4	B222	General Clerk	175
8	2	B222	General Clerk	200
10	1	B234	Head Clerk	240
11	2	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
12	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
12½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
13	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	225
14	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	250
15	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
16	2	C52	Elevator Operator	145
16a	1	C52	Elevator Operator (relief)	145
17	30	C104	Janitor	160
18	1	C104	Janitor	135
19	3	C104	Janitor, \$5.80 per day	
20	7	C104	Janitor	145
21	2	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor, \$6.30 per day	
22	1	C152	Watchman, \$5.80 per day	
23	1	E106	Armature Winder, \$9 per day	
24	1	E108	Electrician, \$9 per day	
25	6	E154	Lineman, \$7.40 per day	
26	1	E160	Foreman Lineman	215
27	1	F216	Maintenance of Way Engineer	300
28	1	G106	Claims Adjuster	325
29	4	J4	Laborer, \$5.80 per day	
30	5	J66	Garageman, \$6.80 per day	
31	50	J152	Trackman, \$5.80 per day	
32	2	J156	Switch Repairer, \$5.80 per day	
33	2	J160	Track Welder, \$6.30 per day	
34	2	J162	Car Repairer Welder, \$7.50 per day	
35	3	J166	Track Foreman, \$6.30 per day	
36	1	J168	General Foreman of Track Maintenance	250
37	1	M5	Assistant Master Mechanic	300
38	1	M6	Master Mechanic	350
39	3	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day	
40	1	M56	Garage Foreman, Municipal Railway	250
41	2	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day	
42	2	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day	
43	49	M202	Car Repairer, \$6.80 per day	
44	5	M206	Sub-Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.30 per day	
45	2	M208	Foreman Car Repairer, \$7.80 per day	
46	6	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day	
47	1	O12	Truck Driver Heavy Truck, \$8 per day	
48	1	S10	Manager Municipal Railway	700
49	1	S60	Instructor Municipal Railway	240
50	427	S102	Conductor, 75c per hour	
51	420	S104	Motorman, 75c per hour	
52	40	S106	Bus Operator, 80c per hour	
53	8	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	175
54	5	S110	Junior Inspector Municipal Railway	165
55	15	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	200
56	3	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	180
57	1	S112	Inspector Municipal Railway	210

Section 72. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—
MUNICIPAL RAILWAY (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
58	2	S120	Day Dispatcher	210
59	1	S124	Supervisor of Schedules	210
60	2	S128	Division Superintendent Municipal Ry.	275
61	1	S132	Superintendent of Transportation, Mun. Railway.	350
62	1	U108	Compressor Operator, portable, \$6.30 per day	50
63	1	R108	Supervisor of music (part time)	50

Section 73. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	4	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
2	1	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
3	1	A404	Plumber	225
4	1	B210	Office Assistant	85
5	2	B210	Office Assistant	75
6	1	A551	Apprentice at \$7 per day.....	
7	1	B4	Bookkeeper	200
8	2	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	275
9	1	B10	Accountant	400
10	1	B24	Auditor, Water Department.....	700
11	1	B109	Cashier, Water Department.....	325
12	10	B222	General Clerk	150
13	4	B222	General Clerk (part time)	75
14	1	B222	General Clerk	200
15	2	B222	General Clerk	190
16	1	B222	General Clerk	180
17	32	B222	General Clerk	175
18	2	B222	General Clerk	165
19	2	B222	General Clerk	160
20	5	B222	General Clerk	150
21	2	B228	Senior Clerk	225
22	1	B228	Senior Clerk	215
23	6	B228	Senior Clerk	200
24	2	B228	Senior Clerk	180
25	1	B228	Senior Clerk	190
26	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
26¼	9	B247	Meter Readers	175
26½	1	B247	Meter Readers	165
26¾	5	B247	Meter Readers	150
27	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	160
28	1	B302	Addressing Machine Operator.....	155
29	11	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator.....	175
30	1	B311	Bookkeeping Machine Operator	165
31	1	B315	Photographer Water Service.....	200
32	1	B354	General Storekeeper	150
33	1	B356	Senior Storekeeper	265
34	3	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
35	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
36	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
37	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
38	3	B408	General Clerk Stenographer.....	150
38½	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
39	1	B454	Telephone Operator	165
40	2	B454	Telephone Operator	150
41	1	B454	Telephone Operator (part time) at \$4 per day	
42	8	B504	Clerk-Typist.	150

Section 74. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
43	1	C52	Elevator Operator	160
44	4	C104	Janitor	155
45	1	C104	Janitor (or Janitress) (part time) deduct for board and room.....	45.50
46	1	C104	Janitor at \$6 per day.....	
47	1	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	175
48	2	C152	Watchman	150
49	2	C152	Watchman	145
50	1	E154	Lineman	200
51	1	F2	Asst. Engineer, Water Service.....	500
53	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
54	2	F252	Junior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	175
55	2	F254	Civil Engineer Draftsman.....	225
56	2	F258	Senior Civil Eng. Draftsman.....	250
57	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	175
57½	1	F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer	160
58	1	F408	Hydraulic Engineer	375
59	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer.....	200
59a	1	F524	Water Purification Engineer	175
60	1	I12	Cook (deduct for room).....	130
61	1	I122	House Mother (part time).....	100
62	74	J4	Laborer at \$6 per day.....	
65	1	J66	Garageman at \$6.50 per day.....	
66	6	M54	Auto Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
67	4	M254	Machinist at \$9 per day.....	
68	1	M266	Foreman Meter Repairer.....	200
69	1	M268	Foreman Machinist	285

Section 75. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$7.50 per day	
2	2	O10	Truck Driver (Light Truck) at \$6.50 per day	
3	1	O58	Gardener at \$6.50 per day.....	
4	1	O58	Gardener	135
5	1	O58	Gardener at \$6 per day.....	
6	1	O58	Gardener at \$5 per day.....	
7	1	O116	Teamster (2-Horse Vehicle) at \$6.50 per day	
8	1	O152	Eng. of Hoisting and Portable Engines at \$10 per day.....	
9	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	165
10	1	O162	Boiler Cleaner	150
11	1	O164	Oiler	185
12	9	O164	Oiler	175
13	8	O166	Fireman Stationary Steam Eng.....	185
14	1	O167	Engine Room Attendant (Relief).....	185
15	8	O168	Engineer Stationary Steam Eng.....	220
16	1	O168	Engineer Sta. Steam Eng. (deduct \$15 for House).....	220
17	1	O170	Asst. Chief Engr. Sta. St. Eng.....	235
18	1	O172	Chief Eng. Sta. Steam Eng.....	265
19	1	U44	Gen'l Mgr. & Chief Engineer.....	833.33

Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
20	1	U51	Supervisor Docks & Shipping.....	275
21	1	U52	Supervisor of Collections.....	300
22	1	U56	Asst. Supervisor Cons. Accts.....	290
23	1	U60	Supervisor, Consumers' Accts.....	340
24	1	U80	Asst. Manager, Water Sales.....	360
25	1	U88	Manager Water Sales	475
26	2	U104	Leadman at \$6 per day.....	
27	3	U108	Compressor Operator, Portable at \$7.50 per day.....	
28	14	U112	Pipe Calker at \$7.50 per day.....	
29	5	U114	Main Pipe Foreman at \$7.75 per day..	
30	15	U116	Service Man at \$7.50 per day.....	
31	1	U116	Service Man at \$7.75 per day.....	
32	7	U120	Gateman at \$7.75 per day.....	
33	7	U122	Shutoff Man	175
34	1	U123	Service Inspector	185
35	1	U124	Special Complaint Inspector	200
36	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	190
37	1	U125	Hoseman—Ships & Docks.....	160
38	6	U126	Meter Inspector	175
39	1	U128	Chief Meter Inspector	200
40	8	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	160
41	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper (deduct for House)..	165
41½	1	U130	Reservoir Keeper	165
42	1	U132	Contractors & Builders Inspector.....	225
43	1	U136	Gen'l Foreman, Service Meters.....	300
44	1	U140	Gen'l Foreman, Main Pipes.....	325
45	1	U142	Ass't Supt. City Distribution.....	350
46	1	U144	Superintendent City Distribution.....	500
47	15	U206	Water Department Worker at \$6 per day	
48	1	U206	Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
51	1	U212	Ranger	145
52	1	U212	Ranger	135
52a	3	U212	Ranger (deduct for House).....	145
53	6	U214	Pump Operator	165
53a	2	U214	Pump Operator at \$6 per day.....	
54	2	U214	Pump Operator	140
55	1	U214	Pump Operator (part time).....	50
56	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$7 per day.....	
57	1	U214	Pump Operator at \$6.25 per day.....	
58	1	U215	Head Pump Operator.....	200
59	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct for House)	200
60	1	U215	Head Pump Operator (deduct \$25 for House)	200
61	1	U226	Gen. Maintenance Foreman, Alameda Dist.	200
62	1	U227	Gen. Maintenance Foreman (deduct for House), Peninsula Dist.	210
63	1	U228	Meterman, Country	175
64	3	U230	Maintenance Foreman	200
65	1	U231	Asst. Supt. Ala. Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	225
66	1	U232	Supt. Alameda Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
67	1	U236	Asst. Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$25 for House)	300
68	1	U246	Supt. Peninsula Dist. (deduct \$50 for House)	466.66

**Section 75½. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
69	1	V8	Sub-Foreman Agriculture at \$6.50 per day	
70	1	V30	Asst. Supt. Agriculture.....	200
71	1	V40	Superintendent Agriculture	600
72	2		Housekeeper (part time)	20
73	1		Housekeeper (part time)	35

**Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRAN-
CISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)**

CONSTRUCTION EMPLOYMENT AS NEEDED

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Construction Superintendent	300
2			Inspector.	200
3			Timekeeper, plus board.....	175
4			Transitmen	200
5			Chainman	140
6			Field Draftsman	140
7			Office Estimator	175
8			Tunnel Foreman	200
9			Safetyman	250
10			Detectorman	212.50
11			Camp Mechanic	225
12			Dishwasher, plus board	75
13			Waiter, plus board	70
14			Tunnel Superintendent	250
15			Shift Boss, at \$9 per day.....	
16			Tunnel Shift Boss at \$7 per day.....	
17			Miner at \$6 per day.....	
18			Miner at \$5.50 per day.....	
19			Chucktender at \$5 per day.....	
20			Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
21			Motorman at \$5.50 per day.....	
22			Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
23			Timberman at \$5.50 per day.....	
24			Concrete Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
25			Steelworker Foreman at \$10 per day..	
26			Steelworker at \$9 per day.....	
27			Holstman at \$9 per day.....	
28			Tractor Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Graderman at \$6.50 per day.....	
30			Tool Sharpener at \$6.50 per day.....	
31			Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5.25 per day	
32			Campman at \$5 per day.....	
33			Waterboy at \$3.50 per day	
34			General Tunnel Foreman	300
35			Tunnel Foreman	250
36			Water Department Worker at \$5 per day	
37			Foreman Construction	200
38			Surveyor	175
39			Electrician at \$8.75 per day.....	
40			Blacksmith's Helper at \$5.25 per day..	
41			Straw Boss at \$5.50 per day.....	
42			Pipe Joint Inspector at \$6.50 per day....	
43			Blacksmith at \$6.50 per day.....	
44			Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	325
45			Sanitary Engineer (part time).....	75

Section 76. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
46			Bacteriologist (part time)	75
47			Biologist (part time)	75
48			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at 50c per hour....	
49			Clerical help, water purification reports (part time) at \$5 per day....	
50			Cook at \$6 per day	
51			Water Pipe Welder at \$7.50 per day....	
52			Trucks and teams and drivers as needed by the San Francisco Water Dept., to be paid for at rates established by Purchaser of Supplies' Contracts..	
53			Assistant Bacteriologist at 50c per hour	
54			Assistant Biologist at 50c per hour....	
55			Sanitary Inspectors	175
56			Chief Inspector	250
57			Architectural Draftsman	250
58			Architect	300
59			Civil Engineer Inspector.....	250
60			Consulting Engineer at \$50 per day....	
61			Hydraulic Engineer Designer.....	300
62			Structural Engineer Designer.....	300
63			Mechanical Engineer Designer.....	325
64			Civil Engineer Designer.....	325
65			Electrical Engineer Designer.....	325
66			Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
67			Rigger at \$7 per day.....	
68			Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
69			Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day.	
70			Steam Shovel Foreman at \$7 per day..	
71			Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
72			Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day	
73			Watchman	125
74			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
75			Boilermaker Helper at 75c per hour....	
76			Carpenter Foreman at \$10 per day....	
77			Carpenter Helper at \$5 per day.....	
78			Cement Gun Operator at \$7 per day....	
79			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
80			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
81			Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
82			Grout Gunman at \$6 per day	
83			Grout Gun Operator at \$6 per day.....	
84			Gunite Helper at \$5 per day.....	
85			Gunite Mixerman at \$5.50 per day.....	
86			Gunite Shiftboss at \$7.25 per day.....	
87			Instrument Man	212.50
88			Rodman at \$5.25 per day.....	
89			Electrical Engineer Draftsman	200
90			Electrical Engineer Designer.....	275
91			Electrical Engineer Inspector.....	250
92			Assistant Electrical Engineer.....	300
93			Mechanical Engineer	350
94			Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	300
95			Office Engineer	350
96			Chauffeur	170

**Section 77. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
3	1		Auto Machinist	210
4	1		Blacksmith	190
7	1		Clerk, General	190
7½	1		Accountant	250
7¾	1		Bookkeeper	175
8	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	160
8½	1		General Clerk-Stenographer	175
9	1		Cook	167.50
10	1		Dam Tender	160
11	2		Dam Tenders	150
12	1		Dam Tender	140
13	1		Dam Tender	125
14	1		Dam Tender, Assistant.....	135
15	1		Dishwasher	117.50
16	1		Ditch Tender	135
17	1		Ditch Tender	125
18	1		Engineer, Assistant	250
19	1		Engineer, Electrical	400
20	1		Engineer, Junior	150
21	3		Floormen	145
22	1		Floorman, Relief	150
23	1		Foreman, General	300
24	1		Gardener	175
25	1		Gardener, Assistant	135
26	3		Governorman	140
27	1		Housekeeper	117.50
28	1		Janitor	120
29	1		Labor Foreman	210
30	1		Lineman Foreman	250
31	4		Operators	150
32	1		Operator, Chief	250
33	1		Operator, Chief	210
34	4		Operators, First	185
35	1		Operator, First Relief.....	190
36	3		Operators, Second	165
37	1		Operator and Electrician....	210
38	1		Operator and Machinist.....	210
39	1		Painter	210
42	1		Pumpman and Fire Patrolman....	150
43	1		Road Foreman	200
45	1		Walter	107.50
46	1		Yardman	135
47	1		General Storekeeper	180
INTER-DEPARTMENTAL				
48	1		Engineer, Assistant	237.50
49	2		Foreman—General Maintenance	200
50	2		Patrolmen	210
51	2		Patrolman Helpers	137.50
52	1		Watchman	125

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

**TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL
EMPLOYMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic at \$8.73 per day.....	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Blacksmith at \$1.091 per hour.....	
4			Blacksmith Helper at \$0.818 per hour..	
5			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
6			Boilermaker's Helper at 75c per hour..	
7			Boatman at \$5.50 per day.....	
8			Brakeman at \$6 per day.....	
9			Campman	120
10			Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
11			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
12			Cement Gun Operator at \$6 per day...	
13			Chuckman at \$5.25 per day.....	
14			Clerk	175
15			Clerk, General	190
16			Compressorman at \$5 per day.....	
17			Compressorman at \$7.67 per day.....	
18			Concreteman at \$5 per day.....	
19			Cook (Camp)	167.50
20			Cook's Helper	137.50
21			Dishwasher	112.50
22			Drill Doctor at \$5.75 per day.....	
23			Driver at \$8.80 per day.....	
24			Driver at \$10.80 per day.....	
25			Driver at \$6 per day.....	
26			Driver at \$6.50 per day.....	
27			Driver at \$7 per day.....	
28			Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Driver at \$8 per day.....	
30			Driver at \$9.20 per day.....	
31			Driver at \$10 per day.....	
32			Electrical Foreman	250
34			Engineer, Assistant	287.50
35			Field Assistant	175
36			Field Assistant	150
37			Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
38			Foreman at \$6.50 per day.....	
39			Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
40			Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
41			Groundman at \$6 per day.....	
42			Gunit Operator at \$6 per day.....	
43			Helper at \$4.50 per day.....	
44			Helper at \$4.75 per day.....	
45			Helper at \$5 per day.....	
46			Helper at \$5.50 per day.....	
47			Helper at \$6 per day.....	
48			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
49			Hoisting Engineer at \$9 per day.....	
50			Hostler at \$6 per day.....	
51			Housesmith at \$9 per day.....	
52			Housesmith Foreman at \$10 per day...	
53			Housewireman at \$9 per day.....	
54			Inspector	162.50
55			Inspector	200
56			Instrumentman	125
57			Instrumentman	187.50
58			Jackhammerman at \$5 per day.....	

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL EMPLOYMENT

Item No.	No. of Class Employees No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
59		Lampman	150
60		Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
61		Lineman Apprentice at \$7.73 per day...	
62		Machineman at \$5.75 per day.....	
63		Machinist at \$8.73 per day.....	
64		Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
65		Mucker Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
66		Mucker, Top, at \$4 per day.....	
67		Nipper at \$5.25 per day.....	
68		Nozzleman at \$6.50 per day.....	
69		Operator's Helper	175
70		Painter at \$9 per day.....	
71		Pipeman at \$5.50 per day.....	
72		Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
73		Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
74		Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
75		Pumpman at \$5.75 per day.....	
76		Rigger at \$6 per day.....	
77		Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
78		Shift Boss at \$7.25 per day.....	
79		Skiptender at \$5.75 per day.....	
80		Station Tender at \$5.25 per day.....	
81		Station Tender—Pumpman at \$5.75 per day	
82		Steamfitter at \$10 per day.....	
83		Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day..	
84		Steam Shovel Engineer.....	240
85		Steam Shovel Fireman at \$7 per day...	
86		Steam Shovel Fireman.....	165
87		Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
88		Steam Shovel Oiler	150
89		Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day.	
90		Steam Shovel Watchman.....	165
91		Timekeeper	175
92		Top Foreman at \$6.25 per day.....	
93		Tool Sharpener at \$6 per day.....	
94		Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5 per day...	
95		Tunnel Foreman	275
96		Tunnel Foreman, Assistant.....	240
97		Walter	107.50
98		Walter, Head	117.50
99		Watchman	
100		Water Workers at \$5 per day.....	
101		Welder at \$1.091 per hour.....	
102		Welder Helper at 75c per hour.....	

**Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
1	Attendant		\$ 4.75	
2	Baker			\$147.50
3	Bed Maker.....			107.50
4	Blacksmith	\$1.091		
5	Blacksmith Helper.....	.818		
6	Bollermaker	1.091		
7	Bollermaker's Helper.....	.76		

**Section 79. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
8	Bookkeeper			215.00
9	Bookkeeper			250.00
10	Bookkeeper (Supervising Accountant, Utilities)			550.00
11	Bookkeeper, Assistant			190.00
12	Brakeman		4.50	
13	Campman			120.00
14	Carpenter		9.00	
15	Carpenter Foreman		10.00	
16	Carpenter's Helper.....		4.50	
17	Cement Gun Operator.....		6.00	
18	Chuckman		5.25	
19	Clerk			125.00
20	Clerk			140.00
21	Clerk			150.00
22	Clerk			165.00
23	Clerk			175.00
24	Clerk			200.00
25	Clerk (Experienced) (Asst. Auditor)			337.50
26	Clerk, General			180.00
27	Clerk, General			187.50
28	Clerk, General			225.00
29	Clerk, Ledger			175.00
30	Clerk, Relief			150.00
31	Compressorman		7.67	
32	Compressorman, Relief		7.67	
33	Cook			157.50
34	Cook			167.50
35	Cook			177.50
36	Cook			187.50
37	Cook			212.50
38	Cook-Baker			147.50
39	Cook Helper			122.50
40	Cook Helper			127.50
41	Cook, Night			137.50
42	Cook, Second			137.50
43	Cook, Second			147.50
44	Cost Accountant			275.00
45	Dishwasher			112.50
46	Dishwasher			117.50
47	Draftsman			175.00
48	Draftsman			212.50
49	Draftsman			250.00

**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)
EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
50	Drill Doctor		5.75	
51	Drill Doctor		6.00	
51½	Driver90		
51½	Driver95		
51¾	Driver	1.15		
52	Driver	1.00		
53	Driver	1.10		
54	Driver	1.25		

**Section 80. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
55	Driver	1.35		
55½	Driver		5.50	
56	Driver		6.00	
57	Driver		6.50	
58	Driver		7.00	
59	Driver		7.50	
60	Driver		8.00	
61	Driver		9.20	
62	Driver		10.00	
63	Dumpman		4.50	
64	Dumpman		5.00	
65	Electrician	1.091		
66	Elec. Foreman, Gen.....			287.50
67	Engineer, Assistant			212.50
68	Engineer, Assistant			237.50
69	Engineer, Assistant			250.00
70	Engineer, Assistant			287.50
71	Engineer, Asst. Elec.			250.00
72	Engineer, Asst. Const.....			375.00
73	Engineer, Construction			600.00
73½	Engineer, Electrical			350.00
74	Engineer, Hydraulic			350.00
75	Engineer, Office			200.00
76	Engineer, Office			225.00
77	Field Assistant			100.00
78	Field Assistant			125.00
79	Field Assistant			150.00
80	Field Assistant			162.50
81	Field Assistant			175.00
82	Field Assistant			225.00
83	Fire Boss			212.50
84	Fire Boss, Relief			212.50
85	Foreman	1.216		
86	Foreman		6.00	
87	Foreman		6.25	
88	Foreman		6.50	
89	Foreman		7.00	
90	Foreman		7.25	
91	Foreman		7.50	
92	Foreman		8.00	
93	Foreman			262.50
94	Foreman			287.50
95	Foreman, Sub-		6.50	

**Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
96	Form Man		5.25	
97	Gate Tender		5.75	
98	Grout Gunman (or Op.)....		6.00	
99	Gun Operator		6.00	

Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per	
			Day	Month
100	Gunité Helper		5.00	
101	Gunité Mixer Man.....		5.50	
102	Gunité Operator		6.00	
103	Gunité Shift Boss.....		7.00	
104	Gunité Shift Boss		7.25	
105	Hammersman		4.00	
106	Helper		4.50	
107	Helper		4.75	
108	Helper		5.00	
109	Helper		5.25	
110	Helper		5.50	
111	Helper		5.75	
112	Helper		6.00	
113	Hoisting Engr. or Relief Hoisting Engr.....		9.00	
114	Hostler		6.50	
115	Housesmith		9.00	
116	Housesmith Foreman		10.00	
117	Inspector			162.50
118	Inspector			187.50
119	Inspector			200.00
120	Inspector			225.00
121	Inspector			237.50
122	Inspector			250.00
123	Inspector, Chief			275.00
124	Inspector, Concrete			212.50
125	Inspector, S. & S.....			312.50
126	Instrumentman			125.00
127	Instrumentman			150.00
128	Instrumentman			175.00
129	Instrumentman			187.50
130	Instrumentman			190.00
131	Instrumentman			200.00
132	Instrumentman			212.50
133	Janitress			100.00
134	Kitchen Helper			117.50
135	Kitchen Helper			112.50
136	Lampman			150.00
137	Lineman Apprentice966		
138	Machineman		5.75	
139	Machinist	1.091		
140	Machinist Apprentice818		
141	Machinist Apprentice 2d Year818		
142	Machinist Foreman	1.227		
143	Machinist Helper75		
144	Machinist Helper818		
145	Machinist Helper Apprentice927		
146	Machinist Helper Apprentice955		
147	Machinist Helper Apprentice		5.50	
148	Material Man			175.00
149	Mechanic, Camp			225.00
150	Mechanic, Camp95		
151	Mechanic, General Master...			453.50
152	Mechanic, Head			225.00
153	Mechanic, Helper		6.00	
154	Mixerman		5.50	
155	Motorman, Gas		7.67	

**Section 81. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
156	Motorman, Top		5.25	
157	Motorman, Tunnel		5.75	
158	Mucker.		4.50	
159	Mucker Foreman		6.00	
160	Mucker Top		4.00	
161	Mucker Top		4.25	
162	Mucking Machine Operator.		7.00	

**Section 82. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)**

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

163	Nipper		5.25	
164	Nozzleman		6.50	
165	Nurse			162.50
166	Nurse, Head			162.50
167	Nurse, Practical			112.50
168	Nurse		7.25	
169	Nurse		8.25	
170	Oiler		7.00	
171	Paymaster, Assistant			225.00
172	Physician			337.50
173	Pit Foreman		7.00	
174	Plant Foreman			240.00
175	Porter		4.50	
176	Powderman		5.25	
177	Powderman		5.50	
178	Powderman		5.75	
179	Pumpman		5.75	
180	Pumpman		5.00	
181	Rescueman75		
182	Rigger		6.00	
183	Rigger Foreman		8.00	
184	Rodman		5.25	
185	Shaftman		6.00	
186	Shift Boss		7.25	
187	Shop Foreman, Section....	1.216		
188	Shop Foreman			287.50
189	Skip Tender		5.75	
190	Special Agent			225.00
191	Station Tender		5.25	
192	Station Tender-Pumpman ..		5.75	
193	Steamfitter		10.00	
194	Steam Shovel Engineer		10.00	240.00
195	Steam Shovel Fireman....		7.00	165.00
196	Steam Shovel Oiler		6.00	150.00
197	Steam Shovel Operator ...		10.00	240.00
198	Steam Shovel Watchman ..		7.00	165.00
199	Steel Foreman		6.25	
200	Stenographer			175.00
201	Storekeeper			225.00
202	Storekeeper			240.00
203	Superintendent			287.50
204	Superintendent			500.00
205	Surveyor (Const. Engineer)			375.00

Section 83. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH HETCHY CONSTRUCTION (Continued)

EMPLOYMENT AS REQUIRED

Item No.	Departmental Title	Hour	Maximum Rate per Day	Month
206	Templatemán		5.25	
207	Time Clerk			150.00
208	Time Clerk, Relief		4.00	
209	Timekeeper			175.00
210	Timekeeper, Relief			175.00
211	Toolsharpenér, Head		6.75	
212	Toolsharpenér, Second		6.50	
213	Toolsharpenér, Helper		5.25	
214	Top Foreman		6.25	
215	Top Man		5.25	
216	Track Foreman (or Track Boss)		6.00	
217	Track Foreman		6.50	
218	Tractor Driver		8.00	
219	Transportation Man			225.00
220	Tunnel Foreman			275.00
221	Tunnel Foreman			312.50
222	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant			240.00
223	Typist-Clerk			150.00
224	Typist-Clerk			165.00
225	Typist-Clerk			185.00
226	Waiter			107.50
227	Waiter			112.50
228	Waiter, Head			117.50
229	Waitress			112.50
230	Watchman		5.00	
231	Watchman			150.00
232	Welder	1.091		
233	Welder Helper75		
234	Yardman			135.00

Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

When rates of pay are fixed by action of the Board of Education, the employments will be included herein by amendment of this ordinance.

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
153	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter, School Dept., at \$10 per day	
154	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
155	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	2	B210	Office Assistant (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165

**Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES (Continued)**

Item	No. of No. Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
166½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B223	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at \$5 per day	
171	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time)	75
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$4.20 per day	
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.30 per day	
184	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.00 per day	
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, \$5 per day, 196 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, \$2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
196	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
197	1	C52	Elevator Operator	155
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	134	C104	Janitor	155
201	9	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time)	16
203	6	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	160
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook	130
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time)	50
211	12	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening (as required)	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines...	220

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at \$8 per day.....	
221	1	O58	Gardener, \$6 per day.....	
222	1	O58	Gardener, \$5.50 per day.....	
223	6	O58	Gardener, \$5 per day	
223 3/4			Temporary Clerical Employment as needed	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224			2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225			1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226			3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227			1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Section 86. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	3		Commissioners	\$ 100
2	1	B222	General Clerk	155
3	1	B234	Head Clerk	200
4	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
5	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
6	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	200
7	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	200
8	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	225
9	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner.....	250
10	1	G58	Civil Service Examiner	300
11	1	G59	Assist. Personnel Expert.....	300
12	1	G60	Personnel Expert	400
13	1	G62	Chief Examiner and Secretary.....	416.66
14			Examiners and Clerical Services as needed at rates fixed in Salary Standardization Report.	

Positions heretofore paid from appropriations for temporary services, the occupants of which have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

15	2	B222	General Clerk	155
----	---	------	---------------------	-----

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1	B82	Secretary—Actuary, Ret. System.....	\$ 500
2	1	B222	General Clerk	190
3	1	B222	General Clerk	200
4	1	B234	Head Clerk	250
5		B244	Actuarial Clerk (as needed).....	200
6			Consulting Actuary (as needed), \$50 per day	

Section 87. RETIREMENT SYSTEM (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
7		B310	Tabulating Machine Operator (as needed)	150
8	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	155
9			Seasonal Clerical Services (as needed)	150
10	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	190
11	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
11½	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer.....	150
12	1	N410	Investigator	200
13		B420	Phonographic Reporter (as needed), at \$12.50 per day plus transcriptions....	
14			Medical examiners and medical testimony as needed at fees fixed by Retirement Board	

Section 88. Employment in any position herein established shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules if the funds appropriated for such purpose become exhausted or if the work for which the employment is created is completed. In those departments in which positions are established for the performance of interdepartmental service or in which positions are dependent upon contract provisions of Section 95 of the Charter, employment in such positions shall be terminated in accordance with Civil Service rules upon completion of the service or fulfillment of the contract under which the service is rendered.

Section 89. If any section, subsection, item, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held invalid such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion or portions of this ordinance.

Section 90. This ordinance shall be effective July 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following matter heretofore passed for second reading was, on motion, *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriating \$4,600 Out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the Credit of the Police Department.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 586, Ordinance No. 9.051136, as follows:

Appropriating \$4,600 out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing its expenditure, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,100.00
	\$4,600.00

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$4,600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 6 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the Police Department, and authorizing the expenditure of said \$4,600, to-wit:

Temporary service	\$ 500.00
Repairs for automotive equipment from May 1 to June 30, 1934	4,100.00
	\$4,600.00

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series),
"License Ordinance," Automobile Supply Stations.
(Code No. 3.041)**

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 588, Ordinance No. 3.04132, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco," by fixing the fee for automobile supply stations at \$6.25 per quarter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile supply station under a permit from the Board of Supervisors shall pay a license of six and 25/100 (\$6.25) dollars per quarter for each such station.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

**Method for Transferring City Property.
(Code No. 12.175)**

Also, Bill No. 589, Ordinance No. 12.1751, as follows:

Providing for the method whereby real property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, or by any department thereof, including the School Department, may be transferred from the jurisdiction of one department to the jurisdiction of another department.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Whenever any real property, whether improved or unimproved, belonging to the City and County of San Francisco or to any department thereof, including the Department of Education, is no longer used advantageously by the department which owns it or under whose jurisdiction it is, or when any such property can be more advantageously used by a department other than the department which owns it or under whose jurisdiction it is, the said real property, including any improvements thereon, may be transferred to the jurisdiction of such department which can more advantageously use the same and for the purpose of transferring jurisdiction of said real property the hereafter proceedings shall be had.

Section 2. The officer, board or commission in charge of the department which desires to have said real property transferred to it shall file with the Mayor a request in writing that said transfer shall be made, which said request shall contain a description of the desired property and state the specific purposes for which said property is to be used by said department. Whenever any department desiring to have said property transferred to it is under the jurisdiction of the Chief Administrative Officer, the latter shall approve said request for said transfer.

Section 3. Upon said request being received by said Mayor he shall refer the same to the Director of Property for a report as to the estimated value of said property and the character of the improvements thereon and as to whether, in the opinion of said Director of Property, the same can be advantageously used by the said department desiring the same transferred for the purposes specified in said request. The

Director of Property shall make said report to the Mayor within ten days after receipt of same.

Section 4. If, on receipt of said report the Mayor shall be of the opinion that said property can be advantageously used by the department desiring the same, he shall request the department owning the same, or under whose jurisdiction it is, to consent to the transfer of said property to the department desiring said transfer; and if said first mentioned department consents to said transfer the Mayor shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors that it order said property transferred to the department desiring the same, sending to said Board with said request such data regarding said property as he has received from the Director of Property. No property shall be transferred from one department to another without the consent of the department owning or having jurisdiction over the same.

Section 5. Upon receiving said request from the Mayor that said property be transferred, the Board of Supervisors shall give consideration to the same, and if the Board shall determine that said property is no longer necessary or advantageous to the department owning or having jurisdiction of the same and can be advantageously used by the department asking for the same, the Board shall, by resolution, order a transfer of the same to said department. Any such transfer may be made without limit or for a limited period to be stated in said resolution. No property which has been acquired by the City and County of San Francisco or by any department thereof as a gift for any specific purpose shall be transferred if said transfer would be a violation of the trusts upon which said property is held.

Section 6. When the Board of Supervisors shall adopt any resolution transferring any property from one department to another as herein provided a copy of said resolution shall be forthwith delivered to the Director of Property, who shall keep the same in his office and make the necessary record of said transfer.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Supplementing Initiative Ordinance of 1932 on Garbage, With Respect to Collection Routes.

(Code No. 17.08)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 582, Ordinance No. 17.084, as follows:

Supplementing that certain ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors September 20, 1932, approved by the Mayor September 21, 1932, and ratified by the electors at the general election on November 8, 1932, and "Providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance," prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation without a permit so to do, and prohibiting the collection of garbage by any person, firm or corporation on any route or routes other than the route or routes for which a permit or permits have been issued to such person, firm or corporation, and providing for penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, whether such person, firm or corporation is licensed to collect refuse or not, as provided in Sections 4 and 8 of that certain ordinance "No.

17.083, approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, providing for the collection and disposition of refuse in the City and County of San Francisco; providing for the licensing of refuse collectors by the Director of Public Health; fixing the maximum rates or charges for the collection of refuse by licensed refuse collectors from homes, apartment houses, stores, etc.; dividing the City and County of San Francisco into collection routes; providing for penalties for the violation of the provisions of said ordinance," to collect any refuse from any dwelling place, household, apartment house, store, office building, restaurant, hotel, institution or commercial establishment in the City and County of San Francisco or on any of the garbage routes into which said City and County is divided, under and by virtue of the provisions of Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance, approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, without first having obtained from the Director of Public Health a permit so to do in the manner and on the terms and conditions specified in Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

Section 2. Any permit applied for by any person, firm or corporation and issued by the Director of Public Health under the provisions of the aforesaid ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, shall be for a certain route or certain routes as said route or routes are defined, designated and delineated by Section 4 of said ordinance approved by the electors at the general election held on November 8, 1932, and shall constitute permission to collect refuse only on the route or routes designated in said permit.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation holding a permit from the Director of Public Health under the provisions of Section 4 of the aforesaid ordinance adopted by the electors at the general election on November 8, 1932, to collect garbage or to attempt to collect refuse from any dwelling place, household, apartment house, store, office building, restaurant, hotel, institution or commercial establishment, situate on any other route or routes than the route or routes for which such permit is issued.

Section 4. The term "refuse" as used in this ordinance shall be taken to mean all waste and discarded materials as defined by Section 1 of the aforesaid ordinance adopted by the electors at the general election held November 8, 1932.

Section 5. Any person, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not to exceed \$500 or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 6. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. It is hereby declared that this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof would have been passed irrespective of the fact that any one of the sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared unconstitutional.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 583, Ordinance No. 12.073125, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section One Hundred and Nineteen (119) thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office June 5, 1934, by amending Section One Hundred and Nineteen (119) thereof, to read as follows:

Section 119. The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between Division street and Twenty-fifth street shall be 9 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the westerly side of) between Division street and Army street shall be 9 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between Twenty-fifth street and the first angle southerly therefrom shall be 16 feet;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue (the easterly side of) between the first angle southerly from Twenty-fifth street and Army street shall be abolished;

The width of sidewalks on Potrero avenue between Army street and San Bruno avenue shall be 12.50 feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Improvement of Bradford Street, by Sewering, Between Cortland Avenue and a Point 160 Feet North of Jarboe Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 584, Ordinance No. 12.061147, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 15, 1934, having recommended the ordering of street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Bradford street between Cortland avenue and a point 160 feet northerly from the northerly line of Jarboe avenue, including the intersection of Bradford street and Cortland avenue, by the construction of the following sewers and appurtenances:

Item No. 1—8-inch V. C. P. sewer in place.

Item No. 2—8-inch by 6-inch "Y" branches in place.

Item No. 3—Brick manholes complete.

The Standard Specifications of March, 1929, amended January, 1934, are hereby made a part of these specifications.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as follows:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of Block 5689; Lots 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 of Block 5690; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Improvement of Crossing of Filbert and Kearny Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 585, Ordinance No. 12.061148, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 28, 1934, having recommended the ordering of street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Filbert street and Kearny street, by the construction of the following:

Item No. 1—Excavation.

Item No. 2—Concrete in retaining walls and posts.

Item No. 3—Reinforcing steel.

Item No. 4—Concrete coping (10 x 18).

Item No. 5—Concrete coping (10 x 12).

Item No. 6—Unarmored concrete curb.

Item No. 7—Reset granite curb.

Item No. 8—One-course concrete sidewalk.

Item No. 9—Concrete pavement, class "E".

Item No. 10—Storm water inlet.

Item No. 11—10-inch vitrified clay pipe culvert.

Item No. 12—10-inch cast iron pipe culvert, class "C".

Item No. 13—1½-inch Std. W. I. galvanized pipe in railings.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows: Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered, respectively, as:

Lot 1 of Block 87; Lot 9 of Block 86; Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 53, 54, 55, 55A and 56 of Block 104; Lots 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 42 of Block 105; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1934-1935 Assessments.

(Code No. 1.0621)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 595, Ordinance No. 1.06213, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1934-1935 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1934-1935 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ one independent expert real estate appraiser, and seven independent expert building appraisers, as needed, subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter, to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided the independent expert real estate appraiser shall receive not more than \$250, one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$300, and the remaining six independent expert real estate appraisers shall receive not more than \$225 each, chargeable to 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 1.106.00; and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 1.200.00 to the Director of Property the sum of \$200 to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Changing and Establishing Grades on Yosemite Avenue and Ingalls Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 596, Ordinance No. 12.072216, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing official grades on Yosemite avenue between Hawes street and Jennings street, and on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Armstrong avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of Director of Public Works, did on the 9th day of April, 1934, by Resolution No. 1365 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Yosemite avenue between Hawes street and Jennings street and on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Armstrong avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

YOSEMITE AVENUE:

	<i>Feet</i>
Hawes street	Base
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Ingalls street	1
Jennings street	4
(The same being the present official grade.)	

INGALLS STREET:

Wallace avenue	Base
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Yosemite avenue	1
Armstrong avenue	1
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Yosemite avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets and on Ingalls street between Wallace and Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Approving Agreement with Gough Street Railroad Company for Installation of Sewer Pipe Across Sloat Blvd., Opposite 44th Avenue.

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1490, as follows:

Resolved, In accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, that the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Super-

visors, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby authorized to enter into that certain written agreement with Gough Street Railroad Company, dated June 6, 1934, relating to the installation of a sewer pipe across the railroad right of way along Sloat boulevard, opposite 44th avenue, San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Tribute to Late Governor James Rolph, Jr.

The following matters were presented, read by the Clerk, and *ordered spread in the Journal and copy sent to the family of the late Governor Rolph*:

MAYOR'S OFFICE
200 City Hall

June 28, 1934.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sir: The Mayor wishes me to send your Honorable Board the attached communication received from Adolph Koshland, care of American Express Company, Florence, Italy, for such action as you may deem proper. No doubt you are aware that Adolph Koshland was a former Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Sincerely,
MALCOLM A. FRASER, Secretary.

ADOLPH KOSHLAND
Care of American Express Co., Florence, Italy.

June 5, 1934.

To His Honor the Mayor, and the Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

It is not surprising that so active a life as that of former Mayor James Rolph, Jr., should have come to an end after 64 years. Yet all of us are deeply affected by his death, for he was very dear to us, and we wished him many more years to be enjoyed in personal happiness and in the service of his fellow citizens.

When, in 1911, James Rolph, Jr., was elected Mayor, I was, at the same time, elected to a term on the Board of Supervisors, and during my service had occasion to cooperate in the constructive work that was then undertaken under his presidency. I had previously known him as a private citizen and as a fellow member on a Grand Jury. Everywhere his great love and loyalty to our city were apparent. He devoted his energies, tirelessly, restlessly, to his duties. He was unselfish and generous, generous to a fault, so that it seemed at times as if he allowed his heart to rule his head. For he had that broad sympathy and understanding and neighborliness that is of the West, and especially of our California, and he had inherited that pioneer spirit from his fine parents. I can attest the close understanding and the veneration he had for his excellent father, whom San Francisco will remember with affection.

During Mayor Rolph's terms of office the reconstruction work after the great fire was thoroughly planned and carried out. Streets and public buildings, a municipal railways system, the monumental new water supply, hospitals, schools and homes for aged, welfare legislation and acts of social benefit, employees' civil service and pension—all of these were inaugurated and developed. Stimulus was given to the appreciation of music, of art and of beauty. (It was the writer's

privilege to introduce and to secure the first budget provision for public municipal concerts.) In short, the new chapter in the history of San Francisco will always gratefully record on every page the name of James Rolph, Jr.

His devotion to his good wife and to his children is well known. His great human sympathy went out to the poor and the burden-laden. He was the friend of the workingman, and organized labor had in him a staunch supporter. He loved animals. It was he who proposed the pensioning and pasturing of worn-out horses from the Fire Department. His love for dogs was so great that he even opposed their muzzling during an epidemic of rabies.

But one did not have to agree with him on all matters of legislation or of politics to appreciate his high qualities as a public official and to enjoy his friendship. I am glad to have known him in both capacities.

Therefore, I ask your Honorable Board to add this, my tribute, to other memorial expressions on the life of former Mayor James Rolph, Jr., and I request you to spread it upon your minutes.

With the assurance of my unfading loyalty for our beloved San Francisco, I remain,

Sincerely,

ADOLPH KOSHLAND,

Former Supervisor, City and County of
San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL
ASSOCIATION.

W. L. Douglas, Secretary.

790 Mills Building. Telephone GA rfield 0085.

June 29, 1934.

Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, City and County of San Francisco, Grant Building, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Supervisor: Please be advised that the San Francisco-San Mateo District Agricultural Association, familiarly known as Agricultural District 1-A, did, by resolution adopted on the 13th day of June, 1934, officially dedicate the livestock pavilion to the memory of the late Governor Jas. Rolph, Jr., and it is now officially named "James Rolph, Jr., Memorial Livestock Exposition." Before taking this action we consulted with the family of the late Governor and procured their consent to this action. Will you not please therefore have this letter read into the records of the Board of Supervisors at your next meeting in order that the exposition, when referred to, may be designated in accordance with its now official title. Of course, the name of the district is not in any wise changed by naming this memorial to the memory of the late Governor.

Kindest and best regards,

Yours very truly,

C. H. SOOY.

Participation in Politics by Municipal Employees.

The following was read and *ordered spread* in the Journal:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Civil Service Commission.

City Hall.

July 2, 1934.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Your Resolution No. 1485 was read at the regular meeting of the Civil Service Commission held Wednesday, June 27, 1934, and the Secretary of the Commission was directed to advise you that, in the opinion of the Commission, Section 157 of the Charter, relating

to "Prohibition of Political Activity," prohibits only "active participation in City and County politics" relative to the matters mentioned in said Section 157.

Section 5 of the Charter, however, contains the following paragraph:

"Any appointive officer or employee of the City and County who shall become a candidate for election by the people to any public office shall automatically forfeit such City and County office or position."

Yours respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

JAS. H. MAHER, Secretary.

Invitation to Lakeport Conference, California Highway Commission.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher, read by the Clerk:

Communication from Fred H. Merritt, County Clerk, Lake County, California, extending invitations to the members of the Board of Supervisors to attend meeting in Lakeport, Saturday, July 14, of the California Highway Commission, after which a barbecue luncheon will be served, together with entertainment, etc.

Invitation accepted, and that they be so informed as to the members who can attend.

Proposed Initiative Petition, Gasoline Tax Allocation.

Communication from Southern California League of Municipalities enclosing copy of proposed initiative measure which is to be submitted to the electors at the November 6 election relative to the net gasoline tax allocation to counties.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following, which was read by the Clerk and, on motion, *laid over until 4:30 p. m., July 5, 1934*:

Appointing Director of Relief and Determining on Plan for Handling and Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1491, as follows:

Whereas, the Mayor has notified the Board of Supervisors that the members of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee have tendered their respective resignations, the same to be effective August 1st, 1934; and

Whereas, the matter of handling and distribution of relief to those in need thereof in the City and County of San Francisco has been referred to the Public Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors, to the end that said committee might outline and recommend to the Board a plan for the handling and distribution of relief to those in need thereof by reason of unemployment conditions or otherwise; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors recommends that the following plan be adopted for the handling and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco:

1. That the matter of handling and distribution of the funds available, or which may be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor, and those in need of relief by reason of unemployment, be placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, to consist of three members to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors and to hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Board, and to serve without compensation.

2. The committee shall have executive powers, and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco, or from such other funds as may be at the disposal of said Board, and may make and adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the general laws of the State, as may be instrumental or necessary for the administration of said relief.

3. The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of said Relief Committee, shall appoint a Director of Relief at such compensation as the Board may fix in the manner provided by law. The Director of Relief shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and in addition thereto his duties shall be as follows:

(a) To carry out the policies outlined and defined by said Relief Committee and to see that all of its rules and regulations are enforced.

(b) He shall establish four departments for the administration of said relief, to-wit:

(1) A division of relief for the family group;

(2) A division of relief for single women;

(3) A division of relief for single men known as the Bachelor Group;

(4) A division of relief for other men who have no dependents.

4. Each of the said divisions shall be administered by a Division Administrator who shall be appointed by the Director of Relief and whose compensation shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Director of Relief.

5. The Director of Relief shall, with the approval of the Relief Committee, appoint such assistants and employees as may be necessary to administer relief in the City and County of San Francisco, with the compensation of said employees to be fixed in accordance with the salary-fixing powers of the Board of Supervisors.

6. The position of Director of Relief and the positions of all persons serving under him shall be full-time employment.

7. That in the reorganization of the relief program in the City and County of San Francisco the members of the present Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee be requested to sit with the Relief Committee, the appointment of which is herein recommended, in the matter of the selection of a Director of Relief and in the formulation of plans for administering relief in San Francisco.

Respectfully submitted,

PUBLIC WELFARE COMMITTEE,

By ADOLPH UHL, Chairman,

By ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,

By ANDREW J. GALLAGHER.

Recommendations of Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

The following matter was read by the Clerk and *referred to Welfare Committee*:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Mayor's Office.

200 City Hall.

July 2, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I am transmitting herewith copy of a letter, under date of July 2nd, from the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, signed by the acting chairman of said committee.

The committee's recommendations, in brief, are to the effect that a committee of three citizens should appoint a Director of Relief,

subject to the approval of the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and that this Director be charged with the responsibility of reorganizing the relief administration to conform with changed conditions.

Before taking action on this recommendation, or on various other recommendations submitted to me by welfare organizations interested in this subject, it would please me to meet in my office and confer on this subject with your Honorable Board or any committee which it might designate for the purpose, in order that definite constructive action may be taken immediately.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

July 2, 1934.

The Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

My Dear Mr. Mayor: At the termination of the meeting held in your office on Friday afternoon, it was agreed that the committee should convene on Saturday for the object of determining upon such recommendations as the committee deemed advisable in connection with a new set-up for the relief program for the City and County of San Francisco.

For the past two months there has been in preparation a new plan of support by the Federal Government. The proposed changes are fundamental. According to the statement made by the State Administrator of Relief to the chairman of the committee, confirmed last Saturday by Mr. Grenleigh, his assistant, for the City and County of San Francisco, the Federal Government is undertaking to give work to every employable unemployed person now on relief, including family men, single men and single women, to the extent of their budgetary requirements and in addition contemplates extending the relief program to include those who have not heretofore applied for relief but who, under our rules of eligibility, may be entitled to relief.

When the SERA work program becomes completely effective, San Francisco's relief problem resolves itself into caring for the unemployable indigents of the City and County of San Francisco. Hence the set-up for the administration of relief should be greatly simplified and the cost thereof greatly reduced.

Up to Thursday of last week the committee had found it difficult to arrive at a definite conclusion with respect to the future of the relief program of the City and County of San Francisco. Due to definite information from Mr. Branon, as stated above, it would seem that now it is possible to plan the relief administration program on a greatly restricted basis.

Inasmuch as the committee and the Director of Relief, Charles M. Wollenberg, have contemplated retirement from office on August 1, it would seem very desirable that the committee and the Director of Relief be relieved at this time and that whatever new set-up is determined upon should come into existence concurrently with the establishment of the new Federal plan.

In the event of your inability to immediately decide upon the new set-up, the committee would suggest that during the interim between the present time and the setting up of a permanent plan, a temporary Administrator of Relief be appointed in full charge of relief and whose entire time can be devoted to the reorganization necessary because of the greatly changed method of help to be received from the Federal Government.

You ask for the committee's suggestions as to a permanent set-up. It is the committee's belief:

First—That whatever person or body charged by law with the responsibility of appointment, appoint a committee of three citizens who can give freely of their time to relief matters.

Second—That this committee of three citizens should appoint a Director of Relief, subject to the approval of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, and that this Director, subject to the Citizens' Committee, should be charged with the responsibility of selecting his subordinates and reorganizing and operating the relief administration to conform with the changed conditions.

The committee holds itself in readiness to confer further with you at such time and place as you may designate.

Yours very cordially,

CITIZENS' EMERGENCY RELIEF COMMITTEE,

By R. D. CARPENTER, Acting Chairman.

Mayor's Conference.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board accept the invitation of the Mayor to join with him in conference Tuesday morning on relief matters.

So ordered. Conference at 11 A. M., July 3.

Vote of Thanks to Retiring Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Supervisor Uhl moved that a vote of thanks be extended to all the members of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for their work in the matter of relief.

Motion *carried* unanimously.

Appropriation for Infantile Paralysis Endorsed.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the action of the Finance Committee in underwriting expense of necessary laboratory work and hospitalization due to infantile paralysis epidemic be approved, and that the sum of \$3,000 be allowed for said expense, until the Finance Committee can consider the matter further and make its recommendations to the Board.

Motion *carried*.

Proposed Naval Supply Depot and Docks.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that the Secretary of the Navy has ordered a Board of Survey to proceed to San Francisco Bay to recommend site or sites for the establishment of a Naval Supply Depot and additional docking facilities. In connection therewith, he moved that the Clerk be requested to, in turn, request his Honor, the Mayor, to offer to the said committee, through the Assistant Secretary of the Navy, all the facilities of our City Hall for the purpose of their meeting.

So ordered.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing applicants for reduction or correction of assessments in the Assessment Book of Real and Personal property for the fiscal year 1934-1935.

The roll was called and all members heretofore noted being present.

Delivery of the Assessment Roll.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 2, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: This day—the first Monday of July—there has been delivered in to the custody of the Clerk of your Board, forty-five (45) volumes (together with indices for same), representing the 1934 As-

assessment Roll covering the taxable land and the taxable buildings in the City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.

Arbitrary List.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Russell L. Wolden, Assessor, transmitting the Arbitrary List, containing names of property owners who have refused or neglected to file statements as required by Section 3629 of the Political Code.

Announcement.

Whereupon, the Clerk announced that the Assessment Roll, consisting of forty-five volumes with indices, was open for the inspection of the public in the Registrar's Department and that the Board of Equalization was in session and would recess from day to day until all applications were heard.

Illumination of Market Street and City Hall During Knights Templar Convention.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that the attention of the Manager of Utilities be called to the fact that it is the sentiment and sense of the Board of Supervisors that Market Street be lighted to its full strength, and also the City Hall, during the period of the convention which is to be held in San Francisco (Knights Templar).

RECESS.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board recess as Board of Equalization to re-convene at 2 P. M. on Thursday, July 5.

Motion carried.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board recess as Board of Supervisors, to meet Thursday afternoon at 4:30 to consider the subject matter of the future relief program.

Motion carried.

Whereupon, at 4:30 P. M. the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 P. M. Thursday, July 5, 1934.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 9, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 29—New Series

No. 30

Thursday, July 5, 1934

Monday, July 9, 1934

Friday, July 13, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 5, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

Authorizing the Transfer to the Recreation Department of the Property Commonly Known as the County Jail Site, Now Under Jurisdiction of the Sheriff.

(Code No. 12.175)

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Havenner and adopted:

Resolution No. 1492, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Department has made a request to the Mayor that the old County Jail site and the buildings thereon (which site is more particularly hereafter described), and now under the jurisdiction of the Sheriff of the City and County, be transferred to the Recreation Department; and

Whereas, the Mayor did refer said request to the Director of Property for a report as provided in Ordinance No. 12.1751, and said Director of Property having made a report thereon showing the estimated value of said property and of the improvements thereon, a copy of which report has been presented to this Board; and

Whereas, the Mayor has requested that this Board order said property transferred to said Recreation Department, and this Board having given consideration to said request, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby determine that the property above mentioned will, on and after the first day of September, 1934, be no longer necessary or advantageous to the Department of the Sheriff of the City and County, and can be advantageously used by the Recreation Department for the purposes of said last mentioned department, and this Board does hereby order that the said old County Jail site (hereinafter more particularly described) be, and the same is, hereby transferred from the Department of the Sheriff to the Recreation Department, said transfer to be effective as of September 1, 1934.

The following is a description of the real property hereinbefore referred to and transferred by this resolution:

Those certain lots, tracts or parcels of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, described as follows:

All that portion of "The House of Refuge Lot" reconveyed by the Industrial School Department to the City and County of San Francisco, April, 1872, as per California Statutes 1871-72, page 544, except the following:

(1) The portion transferred to the Fire Department by Ordinance No. 274 of the Board of Supervisors, approved April 12, 1901.

(2) The portion transferred to the Park Commission of the City and County of San Francisco by the Board of Supervisors, July 22, 1908, by Ordinance No. 510 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Unemployment Relief.

Supervisor Gallagher reported on conference in Mayor's office on setup of new Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as follows:

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: If the Board will permit me from the Chair: The Welfare Committee was summoned—was requested, and the members of the Board, to deliberate with his Honor the Mayor yesterday morning in his office. Six or seven members of the Board responded to the invitation; the others were not present. I was the only member of the Welfare Committee present, at which conference the Mayor discussed with the members of the Board several propositions dealing with a new setup for relief purposes. In order to complete the record I will say that I acquainted his Honor the Mayor with the report of the Welfare Committee, after which a conference and discussion took place. It was suggested that the new setup for the Emergency Relief Committee be filed. It was generally determined that the emergency still exists and that the organization should not be permanent. It was also discussed and in a sense—no motion was passed—but it seemed to be the agreement of the majority that the Mayor would nominate the members of the proposed new Emergency Relief Committee, and there seemed to be general agreement that after said committee would be set up that the committee would have semi-exclusive jurisdiction, and be authorized to appoint a so-called Chief Administrator of Relief or Executive Officer in charge.

Speaking for the Committee at the meeting I said, and I believe I represented my own thought and that of some of the members of the Welfare Committee—I could not speak for the rest of the members of the Board—that they would like to be consulted as to the make-up (interruption by a lady in the audience). Speaking for the members of the Welfare Committee I stated, and for myself, that I believed they would like to have a consultation with his Honor the Mayor on the complexion of the new committee, and probably other members of the Board, and that I would have to hold a reservation on the subject of the complete authority of the so-called Relief Committee to make the selection of the chief administrator or executive officer of relief.

I then proposed to his Honor the Mayor in view of the fact that some of the members of the Board did not deem it desirous to meet in his office on matters of legislation, that his Honor the Mayor might sit with the Welfare Committee at this end of the building, and after consulting with the Mayor he stated he would gladly do so, and I also consulted with the Chairman of the Welfare Committee as a sort of intermediary, and it has been agreed to meet tomorrow morning at 11:30 o'clock, his Honor the Mayor sitting with the members of the Committee in one of our committee meeting rooms, at which time the entire proposed setup for future relief purposes will be discussed and, if possible, agreed upon.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: If I heard you correctly, Mr. Chairman, you omitted to say that it was the general expression of opinion that the Mayor would nominate the members of the committee subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors?

SUPERVISOR UHL: That is, his Honor the Mayor nominate and the Board approves?

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Yes.

CHAIR: That is correct.

Relative to PWA Bonds.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from Controller Leonard S. Leavy relative to proposed PWA bonds projects.

Also, telegram relative to same matter from his Honor Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Civil Service Commission to Report Monthly on Vacated Positions.

(Code No. 4.056)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1493, as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission is hereby requested to report to the Board of Supervisors (monthly) in writing on the following matters:

1. The classification or title, and salary or wage rate, of each position that is vacated by the resignation, dismissal, retirement, or death, or the suspension or leave of absence of fifteen days or more, of any incumbent in any department or office in the City and County service, together with the date on which such vacancy occurred.

2. If any new appointment is made to fill such vacated position, the date of such appointment, the title or classification thereof and the salary or wage rate fixed therefor.

3. If no new appointment is made to fill such vacated position, to confer with the officer having the appointing power therefor, for the purpose of advising the Board of Supervisors as to whether such appointing officer proposes to fill such vacated position before the close of the current fiscal year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Special Election August 28, Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Uhl presented resolution calling for Charter special election for salary deductions on August 28, 1934.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved reference to Finance and Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Uhl moved reference to Finance Committee for report on Monday.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the question be segregated, and a vote taken on reference to Finance Committee.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the roll was called on reference to Finance Committee and the same *carried* without objection.

Whereupon, the roll was called on question of report next Monday and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Proposed Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Mayor's salary deduction providing for 50 per cent restoration be approved.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that it be the sense of the Board that the Clerk be directed to prepare proper legislation deducting 50 per cent of last year's deduction, to apply to the months of July and August.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference to Finance Committee.

No objection. So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Committee be instructed to report back next Monday's meeting.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Naval Fleet Visit to the Coast.

Communications from Congressman Clarence Lea were presented and read by the Clerk and *ordered filed*, relative to the proposed visit of the Naval Fleet to the Pacific Coast and San Francisco Bay Region.

Illumination of Market Street During Knights Templar Convention.

Supervisor Brown moved that it be called to the attention of Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, that Market street be fully illuminated during the convention of the Knights Templar. Moved that it be the sentiment and sense of the Board of Supervisors that that be done.

Vacation Period.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vacation period for the Board of Supervisors be understood to be from July 9th to September 14th.

So ordered.

San Francisco Exhibit in Chicago Fair.

Supervisor Brown declared that on his recent visit to the East he had occasion to stop at the Chicago Fair and observed that the San Francisco exhibit was a very creditable one and was attracting attention of a great many people. He proclaimed it as being very good advertising for the City and that the money appropriated for it as being wisely spent.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon the Board, at the hour of 5:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 9, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 9, 1934, 2 p. m.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of July 2, 1934, was considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The following matter heretofore passed for second reading was taken up:

**Removal of Market Street Railway Tracks on Harrison Street and
Permission to Operate Trolley Bus.**

(Code No. 15.091)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 578, Ordinance No. 15.0914, as follows:

Authorizing Market Street Railway Company to abandon and remove its street railway tracks over and along Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street; also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street, and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and substitute, in lieu of such service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over the route hereinafter provided for.

Whereas, the State Highway Commission of the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco have requested Market Street Railway Company to discontinue its street car service on fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Tenth street and to remove its railway tracks from said portion of said street, or to permit the same to be removed by the proper authority to the end that Harrison street from Fifth street to Tenth street may be utilized as a state highway route and an avenue of approach to the westerly terminus of the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company has consented to discontinue its said street car service and to remove or permit its railway tracks to be removed over the said portion of Harrison street from Third street to Tenth street upon condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall also permit the said Market Street Railway Company to discontinue the operation of street cars upon the following additional streets, to-wit: continuing on Harrison street from Tenth street to Fourteenth street; thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth street, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street; also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets; thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street; thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street; and to discontinue the operation of street cars thereon and to substitute, in lieu of said street car service, the overhead electric trolley bus service over and along the route and streets set forth in Section 2 of this ordinance; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That in pursuance of the plan set forth in the preamble of this ordinance, Market Street Railway Company be and it is hereby authorized, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held under the operating permit of said company dated February 9, 1931, to remove said street railway tracks and discontinue the operation of street cars thereon over fixed tracks along and upon Harrison street commencing at the intersection of Third and Harrison streets, thence along Harrison street to Fourteenth street, thence along Fourteenth street to Mission street; also commencing at the intersection of Guerrero and Eighteenth streets, thence along and upon Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street, thence curving southerly on Market street to the intersection of Market street with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue), thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street, thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Frederick street; also commencing at the intersection of Frederick and Clayton streets, thence northerly along Clayton street to Waller street, thence westerly along Waller street to Stanyan street.

That the public interest will not be injured or suffer by reason of the abandonment of said tracks provided the overhead electric trolley bus service hereinafter mentioned is substituted for the street car service now maintained over said tracks, and the Board of Supervisors so finds in pursuance of Section 132 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. In lieu and in substitution of street car service over the fixed tracks in said streets above mentioned, which said tracks are to be removed under the provisions herein contained, the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, are hereby granted permission and the right to install, operate and maintain an overhead electric trolley bus service with all wires and appliances necessary for the operation of said buses over and along Harrison street commencing at Third street and Harrison street, thence southwesterly along Harrison street to Fourteenth street; thence westerly along Fourteenth street to Guerrero street; thence southerly along Guerrero street to Eighteenth street; thence westerly along Eighteenth street to its intersection with Market street at Danvers street; thence curving southerly on Market street to and over its junction with Clayton street (formerly Caselli avenue); thence curving northwesterly and northerly on Clayton street to Ashbury street; thence northeasterly and northerly on Ashbury street to Waller street; thence westerly on Waller street to Stanyan street; thence northerly on Stanyan street to Haight street; thence easterly on Haight street to Shrader street; thence southerly on Shrader street to Waller street; also northerly along Folsom street from Fourteenth street to Ninth street; thence southeasterly along Ninth street to Harrison street. This line to be operated only between the hours of 6 p. m. and 6 a. m.

The substitution of such service will more adequately serve the transportation needs of the public by reason of the construction of said San Francisco Bay Bridge, and will be in aid of the public service and convenience.

Section 3. The permission hereby granted is given for the period of duration of the operating permit granted to Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, dated February 9, 1931, or for any extension thereof, and under which said permit said Market Street Railway Company is operating its street railway in the City and County of San Francisco and all the terms and conditions of said operating permit shall govern the operation of said electric trolley buses in so far as said terms and conditions may be applicable thereto.

Section 4. The permit herein granted to operate said electric trolley buses over the route and streets mentioned in Section 2 of this ordinance, in so far as said permit covers streets not included in the operating permit of said Market Street Railway Company dated February 9, 1931, is granted pursuant to the provisions of Section 132 of the Charter

and as an extension of an existing street railway and as a permit supplemental to said operating permit above mentioned.

Section 5. The continuation of this permit will be dependent upon the Market Street Railway Company, its successors and assigns, complying with all the terms and conditions of said operating permit above mentioned in so far as they may be applicable to said electric trolley buses, and upon the further condition that, when the present railway tracks over and along the streets mentioned in Section 1 hereof are removed, the Market Street Railway Company will restore the pavement in said streets to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works except on such portions of said streets which may be reconstructed by the State Highway Commission. All tracks to be abandoned pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall be removed by the Market Street Railway Company at its expense.

Section 6. The fare for one continuous passage between terminals as described herein shall be five (5) cents, and existing transfer privileges on this route shall continue in effect for the life of this permit.

Section 7. All buses used in the exercise of this permit shall be, if possible, constructed in this City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be enacted and passed in accordance with the Charter provisions covering the passage of ordinances and when so passed and enacted shall be deemed to be the manner in which the rights herein granted and the powers herein exercised should be granted and exercised.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to amend by adding the following language at the end of Section 7:

"Sufficient buses shall be operated to maintain an eight minute headway from 5 a. m. to 1 p. m. between terminals described in Section 2, and from 6 a. m. to 10 a. m., and from 4 p. m. to 7 p. m., a five minute headway between said terminals."

No second.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to insert new section to be known as Section 8, providing "that every bus carrying passengers shall have a motorman and conductor and it shall be unlawful to operate any such bus with only one man."

No second.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote as follows:

"I am voting NO on this permit and wish my vote so recorded and made part of the record for the following reasons:

"Without the provision requiring a definite schedule and an eight-(8) minute headway the people of the district are not properly served. The service required by my amendment will meet the needs of the district served more adequately and efficiently than the existing service. The installation of the overhead trolley bus and its operation by one man is an opening wedge to a revision of service by the Market Street Railway Company which eventually would place hundreds of men on our relief rolls.

"The heavy traffic during the peak hours and on Sundays to Golden Gate Park requires two (2) men on the bus to render the type of ser-

vice to which the people are entitled. More than once this Board has recorded itself in favor of two-men car service, and I shall endeavor at every opportunity to see that street cars or buses of this type be manned by two (2) men.

"The provision regarding the fare and the transfer privileges does no harm to the ordinance and should remain as a further security. My desire to assist home industry prompts the inclusion in the ordinance of the mandate that buses be manufactured in San Francisco.

"May I call to the attention of the members, further, that now is the time to secure favorable provisions in the ordinance because the difficulties presented in amending the ordinance at a later date, once the permit is granted, are almost insurmountable. Our chance for such regulation is here and we should take advantage of it."

Acceptance of Market Street Railway Company.

Thereupon, the following was presented, read and *made a part of the record*:

July 3, 1934.

To the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

The undersigned, Market Street Railway Company, in accordance with paragraph (d) of Resolution No. 1483, Code No. 15.091, approved June 26th, 1934, authorizing Market Street Railway Company to maintain and operate automobile buses over the route therein described, hereby accepts all of the terms and conditions of the permit granted by said resolution.

MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY,

(Seal)

By R. W. MASSEY, Secretary.

Final Passage.

The following bill heretofore passed for second reading was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing Leasing the City-Owned Parcel of Land at the Northwest corner of Polk and McAllister Streets.

(Code No. 12.1737)

On recommendation of Public Buildings, Lands and City Planning Committee.

Bill No. 590, Ordinance No. 12.17395, as follows:

Authorizing leasing the City-owned parcel of land at the northwest corner of Polk and McAllister streets, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 93 of the Charter, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to arrange for leasing to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent, after 5 days' published notice, the following described land situated in San Francisco, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Polk street with the northerly line of McAllister street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Polk street 120 feet to the southerly line of Redwood street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Redwood street 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 120 feet to the northerly line of McAllister street; thence easterly along last named line 137 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Polk street and the point of commencement.

Section 2. Said lease shall begin on August 11, 1934, and shall be for a term to be fixed by the Director of Property and shall be subject to such covenants and conditions as may be deemed necessary

or desirable by the Director of Property and approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1494, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 400 to Director of Public Works for \$285.68, No. 401 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 402 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 403 to The San Francisco News for \$298.78, No. 404 to Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. for \$3,600, No. 405 to H. Moffat Co. for \$6,800, No. 406 to Patrick and Moise-Klinkner Co. for \$2.42, No. 407 to Alex Dulfer Printing Co. for \$6.92, No. 408 to Charles O. Legee for \$2,175.93—payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing the Treasurer to Make Such Temporary Transfers of Funds as May Be Necessary for the Meeting of Obligations of the City and County of San Francisco Until Collection of First Installment of Taxes, 1934-1935.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 1495, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of July, 1934, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the first day of July, 1934, until the first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1934-1935 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the first installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year, and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which the same were transferred on or before December 31, 1934, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Cancellation of Sales of Property for Delinquent Taxes.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1496, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property now owned by the City and County of San Francisco was sold to the State for delinquent taxes of 1928, and assessed for the years 1928 to 1933

in the names of the original owners. These properties were obtained through condemnation proceedings and judgments were filed in 1928 and 1929. The sales and assessments should therefore be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be requested to cancel the following sales and assessments in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804A of the Political Code:

Lot 1, Block 1263, assessed to California Pacific Title & Trust Co., Sale 448; 1928, \$87.52; 1929, \$87.08; 1930, \$89.28; 1931, \$89.28; 1932, \$87.52; 1933, \$76.90.

Lot 1, Block 2073, assessed to Frank J. Sullivan, Sale 937; 1928, \$209.09; 1929, \$416.06; 1930, \$426.62; 1931, \$426.62; 1932, \$418.18; 1933, \$367.48.

Lot 10, Block 6661, assessed to Samuel and Dora Simon, Sale 2818; 1928, \$86.72; 1929, \$86.28; 1930, \$42.02; 1931, \$42.02; 1932, \$41.18; 1933, \$36.20.

Lot 4, Block 6686, assessed to Martin J. and Margaret Gallagher, Sale 2840; 1928, \$39.60; 1929, \$39.40; 1930, \$40.40; 1931, \$40.40; 1932, \$39.60; 1933, \$34.80.

Lot 29, Block 6691, assessed to George and Virginia Pellegrino, Sale 2853; 1928, \$36.82; 1929, \$36.64; 1930, \$37.58; 1931, \$37.58; 1932, \$36.82; 1933, \$30.62.

Lot 4, Block 6693, assessed to Henry J. and Alfarata Harvey, Sale 2857; 1928, \$17.82; 1929, \$35.46; 1930, \$36.36; 1931, \$36.36; 1932, \$35.64; 1933, \$31.32.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating Balance of Moneys Received From Sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933 (\$40,000), and Moneys Received From Sale of School House Bonds, 1934 (\$1,543,000).

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 597, Ordinance No. 9.05138, as follows:

Appropriating \$40,000, the balance of moneys received from the sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.900.00 (1933 High Pressure Bonds); and the sum of \$1,543,000, balance of moneys received from the sale of School House Bonds, 1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 98.900.00 (1934 School House Bonds), fiscal year 1934-1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated the sum of \$40,000, the balance of moneys received from the sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.900.00 (1933 High Pressure Bonds), and the sum of \$1,543,000, balance of moneys received from the sale of School House Bonds, 1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 98.900.00 (1934 School House Bonds), fiscal year 1934-1935.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Civil Service Commission to Report Monthly on Vacated Positions.

(Code No. 4.056)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1493, as follows:

Resolved, That the Civil Service Commission is hereby requested to

report to the Board of Supervisors (monthly) in writing on the following matters:

1. The classification or title, and salary or wage rate, of each position that is vacated by the resignation, dismissal, retirement, or death, or the suspension or leave of absence of fifteen days or more, of any incumbent in any department or office in the City and County service, together with the date on which such vacancy occurred.

2. If any new appointment is made to fill such vacated position, the date of such appointment, the title or classification thereof and the salary or wage rate fixed therefor.

3. If no new appointment is made to fill such vacated position, to confer with the officer having the appointing power therefor, for the purpose of advising the Board of Supervisors as to whether such appointing officer proposes to fill such vacated position before the close of the current fiscal year.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

For Expense of Medical Preparation, Attention and Hospitalization of Persons Afflicted With Infantile Paralysis, \$10,503.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 598, Ordinance No. 9.051142, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$10,503, and its expenditure during the month of July, 1934, out of Appropriation No. 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health, for the expense of medical preparation, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco; and declaring the existence of an emergency as provided for by Section 16 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Director of Public Health, and the recommendation of the Mayor, the sum of \$10,503 is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended during the month of July, 1934, out of Appropriation No. 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health for medical preparation, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This said appropriation is made from the said Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that the expenditure of said sum is necessary for the protection of the public health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco and there is no other available funds.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance for the reason that the same must become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists—the nature of said emergency being that the appropriation herein made is necessary to be expended forthwith for the protection of the health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

**Clerk of Board of Supervisors to advertise for bids for sale of 1933
Public Improvement Bonds.**

(Code No. 15.021)

(Code No. 15.031)

(Code No. 12.122)

(Code No. 15.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1497, as follows:

Directing the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to advertise for bids and for the sale of Water Distribution, Hetch Hetchy Dam, Sewer, High Pressure System, and Airport Bonds.

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that on the 16th day of July, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 p. m. on said date for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

\$2,659,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1; comprising 132 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934; and 133 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1 each year, 1935 to 1953, inclusive.

\$3,500,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1; comprising 175 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing each year, 1934 to 1953, inclusive.

\$1,500,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1; comprising 88 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934; 12 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1, 1935; and 50 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1, 1936; each year to 1963, inclusive.

\$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1; comprising 52 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1, each year, 1934 to 1938, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Sale of Bonds for P. W. A. Projects.

The following matters were presented by Supervisor Gallagher, read by the Clerk, and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Office of the Controller.

July 5, 1934.

Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Chairman, Finance Committee of Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Supervisor: Pertinent to our meeting in the Mayor's office this morning, re possible sale of bonds for P. W. A. projects, please be advised:

In the agreements heretofore entered into as between the Federal Administration and the City and County of San Francisco, Bond Requi-

sition No. 1 has been filed with the State Engineer and is now on file in Washington, D. C. This requisition is in the following amounts:

Docket 1510—Extension to Water Supply.....	\$2,659,000
Docket 1538—Extension of Airport	260,000
Docket 1429—O'Shaughnessy Dam	3,500,000
Docket 1534—Sewers and Sewage Disposal.....	1,500,000

Total\$7,919,000

Subsequent to the bond sale as at June 25, 1934, wherein the City disposed of \$5,000,000 worth of School and High Pressure Bonds, the bond and investment houses and many of the banks suggested that, the market still being firm, it might be possible to sell a further allotment at an appreciable interest lower than the government 4 per cent. At a meeting called by the Mayor, at my request, Tuesday, July 3rd, it was the consensus of opinion of those present that a sale might reflect credit to the City if we did not delay too long.

I quote a telegram to his Honor the Mayor from Colonel H. M. Waite, Deputy Federal Administrator of Public Works, as at June 8th:

"If you desire you may sell your bonds on loan and grant contracts with us in open market and we will change the agreements from loan and grant to grant agreements provided you sell sufficient bonds to complete work on each project covered."

Herewith also please find copy of a telegram transmitted this day to Colonel Waite by the Mayor, an answer to which we are hopeful of receiving by tomorrow a. m. This telegram was prepared by the City Attorney and the writer, the Mayor having subsequently talked to Colonel Waite over the telephone.

Should favorable consideration be received from Colonel Waite it is suggested that your Board consider offering for sale this complement of bonds. Should the average interest cost be set as 3.5 per cent as compared to a 4 per cent basis, the difference to the City's credit over the amortization of the bonds would be between four and five hundred thousand dollars.

The whole matter is thus suggested to you so that it may be given consideration.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. LEAVY, Controller.

POSTAL TELEGRAPH.

July 5, 1934.

Col. H. M. Waite, Public Works Administration, Washington, D. C.

In re telegram June eighth. San Francisco has been requested by investment houses to offer for sale in open market all bonds mentioned in Bond Requisition No. One in P. W. A. Dockets fourteen twenty-nine, fifteen ten, fifteen thirty-four and fifteen thirty-eight, which requisitions are now on file in your office aggregating seven million nine hundred nineteen thousand dollars. Board of Supervisors may take official action today offering these bonds at public sale on July sixteenth. If bid better than government rate is obtained will sell to private bidders if you give permission. This will release practically eight million dollars of allotment to San Francisco for other projects. Sales in Dockets fourteen twenty-nine and fifteen thirty-eight will include entire issue for Hetch Hetchy Dam and improvements of Airport. Sales in fifteen ten and fifteen thirty-four will be sufficient to cover contracts already entered into covering separate and distinct portions of each of these projects. In Docket fifteen ten additional money will be needed for additional separate projects at some period between September first and December first. In fifteen thirty-four present requisitions give sufficient money until December thirty-first. Under these conditions believe that it is necessary to sell at private sale all December first nineteen thirty-four maturities in docket numbers fifteen ten and fifteen thirty-four as noted in bond requisitions. Remaining

sales at this time would be made in equal amounts from each maturity. Please advise by wire at once if suggested program is satisfactory to your department, and if so withhold action on bond requisitions now before you until bids on above mentioned bonds are accepted.

ANGELO J. ROSSI.

Adopted.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Hayden was *adopted*:

Commending Zeal of American Legion in Suppressing Communistic Propaganda.

(Code No. 5.6)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Resolution No. 1489, as follows:

Whereas, the safety of American institutions of government rests upon the loyalty of the citizens of the United States; and

Whereas, the American Legion has patriotically taken up the work of awakening the people of California to the dangers of communism, secretly or publicly preached by the enemies of organized government; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco heartily commends the zeal of the American Legion in reawakening the spirit of pure Americanism in this land and pledges its aid to the Legion and to all patriotic citizens in combating and crushing out communistic propaganda and teachings in the United States.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Providing for Appointment of New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and Director of Relief and Also Determining Plan for Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 600, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments to and until the first day of August, 1935, unless sooner removed from their respective appointments as herein provided. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation and may be removed for cause by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor. When any vacancy shall occur in the membership of said committee, the said vacancy shall be filled by a person nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The committee shall have executive powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds

of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Relief Committee, shall, by resolution, appoint a Director of Relief at such compensation as the Board may fix in the manner provided by law. The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco and shall not be at the time of his appointment an officer or employee of said City and County. He shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Relief Committee and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish four departments for the administration of said relief, to-wit:

- (a) A division of relief for the family group.
- (b) A division of relief for single women.
- (c) A division of relief for single men, known as the bachelor group.
- (d) A division of relief for other men who have no dependents.
- (e) Such other divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee.

Each of the aforesaid divisions or departments established by the Director of Relief shall be administered by a division administrator who shall be appointed by the Director of Relief and he shall hold his appointment during the pleasure of said Director.

Section 4. The several division administrators appointed as hereinbefore provided, together with the Director of Relief, shall constitute a Board of Appeal and any person being dissatisfied with the granting or refusing to grant relief by any division administrator, or by any person acting under a division administrator, may appeal to said Board of Appeal from the action of said division administrator or person acting under said division administrator and said Board of Appeal may sustain or dismiss said appeal or make such order in the premises as it shall deem proper and the decision of said Board of Appeal shall be binding on those interested unless the same is set aside or modified by the Relief Committee. All appeals and the action taken thereon shall be reported to said Relief Committee within five days after the same are made.

Section 5. The Director of Relief shall have power subject to the approval of the Citizens' Relief Committee to hire and retain such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Director of Relief and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Director of Relief. The Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 6. The Director of Relief shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of

the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said Director of Relief shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 7. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 9. The members of the Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be ex-officio members of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Statement of His Honor, Mayor Rossi.

His Honor Mayor Rossi appeared in answer to invitation and stated that although last week he had invited members to conference in his office and Mr. Uhl did not attend, that he would not stand on ceremony in such an important matter, but would attend the meeting next Monday to discuss the matter.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board agree to meet in Committee of the Whole on the subject of relief and that his Honor the Mayor be invited to be present at 4 p. m. next Monday.

Referred.

Whereupon, the subject matter was *referred to Judiciary Committee, which will meet to consider it Wednesday, July 11, at 10 a. m., and report back next Monday.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Report of Finance Committee on Supervisor Uhl's Resolution Declaring Emergency and Providing for Salary Deductions.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., July 9th, 1934.

To the Honorable the Members of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Finance Committee, to which was referred resolution by Supervisor Uhl—"Declaring an emergency and providing for salary deductions at special election Tuesday, August 28, 1934," begs to report as follows:

That the resolution was considered at length, with the City Attorney participating, and in view of the City Attorney's expressed opinion, which we are bound to obey, we are unable to report favorably, and the subject matter is therefore referred to the Board.

Subsequently, the Finance Committee recommended favorably the resolution offered by Supervisor Shannon as a substitute, and which appears on the Calendar of this date.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Ayes—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon gave notice that he would make a minority report against the action taken.

Supervisor Colman's motion, also referred to the Finance Committee, "That the Clerk be directed to prepare proper legislation restoring the amount of 40 per cent of the salaries of City employees to apply for the months of July and August until decision by special election was had," was considered and held to be illegal by the City Attorney.

FINANCE COMMITTEE.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Salary Deductions.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would recommend against the adoption of the majority report of the committee, and I would submit, Mr. Chairman, as a substitute therefor, a proposed ordinance which I think is in due form as submitted in committee.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I am going to ask that my matter (No. 10 on Calendar) be temporarily laid over until we have the report on Mr. Uhl's ordinance, which is in different form than as submitted to the committee, being revamped by the City Attorney.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon's resolution as printed on the Calendar was temporarily withdrawn and Supervisor Gallagher's Minority Report became the original motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the following matter be *ordered submitted* to the voters:

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 599, Ordinance No. 9.05361, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at the date of the approval of this ordinance, a large number of the citizens and residents of the said City and County are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment, and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco, at the date of the approval of this ordinance, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing with the approval of this ordinance and continuing until the first day of July, 1935, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five (\$125) dollars per month the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed

prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provision of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than two (2) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Section 2. Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Section 3. Because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 4. Upon this ordinance becoming effective, and before the fixing of the annual tax rate as provided by the Charter, the annual appropriation ordinance, and the annual salary ordinance, shall be revised and reenacted, so that the deductions herein authorized shall be reflected in the annual tax rate.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be effective on the first day of September, 1934.

Amendment.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment Resolution No. 10, as printed on the Calendar, which, he said, is a repetition of the resolution he presented some six weeks ago and a deduction of 60 per cent of salaries and which raises the sum of \$1,080,000, to-wit:

Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries of Officers and Employees of City and County.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1498, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of July, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the

gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Supervisor Uhl moved that his Honor the Mayor be invited to attend this session during the discussion of salary deductions, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Hayden raised a point of order and demanded that the record be read as to the remarks of Supervisor Uhl previous to his motion inviting Mayor Rossi to attend. Chair ruled the point of order not well taken, stating that it was "not practicable" to refer to the reporter's notes.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, appealed from the decision of the Chair, and the question was put by Supervisor Shannon: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board? A vote Aye sustains the Chair, a vote No overrules it."

Chair Overruled.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Havenner, Roncovieri, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon declared that the decision of the Chair was not sustained.

Thereupon, the stenographer proceeded and read the remarks of Supervisor Uhl made in connection with his motion that the Mayor be invited to attend the meeting of the Board and listen to the discussion on the proposed salary deduction legislation.

There being no objection, the Sergeant-at-Arms was directed to invite the Mayor to attend the meeting of the Board.

City Attorney's Opinion.

The following matter was presented:

July 9, 1934.

Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Board of Supervisors.

Hon. Adolph Uhl, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Uhl: At your request I have drafted and herewith enclose an ordinance to be referred to the people providing for deductions from existing salaries of officers and employees of the City, as permitted under Section 70.1 of the Charter, also a resolution of the Board of Supervisors calling for a special election to be held on August 28th to approve or disapprove the ordinance.

As I have heretofore told you, it is my opinion that the people,

under the referendum provisions of the Charter, have not the power to enact this ordinance, and I am enclosing you a copy of my opinion rendered to the Board under date of May 31, 1934, expressing my views on this point.

Since rendering the above mentioned opinion, I have made a further examination of the law on the subject, which examination has only strengthened my opinion that the views which I have expressed are correct.

As you have not expressed in writing to me your entire idea as to the ordinance which you desire to submit, will you please examine the enclosures most carefully, and if they do not express your ideas, I will be glad to confer further with you on the subject.

Yours very truly,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

May 31, 1934.

SUBJECT: In Re Referendum or Initiative Ordinance Declaring an Emergency and Making Deductions From Salaries Under Section 70.1 of the Charter.

Dear Sirs: I am in receipt of your request asking that I advise you as to whether an emergency may be declared and deductions made from salaries under Section 70.1 of the Charter, by a referendum or initiative ordinance.

Opinion.

Section 70.1 of the Charter was adopted as an amendment thereto by vote of the people in April, 1933, and was thereafter duly approved by the Legislature, which was then in session. The portion of the section pertinent to the present inquiry reads as follows:

"Section 70.1. Whenever, in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, extraordinary economic conditions actually exist due to unemployment, fire, earthquake, flood or other calamity, which adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County or of any considerable portion thereof, the Board of Supervisors, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, with the concurrence of the Mayor, shall have power as follows, to-wit:

"Sub. 1. To officially declare that a public emergency exists, and to fix the approximate anticipated time during which said emergency shall continue, provided that no such emergency shall be anticipated to continue beyond the end of the fiscal year during which the same is declared, unless such emergency be declared subsequent to the first day of January of said year, in which event the said emergency may be anticipated to continue until the end of the next succeeding fiscal year.

"Sub. 2. To provide that while said emergency as declared shall continue to exist there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, not more than the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month immediately preceding the month during which said emergency was declared and not reduced by this section.

"If said salary and compensation deductions are not reflected in the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, as set forth in subdivision 3 of this section, the amount of said deductions shall be used for the purpose of meeting or alleviating the emergency which has been declared, or to balance any deficiency existing in the general funds of the City arising by reason of the delinquency in the payment of taxes or other revenue as compared with the anticipated revenues over the same period. Provided that where salaries or compensations

are paid out of bond funds, utility funds, or other trust funds, which are not provided from the revenues of the City, all deductions made shall revert to the respective funds from which said salaries or compensations are paid.

"The maximum deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(Here follows stated deductions ranging from three to twenty per cent of various salaries.)

"The provisions of this section shall have precedence over conflicting provisions of this Charter, but nothing herein contained shall adversely affect the rights of the officials and employees as set forth in section 71 of the Charter, during the period when no public emergency exists. Contributions by the City and County and by members of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System to, and benefits, pension payments and allowances under said retirement system, shall be calculated on the basis of gross salaries and compensations of such members in the same manner and amounts as if no deductions from said gross salaries and compensations were made under this section.

"Within ten days after this amendment becoming effective, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall officially declare, by resolution, that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of this section; and that it is anticipated that said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, and the deductions from salaries and compensations at the maximum rates herein provided shall be effective until the end of said fiscal year.

"Should any emergency be declared pursuant to the provisions of this section, which will be effective after the end of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, will necessitate deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County, over and above the amounts herein provided for, the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote of all of its members, and with the approval of the Mayor, may authorize a further deduction from the salaries and compensations of any of said officers and employees by increasing the maximum deductions in this section provided for, up to and including an amount not to exceed twenty-five (25) per cent of said respective salaries or compensations as the same existed before any deduction by authority of this section."

It may be well to direct attention to a few of the salient features of the amendment, aside from the actual deductions from salaries which it provides for. They are:

(a) Before the Board of Supervisors has any power in the premises to declare the existence of the emergency "extraordinary economic conditions" must exist both in the judgment of the Mayor and in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors. It is clear that both the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors must concur in the fact that these conditions exist.

(b) When both the Mayor and the Board have concurred that such conditions do exist, then the Board, by a three-fourths vote of all of its members, *with the concurrence of the Mayor*, may proceed to declare the existence of the emergency and make the salary deductions in accordance with the provisions of the section.

(c) It is expressly provided that the provisions of this section shall have precedence over other provisions of the Charter which are in conflict with it.

(d) That within ten days after the amendment is effective the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall, by *resolution*, declare the existence of an emergency, etc.

The amendment was adopted for the purpose of permitting the deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City whose compensations were either fixed by Charter provision or which could not be reduced below a certain figure by reason of the provisions

of Section 71. It is also clear from the wording of the amendment that the deductions were not to be of a permanent character.

The pertinent Charter provisions relative to the initiative and referendum are found in Section 179. I quote from the section:

"The registered voters shall have power to propose by petition, and to adopt or to reject at the polls, any ordinance, act or other measure which is within the power conferred upon the Board of Supervisors to enact, * * *

"Any ordinance which the Supervisors are empowered to pass may be submitted to the electors by a majority of the Board at a general election or at a special election called for the purpose, said election to be held not less than thirty days from the date of the call. Any such ordinance may be proposed by one-third of the Supervisors or by the Mayor, and when so proposed shall be submitted to the electors at the next succeeding general election."

It is quite evident from the last quoted section that the only ordinance or measure which may be submitted by the initiative is one which is within the power conferred upon the Board to enact. Also that the only ordinance which may be referred is one which the Board is empowered to pass.

Can the Board by itself pass a measure under Section 70.1? It seems to me that it cannot. The very first words of the section, as I have already stated, makes the Mayor a necessary part in order to initiate the measure, and then makes the concurrence of the same official necessary to declare the emergency which permits the deductions from salaries. Even if we admit that the "concurrence" of the Mayor, with the three-fourths vote of the Board, might be expressed by his approving the measure as he does other ordinary measures, it is quite clear that it was the intention of the people that the Mayor should perform his part in initiating the measure; otherwise, why the language "Whenever in the judgment of the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors"?

Furthermore, the Charter, as written, made definite provision for the payment of certain salaries. If Section 70.1 had not been enacted those provisions would still prevail. The new section sets up the only method by which fixed salaries can be changed, i. e., by making deductions therefrom in accordance with the terms of the section. The people have stated that its provisions shall prevail over conflicting provisions in other sections.

Therefore, before these deductions can be made, the conditions must be followed and the Board itself cannot make them, or even start to make them—the Mayor must be a party to initiating the legislation. Hence the measure is not one which the Board by itself has the right to enact, and for that reason can neither be initiated by the people or referred by the Board to the people.

See *Hurst v. City of Burlingame*, 207 Cal. 134, where the Supreme Court said:

"It is the established law of this state that an ordinance proposed by the electors of a county or of a city in this state under the initiative law must constitute such legislation as the legislative body of such county or city has the power to enact under the law granting, defining and limiting the powers of such body. (Cases cited.) It is too clear for controversy that if the board of trustees of the City of Burlingame had adopted the ordinance in question without compliance with requirements of the Zoning Act above outlined said ordinance would have been inoperative and void. It is equally clear that the infirmity would not be cured by the purported adoption of the ordinance by the electors of the city under the initiative law. The zoning law vests in the legislative body of the City broad discretionary power, but when the method for the exercise of the power is prescribed by the statute such method is the measure of the power to act."

See also *Myers v. Stringham*, 195 Cal. 672, where our Supreme Court said:

"Section 44 of the Charter, it is true, purports to govern the action of the city council as the legislative body of the city and does not purport in terms to govern legislation by the initiative. But under the initiative provisions of the Charter the proposed ordinance must first be submitted to the council for its adoption or rejection and may thereafter be submitted to the electors of the city only in the event the council refuses to adopt it. Under such circumstances any ordinance which may be adopted under the initiative provisions of the Charter must be such an ordinance as might properly and legally be adopted by the council itself."

See also *Rhode Island Episcopal Convention v. City Council of Providence*, 159 Atl. 647, where the Supreme Court of Rhode Island held that where its Mayor, the Board of Aldermen and Common Council should constitute its City Council, any legislative act by the City Council must be concurred in by all three bodies.

It would therefore appear that as long as the people of the City have provided a certain way whereby deductions may be made from existing salaries, the Charter provision on the subject must be followed before the deductions can be made effective. To make these deductions by an ordinance, even by the initiative or the referendum, in a manner other than that provided in the Charter, would practically be changing the Charter by an ordinance. This cannot be done.

In view of the foregoing I must advise you that, under the terms of Section 70.1, the power of declaring the emergency mentioned and making deductions in existing salaries is vested jointly in the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and that neither can act on the subject independently of the other; that the Board cannot refer a measure which it initiates without the Mayor joining in its initiation, nor is such a measure subject to the initiative.

Further, it is extremely doubtful if a measure enacted under authority of Section 70.1 is a "legislative act". Under the State Constitution, as well as under the decisions of the Supreme Court of this State and of our sister states, only legislative acts as distinguished from administrative acts, are subject to the initiative and referendum. However, as I have already held that the Board of itself has not the power to submit the measure, it is unnecessary to determine this point at this time and no conclusion is reached on it.

Very truly yours,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to amend Supervisor Shannon's resolution by changing the effective date to September 1, 1934.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's resolution as amended with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Chair, thereupon, declared motion *lost* for lack of nine votes required to declare emergency.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the vote by which the foregoing resolution as amended was defeated be rescinded.

So ordered.

Thereupon the roll was again called on Supervisor Shannon's resolution as amended and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Declaring Emergency and Providing for Salary Deductions.

(Code No. 9.053)

Thereupon the following bill was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and referred to the electors by the following vote:

Bill No. 599, Ordinance No. 9.05361, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at the date of the approval of this ordinance, a large number of the citizens and residents of the said City and County are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County now;

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date of the approval of this ordinance, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing with the approval of this ordinance and continuing until the first day of July, 1935, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five (\$125) dollars per month the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provision of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees

whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$835 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Section 2. Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Section 3. Because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 4. Upon this ordinance becoming effective, and before the fixing of the annual tax rate as provided by the Charter, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance, shall be revised and re-enacted, so that the deductions herein authorized shall be reflected in the annual tax rate.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be effective on the first day of September, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *adopted* by the following vote:

Calling Special Election Tuesday, August 28, 1934, Salary Deductions. (Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1499, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 9th day of July, 1934, by a majority vote, submitted to the electors of said City and County of San Francisco an ordinance in the words and figures following, to-wit:

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 599, Ordinance No. 9.05361, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter

of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at the date of the approval of this ordinance, a large number of the citizens and residents of the said City and County are unemployed, and through no fault of their own, are unable to obtain or find employment and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now

Whereas, the electors of the City and County of San Francisco do hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco, at the date of the approval of this ordinance, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing with the approval of this ordinance and continuing until the first day of July, 1935, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five (\$125) dollars per month the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provision of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than two (2) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations

are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Section 2. Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Section 3. Because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 4. Upon this ordinance becoming effective, and before the fixing of the annual tax rate as provided by the Charter, the Annual Appropriation Ordinance and the Annual Salary Ordinance shall be revised and re-enacted so that the deductions herein authorized shall be reflected in the annual tax rate.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be effective on the first day of September, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon.

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of having the electors of the City and County of San Francisco vote on the adoption or rejection of said ordinance; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be authorized and directed to take all necessary steps for the calling, holding and conducting the above mentioned election and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Redwood Empire Association Counties Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association inviting attendance California Highway Commission Conference at Hotel Senator, Sacramento, at 3 o'clock, Friday, July 13, 1934, which will meet and leave with Highway Commission Caravan to Lakeport at 8 a. m. Saturday morning.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the Board of Supervisors authorize representatives already appointed on highway matters to represent this Board at this conference without commitment.

So ordered. Supervisor Gallagher appointed.

Camp for Single Resident Women.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from H. A. R. Carleton, Director, State Transient Service and State Camps, State Emergency Relief Administration, de-

claring that SERA plans to establish camp for 50 or more single resident women at Pacific Grove, California, and requesting that same agreement as applies to single men's camp be authorized and sent to him.

So ordered.

New Proposed Citizens Emergency Relief Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from League of California Women Voters offering suggestions as to the constitution of the new proposed Citizens Emergency Relief Committee set-up.

Referred to Welfare Committee.

Special Session of Legislature, Unemployment Relief.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from County Supervisors Association of the State of California transmitting copy of resolution of said association requesting His Excellency, Governor Frank Merriam, to call a special session of the Legislature in order to make adequate provision for a program of unemployment relief by the State and Federal Government relieving the counties of the unbearable burden of attempting to finance an inadequate program.

Ordered filed.

Extension of Time, Dog License Payments.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from M. V. Lundy requesting an extension of time to July 1 for the payment of dog licenses. Supervisor Gallagher moved an extension of ten days' time.

Motion carried.

Progress Report, World's Fair.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to communicate with the Chief Administrative Officer and ask what progress, if any, has been made by the Founders' Committee of the proposed World's Fair in the matter of the selection of a site. Report to date of all proceedings be requested.

So ordered.

Charter Election, Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Mayor be notified that the deadline on the resolution for placing Charter amendment relative to salary deduction on the ballot is next Monday, according to the advice of the City Attorney.

So ordered.

Supervisors' Automobiles.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to the condition of automobiles assigned to the Board of Supervisors and declared that it will cost from \$600 to \$700 to repair the Pierce Arrow, which has a turn-in value of \$110, and will cost upwards of a total of \$1,100 to put the car in proper shape. I propose, he said, to turn it in and get a Buick or Studebaker. The brown Pierce Arrow has a turn-in value of \$400, but needs repairs amounting to \$450, which I also believe should be traded in. The proposed trade-in and acquisition of two new cars will cost about \$2,000.

Proposed Charter Election for Salary Deduction.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1500, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendment to the Charter of said City and County and the hereinafter mentioned ordinance of the City and County; and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above-mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said amendment and said ordinance; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendment to said Charter and the following ordinance of the City and County, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

Charter Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter, to be known as Section 70.2, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, which by said new section of the Charter are declared to constitute an emergency which is deemed to be in effect and is estimated to continue to June 30, 1935, and thereafter until terminated as provided in said section, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Ordinance. An ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of said City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, in accordance with the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above-mentioned election and to give, in the manner provided by law, the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County of the above-mentioned amendment to the Charter thereof and the above-mentioned ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco.

Also, Charter Amendment No. 1, Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 70.2, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, declaring that a public emergency exists and that it is anticipated said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, and thereafter until terminated as provided in said Section 70.2, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1934, a proposal to amend the

Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be designated Section 70.2, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies declared to exist in San Francisco and anticipated to continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, *and thereafter until terminated.*

Section 70.2. It is hereby declared that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, which said emergency is anticipated *to continue*, and is hereby so declared, will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35 (*and thereafter until such time as the Board of Supervisors, by majority vote of all the members thereof, shall declare that said public emergency no longer exists*).

While said emergency as herein declared shall continue to exist, there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect *during the calendar month* of March, 1933, and not reduced by the provisions of Section 70.1 of this Charter.

The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$125 per month, no deduction.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be

deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

The provisions of Section 70.1 of this Charter shall continue in force and effect in all particulars where they are not changed or modified by the provisions of this section.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved reference of the foregoing matters to the Judiciary Committee.

So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Judiciary Committee report back next Monday.

Supervisor Hayden raised a point of order that motion is out of order, inasmuch as suspension of the rules is necessary to consider the motion.

Chair ruled point of order well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board? A vote Aye sustains the Chair, a vote No overrules the Chair."

Thereupon, the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Excused—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon declared the Chair *overruled*.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order that this vote involves suspension of the rules.

Chair ruled point of order well taken.

Appeal was taken from the decision of the Chair and Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question: "Appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair. Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board? A vote Aye sustains the Chair. A vote No overrules the Chair."

Thereupon, the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon declared the Chair *overruled*.

Motion Carried.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion that the Judiciary Committee report back at next Monday's meeting and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, JULY 13, 1934, 1:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in Special Session pursuant to request of Supervisors Adolph Uhl, Arthur M. Brown, Jesse C. Colman, Adolph E. Schmidt, Andrew J. Gallagher, and John M. Ratto.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.
Quorum present.
Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Called for Meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

We, the undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors, request a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Friday, July 13, 1934, at 1:30 p. m. of said day, for the purpose of considering the question of calling a special election on August 28, 1934, allowing the electorate to approve or reject a Charter amendment heretofore submitted to this Board and now referred to the Judiciary Committee, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to give the proper notice thereof.

ADOLPH UHL,
ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR.,
JESSE C. COLMAN,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
JOHN M. RATTO,

Supervisors

San Francisco, July 10, 1934.

Advertised Notice.

The following advertised notice published in the Daily News was also presented and read into the record.

**NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.**

City Hall, San Francisco.

July 11, 1934.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to written request signed by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Jesse C. Colman, Adolph E. Schmidt, Andrew J. Gallagher, J. M. Ratto, a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors is hereby called for 1:30 p. m. Friday, July 13, 1934, in the Chambers of the Board.

The purpose of the meeting is to consider the question of calling a special election on August 28, 1934, to submit to the electors a Charter amendment providing for deductions from existing salaries of officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, and to refer said amendment to the electors to be voted on at said special election.

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,
President, Board of Supervisors.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Motion to Rescind Action Referring to Judiciary Committee Resolution Calling Special Election to Submit Charter Amendment for Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Board of Supervisors "rescind its action, referring to the Judiciary Committee on July 5, 1934, matter of calling special election for August 28, 1934, and proposed Charter

amendment submitted by Supervisor Uhl on July 5, 1934," which matters are pending in Judiciary Committee *and deferred therein for City Attorney's opinion and further consideration on Saturday, July 7, 1934.*

Supervisor Uhl seconded the motion on the ground that some of the members of the Board are expecting to go on vacation and will not be here for Monday's meeting.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I am going to rise to a point of order on the motion. The committee, according to our rules, has thirty days in which to report unless otherwise instructed. They were instructed to bring the report back to us on next Monday. The proper motion to make at this time is for a suspension of the rules, they can't change their minds at a special meeting and call something in here after definite instructions have been given; that is, an instruction to report.

I make that as a point of order.

* * * * *

Chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Colman, appealed from the decision of the Chair, and Supervisor Havenner, being called upon, put the question: "Appeal has been taken from the decision of the Chair, shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board, a vote Aye sustains the Chair, a vote No overrules the Chair."

* * * * *

THE PRESIDENT: Members of the Board: If you will allow the Chair to speak from the Chair, which I think I have the right to do, I would say this: First, on rule thirty, an interpretation has been placed on it which the Board sanctioned. I will respect this Board at all times, as its presiding officer and as a member of the Board. On that rule, I was overruled in my interpretation of it last Monday, but we have a Charter which is greater than this Board. It is the organic law that governs our City, and you will find a section of that Charter that states clearly that unless the matters referred to this Board, unless the same is concurred in by the Board, unanimously, it must go to committee, and this particular matter went to committee. Now, in an effort to circumvent the Charter and circumvent our rules you make a motion of this kind. Personally, I feel you are jeopardizing the matter that this Board has been called here for today. Irrespective of what you might do to the Chair in respect to this particular point of order, you have not suspended your rules and are not living up to that section of the Charter which clearly states that matters must be referred to committee unless concurred in by unanimous consent of the Board. For that reason, Supervisor, I ruled that point of order well taken.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman, I would like to have the privilege of calling to the attention of the Board the fact that the Charter amendment was referred to the Judiciary Committee. The Judiciary Committee has held one hearing at the request of the—

SUPERVISOR COLMAN (interrupting): I submit the subject is the point of order.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I simply want to make a statement to the effect that at the request of the City Attorney, and the majority members of the committee, a further hearing was set for Saturday in order to allow the City Attorney and the members to make a further study of the Charter amendment, and invitations have been sent out to a number of interested parties to attend a committee meeting on Saturday. Now, it seems to me that if we are going to destroy that procedure, we are going to destroy the whole integrity of our committee meetings. This is something entirely new to me, in my whole membership on the Board. I don't know of a single precedent for this

meeting, and it destroys the intent of the Charter; there is no doubt about that: "An ordinance shall be passed in the Board of Supervisors only after reference to and report thereon from committee."

* * * * *

Question on Appeal From the Decision of the Chair.

Whereupon, the Roll was called on the appeal from the decision of the Chair, with the following result:

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Excused—McSheehy—1.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The Chair is overruled.

Motion.

SUPERVISOR UHL: I now move the report, which is in the hands of the Judiciary Committee covering this Charter amendment, be brought back to the Board.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: There is a motion already.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I ruled against that. The Board has rescinded its action.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: It hasn't been voted on.

THE PRESIDENT: Supervisor Uhl, if you will make a motion and it is seconded—

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: There is a motion pending, a motion by Mr. Brown, to rescind the action of the Board of last Monday.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion to rescind the action of the Board last Monday. You have heard it. Call the roll.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: No, Mr. Chairman, I want to discuss the question. It is absolutely open to discussion. The framers of the Charter deliberately, specifically, and emphatically, wrote into the Charter a procedure for the adoption of legislative action by this Board and there cannot be the slightest misconstruction of the intent and the purpose because the language is so clear that it is not even subject to controversy.

"An ordinance shall be passed by the Board of Supervisors only after reference to and report thereon from committee, unless it be an ordinance prepared and reported out by committee."

That is the only exception.

* * * * *

I submit that the legislative action prepared for the submission of a Charter amendment is in its form and essence, an ordinance, and I am going to ask for a ruling of the City Attorney on that contention. I have a very distinct recollection that we have been advised in the past that the procedure for submitting a Charter amendment is an adoption of an ordinance submitting that amendment, and I ask that any further discussion of this matter be deferred until we can have the opinion of the City Attorney. I make it as a point of law, Mr. Chairman, involving a very important provision of this Charter, and I desire to hear the City Attorney's opinion as to whether it is an ordinance.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: May I ask Mr. Havenner a question? Do you base your proposition entirely to the fact that this is not referred to committee? I mean, you are basing it on that article 25?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I am meeting the specific motion before the Board, which is a motion to rescind an action referring to committee. Yes, on this particular point.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The Board is simply being asked to rescind its action referring this to the Judiciary Committee.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, but I am raising a point of law as to my construction of the Charter.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: On what point?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That you cannot consider this until it has been heard and reported on by committee.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: We are going to refer it to committee, therefore it seems to me your argument does not hold. We are going to refer it to the Committee of the Whole, the Committee of the Whole will then report it back to the Board, and it will then go through. But, of course, the reasons which I will give in answering your argument that it cannot come out of committee in time if it went to the Judiciary Committee—and we feel that the will of the majority of the members of this Board will be balked by taking advantage of a situation. This is perfectly legal. We have proposed the other method. Now, that is the story. You might as well attack the method of the Committee of the Whole, because this is just preceding that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: If there is going to be any charge of bad faith on the part of the committee, I want to make the argument that the committee is doing its exact duty under the instructions from the Board. The committee was instructed to report back next Monday, and the committee is going to carry out instructions of the Board. If there is any bad faith involved it is on the part of those members who have adopted the instruction to report back next Monday and are now, for reasons best known to themselves, deciding they want to compel the committee to do something else.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The Charter provides, without taking any words out of the mouth of Mr. Havenner—the charter provides in section 13 a certain procedure as to ordinances and resolutions. The question I would like to ask is: Can the City Attorney see any legality in a case of law in withdrawing the Charter amendment from committee, and is the Charter violated if the Board thereafter considers the matter which has not yet been reported on? Is there any illegality of the Supervisors in withdrawing it from one committee of the Board and submitting it into another committee of the Board? Do you see any violation of the Charter providing the committee of the Board, authorized by the Board, reported on the subject?

SUPERVISOR HAYDEN: Pardon me, a moment, before you answer that. I want to add another point along that same line. The Charter in Section 13, just referred to here, does not make any reference whatever to a Committee of the Whole. It says, unless it is reported out by committee. The reference has been made here, or the suggestion has been made here today, that this matter is to be taken out of the Judiciary Committee. The motion now before us is to rescind the former action of this Board whereby the Board ordered this Charter amendment into the Judiciary Committee to report back by next Monday, the plan being now to rescind it back and resolve ourselves into a Committee of the Whole and take up the subject-matter of this Charter amendment. I want to call your attention to the fact that there is no reference at all in this section 13 as to the Committee of the Whole. It says, reported out by committee.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I would like to call the attention of the City Attorney that there is no reference to the section, either, to the Judiciary Committee.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I would like an answer to my question.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: My original question I will put in due time, Mr. Chairman, but I would like to call your attention to the fact that the call for this special meeting of the Board of Supervisors is for a specific purpose: "A special meeting of the Board of Supervisors is hereby called"—"The purpose of the meeting is to consider the question of calling a special election on August 28th." Now, the members of the Board here have just adopted a motion which is not included in the official call for this meeting, and I submit the meeting is limited absolutely to the purpose of the call. They have adopted a motion to rescind an action taken at the last meeting of the Board.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: It has not been adopted.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I make the point that it cannot even be considered here legally, and if it is considered it will be subject to court action.

THE CITY ATTORNEY: What is your point, again?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: My point is that this meeting, special meeting, by the specific language of that call, is limited to the purpose set up in the call itself, and that the motion to rescind an action taken at the previous meeting of this Board cannot be considered at this meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I rise to a question of information. I would like to ask from Mr. Havenner, or one of the members of the Judiciary Committee, just what happened in committee, so that the full Board will be enlightened as to what happened, and we will know better how to proceed. Then I would like to have the privilege of the floor, after Mr. Havenner answers the question.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman, the Judiciary Committee met on Wednesday morning at ten o'clock, the full membership of the committee being present; the question on the Charter amendment, which had been referred to the committee by the Board of Supervisors, was on the calendar; a number of suggested amendments were proposed at that meeting by Supervisor Uhl, who is not a member of the committee, and the committee asked to have time, the majority members of the committee asked to have time to make a study of the amendment itself and of the proposed amendments, and for the benefit of the City Attorney's advice as to the terms and provisions of the proposed Charter amendment. The instructions to the committee, as you will remember, made by a vote of this Board at the meeting on last Monday, were that the committee should report back to the Board at the meeting on next Monday, and the committee has therefore postponed its report and action until another meeting set for noon, on Saturday, at which time the Charter amendment will again be considered.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Was the Charter amendment referred to the City Attorney?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: The City Attorney was asked, by a majority member of the committee, to study the Charter amendment.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: As to its legality?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Yes, as to its legality and form, and the purport of the amendment suggested by Mr. Uhl. Now, the committee has, in accordance with the established procedure, instructed the Clerk to send out notices to all interested parties of the next meeting to be held on Saturday at noon. Those notices have been sent out. The public, thus far, has not had any hearing on that Charter amendment, and we have invited the public to be there, to carry out the purposes of the committee and to get the advice and the attitude of the interested parties on this proposed matter.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. President, I feel that the point

made by Mr. Roncovieri is well worth the serious consideration by the members of this Board. The call as issued by the President of this Board is for a special meeting, and that is all. The matter, the motion before us to rescind the action of the Board is not before us; if the call for the Board wants to be interpreted as being one to consider the Charter amendment, the Charter amendment is not before us, therefore the motion to rescind is clearly out of order. The Chair has been overruled on that, I appreciate it, but it is a matter which is in committee and the committee has been ordered to report next Monday and they are going to have another meeting tomorrow at noon, and the City Attorney is to advise them at that meeting as to the correctness of the Charter amendment, as per instructions by the committee at its meeting held this week. I feel that the majority members of this Board who are forcing this matter through, if there is nothing else, it is illegal, and I think they are treading on dangerous ground.

* * * * *

Supervisor Gallagher moved previous question, seconded by Supervisors Uhl and Schmidt.

Supervisor Roncovieri requested permission to ask a question.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order, "When previous question is called for no debate is permitted."

Chair ruled question was in order.

* * * * *

Question of Supervisor Roncovieri.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. City Attorney, does the law provide in the Charter or elsewhere, that the public shall be given an opportunity to be heard on a Charter amendment which is later to be submitted to the same people?

THE CITY ATTORNEY: That is a matter within the discretion of the Board, there is no law on it.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: There is no law that compels this Board to listen to the public upon a question that affects the public?

THE CITY ATTORNEY: That is a matter entirely up to the Board.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Will you let me ask a question? The discussion here revolves upon a Charter amendment which I presented a week ago, and went to committee; it is called out, and is for a special election to place a Charter amendment upon the ballot; I, at this time, bring in this Charter amendment, slightly changed in form, for the Board to resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole to hear it, and for the City Attorney to pass upon its legality, and for this Board to pass upon the matter.

THE CITY ATTORNEY: That is a matter for the Chairman to decide, and if you are not satisfied with his decision that is a matter for the Board to decide.

SUPERVISOR UHL: It is the legality of the action that I am worried about.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I am not worried about it.

THE CITY ATTORNEY: I think I shall state the law to you, that when this Board submits an amendment to the people, the people reject it or approve it, the Supreme Court is not going to pay any attention at all to the rules of this Board.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Question.

THE PRESIDENT: You have heard the question. Call the roll. The question is on rescinding our action of last Monday.

THE CLERK: There is a previous question pending.

THE CITY ATTORNEY: I might add that the Board has to interpret its own rules, we wont try to do it.

THE PRESIDENT: The motion has been made on the previous question. Call the roll on the previous question.

Whereupon, roll was called on the previous question, and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Motion of Previous Question Carried.

THE PRESIDENT: Motion is carried, the previous question has been voted on favorably. Now, you are to act on the original motion of rescinding.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Question.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: State the question.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you state the question, please, Mr. Clerk?

Motion to Rescind Reference to Judiciary Committee Carried.

THE CLERK: Mr. Brown moved to rescind the action of the Board in referring the proposed Charter amendment to the Judiciary Committee.

Thereupon, the roll was called on rescinding action referring Resolution No. 1500 calling for special election on August 28, 1934, and the proposed Charter amendment to the Judiciary Committee and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Motion for Reconsideration.

Supervisor Havenner thereupon changed his vote from No to Aye and gave notice of motion for reconsideration.

CHAIR: So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, appealed from the decision of the Chair on the ground that the only question that is subject to reconsideration is the main question. This, he said, is a mere motion.

Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I make a point of order, based on Roberts' Rules of Order, and I would like to read to you on page 53 of Roberts' Rules of Order:

"The following list contains some of the main, common, incidental, main questions"—

and it goes down the list with a lot of others, and then,

"Rescind or repeal action taken."

Listed in bold-faced type, is one of the incidental main motions.

SUPERVISOR HAYDEN: Read what follows there.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: "All of these motions are essentially main motions and are treated as such, although they may appear otherwise." If you are going to overrule the Chair on this, Mr. Chairman, you just simply flaunt all the established parliamentary procedure for

every legislative body in America, or the United States. It would be the most outrageous thing that could ever be done and I venture to say it will not rest well with the people of San Francisco if they know that a bare majority of this Board, in order to carry out a political opinion, are going to flaunt the rules of the Board and throw them in the ash can—it can't be done.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The question on appeal.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. Chairman.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: You are not entitled to the floor on the motion of appeal from the decision of the Chair; the maker of the motion, and the Chairman, are the only ones. I recognize Mr. McSheehy.

THE PRESIDENT: Members of the Board, we have a book of rules, and that book of rules is concurred in by every member of this Board, there wasn't a dissenting voice. Now, all right, that book of rules is very plain, and it states clearly that any particular rule that is not prescribed in that book, that Roberts' Rules of Order shall govern where the particular rule is not defined in that book. Now, Roberts' Rules of Order is very clear on matters of this kind, and our book of rules is very clear. It should be a serious question under a condition of this kind—if the Chair has decided that the motion as made is out of order—but first of all, let us take it this way; first the motion was carried, that is, and Supervisor Havenner rose and changed his vote from "no" to "aye" and gave notice of reconsideration. Now, the immediate suspension of rules, according to our own rules, requires eight votes for the suspension of the rules, so Supervisor Gallagher, knowing the rules, as he knows them—and he does know them—knew that the motion in order was the suspension of the rules, and Supervisor Gallagher rose to a point of order that the Chair was not within his legal rights. You can raise that any time you want. Therefore, throw out your book of rules and allow this Board to be governed by six men and make their own rules every meeting.

* * * * *

Chair Overruled.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

And the roll was called, and the Chair *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Excused from voting: Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon declared the Chair *overruled*.

* * * * *

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR UHL: May I explain my vote? My vote will be not to sustain the Chair for the simple reason that Monday is the deadline on this, and it comes up—time is the essence, and it should be decided today in order that it can go to the people and let the people decide the matter.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The matter to reconsider has been settled?

Motion to Adjourn.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Yes. I move we adjourn because it is now five minutes to three, and these people have been waiting here since two o'clock, and we are scheduled to meet at two o'clock to hear petitions on their assessments, and real estate. I feel that they have

waited long enough, and their cases should be given consideration. I sincerely trust that the motion to adjourn will carry.

Seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri.

Supervisor Brown moved as an amendment that we resolve ourselves into a Committee of the Whole to consider matters now pending in the Judiciary Committee on the subject-matter of Charter amendment for salary deductions.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I ask that the Chair ask those in the chamber, ask those who are here to attend the regular meeting of the Board of Equalization since two o'clock today to kindly stand up—

SUPERVISOR SHANNON (interrupting): To rise.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: We are keeping a large number of citizens from having a hearing on the matter, and I think it is manifestly improper simply because a certain group of Supervisors want to carry out some political purpose.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I desire to ask through the Chair—

SUPERVISOR BROWN (interrupting): Mr. Chairman, I have the floor.

THE CHAIRMAN: I recognize Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER (interrupting): Just a minute.

THE CHAIR (interrupting): I recognized Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I am going to amend Supervisor Shannon's motion to recess by a motion that we recess and reconvene as a Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Just a minute, I rise to a point of order.

THE CHAIR: Your point, Supervisor?

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: My point of order is, that a motion to adjourn is not subject to amendment.

THE CHAIR: I realize that.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I desire, if I am recognized now, to ask those citizens who are waiting, and who have listened to this attempt to controvert the wishes of the majority of this Board in a matter that will reduce the taxes by seventeen to twenty cents whether under those circumstances they are not perfectly willing to wait a little longer.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to say to the taxpayers in the audience that the City Attorney has already ruled the action before us is illegal, but probably is going to be taken to the courts to be threshed out.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Question.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Question.

THE CHAIR: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk, on the question of the adjournment.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

THE CHAIR: Supervisor Brown, I recognize you, unless you wish to give way.

Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: I now move that the Board resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: To consider what?

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The Charter amendment calling for a special election.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: I rise to a point of order, that that motion to resolve ourselves into a Committee of the Whole is not germane to the call for this special meeting, it has no relation whatever to the call for the meeting, which is to consider the question of calling a special election, and nothing outside of the call for this meeting is germane at this time.

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): For submission of the amendment.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: For submission of the amendment? But not for the consideration of the amendment, quite a different thing.

Chair ruled point of order well taken.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, appealed from the decision of the Chair, and Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

The roll being called the Chair was overruled by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon declared the ruling of the Chair *overruled*.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Brown's motion to resolve into Committee of the Whole.

The roll was called and the motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

* * * * *

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Mr. Chairman, if I may, my reason for voting No is due to the fact that a number of citizens were called here today at two o'clock to appear before the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization, and we have now kept them waiting for over an hour and are about to keep them waiting for from an hour more to longer.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Just a minute, I want to explain my vote. My reason for voting Aye is that this whole matter could have been settled in fifteen minutes if it had not been for the most flagrant obstructionism I have ever seen on this Board, and the citizens, if they have been listening to these proceedings understand the reason why.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman—

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE (interrupting): May I address myself to the Board for just a moment, if you will pardon the interruption. His Honor, the Mayor, has a most important conference in his office and I promised to be there today at two-thirty o'clock p. m. and I am going now.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Just a minute, may I ask one question before you leave. Have you submitted a corrected draft of the proposed amendment to the Board or to the committee?

CITY ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: I was requested to report the matter tomorrow morning. I have no report at this time to make.

* * * * *

THE CHAIRMAN (Supervisor Gallagher): The Board, gentlemen, has resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole to consider the questions contained in the call sent out and the Chair now awaits a motion on the subject.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I take exception to the statement of the Chairman, it is for considering the matter contained in the call—

THE CHAIR (interrupting): I will read the call: "Attention is called by Supervisor McSheehy—"

THE CLERK (interrupting): If you will permit, Mr. Gallagher, you are not reading the proper one.

THE CHAIR: Very well, Mr. Clerk, read it.

THE CLERK: "Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

"Office of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, July 11, 1934.

"Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to a written request signed by: Supervisors Adolph Uhl, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., Jesse C. Colman, Adolph E. Schmidt, Andrew J. Gallagher, J. M. Ratto, a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors is hereby called for 1:30 p. m., Friday, July 13, 1934, in the Chambers of the Board.

"The purpose of the meeting is to consider the question of calling a special election on August 28, 1934, to submit to the electors a Charter amendment, providing for deduction from existing salaries of officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco, and to refer said amendment to the electors to be voted on at said special election.

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,
President, Board of Supervisors.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk."

* * * * *

Resolution Calling Special Election.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the resolution was taken up seriatim.

The Clerk was directed and proceeded to read seriatim the resolution calling the Special Election, to-wit:

* * * * *

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved adoption.

* * * * *

Thereupon, the resolution was taken up seriatim and voted upon as follows:

Paragraph 1. "Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendment to the Charter of said City and County and the hereinafter mentioned ordinance of the City and County; and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above-mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said amendment and said ordinance; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendment to said Charter and the following ordinance of the City and County, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:"

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Paragraph 2. "Charter Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter to be known as Section No. 70.2 providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, which by said new section of the Charter are declared to constitute an emergency which is deemed to be in effect and is estimated to continue to June 30, 1935, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County."

* * * * *

Supervisor Roncovieri asked who drew the resolution.

Supervisor Uhl replied, "City Attorney did not draw it."

Supervisor Roncovieri, thereupon, raised the point of order that the work is improperly done.

Supervisor Gallagher, chairman of the Committee of the Whole, ruled the point of order out of order.

Privilege of the Floor.

Supervisor McSheehy, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved the privilege of the floor for Cameron H. King, president of the Federation of Municipal Employees.

No objection. *So ordered.*

Supervisor Uhl moved to limit time to three minutes.

Supervisor Shannon moved, as an amendment, not to exceed 10 minutes.

Amendment *carried.*

Whereupon, Cameron H. King, president of the Federation of Municipal Employees, was granted the privilege of the floor, and addressed the Board as follows:

I appear before your body in opposition to the proposed resolution calling a special election. I am opposed to the calling of the special election on the grounds, in the first place, that this Board has the power to do what is sought to be done by the proposed amendment—

SUPERVISOR UHL (interrupting): May I interrupt just a minute, is he appearing for the—

THE CHAIR (interrupting): Does the gentleman object to the interruption?

MR. KING: Certainly not.

THE CHAIR: Very well, Mr. Uhl.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Are you representing now the Federation of Municipal Employees?

MR. KING: I am speaking as president of the Municipal Federation—the Federation of Municipal Employees. I have always spoken here solely as the representative of the San Francisco Federation of Municipal Employees.

I have no desire to trespass upon the time of the Board, I do not wish to raise any extraneous issues, but I do say that the resolution which is presented is defective. In the first place, it proposes to submit to the voters of San Francisco a measure which the City Attorney has already advised you cannot be legally submitted to the people of San Francisco. He expressed that opinion in open session of the Board here, and you are attempting to illegally submit something which you have no power to do. That is the first objection to the call for the election.

The second objection is based upon the proposition that the purported Charter amendment cannot possibly take effect until voted on, or until it is approved by the legislature sometime in the beginning of next year.

Now the purported Charter amendment itself, I don't know whether I am in order to speak upon that at this time.

THE CHAIR: I very regretfully say it is not before the house.

MR. KING: I assume the Chair is right.

THE CHAIR: And I assume, in all fairness to you, when the time comes to discuss that, you will be given another opportunity.

MR. KING: Yes. I wish to state this, last year, only last April, there was submitted to the People a Charter amendment providing how this Board, and this Board only, might meet any emergency arising due to unemployment or any other economic condition, and how the Board may make deductions from the salaries of City employees without putting the City to the extra expense of a City election, special election, costing from thirty-five to forty thousand dollars to enact something which cannot possibly go into effect until next February.

Further, the City employees have here before you, and before this day said that they are perfectly willing to accept a deduction in salaries amounting to 40 per cent on an average, the Board knows the details of that and I need not go into it, but I say that at this time to subject the City to the expense of a special election costing thirty-five or forty thousand dollars, when any amendment you propose may be submitted at the November election without expense, only a few months further away, is wasting public funds and is not necessary, because the people by their vote last April told you how to meet this situation, and by their further vote in November told you to what limits they expected you to go.

Now that is all I have to say.

* * * * *

Thereupon the roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Paragraph 3. "Ordinance: An ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of said City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, in accordance with the provisions of section No. 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County, and be it"

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Paragraph 4. "Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above-mentioned election and to give in the manner provided by law the required notice of said election; and be it"

Carried on motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Paragraph 5. "Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City

and County of the above-mentioned amendment to the Charter thereof and the above-mentioned ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco."

Carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the language at the end of paragraph 2 be made to conform to the Charter by inserting after "1935" the words "and thereafter until terminated as provided in said section."

So ordered.

Motion to Adopt.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that Resolution No. 1500, as follows, be adopted:

Calling Special Election August 28, 1934.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1500, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendment to the Charter of said City and County and the hereinafter mentioned ordinance of the City and County; and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above-mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said amendment and said ordinance; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendment to said Charter and the following ordinance of the City and County, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

Charter Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter to be known as section 70.2 providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, which by said new section of the Charter are declared to constitute an emergency which is deemed to be in effect and is estimated to continue to June 30, 1935, and thereafter until terminated as provided in said section, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Ordinance. An ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of said City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, in accordance with the provisions of section No. 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above-mentioned election and to give in the manner provided by law the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County

of the above-mentioned amendment to the Charter thereof and the above-mentioned ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Proposed Charter Amendment.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter amendment for salary deductions was taken up.

Supervisor Shannon requested that copies of the proposed Charter amendment be furnished himself and other members of the Board, as he had no knowledge of its contents.

So ordered, and the Clerk was so directed.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to rise and report until copies of the proposed Charter amendment are made and furnished to the members.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Thereupon, the proposed Charter amendment for salary deductions was taken up.

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. 1.

Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as section 70.2, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, declaring that a public emergency exists and that it is anticipated said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, *and thereafter until terminated as provided in said section 70.2*, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto to be designated section 70.2, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies declared to exist in San Francisco and anticipated to continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, *and thereafter until terminated*.

Section 70.2 It is hereby declared that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of the provisions of section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, which said emergency is anticipated *to continue*, and is hereby so declared, will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, *(and thereafter until such time as the Board of Supervisors, by majority vote of all the members thereof, shall declare that said public emergency no longer exists)*.

While said emergency as herein declared shall continue to exist, there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the

salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect *during the calendar month* of March, 1933, and not reduced by the provisions of section 70.1 of this Charter.

The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$125 per month, no deduction.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensation of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

The provisions of section 70.1 of this Charter shall continue in force and effect in all particulars where they are not changed or modified by the provisions of this section.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the submission of proposed Charter amendment, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report.

So ordered.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher, chairman of the Committee of the Whole, reported to the Board the action of the Committee of the Whole and its approval of Resolution No. 1500 calling and providing for a special election and also proposed Charter amendment making certain salary deductions.

Resolution Adopted.

Supervisor Brown moved adoption of Resolution No. 1500, calling and providing for a special election on August 28, 1934.

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" in order to move reconsideration.

Supervisor Colman raised a point of order that the motion to reconsider, in view of the time limit, was intended to defeat the will of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Havenner made a point of order against point of order of Supervisor Colman that his motion for reconsideration does not exceed the time limit for action on the pending resolution—Monday will still be time enough.

President McSheehy, chairman, ruled Supervisor Havenner's point of order well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair and Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?" And the Chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Question on Submission of Charter Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri renewed his objection that this special meeting was not called for the consideration of this proposed Charter amendment, that it is not contained in the Call for the Meeting.

Explanation of Vote.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. Chairman, I think that this meeting of this Board of Supervisors is going to be remembered permanently in San Francisco, because regardless of the merits of the particular question under discussion it is the first time in my experience, and my life happens to have been lived in such a way that I have been associated with legislative bodies, nationally, the Congress of the United States, the Legislature of this State, and of this city and other cities, this and other boards of supervisors for many, many years, and I have never seen an action taken in any legislative body similar to the one which this Board has just taken in overruling by a majority vote a parliamentary rule and its fundamental laws of procedure, that is, the intent to preserve the rights of the minority. This thing is far more important, gentlemen, than the question that we are now discussing, and I must say—I am going to try to abstain from personalities—that I am surprised a member of this Board who publicly prides himself on consistency and fair play to a greater degree perhaps than other members have prided themselves should, in order to obtain a temporary advantage over the minority invoke a point of order to destroy a parliamentary right and to stand by enlisting the votes of his colleagues to trample under foot one of the most important rights of legislative procedure that has ever been granted. This rule has stood in every book of parliamentary procedure and it was conceived and put into effect for the purpose of safeguarding the rights of the minority so that the minority could have a reasonable time to endeavor to establish the justice of its position, and certainly the mere contention that at a given moment a majority believes that they were

so right that no consideration should be given to the views of the minority would destroy the whole theory of parliamentary procedure, and I for one intend, whenever I have the opportunity, to call the attention of the people of San Francisco to the thing that has been done here today. The Board of Supervisors repeatedly by a mere majority vote has overridden its own rules, it has refused to suspend its rules. I doubt whether there has ever been any member of this Board who would consider the repeal of this particular rule, because it is a rule that has been invoked here over and over and over again. When I was a newspaper correspondent here years ago it was my duty to report the proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, of which Supervisor Colman was then a member. In those days, when he happened to be voting most of the time with the majority of the Board I never saw that Board attempt to override the rules, the motion then was made for immediate consideration, and by a two-thirds vote that immediate reconsideration, under a suspension of the rules, was frequently had, but in this particular instance, because you happen to disagree with me, and I, gentlemen, and I don't believe any of you are going to be egotistical enough to say that you have been eternally right, and I do not know of any one of you who have been more right in life than I have, and I have been many times wrong, and I would not stand up here and say to you that I have been right more times than any one of you, and I do not believe that in the rest of your lives you are going to get up before an audience and say "I know I am right, and I know that I was right in this or that." The Lord does not give to human beings to know that they are right, ever, on any question, human wisdom has not been developed to the point where it can say it was right.

This, finally, the thing that you have done here, gentlemen, is far more important than the question itself. However, it has been done. I intend, if it is possible to do it, to challenge the integrity of your action so to do legally. I intend to do it on the grounds I have raised here before this afternoon, that these rules are the law of the City and County of San Francisco, and that you cannot legally, you have no legal right to disregard the law. This book of rules is a resolution adopted by this Board of Supervisors, and it is the law, and my contention is that you have violated the law when you refused to abide by the rules.

Now, so far as this Charter amendment is concerned, I have frequently in the past expressed the conviction that when a matter of public interest was presented by a large group of people that it should be submitted to the people for their decision. In this particular instance, however, I have a fundamental objection to the procedure. You are attempting by this Charter amendment to write into the Constitution of the City and County of San Francisco—our Charter is our Constitution, and it is analogous to the Constitution of the United States, or the Constitution of the State of California—and you are attempting by this procedure here, in order to gain a temporary advantage on a question of passing interest, you are attempting to write into the Constitution of the City and County of San Francisco a detailed legislative procedure. Now authorities on government, and authorities on constitutional law all over the land, and I do not believe there is any important dissension or division of opinion on this question, the authorities who have studied the intent and the purpose of constitutions and have had regard for their sanctity are unanimous, I venture to say, in the opinion that the Constitution should be a bill of rights and a bill of rights only, and that it is improper and wrong to attempt to write into the Constitution detailed provisions for administrative or executive actions. This thing has been upheld everywhere, and it was upheld by you gentlemen who wrote this Charter, and you gentlemen who have written this Charter amendment, and I cannot for the life of me see how you can reconcile what you said when you

wrote the Charter and what you have done here today. One of the sensible arguments for the new Charter, made by the gentlemen who were behind it, was that the old Charter had been extremely cluttered up with a lot of extraneous matters, a lot of details and a lot of legislative procedure, and that we must have a new Charter which would be a bill of rights, and here today by this action you are violating your principles and you are violating our Constitution; you are prostituting it, gentlemen, in order to gain a temporary advantage. I do not claim that I am right; you may be right, but the mere fact that you may happen to be right on this occasion is not sufficient justification to despoil the Constitution and the bill of rights of the City and County of San Francisco, and so I am going to vote against the submission of this Charter amendment, and I believe the stand I am taking now is absolutely consistent. I will not vote knowingly now, or any time, to write into the Constitution of our city legislative procedure.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Did you vote for the previous Charter amendment in which we had salary reductions?

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That was an enabling act, Supervisor Uhl, defining the conditions under which the Board of Supervisors might do certain things, but this is imposing on the Board of Supervisors the duty to do certain specific things temporarily, and it should not be in the Constitution.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: The gentleman's speech would have, I think, a great deal more weight if he could stand up and demonstrate that in his action tonight his hands were entirely lily white, but they are not, we know what is in the minds of the gentlemen here. We understand the whole story; we understand that a reconsideration would nullify this whole situation, as we see it.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: I ask that the Supervisor confine himself to the subject; I am not talking about reconsideration now.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Well, you discussed the matter quite at some length, and had we stood for the reconsideration the whole purpose for which we were striving would have been nullified, you must admit that.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: That is right—

THE CHAIR (interrupting): Supervisor Havenner, Supervisor Colman has the floor, and I would ask that he be permitted to proceed without further interruption.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: When the time element is of such an important consideration and is the all-important element, and quite obviously throughout the entire day it was your desire, throughout the entire day, to use every means in your power to block this thing. I feel certain that we might have amended our rules, by having six members, which is sufficient to suspend our rules, and that if a motion to that effect had been made it probably would have carried. Now I made no such proposal.

Now there are events that come up in a legislator's lifetime, very seldom, it is true, but they do come up once in a while, when time is the all-important element, and today is the last time we can do it, and we all know it, and as we feel we are right in this thing we have no apology to offer. We feel it is a most important situation and that it had to be met in the way it has been.

Now I have not discussed the Charter amendment on its merits, but my position is well known; I have made no bones about it, I have come out straight on that. I feel now that this Board for Supervisors was unable to agree, and I do not question the sincerity of either side in the matter, it was simply impossible to get nine votes on this matter.

we reached an impasse, and having reached an impasse the proposal to submit it to the people, who are going to pay the bills, is a just one. I have voted against the submission of many projects which I thought this Board of Supervisors could and should handle, but this one we have shown we cannot handle, we have shown we cannot reach an agreement, and therefore the right way is to get an expression from the people for our guidance, and the way to do that is to submit it to the people who, in the final analysis, pay the bill, and that is exactly what we have done.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, it is now half past five and I don't want to take the time of the members of this Board and the people who are waiting here to say as much on this subject as I should like to.

The subtlety with which some members of the Board can use words by fighting completely shy of the real issue is sometimes a marvelous thing to me.

It is an old axiom to those trained in political life, whether it be as a congressman or Supervisor or what, that if you can fill the ears of the people with enough words, you will probably be able to keep them ignorant of the real issues. Now, if anybody wants to be a Paul Revere and ring bells on this question of a million dollars saving for the taxpayers, there is plenty of time and plenty to be said on the other side, and if there is any challenge to be made on the public platform on the actions of this Board here today I am perfectly willing to meet them. The bare facts of the matter are, Mr. Chairman, we find ourselves confronted with the position, probably conscientiously at the start, where we were stiff, and with the avowed intent, apparently, to state the law of the Board, for no purpose that can be seen at this moment. If there is any solution to come out of this entire question it is not coming out of the mouths of those who are denying, in every possible manner they can today, by words and subterfuge, the rights of the people of the City of San Francisco to get at this question and settle it. The political background around this thing will have its answer in due time, and I, for one, would rather be wrong in giving the people of the City and County of San Francisco this question to vote upon and decide for themselves than to be right on the mere question of rules, which are really the order of common sense.

I am not going into the discussion of this thing parliamentarily, that has all been put behind us, but if there is any challenge from anybody, or from any source, that an issue is to be made on the platform on the subject of this vital subject to the people and they are going to wrap the flag around it and make a political issue of it, it won't take much time with a set of angry taxpayers to dispose of that subterfuge. Now the bare facts are that this Board has up until Monday to get this ready, and there may be mistakes, there may be errors to be corrected.

Do you suppose that any person of ordinary common sense could have sat on this Board would have agreed to take a bet of one cent to one thousand that anyone on the majority or minority would have changed their mind so as to give the necessary nine votes. The object was to—I use the old phrase, that perhaps there has been a stretching of interpretation of the rules, but the end justifies the means.

There isn't any doubt, I think, that a great many people around here fear to make this an issue at the polls, who fear to have it come to the polls, who fear what the result will be, and who are standing, Mr. Chairman, on their righteousness, and I can tell them now that they may need to go to the dyers and cleaners the day after the election on this subject matter.

I won't go into the facts that surround it, but the mistakes that have been made as to the presentation and the necessity for putting it on the ballot lay right at the door of those who, in some instances, in my opinion, are doing nothing but making a political football of the salary question that obtains around this City Hall. I have pointed out before,

and I point out now, that having stuck their foot into the buzzsaw, and having found themselves, some people in this building having found themselves out of line with thousands of votes that apparently are to be marshalled by the people who make up the aggregate of city employees, a slightly ridiculous, and, in my opinion, tardy attempt was made to pull as much of the damaged political foot out of the political buzzsaw as they could. You were told in the beginning that the people were in no mood, and you have here now several hundred odd taxpayers coming here for relief, for reductions in their taxes, you have your relief problems, and in the face of all that you are trying to tell the people of San Francisco and the members of this Board that the depression is free-wheeling. See if it is free-wheeling. I am perfectly willing to take my chances on this issue, having used the best energies I have, prior to the day when it was brought up, and when my efforts were blocked to let the proposition alone, and had there been the proper understanding on the part of those interested it would have been let alone. I can claim a little credit now, with some others, that there is not placed before the people of San Francisco a measure calculated for a permanent reduction, Mr. Chairman, in the salaries of the City employees. Now, I repeat, there was a chance for compromise and certain members of the Board did not desire to meet it, there was an opportunity to have given at least a partial return to some employees who might have deserved it in the lower brackets, you might have had a unanimous vote on that, but every attempt at that time was frustrated. There are those who sit on this Board who would not compromise, who have taken their stand without any just reason in the premises and that will not consult the public pulse, that will not attempt to find out how the people who pay the bills feel. There are those who have taken this position and I am telling you now that the people are in no mood to have their bills increased, but there are those who will not, for whatever purpose suits them, and I do not know what purpose they have in doing it, it remains still, in those respects at least, a mystery.

Now, Mr. Chairman, so far as I am concerned, we all have to face the results of what we do here and we have to meet the people of San Francisco upon the results of our work as members of this Board, and I am confident that this issue forced upon the ballot by the action of the members of this Board will react unfavorably upon the people who are affected, and the responsibility of that shall in time be put right where it belongs, as well as other actions taken within this month.

If I could hypnotize the leaders of the municipal groups, if I could talk them into a frame of mind calculated to what I think is their best interests, it would be even now, if it was not too late, and maybe it is, possible to relieve their friends, if they could relieve them, who are creating this condition in the face of another set-back.

This thing is not going to end on August 28th. I propose to go on the platform, and I propose to answer any questions or statements being made here today, in fact I propose to say a good deal more, and I am giving everybody notice now that just as soon as certain things that are bothering me relative to certain matters are cleared up I propose to go around town and tell very plainly, not only of the last budget, but all this issue here today. I take my chances. I am satisfied of the results as I am that when this issue comes to the people in the frame of mind they are in there can be but one answer, and it will be the same answer as that to any meritorious proposition intended to give to the people relief and calculated to take even one cent more from their taxes. I think it is most important, and you know it, you know it. You must know it, you men who are conversant in a way political with the ways of the people, you have a touch by which you are able to tell what the people are thinking, you are able to feel the public pulse quicker than other people, and you know in your hearts and souls that in making this issue you have made you are simply inviting another rebuke from the people of San Francisco.

Now I am not going to take up much more of your time, but if I could refer to certain matters of the budget, I refer to the incident where measure after measure introduced to this Board to reduce taxes, and certain members of the Board stood stiff-legged and stubborn and voted down practically every measure, and then had the consummate nerve, on the theory the people were being fooled, to say, "I voted against the budget as a whole," their theory being that their "No" vote on the budget as a whole would register and their actions on the items would be forgotten.

One more thing, I came on this Board with a ticket called the so-called clean-up ticket, we came in dressed in all the garlands of political welfare, and musicians in here with all the music of promises performed. That was put before the people. Go back to the record, go back to the record, Mr. Chairman, and it is practically zero. Give Hetch-Hetchy back to the people. They haven't any more Hetch-Hetchy than they had then. Repudiate the agreement with the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. With the majority in your hands, the agreement is still in effect. There has not been one motion made to repudiate an agreement, and then when it comes to rules, oh, do I remember coming on the Board, Mr. President, do I remember what you did with the rules? Do I remember the statement my little friend over here on the end, Supervisor Hayden, made "When you have got the votes you can do as you please, to hell with the rules." Of course, all those things are supposed to be forgotten, and do I remember the treatment I got in connection with the rules from the then Chairman of the so-called clean-up ticket.

* * * * *

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Knowing this day has been spent in forcing, steam-rollering, as you might call it, a larger amount by about \$314,000 over the period of an entire year. The difference is, after all, not so great when you consider that there are approximately sixty million dollars as the total sum involved. Only about \$314,000 difference. I believe, and cannot help believing, that the proposal we made, I mean the minority, last week, if it had received your approval would have gone through unanimously, and there was a while ago, as I stated and I believed, that we could get together by you gentlemen coming down a little and we going up a little, that we might meet a happy solution of this question. But no, you would not do this; you wanted to put it over this way, you want to throw the city into turmoil. Naturally, after hearing such a speech as we did, with full knowledge of what is going on, I would say this to you, that we are all trying to save money, and to you also, Mr. Uhl. I am trying to save, not quite as much as you. If you succeed you will save \$314,000 more than I would. I offered to go with you, to sit with you, to try to compromise and arrive at a happy conclusion.

Whereupon, the roll was called on submission of the proposed Charter amendment, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from "No" to "Aye" in order to move a reconsideration of the vote on this question.

Supervisor Gallagher raised a point of order that the motion to reconsider is out of order.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair, and Supervisor Shannon, being called upon, put the question:

"Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board?" And the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon declared the Chair *overruled*.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that a transcript of the proceedings of this meeting be made.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.


Thereupon the Board, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 23, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, July 16, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 16, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 16, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Bonds.

July 9, 1934.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, July 16, 1934, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$2,659,000 "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semiannually June 1 and December 1; comprising 132 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination, each maturing December 1, 1934; and 133 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination, each maturing December 1 each year, 1935 to 1953, inclusive.

\$3,500,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semiannually, June 1 and December 1; comprising 175 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing each year, 1934 to 1953, inclusive.

\$1,500,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall be named by the bidder, interest payable semiannually June 1 and December 1; comprising 88 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination maturing December 1, 1934; 12 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination maturing December 1, 1935; and 50 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination maturing December 1, 1936, each year to 1963, inclusive.

\$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six per cent (6%) per annum as shall

be named by the bidder, interest payable semiannually June 1 and December 1; comprising 52 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination maturing December 1, each year, 1934 to 1938, inclusive.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

Bid.

A bid, accompanied by a certified check for \$10,000 was presented by R. H. Moulton & Co. Syndicate of \$2,921,919 for \$2,919,000 of the bonds offered, same to be \$2,659,000 Water Distribution 4 per cent bonds, and \$260,000 Airport 4 per cent bonds, which bid was *referred to the Finance Committee*.

Subsequently, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution, which was *adopted*:

Rejection of Bid.

(Code No. 15.021)

(Code No. 15.031)

(Code No. 12.122)

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 1503, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Water Distribution Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$2,659,000, and

Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$3,500,000, and

Sewer Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$1,500,000, and

Airport Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$260,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 16th day of July, 1934, and

Whereas, one bid only was received for \$2,659,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933, and \$260,000 Airport Bonds, 1933, and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, That said bid be and is hereby rejected, and the check accompanying said bid is hereby ordered returned to the bidder.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was laid over one week and *made a special order of business for 3 p. m. next Monday*:

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 600, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments to and until the first day of August, 1935, unless sooner removed from their respective appointments as herein provided. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation and may be removed for cause by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor. When any vacancy shall occur in the membership of said committee, the said vacancy shall be filled by a person nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The committee shall have executive powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Relief Committee, shall, by resolution, appoint a Director of Relief at such compensation as the Board may fix in the manner provided by law. The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco and shall not be at the time of his appointment an officer or employee of said City and County. He shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Relief Committee and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish four departments for the administration of said relief, to-wit:

- (a) A division of relief for the family group.
- (b) A division of relief for single women.
- (c) A division of relief for single men, known as the bachelor group.
- (d) A division of relief for other men who have no dependents.

(e) Such other divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee.

Each of the aforesaid divisions or departments established by the Director of Relief shall be administered by a division administrator who shall be appointed by the Director of Relief and he shall hold his appointment during the pleasure of said Director.

Section 4. The several division administrators appointed as hereinbefore provided, together with the Director of Relief, shall constitute a Board of Appeal and any person being dissatisfied with the granting, or refusing to grant, relief by any division administrator, or by any person acting under a division administrator, may appeal to said Board of Appeal from the action of said division administrator or person acting under said division administrator and said Board of Appeal may sustain or dismiss said appeal or make such order in the premises as it shall deem proper and the decision of said Board of Appeal shall be binding on those interested unless the same is set aside or modified by the Relief Committee. All appeals and the action taken thereon shall be reported to said Relief Committee within five days after the same are made.

Section 5. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Relief Committee, to hire and retain such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Director of Relief and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Director of Relief. The Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 6. The Director of Relief shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said Director of Relief shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 7. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 9. The members of the Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be ex-officio members of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing the Director of Property to Aid and Assist the Board of Supervisors, Sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to Employ the Necessary Appraisers to Pass Upon Requests Made for Reductions in 1934-1935 Assessments.

(Code No. 1.0621)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 595, Ordinance No. 1.06213, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Property to aid and assist the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, and to employ the necessary appraisers to pass upon requests made for reductions in 1934-1935 assessments.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Property of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and directed to aid, assist and advise the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, in passing upon requests made to said Board for the reduction of 1934-1935 assessments on taxable property in the City and County of San Francisco. Said services shall be rendered to said Board of Supervisors during the time that it sits as a Board of Equalization and for such time prior thereto as may be necessary to prepare for such investigation.

Section 2. The Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to employ one independent expert real estate appraiser, and seven independent expert building appraisers, as needed, subject to the provisions of Section 142 of the Charter, to aid and assist him in advising the Board of Supervisors in regard to requests for reductions in said assessments.

Section 3. For the services herein provided the independent expert real estate appraiser shall receive not more than \$250, one independent expert building appraiser shall receive not more than \$300, and the remaining six independent expert real estate appraisers shall receive not more than \$225 each, chargeable to 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 1.106.00; and there is hereby ordered transferred from 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 1.200.00 to the Director of Property the sum of \$200 to cover the incidental expenses of his office relative to the service herein directed to be furnished by said Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Changing and Establishing Grades on Yosemite Avenue and Ingalls Street.

(Code No. 12.0722)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 596, Ordinance No. 12.072216, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Yosemite avenue between Hawes street and Jennings street, and on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Armstrong avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of Director of Public Works, did on the 9th day of April, 1934, by Resolution No. 1365 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Yosemite avenue between Hawes street and Jennings street and on Ingalls street between Wallace avenue and Armstrong avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

YOSEMITE AVENUE:

	<i>Feet</i>
Hawes street	Base
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Ingalls street	1
Jennings street	4
(The same being the present official grade.)	

INGALLS STREET:

Wallace avenue	Base
(The same being the present official grade.)	
Yosemite avenue	1
Armstrong avenue	1
(The same being the present official grade.)	

On Yosemite avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets and on Ingalls street between Wallace and Armstrong avenues be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were taken up and *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Fixing Fee of \$6.25 Per Quarter for Auto Supply Stations, and \$3 Per Quarter for Tire Vulcanizing or Battery Repair Stations.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 601, Ordinance No. 3.04123, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain business, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, by fixing the fee for automobile supply stations at \$6.25 per quarter for each station, and \$3 per quarter for vulcanizing tires and/or installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of six and 25/100 (\$6.25) dollars for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes and/or installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles on the same premises, shall pay a license fee of three (\$3) dollars per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Compromising Claim of William Spivock Against City and County in Sum \$1,000, Re Construction Pierce Street and Baker Street Outfall Sewers.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 602, Ordinance No. 6.02229, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to compromise and settle a certain action pending in the San Francisco Municipal Court entitled "William Spivock vs. City and County of San Francisco et al."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement and compromise of that certain action pending in the Municipal Court of San Francisco, bearing No. 63251 and entitled "William Spivock vs. City and County of San Francisco et al.," by the payment to the plaintiff in said action of the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars, which said payment shall be in full satisfaction of all claims of the plaintiff against said City and County for extra labor and material furnished to and damages caused by the construction of Pierce Street and Baker Street Outfall Sewers, under a certain agreement bearing date of October, 1931.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise said action by the payment to the plaintiff therein of the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of the said plaintiff against the City and County, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Authorizing the City Attorney to Compromise the Pending Litigation Being Prosecuted by James R. Keith Against the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 603, Ordinance No. 6.022210, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to compromise the pending litigation being prosecuted by James R. Keith against the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having in writing recommended the settlement of the claim of James R. Keith for \$10,000 against the City and County of San Francisco for damages sustained by reason of a defective sidewalk, and the payment to said James R. Keith of five hundred (\$500) dollars in full settlement of said claim, the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to compromise and settle the said litigation by the payment to said James R. Keith of \$500, the said sum to be payable upon the dismissal of said litigation.

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance and the Board by the vote by which this ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists, which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective forthwith, the nature of said emergency being that said compromise herein authorized cannot be made effective unless the said sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars is payable within five (5) days from the date hereof, and it is therefore necessary that said ordinance become effective at once for the purpose of complying with time limitations.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Calling Special Election August 28, 1934.

(Code No. 9.053)

The following resolution and proposed Charter amendment, heretofore referred to the Judiciary Committee, were returned by said Committee with the *recommendation that they do not pass.*

Resolution No. 1500, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting on the hereinafter mentioned amendment to the Charter of said City and County and the hereinafter mentioned ordinance of the City and County; and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby direct that a special election be held in said City and County on the said above-mentioned date for the purpose of voting on said amendment and said ordinance; and the said Board of Supervisors does hereby submit to the electors of said City and County the following amendment to said Charter and the following ordinance of the City and County, to be voted on at said special election, to-wit:

Charter Amendment No. 1. To add a new section to the Charter, to be known as Section No. 70.2, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, which by said new section of the Charter are declared to constitute an emergency which is deemed to be in effect and is estimated to continue to June 30, 1935, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

Ordinance. An ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of said City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, in accordance with the provisions of Section No. 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby directed to take all of the necessary steps for holding the above-mentioned election and to give in the manner provided by law the required notice of said election; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby proclaim that a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the said 28th day of August, 1934, for the purpose of the approval or disapproval by the electors of said City and County of the above-mentioned amendment to the Charter thereof and the above-mentioned ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco.

Salary Deductions.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 70.2, providing for deductions from the salaries of the officers and employees of the City and County during extraordinary economic conditions, declaring that a public emergency exists and that it is anticipated said emergency will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, and thereafter until terminated as provided in said Section 70.2, and authorizing the reducing of budget appropriations otherwise provided by Charter to be made to the various officers and departments of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the electors of said City and County at a special

election to be held on the 28th day of August, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new section thereto, to be designated Section 70.2, and which section shall read as follows:

Deductions from salaries and other fixed appropriations in time of extraordinary economic conditions or public emergencies declared to exist in San Francisco and anticipated to continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35, *and thereafter until terminated.*

Section 70.2. It is hereby declared that a public emergency exists in San Francisco within the meaning of the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, which said emergency is anticipated *to continue*, and is hereby so declared, will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35 *(and thereafter until such time as the Board of Supervisors, by majority vote of all the members thereof, shall declare that said public emergency no longer exists).*

While said emergency as herein declared shall continue to exist, there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the Board of Education, the respective amounts herein-after set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect *during the calendar month of March, 1933*, and not reduced by the provisions of Section 70.1 of this Charter.

The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$125 per month, no deduction.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

The provisions of Section 70.1 of this Charter shall continue in force and effect in all particulars where they are not changed or modified by the provisions of this section.

Motions.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved that the recommendation of the Judiciary Committee (Supervisor Schmidt dissenting), that the foregoing resolution do not pass, be approved.

Subsequently withdrawn.

Supervisor Schmidt moved the previous question, and the roll was called on the adoption of the foregoing resolution and on the adoption of Resolution No. 1499 was refused passage by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that No. 6 on the Calendar, being Resolution No. 1500, calling and providing for special election, was not legally before us on Friday.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman appealed from the decision of the Chair and Supervisor Shannon, being requested, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?" The roll was called and the motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the Chair was declared *sustained*.

Proposed Charter Amendment.

Whereupon, the proposed Charter amendment was taken up for consideration, having been returned by the Judiciary Committee (Supervisor Schmidt dissenting), with the recommendation that the same do not pass.

Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that No. 7 on the Calendar, being proposed Charter amendment, calling and providing for special election, was not legally before us on Friday.

Chair ruled point of order not well taken.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman appealed from the decision of the Chair and Supervisor Shannon, being requested, put the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?" The roll was called and the motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the Chair was declared *sustained*.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the proposed Charter amendment and the same was refused passage by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher 1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Mayor's Veto.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk, *laid over one week and made part of the record:*

July 16, 1934.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sirs: I have before me Ordinance No. 9.05361, which on July 9th the Board of Supervisors referred to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for their approval. This ordinance is returned to you without action on my part. In failing to act upon it I am not availing myself of the provisions of Section 14 of the Charter, and thereby permitting the ordinance or the submission thereof to the people to become effective without my approval, but I have declined to act upon the ordinance for the reason that I believe it lies within the power of the Board of Supervisors to submit an ordinance to the electors without the approval of the Mayor, and as the only notation upon the ordinance is that it is referred to the voters without any statement as to what election it is to be voted, I have no jurisdiction to prevent the matter being submitted to the electors at the next general election, provided it is legal to do so.

I also have before me Resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 1499, Code No. 9.053, adopted by the Board on the 9th day of July, which resolution calls a special election to be held on the 28th of August of the present year, for the purpose of voting upon Ordinance No. 9.05361 hereinbefore referred to. I have disapproved and vetoed this resolution, and have endorsed my disapproval thereon, and hereby make known my objections to said resolution and my reasons for vetoing same. They are:

(1) The City Attorney did on May 31st of the present year advise the Board of Supervisors that an ordinance declaring an emergency and making deductions from salaries under the authority of Section 70.1 of the Charter was not subject to the initiative or referendum provisions of the Charter. I have examined the opinion of the City Attorney, and believing that he is correct, I must be guided by it.

(2) This being the case, to submit this ordinance to the electors of the city would incur an illegal expenditure of money, which I cannot countenance.

(3) It lies within the power of the Board of Supervisors, acting under the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter, to declare an emergency and make such deductions from existing salaries as may be proper under present conditions. I have heretofore submitted to you my views as to what these deductions should be. Some of the members of the Board have submitted measures which would provide for even greater deductions than I have recommended. As the Board has the power of initiating legislation which will provide for the deductions from salaries, the submission of the question to the electors, even if it were legal, would be an idle act and might prove a useless expenditure of money, for the Board has no assurance that the electors would approve the measure which is presented, while a measure initiated by the Board can become effective by the requisite vote of the Board, with my approval.

(4) I am advised by the Registrar of Voters that the election which this resolution attempts to call cannot be consolidated with the primary election, and that therefore an election entirely separate from the State primary would have to be held. This would entail separate election officers and separate ballots, the cost of which in the opinion of the Registrar would amount to something in the neighborhood of

\$30,000. I direct your attention to the fact that the Board has made no appropriation for this expense. Section 181 of the Charter provides that the Board of Supervisors shall appropriate not less than \$50,000 to be known as the "Special Election Fund," *to be used exclusively for defraying the cost of verifying petitions and other expenses of special elections initiated by petition of the electorate.* As the measure which the Board presents is not initiated by the people, the fund mentioned is not available to defray the cost of an election.

Therefore, Resolution No. 1499 is returned to you with my disapproval, and Ordinance No. 9.05361 is returned without action for the reason that I have no jurisdiction to approve or disapprove the same.

I also have before me Resolution No. 1500, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on July 14th, which resolution attempts to call a special election to be held on the 28th of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting upon a Charter amendment dealing with deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County, and for the additional purpose of voting upon an ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City, pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter.

I have disapproved and vetoed this resolution and have endorsed my disapproval thereon, for the following reasons:

(1) I have not been furnished with a copy of any ordinance submitted by said resolution, and if the Board in said resolution refers to Ordinance No. 9.05361, then in that event, the resolution is disapproved for the reasons hereinbefore set forth.

The Board of Supervisors has made no appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expense of the special election to be called in conformity with the provisions of said resolution, and as I have heretofore stated, any money which may have been appropriated pursuant to Section 181 of the Charter is not available for the purpose of defraying the expenses of such an election.

(3) In my opinion, to expend money for a special election to be held for the purpose of submitting the proposed Charter amendment to the people would be an idle expenditure of money, for the reason that the amendment cannot be made effective until it is approved by a general session of the Legislature, which will not be convened until January 2, 1935. If the proposed amendment is submitted to the people at the coming November election, which it can be without the necessity of calling a special election, the people will have the same opportunity of expressing their views upon the amendment, and if the amendment is carried it will become effective at the same time it would if voted at a special election to be held on August 28th. I do not believe that the argument advanced by some members of the Board to the effect that the people should be apprised of any action taken upon the subject covered in the amendment before the fixing of the tax rate is sound, for the reason that even if the amendment carried, no assurance can be given as to the exact time when the Legislature will pass upon it, and therefore, any attempt to determine just when the deductions provided for in the amendment would become effective is impossible.

I therefore return your Resolution No. 1500, with my disapproval endorsed thereon.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Strike Proclamations.

The following were presented and made part of the record:

July 16, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In order that you may be thoroughly advised as to the proclamations that were made by me in connection with the present

labor misunderstanding, I am herewith handing to you copies of the same, which I trust you will make a part of your file, and find entirely in order.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

July 16, 1934.

To All City Officials, Department Heads and Employees:

In view of the present emergency, so general in character as to require, more than at any other time, a unity of effort on the part of the municipal authorities, it is necessary to direct you to avoid the giving of any and all public statements concerning any policy or course of action, to be followed in connection with the meeting of said emergency. All statements of this character shall be made by the Mayor and shall be issued under his direction.

Now, above all other times, unselfish devotion to the service of the public at large is essential from city employees, even at the expense of great personal inconvenience.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Proclamation of the Mayor.

1. The people of this community are hereby assured of food and protection under whatever measures are necessary to accomplish this purpose.

2. As Mayor of San Francisco, I do hereby declare that the existing emergency, as proclaimed by me on Saturday, July 14, 1934, requires and necessitates the enlargement of the existing functions, operations and personnel of the City and County government which under my oath of office and in conformity with my previous public announcements I have undertaken to maintain, including the maintenance of law and order and the supplying of the necessities of life.

3. For these purposes and to maintain and uphold the government of this City and County and of the United States of America, as I am sworn to do, I do now and hereby call upon the loyal citizens of this community to cooperate fully with the military, police and civil authorities in the discharge of their duties and to express freely in their normal and daily communications with one another their sentiments and support of these constituted authorities. I further request from those who are so minded that they advise me of such specific forms of cooperation and aid as they may feel able to extend under my direction.

4. I do hereby extend publicly my thanks to the great number of citizens of San Francisco and the nation who have, within the last twenty-four hours, or previously voluntarily announced their support of the policies which I as Mayor have publicly announced and to those other thousands of our citizens whose assurances of support have been conveyed to me in various ways.

5. The present issue being clearly defined I ask support only from those completely committed in their hearts to the American form of government, it being my intention that those who seek the destruction of this government shall find no comfort in this community.

6. It is my intention to organize immediately such committee or committees as I deem necessary for the above stated purposes.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

July 15, 1934.

Honorable Frank Merriam, Governor of California, Sacramento, Calif.

Due to the general strike declared in San Francisco the movement of merchandise and food products has practically ceased and transportation facilities diminished. By tomorrow, Monday morning, practically no transportation facilities will exist for the accommodation of

the people of San Francisco. By midnight tonight all eating houses and food stores furnishing food supplies will have closed and tomorrow the large majority of business institutions in San Francisco will be unable to operate. Because of this situation the commercial life of San Francisco will in large measure be paralyzed. Conditions such as these have a tendency to and frequently breed tumult, riots, law infractions and disorder. Thus far the police authorities of San Francisco with the assistance of the State Militia now detailed for service on The Embarcadero have been able to maintain law and order, but because of the situation above described I am advised by the Chief of Police that it is feared they will be unable longer to compel obedience to the law. The inability of the constituted authorities to cope with the situation will probably be emphasized when the normal business life of San Francisco is attempted to be resumed, which resumption will be essential if its people are to be supplied with the necessities of life and accorded the right and opportunity of pursuing their legitimate vocations. I am convinced that the situation above described is largely due to the efforts and activities of Communists who have no regard for our American form of government and are desirous of breaking down and destroying law observance. Due to the activities of this particular class of persons, unlawful and riotous assemblies exist in the City and County of San Francisco with intent to offer violence to persons and property therein.

To prevent tumult and riots as well as lawlessness and disorder and to give adequate protection to the lives and property of the citizens of San Francisco, as Mayor of San Francisco I am requesting you to send into our city such additional units of the California National Guard as may be essential for that purpose.

(Signed) ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor of San Francisco.

July 13, 1934.

To My Fellow Citizens:

By reason of differences between the maritime workers of the port of San Francisco, and their employers, a general strike has been declared in San Francisco. Irrespective of the merits which may exist on either side of the general controversy, I feel that we are confronted by the most serious situation which has beset us since the disaster of 1906.

I, therefore, proclaim to all of the people of San Francisco irrespective of party or industrial affiliation, that an emergency arises in our city within the meaning of Section 25 of the Charter and that by reason thereof I will avail myself of all of the provisions of that section, and of the laws of our State, to the end that the results of this industrial conflict may fall as lightly as possible on all our people.

We must realize that our government is bigger and greater than any organization or association which may be one of its component parts.

It cannot fail—it must carry on.

I call upon my fellow citizens to preserve the peace.* Let no overt act by either side bring disgrace upon this city. Our people must be fed and clothed; they must be afforded the opportunity of obtaining those things which are necessary for their health and comfort.

Acts of violence will not be tolerated, and I hereby call on all to prevent such acts. The lives and the property of our citizens must be protected. It is my sworn duty, and a duty which I will perform to the utmost to exert every power at my command to see that no injury comes to our people or to their property.

The Chief of Police has called on me for both men and equipment necessary to handle the situation. Both will be supplied to the full limits at my command. I call on every official of the city, Executive, Legislative and Administrative to stand by, ready to render such service in this emergency as I may require.

In this emergency, I appeal to my fellow citizens for their aid.

Let there be no hysterical action, but let all realize that faith, hope and confidence will bring a speedy return of industrial peace.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

July 13, 1934.

Since the inception of the Longshoremen's strike I have made every effort consistent with my duty of fairness to both sides of the controversy to adjust the existing differences between the interested parties. I regret to have to state that my efforts have not been successful and at this moment we are faced with the probability of a general strike.

As Mayor of San Francisco and therefore to a large extent responsible for the welfare of all the people of the City, I deplore the conditions which will result, and, therefore, I appeal to organized labor and to its responsible officials as well as to employers to use every effort to avert a general strike. But, should this probability become a reality, I must insist:

First: That law and order shall prevail;

Second: That those desiring to furnish the people of San Francisco with the necessities of life must be permitted to do so without hindrance;

Third: That the municipal government must continue to function;

Fourth: That riot and violence will not be tolerated, not only on account of the danger to the lives of our citizens but also because the laws of the State of California make the City responsible for damage to private property sustained by reason thereof.

Section 25 of the Charter places at my disposal unlimited power in cases of emergency such as this. I pledge the use of all of the power given to me by the Charter of San Francisco as well as by the laws of California to the end that law and order shall prevail; that the service of food, clothing, light, heat and water will continue without interruption; and that the lives of our citizens and their property will be fully protected.

The general public must and shall be served!

I again appeal to the interested parties to permit the President's Arbitration Board to settle the controversy which besets us.

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

(Code No. ———)

Resolution No. 1502, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is suffering from a general strike; and

Whereas, the result of said general strike will cause great hardship and suffering to the citizens, especially the women and children, with the possibility of bloodshed; and

Whereas, the committee appointed by his Excellency Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, seemingly has reached an impasse; and

Whereas, the one man in the United States who can harmonize the two factions and bring about normal conditions is Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors make an immediate urgent appeal to Franklin D. Roosevelt by radio to come to San Francisco with all possible haste to terminate the strike.

Extension of Time, Payment of Dog Licenses.

On motion, duly made and *carried*, the time limit for the payment of dog licenses was extended until August 1, 1934.

Death of George J. Presley.

Supervisors Brown and Colman called attention to the passing of George Presley, Vice-President of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the following was ordered spread in this Journal:

The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco learns with regret of the passing of George J. Presley, Vice-President and Manager of the Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Presley was beloved by the people of San Francisco. He deserved the affection and esteem of all classes. From his school days friendships which he formed lasted throughout his life. After his graduation from Stanford University he became part of a group in San Francisco which devoted its talents for creating better conditions for the people of this community. His service was unstinting and constant. His untimely death causes sorrow in many hearts among San Francisco citizens. The Board of Supervisors adjourns this day in respect to the memory of the late George J. Presley.

Adopted by unanimous rising vote.

Eulogies on the character, worth and integrity of the deceased were delivered by Supervisors Brown, Colman and Havenner.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 23, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Thursday, July 19, 1934

Monday, July 23, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

11 (22) 3

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

THURSDAY, JULY 19, 1934, 3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session pursuant to request of Supervisors Adolph Uhl, John M. Ratto, Adolph E. Schmidt, and in accordance with the provisions of Bill No. 86, Ordinance No. 3.061, providing for the calling of special meetings of boards and commissions.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Call for Special Meeting.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 17, 1934.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, President Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

We, the undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors, request a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Thursday, July 19, 1934, at 3 p. m. of said date, for the purpose of considering the following resolution:

Whereas, San Francisco is suffering from a general strike; and

Whereas, the result of said general strike will cause great hardship and suffering to the citizens, especially the women and children, with the possibility of bloodshed; and

Whereas, the committee appointed by his Excellency Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, seemingly have reached an impasse; and

Whereas, the one man in the United States who can harmonize the two factions and bring about normal conditions is Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors make an immediate urgent appeal to President Franklin D. Roosevelt by radio to come to San Francisco with all possible haste to terminate the strike.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to give the proper notice thereof.

Respectfully submitted,

ADOLPH UHL,

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,

JOHN M. RATTO.

Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

City Hall, San Francisco, July 17, 1934.

Notice is hereby given that written request has been served upon the President of the Board of Supervisors by Supervisors Adolph Uhl,

Adolph E. Schmidt and John M. Ratto to call a special meeting of the Board to meet Thursday, July 19, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Chambers of the Board, to consider a resolution presented by Supervisor Uhl, requesting the Board of Supervisors to make an immediate urgent appeal to President Franklin D. Roosevelt by radio to come to San Francisco with all possible haste to terminate the strike.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
President Board of Supervisors.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Notice to Members.

July 17, 1934.

To the Members of the Board:

You are hereby notified of a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors, called by President McSheehy, for Thursday, July 19, 1934, at 3 p. m., for the following purpose:

"Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors

"City Hall, San Francisco, July 17, 1934.

"Notice is hereby given that written request has been served upon the President of the Board of Supervisors by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, Adolph E. Schmidt and John M. Ratto to call a special meeting of the Board to meet Thursday, July 19, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Chambers of the Board, to consider a resolution presented by Supervisor Uhl, requesting the Board of Supervisors to make an immediate urgent appeal to President Franklin D. Roosevelt by radio to come to San Francisco with all possible haste to terminate the strike.

"JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
"President Board of Supervisors.
"J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk."

Yours truly,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Appeal to President Roosevelt by Radio to Come to San Francisco to Terminate Strike.

(Code No. ———)

The following was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 1502, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is suffering from a general strike; and

Whereas, the result of said general strike will cause great hardship and suffering to the citizens, especially the women and children, with the possibility of bloodshed; and

Whereas, the committee appointed by his Excellency Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, seemingly have reached an impasse; and

Whereas, the one man in the United States who can harmonize the two factions and bring about normal conditions is Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors make an immediate urgent appeal to Franklin D. Roosevelt by radio to come to San Francisco with all possible haste to terminate the strike.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order that this resolution cannot properly be considered very seriously because the resolution is in the hands of the Joint Committee of Public Welfare and Judiciary, to which it was referred by this Board, and that that committee has not yet decided what to do with it. It cannot be decided by this Board until it comes back from committee.

Telegram.

The following was then read by the Clerk:

"San Francisco, July 19, 1934, 9:15 a. m.

"Honorable James B. McSheehy, President Board of Supervisors, 137 Clifford Terrace, San Francisco.

"Inasmuch as there is an improved condition in the striking situation and that General Johnson is in San Francisco representing the President in the matter of the special meeting of the Board of Supervisors called for today at three (3) p. m. seems needless. We therefore respectfully suggest and request that the meeting be not held and the members notified accordingly.

"ADOLPH UHL.

"JOHN M. RATTO.

"ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT.

"Received by telephone, Underhill 8500, Local 283, by President McSheehy at 2 p. m."

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 3 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 23, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 23, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Gallagher excused.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 3:05 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 5, 9, 13 and 16, 1934, were considered read and approved.

CONSIDERATION OF MAYOR'S VETO.

July 16, 1934.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sirs: I have before me Ordinance No. 9.05361, which on July 9th the Board of Supervisors referred to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco for their approval. This ordinance is returned to you without action on my part. In failing to act upon it I am not availing myself of the provisions of Section 14 of the Charter, and thereby permitting the ordinance or the submission thereof to the people to become effective without my approval, but I have declined to act upon the ordinance for the reason that I believe it lies within

the power of the Board of Supervisors to submit an ordinance to the electors without the approval of the Mayor, and as the only notation upon the ordinance is that it is referred to the voters without any statement as to what election it is to be voted, I have no jurisdiction to prevent the matter being submitted to the electors at the next general election, provided it is legal to do so.

I also have before me Resolution of the Board of Supervisors No. 1499, Code No. 9.053, adopted by the Board on the 9th day of July, which resolution calls a special election to be held on the 28th of August of the present year, for the purpose of voting upon Ordinance No. 9.05361 hereinbefore referred to. I have disapproved and vetoed this resolution, and have endorsed my disapproval thereon, and hereby make known my objections to said resolution and my reasons for vetoing same. They are:

(1) The City Attorney did on May 31st of the present year advise the Board of Supervisors that an ordinance declaring an emergency and making deductions from salaries under the authority of Section 70.1 of the Charter was not subject to the initiative or referendum provisions of the Charter. I have examined the opinion of the City Attorney, and believing that he is correct, I must be guided by it.

(2) This being the case, to submit this ordinance to the electors of the city would incur an illegal expenditure of money, which I cannot countenance.

(3) It lies within the power of the Board of Supervisors, acting under the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter, to declare an emergency and make such deductions from existing salaries as may be proper under present conditions. I have heretofore submitted to you my views as to what these deductions should be. Some of the members of the Board have submitted measures which would provide for even greater deductions than I have recommended. As the Board has the power of initiating legislation which will provide for the deductions from salaries, the submission of the question to the electors, even if it were legal, would be an idle act and might prove a useless expenditure of money, for the Board has no assurance that the electors would approve the measure which is presented, while a measure initiated by the Board can become effective by the requisite vote of the Board, with my approval.

(4) I am advised by the Registrar of Voters that the election which this resolution attempts to call cannot be consolidated with the primary election, and that therefore an election entirely separate from the State primary would have to be held. This would entail separate election officers and separate ballots, the cost of which in the opinion of the Registrar would amount to something in the neighborhood of \$30,000. I direct your attention to the fact that the Board has made no appropriation for this expense. Section 181 of the Charter provides that the Board of Supervisors shall appropriate not less than \$50,000 to be known as the "Special Election Fund," *to be used exclusively for defraying the cost of verifying petitions and other expenses of special elections initiated by petition of the electorate.* As the measure which the Board presents is not initiated by the people, the fund mentioned is not available to defray the cost of an election.

Therefore, Resolution No. 1499 is returned to you with my disapproval, and Ordinance No. 9.05361 is returned without action for the reason that I have no jurisdiction to approve or disapprove the same.

I also have before me Resolution No. 1500, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on July 14th, which resolution attempts to call a special election to be held on the 28th of August, 1934, for the purpose of voting upon a Charter amendment dealing with deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County, and for the

additional purpose of voting upon an ordinance proposed by the Board of Supervisors to the electors to provide for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City, pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter.

I have disapproved and vetoed this resolution and have endorsed my disapproval thereon, for the following reasons:

(1) I have not been furnished with a copy of any ordinance submitted by said resolution, and if the Board in said resolution refers to Ordinance No. 9.05361, then in that event, the resolution is disapproved for the reasons hereinbefore set forth.

(2) The Board of Supervisors has made no appropriation for the purpose of defraying the expense of the special election to be called in conformity with the provisions of said resolution, and as I have heretofore stated, any money which may have been appropriated pursuant to Section 181 of the Charter is not available for the purpose of defraying the expenses of such an election.

(3) In my opinion, to expend money for a special election to be held for the purpose of submitting the proposed Charter amendment to the people would be an idle expenditure of money, for the reason that the amendment cannot be made effective until it is approved by a general session of the Legislature, which will not be convened until January 2, 1935. If the proposed amendment is submitted to the people at the coming November election, which it can be without the necessity of calling a special election, the people will have the same opportunity of expressing their views upon the amendment, and if the amendment is carried it will become effective at the same time it would if voted at a special election to be held on August 28th. I do not believe that the argument advanced by some members of the Board to the effect that the people should be apprised of any action taken upon the subject covered in the amendment before the fixing of the tax rate is sound, for the reason that even if the amendment carried, no assurance can be given as to the exact time when the Legislature will pass upon it, and therefore, any attempt to determine just when the deductions provided for in the amendment would become effective is impossible.

I therefore return you Resolution No. 1500, with my disapproval endorsed thereon.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the question being put, "Shall Resolution No. 1499, Code No. 9.053, calling special election for August 28, 1934, pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?"

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Thereupon, President McSheehy declared the Mayor's veto *sustained*.

Whereupon, the question being put, "Shall Resolution No. 1500, calling special election for August 28, 1934, pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?"

The roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Thereupon, President McSheehy declared the Mayor's veto *sustained*.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.**Committee of the Whole.**

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the following bill:

All Supervisors previously noted being present.

Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 600, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments to and until the first day of August, 1935, unless sooner removed from their respective appointments as herein provided. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation and may be removed for cause by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor. When any vacancy shall occur in the membership of said committee, the said vacancy shall be filled by a person nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The committee shall have executive powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Relief Committee, shall, by resolution, appoint a Director of Relief at such compensation as the Board may fix in the manner provided by law. The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco and shall not be at the time of his appointment an officer or employee of said City and County. He shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Relief Committee and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. Ho

shall also have power to establish four departments for the administration of said relief, to-wit:

- (a) A division of relief for the family group.
- (b) A division of relief for single women.
- (c) A division of relief for single men, known as the bachelor group.
- (d) A division of relief for other men who have no dependents.
- (e) Such other divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee.

Each of the aforesaid divisions or departments established by the Director of Relief shall be administered by a division administrator who shall be appointed by the Director of Relief and he shall hold his appointment during the pleasure of said Director.

Section 4. The several division administrators appointed as hereinbefore provided, together with the Director of Relief, shall constitute a Board of Appeal and any person being dissatisfied with the granting, or refusing to grant, relief by any division administrator, or by any person acting under a division administrator, may appeal to said Board of Appeal from the action of said division administrator or person acting under said division administrator and said Board of Appeal may sustain or dismiss said appeal or make such order in the premises as it shall deem proper and the decision of said Board of Appeal shall be binding on those interested unless the same is set aside or modified by the Relief Committee. All appeals and the action taken thereon shall be reported to said Relief Committee within five days after the same are made.

Section 5. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Relief Committee, to hire and retain such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Director of Relief and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Director of Relief. The Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 6. The Director of Relief shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said Director of Relief shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 7. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 9. The members of the Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be ex-officio members of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Nanry, representing Governmental Research Bureau; Mrs. H. A. Kemp, representing Women's Welfare League; Mrs. Schussler, representing Community Relief Society; Russell Carpenter, representing Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, the chairman of the executive committee of the Community Chest, and a lady representing Central League of Women Voters, were heard on the pending ordinance.

Motion.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the foregoing matter was taken up seriatim.

The Clerk proceeded and read section 1 as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments to and until the first day of August, 1935, unless sooner removed from their respective appointments as herein provided. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation and may be removed for cause by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor. When any vacancy shall occur in the membership of said committee, the said vacancy shall be filled by a person nominated by the Mayor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Uhl moved to insert after the word "Supervisors" the words "The members of the Board of Supervisors shall be ex-officio members of said committee without a vote."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved to amend by striking out the word "nominated" in the eighth line and inserting the word "appointed;" and striking out the words "and appointed by the Board of Supervisors," and inserting the words "confirmed by the Board of Supervisors," also strike out the word "nominated" in the fifteenth line thereof and insert the word "appointed," and in the sixteenth line strike out the word "appointed" and insert the word "confirmed".

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Ratto, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Section 2 was taken up and read by the Clerk, as follows:

Section 2. The committee shall have executive powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State

of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Approved without objection.

Section 3 was taken up and read by the Clerk, as follows:

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Relief Committee, shall, by resolution, appoint a Director of Relief at such compensation as the Board may fix in the manner provided by law. The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco and shall not be at the time of his appointment an officer or employee of said City and County. He shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Relief Committee and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish four departments for the administration of said relief, to-wit:

- (a) A division of relief for the family group.
- (b) A division of relief for single women.
- (c) A division of relief for single men, known as the bachelor group.
- (d) A division of relief for other men who have no dependents.
- (e) The Such other divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee.

Each of the aforesaid divisions or departments established by the Director of Relief shall be administered by a division administrator who shall be appointed by the Director of Relief and he shall hold his appointment during the pleasure of said Director.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, moved to strike out lines 1, 2, 3, and the words "by law" in the fourth line of said section, and insert in lieu thereof the words, "The Relief Committee shall appoint and the Board of Supervisors shall confirm, a Director of Relief, whose salary shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors."

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to strike out in the fifth line "and shall not be at the time of his appointment an officer or employe of the City and County."

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved to strike out in the fourth and fifth lines thereof, the words "The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

On motion duly made and seconded the sentence in the twelfth line commencing with the words, "He shall also have power" etc., down to and including the four subdivisions (a), (b), (c) and (d), were stricken out, and in lieu thereof the following language inserted. "He

shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee." Also, strike out paragraph at the end of section 3, commencing with the words "each of aforesaid," etc., and ending with the word "director."

Section 4. The several division administrators appointed as hereinbefore provided, together with the Director of Relief, shall constitute a Board of Appeal and any person being dissatisfied with the granting, or refusing to grant, relief by any division administrator, or by any person acting under a division administrator, may appeal to said Board of Appeal from the action of said division administrator or person acting under said division administrator and said Board of Appeal may sustain or dismiss said appeal or make such order in the premises as it shall deem proper and the decision of said Board of Appeal shall be binding on those interested unless the same is set aside or modified by the Relief Committee. All appeals and the action taken thereon shall be reported to said Relief Committee within five days after the same are made.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, the following was ordered inserted in section 4, three lines from the end, after the word "Committee": All appeals shall be heard within forty-eight (48) hours."

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, the following language was ordered stricken out, commencing line 1: "These several division administrators appointed as herein provided, together with the Director of Relief, shall constitute a Board of Appeal", and the following language inserted in lieu thereof: "The Relief Committee shall appoint a subcommittee of five (5) which shall act as a Board of Appeals."

Section 5. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Relief Committee, to hire and retain such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Director of Relief and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Director of Relief. The Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

On motion duly made and carried, section 5, line 9, the words "Director of Relief," were ordered stricken out, and the words "Relief Committee," inserted in lieu thereof. Also, in line 10.

Section 6. The Director of Relief shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said Director of Relief shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Hay-

den, section 6, the words "The Director of Relief" were stricken out and the words "Relief Committee" inserted in lieu thereof. Same change on line 4.

Section 7. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full-time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Approved without objection.

Section 8. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Approved without objection.

Section 9. The members of the Welfare Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall be ex-officio members of the Citizens' Relief Committee.

On motion duly made and *carried* section 9 was stricken out.

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Brown, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported the bill as amended to the Board of Supervisors.

Passed for Second Reading.

Thereupon, the bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 600, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments to and until the first day of August, 1935, unless sooner removed from their respective appointments as herein provided. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation and may be removed for cause by the Board of Supervisors with the approval of the Mayor. When any vacancy shall occur in the membership of said committee, the said vacancy shall be filled by a person appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. The committee shall have executive powers and shall

have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Relief Committee shall appoint, and the Board of Supervisors shall confirm, a Director of Relief, whose salary shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors. The Director of Relief shall possess the residential qualifications of other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall be the executive officer of said Relief Committee and shall have such powers as are given to a department head by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Relief Committee and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Relief Committee for the administration of said relief.

Section 4. The Relief Committee shall appoint a subcommittee of five, which shall act as a Board of Appeals. Any person being dissatisfied with the granting, or refusing to grant, relief by any division administrator, or by any person acting under a division administrator, may appeal to said Board of Appeals from the action of said division administrator or person acting under said division administrator and said Board of Appeal may sustain or dismiss said appeal or make such order in the premises as it shall deem proper and the decision of said Board of Appeal shall be binding on those interested unless the same is set aside or modified by the Relief Committee. All appeals shall be heard within 48 hours. All appeals and the action taken thereon shall be reported to said Relief Committee within five days after the same are made.

Section 5. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Relief Committee, to hire and retain such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Supervisors upon the recommendation of the Relief Committee and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Relief Committee. The Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 6. The Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 7. The Director of Relief and the several division adminis-

trators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was on motion of Supervisor Ratto *laid over until December 10, 1934*:

Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal—Public Proceedings for Fitzgerald Avenue. (Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1455, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 21st day of January, 1935, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Resolution No. 1442 is hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating Balance of Moneys Received From Sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933 (\$40,000), and Moneys Received From Sale of School House Bonds, 1934 (\$1,543,000).

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 597, Ordinance No. 9.05138, as follows:

Appropriating \$40,000, the balance of moneys received from the sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.900.00 (1933 High Pressure Bonds), and the sum of \$1,543,000, balance of moneys received from the sale of School House Bonds, 1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 98.900.00 (1934 School House Bonds), fiscal year 1934-1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated the sum of \$40,000, the balance of moneys received from the sale of High Pressure System Bonds, 1933, to the credit of Appropriation No. 96.900.00 (1933 High Pressure Bonds), and the sum of \$1,543,000, balance of moneys received from the sale of School House Bonds, 1934, to the credit of Appropriation No. 98.900.00 (1934 School House Bonds), fiscal year 1934-1935.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter, ordered on Calendar by Supervisor Roncovieri, member of Finance Committee, request of Controller, Mayor and Treasurer, was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes, \$6,000,000.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 605, Ordinance No. 9.0334, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$6,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1934-35; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$6,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1934-35, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$6,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$30,166,135, and that said sum of \$6,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$6,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$6,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public

sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1934-35, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to December 31, 1934, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1934-35 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1934-1935

No. \$.....

San Francisco,, 1934.

On the 20th day of December, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of six million (\$6,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected

during the half of said fiscal year 1934-35 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of
San Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of
San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to December 20, 1934) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to December 20, 1934, shall be canceled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void,

such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings,
Ortega Street.**

(Code No. 6.0211)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1504, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in San Francisco, California:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-seventh avenue with the south line of Ortega street, running thence westerly along the south line of Ortega street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-eighth avenue; thence southerly along said east line of Twenty-eighth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Pacheco street; thence easterly along said north line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-seventh avenue; thence northerly along said west line of Twenty-seventh avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue with the south line of Ortega street, running thence westerly along the south line of Ortega street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-seventh avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-seventh avenue 600 feet to the north line of Pacheco street; thence easterly along the north line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 3. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue with the south line of Ortega street, running thence westerly along the south line of Ortega street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-sixth avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-sixth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Pacheco street; thence easterly along the north line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 4. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue with the south line of Ortega street, running thence westerly along the south line of Ortega street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-fifth avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-fifth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Pacheco street; thence easterly along the north line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 5. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue with the south line of Pacheco street, running thence westerly along the south line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-fifth avenue; thence southerly along the east line

of Twenty-fifth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Quintara street; thence easterly along the north line of Quintara street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 6. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue with the south line of Pacheco street; running thence westerly along the south line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-sixth avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-sixth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Quintara street; thence easterly along the north line of Quintara street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-fifth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 7. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue with the south line of Pacheco street; running thence westerly along the south line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-seventh avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-seventh avenue 600 feet to the north line of Quintara street; thence easterly along the north line of Quintara street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-sixth avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 8. Commencing at the point of intersection of the west line of Twenty-seventh avenue with the south line of Pacheco street, running thence westerly along the south line of Pacheco street 240 feet to the east line of Twenty-eighth avenue; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-eighth avenue 600 feet to the north line of Quintara street; thence easterly along the north line of Quintara street 240 feet to the west line of Twenty-seventh avenue; thence northerly along the west line of Twenty-seventh avenue 600 feet to the point of commencement.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of the Sunset Reservoir of the San Francisco Water Department. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Deed, Hazel L. Ramon et al., Land Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1505, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93,900.00:

Hazel L. Ramon and Stella Ramon, Lot 8a, in Assessor's Block 2110	\$ 250
Gertrude Lynch, Lot 21, in Assessor's Block 2110	650
Edward W. Smith et ux., Lots 18, 29, 30 and 31, in Assessor's Block 2110 and Lot 30 in Assessor's Block 2108	7,095

Gustave Carlsen et al., Lots 40, 41, 42, 43, 45 and 46, in Assessor's Block 2109 4,100
 H. J. Traynor, Lot 29A, in Assessor's Block 2108, Lot 2, in Assessor's Block 2107, and Lots 26, 27 and 28, in Assessor's Block 2110 3,500
 Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.
 Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Deed, Phillip W. Rock et al., Land Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1506, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.900.00:

Phillip W. Rock and Rosalie Rock, Lot 8, in Assessor's Block 2108 \$ 975
 Albert E. Walker, Lot 29, in Assessor's Block 2108..... 1,400

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Deed From H. J. Traynor, Land Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1507, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from H. J. Traynor to Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir; and that the sum of \$650 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.900.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Acceptance of Deed From Fernando Nelson & Sons, Inc., Underground Conduits and Appurtenances, Merced Manor Tract.

(Code No. 15.061)

Also, Resolution No. 1508, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept that certain deed dated June 30, 1934, from Fernando Nelson & Sons, Inc., for those certain underground conduits and appurtenances in the Merced Manor Tract in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, bounded on the north by Sloat boulevard, on the south by Eucalyptus Drive, on the east by Nineteenth avenue and on the west by Twenty-sixth avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Re-referred.

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was on motion of Supervisor Uhl *re-referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

Revised June 28, 1934.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, by house-to-house canvass, or in residences, flats, apartment houses or hotels, or in offices or business buildings, or in any public building or place or on the public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally or by telephones, mail or in any other manner, to solicit memberships, advertising, money, property, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind or to sell or offer for sale, any article or service or tickets of admission to any performance, card party, benefit, lecture, picnic or other place of amusement or entertainment whatsoever on the plea, statement or representation that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purpose, unless such person, organization, society or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first obtained a permit therefor from the Chief of Police of said City and County.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a permit to solicit funds, as provided for in Section 1 hereof, shall file at least five (5) days before the proposed commencement of such solicitation, an application in writing and under oath with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name or names of the organization or of the person or persons by whom or by which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of such organization or person or persons, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization and the names of the persons who will be responsible for the distribution of such funds, and the names of all persons who will solicit or manage or direct the solicitation of such funds; provided that the names of persons who will solicit such funds without any remuneration or compensation, either direct or indirect, need not be required, when in the opinion of said Chief of Police the number of such persons make it impracticable to name them all.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made of the funds derived therefrom, and the address or location of the place or places from which distribution or disbursement of said funds shall be made for such purpose or object.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than one year; provided that when such permit is issued for a period of less than one year, it may be renewed for additional periods not exceeding in all the total period of one year for such original permit and renewals; provided that permits granted for a period of one year may be extended for further periods not to exceed one year upon the filing of a new application, *and if not issued in ten (10) days said permit shall be deemed to have been denied.*

(d) A financial statement by such applicant setting forth:

(i) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, com-

missions, costs, expenses or emoluments are to be expended, the character of the services for which said expenses are to be incurred and where possible the names of the persons to whom payments are to be made and the amounts each of said persons will receive.

(ii) All funds received and disbursed by said applicant during the calendar year or applicant's fiscal year next immediately preceding the filing of said application.

(iii) All funds received or collected by public solicitation or otherwise during such preceding calendar or fiscal year with a statement of the wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments expended in connection with said solicitation during such year.

(iv) The purposes for which the funds proposed to be solicited are to be expended, together with a budget of said applicant for the calendar or fiscal year next immediately succeeding the date of said application, in cases where applicant intends to continue its activities for such succeeding year.

(e) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

(f) Whenever one person, organization, society, association or corporation or agent or representative thereof files an application on behalf of several affiliated or associated persons, organizations, societies, associations or corporations, for whose benefit said solicitation is to be made, said application shall separately set forth the information required in this section as to said applicant and to each of its associates or affiliates; provided that the exemptions stated in Section 8 hereof shall be applicable to said affiliates or associates.

Section 3. Upon such filing, said application shall become and remain a public record open to the inspection of all persons.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in Section 2 hereof, said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such purpose or object of such solicitation is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and that the applicant and other persons to be engaged in said solicitation are of good character and that the total costs and expenses of such solicitation are not disproportionate to the sum proposed to be collected thereby, and that a permit should be issued therefor, he shall issue such permit for such period, not to exceed one year, as in his opinion is proper; provided that said permit may include the applicant, together with its agents or representatives, named in said application. Said Chief of Police shall issue or refuse in writing to issue said permit within ten (10) days of the filing of such application.

Section 5. Upon the granting of said permit, said Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon payment by the applicant of a fee of one dollar (\$1.00).

Section 6. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto, or is using such permit as an endorsement of such solicitation, said Chief of Police may suspend or revoke such permit.

Section 7. If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, chari-

table, educational or other eleemosynary purpose by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Section 9. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor; and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 10. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 11. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Adolph E. Schmidt.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 20, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. Adolph E. Schmidt for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of three weeks, commencing July 23.

I hereby request that you concur with we in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1509, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Adolph E. Schmidt, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of three (3) weeks from and after Monday, July 23, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannou—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3.061, "Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings of the Board of Supervisors and Other Boards and Commissions," By Increasing the Number of Supervisors Necessary to Call Special Meetings.

(Code No. 3.06)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. 604, Ordinance No. 3.063, as follows:

Amending section 1 of Ordinance No. 3.061, entitled, "Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Supervisors and of other boards and commissions provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given," *by increasing the number of Supervisors necessary to call special meetings from three (3) to six (6).*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 3.061, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, and shall be called upon the request of *six (6)* members thereof made in writing to the President, and also upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the President as herein provided, the President shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Amending Sections 1 and 2 of Ordinance No. 3.061, "Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings, Board of Supervisors, and Other Boards and Commissions."

(Code No. 3.06)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Bill No. 606, Ordinance No. 3.064, as follows:

Amending sections 1 and 2 of Ordinance No. 3.061, entitled "Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Supervisors and of other boards and commissions provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given," *authorizing the Clerk to call special meetings of the Board of Supervisors upon request of three (3) members.*

Sections 1 and 2 of Ordinance No. 3.061, the title of which is recited above, are hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, *and shall be called by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors or an Assistant Clerk* upon the request of three (3) members thereof, made in writing to the *Clerk or an Assistant Clerk*; and also, upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the Clerk as herein provided, the Clerk shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Section 2. All requests made to the President of the Board *or the Clerk of the Board* for a special meeting thereof shall specify the purpose or purposes of said meeting and, upon receipt thereof, or upon

the call for a special meeting by the President of the Board on his own motion, he shall notify the Clerk of the Board of the time fixed for said meeting and the purpose or purposes thereof. The time for said meeting shall be not less than twenty-four (24) hours after notice to the Clerk thereof. Upon receiving notice of the time and purposes of said special meeting, the Clerk of the Board shall notify each member thereof at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the time of said meeting and of the purposes thereof, which said notice shall be given by personal notice delivered to each of said members or by notice sent by special delivery United States mail, addressed to each member of the Board, at their respective addresses. Notice of any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors shall be published at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such special meeting.

Referred to Rules Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 30, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.


Monday, July 30, 1934

Wednesday, August 1, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 30, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 30, 1934,
2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The journals of proceedings of the meetings of July 19 and 23, 1934, were considered, read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bills, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed*:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Fixing Fee of \$6.25 Per Quarter for Auto Supply Stations, and \$3 Per Quarter for Tire Vulcanizing or Battery Repair Stations.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 601, Ordinance No. 3.04123, as follows:

Amending Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain business, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, by fixing the fee for automobile supply stations at \$6.25 per quarter for each station, and \$3 per quarter for vulcanizing tires and/or installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 19 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile supply station under a permit from the Fire Department, shall pay a license fee of six and 25/100 (\$6.25) dollars per quarter for each such station.

Each of such persons, firms or corporations engaged in the business of vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes and/or installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries of used automobiles or other motor

vehicles on the same premises, shall pay a license fee of three (\$3) dollars per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Compromising Claim of William Spivock Against City and County in Sum of \$1,000, Re Construction Pierce Street and Baker Street Outfall Sewers.

(Code No. 6.0222)

Also, Bill No. 602, Ordinance No. 6.02229, as follows:

Authorizing the City Attorney to compromise and settle a certain action pending in the San Francisco Municipal Court entitled "William Spivock vs. City and County of San Francisco et al."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City Attorney having recommended the settlement and compromise of that certain action pending in the Municipal Court of San Francisco, bearing No. 63251 and entitled "William Spivock vs. City and County of San Francisco et al.," by the payment to the plaintiff in said action of the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars, which said payment shall be in full satisfaction of all claims of the plaintiff against said City and County for extra labor and material furnished to and damages caused by the construction of Pierce Street and Baker Street Outfall Sewers, under a certain agreement bearing date of October, 1931.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle and compromise said action by the payment to the plaintiff therein of the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of the said plaintiff against the City and County, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant from the appropriate fund in settlement of said claim.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted* by the following vote:

Cancellation of Taxes—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1510, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property, now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California, was recorded in the name of the original owner for the year 1933-34 and sold for delinquent taxes on June 29, 1934. Being State property the taxes should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller be authorized to cancel the following tax in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code:

Lot 25, Block 2701, assessed to Ben P. Walls, both installments...\$38.62

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Controller to Cancel Tax—State Property.

(Code No. 9.0412)

Also, Resolution No. 1511, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller has reported that the following property now recorded in the name of the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California was recorded in the name of the original owner for the year 1933-34 and sold for delinquent taxes on June 29, 1934. Being State property these taxes should be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby requested to cancel the following tax in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804-A of the Political Code:

Lot 46, Block 1382, assessed to Donald B. and Ethyl E. Smith....\$88.74

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

The following bill and ordinance was taken up and acted on as follows:

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, by house-to-house canvass, or in residences, flats, apartment houses or hotels, or in offices or business buildings, or in any public building or place or on the public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally or by telephones, mail or in any other manner, to solicit memberships, advertising, money, property, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind or to sell or offer for sale, any article or service or tickets of admission to any performance, card party, benefit, lecture, picnic or other place of amusement or entertainment whatsoever on the plea, statement or representation that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purpose, unless such person, organization, society or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, shall have first obtained a permit therefor from the Chief of Police of said City and County.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a permit to solicit funds, as provided for in Section 1 hereof, shall file at least five (5) days before the proposed commencement of such solicitation, an application in writing and under oath with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name or names of the organization or of the person or persons by whom or by which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of such organization or person or persons, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization and the names of the persons who will be responsible for the distribution of such funds, and the names of all persons who will solicit or manage or direct the solicitation of such funds; provided that the names of persons who will solicit such funds without any remuneration or compensation, either direct or indirect, need not be required, when in

the opinion of said Chief of Police the number of such persons make it impracticable to name them all.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made of the funds derived therefrom, and the address or location of the place or places from which distribution or disbursement of said funds shall be made for such purpose or object.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than one year; provided that when such permit is issued for a period of less than one year, it may be renewed for additional periods not exceeding in all the total period of one year for such original permit and renewals; provided that permits granted for a period of one year may be extended for further periods not to exceed one year upon the filing of a new application, *and if not issued in ten (10) days said permit shall be deemed to have been denied.*

(d) A financial statement by such applicant setting forth:

(i) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments are to be expended, the character of the services for which said expenses are to be incurred and where possible the names of the persons to whom payments are to be made and the amounts each of said persons will receive.

(ii) All funds received and disbursed by said applicant during the calendar year or applicant's fiscal year next immediately preceding the filing of said application.

(iii) All funds received or collected by public solicitation or otherwise during such preceding calendar or fiscal year with a statement of the wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments expended in connection with said solicitation during such year.

(iv) The purposes for which the funds proposed to be solicited are to be expended, together with a budget of said applicant for the calendar or fiscal year next immediately succeeding the date of said application, in cases where applicant intends to continue its activities for such succeeding year.

(e) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

(f) Whenever one person, organization, society, association or corporation or agent or representative thereof files an application on behalf of several affiliated or associated persons, organizations, societies, associations or corporations, for whose benefit said solicitation is to be made, said application shall separately set forth the information required in this section as to said applicant and to each of its associates or affiliates; provided that the exemptions stated in Section 8 hereof shall be applicable to said affiliates or associates.

Section 3. Upon such filing, said application shall become and remain a public record open to the inspection of all persons.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in Section 2 hereof, said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such purpose or object of such solicitation is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and that the applicant and other persons to be engaged in said solicitation are of good character and that the total costs and expenses of such solicitation are not disproportionate to the sum proposed to be collected thereby, and that a permit should be issued therefor, he shall issue such permit for such period, not to exceed one year, as in his opinion is proper; provided that said permit may include the applicant, together with its agents or representatives, named in said application. Said Chief of Police shall issue or refuse in writing to issue said permit within ten (10) days of the filing of such application.

Section 5. Upon the granting of said permit, said Chief of Police

shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon payment by the applicant of a fee of one dollar (\$1.00).

Section 6. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto, or is using such permit as an endorsement of such solicitation, said Chief of Police may suspend or revoke such permit.

Section 7. If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purpose by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Section 9. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor; and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 10. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 11. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Brown, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing interested persons on the above subject matter.

Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted to the following persons: Mr. Gerald O'Gara, attorney, and Mr. Leslie Gillen, Assistant District Attorney, who addressed the Board, were heard at length in support of the ordinance.

Miss M. Tsvetkoff, representing the Better Business Bureau, Mr. N. McDonald, representing the Blind Craft, and Mr. Fred Toplitz, repre-

senting the American Convention Corporation, were also heard in favor of the passage of the ordinance.

Miss Claire Hall, conducting a free employment agency, was heard at length in opposition to its passage, setting forth that it would appear to materially affect her character of business.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner moved that the Committee of the Whole arise and report its findings to the Board.

Motion carried.

Supervisors Uhl and Brown addressed the Board and urged the passage of said bill and ordinance.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon—3.

Health Department Expenditure.

Dr. J. C. Geiger, Health Officer, addressed the Board and requested authority to order the expenditure of unexpended balance of funds heretofore set aside for treatment and abatement of infantile paralysis, amounting to approximately \$5,500.

The Controller expressed his willingness to approve such expenditure until the 15th of August, and on motion of Supervisor Havenner it was so ordered, to be expended as deemed necessary by the Director of Health.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

The following matters, not appearing on the calendar of July 30, were introduced on roll call, and acted on as follows:

Treatment for Infantile Paralysis.

Supervisor McSheehy presented Bill No. 607, Ordinance No. 9.051143, proposing an amendment to Ordinance No. 9.051142, appropriating \$10,503 to Department of Health for the treatment of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis during the month of July, 1934, and thereafter. The purpose of the amendment is to permit the expenditure of the balance of the appropriation after the month of July, if necessary.

On motion, said bill and ordinance was *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Suggested Amendments to Ordinance Providing for New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Communication from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting correspondence in respect to the new ordinance dealing with the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee was, on motion, *referred to Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare.*

Convenience Station, Aquatic Park.

Supervisor McSheehy presented Resolution No. 1512, authorizing an expenditure of \$7,500 out of Emergency Fund for the erection of a convenience station by the Park Commission on the Municipal Fishing Pier at Aquatic Park.

On motion, *referred to Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.*

Motion Rescinded.

The motion heretofore offered at a previous meeting by Supervisor Gallagher and passed, that action on all major matters presented to the Board during vacation period be deferred, was reconsidered and, upon motion of Supervisor Colman, the said motion was *rescinded*.

Recess Meeting, Wednesday, August 1, 1934, 2 P. M.

Supervisor Brown moved that at the conclusion of its business the Board this day recess until Wednesday, August 1, 1934, at 2 o'clock p. m., for the purpose of considering salary reductions of municipal employees.

Motion *carried*.

Communications.

Communication from Redwood Empire Association asking to be advised of the members desiring to attend Redwood Empire Caravan.

On motion the Chair appointed Supervisor Ratto to be in attendance as representative of the Board.

Communication from Bayside Hills and Valley Improvement Club protesting against the contract with the Bay Shore Sanitary Board for continuing the dumping of garbage in the district.

On motion, *referred to Health Committee*.

Communication from Community Relief Society referring to certain remarks passed by Supervisor Roncovieri at meeting of July 23, 1934.

On motion, *referred to Rules Committee*.

Supervisor Uhl requested to be advised in the matter of repairs to school buildings. The Clerk was directed to request the attendance of the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Architect and the City Engineer at the meeting to be held Wednesday, August 1, 1934, at the hour of 2:30 p. m. Also, to request opinion from the City Attorney that if the Board of Supervisors passes an emergency resolution adjusting salaries of officials and employees, can that adjustment be readjusted by Charter amendment at the November election? Also, requesting the Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee to investigate and report in re information furnished him that some ten musicians of the Park Band had been laid off. The Supervisor further asked that inquiry be made and reasons furnished for canceling the summer symphony concerts.

RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 5 p. m., recessed to meet again on Wednesday, August 1, 1934, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 1, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to a recess order.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were present on first roll call:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon. Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Schmidt—4.

Quorum present.

Subsequently Supervisors Brown and Roncovieri entered the Chambers.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

**Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries
of Officers and Employees of City and County.**

(Code No. 9.053)

Supervisor Brown presented Resolution No. 1498 (heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon), as follows:

Resolution No. 1498, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of August, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14)

per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Committee of the Whole.

The Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole on motion of Supervisor Brown and proceeded to consider the foregoing resolution.

Motion.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that a 33 1/3 per cent restoration be made to the salaries of officers and employees as applied to the reductions made in 1932.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Shannon raised point of order that motion was not in proper form.

Point of order *sustained*.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Brown proposed amendments to the percentages enumerated in Resolution No. 1498 as follows:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three and three-tenths (3.3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five and five-tenths (5.5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, eight and twenty-five hundredths (8.25) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, eleven (11) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, thirteen and two-tenths (13.2) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees

whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fifteen and four-tenths (15.4) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three and three-tenths (3.3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

The roll was called on Supervisor Brown's amendments as follows:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

The President declared amendments *lost*.

After further discussion by members, the roll was called on motion that committee approve Resolution No. 1498 and that the committee rise and report, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

The Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board that Resolution No. 1498 had been under consideration and the committee recommends adoption.

Motion to Adopt.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved the adoption of Resolution No. 1498.

Motion to Adopt Lost.

The roll was called on the motion to adopt and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Notice to Reconsider.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Brown changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved to reconsider at the next meeting of the Board. (*Nine votes required to adopt emergency resolution.*)

Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries of Officers and Employees of City and County.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and

County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing the first day of August, 1934, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensations of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than two (2) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earn-

ings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Motion to Adjourn.

At this juncture Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved to adjourn.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that motion to adjourn could not be made while other motion was pending.

The President decided the point of order was not well taken, that motion to adjourn was always in order when member making same was duly recognized.

Supervisor Colman appealed from decision, but appeal was not seconded.

Chair declared appeal failed for want of a second.

Motion to Adjourn Defeated.

Motion to adjourn was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Hayden raised point of order that resolution presented by Supervisor Uhl had not been referred to and reported upon by a committee.

The President sustained the point of order and *referred the resolution to Welfare and Judiciary Committees, jointly.*

Leave of Absence—Philip L. Bush, President Board of Education.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1513, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Philip L. Bush, President of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from August 4 to 20, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 4:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 6, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, August 6, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 6, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 6, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 30 and August 1, 1934, were considered read and approved.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER BY SUPERVISOR BROWN

The following matter was taken up:

Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries of Officers and Employees of City and County.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1498, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of

San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing from the date of the adoption of this resolution or until changed by vote of the People or act of the Legislature there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deduc-

tions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

(Nine votes required to adopt.)

Roll Call:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt—2.

Before the vote was announced Supervisor Brown changed his vote from "aye" to "no" and moved to reconsider at the next meeting of the Board.

So ordered.

Motion Lost.

The roll being again called on the adoption of the foregoing resolution, the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Issuance of Tax Anticipation Notes, \$6,000,000.

(Code No. 9.033)

Bill No. 605, Ordinance No. 9.0334, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$6,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1934-35; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$6,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1934-35, to-wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the

Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$6,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$30,166,135, and that said sum of \$6,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$6,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$6,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1934-35, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to December 31, 1934, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1934-35 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to-wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF
SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE
Fiscal Year 1934-1935

No. \$.....

San Francisco,, 1934.

On the 20th day of December, 1934, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State or California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of six million (\$6,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1934-35 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors
of the City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of
San Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of
San Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to December 20, 1934) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934; provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to December 20, 1934, shall be canceled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Indefinitely Postponed.

The following entitled recommendation of Public Welfare Committee, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and on motion of Supervisor Uhl *indefinitely postponed*:

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 600, Ordinance No. 19.07117, entitled:

"Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief."

Declaring an Emergency, and the Necessity of the Passage of an Ordinance for the Preservation of Public Peace, Health and Welfare of the Citizens of San Francisco.

(Code No. 19.071)

The following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1423, as follows:

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors hereby declares that an

emergency confronts the people and government of the City and County of San Francisco in the administration of relief, and that the passage of an ordinance is necessary for the preservation of public peace, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco and for the uninterrupted operation of relief to the dependent poor and unemployed citizens thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the ordinance as amended and in words and figures following was *finally passed* as an emergency ordinance by the following vote:

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 608, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor, and any vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the Mayor, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint, and fix the salary of, a Director of Relief, all subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have

power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the qualifications to perform the duties necessary in a public welfare program. All such persons shall have preference for said employment who possess the necessary residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 8. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency ordinance, and its passage as such is necessary for preservation of public peace, property, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the uninterrupted operation of relief to the dependent poor and unemployed citizens thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Providing for Sale of \$2,020,000 Tax Anticipation Notes, August 13, 1934.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1514, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0334, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain tax anticipation notes, the same to be issued as

needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County, it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned, to the amount of two million and twenty thousand (\$2,020,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid tax anticipation notes, to the amount of two million and twenty thousand (\$2,020,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said tax anticipation notes to the amount of two million and twenty thousand (\$2,020,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of three o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 13th day of August, 1934, and that said tax anticipation notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco, computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Directing the Clerk to Advertise Notice of Sale of \$429,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932.

(Code No. 15.031)

Also, Resolution No. 1515, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper notice of sale that on the 13th day of August, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco.

Four hundred twenty-nine thousand (\$429,000) dollars Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, dated June 1, 1932, comprising 13 bonds of \$1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1930 to 1971, inclusive.

Said Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, will bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding 6 per cent per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding 6 per cent per annum. Interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Authorizing Payments for Lands Required for Sunset Reservoir at \$9,825.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1516, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco

required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

- G. S. Mayhew, Lot 18, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$250;
 - Harold R. Brown, Lot 15, in Assessor's Block 2107, \$650;
 - Chas. A. Weck, Lot 9, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$975;
 - Allan Reinhart and Nellie D. Reinhart, Lot 9, in Assessor's Block 2110, \$500;
 - Alfred Firpo, Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2110, \$650;
 - Gertrude James, Lot 6, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$700;
 - Warren J. Tyson, Jr., and Doris A. Tyson, Lot 23, in Assessor's Block 2109, \$600;
 - Ernest Hemington, Lots 3 and 4, in Assessor's Block 2109, \$1,300;
 - Ruth Gray and Edward R. Gray, Lot 17, in Assessor's Block 2110, \$250;
 - Lida V. Swanson, Lot 12, in Assessor's Block 2109, \$700;
 - August Schultz and Adelheid C. Schultz, Lots 24 and 25, in Assessor's Block 2110, \$1,400;
 - Marian Realty Co., Ltd., Lot 7, in Assessor's Block 2109, \$700;
 - Phillip J. Van Der Zwiep, Lots 19 and 20, in Assessor's Block 2144, \$500;
 - Herbert L. Maritzen and Mary I. Maritzen, Lot 12A, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$650.
- Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Authorizing Payments for Lands Required for Sunset Reservoir at \$3,700.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1517, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

- American Trust Company, Lot 7, in Assessor's Block 2107, \$1,175;
- Harry Leighton, Lot 17, in Assessor's Block 2109, \$650;
- Orris W. Shannon, Lot 4, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$700;
- Frances G. Quevedo, Lot 19, in Assessor's Block 2108, \$1,175.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Authorizing Payment of \$3,000 for Lands for University Mound Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1518, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Pauline Des Roches to Lots 7, 8 and 9, in Assessor's Block 6058, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$3,000 be paid for said land out of San Francisco Water Department 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 93.904.00.

(Approved by Controller.)

(Approved by Joseph J. Phillips, Director of Property.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Purchase of Land for Army Street Widening at \$5,248.70.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1519, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lands in San Francisco, and the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said property from Appropriation No. 152-10A:

Edward Vasques and Margaret Brown, \$2,651; portion of Lot 4 in Assessor's Block 4334. (The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described property, said improvements to be relocated by the above named owners within 30 days after the deed to the City and County of San Francisco is recorded.)

Joseph F. Marty and Marie Marty, \$2,597.70; portion of Lot 3G in Assessor's Block 4335. (The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described property, said improvements to be relocated by the above named owners within 30 days after the deed to the City and County of San Francisco is recorded. The above amount also includes payment in full for all damages to the above named owner or his tenant caused by loss of use during relocation.)

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Purchase of Land for Army Street Widening at \$12,013.50.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1520, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Gaetano Locastro and Candita Locastro to a portion of Lot 3-H, Block 4335, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$12,013.50 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 152-10A.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Purchase of Land for Bernal Heights Boulevard at \$1,000.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1521, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Joseph Reichel et ux., to Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 and 58, in Assessor's Block 5620, San Francisco, and that the sum of \$1,000 be paid for said land from the 1931 Boulevards and Roads Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 170-3.

(Approved by Controller.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1522, as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 409 to Director of Public Works for \$174.29, No. 410 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 411 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 412

to Geo. Windeler, Ltd. for \$158.49, No. 413 to Geo. Windeler, Ltd. for \$45.90, No. 414 to H. Moffatt Co. for \$117.74—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Rules Committee Report.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, California, August 6, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: The Rules Committee, pursuant to motion by Supervisor Havenner, met August 1, 1934, to consider the matter of reading communication to the Board:

Present: Supervisors McSheehy and Colman.

Supervisor Havenner unavoidably absent and excused by the Chairman.

After discussion, the Committee recommended that the following be added to Rule 32: "Any communication reflecting upon or criticising the conduct of a member of the Board, shall first be referred to the President of the Board for appropriate disposition."

The Rule will then read as follows:

32. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper committee, except as provided in Section 10. Any communication reflecting upon or criticising the conduct of a member of the Board, shall first be referred to the President of the Board for appropriate disposition.

Respectfully submitted,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Adopted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing Rule No. 32 was *adopted* and made part of the Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Charles J. Brennan, Chief San Francisco Fire Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., August 6, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, San Francisco Fire Department, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for a period not to exceed twenty days, and to commence on August 15th.

Chief Brennan will attend the convention of the International As-

sociation of Fire Chiefs, and I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this permission.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1424, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Charles J. Brennan, Chief Engineer, San Francisco Fire Department, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty (20) days from and after August 15, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Regulating the Establishment and Maintenance of Massage Parlors.

Supervisor McSheehy presented communication from Dr. J. C. Geiger, Director of Public Health, transmitting draft of the proposed ordinance regulating the establishment and maintenance of massage parlors.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

Authority for Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Hon. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, suggesting that the Board of Supervisors offer for sale \$2,020,000 of tax anticipation notes of \$10,000 denomination each. Bids to be called for August 13, 1934, explaining that increase from \$1,500,000 originally suggested is made in order that Treasurer may be enabled to pay all expenditures for unemployment relief during the months of July and August.

Ordered *filed*.

Compliance of Budget With Riley-Stewart Bill.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Controller filing statement showing comparisons of expenditures for the fiscal year 1932-33, 33-34, 34-35, in accordance with Section 20 of Article XI of the State Constitution, commonly known as the "Riley Stewart Bill," as interpreted by City Attorney John J. O'Toole, and stating that a full report of investigations and segregated tabulations evidencing the comparisons made will be forwarded during the week.

Referred to Finance Committee.

The following was presented, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

In re Question as to Whether 1934-35 Budget of Expenditures Complies with the Mandates of Section 20, Article XI of the Constitution, Commonly Known as "Riley-Stewart Bill."

August 3, 1934.

To the Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs: You have sent to me a communication addressed to your Board by the Bureau of Governmental Research, wherein the bureau attempts to show that the proposed expenditures for the current fiscal year exceed by more than 5 per cent the expenditures of the immediately preceding fiscal year, and that the increase in the current year's expenditures are also in excess of the amount of the decrease in expenditures of the fiscal year 1933-34 below those of the year 1932-33.

You have asked that I analyze the contention made by the bureau and advise you if in my opinion the objections to your budget of the current year are well taken.

Opinion.

The bureau treats separately the tentative budget submitted by the School Department and the actual budget adopted by the Board of Supervisors in conformity with the provisions of the Charter, the actual school budget not as yet having been submitted under the authority of the School Code.

The San Francisco School District and the City and County of San Francisco being coextensive one with the other as far as area and taxable property is concerned, there is grave doubt in my mind as to whether for the purposes of measuring up to the mandates of Section 20 of Article XI of the Constitution, the budgets of the School Department and the general budget of the City and County should not be treated as one single budget. See *Crowe v. Board of Supervisors of Stanislaus County*, 75 Cal. App. Dec. p. 741. However, it is not necessary to determine this fact at this time for the reason that, as I have been advised, the actual budget which will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Education will be within the limits provided by the provision of the Constitution above mentioned. Therefore, I will give consideration only to the general budget for the City and County which is subject to attack by the bureau.

At the outset I may state that I have made no check on the correctness of the figures contained in the report of the bureau, leaving that matter to the Controller, and believing that even taking the figures submitted as being correct, they do not show any violation of the constitutional limitations upon expenditures for the current year.

The constitutional provision on the subject, to-wit, Section 20 of Article XI, is as follows:

"Sec. 20. The expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued, of any county, city and county, municipality, district or other political subdivision of this State, whether or not operating under freeholders charters, shall not in any year exceed by more than five per centum the expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued, of such county, city and county, municipality, district or other political subdivision for the preceding year unless previously authorized by two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of any such county, city and county, district or other political subdivision, or by a majority vote of the electors of any such municipality voting at an election held for that purpose or unless previously authorized by the State Board of Equalization in such manner as may be provided by law; provided that no amount expended in excess of such five per centum shall become a part of the base for determining the maximum expenditure for a succeeding year; provided further, however, that any county, city and county, municipality, district, or other political subdivision of this State that decreases the amount of its expenditures in any year or years may increase, in any subsequent year or years, the amount of its expenditures by the amount, or any fraction thereof, so reduced, or by an amount not more than five per centum of the amount expended in the year immediately preceding. The limitations imposed in this paragraph shall be effective until June 30, 1935, but the Legislature may impose thereafter the same limitations for such period or periods as it may determine; provided, however, that the limitations upon expenditures imposed or authorized by this section shall not apply to expenditures by or on behalf of publicly owned public utilities, including publicly owned facilities operated for the promotion and accommodation of commerce and navigation, irrigation districts, county water districts, reclamation districts, municipal utility districts or metropolitan water districts organized or

existing under the laws of this State or to expenditures arising out of any gift, bequest or donation.

"On and after January 1, 1935, the Legislature shall have power, by two-thirds vote of all the members elected to each of the two houses, to limit the amount of taxes which may be imposed upon real and personal property according to the value thereof for county or city and county purposes."

It is apparent from the provision quoted that if the City and County is to increase its expenditures for the current year over those for preceding years, either the increase must not exceed 5 per cent of last year's expenditures, or an amount equal to the reduction between the expenditures for the year 1932-33 and those for the year 1933-34. I believe that the budgeted expenditures for the present year can be justified under either method.

The bureau sets forth in its report certain tables of figures, one which takes in account state subventions, and the other which omits them. The table which omits the subventions shows the following net expenditures, after deducting such expenditures as are admitted by the bureau to be exempted under the Constitution: 1932-33, \$22,158,206; 1933-34, \$20,337,893; and 1934-35, \$24,074,789. I am advised, however, by the Controller that there are not included in these net expenditures the amounts disbursed for the relief of indigent, sick and dependent poor during the year 1932-33, or during the year 1933-34, and that during the first mentioned year the city expended for this purpose approximately \$4,000,000, and during the past year approximately \$3,500,000, which would bring 1932-33 expenditures up to \$26,158,206, and those of 1933-34 up to \$23,837,893. The amount expended for relief in 1932-33, came practically from the proceeds of relief bonds voted by the people on August 30, 1932, while of the amount expended for the same purpose in 1933-34, approximately \$2,500,000 was from the surplus carried over from said relief bond fund, and the balance thereof was from money borrowed from the State pursuant to the provisions of the State Relief Bond Act of 1933. It therefore appears that if the city is entitled to take into consideration the amounts which were expended for relief during both of the years mentioned, its proposed expenditures for the current year are well within the constitutional limitation, whether we base this year's increase upon a 5 per cent increase over last year's expenditures, or add to last year's expenditures the amount of the reductions made during that year below the year 1932-33.

I am of the opinion that the expenditures for relief should be taken into consideration. While bond funds which are voted for a public improvement and expended during any particular year might not be made the basis for expenditures during a subsequent year, I believe that bonds which we voted for relief in 1932 are in a different category, and that expenditures made from the proceeds of their sale should be given consideration to the same extent as if said expenditures were made from funds raised by taxes. These bonds were issued under the authority of Section 4088 of the Political Code, which authorizes any county to issue bonds for any purpose for which the Board of Supervisors is authorized to expend the funds of the county. Before the bond issue was submitted to the people proceedings were had in the Supreme Court to determine if such bonds would be valid when issued. The Supreme Court held that the care of the indigent and dependent poor was a charge against the county and that the bonds if issued would be valid. See *San Francisco v. Collins*, 216 Cal. 187. The bond issue was for \$6,300,000, and was to meet the cost of "caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor during the fiscal year 1932-33." As this was a county charge, the Board of Supervisors could have raised the necessary amount by a tax levy (see Sec. 4041.16, Political Code). If the amount necessary had been raised from taxes, no one would question the fact that expenditures should be taken into consideration in totaling the expenditures for the year. I can see no reason why the rule

should be otherwise, because the Board of Supervisors provided the necessary funds by a bond issue.

The provision of the Constitution uses the word "expenditures". Under the well settled rule of statutory construction, the word must be given its ordinary meaning.

The District Court of Appeal of the Third Appellate District in the case of *Crowe v. Supervisors of Stanislaus County* (previously cited) has attempted to define the word "expenditures", and uses the following language:

"The word expenditures is broad enough to include every item of payment and every dollar of money paid out by the county irrespective of the source from which the money is obtained."

The bureau takes the position that on application for a hearing before the Supreme Court, the latter court practically nullified the ruling of the District Court of Appeal. I cannot agree with this contention. The Supreme Court declined either to approve or to disapprove the action of the lower court, and the decision stands as the only judicial expression on the subject.

I am therefore of the opinion that when we determine the "expenditures" for the year 1932-33, we should take into consideration the amount expended for relief. It also follows that the same rule should be applied to the expenditures for the same purpose during the year 1933-34. A portion of these expenditures were made from the surplus funds of the 1932 Relief Bond Issue, and the remainder borrowed from the State under the Relief Bond Act. If these funds were not made available, taxes would have been levied to meet the emergency, and as we have already shown, the expenditures made from tax funds would have been added to the 1933-34 expenditures; and there is no reason why the funds which were used should not be treated in the same way.

It therefore appears to me that the objections made by the Bureau of Governmental Research are not well taken, and that the budgeted expenditures for the current year do not exceed the limitation provided by the Constitution, and you are advised accordingly.

There are other questions adverted to in the report of the bureau, such as whether state subventions should be taken into consideration in determining the amount of annual expenditures. As I have already shown, we are well within our limitations for the present year whether we take them into consideration or exclude them, it is not necessary to determine this point at this time.

Very truly yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Cash Reserves, San Francisco Cab Owners' Protective Association.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Chas. F. Skelly, Secretary Board of Police Commissioners, advising that he has received a communication from the Anglo California National Bank in which cash reserve required by the provisions of the Public Convenience and Necessity Ordinance for the protection of the public has been withdrawn, and the account closed, and that consequently said association promptly issued orders to the members of the association to discontinue operation of cabs unless a bond is immediately filed in accordance with the provisions of said ordinance to safeguard the public, and requesting that ordinance be amended to provide that in no event shall a cash reserve be withdrawn from the bank without the consent of the Board of Police Commissioners.

Referred to Police Committee. Meeting Saturday, 10 a. m.

World's Exposition Progress Report.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Alfred J. Cleary, Chief Administrative Officer, replying to Supervisor Gallagher's resolution relating to the progress of plans for the 1938 exposition, stating that rapid strides have been made toward the realization of this undertaking and advising that the Mayors of San Francisco and Oakland, with the advice of the presidents of the Chambers of Commerce of those two cities, appointed a Bridge Celebration Founding Committee, representing all communities in the bay region; that said committee has made extensive studies on the subject of the World's Fair and has recommended that a corporation be formed to prosecute its necessary activity. Further recommending that Leland W. Cutler be named president and Athol McBean, chairman of the board of directors; that such corporation, known as the "San Francisco Bay Exposition," was organized and filed articles of incorporation with the Secretary of State on July 25, advising further that the board of directors have not as yet finally chosen a site for the proposed exposition.

Ordered *filed*.

Annual Donation Due Under Raker Act.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Hon. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, transmitting copy of certified Resolution No. 573 of the Public Utilities Commission, requesting him to draw his warrants in favor of the Treasurer of the United States for \$250,000 in payment of the annual donation due under the Raker Act for the construction of roads and trails in Yosemite National Park, and stating that in order to continue the construction of the Coast Range Tunnel and to make this cash payment it will be necessary to sell \$429,000 of the 1932 Hetch Hetchy Bonds. The maturities of said sale in detail is submitted herewith.

Ordered *filed*.

Half Holiday for Employees of City Belonging to American Legion.

(Code No. 5.93)

Resolution No. 1525, as follows:

Whereas, the State Convention of the American Legion will be held in San Francisco on August 13, 14 and 15; and

Whereas, the outstanding feature of the convention will consist in a massed parade of all the visiting bodies and delegates to take place Monday afternoon, August 13; and

Whereas, it is imperative for the success of this great undertaking that the full membership of each American Legion Post be present in the line of march; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco numbers among its employees many members of the American Legion who will desire to participate in this great spectacle and who will be required to absent themselves from their duties upon Monday afternoon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That as a mark of the respect which this Board of Supervisors holds for the members of the American Legion, we do hereby request his Honor the Mayor to issue a proclamation declaring a half-holiday on Monday, August 13, for those members of the American Legion in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco whose desire it is to join with their respective Posts in the parade.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Schmidt—1.

Agreements for Disposal of Garbage, Bay Shore Sanitary District.

From Chief Administrative Officer, copies of proposed agreement for the disposal of garbage in the Bay Shore Sanitary District.

Referred to Health Committee.

Cash Reserve Fund, Taxicab Operators.

From Police Commission, asking amendment to ordinance providing for cash reserves for operators of taxicabs.

Referred to Police Committee.

Status of Proposed Building Code.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, President McSheehy appointed Supervisors Shannon, Ratto and Uhl (Building Committee), to investigate and report to Board, within 30 days, the status of proposed building code.

Committee on School Reconstruction.

Supervisor Uhl asked that a committee be appointed by the Chair as Special Committee to report back on the matter of reconstruction of schools.

Chair appointed Supervisors Uhl, Roncovieri and McSheehy.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 5:30 p. m. took a recess until Friday at 3:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Friday, August 10, 1934

Monday, August 13, 1934

Tuesday, August 14, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, AUGUST 10, 1934, 3:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled pursuant to recess of Monday, August 6, 1934.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, on Bill providing for establishment of new Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

The following matter was taken up:

Mayor's Veto.

August 9, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:

Gentlemen: I have before me for consideration Emergency Ordinance No. 19.07117 adopted by your honorable body on the 6th day of August, 1934, and officially forwarded to me on the 7th day of August, 1934.

This ordinance has meanwhile received my very careful attention and has been the subject of several conferences between myself and those in charge of the allocation of Federal Relief funds in this jurisdiction, and I am transmitting herewith the most recent letter I have received from Vernon D. Northrop, setting forth the views entertained by the acting federal administrator.

The proposed ordinance had, prior to its final adoption, received the very careful attention of those in charge of federal funds, and on July 28, 1934, Mr. Northrop made several suggested amendments in a written communication, which letter was in the hands of the members of your committee charged with reporting said ordinance to your board.

It is indeed regrettable that most of those suggestions were not acceptable to your honorable board.

It is the idea of the Federal Relief Administration that the Citizens' Committee created by said ordinance should be entirely independent of any suggestion of political control, and with this I heartily agree.

Specifically, the objections made to the present ordinance are:

1. That the members of said Committee should be named and removed by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, without approval of the Board of Supervisors. This will not be insisted upon. However, let me say for myself, it will handicap me greatly in securing proper committeemen if such appointees must seek approval of the Board of Supervisors.

2. That the naming of the Director of Relief and the fixing of his compensation should be exclusively placed within the control of the Committee appointed.

3. That the Committee named pursuant to said ordinance should be allowed to designate all employees engaged in the administration of relief and fix the compensation thereof, without the necessity of seeking approval by the Board of Supervisors, except compensations paid from moneys contributed by the City and County of San Francisco.

The present status of finances of the City and County of San Francisco, rendered more acute by reason of the inability of your honorable board to agree upon salary deductions to be imposed upon all city employees and officers, warrants the statement that all available local funds allocated to relief of the needy are now practically exhausted.

The federal authorities will necessarily be called upon to bear the major part of contribution of funds for said relief thereafter, if such relief is to be continued, and for that and many other reasons their suggestions should be carefully heeded.

It is the desire of all concerned in the relief of those in distress that one agency be created to have entire control, representing the federal, state and local governments in supervising and directing unemployment relief, thus eliminating duplication of efforts with consequent reduction of overhead expenses.

Therefore, the problem before us embraces more than purely local control. Were this a matter of local concern only, and solely involving distribution of moneys contributed exclusively by the City and County of San Francisco, I might feel impelled to accede to the action of your honorable board and approve the proposed ordinance.

The important matter of relief has gone beyond that stage, however, and now involves the creation of a committee which might be entrusted with the administration and distribution of funds contributed by the federal and state governments.

For the reasons herein stated, I am returning said proposed ordinance with my veto, and may I also make the earnest suggestion that another ordinance measuring up to the suggestions and objections herein stated, be immediately adopted as an emergency measure by your honorable body.

May I further suggest that your honorable body take immediate steps for the raising of additional funds to be contributed by the City and County of San Francisco to the continuation of the relief mentioned in said ordinance, from salary deductions as I have heretofore recommended.

Respectfully yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Providing for the Appointment of a New Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, Director of Relief and Other Employees; Also, for a Plan of Distribution of Relief.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 608, Ordinance No. 19.07117, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee and said Director of Relief. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members

to be appointed by the Mayor, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor, and any vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the Mayor, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint, and fix the salary of, a Director of Relief, all subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, and shall carry out the policies outlined by said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All of said persons so appointed shall possess the qualifications to perform the duties necessary in a public welfare program. All such persons shall have preference for said employment who possess the necessary residential qualifications provided by the Charter for other employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, and all said employees shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said department and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. The Director of Relief and the several division administrators and department heads shall be full time employees of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this

ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 8. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency ordinance, and its passage as such is necessary for preservation of public peace, property, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the uninterrupted operation of relief to the dependent poor and unemployed citizens thereof.

Veto Sustained.

The question being put on motion of Supervisor Colman, "Shall the ordinance pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?"

The roll was called and the Mayor's veto *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Supervisor Colman's new ordinance providing that Mayor appoint new Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Supervisor Coleman's New Ordinance Providing that Mayor Appoint New Citizens Emergency Relief Committee.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman presented the following ordinance, which was ordered *referred to the Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare and said Joint Committee was directed on motion of Supervisor Hayden to report out on the subject matter for next Monday's meeting, to-wit:*

Appointment of a Committee to Supervise the Distribution of Relief and Expenditure of Relief Funds (Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. —, Ordinance No. —, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a Committee to supervise the distribution of relief and expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said Committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said Committee and said Director of Relief. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available, or which may hereafter be made available, for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said Committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor. The members of said Committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor, and any vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the Mayor. The members of said Committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The Committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said Committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said Committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said Committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint and fix the salary of a Director of Relief. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco, and all such positions as are necessary and the compensation thereof which are paid out of moneys contributed by the City and County of San Francisco shall be established and fixed in accordance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing city departments and city employees where the services of said departments and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month to be appropriated or allocated from funds contributed by the City and County of San Francisco, and said amount shall be separately classified as to the particular relief covered in said appropriation or allocation, and said Committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes, and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensation of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 7. This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency ordinance, and its passage as such is necessary for preservation of public peace, property, health and welfare of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the uninterrupted operation of relief to the dependent poor and unemployed citizens thereof.

Providing for Salary Deductions.

The following ordinance originally presented by Supervisor Shannon, and again by Supervisor Brown, was re-submitted by Supervisor Colman and referred to the Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare for report back to the Board of Supervisors on Monday, August 13, 1934, to-wit:

Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries of Officers and Employees of City and County.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1498, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter

of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing from the date of the adoption of this resolution, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensation of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which

said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, AUGUST 13, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 13, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:45 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of the meeting of August 6, 1934, was considered, read and approved.

Proceedings Cancelled.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the following matter and all proceedings in connection therewith were *ordered cancelled* by unanimous vote.

Sale of Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0334, in the amount of two million and twenty thousand (\$2,020,000) dollars to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, August 13, 1934, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of two million and twenty thousand (\$2,020,000) dollars in denomination of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0334 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-1935 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said

notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1934-1935, in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-1935 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest and if two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand (\$10,000) dollars; which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1514 of the Board of Supervisors adopted August 6, 1934.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following Bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, by house-to-house canvass, or in residences, flats, apartment houses or hotels, or in offices or business buildings, or in any public building or place or on the public highways in the City and County of San Francisco, either personally or by telephones, mail or in any other manner, to solicit memberships, advertising, money, property, or anything of value, or any financial assistance of any kind or to sell or offer for sale, any article or service or tickets of admission to any performance, card party, benefit, lecture, picnic or other place of amusement or entertainment whatsoever on the plea, statement or representation that such solicitation is for a charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purpose, unless such person, organization, society or corporation, or

any agent or representative thereof, shall have first obtained a permit therefor from the Chief of Police of said City and County.

Section 2. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, desiring a permit to solicit funds, as provided for in Section 1 hereof, shall file at least five (5) days before the proposed commencement of such solicitation, an application in writing and under oath with the said Chief of Police, setting forth:

(a) The name or names of the organization or of the person or persons by whom or by which such solicitation is to be made, with the address of such organization or person or persons, together with the name of the chief officer and secretary of such organization and the names of the persons who will be responsible for the distribution of such funds, and the names of all persons who will solicit or manage or direct the solicitation of such funds; provided that the names of persons who will solicit such funds without any remuneration or compensation, either direct or indirect, need not be required, when in the opinion of said Chief of Police the number of such persons make it impracticable to name them all.

(b) The purpose or object for which such solicitation is made and the use to be made of the funds derived therefrom, and the address or location of the place or places from which distribution or disbursement of said funds shall be made for such purpose or object.

(c) The time when such solicitation shall be made, giving the dates of the beginning and ending of such solicitation, which in no case shall be for a period of longer than one year; provided that when such permit is issued for a period of less than one year, it may be renewed for additional periods not exceeding in all the total period of one year for such original permit and renewals; provided that permits granted for a period of one year may be extended for further periods not to exceed one year upon the filing of a new application, *and if not issued in ten (10) days said permit shall be deemed to have been denied.*

(d) A financial statement by such applicant setting forth:

(i) The amount of any wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments to be expended or paid in connection with such solicitation, together with the manner in which such wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments are to be expended, the character of the services for which said expenses are to be incurred and where possible the names of the persons to whom payments are to be made and the amounts each of said persons will receive.

(ii) All funds received and disbursed by said applicant during the calendar year or applicant's fiscal year next immediately preceding the filing of said application.

(iii) All funds received or collected by public solicitation or otherwise during such preceding calendar or fiscal year with a statement of the wages, fees, commissions, costs, expenses or emoluments expended in connection with said solicitation during such year.

(iv) The purposes for which the funds proposed to be solicited are to be expended, together with a budget of said applicant for the calendar or fiscal year next immediately succeeding the date of said application, in cases where applicant intends to continue its activities for such succeeding year.

(e) Such other information as may be deemed necessary by said Chief of Police in relation to the particular solicitation.

(f) Whenever one person, organization, society, association or corporation or agent or representative thereof files an application on behalf of several affiliated or associated persons, organizations, societies, associations or corporations, for whose benefit said solicitation is to be made, said application shall separately set forth the information required in this section as to said applicant and to each of its associates or affiliates; provided that the exemptions stated in Section 8 hereof shall be applicable to said affiliates or associates.

Section 3. Upon such filing, said application shall become and remain a public record open to the inspection of all persons.

Section 4. Upon receipt of the application as provided for in Section 2 hereof, said Chief of Police shall make such investigation as he deems necessary in regard thereto, and if satisfied that such purpose or object of such solicitation is worthy and not incompatible with public interest, and that the applicant and other persons to be engaged in said solicitation are of good character and that the total costs and expenses of such solicitation are not disproportionate to the sum proposed to be collected thereby, and that a permit should be issued therefor, he shall issue such permit for such period, not to exceed one year, as in his opinion is proper; provided that said permit may include the applicant, together with its agents or representatives, named in said application. Said Chief of Police shall issue or refuse in writing to issue said permit within ten (10) days of the filing of such application.

Section 5. Upon the granting of said permit, said Chief of Police shall forward said permit to the Tax Collector, who shall issue said permit upon payment by the applicant of a fee of one dollar (\$1.00).

Section 6. Upon written complaint being filed with the said Chief of Police, or upon his own initiative, if it be found that any person soliciting under a license issued under the provisions of this ordinance, or any agent or representative of such solicitor, is misrepresenting or making untrue statements in regard thereto, or is using such permit as an endorsement of such solicitation, said Chief of Police may suspend or revoke such permit.

Section 7. If the said Chief of Police shall refuse to approve an application for permit, as provided for in this ordinance, or if such permit already issued shall be revoked, the person, organization, society, association or corporation, or any agent or representative thereof, affected thereby may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purpose by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Section 9. Any person, organization, society, association or corporation, or agent or representative thereof, violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor; and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine of not more than five hundred (\$500) dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not longer than six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 10. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or

more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 11. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in so far as they conflict with this ordinance, are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bill was *passed for second reading*:

Authorizing Expenditure of Former Appropriation for Infantile Paralysis to Be Expended After July, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 607, Ordinance No. 9.051143, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.051142, entitled "Authorizing an appropriation of \$10,503 and its expenditure during the month of July, 1934, out of Appropriation No. 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health, for the expense of medical preparation, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency as provided for by Section 16 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco," *by authorizing the expenditure of said fund at the discretion of the Director of Health.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.051142, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Director of Health and the recommendation of the Mayor, the sum of ten thousand five hundred three dollars (\$10,503) is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended during the month of July, 1934, *and thereafter*, out of Appropriation No. 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health for medical preparation, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Confirming Lease to Standard Stations, Inc., City Property at Northwest Corner Polk and McAllister Streets.

(Code No. 12.1739)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1526, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 12.17395, Bill No. 590, authorizing leasing the City-owned parcel of land at the northwest corner of Polk and McAllister streets, San Francisco, that the offer made by the Standard Stations, Inc., be accepted, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby

authorized to execute said lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following Bills were *passed for second reading*:

Creating Assessor's Revolving Fund of \$2,000.

(Code No. 9.011)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 610, Ordinance No. 9.0112, as follows:

Creating revolving fund for the office of the Assessor to be known as the Assessor's revolving change fund and providing for the administration thereof and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A revolving fund to be known as the Assessor's Revolving Change Fund is hereby created, which shall not exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars and which said fund shall be used by the Assessor for the purpose of making change for those persons who pay the taxes on unsecured personal property between the first day of March and the first day of August of each year. The Assessor shall keep a full, true and correct account of all amounts taken from said fund for the purposes herein indicated and render said accounts to the Controller and to the Treasurer whenever requested to so do. The Assessor shall have the custody and control of said fund and shall be responsible therefor and shall, *within ten days after such time as collections pursuant to Motor Vehicle Tax Clearance Act (Stats. 1933, page 937) shall have been completed by him*, return the amount of said fund to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Should said Assessor deem it advisable to deposit said fund or any portion thereof in any bank or banks in the City and County of San Francisco he may do so, and if said fund is so deposited, the same may be withdrawn from said bank or banks upon the order of the Assessor or upon the order of such person or persons as he shall designate.

Section 2. There shall be appropriated each year from the moneys in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated or incumbered, the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars, which said sum shall be payable to the Assessor for the purpose of establishing the above mentioned revolving fund, and the said amount to be returned by the Treasurer to the fund from which the same has been taken when the same is repaid to the Treasurer, *within ten days after such time as collections pursuant to Motor Vehicle Tax Clearance Act (Stats. 1933, page 937) shall have been completed by him*, as hereinbefore set forth.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$600 to the Office of the District Attorney for Correction in Salary of One Criminal Law Clerk.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 611, Ordinance No. 9.051145, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$600 set aside out of Appropriation No. 2.900 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the District Attorney's Office, for correction in salary of One Criminal Law Clerk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 2,900 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the office of the District Attorney; said \$600 being required for correction in the salary of one Criminal Law Clerk.

Approved by the Controller.

Recommended by the Mayor.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Correction of Error in Salary—District Attorney's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 612, Ordinance No. 9.05362, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 8 of Ordinance No. 9.05360, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding one position of Criminal Law Clerk at \$200 which was omitted by error under Item 2 thereof, and eliminating one Criminal Law Clerk at \$150 from Item 3½ thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney	\$ 666.66
2	5	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250
6	2	B222	General Clerk	190
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist	175
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	375
13	1	B222	General Clerk (part-time)	50
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal	190
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	300
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	250
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	250

Explanatory.

This corrects an error in budget estimates and restores the number of employments and rates of pay to conform exactly to the organization established during the last fiscal year and as it existed on June 30, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Regulating the Sale of Contraceptives.

(Code No. 17.19)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 609, Ordinance No. 17.191, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the possession, sale and distribution of contraceptives and prophylactic rubber goods and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall hereafter be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association to vend, sell or offer for sale, or distribute at retail or to the public, in any manner whatsoever any article, drug, medicinal preparation, or any prophylactic rubber goods primarily manufactured, produced or intended for use as or which may be used as a contraceptive, within the City and County of San Francisco, except regularly licensed practitioners of medicine, in their practice, and/or in regular drug stores employing a registered pharmacist. It shall be unlawful to vend, sell or distribute any such articles, drugs or medicines on the streets or elsewhere within the City and County of San Francisco, by means of machines, or by peddling, canvassing or soliciting from house to house, or otherwise except as hereinbefore provided.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful to advertise the sale of same on any placard, billboards, handbills, newspapers, periodicals or other printed or painted matter, printed and distributed in this City and County.

Section 3. Any person, whether principal or agent, who violates the terms of this ordinance, or who has in his possession or on his premises, any vending or slot machine or the like containing contraceptives, or such prophylactic rubber goods, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each such person, firm, corporation, society, association or organization, not being a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, or regularly in the employ of a drug store having regularly and duly connected therewith, a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each such day during which any vending or slot machine containing contraceptives shall be maintained in his or its possession or on his or its premises. In cases of sales by machines, the owner of the machine, as well as the owner and occupier of the premises where the machine is located, shall be deemed a violator thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was on motion of Supervisor Uhl *laid over one week*:

Accepting and Approving Garbage Disposal Permit From Bay Shore Sanitary District.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1528, as follows:

Resolved, That that certain permit (on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors) dated July 19, 1934, with the specifications attached to and made a part thereof, executed by the Sanitary Board of the Bay Shore Sanitary District and granting to the City and County of San Francisco permission to dispose, by fill and cover, of

the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco in said Bay Shore Sanitary District, be and is hereby accepted and approved on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco. The Chief Administrative Officer is hereby authorized to execute, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the specifications attached to said permit.

Privilege of the Floor.

Meurice Swim, attorney for the Bay Shore Sanitary District, was heard in re Supervisor Uhl's suggestion that a day-to-day or sixty-day cancellation clause be inserted in the agreement in the event that San Francisco might seek for some suitable method of garbage disposal of which it wished to avail itself at any time during the two-year term of the agreement.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing Employment by the Sheriff of Persons Confined in the County Jail to Perform Labor as Provided for in Section 1613 of the Penal Code.

(Code No. 7.06)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Resolution No. 1529, as follows:

Whereas, in the county jails of the City and County of San Francisco there are many persons confined under a final judgment of imprisonment and said persons are in the custody of the Sheriff of the said City and County; and

Whereas, there is a branch county jail located within the confines of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and a San Francisco county jail located in the County of San Mateo, State of California; and

Whereas, under the provisions of the Penal Code of the State of California, section 1613, it is specified that said persons confined in the county jail may be required by an order of the Board of Supervisors to perform labor; and

Whereas, the said Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is required from time to time to use certain of the persons so confined to perform clerical and menial labor in and about the said properties used for the said county jails, and in the maintenance and upkeep of said jail buildings and grounds; and

Whereas, at the said branch county jail located in the County of San Mateo there is a large acreage of ground intended to be used for land and animal husbandry; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors, do hereby order, under and by virtue of the provisions of section 1613 of the Penal Code of the State of California, that said persons confined in the said county jails perform labor as defined in the said section 1613 of the Penal Code of the State of California; it is

Further Resolved, That the said Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby empowered to select and designate said persons to perform said labor and to adopt such rules and regulations as may from time to time be necessary to carry out the provisions of this resolution and the said Penal Code, section 1613, of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Joseph M. Golden, Judge of the Municipal Court.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., August 8, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Judge Joseph M. Golden, of the Municipal Court, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing August 16th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1527, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Joseph M. Golden, Judge of the Municipal Court, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 16, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors at the hour of 2:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:45 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, AUGUST 13, 1934, 2:45 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors re-assembled and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy appeared and was noted present at 2:50 P. M.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 3:55 P. M.

Supervisor Brown, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, was elected to preside in the absence of Supervisor McSheehy.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, August 13, 1934, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$429,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, dated June 1, 1932, comprising 13 bonds of \$1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1939 to 1971, inclusive. The said described bonds will bear interest at a rate

or rates not exceeding six per centum per annum, as shall be named by the bidder, and bidders for said bonds shall specify in their bids the interest rate or rates desired, not exceeding six per centum per annum. Interest on said bonds will be payable semi-annually June 1 and December 1. Said bonds will not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest, at the rate or rates named, on said bonds to date of delivery.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The bonds will be awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same, bearing the lowest rate or rates of interest, and if two or more bidders offer to purchase the bonds bearing the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the bonds will be awarded to the bidder offering to purchase the same, at such rates of interest and in such amounts that the net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco of the accepted bid will be the lowest net interest cost, considering the amount of interest to be paid on said bonds during the life thereof at the rates specified, and deducting any premium or premiums bid in addition.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted August 6, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were presented, opened, read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, Heller Bruce & Co., \$429,535; and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, said bonds to bear interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{4}$ per cent per annum.

(2) Halsey Stuart & Co., \$429,100; and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, said bonds to bear interest as follows: \$234,000 being \$13,000 each, maturing in the years 1939 through 1956, to bear interest at rate of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent; and \$195,000 being \$13,000 each, maturing in the years 1957 through 1971, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent.

(3) Bankamerica Company, Blyth & Company, Inc., Hallgarten & Company, by W. F. McGrath, vice-president, Bankamerica Company, \$429,300; and accrued interest at date of delivery, said bonds are more particularly described as follows: \$299,000 par value, maturing \$13,000 each year, June 1, 1939 to 1961, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent per annum, payable semi-annually; and \$130,000 par value, maturing June 1, 1962 to 1971, inclusive, to bear interest at the rate of 4 per cent per annum, payable semi-annually.

(4) Weeden & Co., by L. B. Babich, \$429,217; and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery at $4\frac{1}{4}$ per cent per annum.

(5) R. H. Moulton & Company, by V. E. Breeden, \$429,133; and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery, maturing as follows: \$13,000 June 1, 1939 to 1940, inclusive, as 5 per cent bonds; \$13,000 June 1, 1941 to 1951, inclusive, as $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent bonds; and \$13,000 June 1, 1952 to 1971, inclusive, as 4 per cent bonds.

Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings, the Finance Committee reported the following resolution which was *adopted* by the following vote:

Award of Bonds.

(Code No. 15.031.)

Resolution No. 1530, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

\$429,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932.

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore

Resolved, that the bid of R. H. Moulton & Company, by V. E. Breeden, for said \$429,000 Hetch Hetchy Bonds, 1932, maturing as follows:

\$13,000 June 1, 1939 to 1940, inclusive, as 5 per cent bonds,

\$13,000 June 1, 1941 to 1951, inclusive, as 4½ per cent bonds,

\$13,000 June 1, 1952 to 1971, inclusive, as 4 per cent bonds,

are hereby struck off and sold to R. H. Moulton & Company for the sum of \$429,000, par value, and \$133. premium, and accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

1934-1935 Budget Status Under Riley-Stewart Bill.

Controller Leonard S. Leavy was granted the privilege of the floor and discussed a communication from the San Francisco Bureau of Governmental Research in which it is contended that San Francisco's Budget for 1934-35 violates the 5 per cent increase in taxation limit permitted by said act, and requested that if it is found that this statement is true, the matter be taken up with the State Board of Equalization in order that the Budget may be legalized or with the Supreme Court of the State of California as suggested by City Attorney John J. O'Toole.

City Attorney O'Toole was also heard denying the statement that the 1934-35 Budget exceeded the limit set forth in the Riley-Stewart Act in the sum of \$2,000,000, contending that a large part of this amount consisted of expenditures for relief.

Supervisor Uhl moved that we recommend that the City Attorney take the matter up at once with the Supreme Court in order that the matter may be settled at the earliest possible date.

So ordered.

Report of Joint Judiciary and Finance Committees.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., August 13, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Your Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare begs leave to report that it met today, Monday, August 13, 1934, at 9 a. m. and considered resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Shannon and re-submitted by Supervisor Colman providing for 40 per cent restoration of salaries or compensations of municipal officers and employees, commencing from adoption of the resolution and continuing until July 31, 1935, or until such time as the subject matter may be

acted upon by vote of the people, or by Charter amendment, and that said resolution was recommended unanimously to the Board for favorable action.

Supervisors Uhl and Schmidt voting aye under protest.

Supervisor Gallagher, with respect to the foregoing, moved that it be noted in the Committee's report that the Controller made the statement "that it will take at least 1 to 1½ weeks to prepare 12,500 new stencils with the names, compensations, present salaries, deductible amounts, and net amount derived from these salary deductions," for which reason the Committee urgently recommends that this matter be disposed of not later than midnight of this day.

An amended salary ordinance conforming to the proposed deductions is also here presented with favorable recommendation.

Also, your Committee begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed ordinance presented by Supervisor Colman and referred to the Joint Committee at recessed meeting of the Board of Supervisors on Friday, August 10, providing for a new setup for the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The ordinance was amended in several respects in committee and as so amended is herewith presented with favorable recommendation to the Board.

Supervisor Hayden, with respect to the foregoing, gave notice that he would present a minority report, which would approve the ordinance as submitted except for the provision providing for the confirmation and approval of the Board of Supervisors of the Mayor's appointments to the new Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

JOINT COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY AND PUBLIC WELFARE,

By ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Chairman,

J. EMMET HAYDEN,

F. R. HAVENNER,

ADOLPH UHL,

ANDR. J. GALLAGHER.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Declaring an Emergency and Specifying Deductions From Salaries of Officers and Employees of City and County.

(Code No. 9.053)

Resolution No. 1498, as follows:

Declaring that a public emergency exists in the City and County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter of said City and County, and providing for deductions from the salaries and compensation of various officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to said section.

Whereas, in the judgment of the Board of Supervisors, at the date hereof, a large number of the citizens and residents of the City and County of San Francisco are unemployed, and through no fault of their own are unable to obtain or find employment, and that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the City and County by reason of the fact that said large number of the residents and citizens of said City and County are unemployed and cannot obtain employment; and that said extraordinary economic conditions adversely affect the life, health and welfare of the citizens of said City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and declare that by reason of the extraordinary economic conditions existing in the City and County of San Francisco at the date hereof, which conditions are due to the fact that a large number of the citizens of said City and County are unable to find or obtain employment, a public emergency exists in said City

and County within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter thereof, and that it is anticipated that said public emergency will continue until the first day of July, 1935, and that by reason of said emergency and while the same shall continue to exist, and commencing from the date of the adoption of this resolution, there shall be deducted from the salaries and compensation of all officers and employees whose gross earnings exceed one hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$125) per month, the following percentages of said salaries or compensation of said officers and employees as the same existed prior to the deductions made pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.1 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for a portion of the fiscal year 1932-1933 and for all of the fiscal year 1933-1934, which said percentage deductions shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month, and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

The deductions from the salaries and compensations of the officers and employees hereinabove provided for shall continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35 unless changed by vote of the people or by Charter amendment.

Provided, however, that because the compensation specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education have been fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries of certificated employees of the said Board of Education, and provided further that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Final Passage.

Thereupon, the following recommendation of the Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare was *finally passed* as an emergency ordinance by the following vote:

Amendment to Salary Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.053)

Bill No. 614, Ordinance No. 9.05363, as follows:

An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 9.05360, commonly called the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding Sections 3 and 3a thereof, providing for deductions from the salaries of officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with the provisions of Resolution No. 1498 of the Board of Supervisors, and setting forth and declaring that an actual emergency exists in relation thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.05360 is hereby amended by adding Sections 3 and 3a, to read as follows:

Section 3. As provided in Section 70.1 of the Charter and in accordance with Resolution No. 1498 of the Board of Supervisors, deductions shall be made from the gross salaries or compensations of each officer and employee of the City and County of San Francisco as herein provided in accordance with the following schedule, and the deductions herein specified shall commence on the effective date of the said Resolution No. 1498 and shall continue until July 1, 1935:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, five (5) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, seven and one-half (7½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twelve (12) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, fourteen (14) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employee.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

Provided, however, that, because the compensations specified herein for certificated employees of the Board of Education are fixed by the said Board of Education, such deductions as provided in this section shall not be made from the salaries specified for certificated employees of the Board of Education, and provided further, that the deductions specified in this section shall not be made from the salaries of judges of the Superior Court and the Municipal Court.

Section 3a. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure pursuant to Section 16 of the Charter, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit: That the Board of Supervisors has declared that a public emergency exists within the meaning of Section 70.1 of the Charter and has by reason thereof made certain deductions from the existing salaries and compensations of officers and employees of the City and County, and this ordinance must be enacted in order to make effective said deductions.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Telegram from Vernon D. Northrop re: Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Telegram from Vernon D. Northrop, Acting Administrator, SERA, in reply to invitation to attend meeting of the Board of Supervisors in the matter of new set-up for Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, and stating that he has already made very definite suggestions on this matter indicating the hope that an ordinance might be passed that will permit the development of a unified program under Federal, State and local administration, and that he deems it unnecessary to offer any further suggestions.

Ordered filed.

Citizens Emergency Relief Committee.

Thereupon, the recommendation of the Joint Committee, as follows, was taken up on final passage as an emergency ordinance, to-wit:

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 613, Ordinance No. 19.07119, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and the expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee, and declaring this ordinance an emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available or which may hereafter be made available for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor subject to confirmation and approval by the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor and any vacancy occurring on said committee shall be filled by an appointment thereto made by the Mayor subject to confirmation and approval of the Board of Supervisors. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint and fix the salary of a Director of Relief. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief. The Director of Relief shall give bond in such sum as shall be fixed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said bond shall be conditioned in the same manner as bonds of other officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The premium on said bond shall be paid by the City and County.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All employees appointed for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief shall possess the qualifications and experience necessary to carry out the public welfare program adopted by said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The compensations of all employees which are paid out of moneys contributed by the City and County of San Francisco or under the control of the City and County, shall be established, fixed and paid in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of said City and County. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing City departments and City employees where the services of said departments and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month to be appropriated or allocated from funds contributed by or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, and said amounts shall be separately classified as to the particular relief covered in said appropriation or allocation, and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes, and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensations of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 7. Nothing contained in this ordinance shall give to the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to any person acting under its authority any jurisdiction over relief administered at the present time under the County Welfare Department, the Department of Public Health or the Juvenile Court.

Section 8. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure pursuant to the provisions of Section 16 of the Charter, and the Board of Supervisors does, by the vote by which this ordinance is passed, declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates this ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows, to-wit:

That the committee heretofore appointed under authority of this Board, and the Director of Relief, has tendered its resignation to the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and that there is no committee or person authorized to investigate or distribute relief in the City and County of San Francisco, and the immediate necessary preservation of the health and safety of the citizens of the City and County of San Francisco, and the uninterrupted operation of the distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco, makes it necessary that a new committee, to be charged with the distribution of relief, be forthwith appointed.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the minority report recommendation be substituted for the majority.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 615, Ordinance No. 19.07120, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and the expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available or which may hereafter be made available for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor, and any vacancy occurring on said committee shall be filled by an appointment thereto made by the Mayor. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the fund of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or other-

wise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint and fix the salary of a Director of Relief. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief. The Director of Relief shall give bond in such sum as shall be fixed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said bond shall be conditioned in the same manner as bonds of other officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The premium on said bond shall be paid by the City and County.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All employees appointed for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief shall possess the qualifications and experience necessary to carry out the public welfare program adopted by said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The compensations of all employees which are paid out of moneys contributed by the City and County of San Francisco or under the control of the City and County shall be established, fixed and paid in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of said City and County. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing City departments and City employees where the services of said departments and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month to be appropriated or allocated from funds contributed by or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, and said amounts shall be separately classified as to the particular relief covered in said appropriation or allocation, and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes, and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensations of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 7. Nothing contained in this ordinance shall give to the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to any person acting under its authority, any jurisdiction over relief administered at the present

time under the County Welfare Department, the Department of Public Health or the Juvenile Court.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Purchase of Automobiles.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to issue requisition for two Buick automobiles, and a trade-in on purchase thereof, two old Pierce-Arrows of the Board of Supervisors.

So ordered.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Protest against permit to dispose of garbage by fill and cover at Bay Shore Sanitary District.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from James N. Hanley, protesting the granting of permit favorably recommended by the Health Committee for the disposal of City garbage by fill and cover method at Bay Shore Sanitary District and stating that he has a proposal to dispose of garbage by fill and cover in San Francisco which he will furnish adequate bond for carrying out a contract to do the work.

Also, communication from Mary Ashton, chairman of the Garbage Committee, vigorously protesting proposed two-year contract with Bay Shore Sanitary Board of San Mateo County for the disposal of garbage by fill and cover in their vicinity.

Referred to Health Committee.

Street Cleaning Program.

Supervisor Brown gave notice that he would call up at next meeting of the Board of Supervisors his resolution heretofore on April 23, 1934, referred to the Streets Committee, and which provides for the rearrangement of working shifts in the Street Cleaning Department crews to permit cleaning of downtown streets on Saturdays and Sundays.

Central Permit Bureau.

Supervisor Gallagher presented communication from Mrs. Thos. R. Best requesting establishment of Central Permit Bureau.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Disposition of Water Department Property.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the City Attorney advise this Board at next Monday's meeting regarding revenues and surpluses in the funds of the Water Department. He stated that he had been informed by Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, that Hetch Hetchy water would be in the Crystal Springs Lakes by September of this year, and that the Utilities Department is now giving consideration to whether profits amounting to upwards of a million dollars shall be applied to the reduction of water rates or some other disposition made thereof. Request is made whether or not in this case the Board of Supervisors or the Public Utilities Commission must pay the million dollars interest due on Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds.

So ordered.

Alien Relief.

Supervisor Uhl declared that he had been advised by Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer, that we have a large number of aliens on relief in San Francisco and California, which has cost us upwards of \$2,400,000, and that he sees no reason why they should not work on SERA as an offset to the relief which they are afforded.

Whereupon, he moved that the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee give consideration to the placing of aliens to work on City projects as an offset to the relief which they receive from the City.

So ordered.

Finances for Relief.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk obtain from Chas. M. Woltenberg, Director of Relief, an approximate idea of the demand that will be made upon our treasury for relief funds in future months.

So ordered.

School Budget.

A communication from Edw. A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, submitting School Budget for ensuing fiscal year was presented.

Referred to Finance Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Board, at the hour of 6:45 p. m., took a recess until tomorrow, Tuesday, August 14, 1934, at 4 p. m., for the purpose of receiving a report on policy of budget under Riley-Stewart Bill. Call of the Board to be issued by Clerk.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

TUESDAY, AUGUST 14, 1934, 4 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, August 13, 1934, for the purpose of considering the matter of compliance of the budget, 1934-35, of municipal expenditures with the mandates of Section 20, Article XI of the Constitution, commonly known as the "Riley-Stewart Bill."

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Compliance of Budget With Riley-Stewart Bill.

The following matters heretofore referred to the Finance Committee were taken up:

Communication from Controller filing statement showing comparisons of expenditures for the fiscal year 1932-33, 33-34, 34-35, in accordance with Section 20 of Article XI of the State Constitution, commonly known as the "Riley-Stewart Bill," as interpreted by City Attorney John J. O'Toole, and stating that a full report of investigations and segregated tabulations evidencing the comparisons made will be forwarded during the week.

In re Question as to Whether 1934-35 Budget of Expenditures Complies with the Mandates of Section 20, Article XI of the Constitution, Commonly Known as "Riley-Stewart Bill."

August 3, 1934.

To the Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs: You have sent to me a communication addressed to your Board by the Bureau of Governmental Research, wherein the bureau attempts to show that the proposed expenditures for the current fiscal year exceed by more than 5 per cent the expenditures of the immediately preceding fiscal year, and that the increase in the current year's expenditures are also in excess of the amount of the decrease in expenditures of the fiscal year 1933-34 below those of the year 1932-33. You have asked that I analyze the contention made by the bureau and advise you if in my opinion the objections to your budget of the current year are well taken.

Opinion.

The bureau treats separately the tentative budget submitted by the School Department and the actual budget adopted by the Board of Supervisors in conformity with the provisions of the Charter, the actual school budget not as yet having been submitted under the authority of the School Code.

The San Francisco School District and the City and County of San Francisco being coextensive one with the other as far as area and taxable property is concerned, there is grave doubt in my mind as to whether for the purposes of measuring up to the mandates of Section 20 of Article XI of the Constitution, the budgets of the School Department and the general budget of the City and County should not be treated as one single budget. See *Crowe v. Board of Supervisors of Stanislaus County*, 75 Cal. App. Dec. p. 741. However, it is not necessary to determine this fact at this time for the reason that, as I have been advised, the actual budget which will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Education will be within the limits provided by the provision of the Constitution above mentioned. Therefore, I will give consideration only to the general budget for the City and County which is subject to attack by the bureau.

At the outset I may state that I have made no check on the correctness of the figures contained in the report of the bureau, leaving that matter to the Controller, and believing that even taking the figures submitted as being correct, they do not show any violation of the constitutional limitations upon expenditures for the current year.

The constitutional provision on the subject, to-wit, Section 20 of Article XI, is as follows:

"Sec. 20. The expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued, of any county, city and county, municipality, district or other political subdivision of this State, whether or not operating under freeholders charters, shall not in any year exceed by more than five per centum the expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued, of such county, city and county, municipality, district or other political subdivision for the preceding year unless previously authorized by two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of any such county, city and county, district or other political subdivision, or by a majority vote of the electors of any such municipality voting at an election held for that purpose or unless previously authorized by the State Board of Equalization in such manner as may be provided by law; provided that no amount expended in excess of such five per centum shall become a part of the base for determining the maximum expenditure for a succeeding year; provided further, however, that any county, city and county, municipality, district, or other political subdivision of this State that decreases the

amount of its expenditures in any year or years may increase, in any subsequent year or years, the amount of its expenditures by the amount, or any fraction thereof, so reduced, or by an amount not more than five per centum of the amount expended in the year immediately preceding. The limitations imposed in this paragraph shall be effective until June 30, 1935, but the Legislature may impose thereafter the same limitations for such period or periods as it may determine; provided, however, that the limitations upon expenditures imposed or authorized by this section shall not apply to expenditures by or on behalf of publicly owned public utilities, including publicly owned facilities operated for the promotion and accommodation of commerce and navigation, irrigation districts, county water districts, reclamation districts, municipal utility districts or metropolitan water districts organized or existing under the laws of this State or to expenditures arising out of any gift, bequest or donation.

"On and after January 1, 1935, the Legislature shall have power, by two-thirds vote of all the members elected to each of the two houses, to limit the amount of taxes which may be imposed upon real and personal property according to the value thereof for county or city and county purposes."

It is apparent from the provision quoted that if the City and County is to increase its expenditures for the current year over those for preceding years, either the increase must not exceed 5 per cent of last year's expenditures, or an amount equal to the reduction between the expenditures for the year 1932-33 and those for the year 1933-34. I believe that the budgeted expenditures for the present year can be justified under either method.

The bureau sets forth in its report certain tables of figures, one which takes in account state subventions, and the other which omits them. The table which omits the subventions shows the following net expenditures, after deducting such expenditures as are admitted by the bureau to be exempted under the Constitution: 1932-33, \$22,158,206; 1933-34, \$20,337,893; and 1934-35, \$24,074,789. I am advised, however, by the Controller that there are not included in these net expenditures the amounts disbursed for the relief of indigent, sick and dependent poor during the year 1932-33, or during the year 1933-34, and that during the first mentioned year the city expended for this purpose approximately \$4,000,000, and during the past year approximately \$3,500,000, which would bring 1932-33 expenditures up to \$26,158,206, and those of 1933-34 up to \$23,837,893. The amount expended for relief in 1932-33, came practically from the proceeds of relief bonds voted by the people on August 30, 1932, while of the amount expended for the same purpose in 1933-34, approximately \$2,500,000 was from the surplus carried over from said relief bond fund, and the balance thereof was from money borrowed from the State pursuant to the provisions of the State Relief Bond Act of 1933. It therefore appears that if the city is entitled to take into consideration the amounts which were expended for relief during both of the years mentioned, its proposed expenditures for the current year are well within the constitutional limitation, whether we base this year's increase upon a 5 per cent increase over last year's expenditures, or add to last year's expenditures the amount of the reductions made during that year below the year 1932-33.

I am of the opinion that the expenditures for relief should be taken into consideration. While bond funds which are voted for a public improvement and expended during any particular year might not be made the basis for expenditures during a subsequent year, I believe that bonds which we voted for relief in 1932 are in a different category, and that expenditures made from the proceeds of their sale should be given consideration to the same extent as if said expenditures were made from funds raised by taxes. These bonds were issued under the authority of Section 4088 of the Political Code, which authorizes any

county to issue bonds for any purpose for which the Board of Supervisors is authorized to expend the funds of the county. Before the bond issue was submitted to the people proceedings were had in the Supreme Court to determine if such bonds would be valid when issued. The Supreme Court held that the care of the indigent and dependent poor was a charge against the county and that the bonds if issued would be valid. See *San Francisco v. Collins*, 216 Cal. 187. The bond issue was for \$6,300,000, and was to meet the cost of "caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor during the fiscal year 1932-33." As this was a county charge, the Board of Supervisors could have raised the necessary amount by a tax levy (see Sec. 4041.16, Political Code). If the amount necessary had been raised from taxes, no one would question the fact that expenditures should be taken into consideration in totaling the expenditures for the year. I can see no reason why the rule should be otherwise, because the Board of Supervisors provided the necessary funds by a bond issue.

The provision of the Constitution uses the word "expenditures". Under the well settled rule of statutory construction, the word must be given its ordinary meaning.

The District Court of Appeal of the Third Appellate District in the case of *Crowe v. Supervisors of Stanislaus County* (previously cited) has attempted to define the word "expenditures", and uses the following language:

"The word expenditures is broad enough to include every item of payment and every dollar of money paid out by the county irrespective of the source from which the money is obtained."

The bureau takes the position that on application for a hearing before the Supreme Court, the latter court practically nullified the ruling of the District Court of Appeal. I cannot agree with this contention. The Supreme Court declined either to approve or to disapprove the action of the lower court, and the decision stands as the only judicial expression on the subject.

I am therefore of the opinion that when we determine the "expenditures" for the year 1932-33, we should take into consideration the amount expended for relief. It also follows that the same rule should be applied to the expenditures for the same purpose during the year 1933-34. A portion of these expenditures were made from the surplus funds of the 1932 Relief Bond Issue, and the remainder borrowed from the State under the Relief Bond Act. If these funds were not made available, taxes would have been levied to meet the emergency, and as we have already shown, the expenditures made from tax funds would have been added to the 1933-34 expenditures; and there is no reason why the funds which were used should not be treated in the same way.

It therefore appears to me that the objections made by the Bureau of Governmental Research are not well taken, and that the budgeted expenditures for the current year do not exceed the limitation provided by the Constitution, and you are advised accordingly.

There are other questions adverted to in the report of the bureau, such as whether state subventions should be taken into consideration in determining the amount of annual expenditures. As I have already shown, we are well within our limitations for the present year whether we take them into consideration or exclude them, it is not necessary to determine this point at this time.

Very truly yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Allocating Unencumbered Balances Existing by Reason of the Deductions in Salaries Made by the Board of Supervisors Pursuant to Resolution No. 1498.

Supervisor Gallagher presented, with the recommendation of the Finance Committee:

(Code No. 19.071)

Resolution No. 1531, as follows:

Allocating unencumbered balances existing by reason of the deductions in salaries made by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Resolution No. 1498.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 1498, heretofore duly adopted, did declare that an emergency existed in the City and County of San Francisco, due to unemployment conditions, and did, by reason of said emergency, make certain deductions from the salaries and compensations of the several officers and employees of said city and county; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That pursuant to Subdivision 6 of Section 70.1 of the Charter the Controller be and he is hereby authorized to re-allocate from the unencumbered balances of the several appropriations made in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for salaries and compensations to the various departments and offices for the fiscal year 1934-1935 to Appropriation No. 26.804.00 of the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, any and all amounts which may exist during the present fiscal year by reason of said deductions from said salaries and compensations, in accordance with said Resolution No. 1498, subject to such exceptions and exemptions as are contained in said Subdivision 6 of Section 70.1. The said sum hereby re-allocated to be used for the necessary expenses to be incurred during the fiscal year 1934-1935 for the support of the indigent sick and dependent poor, whose support is chargeable to the City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Emergency Ordinance Appropriating \$550,709 to Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Bill No. 616, Ordinance No. 9.051144, as follows:

Making an appropriation of \$550,709 to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of meeting the expense of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of August, 1934, and for such longer period as cash from said appropriation may be available, and authorizing the expenditure of a portion of said sum to pay the necessary compensations for the administration and distribution of said relief.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$550,709 is hereby appropriated to the Citizens' Relief Committee for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of August, 1934, and for such longer period as cash from said appropriation may be available.

Section 2. Said appropriation is made from such State, Federal and Municipal funds as are available in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of caring for the indigent sick and dependent poor of said city and county, and for the purpose of paying compensations of the positions necessary for the administration and distribution of such relief, which positions and compensations as fixed by the Citizens' Relief Committee and approved by the Civil Service Commission are hereby authorized and established and/or continued subject to the provisions of Resolution No. 1462, heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 3. The subject matter of this ordinance constitutes an emergency, which is the preservation of the health, welfare and lives of a large number of citizens of the City and County of San Francisco and that the immediate enactment of said ordinance and its becoming effective forthwith is necessary to meet said emergency; and said emergency is hereby declared to exist by the vote by which this ordinance is passed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Clerk to Prepare Ordinance Levying Tax to Meet 1934-35 Budget Expenditures.

(Code No. 9.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1532, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to prepare and present to this Board not later than August 27, 1934, an ordinance levying a tax sufficient to produce the amount of money necessary to meet the expenditures provided for in the budget for the fiscal year 1934-1935 heretofore adopted by the Board of Supervisors and approved in the manner provided by law.

Ayes—Supervisors, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

President to Appoint Committee to Represent Board of Supervisors at Sesqui-Centennial of Birth of Junipero Serra.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1533, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors is respectfully requested to appoint a committee of three supervisors to represent the Board at the Sesqui-centennial commemoration of the death of Father Junipero Serra, "The founder of the California Missions," the commemoration to be held at Carmel Mission, August 25, 26, 27, and 28, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Committee Appointed.

President McSheehy, thereupon, appointed Supervisors Hayden, Colman and Gallagher.

Supervisors Excused.

Supervisors Gallagher and Shannon were excused from the meeting at 5:05 p. m.

Statement of Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, Charles M. Wollenberg, Director of Relief, was granted the privilege of the floor, and was asked to give approximate figures of the cost of Relief for the coming months and what part of the obligation should be borne by the SERA. He stressed the need for unification of city, state and relief agencies, and said "San Francisco has a moral claim on SERA for about \$650,000," and "probably it will be hard to collect a moral claim, but the indifferent functioning of SERA forced San Francisco to spend \$642,457 of its own money on relief during July and the last half of June. Although \$600,000 SERA funds were earmarked for San Francisco, less than \$200,000 was spent here." Also, "SERA took 6,378 San Franciscans off relief rolls, but so little work were they given that the City had to provide supplemental relief for 6,000 of them. Such duplication is criminally costly."

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors, at the hour of 5:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 20, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, July 2, 1934
Thursday, July 5, 1934
Monday, July 9, 1934
Tuesday, July 10, 1934
Wednesday, July 11, 1934
Thursday, July 12, 1934
Friday, July 13, 1934
Saturday, July 14, 1934
Monday, July 16, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

Also Sitting as a

Board of Equalization



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 2, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 2, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess to sit as a Board of Equalization for the purpose of considering applications filed for correction or equalization of assessments and the assessment roll for 1934-1935.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncoviei, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing applicants for reduction or correction of assessments in the Assessment Book of Real and Personal property for the fiscal year 1934-1935.

The roll was called and all members heretofore noted being present.

Delivery of the Assessment Roll.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 2, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: This day—the first Monday of July—there has been delivered into the custody of the Clerk of your Board, forty-five (45) volumes (together with indices for same) representing the 1934 Assessment Roll covering the taxable land and the taxable buildings in the City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.

Arbitrary List.

A communication from Assessor Russell L. Wolden, transmitting arbitrary list consisting of persons who refused or neglected to furnish the property statement required by Section 3629, which was read by the Clerk and *laid over for future action*.

Announcement.

Whereupon, the Clerk announced that the Assessment Roll was open for the inspection of the public in the Registrar's Department and that the Board of Equalization was recessed from day to day until all applicants were heard.

RECESS.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board recess as Board of Equalization to reconvene at 2 p. m. on Thursday, July 5.

Motion carried.

THURSDAY, JULY 5, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to motion of last meeting to sit as a Board of Equalization for the purpose of considering applications for reduction or correction of assessment on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-1935.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applications for reduction or correction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
1 Chas. Pronsolino	3	118	\$ 4,700	\$ 1,92
2 G. M. Scholten	70	3502	9,000	13,00
3 John Nathan	1D	824	5,000	1,88
4 H. Schwarz	25	3637	19,490	4,10
5 Cora Raabe	47	3538	4,000	1,74
6 J. H. Keefe	5/6	692	28,800	10,20
7 Edith M. Moulton	29/30	2609
8 Nellie H. Smith	28	2609	2,400	94
9 Nellie H. Smith	44	3530	400	97
10 Jennie Kaster	9	39	3,850	1,28
11 Barbara Setka	20	3116
12 E. Bregante	20	4758
13 P. J. Stuparich	29/30	95
14 A. Bilafer	38	3618
15 Katherine Allen	23	3562
16 Katherine Allen	20	3540
17 Armanda Lindquist	3	2753
18 Jos. Golding	1	1512
19 Frieda Ballou	1	278
20 Lela O. Hill	1	1285
21 Julia Lucy	4	6528
22 Catherine Cadigan	18	6618
23 E. F. Driscoll	6	95	1,250	1,92
24 Sarah Halpern	41	1449	3,850	1,12
25 B. J. O'Connor (Agent)	6	3271	2,300	93
26 B. J. O'Connor (Agent)	7	1740	6,000	1,25
27 Dennis F. Lenehan (Agent)	44	3568	3,100	1,58
28 Dennis F. Lenehan (Agent)	65	3545	1,800	85
29 Christine B. Fletcher	18	1632	1,600	1,34
30 Margaret M. Sontag	30	1759	5,000	1,10
31 Hattie Diestel	26	187	4,000	2,13
32 Wm. J. Rushmore	36	3735
33 I. O. Meyer	16	1354
34 Edith Heineman	29	2424	1,400	48
35 Marion Earl Hill	42	1467
36 Filomena Pierotti	34	847
37 D. Drain	7	2648
38 Josephine C. Sebane	3/4	6723
39 Pauline Mix	4	4646	500	23
40 Helen M. Slattery	11/12	697	15,500	6,06
41 G. Schnee	5B	453	15,000	2,80
42 Gustave Schnee	21	190	16,000	3,10

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
Gustave Schnee	4/4A	453	38,000	10,020
Geo. Salomon	43	1781	7,700	3,190
Carl Z. Lindahl	80	3588	3,150	1,040
Hazel I. Buck	15	844	8,500	2,780
H. W. Edwards	36	1651	2,250	1,160
Josephine Hinkel	36	6981	1,300	400
Mrs. Augusta Schnitzer	45	1665
E. A. Davis	18	218	6,800	4,200
Samuel Eckstein	1K	2201	1,800	660
Samuel Eckstein	93	3731	2,900	1,000
Josephine Ward	49	1659
Christiana D. Martens.....	17A	621
Louis Warwick	37	2398
Lino Banchemo	9	529
Nathan Kamp	7	3751
Minnie Grosslicht	19	1512
Mary L. Farrell	15B	1677
May L. Fischer.....	36	1716
Laura M. Englefield	2	151
Laura H. Egeberg	45	2474	3,950	4,670
Paul Bruzza	32	4085
Mary Perkocha	19/20	6733A
Josephine Leary	12	1284
Marie Rispaud	36	1255	3,500	5,280
Rosina Rappa	14A	846	180
Rosina Rappa	33	845	3,450	1,650
Rosina Rappa	14	846	1,150	2,070
Neal McNeil	6	536	3,050	3,190
Carrie B. Garrard	21	664	1,250	1,680
Nels J. Nelson	10/17	436D
Emma Bendixen	24/25	1087	4,800	2,460
Henry G. Scholz	17	3534	17,000	2,090
Nels J. Nelson	5/8	436D
Nels J. Nelson	18/17	436E
Nels J. Nelson	8	1458
Nels J. Nelson	3/6	582
Hazel I. Buck.....	1A	831	8,800	4,950
F. M. Smith	25	1183	10,000	5,240
G. P. Negri	11	463A	1,700	1,600
John Catto	52	6635	3,150	630
Mary I. Mollett	40	185	5,000	1,220
Max Gross	7	1269	6,300	1,760
Thomas C. Walker	22	2361	1,800	450
Wm. S. Wells.....	1	157	2,150
Jas. D. Castigan.....	34	3705	4,500	4,610
James D. Castigan.....	5	175	2,120
James D. Castigan.....	36	747	1,000	2,130
Israel Goldstein	3	749	12,600	2,840
George F. Darning.....	5	3540	3,600	2,500
S. Zussman	11	727	14,400	3,450
S. Zussman	3	1099	1,350	2,130
S. Zussman	3,950	3,390
S. Zussman	8	692	4,850
S. Zussman	5	1099	600	1,970
Wm. S. Wells.....	2	157	3,050	1,720
Fred Pesci	12A	463A	1,700	1,630
John Catto	2	6635	14,850	4,950
George F. Darning.....	40/41	3643	1,750	4,130
George F. Darning.....	7	3608	2,250	3,640
Frank J. Corr.....	37/38	3723	300	3,890
E. F. Corr.....	12	3723	4,000	10,800
E. T. Corr.....	13	3042	880

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	
105	E. T. Corr.....	11	3042
106	Mary F. Maass.....	30	1413	5,850
107	Josepha Aninger	8	1537	5,000
108	Jas. E. Bush.....	40	2401	3,800
109	Adele P. Soher.....	15	778	2,900
110	Adele P. Soher	18	775	3,250
111	A. Thorandson	42	1730	3,600
112	Netty Laub	18	722	800
113	A. Schlesinger	29	3723
114	A. Schlesinger	19	3723	2,900
115	G. Giossi	9	1521	3,500
116	D. C. Demetreos.....	6	1597	2,250
117	E. T. Corr.....	12	3042
118	Elena Gordon	13	724
119	G. W. Nicholson.....	23	466A	11,000
120	Ansel Rummelsburg	64/65	3582	8,700
121	Ansel Rummelsburg	40A	1414	1,700
122	John Galvin	45	3578	1,700
123	Fred Braun	17	1052	1,700
124	Fred Braun	12	1028	1,100
125	J. J. Bowen, Jr.....	11	473	5,250
126	Celia Swinney	14B	1181	56,000
127	A. Speizer	10	5435	1,150
128	A. Splizer	6A	5923	1,100
129	Guiseppo Castagne	10A	549	8,000
130	Joseph Butillon	3	739	29,000
131	Anne Damonte	20	1149	3,800
132	Anita Jones	1	863	2,300
133	Anne Damonte	2	1092	980
134	Patrick H. Keenan.....	31	3577	6,100
135	B. I. Meagles.....	11	1177	1,100
136	Eleanore Wissing	16	2610	1,800
137	Elena Gordan	88	3727
138	Elena Gordan	132	3727
139	P. Biggins	31	5598
140	G. F. A. Postel.....	18	540	6,300
141	Arthur K. Miller.....	39	1623	2,900
142	Arthur Miller	13A	1628	4,950
143	Viola Vieira	19	1224	2,000
144	Effie M. Thompson.....	7F	6948	1,150
145	E. T. Corr.....	7	3047
146	E. T. Corr.....	6	3047
147	E. T. Corr.....	8	3047
148	Otto Will	30/31	3762	4,800
149	Thos. A. Sullivan.....	81	3734	5,100
150	John Perasso	12	90	1,800
151	Flora Haskell	1H	1277	5,400
152	Victor Lichtenstein.....	30	999	2,450
153	Herman Sommer	24/25	2860	4,800
154	Angelo Moreschi	18	223	5,300
155	H. L. Damner.....
156	Anna Biscay	9	532
157	A. F. Ramirez.....	40	2024	1,500
158	H. Saltzman	22/23/24	1648	14,850
159	Lu M. Troutt.....	5	1026	2,300
160	Mary C. Carrick.....	1	1761	1,450
161	S. Landau	16	808	9,000
162	Harry Segarini	9	775	2,900
163	Annie Goldman	50	3727	2,500
164	Annie Goldman	43	1516	50
165	Louis Anderson	8	6715	50
166	Alexander Blumenthal	32	1210	2,700
167	Margaret L. Skell.....	23	856	5,800

	Lot	Block	Building	Land
H. B. Waters.....	1E	1202	11,500	3,080
Jos. Laven	7	798	25,500	6,740
Bertha Rupp	18	1934	500	770
Bertha Rupp	68	3502	1,100	8,500
Wm. Gilheaney	9/11	6414	4,800	1,200
M. Savannah	1/1-A/2	5719	1,500	1,160
			1,350	1,000
			700	1,640
M. Savannah	9	52	8,100	4,450
Fred Morris	20	781	2,200	4,450
Fred Morris	21	781	1,800	3,060

Hearing of Applicants for Correction or Reduction of Assessment.

The following applicants or their agents were duly sworn and heard, and the applications acted upon as follows:

No. 57, Nathan Kamp, residence 1751 Grove street, appeared and was heard, requesting a reduction of assessment on properties Nos. 344-6-8 Third street, declaring that the San Francisco Relief Kitchen on Ritch street is adjacent to his property and he cannot rent his premises by reason of the line of unemployed in the vicinity of the premises.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of 10 per cent. No second.

No. 60, May L. Fischer, residence 1246 Thirty-fifth avenue, four-room bungalow and sun-room. She claimed that the assessment was too high compared with property across the street, which has one room more. Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter lay over until we get the report of the Appraiser.

So ordered.

No. 86, Wm. S. Wells, residence 1445 Taylor street. He requested reduction of assessment on two pieces of property located at the southwest corner of Taylor and Broadway on the ground that the income was insufficient, building in poor condition and assessment too high to permit better development. Offered to sell the property for \$12,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved to continue hearing until we have full report of appraisers, at which time Mr. Wells is to be notified to appear again.

No. 24, Sarah Halpern, residence 1619 Clement street. Present assessed value of the building \$3,850, land \$1,120, price paid by the present owner \$14,500. Will sell for \$6,000, mortgage \$5,000. Property is assessed too high and cannot make it pay.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce assessment to \$3,250. No second.

Nos. 87, 88 and 89, Joseph D. Costigan, residence 1245 Eddy street, requested reduction on property, which he said is 45 years old and which he is willing to sell for \$4,500. Also, land on north side of Jackson street, 30 feet west of Sansome street, assessed for \$2,120, which has been vacant since the fire and earthquake and unproductive; will sell for \$3,500. Also, property Nos. 308-312 Jessie street. Building assessed for \$4,500, land for \$4,610, consisting of store and two lofts, which he will sell for \$20,000.

Appraisers recommend no reduction.

No. 118, Elena Gordon, residence 1456-74 Ellis street, near Webster. She requested a reduction in assessment for the reason that the property is over 20 years old and the assessment too high.

Supervisor Shannon moved to continue until full appraiser's report is in, when the applicant is to be notified.

Also, No. 137, assessed for \$960, on Minna street near Seventh, and No. 138, assessed for \$6,760, on Mission street near Seventh.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce the Mission street property 50 per cent. Supervisor Uhl moved that the Assessor take particular notice of this fact and let us hear from him on it.

Matter to be put on next Calendar.

No. 151, Flora Haskell, residence 1130 Shrader street, four apartments erected in 1923, cost \$17,500, insured for \$12,000, no mortgage, assessment on building \$5,400, land \$1,210. Applicant claims building is assessed too high and requests reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved to lay over until the appraiser's report is in, when the applicant is to be notified.

No. 172, William Gilheany, residence 345 Rolph street, requests reduction on building which was erected 14 years ago. Building assessed for \$4,800, land for \$1,200. Carries a mortgage of \$6,000. Rent will not pay overhead. Property is over-assessed and its value has decreased.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the applicants for reduction of assessment who have not been recommended for any reduction by the appraiser be so notified, and that they be advised that they have the right to appear before the Board of Equalization for further hearing; that, however, we consider first cases recommended for reduction.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the motion be segregated, and a vote taken on the two points involved.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the roll was called on:

(a) "That the applicants for reduction of assessment who have not been recommended for any reduction by the appraisers be so notified, and that they be advised that they have the right to appear before the Board of Equalization for further hearing;"

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Thereupon, the roll was called on:

(b) "That, however, we consider first cases recommended for reduction."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Communications.

Communications addressed to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors requesting reduction in assessments were presented by him to the Board of Equalization and referred to the Board of Appraisers for consideration.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to obtain from the National Council of Mayors, an organization for the study of problems of municipal government, such information and such recommendations as it is prepared to submit looking toward a revision of the present revenue laws of the State of California as regards Boards of Supervisors sitting as Boards of Equali-

zation; such request to contain a statement of the present laws of California on the subject and a request for analogous laws of other states or anything adopted in lieu thereof.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Equalization took a recess until 10 a. m. Monday, July 9, 1934.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 9, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to motion of last meeting (July 5, 1934) to continue session of Board of Equalization for the purpose of considering applications for reduction or correction of assessments on the assessment roll for the fiscal year 1934-1935.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Havenner, Roncovieri—5.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 11:30 a. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 11:30 a. m.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that when we recess we do so to meet again tomorrow at 2 p. m.

Motion carried.

Opinion of City Attorney as to Sections 3674 and 3675 of the Political Code.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole being asked the question "If you reduce one piece of property must you reduce the next adjoining property if no request is made by the owner?" The City Attorney advised that only applicants for reduction who have filed statements for correction or reduction of assessment are entitled under the law to consideration.

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

The list being called, the following applicants appeared, were duly sworn, testified and were disposed of as follows:

No. 13, P. J. Stuparich, residence 10 Hastings Terrace, declared that the property assessed at 1217 Greenwich street is an old shack. The land is assessed for \$10,660. No income.

Board of Appraisers recommended no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction on the land of 25 per cent.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Thereupon, Supervisor Shannon gave *notice of reconsideration*.

No. 14, A. Bilafer, residence 4228 Eighteenth street, claimed the assessment was too high on property No. 3485 Twenty-first street. Assessed value of the building is \$8,500, assessed value of the land is \$1,630. Rents for \$170 a month. Received reduction four years ago. Price paid for property \$20,000. Carries mortgage of \$12,500.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

No. 40, Helen M. Slattery, residence 1332 Geary street, declared assessment is too high. Larger building on the corner pays less taxes than her property at 1332 Geary street. Building is assessed for \$15,500, the land for \$6,060.

Over until next meeting for investigation.

No. 47, H. W. Edwards, residence 432 Thirty-ninth avenue. Building assessed for \$22,050, land assessed for \$11,060. Paid \$11,000 for the property in 1928. Is willing to sell it for \$5,750. Mortgage of \$4,250. Taxes amount to \$10 a month.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Chief Deputy Appraiser Harold Boyd, being asked, declared: "That assessments are not based on income, but on property value."

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion and same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

No. 50, E. A. Davis, residence 333 Kearny street. Applies for reduction on property at 1532-38 Larkin street, which is assessed for \$6,800 on the building and \$4,200 on the land. He declared "these are Romeo flats. Building is very old, income very little. Assessment too high for the character of improvement. Taxes last year amounted to \$382.40. Mortgage is \$10,000."

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent from the total amount of assessment, \$10,200.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

No. 60, May L. Fischer, residence 1246 Thirty-fifth avenue. She declared "this is a four-room bungalow and sun room and claimed that it is assessed too high compared with the property across the street, which has one room more. Improvements are assessed for \$1,550, land \$750."

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to reduce 5 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Statement for the Record.

Supervisor Havenner: I understand from real estate adviser that this is one of these border line cases where a minimum reduction might be made. Under these circumstances I vote for it, but I intend to pursue the rule that where the members of this Board move for a

proportionate rate on one property, where the adjoining property is analogously assessed, I am not going to vote for it. I think it is an improper procedure.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I wish to make that my statement, too.

No. 84, Max Gross, residence 829 Ashbury street. Requested reduction on property at 829 Ashbury street. Building, he said, assessed for \$6,300, land for \$1,760. Building was purchased by owner in 1923 for \$19,500. Contract price was \$16,300. Consists of two flats, of ten rooms and basement. Garage for two cars. Carries mortgage of \$1,000 at 6 per cent. Willing to sell for \$9,500. Applicant claims over assessment under present conditions. Top flat vacant for six months and building depreciated.

Board of Appraisers recommends that improvements might be reduced from \$6,300 to \$5,800.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

No. 85, Thomas C. Walker, residence 531 Thirty-second avenue. Applicant requests reduction on his property at 2347 Thirty-third avenue, which is assessed \$1,800 for improvements and \$450 for the land. Building was built in 1927 and the contract price was \$4,500. It consists of two stories of five rooms each and basement. Garage for two cars. Carries a mortgage of \$3,000 at 8 per cent.

Over temporarily.

Nos. 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, S. Zussman, residence 1411 Scott street. Requested reductions on the following pieces of property:

No. 92, No. 1700 Ellis street. He declared building assessed for \$14,400, land \$3,450, apartments fifteen 2s and two 3s. Mortgaged for \$21,000, insured for \$11,000. Reduction requested because property is overassessed and mortgage must be reduced for \$12,000.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 93, No. 1415 Scott street. He declared building assessed for \$1,350, land for \$2,130. Carries a mortgage of \$4,000. Claimed that the improvements are valueless and assessment could be reduced.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 94, No. 1745 Ellis street. He declared building assessed for \$3,950, land for \$3,390. Claims the house is old and made over. Carries a mortgage of \$9,000, insurance policy of \$800. Reason for requesting reduction, land and improvements depreciated. Property is occupied by colored people.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 95 (vacant lot, Post street, north side, between Polk and Larkin) assessed for \$4,850. Applicant claimed reduction for the reason that the lot has been vacant for over 26 years and has a frontage of only 24 feet.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$2,425. No second. Application *denied*.

Supervisor Uhl moved as an amendment a reduction of 10 per cent. Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

No. 96, No. 1411 Scott street. He declared building assessed for \$600, land for \$1,970. Applicant claimed reduction for the reason that the building is 45 years old and is assessed far too high for such an old house.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 106, Mary F. Maass, residence 250 Twenty-first avenue. Applicant requested reduction of assessment on above property, which is assessed for improvements for \$5,850 and for the land \$1,250. Consists of apartments nine years old, two stories in height, containing 13 rooms. Applicant claims income has decreased 50 per cent.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved a reduction of \$500.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization took a recess until tomorrow, Tuesday, July 10, 1934, at 2 p. m.

TUESDAY, JULY 10, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearing of applications for reduction or correction of assessment on the Assessment Book of 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner—4.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were taken up, and where the applicant or his agent appeared, was duly sworn and heard, and the application *denied*, to-wit:

Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 149, 150, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 160, 161, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176.

Hearing of Applicants for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents were duly sworn and heard and the application acted upon as follows:

No. 1, Charles Pronsolino, 1647 Powell street, requested reduction on improvements of \$4,700 and \$1,930 on land. Two-story house of 11 rooms; carrying insurance of \$9,600. Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250.

There being no objection, *so ordered*.

No. 32, Wm. J. Rushmore, requested reduction on property for ——— Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$500 on improvements.

No second.

No. 40, Helen M. Slattery, 1332 Geary street.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$1,000 additional on building.

Laid over until next meeting.

No. 57, Nathan Kamp, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,900 on improvements and \$9,960 on land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to reduce \$1,900.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

No. 62, Laura H. Egeberg, requests reduction on property assessed for \$3,950 on improvements, \$4,670 on land.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$650.

No second.

No. 63, Paul Bruzza, 819-829 Alabama street,

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

No. 106, Mary F. Maass, requests reduction on improvements assessed \$5,850 and land assessed \$1,250.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to reduce \$500.

No objection, *so ordered*.

No. 112, Netty Laub, requested reduction on property assessed for improvements \$800 and for land \$1,870.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$370 on land.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No. 116, D. C. Demetreos, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements and \$1,080 on land.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce \$250 on improvements.

No second.

Nos. 120-121, Ansel Rummelsburg, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,700 on improvements and \$11,520 on land. Also, property assessed for \$1,700 on improvement and \$1,110 on land.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the building be reduced \$1,700 on Item No. 120 and that the land be reduced \$1,520 on same item number.

No second.

No. 131, Anna De Monte, 2271 Turk street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,800 on improvements and \$1,160 on the land.

On recommendation of Appraisers property was reduced \$500 on improvements.

No. 138, Eleana Gordon, requested reduction on land assessed for \$6,760.

Supervisor Uhl moved a 20 per cent reduction on land situated on Mission street.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri—8.

No. 148, Otto Will, requested reduction on property assessed \$4,500 on improvements, \$5,160 on land.

On recommendation of Appraisers \$300 reduction was allowed without objection.

No. 151, Flora Haskell, requested reduction on property assessed \$5,400 on improvements, \$1,210 on land.

On recommendation of Appraisers improvements were reduced \$400 without objection.

No. 159, Lu M. Troutt, 2706 California street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,300 on improvements and \$5,400 on land.

Reduction of \$500 granted on improvements on recommendation of Appraisers.

No. 163, Annie Goldman, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,500 on improvements and \$840 on the land.

Reduction of \$250 on improvements granted on recommendation of Appraisers.

No. 177, Katherine Stefanik, requested reduction on property assessed for \$12,000 on improvements, \$1,370 on building.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Brown, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Supervisor Colman requested to be excused from the evening session. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Supervisor Shannon requested to be excused until 9 p. m.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization, at the hour of 6 p. m., recessed until 7:45 p. m., this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

TUESDAY, JULY 10, 1934, 7:45 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 8:40 p. m.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn and heard, and the application *denied*, to-wit:

Nos. 195, 200, 228, 230, 231, 245, 246, 261, 269, 273, 312, 319, 342, 387, 408.

Action Deferred.

The following numbered applications were heard and *action thereon deferred*:

Nos. 387, 241, 291, 409, 255, 210, 261, 225, 226, 260, 342.

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessments.

No. 215, John Leonard, 1434 Shrader street, requested a reduction on properties assessed \$3,800 on improvements, and \$990 on land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

No. 217, John Leonard, 1434 Shrader street, requested a reduction on properties assessed for \$3,800 on improvements, \$990 on land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

No. 225, 226, Aaron Lewis, requested reductions on buildings at southeast corner of Polk and Union streets and 1235 Bay street.

Reduced \$2,000 on the buildings on recommendation of appraisers.

No. 231, August Peterson, residing at 26 Chatham place, requested a reduction on property assessed at \$10,000 on improvements and \$2,200 on land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent. No second.

Nos. 245, 246, Inger Anderson, 654 Van Ness avenue south, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$3,500 on improvements, and

\$1,640 on land; also another piece assessed for \$1,350 on improvements and \$650 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a 10 per cent reduction on the land.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

No. 255, Benjamin Schnier, requested reduction on lot on north side of Anza street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce assessment from \$1,800 to \$1,400. No second.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a 10 per cent reduction.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at a later session.

No. 260, Harry Lombroso, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,150 on improvements, \$2,580 on land.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

No. 269, P. J. Murray, 459 Eleventh avenue, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$2,950 on improvements, and \$1,250 on land.

Application *denied*.

No. 308, Nellie Marks, southeast corner of Franklin and Eddy streets, requested a reduction on property assessed at \$15,000 on improvements and \$10,730 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

No. 312, B. N. Michelsen, 647 Forty-sixth avenue, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements, \$1,770 on land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a 10 per cent reduction. No second.

No. 340, William Bruce, requested a reduction on land assessed for \$1,250.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

No objection. *So ordered*.

No. 341, William Bruce, requested a reduction on land assessed for \$2,680.

Request *denied*.

No. 342, William Bruce, requested a reduction on properties assessed for \$35,000 on improvements, and \$9,340 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

Thereupon, Supervisor Uhl gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration at a subsequent meeting.

No. 387, Margaret M. Hull, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$2,250 on improvements, and \$1,560 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$250 on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization, at 10:40 p. m., took a recess until tomorrow, Wednesday, July 11, 1934, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessment on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

The following applications for reduction or correction of assessments were taken up and the applicants or their agents called:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
Katherine Stefanik	3	6720	12,000	1,370
M. Taylor	27	3748	7,650	4,060
C. M. Yates	14	611	5,600	3,030
Mrs. Lamira Westlake	29	5520	2,000	400
Edw. W. Jackson	91	3723	1,800	3,360
Edw. W. Jackson	92	3723	3,600	3,360
Edw. W. Jackson	103	3723	15,000	25,000
I. Gallance	5	205	8,100	24,550
A. Gonsolin	1	504	10,000	3,240
Isabelle Allen	7	6663	300	425
Lee Fong Hong	5	159	1,350	1,820
Isabelle Allen	19/20	6729	480
Sadie Shehadey	15/16	4067	4,250	2,130
L. Silberstein	4	780	6,000	2,400
Isabelle Allen	29	6343	300
Beatrice Curran	11	1268	6,750	2,000

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>
193	Mary Goldberg	56	1267	1,250
194	Mary Jervis	20	319	7,500
195	George J. Young	6A/8/9/10	3609	1,800
				2,700
				2,250
				2,250
196	Geo. J. Young	51/52/53	3608	4,350
197	H. Hausmann	16	684	1,150
198	Sadie S. Foorman	4	646	5,850
199	Sadie I. Foorman	2	200	2,550
200	John A. Petroff	57	3597	3,300
201	Marie Anderson	16	1458	700
202	John McFadden	48	3607	2,700
203	Jos. Dellosso	21B	524	14,850
204	Jos. Dellosso	7	598	7,600
205	E. T. Oldis	15/16	1174	2,700
206	Vittoria Solari	1	667	1,800
207	J. Grenet	2C	335	40,000
208	Ekaterine Ersernoff	550
209	Arthur W. Hallett	26	6018	1,400
210	Ernest S. Brand	12	318	7,000
211	Ciro Di Palma	12	213	5,500
212	Ciro Di Palma	12	118	4,150
213	A. F. Peterson	21	2651	6,200
214	A. F. Peterson	29	3563	7,450
215	John Leonard	18	1287	3,800
216	James A. McCarthy	27	1874	1,500
217	John Leonard	19	1287	3,800
218	Jeremiah Doody	1	1409	4,500
219	Jeremiah Doody	1	6659	3,800
220	Jacob Yarosh	5	828	3,000
221	Nora Boyle	115	3731	2,250
222	Albert Hoefflich	8	735	15,000
223	Jeremiah Scanlan	9	1009	850
224	M. E. Bennett (Agent)	6	3711
225	Aaron Lewis	19	548	8,000
226	Aaron Lewis	19	473	20,000
227	Louis Anderson	8	6715	50
228	Wm. Straub	36	2409
229	Louise Basse	18	6951	1,250
230	August Peterson	59	3502	3,150
231	August Peterson	10	271	10,000
232	Alexander P. Volkoff	24	1630	1,100
233	Bertha Bruckner	12	1577	1,600
234	Katherine Aschen	13	540	5,250
235	Charlotte Griffin	5	1151	350
236	Luizza Zanassi	30	730	1,040
237	Mary Latham	47	3533	3,500
238	Ernest Nix	9	1280	900
239	A. Gillmor	9	5501	150
240	G. Estergomy	61	3601	6,500
241	A. De Santos	1	3174	2,900
242	H. Wolter	2	5396
243	Bessie E. Sanborn	3	1335	4,900
244	Helen J. Geary	6/6A/6B	6548	4,050
				1,000
245	Inger Anderson	11	3575	3,500
246	Inger Anderson	33/34	1794	1,350
				1,400
247	M. J. Kelly	7	1126	16,000
248	John E. Buckley	63	3775	900

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
Max Hirschfeld	25	1834	1,400	790
H. H. Baird	22	1077	2,350	1,730
Herbert H. Baird	5	772	2,100	3,330
Elizabeth Buckley	17A	1769	1,400	880
Harriet Hutchinson	17	1769	800	960
Donald MacKenzie	11	189	12,000	6,500
Benjamin Schnier	14/15	1502	1,800
M. Meier	25	2646	1,650	500
H. W. Anderson	7	755	1,700	3,750
Martin L. Reite	35	805	8,500	2,600
Mary A. Leonard	31	5713	1,600	600
Harry Lombroso	5	771	1,150	2,580
Harry Lombroso	23	784	4,900	6,250
Samuel Goldstein	16A	4035	550	420
Samuel Goldstein	12	4068	2,600	500
Samuel Goldstein	8	4097-4134	1,350	300
Samuel Goldstein	19/20	280	13,000	7,020
Romo L. Meyers	14	1391	2,300	1,330
J. Granbart	12	1521	4,000	1,200
J. J. Quill	28	5663	550	320
P. J. Murray	12	1533	2,950	1,250
M. Wolmar	33	3732	2,060
M. & F. Wolmar	6	1055	1,800	1,160
M. Wolmar	46	3722	8,000	16,060
Helene Sidlas	28	827	3,250	2,000
J. L. Stettin	68	3598	800	1,340
Samuel Wenger	13/14	1379	19,800	3,120
Elma Elliger	12/12A	6933	1,500	1,070
Niels Schultz	29 lots in	1691	45,140
Meta Boysen	30	3640	1,150	1,420
W. F. Hooke	15	592	14,000	5,280
G. A. Rosenquist	8	1566	2,700	1,050
G. A. Rosenquist	10	1566	2,250	1,050
Adanell Gerwin	39	3537	1,250	1,940
Mrs. May Henning	36	3612	2,700	1,370
Maurice Blacke	6B	741	54,000	5,780
Frances V. Meeker	55	3614	1,100	2,070
B. Curtin	103	3731	1,500	720
Margaret M. Hull	35	2694	2,250	1,560
Susan A. Thorup	9	1289	2,150	1,120
Harding Francom	14	1183	1,650	1,760
Helen J. Geary	1557	3,400	1,200
Edward Gundersen	32/32A	1176	1,150	1,500
Albert Hoeflich	34	1629	2,250	1,220
Albert Hoeflich	20	1226	5,900	2,380
A. F. Purdy	8	3642	800	3,280
J. A. Heineberg	3E/3F	1302A	4,060
Ethel Pabor	15	4027	1,950	260
J. J. and C. M. Coffey	3	1408	3,800	1,200
J. H. Lubeck	25	1662	14,000	2,020
J. Costello	4	1235	1,450	1,460
V. Cadenasso	18	63	5,400	2,200
F. Del Prete	21	4263	3,250	1,500
M. E. Hirsch	5	1500	1,450	900
J. F. Lucas	20	3533	8,000	1,530
E. Da Vallo	20	113	700	750
Hildred A. Cohen	7	1566	1,450	1,050
E. H. M. Johansson	9	1410	150	1,250
Mary E. Conlan	7	1450	1,050	1,250
Lillie A. Marks	11	743	15,000	10,730
Dora Richards	20	5541	800	410
Max Mayer	25	1191	3,250	1,320

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>
311	Fannie B. Goepfert	16	781	600
312	B. N. Michelsen	6C	1589	2,250
313	Lilly O'Connor	20	325	13,500
314	Lilly O'Connor	3	313	11,000
315	Lilly O'Connor	24	3524	900
316	Lilly O'Connor	9	717
317	Andrew Dalziel	5	3512	27,000
318	Andrew Dalziel	17	3708	64,000
319	Andrew Dalziel	68	3721	30,000
320	Andrew Dalziel	107	3736	7,200
321	Andrew Dalziel	29/30	3708	16,500
322	Albert Johnson	5	6508	4,150
323	Joseph Cline	21	1625	2,500
324	Borghild Carlson	21	1754	1,930
325	Benj. Fireman	2D	749	50,000
326	Benjamin Fireman	10	770	4,050
327	Benjamin Fireman	20/21	805	7,500
328	Benjamin Fireman	17/18	805	8,100
329	Benjamin Fireman	4	794	8,100
330	Benjamin Fireman	10	770	4,050
331	Benjamin Fireman	3	795	5,000
332	Benjamin Fireman	14	794	13,500
333	Daniel Rosenblum	17	1305	4,500
334	A. Rose	14	1167	5,500
335	Wm. G. Rucker	27/28	822	1,950
336	Wm. G. Rucker	10	1253	1,700
337	Eugene Howard	1	852	1,700
338	Gordon R. Rosekilly	34/35	6564	2,550 400
339	Marie C. Cheeney	11	122	6,350
340	William Bruce	24	1259
341	William Bruce	12	736
342	William Bruce	5	347	35,000
343	Fred H. Helbush	15	1334	2,850
		15A	1334	2,850
		15B	1334	2,850
344	F. Helbush	1	1018	6,750
345	Fred Helbush	25	1332	10,450
346	Bertha Lapidaire	37	1221	700
347	Sadie Alexander	4A/5	1529	9,900
348	Margaret Shannon	19	808	2,250
349	Margaret Shannon	67	3621
350	Annie E. Davis	37	3777
351	Arthur E. Nathanson	3	2005
352	F. Huelter	9/10	3272
353	Mary G. Hanrahan	2	2338A
354	K. E. Mackey	12	1193	2,000
355	Cecelia J. Dettmer	15	709	3,350
356	Cecelia J. Dettmer	5	1372	800
357	Cecelia J. Dettmer	15	706	1,360
358	Jack Lillestol	8	2988	1,800
359	S. A. Orack	10K	1652	2,250
360	John G. Weber	8	1287	1,800
361	M. Clifford	32B	1639	2,900
362	Adolph L. Pierotti	32	6987	2,000
363	W. L. Godfrey	32	1062	3,600
364	Dorette Kleen	27	1211	3,050
365	Max Weissman	34/35	472	16,200
366	Max Weissman	22C	498	20,000
367	Max Weissman	18/19	1218	2,000
368	H. R. Williar	12	953	4,500

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
H. R. Williar	11	209	4,500	5,870
H. R. Williar	1	92	3,400	1,360
Hans Michelsen	24	3515	4,050	3,710
Hans Michelsen	29	1802	2,700	2,410
Wm. Band	8A/8B	5950	250	500
G. H. Sandy	44	1533	68,500	5,680
Geo. Bergstrom	3/5	5699	1,050	150
Martha Eder	4A	1712	800
Martha Eder	32	1522	3,400	1,200
A. G. Sala	1	2411	2,140
Pauline Benesch	35	1014	4,200	2,200
Geo. N. Chappelas	32	2024	1,700	400
Arthur E. Nathanson	38	2005	350
Annie S. Kristovich	24	2886	2,900	1,000
Annie S. Kristovich	7	4172/4187	3,150	1,250
Lucy Valentine	19	2647	2,700	1,150
Wm. R. Barnhart	17	1382	1,550	1,500
R. L. Stine	29	6998	1,300	380
Anna M. Huddy	4A	2348	1,500	750
Frederick Fried	28	1520	5,000	1,130
John Matthews	28	3561	2,350	1,610
Marie Barielles	2	190	2,350	1,860
Anastasia A. Shepard	6	1685	1,400	900
Wm. McCubbin	11	2625	1,500	540
Frans O. Sjogren	34/35	6566	3,800	1,260
Ernest Pergent	28	1221	8,000	4,600
G. Rebolini	7A	3920	4,600	1,980
Max Herscovitz	22,000	10,130
Rodney A. Yoell	26	1352	2,400	2,160
M. P. Storheim	19	1584	5,220
M. Storheim	21/22	436D	14,400	3,300
M. Storheim	3	1032	5,000	980
Chas. V. Johnson	8	6406	1,400	350
Oscar Neal Madson	31	2024	1,700	400
M. Essel	4	1187	5,400	1,380
Rose Vannucci	15	1387	1,500	1,600
Libbie Hughes	3	6577	300	1,180
Daniel J. McCarthy	19	1371	3,600	1,500
L. V. Roberts	19	538	3,500	1,960
J. Dolan	31	1183	4,000	1,880
Kathryn F. Marron	8	1421	650	1,250
D. F. Dinneen	3	849	1,100	1,780
S. Hoffman	14	702	6,050	4,290
Warren B. Hayward	4	3042	3,200	870
Warren B. Hayward	40	3042	850
Warren B. Hayward	1	2644	1,600	1,130
Warren B. Hayward	27	1286	1,690
Warren B. Hayward	28	1286	1,350	990
Warren B. Hayward	23	1065	2,800	1,920
Warren B. Hayward	24	1065	14,850	5,490
Warren B. Hayward	22	1065	1,350	640
S. Hoffman	18	795	7,650	10,110
Catherine Fleming	34	1014	2,500	1,930
Sarah B. Kahn	2	772	3,500	4,550
John A. McDonald	39	3555	1,300	1,250
J. F. Lowney	17	2765	350	270
Clarissa S. Barry	14	1342	1,250	2,000
W. D. Brown	31/32	1526	5,000	10,640
Bertha W. Stark	15	944	6,750	3,200
Mrs. M. E. McDonald	17	2612	750
Richard Kaffe	18	1611	1,600	790

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>L</i>
430 Joseph A. Bergin	2	3515	650	
431 John M. Thomas	1	463A	23,000	
432 Albert Drucker	23/24	1686	
433 Albert Drucker	11	1619	
434 Ellen C. O'Keefe	49	3516	1,700	
435 Annette Mendelhall	23	1667	3,600	
436 Annette Mendenhall	16	2367	
437 J. S. Niemela	27	2700	900	
438 John S. Niemala	13	1635	2,900	
439 P. J. Feerick	7P	1613	
440 Isaac J. Heney	3/4	754	1,580	
			1,620	
441 Isabelle Brophy	16/17	6520	5,400	
442 I. Kipnis	22	784	1,250	
443 E. J. Cirimele	20	182	3,400	
444 A. Bottaro	27	216	13,100	
445 Patrick M. McHugh	12	1619	
446 Margaret McHugh	6	1413	3,600	
447 Patrick McHugh	7	1413	1,550	
448 Christian Goltzin	38	1761	2,250	
449 Walter A. Brickhead	22	4214	50	
450 John M. Galvin	24	1659	2,250	
451 Anne McCafferty	16A	954	1,900	
452 Anne McCafferty	16	184	600	
453 Anne J. McCafferty	19	184	50	
454 Anne McCafferty	20	184	
455 Anne McCafferty	25	555	2,250	
456 R. A. Hollman	3	2361	1,400	
457 Anna C. Weichhart	13	3718	4,200	
458 Carl C. Lindahl	80	3588	3,150	
459 Constantino Anderline	3D	4063	1,400	
460 Jas. Finnegan	65	3533	5,400	
461 Dr. Rose S. Fritz	9	798	3,300	
462 Mrs. M. F. Fitzgerald	25	3631	900	
463 H. Rathjen	7	1302	4,500	
464 H. Rathjen	1	1523	6,850	
465 H. Rathjen	4B	1302A	
466 G. H. Bush	8	1606	1,400	
467 Regina Slatt	10	1584	1,800	
468 G. H. Bush	7/8	1232	1,700	
469 Arthur J. McDevitt	21	6013	
470 Petrovffsky Alide Schenck.....	46	3569	1,350	
471 Maria Toriggino	16	116	2,700	
472 Arena Toriggino et al.....	40	116	4,150	
473 C. A. A. Rosen.....	8	753	13,000	
474 Minna Foerst	25	655	2,400	
475 Geo. E. Hall.....	9	6660	1,050	
476 S. Foster	8	2924	4,700	
477 Alma Hyer	29/30/36	1818	each
478 Chas. J. Benninger.....	1	3250	3,600	
479 C. J. Benninger.....	2	1548	2,400	
480 Rearden T. Lyons.....	7	280	140,000	2
481 Godfred Thuesen	2	525	4,050	
482 Rearden T. Lyons.....	2	339	1
483 Selma C. Peterson.....	16/17	3540	6,950	
484 Flora Vautier	21	3617	8,100	
485 J. Kaufmann	54	3604	650	
486 B. Huth	4	146	5,000	2
487 John O'Leary	13	1422	1,350	
488 Timothy Nyhane	15	6695	1,400	
489 John O'Leary.....	2	1422	1,550	
490 F. J. MacWilliamson.....	39	1765	2,000	

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
Caterina Quagelli	5	63	3,450	1,310
Caterina Quagelli	5A	63	280
M. J. Collins.....	8/9	1690	10,800	2,160
Tabytha Anderson	1	684	3,900	4,040
Mary C. Biggio.....	19/20	697	4,200	8,510
Richard W. Hawkins.....	17	1022	2,450	1,760
Irene Laurens	8A	282	31,500	7,770
S. Spadaro	14	534	1,350	1,880
Louise Maccono	11	5597A	1,050
Peter Sexton	2D	6640	1,300	380
Lillian C. Prien.....	10	1179	1,550	1,880
Lillian C. Prien.....	8	4275	150	750
Lillian C. Prien	16/17	4266	3,200	1,790
Lillian C. Prien	5	4275	1,800	750
Pietro Busca	11	503	3,600	2,220
John Wisser	24-A/26	1806	700	2,110
Mrs. M. T. Flecchia.....	12	1645	6,300	2,720
Mrs. Hospodarsky	25	3734	7,500	9,660
Louis Goehr	40	1100	3,150	1,100
Mr. Negichi	28	661	850	1,750
Timothy O'Leary	7	672	14,000	4,130
Louis Quist	24	5720	1,150	600
L. Oppenheimer	40,000	132,050
L. Oppenheimer	2	329	120,000	285,540
Geo. W. Mertes.....	37	1434	3,400	1,250
Esther Adler	14	1026	2,800	3,610
Esther Adler	10	302	3,500	7,500
John Nelson	15/17	6641	300	710
Frank Anastasi	50	114	1,350	550
Francisco Anastasi	52	114	1,600	1,270
Frank Anastasi	30	97	6,300	2,690
Francisco Anastasi	1	181	2,800	2,130
Michael Costello	24	1744	5,400	1,250
M. Costello	40A	1416	2,400	1,310
M. & N. Costello.....	11	1563	2,250	1,130
L. & M. Costello.....	3F	1604	1,600	950
Michael Costello	1B	1674	1,800	890
Michael Costello	1	1670	1,350
Michael Costello	32	1673	2,250	1,000
Michael Costello	48	1673	1,600	890
Michael Costello	22	1679	1,800	950
Michael and N. Costello.....	9	1620	3,600	990
Sadie S. Shehady.....	17	4065	250	370
Albonea Vezzani	3	4101/4130	1,200	300
A. Vezzani	35	4101/4130	200	380
E. Bianchi	16	1598	1,100	1,080
Antonio Civarolo	12	5663	1,600	280
Sigmund Kohner	12	1509	1,600	900
Albonea Vezzani	19	4039	950	500
Albonea Vezzani	2	4033	950	680
Alvina Bellmer	14	1711	1,600	750
Mary Keefe	12	3616	400	4,200
C. R. Daeges.....	26	653	450	3,230
G. Chiappello	16	4149	2,450	1,150
Frances B. Jones.....	22	629	1,500	4,050
Frances B. Jones.....	24	248	5,400	4,300
A. McNamara	34	662	2,600	2,000
Ben Shapiro	52	3637	1,550	1,230
A. Queyrel	3	1204	2,550	1,960
Alexander Clavere	31	1413	3,600	1,250
Hannie Connolly	40	362	1,550	480
Hannie I. Connolly	30	6511	1,600	2,550
Michael Selch	3	181	7,500	3,850

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>L</i>
554 Gus Casner	1A	1422	3,600	
555 Gus Casner	18	1467	3,400	
556 Ellen Connolly	13	1414	2,700	
557 Rosanna Gethin Murphy	25	481	3,950	
558 Maybelle Plymire	20	6911	3,000	
559 E. J. Emmerich	7	5254	800	
560 Lewis L. Indig	34B	6015	1,300	
561 Wm. E. Eilken	4	1542	600	
562 D. Jabsky	6	805	1,200	
563 A. E. Howatt	70	3581	600	
564 Mary J. Dolan	16	121	4,530	
565 Mary J. Dolan	2A	769	2,050	
566 Grace Gneri	1A	497	2,300	
567 Jules Dimmer	5	740	8,500	
568 Julie Allemand	23	6538	850	
569 Vasily Shaposhinkoff	15	677	7,200	
570 L. Oppenheimer	20	3712	48,000	
571 Marie Cazaux	3	1235	1,500	
572 Catherine Deasy	30	1230	3,000	
573 Catherine Deasy	29	1230	3,000	
574 John D. Wilson	1	913	16,650	
575 Pearl Outsen	1D	1657	3,150	
576 Pearl Outsen	19	3562	2,000	
577 Mrs. Flora M. Rittler	28	1471	2,350	
578 Gus A. Mauer	19A	125	5,950	
579 Emma Larson	25	1230	1,150	
580 Jonathan J. Coakley	1/2	7047	300	53
581 Margaret A. King	9A	1846	5,400	
582 Clara A. Hurssell	46G	3778	6,000	
583 Anita F. Jackson	26	248	4,000	
584 Josephine de J. Dempster	7	1271	1,800	
585 Josephine I. Gippert	65	3581	450	
586 Geo. T. Plummer	23	216	8,000	
587 Hazel Marshal	40	6713	400	
588 N. B. Rickoff	1	1932	1,700	
589 J. Daniel	21A	2411	1,800	
590 J. Daniel	21E-21I	2411	9,200	
591 J. Daniel	21C	2411	1,600	
592 J. Daniel	21D	2411	1,800	
593 Ellen Connolly	9	3606	1,200	
594 A. G. Sala	18A	1374	6,500	
595 A. G. Sala	13	1806	
596 Donald Laurence	60	3538	1,100	
597 G. H. Sandy	35A	1519	57,500	
598 Marie Giordanengo	9A	6964	1,500	
599 Marie Giordanengo	24	6797	
600 Mary Smith	27	1233	1,550	
601 E. Johanson	10	3610	1,950	
602 E. Johanson	20	3606	1,100	
603 E. Johanson	22	3611	2,700	
604 Mary Smith	1	851	7,000	
605 Alice Kerr	7 & 8	1826	
606 Alice Kerr	3	1782	1,150	
607 Alice Kerr	14	1774	50	
608 Michael Brennan	14	1856	800	
609 R. Zurini	38	1563	200	
610 Jos. Simon	10/11	1419	2,850	
611 Giorgi Giampaoli	25	532	5,600	
612 Linda S. Hirschler	4	218	11,000	
613 W. Greenfield	146	3753	2,800	
614 Anna M. Weichhart	5	2884	3,150	
615 John L. Murphy	1	1815	

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
B. C. Raynaud	11	1187	2,250	1,320
W. McAllister	3,150	1,380
John E. Hennessy.....	16G	2317	1,700	480
Norma L. Jewell.....24A/25/26		5895	780
Norma L. Jewell.....	8	1836	1,300
Norma L. Jewell.....	17	1836	3,900	650
Norma L. Jewell.....	2	1836	3,450	650
Celestine B. Carberry.....	2	839	3,200	2,240
J. L. Abrams.....	1/2	1038	12,600	2,920
J. L. Abrams.....	17A	1020	2,150	1,320
J. L. Abrams	1	998	14,100	4,360
J. L. Abrams	14	678	6,500	7,500
H. Bacigalupi	6/7	77
Adolph Stock	3	618	37,000	12,740
E. D. Smith.....	7	1385	5,400	1,330
E. Furderer	58	3583	2,000	2,620

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared, were duly sworn and heard, and the application *denied*, to-wit:

Nos. 135, 179, 181, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 191, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 211, 212, 213, 218, 219, 221, 222, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 253, 254, 255, 256, 259, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 288, 310, 351, 358, 373, 381, 388, 411, 420, 422, 432, 433, 437, 438, 444, 449, 585.

Action Deferred.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared, were duly sworn and heard, and action on said applications *laid over until next meeting of the Board of Equalization*:

Nos. 40, 203, 204, 206, 220, 223, 241, 249, 250, 258, 411, 673, 697, 698.

Consideration of Applications for Correction or Reduction of Assessment.

The following applications were called, the applicants or their agents duly sworn, were heard, and the applications acted upon as follows:

No. 40, Helen M. Slattery, requests reduction on property assessed for \$15,500 on improvements and \$6,060 on the land. This matter was taken up on notice of reconsideration given by Supervisor Shannon, who now moved, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, that the assessments on the property be reduced \$1,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Brown moved a reduction of \$300. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 135, B. I. Meagels, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,100 on improvements, \$1,520 on land. Carries \$2,000 insurance.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 178, M. Taylor, requested reduction on property assessed for \$7,650 on improvements and \$4,060 on the land. Building was erected in 1912. Consists of 48 rooms and 3 stores.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$650 on the building. Reduction *granted*.

No. 179, C. M. Yates, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,600 on improvements and \$2,030 on land. Building, he said, cost \$25,000, consists of two flats, 15 rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 180, Mrs. Lamira Westlake, 21 Montezuma street, requested reduction on property assessed at \$2,000 on improvements and \$400 on the land. The property, she said, consists of nine rooms, carries a mortgage of \$3,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried by the following vote*:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

No. 181, Edward W. Jackson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements, \$3,360 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 182, Edward W. Jackson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,600 on improvements, \$3,360 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$300 on improvements.

There being no objection, *so ordered*.

No. 183, Edward W. Jackson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$15,000 on improvements, \$25,000 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 184, I. Gallance, requested reduction on property assessed at \$8,100 on improvements, and \$24,550 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 185, A. Gonsolin, requested reduction on property assessed for \$10,000 on improvements and \$3,240 on land. Property consists of 8 apartments, 24 rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 186, Isabel Allen, requested reduction on property assessed for \$300 on improvements, \$425 on land. Carries insurance of \$1,250.

No. 187, Lee Hong Fong, requested reduction on property assessed \$1,350 on improvements, and \$1,820 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 188, Isabel Allen, requested reduction on property assessed for \$480 on land. Application *denied*.

No. 205, E. T. Oldis, requested reduction on property assessed \$2,700 on improvements and \$2,520 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$500. No second.

Application *denied*.

No. 210, Ernest S. Brand, requested reductions on property assessed

for \$7,000 on improvements, \$10,200 on land. Property consists of 40 rooms, carries mortgage of \$12,500 and insured for \$12,500.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$1,000 on improvements. *So ordered.*

No. 214, A. F. Peterson, requests reduction on property assessed for \$7,450 on improvements and \$3,570 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$450 on improvements.

Supervisor Hayden recommended that that amount be granted. There being no objection, *so ordered.*

No. 216, James A. McCarthy, 2228 Lawton street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$1,500 on improvements, \$450 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$450 on building. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

No. 229, Louise Basse, requests reduction on property assessed for \$1,250 on improvements and \$420 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$150. There being no objection, *so ordered.*

No. 246, Inger Anderson, requested reduction on property assessed at \$1,350 on improvements and \$650 on the land; also another piece at \$1,400 on improvements and \$650 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved recommendation of vote whereby the reduction was previously defeated.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

No. 247, M. J. Kelly, requests reduction on property assessed for \$16,000 on improvements and \$7,070 on the land. He said he carries no mortgage.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

No. 251, H. Baird, requests reduction on properties assessed for \$2,100 on improvements and \$3,330 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$300 on improvements. There being no objection, *so ordered.*

No. 252, Elizabeth Buckley, requested reduction on properties assessed at \$1,400 on improvements and \$880 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$300 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

No. 259, Mary A. Leonard, 20 Appleton avenue, requests reduction on property assessed for \$1,600 on improvements and \$600 on the land. The building, she said, was acquired in 1923 at a cost of \$6,500, consists of two stores and nine rooms, comprising two flats. Has a garage for two cars. No mortgage, and is insured for \$4,000.

The Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied.*

No. 261, Harry Lombroso, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$1,150 on improvements and \$2,580 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$400 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 288, Susan A. Thorub, requests reduction on properties assessed for \$2,150 on improvements and \$1,120 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction, says the property is under assessed.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce \$150. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 291, E. Gunderson, requests reduction on properties assessed for \$1,150 on improvements and \$1,500 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$150. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 297, J. J. and C. M. Coffey, request reduction on properties assessed for \$3,800 on improvements and \$1,200 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends \$300 reduction on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 337, J. S. Niemela, 808 Cabrillo street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$900 on improvements and \$500 on the land. It consists of 5 rooms and carries \$3,000 insurance.

Board of Appraisers report that this property has already been reduced \$150 on improvements by the Assessor. Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 351, Arthur E. Nathanson, requested reduction on land assessed for \$300, situate on the west side of Forty-fourth avenue, 125 feet south of Moraga street. He declared that the land was irregular in size and way above grade, cost of putting it in marketable condition would be more than the value of the property.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce \$50. No second. Application *denied*.

Supervisor Uhl moved to rescind action on above. *So ordered*.

Supervisor Uhl moved to reduce to \$250 on the land.

The roll was called and the motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Ratto—3.

No. 388, Frederick Fried, requests reduction on properties assessed at \$5,000 on improvements and \$1,130 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto—2.

No. 393, Frans O. Sjogren requests reduction on property assessed for \$3,800 on improvements and \$1,260 on land.

Board of Appraisers recommends a reduction of \$275 each on two buildings, a total of \$550. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 409, Katherine F. Marron, requests reduction on properties assessed at \$650 on improvements and \$1,250 on the land. The improvements referred to, she said, consisted of a shack used by boys as a playhouse, the roof of which is in a deplorable condition and leaks by reason of improper job in repairing it. (In this connection Supervisor McSheehy read a letter in his defense regarding charges made by Mrs. Marron against the character of work done under his contract.) The house, she said, was 34 years old, and worth nothing.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$250 on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto—2.

No. 422, Sarah B. Kahn, requests reduction on property assessed for \$3,500 on improvements and \$4,550 on land.

Board of Appraisers declared property has been reduced by the Assessor.

Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 438, J. S. Niemela, 808 Cabrillo street, requests reduction on property assessed at \$2,900 on improvements and \$1,250 on land. Consists of 3 flats of 5 rooms each, and a 2-car garage.

Board of Appraisers report property this year has been reduced \$2,500. Whereupon, the application was *laid over until tomorrow afternoon at 2 p. m., for further consideration*.

No. 444, A. Bottaro, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$13,100 on improvements, \$4,810 on land. Property consists of apartments, 12 rooms. Bought in 1920. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved 5 per cent reduction on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

No. 449, Walter A. Brickhead, 1219 San Bruno avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$50 on improvements and \$630 on the land. Improvements consist of only three rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce from \$630 to \$600 on the land.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Hayden, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Ratto—3.

No. 508, Mrs. Hospodarsky, 273 Fourth street, requests reduction on properties assessed for \$7,500 on improvements and \$9,660 on the land. Said she was willing to sell the property for \$14,000.

Board of Appraisers recommends \$500 reduction on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 585, Josephine I. Gippert, 431 Noe street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$450 on improvements and \$1,580 on the land. The property, she said, consists of two flats, an old building containing ten rooms, insured for \$2,000. Taxes have been raised.

Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization took a recess until 8:15 p. m., this evening.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 1934, 8:15 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applications for reduction or correction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessment.

The following applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared, duly sworn, and were heard, and the applications were acted upon as follows:

No. 144, Effie M. Thompson, 171 Geneva avenue, requested a reduction of property assessed for \$1,150 on improvements and \$250 on the land. Was purchased for \$5,500, including street work. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved to lay over until 10 a. m. Monday morning for investigation. *So ordered*.

No. 203, Joseph Delosso, requested reduction on property assessed for \$14,800 on improvements, and \$4,580 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Whereupon, application was *denied*.

No. 204, Joseph Delosso, requested reduction on property assessed at \$7,600 on improvements, and \$4,220 on the land. Property, he said, is insured for \$17,000.

Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 282, Adanell Gerwin, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,250 on improvements, and \$1,940 on the land located at 57 Sanchez street. Consists of two stores, nine rooms, two garages. Insured for \$2,500. Board of Appraisers had no report. Matter was *laid over until 2 p. m. tomorrow*.

No. 296, Ethel Pabore, requested reduction on improvement assessed for \$1,950 on improvements and \$250 on the land. Consists of two

buildings of three rooms each; insured for \$4,500. Board of Appraisers had no report, and the matter was *laid over until 2 p. m. tomorrow.*

No. 311, Fannie B. Goepfert, requested reduction on property assessed for \$600 on improvements and \$6,040 on the land. Consists of flats 804-12 Webster street. Has 93 foot frontage, irregular, to the rear. Board of Appraisers had no report and matter was *laid over until 2 p. m. tomorrow.*

No. 346, Bertha Lapidaire, requested reduction on property assessed for \$700 on improvements and \$1,780 on the land. Improvements consist of two flats of five rooms each.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$200. No objection. *So ordered.*

No. 347, Sadie Alexander (representing William Bell), 443 Eleventh street, requested reduction on property assessed at \$9,900 on improvements and \$3,330 on the land. Property consists of 7 apartments, 26 rooms, and carries a mortgage of \$17,000. Board of Appraisers recommends a reduction of \$400. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

No. 394, Ernest Pergent, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,000 on improvements and \$4,600 on the land. Property consists of three stores and forty-eight rooms, carries mortgage of \$4,500, insurance \$15,000, was built in 1905. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl gave notice of a motion to reconsider at 2 p. m. tomorrow.

No. 396, Max Hercovitz, requested reduction on property assessed for \$22,000 on improvements and \$10,130 on the land. Property, he said, was built in 1913 and consists of five stores, fifty-four rooms, eighteen apartments, carries a mortgage of \$40,000 and insurance of \$40,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon gave notice of a motion to reconsider at 2 p. m. tomorrow.

No. 460, James Finnegan, requests reduction on property assessed for \$5,400 on improvements and \$1,410 on land, located at 151 Duboce avenue, consisting of a frame building, three and four-room apartments. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Laid over until tomorrow at 2 p. m.

No. 462, Mrs. M. F. Fitzgerald, requests reduction on property assessed at \$900 on improvements and \$1,620 on the land. Building, she says,

is 45 years old, located on Dolores street. Value is very much depreciated.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$250.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

No. 488, Timothy Nyhane, requests reduction on property assessed for \$1,400 on improvements and \$650 on the land. Property consists of a residence of five rooms, insured for \$1,800. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of 5 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Roncovieri—4.

No. 494, Tabytha Anderson, requests reduction on property at 1670 Webster street, assessed for \$3,900 on improvements and \$4,040 on land. Building, she said, is used for religious purposes on the ground floor, and a lodging house above. Building constructed before the fire. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Harold J. Boyd, Deputy Assessor, declared building is not entitled to tax exemption under the law because it is not used exclusively for religious purposes.

Over until 2 p. m. tomorrow for investigation.

No. 506, John Wisser, 1440 Forty-eighth avenue, requested reduction on property assessed at \$700 on improvements and \$2,110 on the land. Building cost \$4,000. Consists of five rooms. There is a mortgage of \$3,100 and insured for \$2,500. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 574, John D. Wilson, 801 Jefferson street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$16,650 on improvements and \$3,350 on the land. Property was purchased in 1927 or 1928 for \$50,000. Consists of four stores, 42 rooms, 18 apartments, garage for 15 cars, and insured for \$30,000.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon *laid over until 2 p. m. tomorrow for investigation.*

No. 631, E. Furderer, 587-9 Castro street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,000 on improvements and \$2,620 on the land.

Laid over for investigation until Friday, at 2 p. m.

Motion.

On motion duly made and carried, the Board, by rising vote, adjourned out of respect to the memory of Col. Marston, a public-spirited citizen and civic leader for many years. Eulogies of the character of Col. Marston were delivered by Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, and Shannon, and the Clerk was directed to prepare a resolution of condolence to be sent to the relatives of the deceased.

Supervisor Shannon moved that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to the memory of Jos. J. Flatley, president of Lodge No. 3, B. P. O. E.

Motion *adopted by rising vote.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 11:45 p. m. took a recess until tomorrow, Thursday, July 12th, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

THURSDAY, JULY 12, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of considering applications for reduction or correction of assessment on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Applications for Reduction of Assessments.

The following applications for reduction or correction of assessments were taken up and the applicants or their agents called:

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
632 E. Papera	27	92	2,300	1,130
633 Matilda Wright	15	3163	1,900	610
634 Annie Moore	9	1378	2,100	1,560
635 A. G. Sala	47C	3570	2,550	2,830
636 George Mendaro	9	148	3,750	3,440
637 Mrs. C. R. Girot	14	3628	5,850	3,340
638 A. M. Zoberbier	22	184	900	1,930
639 Louis N. Stevenot	25	1454	4,050	1,200
640 A. G. Sala	1	986	7,500	4,260
641 Gerolamo Lagomarsino	17A	62	2,900	1,450
642 Louisa Segali	3	480	4,800	1,650
643 Ottilie A. Fisher	12	125	1,100	1,430
644 John Fischer	16/17	1191	12,150	4,750
645 Miss Genevieve M. Brady	12/13	1865	2,450	5,310
646 Mary F. Gray	15	827	2,000	2,930
647 Amy A. Clouse	16	827	1,350	2,280
648 P. & M. Kinzel	31	1562	3,250	1,130
649 Mrs. Annie Kern	30	251	7,500	3,350
650 William Greenfield	20	676	6,300	3,580
651 Ella Bothe	44	1847	4,050	900
652 Arthur R. Bird	1E	476	2,350	2,270
653 C. J. Shinkwin	37	3619	4,050	610
654 A. G. Sala	31	6710	810
655 A. Tuckey	22A	2923	2,400	200
656 A. G. Sala	6	3609	2,400	23,060
657 F. Patasz	1B	1200	12,750	2,280
658 Aetna E. Lawry	28	1255	3,380	1,600
659 Sam Correr	32	1052	3,700	2,250
660 Margaret M. Maya	17	1675	3,150	1,000
661 Jos. S. Fratessa	22	6520	3,200	1,600
662 V. Gullmes	36	1361	9,800	1,350
663 V. Gullmes	1A	1200	11,750	2,400
664 May E. Baker	26	1026	13,000	4,120
665 John Davis	7	837	4,150	4,200
666 John H. Davis	23	840	1,350	2,200
667 J. Friedman	23	927	8,100	1,190
668 Lloyd D. Hirschfeld	15	313	270,000	393,750
669 F. J. Ferrea	1E	499	9,000	1,930
670 Lester Ball	36/37	2620	4,100	480
671 Stella Bayless	7	3589	4,500	7,600
672 Amelia Riedener	15/16/17	6943	550	1,200

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>La</i>
673	Archie Lewis	20	2751	100	
674	Augusta M. Tretten	40/41	6519	3,050	6,
675	Augusta M. Tretten	½	6520	5,300	3,
676	Ferdinand F. Wagner	6-7-8-9	464A	7,200	4,
677	M. O'Leary	51	3723	300	1,
678	Robert J. Gary	7	6467	1,050	
679	William J. Fogarty	21	1742	50	2,
680	Hulda S. Karjalainen	62	3602	5,700	1,
681	Sara Frank	9	218	4,000	2,
682	A. F. Bulkley	30	3610	1,650	1,
683	James J. Sullivan	15	1763	5,000	1,
684	A. L. Dilly	24	6617	700	
685	A. L. Dilly	1	6618	1,400	1,
686	D. Cerelli	18	117	3,000	9,
687	Emil Chalstrom	16	6443	1,300	
688	A. Toscanini	21	1555	12,200	2,
689	A. D. Friedlander	3	996	3,000	3,
690	John A. M. Boller	10/11	3965	6,
With building torn down					10,
691	M. Perkocha	15/16	6738	
692	W. M. Secor	26O	1568	3,400	1,
693	Fred Moresi	1G	1712	1,350	
694	Henrietta Marks	18B	1361	5,000	2,
695	Louis Braunstein	8	1176	2,500	1,
696	Jos. T. Hobi	1	3616	7,200	51,
697	A. Hospodarsky	24	3753	1,000	1,
698	A. Hospodarsky	26	3753	1,900	1,
699	Neil Henry	9	1186	7,200	2,
700	Mads P. Madsen	15	2927	3,250	
701	R. M. Moore	9	1378	2,100	1,
702	Margaret Cashman	28	1256	1,250	1,
703	Brewster F. Ames	14	591	1,700	3,
704	W. H. Matthias	30	438A	6,750	1,
705	Victor L. Podesta	2	765	12,500	8,
\$200 reduction this year					
706	Chas. T. Smith	72-73-57	3703	20,100	158,
707	Chas. T. Smith	42	3702	40,000	92,
708	Chas. T. Smith	42A	3702	37,000	92,
709	Chas. T. Smith	43	3702	40,000	92,
710	Chas. T. Smith	44	3702	37,000	92,
711	Chas. T. Smith	44A	3702	40,000	92,
712	Josephine de J. Dempster	7	1271	1,800	1,
713	Douglas L. Bruce	5A	728	2,
714	Emma Mangels	32	1237	1,550	1,
715	Emma Mangels	13/14	1225	4,600	3,
716	Helen E. McClatchy	11B	6509	2,800	
717	A. D. Pillow	14A	599	6,000	8,
718	Elizabeth Muzzy	4	722	3,450	8,
719	Alice Kelstrom	2/3	...	700	1,
720	Francine M. Cazenave	3	919	13,050	1,
721	Helen Corwell	30	3648	4,000	1,
722	Annie Brochage	62	3548	1,600	
723	Rika Vaz Dias	29	2001	1,100	
724	Minna C. Hoffmann	5	863	1,800	1,
725	E. Lunstedt	4	174	36,000	17,
726	Geo. Vlantis	7/8	467A	9,000	1,
727	E. Lunstedt	12	708	4,500	17,
728	E. Lunstedt	6	194	6,000	13,
729	Minna C. Hoffmann	4/5	1934	2,600	2,
730	R. L. Lewis	18	248	4,800	3,
731	Melvin H. Herriges	17	1010	4,200	1,
732	A. V. Ryan	18	3048	1,

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
James H. Donovan	50	6463	150	480
Louis C. Greene	10	619	9,000	50,500
J. C. Rasmussen	1	1055	4,150	3,000
J. C. Rasmussen	5	550	2,550	3,060
J. C. Rasmussen	10	1578	3,850	900
Minna Fricke	26	1226	9,000	1,250
P. J. Lahaderne	5	547	16,200	2,860
Martin Browne	21	3597	4,300	1,930
J. Jesus Villaseñor.....	24	1224	1,150	1,210
Samuel G. Kaufman	6	816	47,000	6,330
Irene De Martini	1	119	7,500	3,290
A. J. Furderer	26	2361	1,900	680
S. Martinson	46	3538	2,250	1,740
N. J. Malville	47	2864	2,550	1,250
Alice V. Clark	10/12	956	25,000	8,610
Alice B. Clark	8A	956	3,690
Chas. J. Luttecken	12	1,629	8,350	2,260
Emilie Klemm	13	727	2,050	1,750
F. Ontano	17A	493	4,800	1,790
F. Ontano	17	160	7,200	7,450
Morris Miller	12	317	58,000	12,560
Morris Miller	10	1657	4,500	2,090
Rose Fleming	9	688	1,800	6,050
Massimo Peri	30	161	1,800	4,700
Refugio Lopez de Victoria.....	17	753	400	1,790
Stephen Smith	18	5673	1,400	7,180
Horace Charles Bacheller	19	1638	1,850	1,250
G. E. Hanson.....	9D	1186	3,150	2,600
Mary Thompson	43	3643	3,600	2,750
Mary Thompson	1	1753	5,750	1,700
Mary Thompson	7	6552	4,500	1,060
Mary Thompson	50	3597	1,900	620
Lillian Dowler	14	2769	7,000	380
Mrs. Annette Thompson	33	1092	800	2,520
D. V. Reeves.....	12	276	12,500	5,160
Mabel Q. Burdick.....	49	1549	1,700	1,200
Magdalene Kohn	18	1128	2,900	3,360
Magdalene Kohn	28	1210	1,150	1,590
John B. Chichizola	14	94	3,600	3,370
J. B. Chichizola	15	94	1,450	6,960
John B. Chichizola	12/13	95	23,400	8,860
John B. Chichizola	10/11	95	3,540
John B. Chichizola	13	145	14,400	65,100
William Kearney	6	1226	2,700	1,540
Margaret Oehler	32	1422	400	1,300
J. J. McGuinness	39	1256	1,150	2,050
Elizabeth E. Little	71/74	3537	6,450	4,960
Adelaide L. Fossey	13	1637	2,900	1,250
Elizabeth Petzold	31	5514	800	650
Elizabeth Petzold	14	6932	2,000	750
Elizabeth Petzold	63	3589	1,000	880
Elizabeth Petzold	16	6525	250	1,470
J. G. Day	11/12	1001	1,200	5,070
Hilda R. Gutierres	7	3612	500	1,640
A. A. Schmulowitz	25/26	976	24,300	7,300
H. A. Gutierrez	7	3612	500	1,640
Sam Hershkowitz	4	1583	1,600	810
Bert Anthes	26	1386	250	1,330
Sadie Becker	10	1522	3,800	1,250
Sandra Hagg	9/10	2864	1,900	840
J. H. Irwin	10	479	10,800	2,100
Herman Kalisher	23	687	4,450	2,100

		Lot	Block	Building	Land
795	Marie Walsh	17	42	5,
796	Kate E. Kean	27	1785	
797	Kate E. Kean	29	1785	
798	Kathleen E. Kean et al.....	9	707	700	2,
799	A. Rubenstein	23	152	9,500	2,
800	Morris Turner	2	781	1,600	1,
801	Bertha Fractenberg	11	1416	1,350	1,
802	Rose Kubli	7	7017	1,500	
803	Josephine I. Kean	4	1785	
804	Josie I. Kean	2	1785	
805	I. Gallance	32	861	1,450	2,
806	I. Gallance	18	748	2,950	2,
807	I. Gallance	15	1237	1,450	2,
808	I. Gallance	37/39	1142	6,750	3,
809	John B. Held	21A	1076	1,300	1,
810	L. Rubin	24	758	1,450	1,
811	Rosa Rossi	41	1416	2,200	1,
812	Rosa Rossi	42	1372	1,
813	Edith L. Bruse	24	325	400	9,
814	Marcelle Delalay	12	6444	950	
815	G. Polidoro	14	191	2,
816	Anne Glaser	19	2752	950	
817	Mary Deroux	19	1012	1,800	2,
818	N. T. Giacomini	26/27	793	13,500	4,
819	N. T. Giacomini	10	784	2,
820	M. E. Glucksman	18/19	1561	10,250	3,
821	Sophie Peter	14	827	5,400	3,
822	H. Intermann	34	1201	3,400	1,
823	T. Frustuk	22	1563	1,
824	William Raisch	13	833	2,
825	William Raisch	12	833	6,500	3,

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn and heard, and the applications *denied*, to-wit:

Nos. 190, 203, 204, 224, 241, 249, 250, 256, 274, 282, 311, 322, 325, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 360, 361, 385, 396, 438, 439, 460, 461, 470, 475, 493, 494, 507, 518, 574, 613, 629, 749, 673, 716.

Action Deferred.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicant or their agents who appeared were duly sworn and heard, and action on said applications *deferred until the next meeting of the Board of Equalization*, to-wit:

Nos. 282, 296, 311, 473, 505, 533, 746.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessment.

The following applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn and heard, and the applications acted upon as follows:

No. 190, L. Silberstein, 831 Webster street, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$6,000 on improvements and \$2,400 on the land. Property was acquired in 1921 and cost \$16,000. Consists of a building of two stories, nineteen apartments; carries insurance of

\$5,000 and no mortgage. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

No. 220, Jacob Yarosh, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,000 on improvement and \$4,710 on land. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$250.

There being no objection, *so ordered*.

No. 224, M. E. Bennett, agent, requested reduction on land assessed for \$14,415, priced at \$18,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce \$1,000. No second.

No. 256, M. Meier, 4382 Eighteenth street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,650 on improvements and \$500 on the land. It consists of two flats, four and five rooms, mortgaged for \$4,100, insured for \$250, and was purchased for \$4,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 257, H. W. Anderson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,700 on improvements and \$750 on land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the improvements be reduced from \$1,700 to \$1,400. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 258, Martin L. Reite, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,500 on improvements and \$2,600 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends \$500 reduction.

So ordered.

No. 282, Adamell Gervin, 57 Sanchez street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,250 on improvements and \$1,940 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved reduction of \$250 on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Schmidt—5.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

A motion to rescind previous action was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

No. 296, Ethel Pabor, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,950 on improvements and \$260 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved to reduce \$150.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 322, Albert Johnson, 840 Sanchez street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,150 on improvements and \$980 on the land. Property was erected in 1923 and cost \$8,300. Consists of two stories, 3 and 4-room apartments; mortgaged for \$3,000 and insured for \$5,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a 10 per cent reduction. No second.
Application *denied*.

No. 325, B. Fireman, 1310 Turk street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$50,000 on improvements, \$5,610 on the land. Property cost \$65,000, 6-story building, 47 apartments, 102 rooms, mortgaged for \$65,000, insured for \$70,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 5 per cent on the improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

No. 326, B. Fireman, 669 Hayes street, consisting of four flats. Assessed for \$4,050 on improvements and \$6,250 on the land. Property is mortgaged for \$12,000. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$500.

Supervisor Hayden moved a reduction of \$500 on improvements.
No objection. *So ordered*.

No. 327, B. Fireman, 780 Hayes street, requests reduction on the property which is assessed for \$7,500 on improvements and \$3,060 on the land. Consists of 3 stories, 18 apartments, 32 rooms and garage. Mortgaged for \$12,500, insured for \$12,500. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 328, B. Fireman, 612 Webster street, requests reduction on the property which is assessed for \$8,100 on improvements and \$3,490 on the land. Consists of 18 apartments, 60 rooms. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 329, B. Fireman, requests reduction on property assessed for \$8,100 on improvements and \$2,370 on the land. Property consists of 18 apartments, 36 rooms, mortgaged for \$15,000, and insured for \$15,000. Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 330, B. Fireman, 862-72 McAllister street, requests reduction on property which is assessed at \$4,050 on improvements and \$6,250 on the land. Consists of 6 old flats, 36 rooms in all, and mortgaged for \$6,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 331, B. Fireman, 701-727 Laguna street, requests reduction on the property which is assessed for \$5,000 on improvements and \$9,500 on the land. Consists of six old flats. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 332, B. Fireman, 700 Laguna street, requests reduction on the property which is assessed for \$13,500 on improvements and \$4,790 on the land. It consists of 30 apartments, of 60 rooms, mortgaged for \$15,000 and insured for \$15,000. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$500.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 385, William R. Barnhart, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,550 on improvements and \$1,500 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 394, Ernest Pergent, was taken up on reconsideration, and request made for reduction on property assessed for \$8,000 on improvements and \$4,600 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

No. 396, Max Herscovitz, was taken up on reconsideration and request made for reduction of property assessed for \$22,000 on improvements and \$10,130 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the application be denied.

Motion *carried*.

No. 411, S. Hoffman, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,060 on improvements and \$4,290 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of \$1,050. No second.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment a reduction of \$500.

Motion *carried* without objection.

No. 439, P. J. Feerick, requests reduction on land assessed for \$950, carrying a mortgage of \$700. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 460, J. Finnegan, was taken up on reconsideration and requested reduction on property assessed at \$5,400 on improvements and \$1,410 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements. No second.

No. 461, Dr. Rose S. Fritz, 1926 Grove street, requested reduction on property assessed at \$3,300 on improvements and \$12,750 on the land. Property is mortgaged for \$38,000. Board of Appraisers report that this property has already been reduced by the Assessor \$3,000.

Whereupon, the application was *denied*.

No. 470, A. S. Petrovffsky, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,350 on improvements and \$3,210 on the land. Consists of a store and one 6-room flat, mortgaged for \$3,750 and insured for \$2,000. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$350 on the building.

There being no objection, it is *so ordered*.

No. 473, C. A. A. Rosen, 1580 Golden Gate avenue, requests reduction on the property which is assessed at \$13,000 on improvements and \$2,200 on the land. Consists of ten apartments and 28 rooms. Mortgaged for \$12,500, insured for \$19,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 5 per cent.

Whereupon the application was *laid over for investigation until Saturday morning*.

No. 475, George E. Hall, requests reduction on property assessed

for \$1,050 on improvements, \$2,510 on land. Consists of flats and stores, insured for \$3,000, cost \$15,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application denied.

No. 486, B. Huth, 628 Broadway, requested reduction on the property which is assessed at \$5,000 on improvements and \$21,990 on the land. Property has 65-foot frontage, cost \$60,000. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$500 on improvements.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$750 on improvements.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment a reduction of \$500 on improvements.

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

No. 493, M. J. Collins, requests reduction on property assessed for \$10,800 on improvements and \$2,160 on the land. Two-story building consisting of eight apartments, insured for \$16,500, cost \$36,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application denied.

No. 494, Tabytha Anderson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,900 on improvements and \$4,040 on the land. Ground floor of this building, she said, is used for religious purposes.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment a reduction of \$900.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

No. 505, Pietro Busca, 1570 Greenwich street, requested reduction on property which is assessed for \$3,600 on improvements and \$2,220 on the land. Consists of two flats, mortgaged for \$1,000 and insured for \$11,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Whereupon, the application was *laid over for investigation until Saturday morning.*

No. 507, Mrs. M. T. Flechia, requests reduction on property assessed for \$6,300 on improvements and \$2,720 on the land. Consists of four 4-room flats. Mortgaged for \$8,000 and insured for \$8,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved a 10 per cent reduction.

Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

No. 518, John Nelson, 1921 Diamond street, requests reduction on the property which is assessed for \$300 on improvements and \$710 on the land. Cost \$3,400. Consists of four rooms and basement and garage, and is insured for \$1,500. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$110 on the real estate.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Shannon's motion for a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements, and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

No. 613, W. Greenfield, 911 Folsom street, requests a reduction of property assessed for \$2,800 on improvements and \$1,800 on the land. Property is mortgaged for \$3,250 and insured for \$5,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$300.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri—2.

No. 629, Adolph Stock, 1840-50 Clay street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$57,000 on improvements and \$12,740 on the land. Consists of two buildings, five stories, fifty rooms, twenty apartments. Cost \$180,000. Has one garage for twenty cars, has a mortgage of \$55,000 at 5½ per cent. Does not know the insurance. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 649, Mrs. Annie Kern, 1337 California street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$7,500 on improvements and \$3,350 on the land. Property consists of apartments erected twenty years ago. Insured for \$9,500. Will sell for \$15,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

No. 697, A. Hospodarsky, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,000 on improvements and \$1,300 on the land. Property consists of two flats of five rooms each; insured for \$1,000. Board of Appraisers recommends a reduction of \$100 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 698, A. Hospodarsky, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,900 on improvements and \$1,430 on the land. Consists of four flats. Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$400. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 716, Helen E. McClatchy, requests reduction on property assessed for \$2,800 on improvements and \$650 on the land. Building cost \$11,000. Consists of two flats, eight rooms and garage. Mortgaged for \$3,900 and insured for \$6,000. Board of Appraisers recommends no reduction.

Application *denied*.

Supervisor Brown Excused.

Supervisor Brown was excused at 5 p. m.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., took a recess until Friday, July 13, 1934, at 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, JULY 13, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents were called, duly sworn and testified in the pending investigation, and their applications disposed of as follows, to-wit:

No. 738, Minna Fricke, 1959 Oak street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,000 on the improvements and \$1,250 on the land.

Laid over until next meeting.

No. 839, Jeane Le Nard, 1469 Sacramento street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,500 on improvements and \$2,880 on the land. Property was purchased for \$9,000, carries a mortgage of \$7,000.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Laid over until next meeting.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 6:15 p. m. took a recess until this evening at 7:45 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, JULY 13, 1934, 7:45 P. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPLICATIONS FOR REDUCTION OF ASSESSMENTS.

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
826	William Raisch	3	753	14,000	3,710
827	William Raisch	1	612	1,350	7,390
828	M. E. Glucksmann	24	693	2,700	5,000
829	Geo. T. Webb	20/21	1198	14,400	5,590
830	M. Odhner	13	614	1,200	2,880
831	Philip Werner	42	1551	1,100	1,250
832	Emma Matheson	18	7266	1,200	1,320
833	Emma Matheson	6	2367	350
834	Emma Matheson	44	6581	150
835	A. Martensen	5	1387	5,850	1,330
836	Ellen C. Keegan	7	1231	3,150	1,870
837	Louis H. Aubert	99/100	3731	3,800	1,440
838	L. H. Aubert	1C	4099/4132	3,450	840
839	J. Le Nard	22	248	5,500	2,880
840	Martha Eliz. Johnson	32A	1649	3,600	980
841	Elbert N. Ames	14	3635	4,050	18,990
842	A. Rotrosky	17	747	1,050	2,130
843	A. Rotrosky	18	757	1,350	2,200
844	Sy. Maltzer	6	1624	4,750	980
845	Elbert N. Ames	11	3644	3,100	8,710
846	E. Devincenzi	13D	546	6,300	2,300
847	Catherine Sedgwick	24	1217	1,850	2,150
848	Arthur Coleman	37	1849	550	1,000
849	M. M. Reiter	1	824	54,000	6,660
850	Gertrude Marinelli	76	3730	2,050	910
851	Freda Keller	8/9	1596	1,800
852	F. Keller	2	617	2,250	4,590
853	H. G. Hornlein	5	327	20,000	198,460
854	H. G. Hornlein	24	217	2,250	4,800
855	H. G. Hornlein	1	217	90,000	19,060
856	H. G. Hornlein	16	241	12,000	6,000
857	A. Granzin	8	1856	3,600	750
858	E. C. McGillivray	10	689	2,550	4,130
859	E. C. McGillivray	11	689	12,500	5,130
860	James Shannon	24A	1648	3,800	1,030
861	James Shannon	26/27	3612	5,600	2,450
862	M. A. Muller	35	3576	2,250	1,350
863	M. A. Muller	18	6993	380
864	John G. Joly	33	1424	1,300	1,250
865	Mrs. John J. Carey	16	250	14,000	10,920
866	Isador Zeimer	1	1425	16,000	3,260
867	M. Bell	32	3575	1,600	7,880
868	E. M. Perkins	18	864	700	1,280
869	L. A. Walter	3	3651	1,100	1,330
870	Lydia Walter	31A	2349	3,800	550
871	Lydia Walter	21	1680	1,800	1,200
872	Edward Denke	3	217	6,000	4,130
873	Madelina Lourdeaux	15	2138	1,600	700
874	Madeline Lourdeaux	18	1735	17,250	13,500
875	Madeline Lourdeaux	24B	6341	1,300	150
876	Madeline Lourdeaux	24	1736	3,600	1,020
877	Madeline Lourdeaux	12	1499	1,600	950
878	Adolph Stock	6	984	6,150	4,230
879	Moy Jin Mun	15	194	12,500	16,420
880	Moy Jin Mun	9	177	2,500	2,340
881	Moy Jin Mun	2	209	6,000	34,850
882	Moy Jin Mun	3	209	8,000	15,240
883	Moy Jin Mun	20	210	2,500	2,510
884	Moy Jin Mun	20	210	2,500	2,510
885	Moy Jin Mun	23	225	10,800	3,870

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
886	Moy Jin Mun	37	226	8,500	3,25
887	Moy Jin Mun	14	225	6,500	6,58
888	Moy Jin Mun	21	210	6,000	4,21
889	Moy Jin Mun	42	226	15,000	4,18
890	Moy Jin Mun	12	226	8,100	1,90
891	Moy Jin Mun	36	210	7,000	3,43
892	Moy Jin Mun	7	178	9,500	5,50
893	Moy Jin Mun	7/8	193	16,000	12,41
894	Moy Jin Mun	31	194	5,800	6,18
895	Moy Jin Mun	9	210	2,700	2,47
896	Moy Jin Mun	8	178	7,200	5,16
897	Moy Jin Mun	17	210	7,000	8,70
898	George J. Jobst	35/36	867	4,100	1,75
899	Marie E. Phillips	27	1451	300	95
900	Marie E. Phillips	11D	1508	1,600	70
901	Marie E. Phillips	15	1686	1,100	90
902	Holly Domeny	25A	1224	3,600	1,21
903	F. Pohl	11	1053	2,600	1,63
904	Z. Brasfield	8	1670	1,450	1,00
905	Sanford J. Brasfield	18	1658	5,050	3,75
906	Alfred & A. Dubost	26	620	5,400	3,30
907	Pauline M. Dubost	36	3750	2,450	81
908	P. M. Dubost	52	3723	2,900	1,28
909	L. L. Roth	76	3732	7,000	5,63
910	Abraham Frankel	19	862	1,200	1,44
911	L. Boutonnet	25	5357	850	25
912	Guglielmo Pardini	25	120	2,400	1,68
913	Josephine Fey	40C/41	3638	3,150	1,40
914	Spencer S. Hunt	1	6924	3,400	1,65
915	Wm. E. Aaron	2	6924	3,400	1,65
916	J. Larretai	60/63	3608	10,100	5,88
917	Thos. Doyle	13	598	7,000	3,93
918	R. O. Hoffman	21	793	8,300	1,98
919	Adolph Boas	19	6925	2,300	75
920	Thos. J. Sweeney	92A	3545	4,400	84
921	C. J. Prevolos	30	1158	4,000	1,63
922	Bertha Veen	45	1254	3,600	2,35
923	Ida Weick	12A/13	639	700	5,76
					1,15
924	Martin Haumann	14	6116	200	10
925	Laura Arnheim	18A	5308	8
926	Laura Arnheim	2	5309	26
927	Laura Arnheim	2	4085	750	78
928	Albert J. Hurd	40	151	1,350	75
929	W. F. Mullins	8	2778	1,00
930	C. Mayer	27	729	1,050	1,15
931	C. Mayer	17A	1628	5,400	1,82
932	C. Mayer	8	3762	1,750	3,34
933	Eva Wise	4	1155	2,250	1,53
934	Eva Wise	2	1100	700	1,35
935	R. Owens	2B	1892	1,400	45
936	H. J. Sutherland	3	3935	63,000	17,00
937	A. M. Tadwick	12	2356	2,250	1,13
938	Edwin T. Peterson	1D/11	338	92,000	15,00
939	Emma Fassmann Coleman	27	1205	950	1,62
940	Emma F. Coleman	18A	770	1,150	2,35
941	F. J. Harris	3	1584	1,700	1,34
942	Marie Pattosien	3	3551	12,03
943	Elizabeth Akers	11A	6568	900	98
944	J. T. Prince	16	3198	10,500	3,15
945	J. T. Prince	17	3101	4,500	1,50

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
946 Inez M. Marlon.....	3	3518	3,600	3,380
947 Inez M. Marlon.....	17	3729	4,100	3,000
948 G. S. Fall.....	20	1082
949 Geo. S. Fall	2K	2329	1,400	700
950 Patrick Furlong	12	1794	1,700	650
951 Mary A. Hardiman.....	5	1470	1,350	1,130
952 Elodie Poutous	2	3532	1,900	4,960
953 Ann M. Dickie.....	26	1720	1,800	900
954 Serafine V. Freitas.....	1	6760	1,950	330
955 Mary E. Swanson.....	18	1552	900	1,250
956 Ida Oliva	21	930	4,350	1,060
957 William Miller	27A	3622	1,550	1,870
958 William Miller	2	5811	1,500	90
959 William Miller	44	3513	2,000	860
960 Rosalie Schoenfeld	4	5219	520
				470
961 E. Ashley	7	656	1,200	1,970
962 Mary A. Cullinan.....	36	1383	3,600	1,500
963 M. Feaster	19	1675	2,150	1,000
964 E. Ashley	31	1156	2,450	1,520
965 E. Ashley	20	806	1,550	2,150
966 Esther Rain	9	1429	3,600	1,250
967 K. Weber	56	3777	2,400	1,300
968 G. Tomaselli	11	1803	1,750
969 Bertha Johansen	38	7077	1,300	330
970 Anna M. Rottanzi	32	847	4,250	1,630
971 Fannie Levine	15	1524	5,400	1,330
972 F. A. Wilkie	49	1743	4,500	1,250
973 Anna M. Rottanzi	34	1155	2,800	2,020
974 Emma Greninger	2	3703	21,000	22,680
975 Emma Greninger	7	869	550	2,040
976 Margaret O'Leary	7	672	14,000	4,130
977 Maurice E. Levin	10	642	8,500	5,000
978 Chas. A. Renner	31	6511	5,850	1,320
979 Timothy J. O'Connor	4	3596	1,350	8,860
980 E. Vucanovich	24	3530	1,100	1,270
981 E. Vucanovich	12	216	2,250	3,280
982 E. Vucanovich	17/18	3504	1,800	5,660
983 E. Vucanovich	38	1268	950	1,500
984 Clem Wiseman	8	1009	1,100	2,200
985 W. J. Harrington	2	3781	14,350	47,270
986 Mary Buchanan	10	4067	2,450	830
987 Sophia Lager	2/3	4973	500	240
988 I. L. Rosenthal	9	667	20,250	32,980
989 I. L. Rosenthal	5	577	4,500	7,420
990 Edith E. Lane	23/24	1796	300	650
				1,300
991 Warren Downes	23A	1562	3,250	1,250
992 Silvio Banchemo	9	529	900	1,760
993 Howard Thurber	36	1527	6,750	8,010
994 Howard Thurber	20	1614	3,800	890
995 Howard Thurber	19	1614	8,550	1,480
996 John Cardinale	19/20	4162/4197	950	350
997 John Cardinale	11	4004	1,800	820
998 J. Cardinale	9	4001	3,600	990
999 Thomas E. Whelan	42	1845	1,800	4,050
000 M. E. Botsford	14	48	6,400	7,080
001 Timothy J. O'Connor	69	3545	2,200	1,180
002 Lee Maxwell	7/8	124	2,900	4,380
003 W. H. Summerfield	28	1040	2,900	1,660
004 Julia Briglia	17	6513	1,150	1,340
005 Mary Hengel	23	6531	1,800	1,320

		<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
1006	Geo. B. McCarthy	21	233	2,000	4,11
1007	Y. Tanenbaum	2	1127	1,100	2,73
1008	E. Vucanovich	47	3549	1,00
1009	E. Vucanovich	18	3529	89
1010	E. Vucanovich	38	3529	77
1011	Geo. M. Smith	8	1288	2,450	99
1012	E. F. Mancuso	27	1201	3,350	1,65
1013	Mrs. L. E. Duncan	14	633	650	2,21
1014	Ottilie Schmitenhaus	10	830	2,550	2,75
1015	Harry Roland	26	1268	4,350	4,06
1016	R. W. Joost	19/20	1152	18,500	3,88
1017	Minnie Pengelly	14/15	2360	3,200	2,10
1018	Jeanne W. Carter	27	220	1,350	3,41
1019	Abby Marie Hagan	75	1269	1,200	1,43
1020	Alice L. Miller	1	633	1,600	2,21
1021	Annie M. Curley	45	3513	1,400	86
1022	Philip Kolar	1	3786	5,250	5,25
1023	Philip and Clara Kolar	39	3552	200	1,74
1024	S. Gidalovitz	27	773	4,050	2,07
1025	R. G. Arps	32	2519	51
1026	R. G. Arps	36	2519	25
1027	Linda Cordini	8	6658	150	1,40
1028	Mrs. Geo. Lage	7	6637	1,57
1029	G. Hartman	2I	1202	3,900	1,65
1030	Chas. Nordman	3D	4212	500	51
1031	Chas. Nordman	3F	4212	50	75
1032	Ralph S. Mackay	3C	2136	2,200	90
1033	Alma Parker	18/19	1803	1,80
1034	Dena Simi	7/8	481	5,550	3,03
1035	B. C. Pollak	6	480	4,050	1,71
1036	Sarah J. O'Connor	4	4218/4258	20
1037	Sarah J. O'Connor	19	587	3,850	3,75
1038	Robert H. Carmany	43	1555	2,000	1,88
1039	K. Benson	6	1387	5,400	1,33
1040	Anna J. M. Curley	12A	738	300	1,15
1041	Nettie Metz	2/4	1214	1,800	7,15
1042	Henry Wolff	4/5	323	3,10
1043	Annie M. Fredericks	10	2612	750	80
1044	Ella J. Clark	23	785	250	3,44
1045	N. F. Patterson	12	184	9,000	3,78
1046	Mary T. Flecchia	35	1023	1,400	1,88
1047	Mary T. Flecchia	13D	1606	200	6,50
1048	Ida K. Wahl	36B	1534	2,250	1,25
1049	Ida K. Wahl	11	2354	1,13
1050	Ida K. Wahl	31	1913	30
1051	Anna Strickland	5	606	11,500	2,72
1052	Anna Strickland	25/26	1503	2,51
1053	Jules Lefkovitz	17	1405	4,050	1,20
1054	D. Schroeder	16	3597	500	1,99
1055	Carl H. Lukas	2	2361	600	55
1056	M. M. Kaspersk	3089	200	3,08
1057	A. W. Thornton	2	1665	1,800	1,04
1058	S. Burton	104	3726	3,600	2,50
1059	S. Burton	29A	1450	3,400	1,25
1060	B. Kletzman	1C	749	6,000	1,91
1061	E. H. Gorny	41	7018	1,100	35
1062	Max Lehmann	33	1185	2,150	1,38
1063	Nick Barzut	11C	1677	5,500	1,72
1064	H. J. Diercks	29	1217	2,500	3,17
1065	M. F. Durnan	1	186	8,500	5,08
1066	M. F. Durnan	20	284	27,000	15,18

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
067 J. W. Zeiph	17	621	12,500	3,370
068 Mabel F. Durnan	8	568	1,800	4,540
069 Edna Hansen	41-42-43	3787	7,600	3,660
070 Jacob Jacobs	8	669	42,500	18,910
071 Darwin Bryan	30	3753	7,360
072 Richard E. Fitzgerald	27B	1193	2,250	1,130
073 Richard E. Fitzgerald	11	1188	4,500	1,370
074 S. Allen	4	1018	3,600	1,280
075 Michele Simonetti	20	4143	1,100	1,060
076 E. Carmody	8	1193	3,400	1,640
077 Margaret B. Curry	1	2163	3,940
078 Margaret B. Curry	15	819	4,500	8,290
079 Margaret B. Curry	16	3763	4,950
080 Lee Yen	20	242	6,500	4,640
081 Lee Yen	26	224	1,550
082 Richard Sensenschmidt	21	1651	5,400	1,250
083 Louis Plato	22	1866	2,050	650
084 Bert Oberg	6B	6976	1,200	490
085 Henry Tietjen	13B	581	12,000	6,490
086 Anna C. Price	30	1630	1,550	1,270
087 Alice Slusher	18	1753	2,300	1,250
088 Jos. Reichel	23	1250	1,150	2,430
089 Jos. Reichel	61	3723	2,800	5,380
090 W. J. O'Brien	7	1251	900	1,730
091 Frank C. Miller	23/26	1735	8,240
092 W. J. H. Hasselbrock	27	6517	2,700	12,000
093 W. J. H. Hasselbrock	2	6516	1,150	11,460
094 W. J. H. Hasselbrock	12	3589	2,700	7,600
095 W. J. H. Hasselbrock	10	3576	1,100	7,600
096 W. J. H. Hasselbrock	9	3569	1,350	9,780
097 Elling Ellingson	1	2752	12,000	1,480
098 Moy Jin Mun	12	210	10,500	6,150
099 Patrick Furlong	43	1764	900	5,250
100 Patrick Furlong	1/3	1764	8,900	16,080
101 Minna Vielbaum	33	6774	1,400	320
102 Marguerite M. Allibert	11	253	8,600	4,400
103 Ruan Carr	22A	1031	3,660	1,100
104 F. C. Miller	20/21	1725	3,480
105 Frank C. Miller	20/21	1732	150	4,000
106 Violet Fowler	15	930	1,500
107 Mary Flecken	25	921	4,350	1,190
108 Anna M. Staeglich	19B	1520	1,100	1,080
109 Federico Lovotti	6/8	1028	4,200	8,230
110 Mrs. P. Hargadon	22B	1454	5,400	1,040
111 Mrs. P. Hargadon	8A	1516	3,400	900
112 Mrs. P. Hargadon	28	1563	5,500	1,130
113 Winifred Morris	18	1559	1,600	1,190
114 Winifred Morris	3	1422	1,750	1,250
115 Winifred Morris	26	1501	1,520
116 Ray Bacchi	6A	531	4,000	4,820
117 Marie Nugent	32	6731	400
118 Marie Nugent	113	1269	550	770
119 L. Roesch	27	3614	2,050	3,940
120 Ben Hoffman	12	719	57,000	8,730
121 Sam Fleishman	20	1180	2,600	2,200
122 J. Fleishman	7	1129	2,350	1,820
123 T. G. Knight	18	1193	3,250	2,340
124 Thos. G. Knight	5	344	24,000	52,250
125 Merlin W. Porter	14	6905	5,500	1,590
126 A. G. Burns	30	1001	2,250	2,700
127 A. Boggs	24A	2372	1,280

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
1128 A. Boggs	1	2380	1,490
1129 D. A. Bering	6	3011	2,550	1,070
1130 John J. Blumenfeld	4	1650	1,200	1,250
1131 J. J. Blumenfeld	27	1520	3,250	1,130
1132 David Oliver, Sr.12/13/14	2168	770	770
			760	
1133 David Oliver, Sr.	27	1269	2,050	2,030
1134 S. E. Campbell	24	218	2,000	2,580
1135 V. Dal Porto	20	5870	1,500	250
1136 Jesse Kahn	6	1010	1,600	1,650
1137 Anna Radomsky	28	747	1,300	1,700
1138 A. T. Griffith	7	978	10,800	7,340
1139 Evelyn E. Gray	24	931	1,000	1,110
1140 M. M. Jason	8	618	1,800	4,340
1141 Elsie Nelson	33	1227	5,000	1,500
1142 Elsie Nelson	31A	6535	12,200	1,880
1143 Maria Ceresoni	5D	6346	900	1,000
1144 Mary C. Quigley	29	722	1,250	2,250
1145 Lillian Rotger	23	2403	1,650
1146 Lillian Rotger	19	1732	650	2,520
1147 Annie Boggs	9	738	9,900	9,830
1148 Axel R. Erickson	32	3538	3,600	1,530
1149 Peter Platnikoff	9	1176	1,100	1,500
1150 Morris Wise	18A	1377	1,450	1,170
1151 T. L. Berman	32	482	7,400	1,700
1152 T. L. Berman	41	1386	5,850	1,670
1153 T. L. Berman	37	1660	3,600	1,250
1154 B. A. Meyer	29	1653	1,900	1,180
1155 D. L. Hunter	8-2-3-4-5-6-7	715	275,000	110,700
1157 Marietta Munziato14/15/16	6968	4,000	1,040	
		3,600	1,010	
		3,600	1,020	
1158 M. Rabinovick	12/14	819	14,400	4,410
1159 Theresa Frustuk	16	1571	5,000	1,120
1160 Frieda Meiswinkel	46	1252	1,000	1,490
1161 K. McClaughey	14	6579	200	750
1162 K. McClaughey	35	3609	300	150
1163 R. O'Connor	22	1243	1,600	1,980
1164 L. Singer	14	1584	870
1165 Rose Stiller	18	3726	3,950	2,700
1166 Rose Stiller	43	1562	3,250	1,200
1167 Rose Stiller	5	1185	1,750	2,420
1168 Yetta Cohn	14	775	3,050	2,060
1169 R. E. Montrose	22	556	3,800	2,540
1170 E. Coutts	40	5522	660
1171 August Meyer	6A	828	2,000	1,650
1172 Frank Blazek	15	6525	2,000	1,220
1173 Peter Merovingo	35	3533	26,000	3,150
1174 Philip Rabinovich	25	1237	2,250	2,330
1175 Chin Seck Hong	26	226	2,250	1,890
1176 K. A. Nieminen	25	3651	2,700	1,380
1177 Ada T. Hinkel	2	1682	3,200	1,140
1178 Ada T. Hinkel	8	1667	3,600	1,250
1179 Ada T. Hinkel	22	2698	1,800	1,300
1180 Ada T. Hinkel	23/24	1204	4,050	2,200
1181 A. D. Stewart	16	1291	830
1182 Celia Klein	18	1255	2,350	1,740
1183 L. M. Pakenham	9	1216	900	2,200
1184 L. M. Pakenham	43	1253	1,450	1,540
1185 H. J. Nolan	8A	1152	12,500	3,440

	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Block</i>	<i>Building</i>	<i>Land</i>
T. F. Woodley	16	1165	300	1,010
Thos. F. Woodley	19	793	3,950	2,740
Leonora Mayer	29	797	1,950	2,480
Edith H. Kenyon	15	7464	1,000
Frank E. Frates	9	1337	2,300	2,000
Rose Goldstein	28	729	4,400	1,440
Rose Goldstein	26	777	2,300	3,290
Rose Goldstein	23/24	2650	400	1,470

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents were called, duly sworn and testified in the pending investigation, and their applications disposed of as follows, to-wit:

No. 379, Pauline Benesch, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$4,200 on improvements and \$2,200 on land. Property cost \$16,750, consists of 3-story building, 21 rooms, carries a mortgage of \$6,500 and insurance of \$9,000.

Laid over temporarily.

No. 457, Anna C. Weichhart, 181 Beale street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,200 on improvements and \$5,500 on the land. Carries a mortgage of \$7,300 and insurance for \$6,800.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 484, Flora Vautier, 3364 22d street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,100 on improvements and \$1,610 on the land. Supervisor Hayden moved a reduction of \$600 on improvements.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

No. 490, F. J. McWilliamson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,000 on improvements and \$5,400 on the land. Improvements consist of 2 flats, 7 rooms, insured for \$7,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 550, Alexander Clavere, requested reduction on property assessed at \$1,550 on improvements and \$4,480 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Over temporarily.

No. 686, D. Cerelli, 556 Columbus avenue, requests reduction on property assessed for \$3,000 on improvements and \$9,000 on the land. Property consists of 3-story building, 14 rooms, 2 stores, cost \$18,500 and mortgage for \$6,500.

Board of Appraisers report that this property has been reduced by the Assessor this year \$400.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction on the land of 10 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

No. 692, W. M. Secor, 604 26th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,400 on the improvements and \$1,040 on the land.

Property consists of 2-story building, 11 rooms, built about 10 years ago at a cost of \$13,500. Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Laid over until next meeting.

No. 695, Louis Braunstein, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,500 on improvements and \$1,320 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 699, Neal Henry, 1726 Grove street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$7,200 on improvements and \$2,270 on the land. Improvements consist of six apartments, 18 rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 712, Josephine de J. Dempster, requested reduction on property assessed \$1,800 on improvements and \$1,440 on the land. Property is insured for \$6,000.

Application denied.

No. 713, Douglas L. Bruce, requested reduction on land assessed for \$2,290. Stated that the property was assessed for \$2,290 on the land. House above the grade and assessed out of proportion to adjoining property.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved to reduce 10 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri—4.

No. 719, Alice Kelstrum, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$100 on improvements, and \$1,400 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Reduced \$100.

No. 738, Minnie Fricke, 1959 Oak street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,000 on improvements and \$1,250 on the land. Building cost \$21,000, consists of six apartments, 22 rooms, basement, and garage for four cars. Insured for \$15,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 745, S. Martinson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements and \$1,740 on the land. Building cost \$12,450. Consists of 2 flats, insured for \$8,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 765, Lillian Dowler, requested reduction on property assessed for \$7,000 on improvements and \$380 on the land. Improvements consist of building of 16 rooms. Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Application denied.

No. 794, Herman Kalisher, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,415 on improvements and \$2,100 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on improvements.

No objection. *So ordered.*

No. 800, Morris Turner, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,600 on improvements and \$1,840 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 802, Rose Kubli, 959 Plymouth avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,500 on improvements and \$350 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved a reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 810, L. Rubin, requested a reduction on properties assessed for \$1,450 on improvements and \$1,660 on the land. Property consists of 16 rooms, insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of \$100. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 820, M. E. Gluckman, requested reduction on property assessed for \$10,250 on improvements, \$3,750 on the land. Property consists of a building of 32 rooms. Can't sell it at the assessed price.

Board of Appraisers report property has been reduced \$1,000. Whereupon, *application was denied*.

No. 849, M. M. Reiter requested reduction on property assessed for \$54,000 on improvements and \$6,660 on the land. Property was acquired in 1931, carries a mortgage of \$17,000 and another of \$10,000.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri—3.

No. 869, L. A. Walter, 1118 Church street, corner 23d, requested reduction on property assessed \$1,100 on improvements and \$1,330 on the land. Building cost \$5,000. Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Over until next meeting.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 10:30 p. m. took a recess until Saturday, July 14, 1934, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

SATURDAY, JULY 14, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessments on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Communication.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Memorandum.

July 14, 1934.

Lot 1-A of Block 1145 is assessed on the 1934 Assessment Roll for \$25,870. This lot is a portion of that which was the Masonic Cemetery.

On March 1, 1934, a declaration of abandonment as a portion of the cemetery property was recorded on this parcel of land. On the same day a petition for confirmation of sale from the Cemetery Association to the University of San Francisco was filed. The confirmation of sale was granted on March 12, 1934. On the day of assessment (March 5, 1934) this parcel of land was not used or held exclusively for the burial or other permanent deposit of the human dead. Therefore the cemetery exemption did not apply.

The title to the property had not yet passed to the University of San Francisco and the exemption accorded to institutions of collegiate grade could not apply as of the first Monday of March.

Since the first Monday of March and prior to the first of day of July, the title to this parcel passed to the University of San Francisco and should be, in fact, exempt from taxation.

The County Board of Equalization has not the power to eliminate the assessment from the roll but the County Board of Equalization has the power to reduce the assessment, under the circumstances, to a purely nominal sum, say \$10.

HAROLD BOYD, Deputy Assessor.

Reduction.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, duly made and *carried*, the foregoing assessment was reduced to \$10 by unanimous vote.

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared, were duly sworn and heard, and the applications *denied*, to-wit:

Nos. 282, 473, 496, 500, \$05, 591, 595, 617, 635, 639, 640, 654, 656, 664, 690, 718, 730, 757, 776, 777, 793, 799, 801, 834, 840, 845, 853, 854, 855, 856, 872, 898, 904, 921, 923, 937, 938, 955, 964, 977, 993, 994, 995, 1018.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applicants or their agents were called, duly sworn and testified in the pending investigation, and their applications disposed of as follows, to-wit:

No. 186, Isabel Allen, requested reduction on property assessed for \$300 on improvements and \$425 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 191, J. Daniel, 2460 15th avenue, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$1,600 on improvements and \$500 on the land. Building consists of 5 rooms, mortgaged for \$3,200.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 282, Adanell Girwin, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,250 on improvements and \$1,940 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$250.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisor Havenner, Hayden—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri, Gallagher—4.

No. 379, Pauline Benesch, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,200 on improvements and \$220 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the assessment be reduced \$1,700. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 410, D. F. Dinneen, 479 Webster street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,100 on improvements and \$1,780 on the land. Carries no insurance.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Roncovieri—3.

No. 473, C. A. A. Rosen, requested reduction on property assessed for \$13,000 on improvements and \$2,200 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 496, R. W. Hawkins, — Presidio avenue, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$2,450 on improvements and \$1,760 on the land. Improvements consist of 2 flats, 10 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$13,000, carries a mortgage for \$5,500, insured for \$5,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 500, Peter Sexton, 546 30th street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,300 on improvements and \$380 on the land. Property was acquired at a cost of \$4,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 505, Pietro Busca, corner Franklin and Greenwich streets, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,600 on improvements and \$2,220 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 550, Alexander Clavere, 246-48 21st avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,600 on improvements and \$1,250 on the land. Consists of flats erected 11 or 12 years ago at a cost of \$8,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$300. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 582, Clara A. Hurssell, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,000 on improvements, and \$3,040 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction from \$6,000 to \$5,000 on buildings. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 583, Anita F. Jackson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,000 on improvements and \$2,870 on the land. Building consists of 15 rooms, mortgaged for \$1,800, insured for \$4,500. Cost \$6,200, has been vacant for 5 years.

Board of Appraisers recommend \$400 reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved an additional reduction of \$600.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment that the property be reduced \$500. There being no objection, amendment *carried*.

No. 589, J. Daniel, 2468 15th avenue, requested reduction property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$500 on the land. Building erected in 1927, mortgaged for \$3,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 590, J. Daniel, 2436-52 15th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,200 on the improvements and \$2,500 on the land. Improvements consist of 5 buildings, mortgaged for \$16,960, and insured for \$10,960.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$200 each on the 5 buildings, a total of \$1,000. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 592, J. Daniel, 2456 8th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$500 on the land. Building consists of 5 rooms, mortgaged for \$3,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend \$200 reduction. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 594, A. G. Sala, 183 14th street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,500 on the improvements and \$1,900 on the land. Building consists of 8 apartments, 16 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$14,750, mortgaged for \$9,000, insured for \$10,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the reduction be granted. Motion *carried*.

No. 595, A. G. Sala, requested reduction on lot assessed for \$1,620.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 635, A. G. Sala, 2931 16th street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,550 on improvements and \$2,830 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 639, Louis N. Stevenot, 350 23d avenue, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$4,050 on improvements and \$1,200 on the land. Property consists of 2 flats, 12 rooms, 1 garage, insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 640, A. G. Sala, requested reduction on property assessed for \$7,500 on improvements and \$4,260 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 654, A. G. Sala, requested reduction on lot assessed for \$810.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 656, A. G. Sala, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,400 on improvements and \$23,060 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 658, Aetna E. Lawry, 772 Ashbury street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,380 on improvements and \$1,600 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200 on the building. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 662, V. Gullmes, 126 2d avenue, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$9,800 on improvements and \$1,350 on the land. Building consists of 6 apartments, 18 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$18,000, carries a mortgage for \$12,500, and insured for \$12,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend assessment of building be reduced \$300. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 663, V. Gullmes, 555 Broderick street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$11,750 on improvements and \$2,400 on the land. Building consists of 29 rooms, carries a mortgage of \$19,000, and insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers report that the Assessor had reduced this property \$1,000 this year. No further reduction recommended.

Over temporarily.

No. 664, May E. Baker, 2825 Castro street, requested reduction on improvements assessed for \$13,000 on improvements and \$4,120 on the land. Building erected in 1915, apartments, consisting of 58 rooms,

no mortgage, insured for \$25,000. She complained property was depreciated because negroes lived in the neighborhood.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of 10 per cent. No second. *Application denied.*

No. 690, John A. M. Boller, requested reduction on two lots, respectively assessed for \$6,160 and \$10,200.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 703, Brewster F. Ames, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,700 on improvements and \$3,700 on the land.

Reduction of \$200 *granted.*

No. 718, Elizabeth Muzzy, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,450 on improvements and \$8,920 on the land. Supervisor Shannon moved a 10 per cent reduction. No second. *Application denied.*

No. 730, R. L. Lewis, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$4,800 on improvements and \$3,360 on the land. Building consists of 24 rooms, cost \$23,000, mortgaged for \$3,500, and insured for \$12,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 757, Refugio Lopez De Victoria, 16 Turk street, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$400 improvements and \$1,790 on the land. Property cost \$6,750, insured for \$3,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 776, William Kearny, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,700 on improvements and \$1,540 on the land. Improvements consist of building of 13 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$7,500. Mortgaged for \$4,000 and is insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Over temporarily.

No. 777, Margaret Oehler, 246 12th avenue, near Clement, requested reduction on property assessed for \$400 on improvements and \$1,300 on the land. Consists of a house of 5 rooms and garage, mortgaged for \$1,800.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 788, H. A. Gutierrez, 21st and Harrison streets, requests a reduction on property assessed for \$500 on improvements and \$1,640 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$250. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

No. 790, Bert Anthes, 266 27th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$250 on improvements and \$1,330 on the land. Consists of a house of 4 rooms, mortgaged for \$200, insured for \$1,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$50.

Supervisor Hayden moved reduction be granted. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

No. 792, Sandra Hagg, 448 Dewey boulevard, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,900 on improvements and \$840 on the land. Building consists of house of 5 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$8,700, mortgaged for \$3,400, insured for \$5,000.

Over until Monday.

No. 793, J. H. Irwin, requested reduction on property assessed for \$10,800 on improvements and \$2,100 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 799, A. Rubenstein, 1730 Hyde street, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$9,500 on improvements and \$2,190 on the land. Property cost \$13,500, insured for \$18,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 833, Emma Matheson, requested reduction on lot assessed for \$350. Reduced \$250.

No. 834, Emma Matheson, requested reduction on lot assessed for \$150.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 840, Martha Elizabeth Johnson, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,600 on improvements and \$980 on the land. Building consists of 2 flats, 10 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$13,000, mortgaged for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 841, Elbert N. Ames, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,050 on improvements and \$18,990 on the land. Carries a mortgage of \$65,200, insurance has been canceled.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Reduced to \$10 on improvements.

No. 845, Elbert N. Ames, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,100 on improvements and \$8,710 on the land.

Application denied.

No. 847, Katherine Sedgwick, 332-4 Divisadero street, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$1,850 on the improvements and \$2,150 on the land. Building consists of flats 40 years old, 12 rooms, cost \$8,000, mortgaged for \$1,000, insured for \$500.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$400. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 850, Gertrude Marinelli, 75 Rausch street, near Howard, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,050 on the building and \$910 on the land. Improvements consist of 4 flats, 14 rooms, mortgaged for \$750, and insured for \$6,500.

Supervisor Gallagher moved a 10 per cent reduction. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 853, H. G. Hornlein, requested reduction on property assessed for \$20,000 on improvements and \$198,460 on the land. Improvements consist of 5 stores, insured for \$65,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 854, H. G. Hornlein (Keystone Apartments), south side of Washington, west of Sacramento, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements, \$4,800 on the land. Building erected in 1911.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Ronco-
vieri—5.

No. 855, H. G. Hornlein, 1309 Hyde street, requested reduction on properties assessed for \$90,000 on improvements and \$19,060 on the land. Carries insurance for \$130,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 856, H. G. Hornlein ——— Sacramento street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$12,000 on improvements and \$6,000 on the land. Improvements consist of building, 54 rooms, mortgaged for \$12,220, and insured for \$33,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 866, Isadore Zeimer, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$16,000 on improvements and \$3,260 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$1,000. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

No. 872, Edward Denke, 1317 Hyde street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,000 on improvements and \$4,130 on the land. Consists of 6 apartments of 28 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$14,000, mortgaged for \$6,000, insured for \$10,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 898, George J. Jobst, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,100 on improvements, \$1,750 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 904, Z. Brasfield, 825 27th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,450 on improvements and \$1,000 on the land. Building carries a mortgage of \$3,308 and is insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 905, Z. Brasfield, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,050 on improvements and \$3,750 on the land. Property consists of 4 flats mortgaged for \$13,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the reduction be granted. There being no objection, it was so ordered.

No. 916, J. Larretai, 3659-77 20th street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$10,100 on improvements, and \$5,880 on the land. Building consists of lodging house of 40 rooms, 10 baths.

Over until Monday.

No. 921, C. J. Preovolos, 1963 Golden Gate avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,000 on improvements and \$1,630 on the land. Consists of flats which cost \$12,800, containing 10 rooms. Mortgaged for \$6,300, and insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 923, Ida Weick, requested reduction on property assessed for \$700 on improvements and \$6,910 on the land. Property consists of building of 7 rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 937, A. M. Tadwick, 1734 Taraval street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements and \$1,130 on the land. Consists of apartments, erected in 1925, contract price of \$5,050, cost \$9,000. Mortgaged for \$3,500, and insured for \$3,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 938, Edwin T. Peterson, requests reduction on property assessed for \$92,000 on improvements and \$15,000 on the land, situated at Turk

and Leavenworth streets, acquired at a cost of \$118,500, consists of 67 rooms.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 950, Patrick Furlong, 1367 39th avenue, requests a reduction on property assessed for \$1,700 on the improvements and \$650 on the land. Building acquired at a cost of \$6,200, mortgaged for \$2,700, and insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$300. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 953, Ann M. Dickey, 1280 31st avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$900 on the land. Building erected in 1923, consists of 6 rooms, mortgaged for \$4,000, and insured for \$4,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 964, E. Ashley, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,450 on improvements and \$1,520 on the land. Property is insured for \$8,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied.*

No. 965, E. Ashley, 724-6 Bush street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,550 on improvements and \$2,150 on the land. Building consists of 2 flats, 14 rooms, and basement. Cost \$4,000. Mortgaged for \$4,000. Insured for \$6,500.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a 10 per cent reduction. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 967, K. Weber, 611 Bryant street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,400 on improvements and \$1,300 on the land. Consists of 3 flats, 18 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$6,000. No mortgage, insured for \$4,000.

Over until Monday.

No. 976, Margaret O'Leary, requested reduction on property assessed for \$14,000 on improvements and \$4,130 on the land. Property is mortgaged for \$17,500 on the 1st, and \$5,000 on the 2d, is insured for \$22,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$1,000. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 977, Maurice E. Lebin, 1865 Sacramento street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,500 on improvements, \$5,000 on the land. Consists of a building of 33 rooms, 6 apartments, insured for \$18,000, acquired at a cost of \$42,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved 10 per cent reduction on account of the depreciated condition of surrounding property.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Shannon—3.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

No. 984, Clem Wiseman, 3420 Sacramento street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$1,100 on improvements and \$2,200 on the land.

Building consists of flats, 10 rooms, mortgaged for \$4,500 and insured for \$3,500.

Board of Appraisers recommends reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 993, Howard Thurber, requests reduction on property assessed for \$6,750 on improvements and \$8,010 on the land. Consists of 4 apartments of 16 rooms, carries a mortgage of \$15,000, and insured for \$16,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 994, Howard Thurber, 2943 Balboa street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,800 on improvements and \$890 on the land. Acquired at a cost of \$9,000, consists of 8 rooms, insured for \$10,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved 10 per cent reduction. No second. *Application denied*.

No. 995, Howard Thurber, 2953 Balboa street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$8,550 on improvements and \$1,480 on the land. Contract price for building was \$19,500. Building consists of 21 rooms, mortgaged for \$8,500, insured for \$12,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 1018, Gene W. Carter, 1218 Leavenworth street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,350 on improvements and \$3,410 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. *Application denied*.

No. 1039, K. Benson, 235 37th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,400 on improvements and \$1,330 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Communication.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Memo for Mr. Phillips: Will you please note the attached copy of a letter received today.

This property is designated officially as Lot 32 of Block 4207. Investigation develops that subsequent to the first Monday of March of 1934, the building on this lot was removed. The assessed valuation on the assessment roll against the building is \$800.

The Assessor has no authority to remove the assessment in view of the fact that the building existed on the first Monday of March.

The County Board of Equalization should, on motion, reduce the assessed valuation to a wholly nominal amount—say \$10—in view of the fact that the County Board of Equalization has not the power to eliminate entirely any assessment from the roll.

H. J. BOYD.

Montana, July 7, 1934.

Block 4207, Lot 32.

Assessor, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir: Am in the country traveling and have no opportunity to inspect assessment on property located at 2949 Twenty-third street, San Francisco, situated on corner of Alabama and Twenty-third streets.

Since Assessor has been there building has been torn down. Verification of this statement can be made by phone to Vasallio Wreckers on Army street.

Thanking you for the attention given this matter. I remain

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) ELEANOR O'KEEFE,

1382 South Van Ness avenue, San Francisco.

P. S.—Will be in city after August 10. Would like a reply on this matter. Thank you.

Reduction.

On motion duly made and *carried* the assessment on improvements above referred to was reduced \$10.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization, at the hour of 1:45 p. m., took a recess until Monday, July 16, 1934, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, JULY 16, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Equalization reassembled for the purpose of continuing the hearings of applicants for reduction or correction of assessment on the Assessment Roll for the fiscal year 1934-35.

ROLL CALL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Applications Denied.

The following numbered applications were called, the applicants or their agents who appeared were duly sworn and heard, and the applications denied, to-wit:

Nos. 535, 539, 540, 552, 631, 673, 687, 740, 746, 756, 789, 792, 809, 818, 824, 846, 871, 911, 916, 918, 929, 933, 934, 974, 975, 1001, 1015, 1021, 1030, 1031, 1036, 1040, 1041, 1045, 1048, 1050, 1052, 1053, 1057, 1065, 1066, 1069, 1074, 1077, 1079, 1081, 1083, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1104, 1105, 1109, 1127, 1128, 1139, 1142, 1160, 1191.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments.

The following applications were called, the applicants or their agents duly sworn, were heard, and the applications acted upon as follows:

No. 308, Lillie A. Marks, requested reduction on property assessed for \$15,000 on improvements and \$10,000 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a 10 per cent reduction on improvements.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 473, C. A. A. Rosen, 1580 Golden Gate avenue, requests reduction on property assessed for \$13,000 on the building and \$2,200 on the

land. Improvements were constructed in 1927, consists of 10 apartments of 28 rooms, mortgaged for \$12,500 and insured for \$19,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend 10 per cent reduction on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 501, Lillian C. Brine, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,550 on improvements and \$1,880 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$50 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 534, Al Bonea Vezzani, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,200 on improvements and \$300 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$100.

No objection. *So ordered*.

No. 535, Albonea Vezzani, requested reduction on property assessed for \$200 on improvements and \$380 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommends improvements be reduced to \$120. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 536, E. Bianchi, 781 46th avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,100 on improvements and \$1,080 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$100 on improvements.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 539, Albonea Vezzani, requested reduction on property assessed for \$950 on improvements and \$500 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 540, Albonea Vezzani, 1708 Nineteenth street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$950 on improvements and \$680 on the land. Consists of three 3-room flats.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 551, Ann Connolly, 1123 Dolores street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,150 on improvements and \$480 on the land. Building consists of 10 rooms, mortgaged for \$4,000 and insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 552, Hannie I. Connolly, 24th and Dolores streets, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,600 on improvements and \$2,550 on the land. Building consists of 10 rooms acquired at a cost of \$12,250, mortgaged for \$4,000 and insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 582, Clara A. Hurssell, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,000 on improvements and \$3,040 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$1,000 on improvements.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 657, F. Pattasz, 545 Broadway, requested reduction on property assessed for \$12,750 on improvements and \$12,280 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$1,750 on the buildings, making the assessment thereon \$11,000.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 662, V. Gullmes, 126 2d avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,800 on improvements and \$1,350 on the land. Property consists of six apartments, 18 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$18,000, mortgaged for \$12,500, insured for \$12,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend \$300 reduction.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 663, V. Gullmes, located at 555 Broderick street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$11,750 on improvements, \$2,400 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend that assessment be reduced to \$11,000.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 695, Louis Braunstein, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,500 on improvements and \$1,320 on the land.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of 10 per cent on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 719, Alice Kelstrom, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$700 on improvements.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the assessment be reduced to \$100.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 740, Martin Brown, 3554 Twentieth street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$4,300 on improvements and \$1,900 on the land. Consists of a building of 30 rooms acquired at a cost of \$13,250.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 755, Rose Fleming, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$6,050 on the land. Consists of a rooming house of 12 rooms, mortgaged for \$4,000 on the first and \$500 on the second.

Supervisor Shannon moved deduction of \$200 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 756, Massimo Peri, 671-81 Broadway, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$4,700 on the land. Building consists of six apartments, acquired at a cost of \$16,250, mortgaged for \$6,000, and insured for \$2,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of 10 per cent. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 818, N. T. Giacomini, 475 Fulton street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$13,500 on improvements and \$4,500 on the land. Carries a mortgage of \$45,000, insured for \$15,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 825, William Raisch, requested reduction on property assessed for \$6,500 on improvements and \$3,600 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 826, William Raisch, Pierce and Golden Gate avenue, requested reduction on land assessed for \$3,700 and \$70,000 on improvements. Building cost \$7,000, consists of 21 apartments, 42 rooms, mortgaged for \$26,500; insured for \$30,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of 10 per cent on the building. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 827, William Raisch, Webster and Washington streets, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,350 on improvements and \$7,390 on the land. Building cost \$12,500, consists of 30 rooms, mortgaged for \$5,000, insured for \$4,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$100. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 869, L. A. Walter, 1118 Church street, requested reduction on building assessed for \$1,100.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of \$100.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 871, Lydia Walter, 6135 Fulton street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$1,200 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Hayden moved a reduction of \$180 on improvements. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 911, L. Boutonnet, 130 Thornton avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$850 on improvements and \$250 on the land. Building consists of four rooms, erected in 1915. Carries a mortgage of \$1,200, insured for \$3,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 916, J. Larretai, 3659-3677 20th street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$10,100 on improvements and \$5,880 on the land. Building consists of 45 rooms acquired at a cost of \$19,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a 10 per cent reduction. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 961, E. Ashley, 581 Ivy avenue, requested reduction on property situated at 786 Bush street, assessed for \$1,200 on improvements and \$1,970 on the land. Consists of two flats, 14 rooms, carrying mortgage of \$2,800 and insurance of \$6,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend \$100 reduction on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 967, K. Weber, 611 Bryant street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,400 on improvements and \$1,300 on the land. Building consists of flats erected in 1907 at a cost of \$6,000, containing 18 rooms, insured for \$4,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the improvements be reduced to \$2,000.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

No—Supervisor Brown—1.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Roncovieri—4.

No. 979, Timothy J. O'Connor, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,350 on improvements and \$8,860 on the land. Building consists of seven rooms, insured for \$7,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved reduction of 10 per cent. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 985, W. J. Harrington, 934 Brannan street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$14,350 on improvements and \$47,250 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$1,350 on the land. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1013, Mrs. L. E. Duncan, requested reduction on property assessed for \$650 on improvements and \$2,210 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend deduction of \$50 on improvements. There being no objection, *so ordered*.

No. 1024, S. Gidalobitz, requested reduction on property assessed for \$4,050 on improvements and \$2,070 on the land.

Supervisor Shannon moved for reduction of 10 per cent on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1029, G. Hartman, 1237-39 Grove street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,900 on improvements and \$1,650 on the land. Consists of flats acquired at a cost of \$7,750, 12 rooms, mortgaged for \$5,000 on the first, and \$1,600 on the second.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 5 per cent. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1037, Sarah J. O'Connor, 224 Pacific avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,850 on improvements and \$3,750 on the land. Consists of flats built in 1904, carries a mortgage of \$6,000, insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, recommended a reduction of 10 per cent. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1048, Ida K. Wahl, 428 11th avenue, near Geary, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements and \$1,250 on the land. Building consists of 10 rooms, acquired at a cost of \$10,375, carries a mortgage of \$5,000, and is insured for \$6,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

No. 1049, Ida K. Wahl, Taraval street, west of 25th avenue, requested reduction on land assessed for \$300.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 10 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

No. 1051, Anna Strickland, 2445 Folsom street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$11,500 on improvements and \$2,720 on the land. Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500 on improvements. No objection, *so ordered*.

No. 1052, Anna Strickland, requests reduction on land assessed for \$2,510.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1057, A. W. Thornton, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$1,040 on the land. Building consists

of six rooms, garage for two cars, acquired at a cost of \$8,500, mortgaged for \$4,879, insured for \$4,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent on improvements.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

No. 1069, Edna Hansen, 330 Ritch street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$7,600 on improvements and \$3,660 on the land. Three-story warehouse.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1074, S. Allen, 3532 California street, requested a reduction on property assessed for \$3,600 on improvements and \$1,280 on the land. Consists of building of 10 rooms acquired at a cost of \$13,000, carries a first mortgage of \$4,000 and a second of \$3,000, is insured for \$7,200.

Supervisor Shannon moved a reduction of 10 per cent. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 1077, Margaret B. Curry, block bounded by Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, P and Q streets, requests reduction on said block assessed for \$3,940.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1078, Margaret B. Curry, 616 Bush street, requests reduction on property assessed for \$4,500 on improvements and \$8,290 on the land. Consists of 19 flats built 50 years ago.

Supervisor Shannon moved to reduce 5 per cent on improvements. No objection, *so ordered*.

No. 1079, Margaret B. Curry, requests reduction on land assessed for \$4,950.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1090, W. J. O'Brien, Cole, near Waller street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$900 on improvements and \$1,730 on the land. Building consists of eight rooms, acquired at a cost of \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of 10 per cent. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 1091, Frank C. Miller, Irving street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, requested reduction on land assessed for \$8,240.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1097, Elling Ellingson, 700 Castro street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$12,000 on improvements and \$1,480 on the land. Building consists of apartments acquired at a cost of \$32,000, mortgaged for \$17,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a 5 per cent reduction. No second. Application *denied*.

No. 1105, Frank C. Miller, Irving street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, requested a reduction on a lot assessed for \$1,500, containing a 3-room shack.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1106, Violet Fowler, requested a reduction on land assessed for \$1,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved a reduction of 10 per cent. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1125, Merlin W. Porter, 15 Mikado way, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,500 on improvements and \$1,590 on the land. Consists of 14 rooms and garage, erected in 1929 at a cost of \$14,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$500. No objection, *so ordered*.

No. 1126, A. G. Burns, 2141 Washington street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$2,250 on improvements and \$2,700 on the land. Building consists of three apartments, 21 rooms, insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1131, J. J. Blumenfeld, requested reduction on property assessed for \$3,250 on improvements and \$1,130 on the land. Building consists of flats acquired in 1927 at a cost of \$6,500, consisting of 11 rooms, mortgaged for \$3,600, and insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on the building. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1137, Anna Radomsky, 1216-18 Balboa street, requests reduction on property assessed at \$1,300 on improvements and \$1,700 on the land. Consists of flats and garage for two cars, seven rooms in each, acquired at a cost of \$8,250.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$150. No objection, it is *so ordered*.

No. 1139, Evelyn E. Gray, 3150 Palou avenue, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,000 on improvements and \$1,110 on the land. Building consists of five rooms, mortgaged for \$4,500. Reduction requested on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

No. 1140, M. M. Jason, 1920 Fillmore street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,800 on improvements and \$4,340 on the land. Consists of rooming house.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$200. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1141, Elsie Nelson, 2041 Oak street, requested reduction on property assessed for \$5,000 on improvements, and \$1,500 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250.

Supervisor Uhl recommended that the reduction be allowed. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1144, Mary Quigley, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,250 on improvements and \$2,250 on the land.

Board of Appraisers recommend reduction of \$250 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1147, Annie Boggs, requested reduction on property assessed for \$9,900 on improvements and \$9,830 on the land. Building consists of flats, mortgaged for \$5,350.

Board of Appraisers recommend a reduction of \$400.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the reduction be granted. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1154, B. A. Meyer, 11th avenue near Fulton, requested reduction on property assessed for \$1,900 on improvements and \$1,180 on the land. Consists of seven-room house, insured for \$4,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl moved a reduction of \$400 on improvements. There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

No. 1191, Rose Goldstein, requested reduction on flat, mortgaged for \$6,500.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved a reduction of 20 per cent.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Roncovieri.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2. —

No. 1192, Rose Goldstein, 1443-5 McAllister street, requested reduction on building consisting of eleven rooms, mortgaged for \$10,000, and insured for \$5,000.

Board of Appraisers recommend no reduction. Application *denied*.

Communication.

The following was read by the Clerk:

July 16th, 1934.

Memo for Mr. Phillips:

Improvements on the properties listed below having been removed subsequent to the first Monday of March, should, on motion before the County Board of Equalization, be assessed at a nominal figure:

<i>Block</i>	<i>Lot</i>	<i>Is</i>	<i>Should Be</i>
5469	1	\$500	\$10
939	6	50	10

The County Board of Equalization has not the power to eliminate the assessment entirely. The Assessor is not authorized to remove the assessment in view of the fact that the structures were in existence on the first Monday of March.

H. J. BOYD.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction of \$10 be made in each instance.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Abandoned Property, Masonic Cemetery.

Communication from Masonic Cemetery Association calling attention to assessment for \$25,870 on the abandoned property of the Masonic Cemetery Association, and requesting reduction to a nominal sum.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the assessment be reduced to \$10.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Arbitrary List.

W. E. Meyers, assessed for \$1,500, should be \$584.

Supervisor Shannon moved reduction to \$600.

No objection, *so ordered*.

Arbitrary List.

The following matter was taken up:

June 2, 1934.

The Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Section 3633 of the Political Code of the State of California provides that on or before the first day of July, the Assessor must transmit to the Board of Supervisors a verified report in writing, separate from the Assessment Roll, containing a complete list of persons who have refused or neglected to furnish the property statement required by section 3629 of the Political Code.

There is attached hereto and made a portion of this communication, the statement required by section 3633.

The valuation estimates listed on the attached statement cover personal property exclusively, and real property of which the persons named in the attached list were the owners of record as of the first Monday of March, 1934, having been duly assessed by me.

The estimated valuation of the personal property covered by the attached list has been arbitrarily arrived at and in the judgment of the Assessor does not in any case exceed the true value of such property as nearly as such valuation may be ascertained.

Each person named in the attached list has been requested in writing by the Assessor to file the property statement required by section 3629 of the Political Code of the State.

Yours truly,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN, Assessor.

161	A A Mission Typewriter Exchange, 2269 Mission St.....	\$ 300
45	A C Radio Doctor, 1528a Union St.....	200
147	Abbey Carpenter Shop, 1372 Mission St.....	100
8	Abelein, Mme. Marie, 212 Stockton St.....	400
71	Aception, Clara, 1572 and 1566 Divisadero St.....	300
57	Achenbach, M. S., 2618 Buchanan St.....	600
12	Ackerman, J. H., 335 Powell St.....	300
95	Acme Candy Store, 2301 Clement St.....	400
82	Acme Garage, 424 Stanyan St.....	1,250
54	Acme Plastering Co., 1106 Buchanan St.....	250
61	Adams, Jean, 2511 Sacramento St.....	250
60	Adams, John, 2263 Lombard St.....	150
33	Adams, W. F., 605 Front St.....	200
5	Adcraft Letter Co., 222 Kearny St., Rm. 307.....	300
19	Adelle Apts. (Greenbaum, A), 1137 Bush St.....	1,000
17	Aetna Garage, 525 Jones St.....	600
5	Ainsworth, Frank H., St., 235 Montgomery St., Rm. 1629..	150
55	Aizawa, K., 1714 Buchanan St.....	200
127	Albury, George, 129 Capistrano Ave.....	150
58	Aldrich, E., 2090 Green St., No. 24.....	550
165	Alessandro, Guisippe, 2673 Mission St.....	100
59	Alexander Cafe, 2156 Chestnut St.....	400
9	Alexander, Edwin A., 450 Sutter St., No. 932.....	100
152	Alfonso, Jose, 785 Market St.; 25 Dorantes Ave.....	210
42	Alhambra Products Co., 2231 Polk St.....	2,000
26	Alhambra Studio, 1749 Sacramento St.....	150
104	Allan, George, 1483 21st Ave.....	250
96	Allbin, W. D., 2610 Lake St., No. 3.....	250
150	Allen, Bob, 935 Market St., No. 600.....	300
46	Allen, Mrs. Florence, 1960 Clay St.....	300
5	Allen, L., 381 Bush St., No. 405.....	100
7	Allison, S. D., 45 Geary St.....	500
115	Allred, Clifford, 1633 Pacheco St.....	150
12	Allum, Dr. L. M., 370 Geary St.....	200

55	Alpers, M., 1407 O'Farrell St.....	700
61	Alta Apts., 2751 Clay St.....	150
48	Altamont Apts. (Furn.), 1610 Sutter St.....	400
48	Altamont Apts. (S L & C), 1610 Sutter St.....	1,000
43	Alvarez, Rafael, 3123 Franklin St.....	800
31	Alvario, M. B., 637½ Pacific Ave.....	100
63	American Electric Sign Co., 1740 Eddy St.....	2,500
32	American Milling & Mining Co., 486 California St., No. 803	200
4	American Quick Coupling Co., 111 Sutter St., No. 834....	300
2	Amery, Reg. J. W., 22 Battery St., No. 416.....	200
59	Anchor Beer Tavern, 2280 Chestnut St.....	300
142	Anderson, Carl A., 3572 20th St.....	750
47	Anderson, Mrs. E., 2195 Sacramento St., No. 404.....	200
49	Anderson, Mae, 983 Golden Gate Ave.....	150
120	Anderson, Michael, 663-A Monterey Blvd.	175
149	Andrews Hotel, 1018 Mission St.....	1,400
152	Andrews, W. A., 785 Market St.....	350
59	Angel, Bill, 2202 Chestnut St.....	50
21	Angel Coffee Shop, 684 Larkin St.....	300
160	Angelus Stained Glass Studios, 1414-16 15th St.....	400
20	Anglo Garage, 570 Ellis St.....	550
89	Anthony, Estate of Joe, 1104 Clement St.....	100
45	Antique Repair Shop, 1851 Union St.....	50
137	Antonini, Edward, 3947 Army St.....	150
3	Anvich, Alfred, 220 Bush St., No. 1600.....	200
4	Apartment & Hotel Owners & Lessees Assn., 46 Kearny St., No. 202	75
148	Apex Radio Supply Co., 54 7th St.....	450
42	Applestone, H., 2211 Polk St.....	150
126	Arc Electric Co., 4792 Mission St.....	1,250
52	Arcadia Beauty Studio, 254 Fillmore St.....	250
69	Ardeane Beauty Salon, 835 Divisadero St.....	300
155	Aristo Painting Co., 473 Bryant St.....	800
145	Armory Auto Repair Shop, 1774 Mission St.....	500
4	Armstrong, Fritz, 690 Market St., No. 332.....	125
57	Armstrong, H. T., 2417 Webster St.....	500
57	Arnstein, Mrs. Walter, 2211 Washington St.....	1,750
32	Artist League Headquarters, 507 Montgomery St., No. 302	50
20	Artistic Beauty Shop, 318 Turk St.....	200
65	Artistic Permanent Wave Shop, 780 Page St.....	250
49	Asaoka, J. S., 987 Golden Gate Ave.....	250
59	Asaro, Victor, 2116 Chestnut St.....	150
40	Ashford, A. F., 2033 Leavenworth St., No. 5.....	200
55	Ashman, Rose, 1574 Ellis St.....	100
5	Associated Adjusters, 110 Sutter St., No. 305-8.....	150
1	Associated Agencies, 19 Drumm St.....	250
8	Associated Health Service, 760 Market St., No. 733....	850
32	Atlantic Hotel, 632 Clay St.....	320
149	Atlas Elevator Co., 34 Harriet St.....	2,000
42	Atlas Garage, 1444 Green St.....	1,200
128	Atlas Iron Works, 875 San Jose Ave.....	100
155	Attwood, Clarence, 625 3d St.....	200
10	Atzeroth, Miss H. E., 950 Mason St., No. 736.....	1,000
12	Audisk Corp., 149 Powell St.....	200
21	Auditorium Novelty Shop, 16 Larkin St.....	100
148	Aunger Co., 32-34 7th St.....	500
4	Austin, M. O., 86 Post St., No. 401-4.....	150
49	Auto Armature Exchange, 715 Turk St.....	500
147	Auto Brake Service, 50-54 8th St.....	250
22	Auto Radio Co., 895 O'Farrell St.....	250
62	Auto Reconstruction, 2253-55 Bush St.....	350
63	Auto Top Shop, 1308 Steiner St.....	50

9	Avary, Hugh, 450 Sutter St., No. 2519; 722 Montgomery St., No. 5.....	800
46	Avenue Food Shop, 1837 Pacific Ave.....	500
35	Avenue Jewelers, 488 Columbus Ave.....	500
129	Ayres, Charles, 4236-42 25th St.....	150
55	Anzalone, Ciro, 1565 Ellis St.....	150
57	Babin, N. C., 2215 Pacific Ave.....	400
60	Bacci, Angalina, 2242 Filbert St.....	150
60	Bacci, Giosul, 3101 Fillmore St.....	150
59	Bacci, Marguerite, 3333 Fillmore St.....	200
160	Bacon & Wyman, 2931 16th St.....	250
54	Badal, D., 964 Fillmore St.....	150
35	Baffa, Gino, 1310 Stockton St.....	200
74	Baffa, Thomas B., 3213 Scott St.....	200
6	Bak Chung Fung, 772 Commercial St.....	200
6	Baker's Buffet, 424 Bush St.....	1,000
76	Bakewell, Thomas V., 224 Cherry St.....	900
35	Baichi, Mike, 533 Green St.....	250
179	Baiger, Jos., 398 Vienna St.....	250
121	Bailey, Bill, 112 Eastwood Dr.....	150
81	Bailey, Ethel N., 1233 Masonic Ave.....	200
167	Bailey & Manning, SE Cor. Hampshire and 24th St.....	200
13	Baim Louis, 883 Bush St.....	100
59	Baiocchi, R., 3242-A Pierce St.....	300
97	Balboa Barber Shop, 2558 Balboa St.....	150
140	Balestrieri, Sal., 2698 Mission St.....	100
8	Ballantine, Jr., John K., 137 Harlan Pl.....	50
3	Ballon, Robert O., 220 Montgomery St., No. 324.....	150
73	Banaz, Mary, 2723 Lombard St.....	100
5	Bancroft, R. E., 235 Montgomery St., No. 2307.....	200
29	Banner Circulating Library, 1546-A Leavenworth St.....	200
99	Barman, Jacob, 840 41st Ave.....	650
104	Barnes, Edward, 1250 20th Ave.....	175
45	Barney Tavern, 1715 Union St.....	450
71	Barrail, Brice, 1439-1443 Divisadero St.....	100
2	Barraut, O. E., 310 California St., No. 203.....	400
129	Barrett, S. C., 4146 25th St.....	125
11	Barrett, Dr. T. F., 350 Post St., No. 601.....	500
51	Barrow Art & Book Concern, Inc., 1686 Market St.....	300
104	Barry, E. D., 1468 18th Ave.....	1,750
32	Barsuglia, A. D., 539 Montgomery St.....	75
12	Bartif, Paul, 67 Powell St.....	150
4	Bartlett, Henry J., 690 Market St. No. 121-22.....	150
141	Bartosch, Mary, 949 Guerrero St.....	200
5	Batchelder Laboratories, Ltd., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1339.....	500
49	Bates, Mrs. E. A., 1101 O'Farrell St.....	200
24	Bath, Bill, 1461 Pine St.....	100
60	Baumgartner, John J., Jr., 2652 Pierce St.....	150
154	Bay Cities Duplicating Co., 625 Market St., No. 703-4.....	500
78	Bay City Cemetery Assn., 3527 Geary Blvd.....	800
14	Bay Point Grill, 319-321 Mason St.....	500
165	Baywood Park Co., 2669 Mission St.....	550
137	Beaton, Donald, 1462 Church St.....	175
32	Beatty, Thomas Henry, 447 Sansome St., No. 5.....	1,150
6	Beauty Star Laundry, 810 Stockton St.....	250
137	Bechthold, Lolita, 1512 Dolores St.....	175
90	Bechtold, Arthur C., 476 15th Ave.....	250
112	Beck, Thos., 3851 Market St.....	150
68	Becker Paint Co., 411 Divisadero St.....	450
16	Beckett, Winifred, 65 Taylor St.....	100
152	Becsey, Roland, 2300 Webster St., No. 404; 703 Market St., No. 608-10.....	150

54	Beeler, N., 1192 Turk St.	50
99	Behlmer, H., 501 38th Ave., No. 205	200
183	Bell, A. R., 3730 Scott St., No. 303	200
57	Bell, Alfred, 2210 Jackson St., No. 603	200
32	Bellanti, G., 580 Washington St., No. 8	150
82	Belle Apartments, 520 Shrader St.	100
92	Belindot, E., 5539 Geary Blvd.	250
4	Benjamin, P. L., 690 Market St., No. 712-17	150
4	Benjamin, Chas. T., 68 Post St., No. 620	150
79	Bentley, Charles, 2143 Golden Gate Ave.	150
11	Benzinger, Dr. Martin, 135 Stockton St., No. 721	500
97	Berg, Julius J., 880 31st Ave.	200
115	Bergman's Cleaning & Pressing, 2356 33rd Ave.; 1922 Taraval St.	350
19	Berkeley Apartments, 851 Post St.	900
97	Berman, Harry L., 878 31st Ave.	400
14	Berman, Leu, 418 Geary St.	500
99	Berman, Maurice, 6338 Fulton St.	150
138	Bernardi, John, 65-A 29th St.	500
26	Bernita Hotel, 1430 Larkin St.	450
3	Berolski, Edw. M., 465 California St., No. 418	100
17	Berry U-Drive of Nevada, Inc., 655 Geary St.	5,000
2	Berryhill, Matt, 7 Front St., No. 116-F	200
154	Bertlee Co., The, 5 3rd St., No. 716-722	200
151	Best Line Distributors, 820 Howard St.	500
148	Best's Bootblack Stand, 1187 Market St.	50
3	Beverly, Horace T., 465 California St., No. 418	100
54	Beyers, P., 1139-1141 McAllister St.	150
35	Biagini, Robert, 366-A Columbus Ave.	200
80	Bicksner, Eugene, 40 Cole	150
144	Biltmore Garage, 351-61 Valencia St.	650
151	Birner, George, 210 4th St.	300
33	Bishop-Pera Co., Inc., 510 Battery St.	700
54	Bjosky, B., 670-670A Fulton St.	75
138	Black, Dr. M. J., 10 29th St.	350
82	Blade, J., 1891 Page St.	200
14	Blanche's Lunch, 197 Eddy St.	500
45	Blass, S., 2517 Van Ness Ave.	100
57	Block, Fred W., 2100 Pacific Ave., No. 11	300
1	Blohme, J. Harry, 24 California St., No. 519	300
3	Bloom, Edw. J., 582 Market St., No. 718	100
155	Blumenthal, B., 235 3rd St.	150
74	Blumenthal, Harry, 3523 Divisadero St.	450
88	Boatright, Chas. R., 534 11th Ave.	150
151	Bob's Barber Shop, 363 5th St.	100
31	Bock Ngar Chy Co., 920 Grant Ave.	1,400
169	Bognuda, Joe, 3145 Mission St.	250
42	Boice, M., 1353 Filbert St.	100
142	Bombay Tavern (D. Thomas), 2204-08 Mission St.	500
3	Bone, John C., 220 Montgomery St., No. 505	250
45	Boni, W., 1876 Green St.	300
132	Booky, Anna, 4092 18th St.	200
122	Bool, Floyd, 350 Moncada Way	400
32	Boomerang Cafe, 948 Kearny St.	400
57	Boone, Dr. T. H., 2351 Washington St., No. 305	100
114	Borasi, John S., 8 Lenox Way	450
99	Borel, H., 579 41st Ave.	200
34	Borella, R., 458 Union St.	100
24	Borman & Decker, 1355 Bush St.	300
33	Boro, Alfonso, 550 Sansome St.	500
40	Borst, W. L., 1145 Union St., No. 12	250
56	Botanical Products Co., 2125 Bush St.	200

10	Bottomley, A. W. J., 1000 Mason St., No. 102	1,000
156	Bouncers Cafe, 64 Townsend St.	350
6	Bow Wah, 56 Waverly Pl.	200
3	Bower, James H., 465 California St., No. 308	150
148	Bowman Cleaners, 1165 Market St.	200
50	Boyle Tire Co., 447 Ivy St.	200
90	Bradbury, M. I., 550 16th Ave.	300
3	Bradley, J. L., 21 Sutter St., No. 2	60
4	Bradley, Harry P., 68 Post St., No. 220	150
71	Bradnell Apartments, 2342-40 Sutter St.	1,000
47	Brady, Nellie, 1809 Gough St.	250
51	Bragg Bros., 186 Hickory St.	300
147	Brake Products Distr., 1415 Folsom St.	1,800
159	Brandlein & Co., R., 2141 Bryant St.	700
12	Braun's, 217 O'Farrell St.	300
166	Brickel Garage, 1144 Capp St.	225
54	Bridgewater, H., 654 Fulton St.	700
48	Bridgewater, Hilton, 1360-64 Geary St.	500
23	Briscol Apts., 946 Geary St.	500
28	Broadway Grocery, 1306 Broadway	750
88	Brock, John, 823 Lake St.	200
71	Broder, Edgar C., 610 4th Ave.	750
170	Brooke, Barton L., 107 Banks St.; 16-16½ Winfield St....	300
45	Brookman, Arnold, 1700 Broadway, No. 202	400
36	Brooks, A., 618 Green St.	150
139	Brooks Brake Lining Repair, 1414 Valencia St.	250
57	Brooks, G. J., 2340 Pacific Ave., No. 4	300
58	Brooks, Nat, 3029 Buchanan St.	150
90	Brounstein, Peter, 765 14th Ave., No. 2	200
157	Brown, A. A., 461 Market St.	75
14	Brown, B. Boynton, 609 Sutter St., Arcade	150
65	Brown, Chas. H., 429 Waller St.	100
182	Brown, Mrs. D. W., 5477 Mission St.	100
103	Brown, Fabian D., 1227 28th Ave; 5 3rd St.	250
117	Brown, H., 311 San Benito Way	750
43	Brown, Irene, 1343 Francisco St.	100
4	Brown, Jos. A., 690 Market St., No. 606-7	300
61	Brown, R. E., 2400 Pacific Ave., No. 807	400
77	Brown, Samuel G., 165 Jordan Ave.	400
129	Brown, Vernon, 534-C Clipper St.	250
115	Brown, Walter R., 2395 29th Ave., No. 2	100
11	Browne, Dr. Roy E., 1450 Chestnut St., No. 206; 135 Stockton St., No. 607	500
4	Browne & Co., R. S., 111 Sutter St., No. 421	650
72	Brugler, Jos. J., 2920-2920A Jackson St.	50
147	Brumm, W. S., 293 9th St.	150
3	Bryan, W. E., 220 Montgomery St.	100
111	Bryant, Al, 1409 Shrader St., No. 6	200
116	Bryant, Walter J., 2582 32nd Ave.	250
99	Bucher, Carroll S., 7330 Geary Blvd.	750
94	Buckley, Adrian, 77 22nd Ave.	250
5	Budd, Richard M., 235 Montgomery St., No. 401	150
53	Bulman, Dr. M. A., 646 Fillmore St.	150
8	Burgess, G., 133 Geary St., No. 602-3	300
45	Burke, John C., 1792 Union St.	250
70	Burn Rite Wood & Coal Co., 1203 Scott St.	250
7	Burns Cigar Stand, 710 Market St.	2,000
117	Burns, E. R., 651 Upland Dr.	200
3	Burns, Emmett, 369 Pine St., No. 518	150
105	Burns, Miss Gladys, 1931 10th Ave.	200
32	Burtchaell, Arthur E., 454 Montgomery St., 4th Fl.	200
3	Burton, R. A., 220 Montgomery St., No. 239	150

11	Butler, Dr. Joseph, 870 Market St.	500
133	Butler, Mrs. Mary, 369 Church St.; 3398 16th St.; 1959 15th St.	250
81	Butt, Ed, 1673 Page St.	200
4	Byrnes, Chas. W., 620 Market St., No. 810	900
1	Byrnes, M. J., 899 Green St., No. 501; 24 California St., No. 518	250
33	Byrnes & Co., W. J., 409 Washington St.	600
150	C and O Buffet, 131 6th St.	300
148	C and S Soap, 1136 Howard St.	130
141	C. T. R. Show Cards, 2588 Mission St., No. 240.	150
158	Cabinet Polish Co., 109 Arkansas St.	200
68	Cabot, Roy, 351 Divisadero St.	400
58	Cagnacci, L., 2030 Lombard St.	200
47	Cahen, Mrs. L. H., 2153 Sacramento St., No. 3.	150
183	Caldwell, R. E., 3800 Scott St., No. 7.	150
2	California Agricultural Chemical Co., 7 Front St., No. 203-F.	150
157	California Auto Park, 66 Spear St.	50
150	California Bag Co., 645-49 Brannan St.	1,000
148	California Bedding Co., 612 Natoma St.	250
147	California Belting Co., 1459-63 Mission St.	1,700
148	California Cafe, 42 7th St.	100
139	Calif. Cap & Cloak Mfg. Co., 109 New Montgomery St.	500
8	Calif. Gem Co., 311 Grant Ave.	700
9	Calif. Gold Buying Service, 450 Sutter St., No. 514.	400
16	California Hand Laundry, 405 O'Farrell St.	100
50	Calif. Metal & Radiator Wks., 453 Fulton St.	350
34	Calif. Press, 300 Broadway.	8,000
154	Call-In Beauty Shop, 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 741.	200
68	Calvert & Murphy Hardware, 430 Divisadero St.	1,000
90	Camberos, Felicia, 5116 Geary St.	300
20	Cameo Tailor & Cleaner, 494 Eddy St.	100
156	Cameron, W. R., 525 Market St., No. 703.	50
97	Cameron, Wm., 630 30th Ave.	250
153	Camille Apts., 81 Clara St.	125
163	Camino, Robert, 706 22d St.	125
4	Camp Co., Frank W., 620 Market St., No. 805.	400
37	Campana Bros., 1527 Powell St.	150
16	Campbell, J. C., 1012 Market St.	600
113	Campbell, J. D., 1006 Portola Dr.	325
47	Campe, Inc., George, 1521 Van Ness Ave.	7,400
60	Campenelli, R., 3235 Steiner St.	200
151	Campin, Effie K., 44 4th St.	100
49	Canavesio, J. J., 958½ Ellis St.	100
158	Cannon & Co., 960 7th St.	500
5	Canterbury Press, 222 Kearny St., No. 304.	500
3	Cantrell, Russell W., 2200 Beach St., No. 202; 544 Market St., Nos. 904-5.	500
148	Cappa, Dan, 154 7th St.	600
178	Cappai, Chas., 51 Leland.	400
149	Caracciolo, Cecil, 531 Jessie St.	400
18	Card Place, The, 219 Jones St.	300
166	Caristi, J., 1000 Capp St.	250
114	Carlin, Dennis, 2645 14th Ave.	200
72	Carlsem, A., 2890 California St., No. 306.	250
72	Carlson, A. J., 2975 Washington St.	600
108	Carlton Apts., 498 Carl St.	150
49	Carlton, Ethel, 834-834A Turk St.	200
77	Carmody, George C., 28 Parker Ave., No. 302.	450
147	Carpenter, Wm. R., 1305 Market St.	400
45	Carraro, L., 1819 Union St., 1878 Union St.	500
74	Carsen, C., 2340 Francisco St., No. 302.	250

100	Carson, Mrs. J. M., 439 44th Ave.....	150
76	Carson, L. D., 3476 Clay St., No. 1.....	150
14	Cary, William, 186 Eddy St.	500
3	Cascino, S., 102 Montgomery St.....	75
157	Casey, P., 294-A Embarcadero St.....	200
102	Cashman, Harry, 1265 32d Ave.....	200
1	Casserly Grill, 98 Market St.....	750
28	Cassidy, Paul R., 2111 Polk St.....	300
39	Castagnola, F., 2907 Jones St.....	200
3	Castelhun, F. C., 240 Montgomery St.....	100
169	Catalano, Michael, 300 Precita Ave.....	250
48	Catholic Filipino Club, 1421 Sutter St.....	125
33	Cellograph Process Co., 324 Commercial St.....	100
3	Celo, Joe, 378 Pine St.....	75
147	Center Stable, 55 11th St.....	400
150	Central Shoe Co., 935 Market St., No. 306.....	500
5	Central Statistical Bur., 110 Sutter St., No. 915.....	200
15	Central Window Shade Co., 524 Geary St.....	300
9	Cerf, Richard M., 450 Sutter St., No. 1603.....	1,100
76	Chace, Henry, 3341 Jackson St.....	350
76	Chalmers, George, 210 Spruce St.....	1,200
122	Chamberlain, Frank J., 25 De Soto St.....	1,200
5	Chamberlin, Herbert, 235 Montgomery St., No. 611.....	150
5	Chambers, L. C., 250 Kearny St.....	150
32	Chan, Albert K., 576 Sacramento St., No. 202.....	100
32	Chan, Frank H., 576 Sacramento St., No. 202.....	100
3	Chandler & Quayle, 582 Market St., No. 714-6.....	400
128	Chandler, H. A., 202 Mateo St.....	350
156	Chapman, I. F., 593 Market St., Nos. 1018-20.....	250
46	Charing Cross School, 1900 Jackson St.....	300
12	Charlestown Hotel, 536 Mason St.....	1,100
149	Charleston In, The, 8 6th St.....	500
116	Chase, Eugene J., 2427-23d Ave.....	300
116	Chase, Eugene J., 548 Castro St.....	3,500
26	Chatham Apts., 1750 Sacramento St.....	300
32	Chelinis Restaurant, 512 Pacific Ave.....	350
155	Cherman Mfg. Co., 180 New Montgomery St., 5th Fl.....	175
20	Chevy Chase Apts., 360 Hyde St.....	900
31	Chew Hong, 60 Wentworth Pl.....	60
6	Chew Jan Co., 444 Grant Ave.....	3,200
3	Chicago Hardware & Foundry, 465 California St., No. 308.....	300
41	Chichizola, John A., 1274 Filbert St., No. 1.....	350
72	Chicouriat, Mary, 2977 Clay St.....	150
131	Chief Cleaners, The (J. Woods), 4107-9 19th St.....	250
55	Children's Center Recreational, 1405 Ellis St.....	50
150	Children's Home Soc. of Calif., 995 Market St., No. 1016.....	150
81	Chimons, Charles, 1524 Haight St.....	250
31	Chin & Wong, 1053 Stockton St.....	200
31	China Cafe, 939 Kearny St.....	100
9	Chinese Radio Club, 865 Stockton St.....	100
154	Chipman's Sons Co., Chas., 55 New Montgomery St., No. 314	200
15	Chismore Apts., 999 Bush St.....	1,850
129	Chiveris, Gus, 483 Hoffman Ave.....	250
6	Chong, Jin, 31 Pagoda Pl.....	150
31	Chong Sing Co., 817 Washington St.....	300
31	Chow Kai, 14 Ross Alley	100
31	Chow San, 43 Ross Alley	100
127	Christensen, James, 410 Bosworth St.....	200
151	Chronicle Jr. Barber Shop, 103 5th St.....	75
31	Chu Lai Bun Way Wah Co., 752 Washington St.....	100
69	Chung Hing Laundry, 1321 Fulton St.....	500
31	Chung Sing Co., 10 Ross Alley.....	100

6	Chung Wo, 749-A Clay St.....	100
9	Church Studios, 528 Powell St.....	600
38	Cincotta, M., 444 Bay St.....	100
154	City Construction Co., 72-8 New Montgomery St., No. 309	100
152	City Lunch, The, 191 4th St.....	300
10	City Service Cleaners, 767 Bush St.....	350
53	Civic Center Barber Shop, 721 Laguna St.....	150
150	Clara Apts., 261 Clara St.....	85
19	Claremont Apts., 925 Sutter St.....	1,600
2	Clark, Dodge Co., 112 Market St., No. 420.....	150
3	Clark, H. R. & R. G., 582 Market St., No. 1208.....	300
4	Clark, Morris G., 68 Post St., No. 805.....	650
82	Clark's School of Dancing, 1855 Oak St.....	150
165	Clarke, Dr. J. P., 2623 Mission St.....	650
154	Clarke, Reid M., 625 Market St., No. 1006.....	150
5	Clausen, Henry C., 333 Montgomery St., No. 410.....	200
172	Claussen, Axel K., 107 Crescent Ave.....	100
166	Cleaners & Pressers, 3009 24th St.....	100
76	Clements, Mrs. R. L., 3324 Washington St.....	250
24	Cline, Dr. J. W., 899 Hyde St.....	500
48	Clowdis, Clifford, 1323½ Octavia St.....	200
8	Club Eldorado, 408 Stockton St., 4th Fl.....	500
78	Clute, Foster, 25 Loyola Ter.....	150
1	Cobb, Richard S., 16 California St., No. 204-5	250
77	Cochran, Lindsey, 105 Palm Ave., No. 1	150
138	Coed Barber Shop, 168 29th St.	100
96	Coen, Mrs. Kathleen, 860 Camino Del Mar	250
24	Coffee-Humber Malignancy Research, 909 Hyde St., No. 131-140	250
69	Coffer, M., 818 Divisadero St.	150
49	Cohen, Held, 836 Octavia St.	1,000
49	Cohn, Bessie, 800 McAllister St.	750
86	Cohn, Jessie J., 4128 Geary Blvd.	300
1	Cohn & Co., Marion D., 115 Townsend St.; 268 Market St., No. 115-7	1,500
117	Cohn, Max, 10 San Benito Way	350
125	Colberg, M. M., 273 Niagara Ave.	175
8	Colby, G. R., 130 Geary St., 5th Fl.	250
1	Cole, A. H., 16 California St., No. 512	400
90	Cole, Aroline, 142-A 16th Ave.	125
109	Cole Street Garage (F. R. Howard), 930 Cole St.	525
50	Cole, W. J. (Armstrong's Smoke Shop), 415 Gough St. ...	200
62	Coleman, E., 1970 Post St.	150
3	Collett, Chas., 58 Sutter St.	100
2	Collins, Arthur L., 7 Front St., No. 213-14-F	450
8	Collins, Dr. Frank T., 133 Geary St., No. 609-10	150
152	Collins, Geo. D., 703 Market St., No. 506	450
5	Collins, Jr., Geo. D., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1560	200
115	Collins, Mrs. H., 2005 21st Ave.	100
110	Collins, Mrs. J., 187 Downey St.	100
1	Collum, M. J., 214 Front St., No. 310	250
54	Colomico, E., 953-951A Buchanan St.	100
156	Colonial Beauty Studios, Inc., 593 Market St., No. 229....	100
59	Colonial Cleaners, 2271 Chestnut St.	200
17	Colonial Floral Shop, 704 Post St.	100
154	Colossus Mining Co., 605 Market St., No. 903	100
37	Columbus Garage (K. C. Underhill), 719-21 Filbert St....	175
108	Comba, F. W., 209 Lincoln Way, No. 6	250
3	Comba, O. L., 220 Montgomery St., No. 820	150
3	Combustion Corporation, 433 California St., No. 511	150
51	Commerce Hotel, 145 Fell St.	100
147	Commercial Film Co., 42 9th St.	300
21	Commercial Film Laboratories, 125 Hyde St.	850

3	Commercial Import & Export, 582 Market St., No. 612-3..	150
33	Commission Box Factory, 774 32nd Ave.; 608-10 Front St.	2,200
33	Commission Cafe, 530 Front St.	450
37	Companelli, P., 705 Greenwich St.	300
2	Computer Mfg. Co., 340 Sansome St., No. 214	400
151	Conde, Billy, 800 Mission St.	50
154	Conger Co., H. H., 681 Market St., No. 346-8	200
3	Conlin, Henry, 220 Montgomery St., No. 432	150
114	Conner-Lewis, Curt, 35 Vicente St., No. 1	150
81	Connolly, Mrs. Alfa, 111 Lyon St.	75
60	Conrad, G. R., 2834 Pierce St.	150
23	Conservatory, The, 905 Larkin St.	200
47	Consolidated Auto Service, 1623 Pine St.	200
71	Conway's Print Shop, 2615 Bush St.	150
91	Cook, Everett, 591 17th Ave.	150
147	Cool Spring Filter Co., 1251 Market St.	1,800
6	Cooper, Charles, 450 Grant Ave.	100
75	Cooper, Charles, 224 Presidio Ave.	250
56	Cooper's Mardi Gras Cafe, 2026-4 Fillmore St.	250
3	Coprin, John V., 1040 Lake St.; 220 Montgomery St., No. 808	250
13	Coronet Apts., 974 Pine St.	600
155	Cornell, S. M., 693 Mission St., No. 208	150
17	Cortez Cleaners, 604 Geary St.	250
60	Corti, Julio, 2414 Greenwich St.	150
171	Cortland Ave. Realty Co., 424 Cortland Ave.	100
58	Cosgrove, M., 3035 Webster St., No. 2	150
3	Cossitt & Co., 582 Market St., Nos. 211-8	650
151	Costello Bureau of Investigation, 821 Market St., No. 406.	150
3	Costello, F. A., 582 Market St., Nos. 510-11	250
3	Costello Co., J. T., 433 California St., No. 305	250
98	Costello, Michael, 821 34th Ave.	350
47	Courchene, Mrs. Florence, 1553-7 Franklin St.	250
104	Courier, The, 1260 20th Ave.	200
59	Courtright, Lazarre J., 2120 Chestnut St., No. 1	200
58	Cowden, C. B., 2082 Green St.	400
74	Cowgill, Elvin S., 2355 North Point St.	800
96	Cowry, Chas. A., 2644 Clement St.	150
104	Cox, Roy, SW Cor. Lincoln Way and 19th Ave.	350
113	Coy, Earl B., 124 Vasquez Ave.	250
105	Coyne, Mrs. Jane Anne, 1243 15th Ave.	200
54	Coyne, John, 1188 McAllister St.	150
77	Coyne, Pat, 67 Jordan Ave.	400
31	Cozy Hotel, 1238 Stockton St.	240
27	Crabbe, John Hammond, 1400 Washington St., No. 14; 821 Market St., No. 959	750
154	Crank, Chet, Inc., 72-8 New Montgomery St., No. 721 ...	200
22	Credit Auto Repair, 850 Ellis St.	250
107	Creighton, W. R., 1314 7th Ave.	250
164	Crenshaw, H. J., 2708 23rd St.	100
100	Criseria, Domenico, 635 45th Ave.	350
2	Crittenden, Jr., Howard B., 351 California St., No. 618...	300
108	Cronemeyer, Mrs. Henry, 145 Irving St., No. 8	550
4	Crook, A. H., 620 Market St., No. 209	150
113	Crosby, Buster B., 84 Vasquez Ave.	200
152	Cross & Kelly, Inc., 745 Mission St.	1,300
10	Cross, F. W., 950 Mason St., No. 728	1,000
122	Crow, Andrew G., 112 Lunado Way	250
55	Crowder, George, 1360 Eddy St.	300
57	Crowley, A. C., 2210 Jackson St., No. 801	250
122	Crowley, Harry T., 150 Urbano Dr.	500
153	Crump, Thos., 201 4th St.	50

2	Cruse, W. C., 2588 Pierce St., No. 8; 7 Front St., No. 119..	300
180	Crystal Grocery, 400 Vienna St.	1,250
148	Crystal Palace Locksmith & Elec. Shop, 1175 Market St., Dept. No. 10-C	50
141	Crystal Wholesale Fruit Co., 2584 Mission St.	150
5	Culvert, Jessie, 154 Sutter St., No. 209	75
2	Cummings, R. W., 7 Front St., No. 141-F	150
72	Cummings, Shelby, 2218 Baker St.	200
59	Cuneo, John P., 72 Toledo Way; 142 Sansome St., No. 304	250
82	Cuniberti, Henry, 616 Shrader St., No. 5	200
4	Curran, E. J., 690 Market St., Nos. 601-2	200
151	Currie, Plesence M., 821 Market St., No. 856	75
137	Currier, Douglas, 1569 Noe St., Flat No. 2	250
4	Curtis, Marian, 111 Sutter St., No. 909	100
165	Cuyala, Julian, 3036-38 24th St.	1,800
114	Dale, Charles L., 2278 14th Ave.	275
17	Dale Hotel, 649 Jones St.	500
12	Dale Tallac Hotel, 140 Ellis St.	3,000
89	Dalmon, J., 521 11th Ave.	350
2	Dalton, Clyde, 7 Front St., No. 308-F	500
57	Damner, H. L., 2360 Pacific Ave., Apt. 601	350
154	Dan and Frank Cafe, 78 2nd St.	400
32	Dan's Cafe, 533 Jackson St.	300
4	Dana, Paul C., 111 Sutter St., Nos. 912-15	900
12	Danceograph Studio, 555 Sutter St., No. 405	150
62	Darrel's Coffee Shop, 1937 Post St.	350
81	Dasher, Mrs. Cora L., 1284 Page St.	150
49	Davis, David, 1177 O'Farrell St.	150
1	Davis & Co., C. E., 126 Front St.,	2,000
57	Davis, C. E., 2265 Broadway, No. 10	150
1	Davis Co., F. A., 112 Market St., No. 201	250
46	Davis, F. W., 2100 Octavia St.	200
173	Davis, R., 742 Thornton Ave.	100
154	Davis Travel Bureau, 683 Market St.	50
58	Davis, Wilfred L., 2821-A Buchanan St.	150
121	Dawley, A. A., 101 Valdez Ave.	150
152	Day, Leslie, 140 3rd St.	150
167	Deburle, B., 2807 24th St.	200
74	De Godt, Geo. H., 2100 Bay, No. 204	500
154	Dehn & Co., E. C., 5 3rd St., No. 921	100
38	Delacarte, A., Columbus & Newell	2,000
153	Delagarge, A., 702 Harrison St.	50
5	Delany, Elmer P., 235 Montgomery St., Nos. 2605-7	1,200
113	Delany, Elmer O., 324 Pacheco St.	200
45	Del Bucchia, Silvio, 1890 Green St.	200
139	Del Buono Market, 1391 Guerrero St. (Del Buono, Dona- to), 3668 26th St.	350
11	De Leon, Arthur, 150 Powell St.	150
40	Del Monte Bait Shop, 2721 Hyde St.	50
107	Del Porta, Frank, 609 Irving St.	100
25	Del Ray Apt., 1469 Sacramento St.	150
46	Del Rey Cleaners, 1812 Clay St.	250
156	Delsen Heating Co., 557 Market St., 1st Fl.	250
1	Delta Sales Co., 149 California St., Nos. 323-324.	300
91	Delu, Louis, 281 17th Ave.	200
143	De Lux Dolores Shaving, 3684 18th St.	150
55	De Luxe Cleaners, 1820-A Post St.	150
143	De Luxe Tavern, 699 Valencia St.	400
50	De Luxe Upholstering Co., 394 Hayes St.	150
121	Delventhal, E. M., 1117 Ocean Ave.	150
32	De Maria, J. B., 831 Montgomery St.	150
34	De Martini, Frank, 404 Green St.	100
151	Demartini, J. W., 821 Market St., No. 402.	150

149	Demett, S., 76-A 6th St.....	150
78	De Monte, A., 23-A Masonic Ave.	100
59	Dempsey, H. J., 221 Mallorca Way.....	200
2	Denegree, J. B., 7 Front St., No. 140-F.....	150
56	Dennis, I. A., 1876 Sutter St.....	150
117	Denny, G. R., 11 San Andreas Way.....	200
139	Depot Stand, 1400 Valencia St.....	350
115	Derham, Chris, 2359 34th Ave.....	400
70	Derma Way Beauty Salon, 1327 Divisadero St.....	200
7	De Rol Co., 704 Market St., No. 607.....	50
7	Detoy, Leo L., 153 Kearny St., No. 208.....	600
49	De Vaux Signs, 552 McAllister St.....	200
48	Deutsch, E., 1261-63 Geary St.....	200
54	De Velbiss Constr. Co., 753 Larch St.....	600
14	De Vere & Grant Dancing Studio, 466 Geary St., basement	100
93	Devlin, F. A., 582 Market St., Nos. 1013-19; 234 22nd Ave.	1,250
9	Devlin, Thomas B., 450 Sutter St., No. 1724.....	400
101	Dewey, Ann, 1364-68 La Playa St.....	100
140	Diamond, Peter, 2698 Mission St.....	100
130	Diamond Pharmacy, 737 Diamond St.....	750
32	Diana, The, 555-7 Pacific Ave.....	400
156	Dibert Co., L. C., 35-37 Minna St.....	750
32	Dickey & Co., 417 Montgomery St., No. 208.....	700
45	Dicks, Blanche, 1700 Broadway, No. 102.....	100
148	Dietz & Son, L., 1175 Market St., Nos. 279-81, Depts. 142-4-6	750
60	Dilger, Adam, 2468 Greenwich St.	150
54	Diller, B., 1000-4 McAllister St.	800
59	Dinapoli, Frank, 2281 Chestnut St.....	75
31	Ding & Kwan, 1049 Stockton St.....	200
148	Doerr, Martin, 460 7th St.....	150
14	Dofsky, Jacob, 224 Ellis St.....	250
40	Doherty, James W., 2008 Mission St.; 2150 Hyde St., No. 10	500
140	Doherty, John, 3277 22d St.....	400
157	Dohl, Theodore, 461 Market St., No. 423.....	150
148	Doidge, E., 133-35 Stevenson St.....	100
146	Dolan Co., P. J., 1650 Mission St.....	1,250
58	Domingo, Bennie, 2024 Lombard St.....	150
31	Don Shew Tong Co., 1041 Stockton St.....	200
74	Donaldson, Mrs. R., 2300 Francisco St., No. 206.....	250
31	Dong, Dr. Collin H., 949 Stockton St.....	100
49	Donnelly, Edward, 1178 Ellis St.....	250
122	Donnin, A. Magee, 170 Borica St.....	200
4	Dooley, Matthew J., 1 Montgomery St., No. 801.....	400
48	Doree Restaurant, 1211 Van Ness Ave.....	600
91	Dorland, Thomas, 5222 Geary Blvd.....	400
117	Dorn, P. S., 1 Rosewood Drive	1,300
62	Dotsenko, P., 1913 Fillmore St.....	250
107	Dougherty, Thos. A., 1455 5th Ave.....	150
3	Dougherty, Thos. A., 220 Montgomery St., No. 204.....	100
4	Douglass, Charles, 690 Market St., Nos. 1015-17.....	1,100
108	Downey, Mrs. H., 352 Parnassus Ave.....	200
172	Doyle, Edwin S., 3655 Mission St.....	300
100	Doyle, Ernest M., 833 43d Ave.....	250
50	Draeger, Geo. F., 718 Octavia St.....	450
32	Dragon, 533 Pacific Ave.....	400
15	Dragon Curio Shop, 731 Sutter St.....	750
31	Dragon's Lair, 607 Jackson St.....	400
49	Draper, Clinton, 765 Turk St.....	200
5	Draper & Co., Jerome, 235 Montgomery St., No. 2018.....	150
154	Drefus, Charles, 625 Market St., Nos. 801-4.....	150
152	Dresden Service Co., 703 Market St., No. 1213.....	75
98	Dressler, L. C., 7100 Geary Blvd., No. 2.....	250

165	Drewes & Co., H. J., 3088 24th St.; 3090-92 24th St.....	650
174	Dry Dock Inn, 349 Fairfax Ave.	250
122	Dubose, Joe L., 821 Head St.....	200
102	Dudely, Rollo O., 3924 Irving St.	200
40	Dufour, F. D., 900 Chestnut St., No. 306.....	100
8	Dufour, F. D., 210 Post St., No. 908	100
4	Dugan, Leon J., 690 Market St., No. 820.....	200
11	Duncan, Dr. Hiram B., 870 Market St., No. 1281.....	600
7	Duncan, J. H., 714 Market St., No. 305.....	100
58	Dungeon, Thel, 2032 Lombard St.....	300
57	Dunn, B. J., 2210 Jackson St., No. 504.....	150
98	Dunn, C. W., 3227 Clement St.....	150
133	Dunn, George S., 3322-28 16th St.....	500
2	Dunn, H. Arthur, 311 California St., No. 5068.....	300
113	Dunn, J. F., 400 Pacheco St.....	350
69	Dunne, Henry, 1472 Grove St.....	150
3	Dunne, W. K., 26 Montgomery St., No. 212.....	100
72	Dunne, W. K., 2022 Broderick St.....	300
8	Dupuy, Mrs. Cesarine, 166 Geary St., No. 164.....	100
1	Durand Hart Co., 268 Market St., Nos. 146-48.....	1,100
3	Dutton Dredge Co., 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 581-3.....	1,500
72	Dwyer, H. F., 2240 Divisadero St.	150
63	Eagle Shoe Repairing, 1230 McAllister St.....	200
3	Earl & Hall, 225 Bush St., No. 304.	800
5	Eastern Distillers Syn., Inc., 333 Montgomery St., No. 710	2,500
50	Eastern Repairing Co., 384 Hayes St.....	200
158	Eastland Hotel, 2076-78 3d St.....	100
4	Easton Co., D. A., 85 Post St., 4th Fl., 134 De Soto St...	2,000
157	Eastside Buffet, 65-67 Steuart St.....	400
157	Eastside Tavern, 58 Embarcadero.....	300
121	Eaton, Annie M., Estate of, 1357 Plymouth Ave.....	300
40	Eaton, F., 1145 Union St., No. 4.....	150
16	Edellis Apts., 225 Taylor St.....	700
156	Edginton, N. V., 82 Natoma St.	200
143	Edson Vulcanizing Co., 599 Valencia St.....	450
2	Edwards, A. E., 7 Front St., No. 403.....	150
51	Edwards Apts., 145 Gough St.....	650
78	Edwards, E. G., 895 31st Ave., No. 2.....	300
12	Edwards, Dr. J. C., 948 Market St., Nos. 703-5.....	400
173	Edwards, M., 1947 Oakdale Ave., 1951 Oakdale Ave.....	350
103	Egan, Willis, 1287 25th Ave.....	200
31	Egg Home, The, 1105 Grant Ave.....	400
22	Eight Eighty-nine Geary Apartments, 889 Geary Blvd...	100
13	Eight Hundred Bush Apts., 800 Bush St.....	900
77	Eight Hundred Four Euclid Apartments, 804 Euclid Ave.	125
69	Eight Hundred Three Divisadero Apts., 803 Divisadero St.	50
66	Eight Nineteen Fourteenth St. Apts., 819 14th St.....	400
106	Eight Thirty-Eight Irving Apts., 838 Irving St.	150
9	Eight Thirty Powell Apts., 830 Powell St.	250
53	Eight Twenty-Nine Hayes Apts., 829 Hayes	150
22	Eight Twenty-One Geary Apts., 821 Geary Blvd.	400
80	Eighteen Fifty-Nine Fulton Apts., 1859 Fulton St.	100
46	Eighteen Seventy-Five Broadway Apts., 1875 Broadway St.	125
80	Eighteen Sixty-Five Fulton Apts., 1865 Fulton St.....	300
70	Eighteen Sixty-Five Golden Gate Ave. Apts., 1865 Golden Gate Ave.	150
147	Eighth St. Garage, 38 8th St.	100
170	Einselen Radio Shop, 3285 Mission St.	450
54	Eitold, R. H., 1126 Fillmore St.	100
133	Eklund Co., 3506 16th St.	200
33	El Camino Cafe, 500-502 Davis St.	500
15	El Cortez Coffee Shop, 554 Geary St.	350

94	Elder, Mrs. J. P., 181 23rd Ave.	200
166	Eleanor's Beauty Shop, 3390 25th St.	200
21	Electric Salvage Co., 637 Larkin St.	400
144	Electric Service Co., 305 Valencia St.	75
156	Electrical Communication Co., 121 2nd St., No. 604	300
68	Eleven Broderick Apts., 11 Broderick St.	150
68	Eleven Forty Oak Apts., 1140 Oak St.	200
64	Eleven Sixty-One Hayes Apts., 1161 Hayes St.	250
135	Eleven Thirty-Five Church Apts., 1135 Church St.	100
13	Eleven Twenty-Three Clay Apts., 1123 Clay St.	650
55	Eli and Roger, 1740 Fillmore St.	200
20	Elite, The, 403 Eddy St.	250
14	Ellabracht, Wally, 181 Eddy St.	500
73	Ellery, Wm., 2761 Scott St.	350
3	Elliott & Co., 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 510-12	300
113	Ellis, Jack F., 154 Granville Way	500
154	Elms, Charles S., 605 Market St., No. 1004	150
94	El Portal Market, 6100 California St.	400
160	El Rey Garage, NW Cor. 16th and Shotwell	500
11	Elsie's Beauty Salon, 150 Powell St., No. 310	250
14	Elsie Smith Beauty Shop, 404 Geary St.	400
50	Elwood Apts. (T. Maguire), 435 Hayes St.	1,100
5	Emerich Oil Corp., Ltd., 333 Montgomery St., No. 314 ..	150
45	Emerson, N., 2415 Van Ness Ave., No. 101	150
24	Emerson, Olga, 1433 Polk St.	250
5	Emerson, Valahden, 571 California St.	150
8	Employer's Personnel Service, 760 Market St., No. 431-36.	200
55	Empress Apartments, 1235 Webster St.	100
157	Engelson, John, 252 Spear St.	75
66	Enright, Joseph R., 122 Henry St.	125
70	Enright, Mrs. Loretta, 1730-32-34 Turk St.	200
94	Epstein, Harry, 2121 Balboa St.	400
54	Erdiakow, V., 1058 McAllister St.	100
12	Erie Hotel, 246 Mason St.	1,200
21	Erlean Hotel, 635 Larkin St.	300
133	Ernest's Barber Shop, 284 Sanchez St.	150
32	Ernstam, Erik G., 629 Commercial St.	75
132	Escola, E., 4035 18th St.	150
71	Espenshed, F., 2198 O'Farrell, No. 1	300
53	Espinal, S., 839 Buchanan St.	150
24	Esther Apts., 1355 Pine St.	350
151	Estrada, Albert, 107 5th St.	250
14	Ethel's Coffee Shop, 251 Ellis St.	150
8	Etienne, E., 133 Geary St., Nos. 413-14-15	400
65	Eunice Apts., 401 Steiner St.	350
61	Everding, Elsa, 2230 Steiner St., No. 2	150
66	Everton, Charles M., 2182-B Market St.	950
95	Excello Grocery, 2353 Clement St.	1,250
126	Excelsior Grocery, 4518 Mission St.	1,000
179	Excelsior Recreation & Lunch, 4567 Mission St.	850
180	Excelsior Tailors & Cleaners, 4721 Mission St.	400
14	Faas, J. A., 988 Market St., No. 615.....	200
151	Fahn, Harry, 803 Howard St.....	250
148	Fairbairn Co., 1139 Howard St.....	500
72	Fairbairn, T. L., 3022 Clay St.....	150
10	Fairmont Hotel Flower Shop (Gladys Rickard), 950 Ma- son St.....	1,000
154	Fairview Round Mountain Mines Co., 681 Market St. Nos. 724-28.....	100
99	Falkenburg, James, 559 40th Ave.....	250
146	Fallon Hotel, 1693 Market St.....	750

88	Fallon, Thos., 530 10th Ave.; 109 New Montgomery St., 5th Fl.	500
48	Famous Meglin Kidies Studio, 1335 Sutter St.	150
58	Fani, A., 2061 Greenwich St.	150
102	Fante, Richard, 1231 42d Ave.	250
173	Farber Realty Co., 2425 San Jose Ave.	225
31	Farley Co., 946 Grant Ave.	300
154	Farnam, Vernon, 5 3d St., Nos. 204-5.	250
114	Farrell, Emmet J., 2638 17th Ave.	200
2	Federal Barber Shop, The, 332 Sansome St.	200
82	Feinstein, Louis, 2041 Oak St., No. 1	250
80	Felton Apartments, 233 Clayton St.	150
99	Felty, George W., 828 42d Ave.	200
19	Fern Court Apartments, 760 Geary St.	2,300
24	Fern Garage, 114 Fern St.	50
144	Fernandez, Joseph, 1982 Mission St.	125
125	Ferrera, A. J., 4653 Mission St.; 5750 Mission St.	2,500
57	Ferris, Charlotte, 2299 Pacific Ave., No. 21.	300
3	Ferriter, Wm. E., 369 Pine St., No. 518.	150
33	Ferry P. O. Tavern, 111 Embarcadero.	400
5	Field & Co., A. Harry, 235 Montgomery St., No. 356.	200
57	Fielding, P. M., 2398 Pacific Ave., No. 407.	250
1	Fifield, E. L., 133 Duncan St.; 24 California St., No. 510.	250
44	Fifteen Fifteen Chestnut Apts., 1515 Chestnut St.	150
28	Fifteen Fifty-Five Vallejo Apts. (Ellen Newberger), 1555 Vallejo St.	250
105	Fifteen Fifty Irving Apts, 1550 Irving St.	200
55	Fifteen Forty Four O'Farrell Apts., 1544 O'Farrell St.	100
104	Fifteen Hundred One 19th Ave. Apts., 1501 19th Ave.	150
75	Fifteen Sixty Three Lyon Apts., 1563 Lyon St.	50
45	Fifteen Thirty Green Apts., 1530 Green St.	500
138	Fifteen Thirty Six Guerrero Apts. (F. Moss), 1536 Guerrero St.	100
94	Fifty Nine Hundred Seven Geary Apts., 5907 Geary Blvd.	250
91	Fifty Three Twenty Eight Geary Apts., 5328 Geary Blvd.	130
8	Figone, Anton, 199 Geary St.	200
3	Filer, W. G., 369 Pine St., No. 406.	100
74	Fine Arts Grocery Dept., 3100 Broderick St.	750
76	Finn, Richard, 3629 Sacramento St.	200
45	Finnegan, Fred, 1940 Broadway No. B.	100
45	Finnell, Phil, 1940 Vallejo St. No. 2.	200
68	Finneran, Martin, 1164 Page St.	150
51	Fischer Motor Co., 1814 Market St.	550
59	Fish, Bradley, 3655 Fillmore St., No. 11.	500
60	Fishbeck, Herbert E., 2447 Vallejo St., No. 3.	200
4	Fisher Co., Godfrey, 111 Sutter St., No. 1312.	100
3	Fisher, L. P., 465 California St., Nos. 1209-31.	1,200
12	Fisk Music Pub Co., 942 Market St., No. 712.	1,200
5	Fitzgerald, Robt. E., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1350; 147 22d Ave.	2,500
32	Fitzpatrick, Keene, 486 California St., No. 820	150
100	Five Eighteen Forty Sixth Ave. Apts., 518 46th Ave.	150
100	Five Twenty 46th Ave Apts. (Agnes Armstrong), 520 46th Ave.	450
90	Five Eighty One 14th Ave Apts. (T. I. Strand), 581 14th Ave.	300
86	Five Fifty Lake Apts, 550 Lake St.	500
6	Five Forty Stockton Apts., 540 Stockton St.	500
78	Five Hundred Fifteen Masonic Apts, 515 Masonic Ave.	125
78	Five Hundred One Masonic Apts, 501 Masonic Ave.	125
94	Five Hundred Ten 24th Ave. Apts., 510 24th Ave.	400
107	Five Nineteen Lincoln Way Apts., 519 Lincoln Way.	125

128	Five Point Tavern, 315 Chenery St.....	250
132	Five Sixty Castro Apts., 560 Castro St.....	250
144	Five Sixty Nine 14th St. Apts., 569 14th St.....	150
35	Five Sixty Two-A Filbert Apts., 562-A Filbert St.....	250
107	Five Thirty-Three Lincoln Way Apts., 533 Lincoln Way..	125
78	Five Twenty Nine Masonic Apts., 529 Masonic Ave.....	125
79	Five Twenty Seven Central Ave. Apts., 527 Central Ave..	100
45	Flader, M. H., 1701 Vallejo St., No. 401.....	150
49	Flaherty, Anna, 1166 Ellis St.....	200
32	Flaherty, James G., 1327 15th Ave.; 486 Californila St., Nos. 622 and 601.....	650
1	Flash Letter Service, 24 California St., No. 312.....	300
96	Fleisher, E., 2920 Clement St.....	125
45	Flemine, Josephine, 1783 Union St.	250
3	Fletcher, Helen M., 1910 California St.; 233 Sansome St., Lobby	100
23	Fletcher, W. H., 1049 Sutter St.....	350
84	Flinn, H. F., 44 Cornwall St.....	250
42	Flora-Geane Shoppe, 1919 Hyde St.....	200
21	Flores, Frank, 539 Turk St.....	200
73	Fogarty, D. A., 2742 Baker St.....	150
116	Fogel's Cleaners and Tailors, 1105 Taraval St.....	250
71	Foley, Ellroy, 2423 Post St.....	150
154	Foley, J. A., 625 Market St., Nos. 801-4.....	150
45	Foley, Michael, 1786 Union St.....	100
32	Fong, Jack, 632 Kearny St.....	250
8	Fontes, Frank J., 760 Market St., No. 924.....	200
31	Foon, L. S., 718 Pacific Ave.....	600
38	Foppiano, A. C., 801 Greenwich St.....	350
81	Forest Home Apts., 550 Clayton St.....	150
157	Forrest, Edwin & Son, 75 Fremont St.....	100
90	Forrest, Prosper, 727 15th Ave.....	200
4	Forsythe, Thomas, 690 Market St., Nos. 726-28.....	150
100	Fort Mile Manor, 401 43d Ave.....	1,000
87	Forty Five Forty California Apts., 4540 California St..	350
7	49 Club, 49 Maiden Lane.....	600
67	Forty One Hundred Eight 17th St. Apts., 4108 17th St..	125
84	Forty One Hundred Seven California Apts., 4107 Cali- fornia St.	400
88	Forty Six Thirteen Geary Apts., 4613 Geary Blvd.....	150
84	Forty Three Forty California Apts., 4340 California St..	250
131	Forty Two Twenty One 20th St. Apts., 4221 20th St.....	200
31	Foster & Siu, 765 Pacific Ave.....	200
156	Foster Wheeler Corp., 593 Market St., Nos. 202-1.....	200
154	Foulds, Chas. V., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 508....	150
141	Fountain, The, 3398 22d St.....	200
183	Four Hundred Avila Apts., 400 Avila St.....	475
97	Four Hundred Five 27th Ave. Apts., 405 27th Ave.....	150
89	Four Hundred Seven 11th Ave. Apts., 407 11th Ave.....	100
65	Four Ninety Nine Steiner Apts., 499 Steiner St.....	100
143	Four Ninety Three-A Guerrero Shoe Repairing, 493-A Guerrero St.....	200
87	Four Seventy Nine 7th Ave. Apts., 479 7th Ave.....	125
65	Four Seventy Nine Steiner Apts., 479 Steiner St.....	100
158	Four Sixty Five Utah Apts., 465 Utah St.....	100
87	Four Twenty Five 8th Ave. Apts., 425 8th Ave.....	125
86	Four Twenty One Cornwall Apts., 421 Cornwall St.....	150
92	Four Twenty Seven 18th Ave. Apts., 427 18th Ave.....	350
87	Four Twenty Seven 8th Ave. Apts., 427 8th Ave.....	50
26	Fourteen Fifty Four California St. Apts., 1454 California St.	100

27	Fourteen Fifty Seven Jones Apts. (Furniture), 1457 Jones St.	150
27	Fourteen Fifty Seven Jones Apts. (S. L. & C.), 1457 Jones St.	150
43	Fourteen Fifty Two Francisco Apts., 1452 Francisco St....	150
147	Fourteen Fifty Two Howard Apts., 1452 Howard St.....	400
42	Fourteen Fifty Vallejo Apts. (T. Ramazotti), 1450 Vallejo St.....	550
138	Fourteen Fourty Five Guerrero Apts. (J. Thiebaut), 1445 Guerrero St.....	100
27	Fourteen Forty Seven Washington Apts., 1447 Washington St.	300
107	Fourteen Ninety Five 7th Ave. Apts., 1495 7th Ave.....	525
106	Fourteen Ninety Five 10th Ave. Apts., 1495 10th Ave...	200
44	Fourteen Thirty Two Lombard Apts., 1432 Lombard St.	250
1	Fox, S. M., 16 California St.....	200
57	Fox, S. N., 2275 Broadway.....	300
12	Francette Shop, 335 Powell St.	300
12	Franciscan Hotel, 350 Geary St.....	3,500
49	Francisco Hand Laundry, 721 Gough St.....	50
63	Frank, Dr. M. A., 1007 Fillmore St.....	100
8	Frank & Schrag, 8 Tillman Pl.....	350
47	Franklin, The, 1619 Gough St.....	275
166	Franklin, F. F., 2958 Folsom St.....	150
54	Fraser, M., 1061 Buchanan St.....	100
Ship	Freethy, George V., Pier 19	700
146	Freitas, John, 386 12th St.....	500
142	Freitas, T. & J., 3620 19th St.....	450
157	Fremont House, 336 Fremont St.....	175
123	Fremy, J., 416 Plymouth Ave.....	150
129	Frey, A. W., 4109 24th St.....	1,500
9	Friedenberg, Mervyn, 450 Sutter St., No. 1142	650
54	Friedman, B., 1167 Turk St.....	100
69	Friedman, Mrs. Molley, 1134 Fell St.....	150
118	Frier, Willard, 139 Chaves Ave.....	450
72	Fries, Louis, 2786 California St.....	150
132	Friscovich, Antone F., 3969 17th St.; 3977½ 17th St....	150
13	Frush, A. C., 1108 Taylor St.....	350
69	Fuchs, B., 601 Broderick.....	150
55	Fujisada, Takafusa, 1603 Buchanan St.....	150
92	Fujioka, S., 5636 California St.....	300
61	Fuller's Garage, 2470 California St.....	250
150	Fullerton, J., 324 5th St.....	150
69	Fulton St. Wood & Coal Yard, 1308 Fulton St.....	150
49	Fyne, Edward, 746 McAllister St.....	200
146	G. B. Furniture Co., 50 Brady St.	600
142	C. C. Royal Coffee Inn, 3520-22 20th St.	150
130	Gaddini, M., 4190 24th St.	250
149	Gaerek, W. V., 212 6th St.	200
50	Gagnon, Henry G., 374 Hayes St.	100
151	Gall, Arthur R., 833 Market St., No. 711	100
148	Gallant Cafe, 1190 Folsom St.	125
56	Galli, Frank & Son, 2216 Fillmore St.	750
22	Gallineau, G. H. Co., 879 O'Farrell St.	450
39	Gallwey, W. E., 1020 Union St., No. 5	1,400
2	Galvan, M. E., 310 California St., No. 317	150
39	Galvan & Son, M. E., Pier 45, Shed B	600
12	Gambitz, Dr. L. R., 323 Geary St., No. 4056	250
101	Gamble, George, 1334 45th Ave.	200
48	Ganote, Charles, 1202 O'Farrell St.	50
43	Gardella, L. J., 3640 Fillmore St., No. 203	150
144	Garden Court Apts., 1637 15th St.	575

32	Garden Rooms, 520 Pacific Ave.	250
1	Gardiner Co., Thos. M., 112 Market St. No. 222	100
3	Gardner, Hortense, 220 Montgomery St., No. 880	100
7	Garfinkel Advertising Agency, Sidney, 153 Kearny St., No. 206	150
9	Garfinkel, F. Edwyn, 450 Sutter St., No. 1003	300
4	Garland Co., 111 Sutter St., No. 2010	500
1	Garnett Co., J. S., 16 California St., No. 311	200
118	Garrioch, Desmond, 945 Rockdale Dr.	150
22	Gartland Hotel, 909 Geary St.	2,200
3	Gatzert, Norman L., 220 Montgomery, St. No. 465-75.....	150
99	Gawley, Andrew, 638 38th Ave.	200
14	Geary Floral Co., 411 Geary St.	200
19	Geary Sandwich Shop, 705 Geary St.	150
31	Gee Hin, 916 Clay St.	200
31	Gee Tuck-Samm Tuck Assn., 138 Waverly Pl.	75
50	Geisman, Max, 822 Laguna St.	100
50	Gelbart, Mrs. Irene, 630 Laguna St.	50
8	Gelhaus & Staniels, 233 Post St., No. 401	500
11	General X-Ray Laboratories, 870 Market St., No. 501....	750
181	Geneva Pharmacy, 1201 Geneva Ave.	1,600
25	Gerhardy, Louis P., 310 Clay St.	500
14	Gerry's, 459 Geary Blvd.	2,000
12	Gershon, M., 928-B Market St.	800
5	Gerth Knollin Adv. Agcy., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1041.	200
97	Gervais, Leonard, 6319 Geary Blvd.	300
50	Giacosa, V., 507 Fulton St.	50
58	Giannini, Dante, 3154 Fillmore St.	50
28	Giannini, R., 2139 Polk St.	300
151	Gibbs Employment Agency, 821 Market St., No. 330.....	175
10	Gibson, A. J., 1000 Mason St., No. 504	350
1	Gibson, W. W., 112 Market St., No. 706	150
90	Gidlof, Mrs. Olga, 349 14th Ave.	125
73	Giese, C. C., 2960 Divisadero St., No. 2	1,000
7	Gilbert Hotel, 231 Kearny St.	250
154	Gilchrist, N. W., 625 Market St., No. 1415	150
12	Gill, H. D., 948 Market St., Nos. 706-7	500
154	Gillette, Carroll F., 72-78 New Montgomery St., Nos. 729-31-33	250
74	Gilmore, Douglass, 2255 Francisco St.	350
3	Gilmore, Roy W., 58 Sutter St., No. 328	250
32	Gin Gee, 670-72 Clay St.	150
66	Gin Wah, 23 Sanchez St.	400
98	Ginsburg, O. W., 452 34th Ave.	225
6	Ginseng, Fook Kee Co., 728 Sacramento St.	1,500
101	Giomatti, Armando, 1367 46th Ave.	200
58	Gioni, G., 2182 Greenwich St.	150
52	Giordano, Joseph, 482 Haight St.	550
59	Giorgi, G., 2346 Lombard St.	200
74	Giovannini, L., 3237 Divisadero St.	250
36	Giovannoni, F., 639 Green St.	200
43	Giovannoni, Leroy R., 1320 Chestnut St.	500
59	Girolami, Richard F., 2175 Chestnut St.	900
141	Giunti, J. B., 2402 Mission St.	175
35	Glus, Dr. E. A., 1606 Stockton St., No. 202	200
8	Glacier Fur Co., 26 O'Farrell St., Nos. 408-09	100
156	Glaze & Co., Alden, 121 2nd St., 5th Fl.	600
113	Glidden, Gordon M., 1312 Portola Dr.	100
8	Gloria Frocks, 301 Sutter St.	300
115	Goeppner, John, 2159 21st Ave.	250
59	Goetz, H., 3625 Fillmore St., No. 11	150
2	Goins, E. H., 7 Front St., No. 204	125

59	Gold, George, 40 Capra Way, No. 12	150
24	Goldberg, Jack, 1216 Polk St.	500
28	Goldberg's Restaurant, 2031 Polk St.	500
157	Goldberger, Ben, 52 Embarcadero	350
86	Goldblatt, Barnett, 2908-10 Fulton St.	200
17	Golden Eagle Laundry, 812 Sutter St.	150
148	Golden Gate Chiropractic College, 1179 Market St., No. 602	125
64	Golden Gate Cleaning Shop (S. Tanabe), 1249-1249½ McAllister St.	350
153	Golden Gate Lunch, 781 Howard St.	125
54	Golden Gate Restaurant, 1090 Golden Gate Ave.	100
157	Golden Poppy Restaurant, 439 Market St.	1,000
143	Golden West Bakery, 3355 and 3371 17th St.	3,600
97	Goldenberg, Leo M., 650 30th Ave.	300
5	Goldman & Nye, 235 Montgomery St., No. 910	400
158	Goldschmidt, A. L., 37 Arkansas St.	250
5	Goldsmith Financial Service, Ltd., 235 Montgomery St., No. 539	300
106	Goldsmith, Myer, 733 Irving St.	150
3	Goldsmith, R. T., 333 Pine St., No. 508	100
143	Goldstein, Sam, 593 Guerrero St.	300
117	Goldstein, Mrs. V. C., 59 Rosewood Dr.	150
55	Golzman, Jennie, 1328 Buchanan St.	150
151	Gondolfo, Nick, 314 4th St.	150
3	Good, Clifford D., 220 Montgomery St., No. 742; 1971 Broadway St.	1,200
2	Goodpastor, Herbert E., 260 California St., No. 1102.....	200
72	Goodwin, Eva, 2953 Washington St.	200
3	Gordan Diagram Co., 156 Montgomery St., No. 209	150
117	Gordon, C., 44 Yerba Buena Ave.	200
45	Gordon, Leo, 1750 Vallejo St., No. 301	250
5	Gore & Crossman, Inc., 310 Kearny St.	200
183	Gorevan, J. M., 79 Casa Way	400
154	Gorman, J. F., 681 Market St., No. 1069	100
92	Gottlich, Edward, 5419 Geary Blvd.	250
90	Gottschalk, Wm., 675 14th Ave.	400
4	Gould, Charles E., 690 Market St., Nos. 1103-08	450
32	Grabhorn Press, The, 642-8 Commercial St.	600
164	Grace's Beauty Shop, 2489 Bryant St.	200
4	Grace Bros. & Co., 57 Post St., No. 709	200
8	Graff, Edward, 233 Post St., No. 502	30
10	Graff, S. M., 950 Mason St., No. 550	1,000
147	Graham Apts., 288 9th St.	400
13	Graham, C. R., 1075 California, No. 1208	1,200
152	Granada Market (V. Onorato), 761 Market St.	1,250
77	Grand Upholstering Co., 3632 Geary Blvd.	325
180	Granelli & Co., 4885 Mission St.	700
150	Grant Decanay Co., 995 Market St., Nos. 317-15	75
11	Grant, Dr. John T., 870 Market St., No. 1060	250
45	Granucci, G. C., 1800 Broadway St., No. 501	500
159	Grape Growers League of California, 85 2nd St. No. 716..	100
164	Grassi, Feldele, 2856 22nd St.	100
108	Grattan, W. S., 1337 Willard St.	200
183	Grauenhorst, Mart, 1842 Jefferson St., No. 104	450
71	Graves, James, 1756 Divisadero St.	225
60	Gray, David C., 2815 Steiner St.	350
24	Grayson Co., Wm. C., 1355 Bush St.; 164 15th Ave.	1,500
6	Great Eastern Hotel, 614 Pine St.	600
55	Great Nature Herb Co., 1750 Fillmore St.	200
43	Green, A. E., 1490 Jefferson St., No. 204	200
156	Green, J. S., 557 Market St., 3rd Fl.	350

154	Green, Norman B., 55 New Montgomery St., No. 506	100
35	Green Valley Hotel, 512 Green St.	200
56	Greenfield, Wm. 16-18-20 Middle St.	150
24	Greenhouse Florist, The, 1224 Polk St.	150
147	Greer, J. A., 21 11th St.	150
32	Gregory, David L., 417 Montgomery St., No. 422	50
98	Greiff, Bernard, 726 32nd Ave.	1,300
183	Gridley, A. E., 1895 Jefferson St., No. 202	150
46	Grieb, Henry T., 1735-37-39-39A Broadway St.	600
32	Griffin, W. H., 509 Sansome St., No. 4	500
72	Griffith, Alice, 2820 Pacific Ave.	3,500
50	Griffith, Velma, 420 Hayes St.	100
7	Gross Bros., 714 Market St., No. 312	300
2	Grover, C. L., 140 Battery St.	1,700
7	Grover & Scolara, 259 Sutter St.	300
9	Gruss, Francis J., 450 Sutter St., No. 1415	800
82	Guagliano, Jim, 1652 Waller St.	175
146	Guarantee Automotive Repair, 42-50 12th St.	600
14	Gulley's Shoe Renewing, 424 Geary St.	350
31	Gum Mon Hotel, 942 Grant Ave.	700
1	Gunnarson, A., 112 Davis St.	50
144	Gunnerson, Laura, Mrs., 282-A Guerrero St.	100
154	Gunnison, Stanley E., Inc., 681 Market St., No. 350	100
74	Gunther, Frank, 2390 Chestnut St., No. 204	200
1	Gutte & Co., Henry W., 110 Market St., No. 308	250
88	Guttman, Martin, 658 11th Ave.	400
61	Guyer, Raymond, 2419 Broadway St.	1,000
152	Hack, S. H., 717 Market St., No. 715	175
62	Hackett Cleaner, 2176 Sutter St.	250
141	Hackney, Clara (Russell Apts.), 2522 Mission St.	300
75	Hada, J., 2634 Sutter St.	300
154	Hadsel, A. D., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 505	100
78	Hagan, Robert, 2735 Geary Blvd.	150
65	Hahn, Wm., 137 Steiner St.	200
65	Haight Valley Smoke Shop, 582 Haight St.	100
57	Haizlip, R., 2275 Broadway, No. 208	500
3	Hale, Theodore, 369 Pine St., No. 210	150
120	Haleber, J., 787 Monterey Blvd.	400
7	Hall, A. Farrans, 101 Post St., No. 309	150
4	Hall, Don, 46 Kearny St., No. 204	100
9	Hall, J. Underwood, 450 Sutter St., No. 1604	300
124	Hall, Mary C., 3448-48½ Mission St.	400
3	Hall, Wyllys, 220 Montgomery St., No. 328-32	250
151	Halper, H., 833 Market St., No. 410	100
4	Halsey, Gerald C., 1 Montgomery St., Nos. 1104-2; 73 Santa Paula Ave.	250
66	Halverson, John P., 719 14th St.	100
1	Hambly, James & R. H., 268 Market St., No. 107	100
62	Hamilton, Hall, 1545 Steiner St.	150
159	Hamilton's Buffet, 2722 17th St.	500
4	Hamm, J. W., 68 Post St., No. 300	250
14	Hamm, L. S., 988 Market St., 712-13-14; 224 32d Ave.	850
117	Hammond, V. G., 20 Manor Drive	300
133	Hammonds, P. H., 74 Landers St.	50
76	Hanan, Richard D., 3363 Washington St.	500
96	Handley, H. W., 253 29th Ave.	250
161	Hanifin, Johanna, 3351 20th St.	500
151	Hankins & Hankins, 821 Market St. No. 918	150
80	Hanley, Jeannie, 226 Shrader St.	150
150	Hansen, E. G., 935 Market St., No. 611	200
1	Hansen, J. H., 320 Market St.	150
70	Happy Cleaner, 1130 Divisadero St.	150

58	Happy Land Cleaners (Ater, A. P.), 3137 Laguna St....	200
134	Hardy, C. E., 3570 21st St.....	100
161	Harlick, Rose, 398 Capp St.....	100
8	Harper, Kenneth, 760 Market St., No. 843.....	250
12	Harper Method, M. M., 323 Geary St., No. 407.....	150
74	Harrington, James, 3212 Baker St.; 225 Bush St., No. 325	550
71	Harris Apartments (Leva, J.), 2527-29-31 Sutter St.....	150
157	Harris Bros., 74 Embarcadero St.....	50
151	Harris, C. B., 883 Market St., No. 201.....	750
90	Harris, George, 196 16th Ave.....	150
147	Harris, Geo. W. B., 122 10th St.	650
148	Harris, Van, 1175 Market St., Dept. 45.....	200
23	Harrison, Jeff, 1143 Polk St.	100
155	Harrison, Victor, 217 3d St.....	850
24	Harry's Laundry, 1549 California St.....	150
3	Hart Health Service, 544 Market St., No. 711.....	150
133	Hart's Pharmacy, 2075 Market St.....	1,250
12	Hartney, E. J., 533 Sutter St.....	100
12	Hartsook Studio, 149 Powell St.....	1,200
122	Harwood, Harold J., 40 Borica St.....	200
5	Harwood & Wilkie, 235 Montgomery St., No. 1042.....	150
143	Hass, J., 3331-A 17th St.	100
3	Haven, Harold E., 220 Montgomery St., No. 406-10.....	250
4	Hayden, Thos. E., 620 Market St.....	250
50	Hayes Valley Shoe Repairing, 482-A Hayes St.	250
4	Haynes, Zella B., 57 Post St., Nos. 414-15	300
59	Haywood, J. T., 3517 Pierce St.; 2133 Chestnut St.....	300
96	Heakin, Harold L., 131 26th Ave.....	400
129	Heaney, Dr. L. F., 1304 Castro St.; 72 Benton Ave.....	650
66	Heavey, Jack, 78 Henry St.....	200
23	Hebert, H., 74 Hemlock St.....	100
132	Hecker, Mathew, 557 Castro St.....	500
58	Hecla Gas Furnaces (Payne, Ray), 2087 Lombard St....	500
1	Hedstrom, B., 320 Market St., No. 250.....	400
64	Hegemier, C., 619 Fillmore St.....	75
100	Hehir, Lyman, 554 44th Ave.....	400
160	Heidenreich, Wm., 129 Shotwell St.....	450
149	Heino, Dr. J., 1005 Market St., No. 403.....	500
5	Helen Dress Co., 154 Sutter St., No. 207.....	600
33	Helen's Kitchen, 102 Clay St.....	200
118	Hellwig's Bakery (Ilg, Henry) 751 Portola Drive.....	300
61	Henderson, C., 2400 Pacific Ave., No. 804	550
98	Henderson, Frank, 690 34th Ave., No. 11.....	450
73	Hendrickson, Jr., Wm., 2757 Green St.....	5,000
60	Henley, G., 2455 Union St., No. 309.....	100
154	Henning, Clarence A., 5 3d St., No. 611; 1044 Shrader St.	250
154	Henry, Joseph M., 5 3d St., No. 833.....	250
55	Henry's Tackle Shop, 1674 Geary St.....	300
178	Hernandez, Peter, 48 Leland Ave.....	250
2	Harold, Jr., Co., Rudolph, 60 Sansome St., No. 414.....	250
3	Herrmann, F. C., 465 California St., No. 1104.....	300
3	Herron, Wm., 369 Pine St., No. 518.....	150
151	Hersh, F. S., 833 Market St., No. 416.....	200
154	Herzog Elect. & Engineering Co., 55 New Montgomery St., No. 305.....	150
34	Hesemeyer, Otto, 1045 Sansome St., No. 308	250
90	Hesselberg, E., 735 15th Ave.....	200
32	Hettrich & Co., A. L., 508-10 Washington St.....	900
108	Hewel, Dr. R. L., 114 Edgewood Ave.....	200
92	Hewett, Mrs. M. L., 793 19th Ave.....	150
156	Hey, John, 576 Mission St., 5th Fl.....	700
87	Heyman, Max, 635 8th Ave.	250

3	Heymes & Co., M. E., 465 California St., R. 513	200
24	Hickey, Dr. John P., 909 Hyde St., R. 229.....	300
156	Hickok Mfg. Co., Inc., 504-6 Mission St.....	500
121	Higgins, A., 1398 Plymouth Ave.....	150
160	Higgins, Mrs. Mary, 2010 Folsom St.....	450
148	Higgins & Sons, 1159 Market St., R. 202.....	350
156	Hild Floor Machine Co., 557 Market St., 1st Fl.....	200
151	Hilgers, C. J., 833 Market St., No. 1007.....	120
136	Hill, Elmer, 1151-53 Sanchez St.....	200
64	Hill, Mrs. L. N., 1217 McAllister St.....	150
126	Hilligoss, Harry T., 1815 San Jose Ave.....	100
97	Hillman, A. M., 862 31st Ave.....	150
3	Hinckley, Frank E., 465 California St., Nos. 1108-9.....	200
9	Hines, J., 850 Powell St., No. 202.....	700
33	Hines, Jas. S., 500 Sansome St., No. 701.....	650
11	Hinkley, C. E., 830 Market St., No. 503.....	250
102	Hinkson, Royal J., 1242 41st Ave.....	550
83	Hinz, William, 484 2d Ave.....	125
31	Hip Lung & Co., 810 Clay St.....	1,700
31	Hip Sing Chong Co., 12 Ross Alley	175
23	Hiram's Cleaning Co., 958 Geary St.....	150
14	Hirschberg's 466 Geary St., No. 504.....	250
32	Hispania American Newspaper, 617 Montgomery St., Nos. 206-10	800
95	Hobbs, Frank G., 806 25th Ave.....	1,100
157	Hochman, John, 24-A Embarcadero St.	250
32	Hock, Billy Chan, 576 Sacramento St., No. 202.....	50
85	Hodgers, Edward J., 422 5th Ave.....	200
49	Hodges, Evelyn, 1121 Ellis St.....	250
57	Hodgson, N., 2101 Pacific Ave., No. 403.....	250
55	Hoeckele, Frank, 1841 Post St.....	150
1	Hoehner, Albert N., 1127 Leavenworth St.....	200
138	Hogan, Margaret, 1687 Valencia St.....	100
57	Hoge, J. R., 2201 Pacific Ave., No. 101.....	250
4	Holbrook, Dr. George S., 68 Post St., No. 506.....	150
118	Holden, Francis R., 452 Hazelwood Ave.....	200
88	Holland, F. S., 137 9th Ave.....	250
91	Holland, John, 578 18th Ave.....	250
81	Hollingsworth, Walter C., 1333 Page St., No. 3	650
Ship	Holliway, Harrison, 1000 Van Ness Ave.....	500
65	Holly Heating Co., 141 Steiner St.....	500
12	Hollywood Inn, 275 O'Farrell St.....	500
1	Holmes, G. L., 24 California St., No. 209.....	300
110	Holmes, K., 1321 Masonic Ave.....	150
17	Home Beauty Laundry, 1051 Bush St.....	200
104	Home Beauty Shop (Ewers, Grace), 1627 Irving St.	650
32	Hong Hong Co., 614 Clay St.	100
6	Hong Lee Co., 767 Commercial St.....	100
31	Hong On Tong Friendly Society, 657 Jackson St.....	100
43	Honig, C., 3621 Webster St.....	275
14	Hoover, M. C., 165 Eddy St.....	200
133	Hopkins, Louis D., 3674 17th St.....	50
95	Horgan, Lawrence D., 839 24th Ave.....	125
55	Hori & Co., 1725-A Post St.	50
81	Horstmann, Arthur, 1166 Haight St.	75
115	Horwitz, Nathan, 2266 30th Ave.....	275
99	Hosmer, H. W., 517 36th Ave., No. 302.....	250
1	Hotchkiss, W. J., 1 Drumm St., Nos. 1200-04	3,000
23	Hotel Carlton, 1075 Sutter St.....	5,500
152	Hotel Comfort, 744 Howard St.....	200
157	Hotel Commodore, 120 Embarcadero.....	450
31	Hotel de Espana (Yrigoyen & Asti), 785 Broadway St...	600

23	Hotel Erle, 924 Geary St.....	1,350
8	Hotel Garner (Rena Cereda, Stockton, Calif.), 513 Bush St.	750
55	Hotel Lees, 1969 Sutter St.....	800
12	Hotel Mecca, 280 O'Farrell St.....	350
9	Hotel Navarre, 417 Stockton St.....	3,250
54	Hotel Stetz, 1273 Golden Gate Ave.....	400
49	Hotel Van Turk, 719-17 Van Ness Ave.....	250
49	Hotel Vancouver, 696 McAllister St.....	300
14	Hotel Vernon, 253 Mason St.....	400
12	Hotel Virginia, 312 Mason St.....	4,100
5	Houghteling, Wm., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1211.....	100
3	Houlihan, Inc., of Michigan, Jas., 564 Market St., No. 518	300
8	Houston, Constance, 240 Stockton St., No. 1010.....	100
50	Howard, C. F., 610 Laguna St.....	250
10	Howard, F. (Seven Twenty-One Bush Apts.), 721 Bush St.	800
49	Howard, Fred, 1179-83 Ellis St.....	300
11	Howard, Dr. Nelson L., 870 Market St., No. 736.....	500
56	Howie, Julia A., 1801 Buchanan St.....	100
31	Hoy Kee Ko, 1032 Stockton St.	250
146	Hub Garage, 1671 Market St.....	300
51	Hub Cleaners (Banaz, N.), 1634 Market St.	150
146	Hub Store, 1667 Market St.....	300
8	Hubbard, Anita Day, 760 Market St., No. 921.....	200
43	Hubbard, L. J., 1468 Francisco St., No. 2	150
21	Hudson Cleaners, 583 Eddy St.....	250
96	Hughes, John, 181 26th Ave.	350
26	Hughes Printing Co., 1743 Sacramento St.	1,050
2	Hughes & Son, J. P., 206 Sansome St., No. 709.	250
31	Huie Kao Yong Tong, 750 Washington St.....	100
12	Hull, L. L., 323 Geary St., No. 706; 1235 Francisco St..	600
3	Humboldt Sulphur Co., 564 Market St., No. 405.....	80
4	Humburg, J. M., 111 Sutter St., No. 716	150
48	Hunt, A. T., 1241-41-A Van Ness Ave.	400
55	Hunter & Co., Max, 1517 Ellis St.	75
152	Huntoon, Hats, 717 Market St., No. 709.....	100
143	Hurley Apts. (for S. L. & C.) 3321 17th St.....	625
143	Hurley Apts. (for Furn.), 3321 17th St.....	450
147	Hurst, Gordon, 1277 Mission St.....	550
4	Husing, R. A., 46 Kearny St., No. 200.....	100
154	Hutchinson, J. A., 625 Market St., No. 319.....	150
22	Hyde Circulating Library (Costa, Helen), 457 Hyde St..	200
58	Hyman, Max, 2084 Union St.....	150
93	Hynes, Wm. T., 151 20th Ave.....	350
13	Ideal Haircutter Shop, 1148 Taylor St.	250
121	Immel, J. J., 45 Montecito Ave.	200
37	Imperial Crest Co., 1859 Powell St.	1,500
24	Imperial Garage, 1361 Bush St.	300
32	Inch, S., 444 California St., No. 205	150
2	Industrial Lacquer Supply Co., 7 Front St., No. 119-F. ...	250
4	Industrial Mortgage & Loan Co., 111 Sutter St., No. 1029.	450
154	Ingels, E. M., 5 3rd St., No. 1128	150
60	Ingham, Edward, 2105 Lombard St.	200
155	Ink Ribbon Mfg. Co., 635 Howard St.	1,350
144	Inn, The, 222 Guerrero St.	500
55	Inouye, S., 1624 Geary St.	450
134	Institute of Pacific Relations, 703 Market St.	120
152	Inter-American Magazine, 703 Market St., No. 1805	150
146	International Boiler & Machinery Co., 112 14th St.; 1740 Folsom St.	750
154	International Newsreel Corp., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 311	150

3	International Research Lab., 582 Market St., No. 1112 ...	500
14	Irene Studio of Dancing, The, 466 Geary St., 2nd Fl.	150
154	Irish Tourist Assn., 681 Market St., Nos. 297-99	150
55	Ishida, W., 1724 Geary St.	150
7	Isuan Corp., 704 Market St., No. 902	500
33	Italian American Restaurant, 346 Battery St.	500
74	Ivan, G. E., 3035 Baker St., No. 203	150
48	Izumi, I., 1545½ Post St.	150
143	Jack's Sandwiches, 3007 16th St.	200
73	Jackman, J. C., 2790 Green St., No. 102	750
87	Jackson, F. J., 247 8th Ave.	200
31	Jackson Laundry, 609 Jackson St.	250
31	Jackson St. Chinese Laundry, 823 Jackson St.	900
168	Jackson's Lunch Room, 2734 Army St.	260
3	Jacobi, Mignon, 369 Pine St., No. 315	100
16	Jacobs, J. Leslie, 25 Taylor St., No. 400	400
108	Jacobsen, Addison R., 124 Woodland Ave.	200
154	Jacobson, Myron, 83 3rd St.	275
74	James, I. O., 2255 North Point St., No. 303	150
110	James, Mrs. J., 833 Ashbury St., No. 8	100
19	Jan Parker Laundry, 909 Sutter St.	150
31	Jan Ying Commerce & Labor Assn., 65 Wentworth Pl....	400
5	Janigan, Chas. J., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1035	150
78	Jansen, Hans V., 30 Loyola Ter.	150
74	Jansse, Jack, 2355 Bay St., No. 2	150
55	Japanese Assn. of America, 1407 Laguna St.	150
55	Jean's Lunch, 1820-B Post St.	150
135	Jefferson Market, 3850 24th St.	550
154	Jeffress, Robert E., 681 Market St., Nos. 302-18	150
176	Jendresen, R., 6256 3rd St.	250
5	Jenee's Dress Co., 154 Sutter St., No. 208	300
97	Jenkins, Harry, 854 27th Ave.	500
89	Jensen, Haakon A., 154 Funston Ave.	450
11	Jensen & Alexander, 114 Powell St.	200
3	Jepsen, H. J., 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 793-97	200
141	Jerome's Place, Mrs., 3229 21st St.; 1004 Valencia St....	350
77	Jessup, Edgar, 120 Commonwealth Ave.	300
63	Jewish Kultur Center, 1057-57A Steiner St.	100
60	Jimenez, Lucy, 2296 Green St.	100
6	Jin Wo, 783 Clay St.	150
54	Jockey's Stable (T. Jurland), 1133 Golden Gate Ave....	500
22	Joe's Barber Shop, 805 Geary St.	200
121	John, William, 333 Phelan Ave.	200
115	Johnson, A. Conrad, 1045 Rivera St.	400
172	Johnson, Andrew R., 3901 Mission St.	350
98	Johnson, B. E., NE Cor. Balboa and 33rd Ave.	150
99	Johnson, Dwight O., 4027 Anza St.	150
14	Johnson & Co., E. C., 1131 Folsom St.	600
86	Johnson, Jessie L., 2914 Fulton St.	500
5	Johnson, Lewis F., 105 Montgomery St., No. 501	150
14	Johnson News Co., 71 Turk St.	250
116	Johnson, Ralph W., 1347 Taraval St.	600
14	Johnson, Silas, 195 Eddy St.	75
114	Johnston, Harry F., 2448 15th Ave.	425
58	Johnston, W. E., 2195 Green St., No. 6	250
98	Johnston, William, 5526 Fulton St.	350
3	Jolly, E. J., 201 Sansome St., No. 506	150
43	Jones, J. Paul, 3101 Gough St., No. 201	150
98	Jones, Jay, 3123 Anza St.	200
167	Jones, John D., 2758-2758½ 24th St.	300
156	Jones, Vida M., 593 Market St., Nos. 304-05	200
47	Joost, I., 2001 California St., No. 201	200

86	Joseph, Arthur, 3010 Fulton St., No. 9	500
19	Joy's Cleaning Service, 648 Hyde St.	100
92	Judge, Charles F., 655 18th Ave.	200
173	Judnick, A., 2399 San Bruno Ave.	75
89	Julien, Edward H., 486 Funston Ave., No. 103	300
35	Julio's Restaurant, 1335 Grant Ave.	350
105	Junod, Frank, 1465 11th Ave.	300
32	Kaddas Grill, 630 Kearny St.	400
32	Kahl, Milton E., 486 California St., No. 1202	150
89	Kahn, Adolph, 1112 Anza St.	250
3	Kalloway, E. A., 225 Bush St., Nos. 618-20	250
90	Kamber, H., 5150 Geary St., No. 4	150
16	Kane, David, 130 Jones St.	300
74	Kantrow, Albert, 2131 Bay St.	1,050
133	Karuza, Peter, 62 Sharon St.	100
102	Kast, Phil R., 1267 33rd Ave.	300
91	Katcher, A., 795 16th Ave.	275
14	Kater, A., 695 Sutter St.	150
118	Katz, Leonard, 739 Rockdale Dr.	150
3	Kaufman, Chas. S., 220 Bush St., No. 1709	150
148	Kawfee Corp., 917 Bryant St.	700
122	Kay, Ellis J., 391 Ashton Ave.	150
76	Kay, Reba R., 3436 Clay St., No. 2	150
6	Kay Sang Hong, 843 Clay St.	700
3	Keane, A. C., 465 California St., No. 1101	150
45	Kearney, W. H., 1900 Vallejo St., No. 403	150
91	Keating, John A., 529 16th Ave.	200
39	Keenan, T. R., 249 Jefferson St.	200
11	Keeney, Dr. Homer I., 291 Geary St., No. 715	300
84	Kehrlein, Oliver D., 3969 Clay St.	300
121	Kelleher, Nora, 244 Miramar Ave.	200
107	Keeler, Geo., 1467 5th Ave.	200
73	Kelley, P. I., 2720 Vallejo St.	1,000
14	Kelley & Brumbach, 964-A Market St., No. 1	300
46	Kelloway, E. A., 1980 Washington St., No. 601	600
71	Kelly, Annie, 673 4th Ave.	250
47	Kelly Garage (A. L. Fisher), 1625 Pine St.	100
152	Kelso, Odin, 717 Market St., No. 715	175
23	Keltner, P. C., 1141 Polk St.	200
9	Keniflick, Miss Mary, 850 Powell St., No. 101	300
154	Kennedy, Clyde C., 72-78 New Montgomery St., Nos. 545-47	200
115	Kennedy, George, 1512 Taraval St., No. 1	150
4	Kennedy's Dress Shop, 644 Market St.	1,500
107	Kenney, W., 1379 6th Ave.	200
11	Kenney, Dr. Wm., 870 Market St., No. 1253	500
5	Kenney, Wm. F., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1645	350
2	Kenny Co., E. A., 114 Sansome St., Room 529	250
63	Kermit Clothing Co. (Kermit Cohen), 1109 Fillmore St.	600
95	Kessler, Sam H., 773 24th Ave.	450
151	Kidd, Donald R., 280 4th St.	100
4	Kieffer, Stephen, 57 Post St., No. 813	250
12	Kieldsen, Harriett, 547 Sutter St.	100
104	Kielty, S., 1640 Kirkham St.	200
12	Kiem's Lunch Counter, 264 O'Farrell St.	250
144	Killarney Inn, 456 Valencia St.	500
93	Killilea, T. F., 2016 Balboa St.; 5745 Geary St.	1,250
76	Kilner, Mrs. A. G., 3322 Washington St.	600
3	King, C. V., 564 Market St., No. 416	200
118	King, Chas., 766 Foerster St.	150
50	King, Walter, 416 Hayes St.	500
19	Kingsbury Apts. (M. J. Catching), 839 Leavenworth St.	1,000
21	Kingsland Apts., 591 Turk St.	650

4	Kingston, Frank V., 111 Sutter St., No. 612	300
76	Kinoshita, T., 3608 Sacramento St.	150
3	Kirkpatrick, H. C., 312 Cherry St.; 465 California St., No. 1007	250
118	Kissel, M. I., 9 Miraloma Dr.	550
59	Kittel, Jos. J., 3535 Fillmore St., No. 304	500
89	Klaver, R., 595 12th Ave., No. 6	225
20	Kleenrite Tailors & Cleaners, 253 Leavenworth St.	200
91	Klein, Jack, 1614 Anza St.	200
5	Klemyer, Geo. N., 176 Sutter St.	250
46	Kling, L., 1826 Clay St.	850
12	Kneeter Cleaning & Dyeing, 2360 Chestnut St., No. 215; 112 Mason St.	250
84	Knickerbocker, Walter, 45 5th Ave.	300
108	Knight, Edward, 58 Woodland Ave.; 681 Market St., Nos. 428-30	350
46	Knight, Samuel, 1925 Gough St., No. 5	1,000
117	Knipscher, F. W., 266 Santa Paula Ave.	200
102	Knowlton, Ben., 1351 40th Ave.	400
88	Koepke, William, 661 10th Ave.	150
117	Koepppe, Sophie, 306 San Benito Way	250
151	Koesel Silk Co., 833 Market St., No. 615	200
91	Koffman, Dr. Oscar, 5340 Geary St., Nos. 209-10	50
129	Koller, Rudolph, 4215 26th St.	150
150	Konzen Mfg. Co., 383 6th St.	125
32	Koster, Ann, 535 Sacramento St., No. 32	75
33	Kozy Korner Restaurant, 131-33 Drumm St.	300
156	Kraftile Co., 525 Market St., No. 405	100
3	Kragen, Juliet, 156 Montgomery St., No. 209	105
102	Kramer, Harry, 1255½ 32nd Ave.	175
2	Kubeck, Ernest J., 351 California St., No. 824	150
32	Kueffer, Harold M., 417 Montgomery St., No. 210	500
141	Kueney, R., 2558 Mission St.	200
93	Kuhl, B. H., 1936 Anza St., No. 5	400
6	Kun Wo Chong Co., 732 Sacramento St.	150
31	Kwong Lun & Co., 948-50 Grant Ave.	2,800
31	Kwong Shing Co., 701 Jackson St.	150
154	Lacey, Arthur H., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 508...	150
164	Lacey & Schulz, 2837 22nd St.	500
5	Lachmund, Ralph H., 235 Montgomery St., No. 2307	200
45	Lackenback, Arnold C., 1980 Vallejo St., No. 3; 155 Mont- gomery St., No. 1003	1,700
73	Lacy, Lyman C., 2662 Union St.	450
45	La Duke, P., 2327 Van Ness Ave.	300
114	Lagomarsino, Victor, 366 Claremont Blvd.	250
3	La Grange Gold Dredging Co., 220 Montgomery St., No. 687	100
65	Laguens, Vincent, 2195 Mission St.	150
7	Lamb, Walter, 177 Post St., No. 305	240
1	Lamberton Co., 49 California St.	1,000
63	La Montagne, J., 1338 McAllister St.	150
4	Lamson & Sessions Co., 111 Sutter St., Nos. 930-31	200
160	Lancia, Frank, 1898 Folsom St.	200
142	Lancy French Laundry (J. Lancy), 3469 18th St.	1,000
137	Lane Dyeing & Cleaning Works, 1551 Church St.	250
111	Laney, Richard, 1047 Clayton St.	300
47	Lang, A., 2001 California St., No. 107	450
155	Lange, Chas. P., 253 3rd St.	150
45	Lange, Dorothea, 2515 Gough St.	150
107	Lange, Otto F., 1208 8th Ave.	150
15	Langham Apts., 555 Taylor St.	1,250
9	Lanser, L. A., 840 Powell St., No. 15	1,200

28	L Petto, Ettoire, 1563 Broadway	300
100	La Playa Hotel, 706 La Playa	500
8	La Pompadour, 251 Post St., Rooms 202-03	250
108	La Rash, L. H., 1444 Willard St.	250
22	Larkin Products Co., 731 Larkin St.	1,300
50	Larrabee Apts., 487 Hayes St.	1,500
152	Lasse's Sweets, 779 Market St.	1,000
4	Lastreto, Emilio, 690 Market St., Room 501; 775 18th Ave.	650
96	Laumeister, C. L., 195 26th Ave., No. 4	250
60	Lauritzen, Jr., Hal, 2843 Fillmore St.	350
7	Lauzon, Louis A., 177 Post St., Room 402	200
90	Lawson, Richard, 535 14th Ave.	350
104	Lazar, L. J., 1461 17th Ave.	200
63	Leader's Shoes (M. J. Karonsky), 1457 Fillmore St. ...	1,200
57	Leal, G., 2201 Pacific Ave., No. 502	250
5	Leary, E. R., 736 Pine St.; 321 Bush St., Rooms 207-09..	900
20	Leavenworth Bottle Supplies, 321 Leavenworth St.	600
75	Leberman, Palmer K., 3140 Pacific Ave.	500
3	Ledgett, W. G., 465 California St., Rooms 305-06.	150
50	Lee, A. S. (Ivy Gough Apts.), 435 Gough St.	800
84	Lee, Anabella, 6 Presidio Ter.	4,000
77	Lee, Jr., Fred L., 189 Commonwealth Ave. No. 3	250
21	Lee, Jake, 705 Ellis St.	50
90	Lee, James J. 639 14th Ave.	2,750
6	Lee, M. J., 753½ Clay St.	300
6	Lee, Pond, 747 Commercial St.	225
50	Leeds, R. M., 525 Gough St.	650
91	Leek, Gladys, 578 17th Ave.	275
7	Leffinwell, Jack, 177 Post St., No. 303	250
75	Leffler, Albert, 1527 Baker St.	500
98	Leffler, Gloria, 3408 Balboa St.	250
16	Legion Coffee Shop, 382 Ellis St.	350
8	Le Grand, Walter, 133 Geary St., No. 617	175
2	Leitch, Edward E., 244 California St., No. 508	200
96	Leland, W. L., 40 25th Ave.	350
5	Lemle, Julius, 127 Montgomery St., No. 404	250
57	Lemmon, Ruby, 2275 Jackson St.	1,100
35	Lenci, Guido, 453 Columbus Ave.	150
174	Lengeman, Charles, 1302 Fairfax Ave.	175
16	Lenz, S., 141 Turk St.	250
153	Leo Hotel, 761 Howard St.	350
35	Leon D'Oro Hotel and Restaurant, 1525 Grant Ave.	700
158	Leonard, Mrs. Rose, 1212 18th St.	150
97	Leoni, N., 6201 Geary Blvd.	100
143	Lesare, Mary, 480-A Guerrero St.	100
96	Less, Louis, 287 31st Ave.	300
40	Lethine, L. M., 940 Bay St., No. 14	150
72	Levinson, H. A., 2969 Jackson St., No. 201	250
62	Levinson, Robt. A., SE Cor. Geary & Steiner	150
75	Levison, George L., 2025 Lyon St.	800
57	Levy, Berne, 2299 Pacific Ave., No. 51	150
84	Levy, Jesse C., 4005 California St., No. 9.....	400
12	Levy, Robert, 533 Sutter St.....	300
98	Lewis, Charles, 779 33d Ave.....	250
167	Lewis, George, 2700 24th St.....	150
54	Lewis, J., 1204-8 Fillmore St.....	350
5	Lewis, J. W., 519 California St., No. 715.....	75
86	Liebowick, Albert, 4446 California St.; 4448 California St.	300
31	Lim Po, 665 Pacific Ave.....	100
141	Lincoln Radio Service, 1085 Valencia St.	300
26	Lincoln Stationery & Toy, 1747 Sacramento St.....	300
1	Linderman, Fred, 110 Market St., No. 608.....	100

114	Lindsey, James L., SE cor. Taraval & 15th Ave.....	150
11	Lindsley, Herbert L., 830 Market St., No. 820.....	250
3	Linn, Clarence A., 20 San Lorenzo Way; 220 Montgomery St., No. 693.....	850
31	Linn Hink Co., 905 Washington St.....	800
118	Linser, Fred R., 446 Mangels Ave.....	300
11	Lipkind, S., 830 Market St., No. 817.....	100
60	Lipman, D., 2960 Scott St., No. 3.....	400
92	Lippert, James L., 531 19th Ave.	150
148	Lisbeth Dress Co., 1137 Howard St.....	250
57	Little Food Shop, 2420 Fillmore St.....	500
95	Little Corner Candy Store, 2400 Clement St.....	400
12	Lloyd Laboratories, Ltd., 490 Post St., No. 1720.....	1,000
3	Locke, Wm. J., 220 Montgomery St., No. 812	150
3	Loeb, Albert L., 564 Market St., No. 409.....	500
76	Loesch, Arthur, 3401 Clay St., No. 401.....	300
60	Logan, Benjamin, 2417 Greenwich St.....	250
32	Logan, Maurice, 507 Montgomery St., No. 202	50
21	Logan, Wm. E., 611 Ellis St.	100
17	London Tailor, 512 O'Farrell St.....	200
31	Long, Yee, 947½ Stockton St.....	50
59	Lorge, Daniel, 40 Capra Way, No. 9.....	500
83	Lorraine Apts., 405 2d Ave.....	200
142	Lotus Apts., 805 Valencia St.....	450
152	Louie's Restaurant, 776 Howard St.....	125
71	Louisa, Mrs., 2891 Pine St.....	150
5	Lovell, Howell, 235 Montgomery St., No. 1332.....	400
14	Loverich, A., 325 Mason St.....	250
6	Low, G. L., 760 Sacramento St.....	500
10	Low, Robert Melville, 950 Mason St., No. 359.....	1,000
31	Low San, 729½ Washington St.....	125
31	Lowe, F. B., 1132 Stockton St.....	130
31	Lowe, Y. C., 1060 Stockton St.....	200
80	Lowell Vegetable Market (I. Gottes), 1900 Hayes St....	200
31	Loy On Co., 807 Grant Ave.....	40
46	Lucey, Lillian, 1950 Clay St., 601.....	100
3	Luchesa, Frank, 378 Pine St.....	150
83	Luchetti, Reno, 883 Arguello Blvd.....	450
152	Lucky Cafe, 724-A Howard St.....	150
141	Lucky Dress Shop, 1089 Valencia St.....	250
12	Lucky Hand Laundry, 286 O'Farrell St.....	100
100	Luis, Arthur, 875 45th Ave.....	225
31	Lum Benevolent Society, 30 St. Louis Alley	50
3	Lum, Jack V., 231 Sansome St., No. 501	150
1	Lummis Co., Ben H., 24 California St., No. 312.....	300
39	Lund, L. T., 3325 Steiner St., No. 5; 1299 Columbus Ave..	850
78	Lundstedt, W. L., 776 Arguello Blvd.....	300
143	Lynch Auto Wreckers, 550-552 Valencia St.....	400
68	Lyons, Edward, 883 Haight St.....	150
141	Lynwood Apartment, 3270 21st St.....	900
147	M. J. Coffee Shop, 96 9th St.	200
154	M. & M. Beer Tavern, 55 3d St.....	500
12	M. & W. Cigar Co., 167 Powell St.....	350
7	Mabson, Edward D., 251 Kearny St., R. 303.....	300
115	Macdonald, Malcom, 2173 20th Ave.....	800
13	Macdonald, Mrs. Mark, 1055 California St., No. 14.....	1,500
3	Mac Donald, L. D., 465 California St., R. 330.....	100
5	Mac Dowell & Mather, 235 Montgomery St., R. 1722....	600
9	Macfarlan, G. Leidigh, 664 Powell St.	200
30	Machetto, S., 1329-C Powell St.....	100
58	Mack, Gerald, 1990 Green St., No. 407.....	100
54	Mackay, F. P., 906 Buchanan St.....	100

143	Macon Fruit Market, 3105 16th St.....	150
32	Madsen, Martin C., 486 California St., 906.....	500
154	Mae, Fred, 5 3d St., No. 720-21.....	150
165	Mae's Lunch, 2985 21st St.....	200
61	Maggora, Mrs. L. F., 2504 Washington St., No. 4.....	100
12	Magill, Dr. J. C., 490 Post St., No. 744-6.....	400
58	Magliano, Margherita, 2823 Laguna St.....	200
57	Magnin, C., 2100 Pacific Ave., No. 3.....	250
137	Mahoney, Catherine, 1651 Sanchez St.....	150
31	Main Inn, The, 735 Jackson St.....	300
11	Maison-Henri, 430 Powell St.....	1,200
14	Majestic Hand Laundry, 317 O'Farrell St.....	150
147	Majestic Press, 1272 Mission St.....	2,200
155	Makin, Wm., 473 Bryant St.....	150
122	Malenberg, Helen T., 107 Lunado Way.....	150
6	Man Fung Lung, 832 Stockton St.....	500
61	Manard, R., 2217 Fillmore St.....	400
10	Manaton, W. H., 637 Powell St., No. 62.....	550
31	Manila Pool Room, 604 Jackson St.....	500
31	Manila Restaurant, 606 Jackson St.....	600
6	Manmaru Restaurant, 546 Grant Ave.....	800
101	Mann, Sam, 4407-4407-A Kirkham St.....	100
20	Manning, S. E., 1182 Market St., No. 226.....	250
9	Mannocir, F. D., 800 Powell St., No. 15; 220 Montgomery St., No. 801.....	250
10	Manook, Henry K., 901 California St. (basement).....	200
89	Mansfield, C. E., 595 12th Ave., No. 8.....	300
12	Manx Barber Shop, 225 Powell St. (lobby).....	150
8	Marace Beauty Studio, 240 Stockton St., No. 311-2.....	300
20	Marathon Grill, 301 Turk St.....	500
151	Maravilla Products Co., 883 Market St., No. 215.....	250
120	Marcellini, R., 324 Havelock St.....	250
157	Marchant Calculating Machine Co., 417 Market St., No. 231.....	300
168	Marchell and Kellogg, 2515 24th St.	200
59	Marconi, Joseph P., 1737 Beach St.....	450
79	Margoulas, Arthur, 501 Baker St.....	250
19	Mme. Marie's Beauty Shop, 630 O'Farrell St.....	100
3	Marilyn Dress Shop, 220 Bush St., No. 553.....	500
157	Marin Hotel, 146 Embarcadero	800
58	Marina Auto Electric Co., 3260 Fillmore St.....	700
45	Marina Cleaners & Dyers, 1508 Union St.....	200
59	Marina Creamery, 3226 Scott St.....	700
59	Marina Economy Food Shop, 2299 Chestnut St.....	700
183	Marina Garden Apts., 101 Cervantes Blvd.....	1,400
140	Maroni Realty Co., 3344 24th St.....	50
157	Maritime Social Club Inc., 11 Market St.....	350
133	Market St. Auction Co., 2083 Market St.....	500
41	Markillie, Helen, 1283 Greenwich St.....	150
75	Marks, Hiram, 560 Presidio Ave., No. 5.....	200
106	Marquard, R., 1251 10th Ave.....	275
92	Marque, R., 397 18th Ave.....	200
17	Marr, J. D., 608½ Geary Blvd.....	250
47	Marr, W. C., 2045 California St., No. 401.....	150
86	Marshall, Mrs., 539-41 6th Ave.....	100
32	Marshall-Adams Printing Corp., 523 Sansome St.....	1,200
12	Marshall, Dr. J. A., 490 Post St., No. 1415-6.....	300
3	Marshall, Stewart M., 220 Bush St., Nos. 1914-5	150
25	Mart, Tony, 1398 California St.....	75
45	Martens, E. H., 1750 Vallejo St., No. 206.....	550
160	Martin Fish Stand, 1985 Mission St.....	150
5	Martin, Leo, 126 Sutter St.....	450
90	Martin, Tevis Paul, 671 14th Ave.....	750

43	Martinelli, Julius, 1355 Francisco St.	200
92	Martinez, A., 5430 Geary Blvd.	500
58	Martinez, Judith, 240 Stockton St., No. 211-4; 2134 Green St., No. 3.	150
34	Martini, F., 1159 Kearny St.	150
167	Martorana, Sam, 2887 Bryant St.	300
32	Marzo, Camillo, 604 Montgomery St., No. 219-220; 2736 Ulloa St.	700
43	Masi, A. V., 1355 Bay St., No. 12.	150
3	Mason, Drucilla, 155 Sansome St., No. 709.	100
14	Mason Hotel, 111 Mason St.	1,000
3	Mason, John Inc., 582 Market St., No. 1012.	100
5	Mason, Wm., 235 Montgomery St., No. 901.	300
77	Massagli, & Co., 128 Parker Ave.	1,500
117	Masten, C. F., 115 San Pablo Ave.	200
8	Masten & Hurd, 233 Post St., 6th Fl.	100
154	Masters, H. A., 625 Market St., No. 1401.	100
3	Material Men's Credit Ass'n, 564 Market St., No. 305.	150
152	Matreff, Joe, 759 Market St.	150
49	Matsuda, Roy, 1260 Ellis St.	100
31	Mattei, Pete, 628 Pacific Ave.	340
59	Mattern, W. J., 66 Toledo Way.	350
23	Mauerhan, A. C., 1235-39 Sutter St.	200
82	Maurice Apts., 1880 Page St.	350
23	Maurovich, R., 1198 Polk St.	400
24	Mansner Custom Tailoring, 68 Post St., No. 306-7.	300
53	Maxie, The Tailor, 815 Hayes St.	200
60	May, H., 3005 Fillmore St.	200
157	Mayer, Dawson, 417 Market St., No. 229.	150
94	Mayer, H. G., 507 22nd Ave.	300
161	Mayflower Grill, 2487 Mission St.	400
111	Meade, Alfred, 1125 Shrader St.	250
32	Meadows, Henry, 617 Montgomery St., No. 219-221.	600
11	Meagher, Dr. Francis L., 870 Market St., No. 1112.	350
3	Means, James W., 465 California St., No. 821.	100
152	Meat Jobbers Assoc. of San Francisco, 703 Market St., No. 312.	100
6	Mee Chin Co., 727 Sacramento St.	225
31	Mee Lung & Co., 752 Jackson St.	200
31	Mee Wah Co., 139 Waverly Place.	140
18	Megas, S., 370 Eddy St.	200
62	Mehegan Garage, 2359 Pine St.	400
32	Meinhardt, Al., 514 Washington St.	1,400
36	Melba Rooming House, 750 Broadway.	250
148	Melody Shop, 1175 Market St., Dept. 30.	150
49	Mendes, M. J., 813 Eddy St.	650
154	Mendo Corporation, 5 3d St., No. 624-5-6.	300
166	Menge's Surgical Co., 2867 Mission St.	375
23	Menneud, John, 1198 Polk St.	150
161	Mercantile Properties Co., 2499 Mission St.	100
5	Mercantile Properties Co., 155 Montgomery St., No. 501.	100
73	Mercuri, Margaret, 2481 Lombard St.	300
92	Martens, M. J., 571 18th Ave.	1,000
154	Metal Window Institute, 5 3d St., No. 825-6.	200
52	Metge Print Co., 509 Sansome St., Flat 2.	500
53	Meyer, August, 608 Oak St.	100
95	Meyer, C., 2455 Lake St., No. 4.	150
50	Meyer, Geo. E., 818 Laguna St.	150
4	Meyer, Leon, 690 Market St., No. 305.	100
3	Meyers, Alma, 220 Montgomery St., No. 379.	200
52	Meyers, E. L., 535 Page St.	50
156	Meyn, A. H., 163 2d St.	150

160	Meyn, Carl & Lester, 2903 16th St.....	500
78	Metz, M., 1711 Haight St.; 860 Arguello Blvd. No. 204....	600
45	Michaels, M., 1700 Broadway, No. 304.....	150
20	Michaels, Roy, 220 Hyde St.; 25 Taylor St., No. 312	400
155	Micheletti & Coletti, 298 2d St.....	250
105	Michelotti, Manlio, 1458 12th Ave.....	150
21	Midget, The, 484 Turk St.....	250
92	Mijoshi, T., 5618 California St.....	250
32	Miller, H. M. A., 1896 Pacific Ave., No. 504; 486 California St., No. 618.....	1,000
117	Miller, J. W., 246 Yerba Buena Ave.	150
69	Millett, J. C., 1200 Fulton St., No. 403.....	100
69	Mills, B. F., 1316 Fulton St., No. 2.....	375
83	Milton Brothers, 557 Arguello Blvd.....	200
69	Milwaukee Inn, 845 Divisadero St.....	200
11	Minas, Dr. Y., 870 Market St., No. 545.....	500
37	Miomo Hotel, 2293 Powell St.....	150
133	Miranda, C. W., 56 Sharon St.	125
114	Misrack, Simon, 2360 Cecilia Ave.....	175
160	Mission & 16th Investment Co., 1985 Mission St.....	175
144	Mission Bell Gardens, 3008 16th St.....	500
148	Mission Biscuit Co., 1175 Market St., Dept. 22; 1170 Sutter St.	700
146	Mission Concrete Co., 123-125 Kissling St.; 272 Turk St..	750
141	Mission Home Dept. Store Library, 2558 Mission St.....	250
165	Mission Produce Co. (Greenberg, S.), 2531 Mission St....	275
165	Mission Quality Market, Ruasch, S., 2761 Mission St.....	100
133	Mission Roofing Co., 2093 15th St.....	400
49	Mitchell, Andy, 833 Van Ness Ave.....	400
89	Mizen, Arthur, 4735 Geary Blvd.....	500
149	Modeart Beauty Shop, 1007 Market St.....	750
56	Model Cash Store, 2298 Fillmore St.....	1,200
33	Model Cigar Company, 326 Jackson St.....	750
23	Model Cleaners, 709 Hyde St.....	200
17	Model Tailoring, The, 1015 Bush St.....	200
165	Modern Lace Curtain Cleaners, 811 Treat Ave.....	550
45	Moeller, Charles, 2630 Laguna St.....	200
3	Molino, Albert A., 220 Montgomery St., No. 319.....	150
135	Mogelewsky, S., 3848 24th St.....	950
62	Mohr, J. A., 2047 Fillmore St.....	200
118	Molinari, Anthony, 590 Monterey Blvd.....	400
93	Molkenbuhr, Val., 1944 Balboa St.....	250
49	Monarch Grinding & Machine Works, 731 Turk St.....	1,000
13	Montclair Apts., 995 Pine St.....	1,300
156	Montgomery, J. A., 85 2nd St., No. 620.....	150
9	Montgomery, Orland F., 450 Sutter St., No. 1827.....	150
45	Moon Dream Lunch (Roberti, A.), 1578-88 Union St.	550
4	More, A. M., 690 Market St., No. 323-4.....	500
89	Moore, Ron. J., 1019 Clement St.....	250
129	Moran, Mrs. C., 4158 25th St.....	150
94	Moran, Victoria, 5813 Geary Blvd.....	200
57	Moreggia, Albert L., 2210 Jackson St., No. 404.....	350
6	Moreno, Tony, 515 Pine St.....	300
117	Moreton, E. G., 181 Pinehurst Way.....	250
3	Morgen, E. F., 220 Montgomery St., No. 400.....	100
2	Morgensen, J. P. Co., 7 Front St., Nos. 127-25	900
76	Morgenthau, Mrs. Henry, 342 Cherry St.	150
69	Moriarty, G. H., 1200 Fulton St., No. 307.....	100
116	Morley, D. N., 2612 24th Ave.....	200
143	Morris Apts., 570 Guerrero St.....	150
45	Morris, E. L., 1800 Broadway, No. 603.....	100
8	Morris, Dr. Emile H., 133 Geary Blvd., No. 826-7.....	400

61	Morris, I. F., 2645 Sacramento St., No. 7.....	250
117	Morrison, F. Harry, 115 San Aleso Ave.....	450
142	Morrison, J., 724 Valencia St.....	250
128	Morrissey, Fred, 3458 Mission St.....	150
64	Morse, D. T., 913 Fillmore St.....	225
183	Morse, T. A., 3714 Divisadero St.....	400
64	Morton, Mrs. C. C., 632-632-A Pierce St., etc.; 424 Pierce St., etc.....	900
46	Morton, J., 1955 Broadway, No. 202.....	150
33	Mortuary Management, 500 Sansome St.....	600
153	Mosaides, Tom, 344-A 3d St.....	50
146	Mosby, D. K., 1673 Market St.....	300
148	Moss Building, 1109 Market St.....	225
46	Moss, Mrs. E., 1945 Broadway, No. 305.....	150
161	Motley, Maud M., 564-572 Capp St.....	300
150	Motor Exchange, 985 Folsom St.....	1,200
160	Mowatt, John, 1866 Folsom St.....	1,750
59	Moynahan, N. A., 147 Alhambra St.....	550
8	Mueller, Walter H., 26 O'Farrell St., No. 1002.....	100
45	Mugnaini, Don, 753 Market St.; 2565 Gough St.....	1,000
152	Mugnaini & Horne, 753 Market St.....	300
98	Mucahy, G. C., 876 32d Ave.....	350
122	Mulkey, John M., 210 Moncada Way	700
141	Mullaly, L., 928 Valencia St.....	250
2	Multiplex Display Fixture Co., 7 Front St., No. 223.....	800
156	Murat, John, 69 Clementina St.....	100
55	Murata, K., 1715 Post St.....	100
136	Murk, John, 100 Clipper St.	650
29	Murphy, A. S., 945 Green St., No. 8.....	1,250
57	Murphy, D. J., 2251 Jackson St.....	1,000
4	Murphy, Daniel C., 1 Montgomery St., No. 1101.....	1,700
3	Murphy, Francis W., 220 Bush St., No. 1820.....	900
45	Murphy, J. J., 1628 Union St.....	150
164	Murphy, J. J., 2701-3 22d St.....	250
11	Murphy, Dr. John L., 870 Market St., No. 983; 1380 12th Ave.	500
183	Murphy, M. J., 2000 Beach St., No. 205.....	150
71	Murphy, Nora, 1833 Broderick St.....	150
114	Murphy, Wm. W., 2492 16th Ave.....	250
114	Murray, Elizabeth, 1630 Portola Drive.....	150
98	Murray, F., 3139-35 Clement St.	150
55	Murray, John, 1581 Ellis St.....	300
140	Murray, John J., 3321 22d St.....	75
98	Musante, P., 863 31st Ave.....	200
62	Mushkin, M. D., 2124 Sutter St.....	300
33	Muzzi, Dominic, 444 Battery St.....	150
106	Myers, Leslie O., 903 Irving St.....	300
66	Myers, Victor S., 106 Noe St.....	1,300
183	McAuliffe, G., 3750 Scott St., No. 102.....	150
48	McAvoy, N., 1378 Sutter St.....	25
74	McBain, A., 3465 Broderick St., No. 204.....	800
75	McBride, John, 3199 Clay St.....	225
113	McCabe, James W., 716 Ulloa St.....	250
102	McCambridge, Francis, 3214 Irving St.....	750
128	McCarthy, Dennis, 289 30th St.; 291 30th St.....	750
5	McCarthy, John T., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1006.....	500
4	McCarthy, Jr., P. H., 111 Sutter St., No. 530-1.....	200
89	McCarthy, Thomas W., 615 12th Ave.	600
39	McCaughern, J. C., 1020 Union St., No. 23.....	1,200
110	McClintock, L., 100-A Clifford Ter.....	150
102	McClintock, William E., 1374 31st Ave.....	250
12	McCord, Dr. Edwin J., 490 Post St., No. 1014.....	150

62	McCormick, J. H., 2030 Sutter St.....	750
143	McCormick Poolroom, 3261 16th St.....	300
10	McCorrey, Miss Emily, 950 Mason St., No. 2.....	1,000
3	McCreddin, F. L., 369 Pine St., No. 403-5.....	150
154	McCue, Thomas F., 625 Market St., No. 212.....	400
3	McDaniel, G. G., 220 Montgomery St., No. 1040.....	150
103	McDonald, John B., 1334 30th Ave.....	275
3	McDonald, Mark L., 220 Montgomery St., No. 883.....	150
149	McDonald Co., 1066 Howard St.....	500
76	McDonnell, Mrs. A. F., 403 Laurel St.....	300
99	McDonough, Martin, 543 40th Ave.....	150
5	McEnerney, Leo J., 235 Montgomery St., No. 2812.....	800
3	McEnerney, Philip R., 220 Montgomery St., No. 732.....	300
154	McEwen, W. H., 637 Market St., No. 6093.....	250
15	MacFarland, A. C., 666 Post St., No. 410	350
122	McGill, Richard C., 461 Urbano Dr.....	850
92	McGillis, D., 527 19th Ave.....	250
161	McGlynn, Robert, 780 Van Ness Ave. So.....	100
113	McGranahan, James M., 214 Granville Way.....	350
32	McGrath, Thos. R., 477 Flood Ave.; 405 Montgomery St., No. 215.....	300
20	McGrath Paint Co., 1156 Market St.....	400
16	McGrath Sign Co., 115 Turk St. (rear).....	100
5	McGregor, Leslie, 235 Montgomery St., No. 901.....	300
81	McHardy, Mrs. Lulu, 1360-2 Haight St.....	200
49	McHenry, Arthur M., 704 Turk St.....	250
2	McIntosh, David G., 7 Front St., No. 431-F.....	300
89	McKee, Harry B., 226 Funston Ave.; 1047 Clement St...	300
16	McKenna, Pierre, 405 Taylor St.....	250
2	McKnew, E. E., 260 California St., No. 312.....	250
3	McLaren, Beaumont, 220 Montgomery St., No. 505.....	100
127	McLaughlin, Ed. T., 346 Santa Rosa Ave.....	175
62	McLaughlin, George, 2188-A Sutter St.....	300
11	McLaughlin, Dr. W. R., 870 Market St., No. 785	350
144	McLaughlin & Callaghan, 315 Valencia St.....	125
7	McMahan, Mabray, 704 Market St., No. 208.....	75
16	McManus, Jackson, 362 Ellis St.....	100
137	McNaughton, Thos., 229 Valley St.....	175
96	McNeill, Daniel H., 362 28th Ave.....	150
168	McNeill & Sullivan, 2638 24th St.	500
43	McVeigh, J., 3360 Octavia St., No. 2.....	150
58	N R A Cleaners & Tailors, 2008 Union St.....	200
153	N R A Restaurant, 460 Brannan St.....	250
155	Nabini Hotel, 106 South Park.....	400
2	Nadon Engineering & Sales Co., 7 Front St., No. 148-F..	500
148	Natalie Renee Hat Co., 1159 Market St., No. 204	150
45	Nathan, A., 2517 Van Ness Ave.....	100
34	National Bakery, 1363 Kearny St.....	150
31	National Drug Co., 949 Stockton St.	400
149	National Electric Co. (J. Landini), 3651 Mission St.; 1080 Howard St.	300
55	National Exporting Co., 1750 Fillmore St.....	1,100
8	National Health Hospital Assn., 760 Market St., No. 730.	500
148	National Mfg. Co., 250 7th St.....	600
6	National Tailor, 506 Bush St.....	175
16	National Window Shade Co., 350 Ellis St.....	150
79	Naumoff, Matrena, 919 Central Ave.....	150
9	Navarre Valet Shop, 417 Stockton St.....	150
10	Naylor, R. C., 1000 Mason St., No. 202.....	1,000
3	Neal Machinery Co., H. T., 58 Sutter St., No. 353.....	100
113	Neary, Athel, 407 Castenada Ave.....	600
24	Neece Co., Wm., 1445 Polk St.....	250

64	Nelson, C. V., 1269 McAllister St.....	100
115	Nelson, Edward, 2153 20th Ave.....	150
132	Nelson, Hildmer, 481 Castro St.....	250
43	Nelson, W. B., 3360 Octavia St., No. 1.....	150
158	Nephi Plaster & Mfg. Co., 201 Utah St.....	400
114	Neutzel, A., 250 Claremont Blvd.....	250
5	Nevada Corp. Service & Trust, 235 Montgomery St., No. 468	200
154	Nevada Porphyry Gold Mines, Inc., 681 Market St., No. 724-28	150
157	New Bridge Coffee Shop, 460 Folsom St.	100
61	New Fillmore Grocery, 1840 Washington St.; 2361 Fillmore St.....	1,750
15	New Food Shop, 1085 Pine St.....	150
18	New Granada Market, 49 Jones St.	750
155	New Grill, The, 283 3d St.....	250
33	New Home Restaurant, 987 Embarcadero.....	100
62	New Iceland Roller Skating, 2199 Sutter St.....	1,100
7	New Kearny Hotel, 215-A Kearny St.....	100
31	New Kong Chow, 664 Jackson St.	400
31	New Method Mfg. Co., 862 Clay St.....	150
161	New Mission Garage, 3330 20th St.....	250
71	New Mission Hardwood Floor Co., 1546 Divisadero St....	300
149	New Morning Star Coffee Shop, 398 6th St.....	200
149	New Southern Hotel, 804 Bryant St.....	900
49	New Sterling Lunch, 831 Van Ness Ave.....	350
106	New Sunset Italian Restaurant, 1239 9th Ave.....	250
133	New System Cleaners, 2343 Market St.....	500
151	New Travelers Lunch Room, 301 5th St.....	160
17	New York Shining Parlor, 679 Geary St.....	100
6	New York Tailors, 407 Kearny St.....	600
10	Newberry, C. J., 901 Powell St., No. 4.....	200
Ship	Newby, Ray, 1617 Lombard St.....	300
18	Newhouse, John L., 361 Jones St.....	150
77	Newlon, Amelia, 3504 Geary Blvd.....	200
11	Newman Gas Equipment Co., 447 Sutter St., No. 632....	250
5	Newman, Jack, 130 Sutter St., 7th Fl.....	1,600
8	Newmeyer, W. L., 140 Geary St., 8th Fl.....	100
24	Newmeyer's Bakery, 1338 Polk St.....	800
3	Newsom, Geo. W., 220 Montgomery St., No. 505.....	150
114	Newsom, John, 2115 18th Ave.....	250
8	Ney, Dr. Stefen, 209 Post St., No. 1215.....	250
31	Ng Family Benevolent Assn., 820 Clay St.	120
157	Nicholas, I. J., Pier No. 22.....	125
Ship	Nicholson, W. J., 137 Grant Ave.....	500
5	Nicol & Brookman, 110 Sutter St., No. 908.....	200
166	Nicolas, Dr. E. P., 2857 Mission St.....	350
90	Nielsen, J., 120 16th Ave.....	300
118	Nieman, Loretta, 925 Portola Dr.....	200
166	Nine Forty Five Capp Apts., 945 Capp St.....	100
70	Nine Fourteen Broderick Apts., 914 Broderick St.....	600
106	Nine Hundred Three Irving Apts., 1309 10th Ave.; 903 Irving St.....	100
54	Nine Ninety Five McAllister Apts., 995 McAllister St....	350
141	Nine Seventy Five Valencia Apts. Peter Lynch, 975 Valencia St.....	750
49	Nine Thirty Nine Eddy Apts. (S. L. & C.), 939 Eddy St...	750
49	Nine Thirty Nine Eddy Apts. (Furniture), 939 Eddy St.	700
25	Nine Twenty Five Leavenworth Apts., 925 Leavenworth St.	900
46	Nineteen Fifty Five Franklin Apts., 1955 Franklin St...	100

72	Nineteen Hundred Three Broderick Apts., 1903 Broderick St.	350
47	Nineteen Ninety One California Apts., 1991 California St.	450
45	Nineteen Sixty Vallejo Apts., 1960 Vallejo St.	150
80	Nineteen Thirty Grove Apts., 1930 Grove St.	100
56	Nineteen Twenty Five Laguna Apts., 1925 Laguna St.	50
1	Nippon Dempo Tsushin Sha, 24 California St., No. 618.	150
55	Nippon Hotel, 1551 Laguna St.	900
97	Nissim, Jerry, 5450 Fulton St., No. 1.	300
9	Nixon, David, 450 Sutter St., No. 1809.	250
95	Nolan, J. J., 6101 Geary Blvd.	250
153	Nolin, U., 1807 16th Ave.; 246 Ritch St.	400
94	Noonan, Mrs. Helene, 166 23d Ave.	200
49	Nordi, Marie, 1227 O'Farrell St.	150
104	Noriega Cleaners, 1311 Noriega St.	250
48	Norio Co. (S. Norio), 1426½ Geary St.; 1426 Geary St.	250
51	Norman & Johnson, 289 Fell St.	150
42	Norment & Richer, 2340 Polk St.	150
51	Norrington, William, 287 Fell St.	200
33	North, Hart H., 510 Battery St., No. 238.	150
4	North Shore Land Co., 68 Post St., No. 526.	150
157	Northern California Retail Druggist Assn., 461 Market St.	175
154	Northern California Tire Assn., 72-78 New Montgomery St. No. 619.	100
32	Nosek, John, 617 Montgomery St., No. 204.	100
2	Not-A-Seed Raisin Sales Co., 310 California St., No. 212.	150
87	Novacek, J. F., 700 8th Ave.	200
61	Novak, N., 2590 Sacramento St., No. 104.	150
55	Numoto Kee, 1600 Buchanan St.	150
148	O. K. Rooms, 1125 Folsom St.	175
149	Oakdale Rooms, 220 6th St.	500
152	Oaks Hotel, 287 Natoma St.	250
147	Oberdeener, Caroline, 375 10th St.	150
65	Oberg & Hammerberg, 587½ Haight St.	150
3	O'Brien, Albert J., 369 Pine St., No. 411-2.	200
3	O'Brien, Jr., D. J., 1422 Francisco St.; 369 Pine St., No. 411-2.	600
17	O'Brien, L. J., 667 Geary St.	100
114	O'Brien, Luke B., 141 Wawona St.; 2964 Mission St.	500
137	O'Brien, Veronica, 302 27th St.	250
141	O'Brien's Tavern, 3216 22nd St.	300
89	O'Connell, George C., 628 Funston Ave.	150
65	O'Connor, Dennis, 227-229-231 Pierce St.	150
154	O'Connor, Geo. P., 72-8 New Montgomery St., No. 417.	200
11	O'Connor, Dr. Gerald T., 870 Market St., No. 953.	500
151	Odell, Roy F., 821 Market St., No. 322.	200
153	Odeon Tavern, 714 Folsom St.	300
3	O'Donnell, Vincent H., 220 Bush St., No. 1820.	200
152	O'Kase, J., 140 3d St.	300
62	O'Keefe, Mrs. M. J., 1944, 1944-A, 1946-8 Post St.	350
153	Old Glory Tavern, 276 3d St.	300
147	Old Mission Horseshoeing Shop, 1273 Folsom St.	50
34	Old Shack, The, 2014 Grant Ave.	250
40	Olds, Werner V., 900 Chestnut St., No. 612.	400
8	Oliphant, Earl N., 760 Market St., No. 1110.	150
58	Olivia's Pharmacy, 1998 Union St.	1,500
108	Oliver, R. L., 191 Edgewood Ave.	500
63	Olsen, Oliver, 1347 Golden Gate Ave.	150
134	Olson, Olof, 618-618½ Sanchez St.	250
121	O'Meara, Patrick, 1430 Plymouth Ave.	200
31	On Ping Assn., 945 Grant Ave.	120

77	One Eighty Nine Commonwealth Apts., 189 Commonwealth Ave.....	125
90	One Eighty Three Fourteenth Ave. Apts. (Sala & Sala), 183 14th Ave.....	400
75	One Hundred Four Presidio Apts., 104 Presidio Ave.....	75
138	One Hundred One Twenty Seventh St. Apts., 101 27th St.	350
59	One Ninety Five Alhambra Apts., 195 Alhambra.....	350
111	One Seventy Five Alma Apts, 175 Alma.....	375
111	One Sixty Carmel Apts., 160 Carmel St.....	200
68	One Sixty One Broderick Apts., 161 Broderick St.....	100
80	One Twenty Five Clayton Apts., 125 Clayton St.....	600
20	One Twenty Six Hyde St. Apts., 126 Hyde St.	300
154	O'Neill, Frank T., 605 Market St., No. 800.....	150
75	O'Neill, R. D., 3131 Washington St., No. 2.....	400
147	O'Neill Sisters, 1319 Market St., No. 308.....	100
88	O'Neill, Thomas, 541 10th Ave.....	350
87	O'Neill, Thos. J., 255 8th Ave.....	175
154	Onkka, Carl A., 72-8 New Montgomery St., No. 729-31-33.	250
41	Opp E., 1299 Lombard St., No. 43	100
64	Opsahl, A., 700 Steiner St., No. 706	100
145	Orange Blossom Candy Co., 11 Pearl St.	250
1	Orangecove Packing Co., 268 Market St., No. 101	200
95	O'Reilly, Francis, 874 26th Ave.; 5 3rd St., Nos. 1124-5 ...	350
54	Original Fruit Market, 1100-1104 Fillmore St.	150
23	Oriola Apts., 745 Hyde St.	2,000
45	Orme, Miss B., 1700 Broadway St., No. 106	400
152	Ornbaum, Casper A., 703 Market St., Nos. 800-3	250
41	Ornoff, E. B., 1128 Chestnut St.	200
164	O'Rourke, Geo., 3031-35 20th St.	250
41	Oslin, E., 2525 Larkin St., No. 202	150
88	Osterman, Bert, 220 11th Ave.	200
95	O'Sullivan, John F., 788 25th Ave.; 703 Market St., No. 1201	200
74	Otteson, Perry, 3252 Lyon St.	700
45	Otuse, N., 1723-A Green St.	150
167	Our Barber Shop, 3076 26th St.	150
153	Overton Hotel, 378 3rd St.	900
102	Owens, Louise, 4039 Irving St.	150
31	Pacific Barber Shop, 640 Pacific Ave.	325
32	Pacific Barber Shop, 583 Pacific Ave.	125
32	Pacific Brewer & Vintner, 447 Sansome St., No. 5	200
157	Pacific Coin Lock Co., 21 Spear St.	250
14	Pacific College of Chromatics, 533 Post St., Nos. 3-4	200
147	Pacific Electric Construction Co., 1496 Mission St.	950
154	Pacific Engineering Laboratory, 72-78 New Montgomery, Nos. 545-47	200
154	Pacific Factory, 72-78 New Montgomery, Nos. 637-39	50
71	Pacific Heights Garage, 1745 Divisadero St.	3,600
1	Pacific Printing Co., 16 California St.	250
21	Pacific Sales Corp., 426 Larkin St.	300
148	Pacific School of Mech. Dentistry, 1159 Market St., No. 203	250
4	Pacific Stamp Co., 163 Sutter St., No. 419	500
156	Pacific States Mach. & Supply Co., 82 Natoma St.....	600
156	Pacific Stationers, 595 Mission St., 4th Fl.	225
154	Pacific Street & Road Builder, 72-78 New Montgomery, Nos. 637-39	50
6	Pacific Surety Co., 621 Kearny St.	800
2	Pacific Typewriter Service Co., 7 Front St., No. 328.....	150
144	Pacific Upholstering Co., 1910 Mission St.	350
2	Pacific Westbound Conference, 244 California St., No. 405	150

56	Padilla, Greg, 2132 Fillmore St.	500
6	Pagano, Philip, 502 Bush St.	25
51	Page Apts., 171 Page St.	350
4	Page, Greta, 620 Market St., No. 419	100
143	Page's Garage, 650 Valencia St.	1,700
141	Pal's Place, 1007½ Valencia	300
140	Palace Garden Restaurant, 1101 Valencia St.	300
66	Palen, M. M., 2230 Market St.	150
44	Pallastrini, A., 3000-A Laguna St.	100
133	Palm Court Apts., 210 Church St.	400
106	Palmer, Charles, 902-A Irving St.	150
115	Palmer, D. A., 2230 28th Ave.	250
157	Palmer, G., 286 Embarcadero	350
57	Palmer, L. W., 2201 Pacific Ave., No. 205	650
20	Palmer's Barber Shop, 435 Eddy St.	250
120	Palombo, Sam, 597 Monterey Blvd.	150
51	Pan American Printing Co., 201 Oak St.	150
155	Papadopoulos, Andrew, 395 3rd St.	200
33	Paper Mills Co., The, 930 Battery St.	1,000
58	Paradise Cove Cafe, 1957 Chestnut St.	500
153	Paradisos Cafe, 709 Folsom St.	100
104	Paramount Petroleum Prod. 1224 20th Ave.	100
90	Parente, K., 731 14th Ave.	300
74	Partenti, G., 2540 Chestnut St.	450
32	Paris Signs, 131 Spring St.	225
12	Parisian Cleaning & Dyeing, 437 Powell St.	250
87	Park View Beauty Shoppe, 773 Cabrillo St.	250
153	Park & Co., M. W., 236 Ritch St.	2,500
29	Parker, B. C., 1101 Green St., No. 602	650
2	Parker, D. A., 311 California St., No. 606	125
46	Parker, Meredith, 1824 Jackson St., "B"	650
156	Parkman, Harry L., Co., 163 2nd St.	50
115	Parkside District Cleaners, 1020 Taraval St.	250
115	Parkside Hardware, 1038 Taraval St.; (Geo. Brody), 2375 20th Ave.	1,300
155	Parkside Hotel, 527 3rd St.	225
91	Parkside Shoe Renewing, 5503 California St.	250
147	Pass & Seymour, Inc., 355 9th St.	4,000
126	Paton, Sydney, 4950 Mission St.	200
122	Patterson, James W., 682 Orizaba Ave.	750
143	Patterson, M., 563 Valencia St.	150
32	Patterson Shipping Co., 405 Montgomery St., No. 1325... ..	150
31	Paul's Auto Repair, 744 Pacific Ave.	150
83	Pearson, Albert, 571 Arguello Blvd.	450
4	Peck, Jas. F., 620 Market St., No. 814	300
155	Pedersen, Mrs. Fannie, 499 3rd St.	250
157	Pedersen, John, 456 Harrison St.	440
152	Pedro, G., 185 4th St.	50
45	Pell, Mrs. H., 1622 Broadway	200
62	Pellegrini, Giovanni, 1718 O'Farrell St.	750
59	Pellegrini, J., 2106 Chestnut St.	300
43	Pelsinger, H., 1569 Francisco St.	700
22	Pembroke Apts., 750 Larkin St.	300
93	Pencovic, D., 174 21st Ave.	500
48	Pentchov, P., 1425 Franklin St.	150
91	Pera, Angelo, 557 17th Ave.	500
59	Pera, Giulio, 3326 Scott St.	200
62	Perez, Dr. A. B., 2428 Bush St.; 1805 Fillmore St.	300
87	Perkins, Al., 310 8th Ave.	300
45	Perkins, M. G., 1804 Broadway	250
97	Perry, George, 2726 Balboa St.	150
98	Perry, Robert I., 675 33rd Ave.	150

140	Perry's Lunch, 3303 23rd St.	250
80	Perry's Sweet Shop (Perry, Frank), 1911 Hayes St.	600
12	Persian Mercantile Co., 545 Sutter St., Nos. 102-03	3,500
154	Persons-Dwan & Co., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 516.	300
156	Perstein-Tennant & Co., 527 Howard St., 3rd and 4th Fls..	400
69	Peshon, C. J., 1435 Fulton St., No. 1	150
85	Peter, Louis N., 3953-55 Geary Blvd.	500
70	Peters, E., 1303 Divisadero St.	150
97	Peters, Frank, 454 31st Ave.	150
11	Petersen, Cedric W., 870 Market St., No. 344	350
131	Peterson, Adolph, 499 Douglass St.	300
177	Peterson, Antone, 2758 San Bruno Ave.	850
92	Peterson, Emil R., 336 20th Ave.	200
122	Peterson, John A., 383 Ashton Ave.	200
154	Peterson, M. H., 605 Market St., No. 705; 446 27th Ave..	350
3	Peterson, W. G., 369 Pine St., No. 224	250
151	Peterson, W. W., 821 Market St., No. 819	200
32	Pethond, Lucile, 576 Sacramento St.	50
32	Petrocchi, A., 601 Sansome St.	300
164	Petroroia, Dominic, 2801 22nd St.	500
72	Pettit, E. N., 2233 Divisadero St.	175
4	Peyser, J. Maxwell, 690 Market St., Nos. 1103-08	150
156	Pforsich, H. M., 593 Market St., No. 1016	150
108	Phi Sigma Rho, 25 Woodland Ave.	100
48	Phillips, A. R. (St. Francis Garage), 1240 Post St.	500
101	Phillips, C. A., 4316 Judah St.	250
116	Phillips, Marjorie, 2671 45th Ave.	150
142	Phillips, R. F., 3620 19th St.	1,200
84	Phleger, Herman, 3858 Jackson St.	350
154	Photo Art Publisher, 681 Market St., Nos. 482-98	200
14	Physical Research, S. F. Section, 609 Sutter St., Nos. 301-04	150
3	Physicians & Druggists Supply Co., 544 Market St. No. 605	250
9	Pillsbury, C. T., 800 Powell St., No. 31	400
135	Pincus, A., 3779 22nd St.	300
44	Pine, Don, SW Cor. Lombard & Van Ness Ave.	150
23	Pirre, Sam, 1034 Geary St.	700
1	Pistolesi, L. C., 149 California St., No. 321	200
1	Pittsburg Cannery, Inc., 112 Market St., No. 304	150
117	Pleas, F. W., 160 San Leandro Way	250
154	Plumas Sierra Con. Mines., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 419	75
148	Plytex Technical Labs., 693 Stevenson St.	200
31	Po Wah Lung Co., 665 Jackson St.	250
17	Polar Fur Shop, 681 Geary St.; (J. Horovitz), 1847 Fulton St.	500
2	Polk, Chas. A., 311 California St., No. 417	150
26	Polk St. Beauty Shop, 1713 Polk St.	300
148	Popular Printing Co., 106 7th St.	200
6	Portals Del Mar Apts., 665 Pine St.	450
33	Porter, Dale, 72 Commercial St.	250
122	Porter, Maude L., 1100 Holloway Ave.	350
14	Porter, Dr. Reed, 988 Market St., Nos. 301-2-3	250
34	Portola Inn, 1228 Grant Ave.	500
158	Potrero Tavern, 199 Potrero Ave.	250
45	Potter, David, 1854 Vallejo St., "E"	1,500
32	Potter, Joe, 576 Sacramento St.	50
4	Power, M. J., 690 Market St., No. 306	350
103	Poyner, Ralph, 1478 25th Ave.	150
117	Prakel, F. D., 121 Maywood Drive	250
4	Pratt Bros., Inc., 111 Sutter St., No. 2111	200

14	Pratt, F. G., 964-A Market St., No. 23	200
113	Pratt, Mason D., 149 Kensington Way	500
33	Premier Produce Co., 294 Washington St.	200
155	Presley, C. N., 271 3rd St.	100
49	Press, Jack, 692 McAllister St.	200
154	Press Sandwich Shop, The, 169 Jessie St.	200
61	Preston Apts. (F. Howard), 2402 California St.	1,750
5	Preston, E., 424 Kearny St.	300
25	Prima Vista Co., 350 Clay St.	1,500
3	Prime, Spencer G., 582 Market St., Nos. 1013-19	1,500
172	Priolo, Philip, 105 Crescent Ave.	100
32	Prisin-Zano, J. E., 405 Montgomery St. Nos. 524-29	100
25	Progress Printing Corp., 500 Sansome St.	8,000
32	Prosperity Corner, 631 Montgomery St.	450
4	Prost, Hubert G., 620 Market St., No. 518	100
151	P. T. V. Formula Co., 821 Market St., Nos. 358-60	200
72	Puarello, Joe., 2864 California St.	50
126	Pyne, Robert G., 398 Ocean Ave.	150
24	Quality Food Store, 1252 Polk St.	650
31	Quan Kee Fee, 1027 Grant Ave.	150
54	Quinge, Mike, 817 Laguna St.	100
165	Quistad, E. J., 3041 22nd St.	50
31	Quong Chong, 1102 Stockton St.	250
45	Quong Lee Laundry, 1829 Filbert St.	500
31	Quong Lung Co., 827 Kearny St.	900
31	Quong Yee Lung Co., 815 Washington St.	275
31	Quong Yuen Lung Co., 846 Clay St.	220
157	Rabani, E. H., 123 Steuart St.	500
10	Radio Cafe, 560 Sutter St.	750
19	Radio Service Club, 885 Post St.	150
39	Rafello, L., 2813 Taylor St.	100
129	Raffo, Peter, 1307 Castro St.	200
150	Rail Hotel, 228 5th St.	350
26	Rainbow Grill, 1617 Polk St.	750
6	Rainbow Sales Co., 333 Kearny St.	100
72	Rainey, Philip W., 2801 Sacramento St.	150
54	Ramsay, J. A., 1192 Turk St.	100
165	Rando, Sam, 3150 24th St.	500
181	Randolph Sausage Factory, 961 Geneva Ave.; (Sala, J.), 951 Geneva Ave.	750
44	Ranieri, E., 1600 Lombard St.	400
158	Rappetto, Mrs. A., 2026 3d St.	300
117	Ratterree, J. N., 55 St. Elmo Way.	1,250
141	Ratto, Henry, 2588 Mission St., No. 230	150
93	Ratto, M., 430 21st Ave.	200
59	Ray, Don, 207 Avila St.	1,000
32	Ray, Herman, 507 Montgomery St., No. 309.	50
122	Raymond, Leon, 270 Moncada Way.	500
110	Rayne, H. A., 252 Frederick St.	200
76	Realty Service Co., 3615 Sacramento St.	150
92	Rebok, N. Z., 414 20th Ave.	200
103	Red Parrot (Page, Mary E.), 2522 Irving St.	500
5	Redcliff Whittaker Co., 235 Montgomery St., No. 601	300
17	Redmond's Pharmacy, 526 O'Farrell St.	1,250
121	Reedy, J., 1315 Plymouth Ave.	300
115	Reese, Joe F., 2310 27th Ave.	150
30	Refrigerator Maintenance Corp., 1054 Pacific Ave.	1,000
21	Reilly, J. B., 149 Hyde St.	250
99	Reinert, A. H., 465 36th Ave.	250
145	Reliable Auto Repair Co., 80-82 Duboce Ave.	200
54	Reliable Cleaners, 942 Fillmore St.	150
143	Reliable Fender & Radiator Works, 3410 17th St.	450
70	Reliable Food Shop, 1160 Divisadero St.	1,500

171	Reliable Grocery Store, 249 Courtland Ave.	350
50	Reliable Store Fixtures, 647 McAllister St.	100
71	Rembado, Dolores, 2225 Geary St.	200
149	Reno Lunch, 298 6th St.	150
141	Rental Library, 3242 22nd St.	200
8	Renz, Dr. Stephen N., 212 Stockton St.	150
3	Retail Wine & Liquor Dealers Assn., 220 Montgomery St. No. 738.	250
114	Rettberg Realty Co., 1998 19th Ave.	75
26	Revere Apartments, 1492 Larkin St.	250
32	Revista Italiana D'America, 21 Columbus Ave., No. 109... ..	300
36	Rex Studio, 1521 Stockton St.	100
45	Reynolds, Clifton, 2806 Laguna St.	150
114	Rhodes, John, 2231 18th Ave.	200
154	Ric-Wil Company, The, 72-74 New Montgomery St., No. 417	150
76	Rice, G. C., 332 Spruce St.	200
72	Rice, Otto, 2846 Sacramento St.	150
3	Richards, F. S., 220 Montgomery St., No. 236.	150
20	Richardson Advertising 261 Golden Gate Ave. (Ferry Bldg.)	250
165	Richardson Bros., 3094 24th St.	275
156	Richardson, Eri H., 557 Market St., 1st Fl.	150
10	Richardson, G. H., 950 Mason St., No. 675.	1,000
23	Richelieu Hotel, 1075 Post St.	350
89	Richmond Arm Style Shop, 4721 Geary Blvd.	500
85	Richmond Creamery, 348 Clement St.	600
33	Richmond Navigation Co., Pier 9.	50
46	Rico, L. M., 1980 Washington St., No. 807.	500
33	Rideout, E. V., Pier 19.	150
73	Ridley, Graham, 2943 Broderick St.	300
89	Riese, Monroe, 156 Funston Ave.	350
88	Rilovich, A. B., 845 Lake St., No. 5.	150
142	Rinaldi, Louis, 3495 19th St.	50
156	Rincon Court Apts., 537 Harrison St.	125
84	Ringel, Isador, 32 Cornwall St.	200
155	Rip Van Winkle Service, 693 Mission St., No. 208.	50
8	Rising, Bessie V., 26 O'Farrell St., Nos. 1008-09	150
117	Rising, John S., 265 San Anselmo Ave.	250
59	Rispoli, D., 3567 Pierce St.	500
8	Rita Dress Shop, 220 Stockton St.	900
40	Ritchie, F. R., 1175 Filbert St.	500
42	Riviera Bakery & Restaurant, 2209 Polk St.	700
116	Roach, Harold, 2534 34th Ave.	250
3	Roach, Jas. J., 369 Pine St., No. 518.	150
76	Robbins, W. H., 3398 Clay St.	500
57	Robert, Charles, 2101 Pacific Ave., No. 205.	300
80	Roberts Cleaners, 1807 Fulton St.	350
107	Roberts, L. B., 408 Irving St.	150
113	Roberts, Sadie L., 410 Dewey Blvd.	250
154	Roberts & Associates, 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 746	100
22	Roberts, Leo & Co., 785 O'Farrell St.	750
16	Robertson, Alex, 230 Eddy St. (Lobby).	150
160	Robertson, Angus, 1854 Folsom St.	200
139	Robinson, A., 1201½ Guerrero St.	500
154	Robinson, Elmer E., 5 3rd St., Nos. 701-07	600
60	Robinson, Joseph, 2360 Union St.	250
3	Rock, Sand & Gravel Prod. Assn. of N. C., 564 Market St., No. 412.	250
32	Rockwood, Paul, 535 Sacramento St., No. 29.	250
134	Roddy, Marguerite G., 223 Liberty St.	150
98	Rodger, Mrs. M., 460 36th Ave.	150
183	Rodgers S., 3755 Divisadero St., No. 101.	200
3	Rodoni, Caesar, 369 Pine St., No. 224.	150

90	Rogaway, R., 1345 Clement St., No. 201.....	200
53	Roger's Studio, 820 Fillmore St.	100
5	Rogers, J. B., 110 Sutter St., No. 906.....	200
127	Rogers, James, 3934 Mission St.	75
46	Rogers, Mrs. L. J., 1945 Broadway St., No. 205.....	150
59	Rogers, W. D., 1901 Beach St., No. 301.....	150
147	Rohmer, Frank, 419-25 10th St.....	300
105	Rolls, J. P., 1224 16th Ave.....	250
23	Roosevelt Apts., 940 Post St.....	500
167	Roosevelt Apparel Shop, 2755 24th St.....	550
167	Roosevelt Cleaners, 2761 24th St.	100
167	Roosevelt Donut Shop, 2769 24th St.....	175
168	Roosevelt Fruit Market (Lembi, O.), 2545 24th St.....	150
59	Roosevelt Restaurant, 3347 Fillmore St.	250
59	Rose Beauty Salon, 3234 Scott St.....	250
71	Rose, D. B., 1947 Divisadero St., No. 3.....	150
153	Rose Land Barber Shop, 342 3d St.....	125
47	Rose, Sam, 1508 Franklin St.....	200
147	Rosell, Arthur, 22 9th St.....	200
145	Rosemont Press, 21-23 Rosemont Pl.....	2,150
154	Rosenberg, J. 55 New Montgomery St., No. 303.....	250
99	Rosenfeld, Albert F., 655 38th Ave.....	200
11	Rosenthal, Samuel, 830 Market St., No. 321, 366 30th Ave.	200
2	Ross, Louis, 340 Sansome St., No. 214.....	250
32	Rossi, A., 18 Bartol Place.....	350
67	Rossi, Arthur, 417 Roosevelt Way.....	150
12	Rosslyn Hotel, 44 Eddy St.....	2,200
2	Rowland Valve Co., 7 Front St., No. 105	50
12	Royce, C. F., 151-59 Powell St.	250
50	Rub Battery Service (Rubin, M.), 454 Linden St.....	100
55	Rubaiyat Hotel, 1876 Post St.....	450
31	Ruby Mfg. Co., 1143 Stockton St.....	300
141	Ruggero, John, 3268 21st St.; 150 Prospect St.	150
54	Rupert, I., 923 Webster St., 903-25 Webster St.	200
5	Russ Bldg. Tea Room, 263 Montgomery St.....	350
88	Russell, Earle B., 765 9th Ave.....	200
154	Russell, J. Harry, 681 Market St., No. 332.....	250
103	Russell, Lyman, 1667 27th Ave.....	500
32	Russell, Thomas J., 570 California St. (Lobby).....	300
3	Russell, Will & Co., 564 Market St., No. 320.....	125
141	Russo, James, 994 Guerrero St.....	50
12	Ryan, Dr. Andrew M., 490 Post St., No. 2022.....	300
129	Ryan, William E., 1435 Castro St.....	150
106	Ryberg, Otto, 1275 8th Ave., No. 101.....	250
3	Sackman, Harry, 465 California St., No. 407	200
96	Sage, C. R., 323 Camino Del Mar	200
4	Sage Land & Imp. Co., 620 Market St., No. 42	100
149	St. Cloud Grocery, 164 6th St.	500
141	St. Francis Apts. (James W. Doherty), 3605 Mission St...	700
12	St. Francis Circulating Library, 335 Powell St., lobby ...	150
147	St. George Hotel, 1259 Market St.	550
143	St. Helen Apts., 2072 Mission St.	700
20	St. Julienna Apts. (A. Penziner), 320 Turk St.	2,000
106	Sala, H., 1375 9th Ave.	175
92	Salter, Frederick J., 130 19th Ave.	200
14	Sammit, Joe., 178 Eddy St.	250
8	Samuels, Charles, 760 Market St., Nos. 315-459	100
8	Samuels, Leon, 760 Market St., Nos. 449-61	400
141	Samuels Shop, 2456 Mission St.	900
3	Sanders, G. G., 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 793-7	900
36	Sandino, F., 1317 Stockton St.	400
29	Sandoval, Albert, 1219 Pacific Ave.	200
127	San Felipe & Suneri, 4482 Mission St.	275

32	San Feliz Cigar Factory, 502 Washington St., No. 40....	50
14	San Francisco Chiropody Parlor, 404 Geary St.	300
32	San Francisco City & County Record, 509 Sansome St....	500
21	San Francisco Finance Co., 826 Van Ness Ave.	3,000
2	San Francisco Movers, Inc., 420 Market St., No. 507.....	50
3	San Francisco Paving Co., 220 Montgomery St., No. 343..	200
55	San Francisco Sweet Shop, 1692 Fillmore St.	400
54	San Francisco Tea House, 1279 Golden Gate Ave.	150
31	San Hang Co., 676 Jackson St.	350
156	San Jose Cement Co., 593 Market St., Nos. 918-19	150
53	Sankey, M., 580 Fell St.	200
150	San Lorenzo Nursery Co., 172 5th St.	100
132	San Miguel Apts., 2 Diamond St.	500
70	Santa Cruz Apts., 1700-22 McAllister St.	300
5	Santell, E., 451 Pine St.	350
54	Sarafiom, Takuki, 903-7 Buchanan St.	200
6	Sashu Hotel, 762 Stockton St.	300
152	Savage, Theo. J., 2335 Larkin St., No. 5; 785 Market St...	900
55	Sawada, S., 1627 Buchanan St.	700
104	Sawyer, Frank W., 1618 18th Ave.; 703 Market St., No. 610	500
99	Saylor, C. L., 627 41st Ave.	250
57	Sayre, Mrs. C., 2300 Webster St.	150
47	Saxe, F., 2121 Sacramento St., No. 404	200
166	Scaler, Frank, 3390 26th St.	175
2	Scales, H. S., 7 Front St., No. 428-32-F	100
3	Scales, J. H., 580 Market St., No. 343	100
59	Scalmanini, Louise, 52 Cervantes Blvd.	250
32	Scampine, A. J., 550 Montgomery St., No. 808	250
137	Scannell, Andrew M., 1712 Church St.	300
1	Scat's Smoke Shop, 147 California St.	300
79	Schaffner, Harry, 1530 Fell St.	1,000
62	Schiff, Henry, 2276-78 Bush St.	250
142	Schindler, S. J., 815 Valencia St.	300
118	Schirmeyer, Arthur L., 316 Hazelwood Ave.	350
Ship	Schlesinger, R. L., 2090 Vallejo St.	500
3	Schlessinger, Sydney, 220 Montgomery St., No. 1042	150
99	Schmiedte, C. A., 893 38th Ave.	200
74	Schneider, Leopold, 2285 Bay St., No. 4	150
91	Schoenfeld, Leon, 621 16th Ave.	400
30	School Lunch, 835 Broadway	50
76	Schoorl, Adrian, 3773 Clay St.	300
12	Schrag, Irma, 442 Post St., 9th Fl.	100
183	Schrager, Sidney, 3735 Divisadero St.	500
151	Schroeder, A. W., 821 Market St., No. 332	100
143	Schubert, C., 2002 Mission St.	250
50	Schuhmann, Mrs. B., 422 Hayes St.; 559 Hayes St.....	350
33	Schuler, Carl O., 1505 5th Ave.; 645 Battery St.	750
12	Schwabacher, A., 335 Powell St.	1,000
59	Schwarz, Walter, 3579 Pierce St.	150
4	Scott, A. W., 690 Market St., Nos. 320-1	200
32	Scott, Carlotta B., 535 Sacramento St., No. 28	600
99	Scott, Charles T., 6134 Fulton St.	200
5	Scott-Howe-Bowen, Inc., 235 Montgomery St., No. 405....	650
154	Scott, Perkins & Assoc., 604 Mission St., Nos. 1001-02	200
4	Scott, R. P., 690 Market St., Nos. 320-21	150
46	Scott, Stanley H., 2070 Pacific Ave., No. 403	500
21	Screen Art Slides Co., 125 Hyde St.	300
66	Scrimgeour, Robert, 3642 16th St.	125
154	Secretarial Service, 5 3rd St.	175
31	See Wah Sung, 940 Stockton St.	75
6	See Yip Association, 769 Commercial St.	200

3	Segall Advertising Agcy., Theo H., 429 33rd Ave.; 544 Market St., Nos. 801-11	1,200
72	Selby, C., 2899 Washington St., No. 1	200
153	Selke, Otto, 783 Folsom St.	350
99	Seller, H. J., 862 42nd Ave.	750
111	Sellers, Roscoe, 1227 Shrader St.	150
121	Selmi, Dan, 1525 Ocean Ave.	150
32	Sempione Hotel, 371-79 Broadway	800
21	Service Garage, 819 Ellis St.	200
108	Service Grocery, 247 Carl St.	750
3	Setzer, Abraham, 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 465-75	150
6	Seven Fifty-Two Stockton Apts., 752 Stockton St.	800
100	Seven Forty-Five Forty-Third Ave. Apts., 745 43rd Ave. .	600
37	Seven Hundred Five Union Apts., 705 Union St.	250
31	Seven Hundred One Jackson Club, 701½ Jackson St.	100
97	Seven Hundred Six 28th Ave. Apts., 706 28th Ave.	75
40	Seven Ninety-Nine Bay Apts., 799 Bay St.	50
40	Seven Seventy-Seven Bay Apts., 777 Bay St.	100
87	Seven Seventy-Seven 7th Ave. Apts., 777 7th Ave.	125
6	Seven Thirty-Four Sacramento Apts., 734 Sacramento St. .	100
87	Seven Twenty Lake Apts., 720 Lake St.	100
138	Seven Twenty San Jose Ave. Apts., 720 San Jose Ave.	200
13	Seven Twenty-Two Taylor Apts., 722 Taylor St.	400
148	Seventeen Decatur Apts., 17 Decatur St.	50
70	Seventeen Fifty-Eight Turk Apts., 1758 Turk St.	100
44	Seventeen Fifty Greenwich Apts., 1750 Greenwich St. ...	650
55	Seventeen Fifty-Two Geary Apts., 1752 Geary St.	150
26	Seventeen Fourteen Clay Apts. (S., L. C.), 1714 Clay St. .	350
26	Seventeen Fourteen Clay Apts. (Furniture), 1714 Clay St. .	500
44	Seventeen Seventy Lombard Apts., 1770 Lombard St.	750
26	Seventeen Thirty-Nine Washington Apts., 1739 Washington St.	250
55	Seventeen Twenty-Five Post Apts., 1725 Post St.	250
151	Severin Electric Co., 172 Clara St.	5,500
97	Schaffner, Margaret, 2901 Clement St.	250
55	Shane, David, 1696 Fillmore St.	500
129	Shanehan, Mrs. J., 620 28th St.	100
1	Shanks, D. K., 235 Santa Paula Ave.; 112 Market St., No. 218	250
149	Shannon, R. H., 1095 Market St., No. 616	250
25	Shappell, H. M., 1233 California St., No. 406	600
14	Sharlie's Tavern, 360 O'Farrell St.	500
43	Sharpe, H. W., 3640 Fillmore St., No. 204	600
5	Shaw Bertram Lumber Co., 235 Montgomery St., No. 741. .	200
151	Sheanon, J., 266 4th St.	175
57	Sher, Hyman, 2303 Webster St.	150
43	Sheridan, J., 1490 Jefferson St., No. 201	150
111	Sheridan, J., 1200 Cole St.	500
81	Sheridan, Thomas J., 1371 Oak St.	125
8	Shields, Dr. Clement A., 760 Market St., No. 831	700
94	Shields, J. B., 510 24th Ave., No. 2	300
71	Shillinger, L., 2809 Pine St., No. 3	500
31	Shing Chong & Co., 800 Grant Ave.	3,000
153	Ship's Cafe, 366 3rd St.	500
73	Shipper, F. M., 2640 Green St., No. 3	150
55	Sho Wa Low, 1650 Post St.	1,100
3	Shoe Shining Parlor, 77 Sutter St.	75
154	Short, John Douglas, 625 Market St., Nos. 715-19.	150
146	Short, Oscar, 1576 Folsom St.	75
78	Shuffle Inn, 3111 Geary Blvd.	500
86	Shulman, Harry, 4203 Geary Blvd.	200
31	Shin Yuen Hing Co., 849 Grant Ave.	1,200

115	Shupe, Elmer F., 1520 Pacheco St.	300
5	Schutz, Wm., 235 Montgomery St., No. 611	250
60	Sidari, Felix, 3125 Pierce St.	200
49	Siegel, Albert, 807 Octavia St.	150
5	Sierra Financial Corp., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1715; 170 Sutter St.	1,500
142	Sifal, Mrs. Helen, 3451 18th St.	100
98	Silberstein, N. J., 5510 Fulton St.	200
138	Silver Leaf Club, 38 29th St.	125
5	Silverberg, Sol, 364 Bush St., Nos. 1-3	150
3	Simkins, Wm. R., 220 Montgomery St., No. 685-A	200
151	Simplicity Appliance Co., 307 Minna St.	700
14	Simpson, Catherine, 440 Geary St., lobby	150
5	Sinclair, John A., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1301	150
55	Sing Lee Laundry, 1511-A Geary St.	400
6	Sing On Co., 31 Waverly Pl.	150
59	Siroci, Irene, 2271 Chestnut St.	200
69	Six Fifty-One Scott Apts., 651 Scott St.	350
15	Six Fifty-Six Post Apts., 656 Post St.	150
79	Six Forty-Four Masonic Ave. Apts (D. Abrams), 644 Masonic Ave.	300
79	Six Forty-Two to Six Fifty-Two. Central Ave Apts., 642- 652 Central Ave.	150
15	Six Thirty to Thirty-Four Jones Apts., 630-34 Jones St....	150
86	Six Thirty 7th Ave. Apts., 630 7th Ave.	150
100	Six Thirty-Six 45th Ave. Apts., 636 45th Ave.	200
81	Six Twelve Clayton Apts., 612 Clayton St.	75
65	Six Twenty-Seven Page Apts., 627 Page St.	250
26	Sixteen Fifty Sacramento Apts., 1650 Sacramento St. ...	200
146	Sixteen Hundred Howard Apts., 1607 Howard St.	500
29	Sixteen Ten Leavenworth Apts., 1610 Leavenworth St...	250
143	Sixteenth St. Meat Market, 3055 16th St.	300
149	Sixth St. Tavern and Cafe, 60 6th St.	300
96	Sixty-Five Twenty-Eight California St. (A. Vendt, Sr.), 231 24th Ave.; 6528 California St.	350
183	Sixty-Six Retiro Way Apts., 66 Retiro Way	125
95	Sixty-Two Hundred California Apts., 6200 California St..	125
68	Skelt's Barber Shop, 414 Divisadero St.	300
12	Sklare, J. B., 335 Powell St.	500
66	Skolmen Co., 2176 Market St.	200
3	Smissaert, H. & Fischer, C. R., 544 Market St., No. 209...	600
47	Smissaert, Harry, 2001 Sacramento St., No. 1	400
24	Smith, Mrs. A. E., 1235 Bush St.	150
183	Smith, Chas T., 1700 Beach St., No. 305	150
2	Smith, De Lancey C., 351 California St., No. 1120	300
100	Smith, Edward, 745 44th Ave.	100
60	Smith, Felix, 2496 Filbert St.	2,000
91	Smith, Francis G., 5214 Geary Blvd.	300
82	Smith, Frank M., 592 Stanyan St.	350
76	Smith, H. L., 3301 Clay St., No. 601	300
122	Smith, Hazel M., 64 Entrada Court	350
152	Smith, Hugh L., 785 Market St.	200
106	Smith, L. E., 1550 9th Ave., No. 9	150
54	Smith, Pauline, 1126 McAllister St.	150
99	Smith, Raymond G., 7421 Geary Blvd., No. 3	125
118	Smith, Roy, 255 Teresita Blvd.	150
76	Smith, W. W., 3301 Clay St., No. 100	300
147	Smith & Madden, 241 10th St.	375
144	Smoker's Co-operative Co., 393 Valencia St.	300
156	Smythe, A. L., 85 2nd St., 1st Fl.	100
42	Snow, John F., 1504 Vallejo St.	300
3	Solinsky, Edw. R., 582 Market St., No. 1216	150

49	Solwan, I. Wm., 840 McAllister St.	500
77	Sommer, H. G., 2 Parker Ave., No. 101	150
75	Sonnenfeld, Mrs. F., 3251 Washington St., No. 202	250
160	Sonoma Garage, 560 So. Van Ness Ave.	350
154	Soper, Charles L., 681 Market St., No. 278	250
58	Sorini, John, 2167 Greenwich St.	150
79	Sosnick, George, 1861 McAllister St.	250
145	Sound Advertising, 140 Valencia St.	200
99	Soussens, John P., 471 41st Ave.	200
142	South of Market Restaurant (Mrs. A. Wilson), 838 Valencia St.	150
147	Spalding, Charles R., 1414 Folsom St.	100
148	Spalding, L. M., 1151 Market St.	75
146	Sparton Smoke Shop, 1633 Market St.	300
1	Specialty Sales Service, 320 Market St., No. 316	300
147	Spector Co., The, 267 9th St.	8,000
32	Spider Kelly's, 574 Pacific Ave.	500
147	Spiegelman Bag Co., 1278 Folsom St.	250
10	Spieker, Mrs. H., 950 Mason St., penthouse	1,000
2	Spieller, S., 32 Battery St., 5th Fl.	500
109	Spieess, Fred, 100 Carl St.	150
150	Spirz & Graf, 281 Clara St.	300
46	Spivalo, A., 1950 Clay St., No. 401	150
2	Spivock, Allen, 100 Bush St., No. 1509	100
3	Spivok, Wm., 582 Market St., Nos. 908-09	900
99	Sports Shop, between 3626 and 3628 Balboa St., 1st Fl....	500
154	Sprawkins' Palace Golf School, 55 New Montgomery St...	100
113	Spring, Mrs. John, 181 Edgehill Way	700
154	Stahl, A., 637 Market St., Nos. 7031-33	300
114	Standard Building Co., 44 Sloat Blvd.	150
149	Stanger Mfg. Co., 1072 Folsom St.	500
11	Stanley's College Inn., 55 Ellis St.	750
62	Star Cleaners and Dyers, 2417 California St.	250
28	Star Groceteria, 1800 Polk St.	700
54	Star Kosher Grocery, 1025 McAllister St.	700
54	Star Kosher Meat Market, 1025 McAllister St.	250
54	Star Kosher Poultry Market, 1025 McAllister St.	500
176	Star Laundry, 2531 San Bruno Ave.	150
31	Star Laundry, 1068 Stockton St.	320
147	Star Ornamental Iron Works, 1271 Folsom St.	650
41	Stark, G. M., 1262 Lombard St.	750
137	Stark, Thomas, 1438 Dolores St.	125
61	Stark, V. L., 2335 Fillmore St.	100
3	Stark, W. D., 580 Market St., No. 339	150
152	Starke, Ralph, 785 Market St.	850
16	Starkoff, Nick, 138 Turk St.	75
146	Starr, L., 1713 Folsom St.	500
148	State Mfg. Co., 55 Rausch St.	850
58	Stauffacher, Chas. F., 2124 Green St.	250
3	Stebbs, H. G. & Associates, 58 Sutter St., No. 355	300
24	Stehr Piston Ring Co., 1350 Van Ness Ave.	500
87	Stein, Anna M., 144 8th Ave.	150
155	Stein, Dave, 691 Folsom St.	200
32	Stein Hall Mfg. Co., 405 Montgomery St., No. 318	800
118	Steinkamp, Louis, 654 Monterey Blvd.	150
7	Steinmeyer Harris & Co., 101 Post St., No. 110	1,800
5	Stenotype Reporting Co., 110 Sutter St., No. 915	100
140	Stephens, W., 3319 22nd St.	50
33	Sternberg, David, 200 Davis St., No. 210	600
3	Stevens, Martin, 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 320-22	500
3	Stockholders Protective Committee of Calif. Western States Life Ins. Co., 220 Montgomery St., No. 423.....	200

40	Stolberg, O. H., 2925 Larkin St.	200
112	Stoll, H., 4539 19th St.	100
83	Stolzenwald, Amelia, 560 3rd Ave.	100
152	Stone Co., E. B. & A. L., 703 Market St., No. 808	250
74	Stone, E. H. & Mary, 2375 Francisco St.	5,000
170	Stone, F. C., 3177 Mission St.	150
117	Stoneson, E. L., 400 Yerba Buena Ave.	250
8	Stores Collection Bureau, 760 Market St., No. 331	400
54	Storie, Mrs. Billy, 1258 Turk St.	50
19	Stow Apartments, 645 Leavenworth St.	1,800
58	Stradi G., 3249 Webster St.	150
4	Strahorn, Robt E., 111 Sutter St., No. 517; 1100 Sacramento St.	8,000
122	Strand, Thore I., 670 Victoria St.	300
49	Strassman, B. M., 752 Turk St.	50
43	Straub, E., 1490 Jefferson St., No. 302	100
91	Straub, Ernest, 272 17th Ave.	250
32	Straus, Gaston, 604 Montgomery St., No. 209	80
93	Stronggreen, Hannah L., 553 20th Ave.	125
8	Stubo, Harold M., 212 Stockton St., Nos. 300-04	400
49	Stuert, Frank, 718 Golden Gate Ave.	200
135	Success Sweet Shop, 3898 24th St.	300
40	Sudden, E. R., 1150 Union St., No. 605	300
32	Sue Lee, 623 Clay St.	150
154	Sugarman, B. B., 605 Market St., No. 901	200
60	Sugarman, Edward, 2819 Pierce St.	250
154	Sugarman, Harry, 605 Market St., No. 901	200
1	Sugarman, I. E., 16 California St., No. 712; 1955 Broadway St., No. 605	550
9	Sugarman Laboratory, 450 Sutter St., No. 1439	900
137	Sullivan, Hugh, 1369 Sanchez St.	200
99	Sullivan, John F., 468 42nd Ave.	200
12	Sullivan, Dr. Martin L., 490 Post St., Nos. 213-14	200
32	Sullivan, Stanley, 486 California St., No. 1202	100
182	Sullivan, Wm. P., 108 Drake St.	200
3	Sully Co., Jas. O., 465 California St., Nos. 305-06	150
150	Sun Sing Lee Laundry, 475 Tehama St.	400
32	Sun Yuen Hing Co., 407 Jackson St.	1,200
90	Suncourt Apts (Fremont G. Williams), 5125 Geary Blvd..	250
32	Sundry Sales Co., 478 Jackson St.	400
51	Sunset Battery Co., 2 Page St.	300
105	Sunset Coal Yard, 1127 Irving St.	50
104	Sunset Realty Co., 1212 20th Ave.	400
106	Sunset Sandwich Shop, 1290 9th Ave.	250
106	Sunset Tavern, 1326 9th Ave.	500
151	Sunshine Hat Co., Miss, 138 4th St.	600
82	Superba Fruit Market, 1660 Haight St.	300
146	Superior Blanket & Curtain Works, 160 14th St.	2,000
138	Superior Grill, 3152 Mission St.	425
68	Supreme Heating Co., 233 Scott St.	100
8	Supreme Pyramid, A. E. O. S., 760 Market St., No. 854...	100
11	Sutherland, D., 450 Powell St., No. 1222	200
55	Sutter Cafe, 1931 Sutter St.	300
55	Suzuki, rank, 1600 Buchanan St.	250
157	Svenson, Oscar, 194 Embarcadero	100
96	Swales, Robert, 222 Camino Del Mar	250
122	Swartzreich, L., 135 Borica	150
121	Sweeney, James, 426 Holloway Ave.	250
8	Sweeney, Jos. L., 760 Market St., No. 630	50
93	Sweigert, W. T., 774 22nd Ave.	450
5	Swetmann, Regis J., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1241.....	200
183	Sydel, H., 2 Casa Way, No. 302	700

43	Symon, R., 1235 Bay St., Apt. 4	200
156	System Building, 515 Market St.	125
28	Tackle Shop, The, 1953 Polk St.....	500
3	Taddeucci, Alfred F., 582 Market St., Nos. 1013-9.....	200
72	Taggart, Mary G., 2705 Jackson St.....	200
43	Talbot, W. J., 3533 Webster St.....	150
7	Talbott, E. J., 704 Market St., No. 901.....	400
43	Talo, P. E., 1165 Bay St., No. 8.....	150
3	Tamba, Theodore, 220 Montgomery St., Nos. 373-5.....	200
151	Tampax Sales Corp., 821 Market St., No. 463	200
55	Tanaka, K., 1536-A Geary St.....	100
48	Tanaka, Y., 1396 O'Farrell St.....	150
142	Tasty Sweets, 2248 Mission St.	1,100
173	Taylor, A., 2427 San Bruno Ave.....	300
8	Taylor, Dr. Albert H., 760 Market St., No. 730.....	150
141	Taylor, C. M., 969 Valencia St.....	150
5	Taylor, John, 235 Montgomery St., No. 401.....	200
174	Taylor, Joseph, 205 Evans Ave.....	200
1	Taylor & Co., W. A., 24 California St., Nos. 300-1.....	500
48	Tazeau Automotive Radio Service., 1150 Geary St.....	250
14	Telegraph Press, 71 Turk St.....	1,500
8	Telephone Answering Service, 210 Post St., Nos. 915-6-7..	150
155	Telephone Exchange Restaurant, 145 New Montgomery St.	750
30	Ten Eighty Five Washington Apts., 1085 Washington St.	450
109	Ten Fifty Stanyan Apts., 1050 Stanyan St.....	100
164	Ten Ninety Seven York Apts., 1097 York St.....	175
15	Ten Seventy Four Pine Apts., 1074 Pine St.....	300
68	Ten Seventy Seven Page Apts., 1077 Page St.....	150
88	Ten Tenth Ave. Apts., 10 10th Ave.....	175
31	Ten Twenty One Stockton St. Barber Shop, 1021 Stockton St.	150
80	Tennant, Eliza, 300 Stanyan St., No. 101.....	100
106	Tennant, W. E., 1759 8th Ave.....	500
Ship	Tennyson, Theodore E., End Thomas Ave.	400
18	Tent Cafe, 246 Leavenworth St.	400
166	Terminal Cleaners & Dyers, 3392 26th St.....	200
76	Thacher, Thomas A., 3837 Clay St.....	1,000
150	Theodoros, G. A., 935 Market St., No. 611.....	100
114	Thiebaut, Louis A., 52 Madrone Ave.....	500
105	Thiessen, Albert, 1287 12th Ave., No. 3.....	400
106	Thirteen Eighty-eight Ninth Ave. Apts., 1388 9th Ave....	125
75	Thirteen Hundred Five Lyon Apts. (F. W. Graves), 1305 Lyon St.	400
48	Thirteen Hundred Nine Gough Apts., 1309 Gough St.....	250
163	Thirteen Hundred One Twentieth St. Apts., 1301 20th St.	175
106	Thirteen Seventy-Nine Tenth Ave. Apts., 1379 10th Ave...	450
69	Thirteen Seventy-Seven Fulton Apts., 1377 Fulton St....	175
76	Thirty-Eight Ninety-Two Sacramento St. Apts., 3892 Sacramento St.	175
143	Thirty Five Twenty Five Seventeenth Apts., 3525 17th St.	500
76	Thirty Four Sixty Sacramento St. Apts., 3460 Sacramento St.	250
75	Thirty Ninety Eight California Apts., 3098 California St.	350
138	Thirty One Fifty Four & One-Half Mission Apts (Milton A. Stout), 3154½ Mission St.....	125
73	Thirty One Fifty Six Divisadero Apts., 3156 Divisadero St.	350
75	Thirty One Thirty Seven & Five Pacific Apts., 3137-35 Pacific Ave.	550
76	Thirty Seven Hundred One Clay Apts. (J. S. Malloch), 3701 Clay St.	75
183	Thirty Seven Hundred One Divisadero Apts. (SL&C) 3701 Divisadero St.	600

183	Thirty Seven Hundred One Divisadero Apts. (Furn.) (E. M. Cox), 3701 Divisadero St.....	900
76	Thirty Seven Twenty Sacramento Apts. (Ethel Balge- mann), 3720 Sacramento St.....	375
98	Thirty Three Hundred Anza Apts. (Eliz. Lyders), 3300 Anza St.	150
75	Thirty-Two Ninety Nine Washington Apts., 3299 Washing- ton St.	250
43	Thirty Two Ten Gough Apts. (SL&C), 3210 Gough St....	400
43	Thirty Two Ten Gough Apts. (Furn.), 3210 Gough St....	300
43	Thirty Two Thirty Three Octavia Apts., 3233 Octavia St.	150
147	Thirty Washburn Apts., 30 Washburn St.....	100
84	Thomas, Alfred A., 3876 California St., No. 3.....	250
143	Thomas, C., 3079 16th St.....	50
3	Thomas Publishing Co., 465 California St., No. 1032.....	100
118	Thomas, S. M., 54 Marietta Drive.....	100
122	Thomas, William H., 2439 Ocean Ave.	100
74	Thompson, V. C., 3414 Broderick St.	150
43	Thomson, David, 1359 Bay St.....	300
104	Thomly, Mrs. E. L., 1226 19th Ave.....	100
85	Three Forty Four Anza Apts., 344 Anza St.....	125
133	Three Forty Four Dolores Apts., 344 Dolores St.....	300
127	Three Hundred Santa Rosa Ave. Apts., 300 Santa Rosa Ave.	100
75	Three Thousand Pine Apts., 3000 Pine St.....	150
44	Three Thousand Six Gough Apts., 3006 Gough St.....	400
40	Three Thousand Thirty Larkin Apts. (S. Bertone), 3030 Larkin St.	200
77	Three Twelve Arguello Blvd. Apts. (M. Nathan), 312 Arguello Blvd.	750
75	Three Twenty to Three Eighteen Presidio Ave. Apts., 320-318 Presidio Ave.....	50
1	Thruston Co., The Jack D., 24 California St., No. 700.....	300
160	Thurling, A. C., 1985 Mission St.....	550
2	Tibbetts, Fred H., 310 Sansome St., 13th fl.....	325
69	Tiegen, Rose, 1351 Hayes St.....	100
81	Tiernan, Lawrence, 1470 Waller St.	75
148	Tijuana Store, 1175 Market St., Dept. 3	100
32	Timberman, The, 520 Montgomery St.....	100
31	Tin Sang & Co., 714 Jackson St.....	1,100
74	Tinte, N., 3253 Scott St.....	150
30	Tip Top Garage, 1300 Mason St.....	400
80	Tivol, Jack, 2040 Grove St., 683 Clementina St.....	350
12	Tivoli Hotel, 74 Eddy St.....	400
154	Toepke, Will H., 72-78 New Montgomery St., Nos. 712 and 714	200
3	Toland, Llewellyn, 220 Montgomery St., No. 338.....	150
22	Tom's Barber Shop, 437½ Hyde St.....	200
153	Tom's Lunch, 723 Howard St.....	200
32	Tom's Shaving Parlor, 1022-A Kearny St.	200
94	Tomas, Mrs. Pasqual, 372 24th Ave.....	200
61	Tomlinson, F. L., 2525 Steiner St.....	100
59	Tompkins, J. H., 2240 Lombard St., No. 202.....	150
150	Tong Kee Laundry, 943 Howard St.....	550
36	Toschl, R., 673 Union St.....	300
157	Toso, B., 225 Steuart St.....	200
12	Totora, P., 398 Mason St.....	75
21	Touraine Apts., 665 Eddy St.....	1,650
35	Tower Cafe, 1529 Grant Ave.....	400
21	Townsen, Agustus, 504 Larkin St.....	700
56	Toyo Apartments, 1869 Buchanan St.....	250
1	Toyo Tsushin Sha, 24 California St., No. 618.....	200
12	Trachsler, Dr. Frank A., 323 Geary St., No. 801.....	350

1	Traffic Service Bureau, 24 California St., No. 522	100
8	Tranter, Dr. Chas., 209 Post St., Nos. 604-6.....	2,500
12	Travelers' Hotel, 255 O'Farrell St.....	2,100
59	Traveler's Inn, 2007 Chestnut St.....	300
73	Treacy, Milton J., 2726 Filbert St.....	250
129	Trede, H. M., 4143 24th St.....	250
54	Triangle Display Co., 1205 Turk St.....	100
154	Tribune Publishing Co., 683 Market St.....	200
154	Tridel, A. M., 681 Market St., No. 245.....	150
21	Trinchitella, John, 457 Larkin St.....	400
20	Trinity Arms Apts., 345 Leavenworth St.....	1,050
134	Tripp, B. G., 770 Dolores St.....	200
2	Tropical Oil Products Co., 244 California St., Nos. 402-3..	300
149	Tuck, Frank A., 118 6th St.....	150
31	Tuck Lung Co., 765 Jackson St.....	500
141	Tumble Inn, 2534 Mission St.....	300
60	Tumminia, Salvator, 2939 Fillmore St.....	100
31	Tung Wo, 113 Waverly Place.....	275
28	Tuohey & Ercell, 1940 Van Ness Ave.....	150
35	Turin Hotel, 672 Broadway St.....	200
63	Turk Cafe (Esther Dorsey), 1597-99 Turk St.....	300
41	Turner, C. H., 1090 Chestnut St., No. 6.....	150
47	Turner, Chas. H., 2000 California St., No. 204.....	400
72	Turner, Ethel, 2957 Washington St.....	150
81	Turner, Mrs. Madge, 1399 Haight St.....	200
73	Turner, W. D., 2803 Greenwich St.....	150
41	Turner, W. J., 2111 Hyde St., No. 505.....	300
58	Turner's Tamale Tavern, 2039 Union St.....	300
154	Turpin, L. G., 25 3d St., No. 14.....	100
63	Twelve Eighty Eight McAllister Apts., 1288 McAllister St.	150
106	Twelve Eighty Five Eighth Ave. Apts., 1285 8th Ave.....	400
103	Twelve Fifty Four Twenty Fourth Ave. Apts., 1254 24th Ave.	100
27	Twelve Fifty Hyde Apts., 1250 Hyde St.....	150
106	Twelve Ninety Four Ninth Ave. Apts. (Wobber Bros.), 1294 9th Ave.	100
108	Twelve Seventy Eight Third Ave. Apts. (SL&C), 1278 3d Ave.	200
108	Twelve Seventy Eight Third Ave. Apts. (Furn.), 1278 3d Ave.	200
101	Twelve Seventy La Playa Apts., 1270 La Playa St.....	150
165	Twelve Seventy Seven South Van Ness Apts. (Lily Peterson), 1277 South Van Ness Ave.....	75
72	Twenty Eight Eighty Seven Washington St. Apts., 2887 Washington St.	225
72	Twenty Eight Fifty Five Jackson St. Apts., 2855 Jackson St.	250
60	Twenty Eight Forty Five Pierce Apts. (Furn.), 2845 Pierce St.	600
60	Twenty Eight Forty Five Pierce Apts. (SL&C), 2845 Pierce St.	850
72	Twenty Eight Thirty Five Clay Apts., 2835 Clay St.....	200
119	Twenty Eight Thirty Six Diamond St. Apts., 2836 Diamond St.	200
72	Twenty Eight Twenty Six Sacramento Apts., 2826 Sacramento, St.	250
58	Twenty Eighty Seven Union Apts., 2087 Union St.....	150
61	Twenty Five Eleven Sacramento Apts., 2511 Sacramento St.	200
61	Twenty Five Fifty Eight Clay Apts., 2558 Clay St.....	100
74	Twenty Five Hundred Chestnut Apts., 2500 Chestnut St...	400
61	Twenty Five Thirteen Sacramento Apts., 2513 Sacramento St.	200

41	Twenty Four Fifty Nine Larkin Apts., 2459 Larkin St....	300
60	Twenty Four Forty Five Union Apts., 2445 Union St.....	550
72	Twenty Four Hundred Nine Scott St. Apts., 2409 Scott St.	125
60	Twenty Four Sixty Five Filbert Apts., 2465 Filbert St...	150
41	Twenty Four Sixty Larkin Apts., 2460 Larkin St.....	350
62	Twenty Four Thirty Six Bush Apts., 2436 Bush St.....	150
72	Twenty Nine Elghty Nine Jackson Apts., 2989 Jackson St.	100
71	Twenty One Eighty Six Geary Apts. (M. K. Richardson), 2186 Geary St.	800
28	Twenty One Sixty Van Ness Apts. (Elias Rhine), 2160 Van Ness Ave.....	450
183	Twenty One Thirty Beach Apts., 2130 Beach St.....	500
59	Twenty One Twenty Chestnut Apts., 2120 Chestnut St....	300
94	Twenty One Twenty Clement Apts., 2120 Clement St.....	375
58	Twenty One Twenty Five Union Apts (A. Corbelli), 2125 Union St.	100
72	Twenty Seven Eighty Five Jackson Apts., 2785 Jackson St.	175
45	Twenty Seven Sixty Five Gough Apts., 2765 Gough St....	250
61	Twenty Seven Thirty Five Clay Apts., 2735 Clay St.....	300
41	Twenty Seven Thirty Seven Polk Apts., 2737 Polk St....	250
61	Twenty Six Forty Five Sacramento Apts., 2645 Sacra- mento St.	1,050
41	Twenty Six Thirty Eight to Forty Two-A Larkin Apts., 2638-42-A Larkin St.	300
72	Twenty Thirty Eight Divisadero Apts., 2038 Divisadero St.	200
57	Twenty Three Eighty Washington Apts., 2380 Washing- ton St.	150
57	Twenty Three Forty Five Jackson Apts. (Mrs. M. Johns- ton), 2345 Jackson St.....	500
60	Twenty Three Nineteen Green Apts., 2319 Green St.....	200
115	Twenty Three Seventy Nine Twentieth Ave. Apts., 2379 20th Ave.	300
60	Twenty Three Sixty Nine Green Apts., 2369 Green St....	150
95	Twenty Three Twenty Three Lake Apts. (Kate Miller), 2323 Lake St.	600
74	Twenty Two Fifty Bay Apts. (N. J. Nelson), 2250 Bay St.	575
74	Twenty Two Forty Bay Apts. (N. J. Nelson), 2240 Bay St.	575
94	Twenty Two Forty Five Cabrillo Apts. (Martin M. Ross), 2245 Cabrillo St.	200
57	Twenty Two Seventy Five Jackson Apts., 2275 Jackson St.	1,250
74	Twenty Two Seventy Francisco Apts. (John T. Pavia), 2270 Francisco St.	75
161	Twenty Two Sixty One Mission Apts., 2261 Mission St...	400
129	Twin Peaks Restaurant, 1302 Castro St.	125
52	Two Church St. Apts., 2 Church St.....	250
42	Two Cooks Kitchen, 2246 Polk St.....	350
20	Two Fifty Seven Leavenworth Apts., 257 Leavenworth St.	400
153	Two Fifty Three Fourth St. Rooms, 253 Fourth St.....	200
51	Two Forty Six Gough Apts., 246 Gough St.....	200
83	Two Hundred Five Second Ave. Apts. (Henry Cohn), 205 2nd Ave.	400
108	Two Hundred Irving Apts. (La France Foge), 1223 34th Ave., 200 Irving St., 98 4th St.....	500
121	Two Hundred Six Ashton Ave. Apts. (E. Y. Dozier), 206 Ashton Ave.	150
81	Two Thirty Central Ave. Apts. (N. J. Nelson), 230 Central Ave.	850
96	Two Thirty Nine Twenty Seventh Ave., 239 27th Ave....	150
95	Two Thirty One Twenty Fifth Ave. Apts. and Two Thirty Five Twenty Fifth Ave. Apts. (C. Kurtz), 231 25th Ave., 235 25th Ave.....	200
56	Two Thousand Fifty Six Bush Apts., 2056 Bush St.....	500

92	Two Twenty Six Nineteenth Ave. Apts., 226 19th Ave.....	350
32	Tyler & Co., Joseph C., 488 California, No. 1020.....	600
59	Tyler, Norman K., 231 Sansome St., No. 502, 57 Capra Way	650
1	Typewriter Shop, The, 268 Market St., No. 127.....	500
46	Uhl, Ernestine, 2099 Pacific Ave.	2,000
3	Umbesen, Kerner & Stevens, 30 Montgomery St.	1,400
16	Union Music Store, 49 Taylor St.	1,500
3	Union Painting Co., Inc., 544 Market St., No. 810	150
12	Union Square Club, Inc., 422 Post St., 10th Fl.	550
25	Unique, The, 506 Battery St.	500
142	Unique Studio Signs, 2314 Mission St.	100
11	United Dental X-Ray Labs., 291 Geary Blvd., No. 607	750
7	United Flower & Supply Co., 463 Bush St.	150
8	United Health Service, 209 Post St., No. 1222	500
65	United Pure Fruit Juice Co., 130 Steiner St.	250
147	United Radio Supplies Co., 341 10th St.	250
33	Universal Engraving Co., 347 Clay St.	350
3	Universal Legal Bureau, 580 Market St., No. 408	150
153	Universal Painting Co., 425 4th St.	400
23	Unsworth, A., 639 Hyde St.	150
165	Upton Realty Co., 3280 24th St.	100
33	Ure, Ira R., & Co., 343-347 Davis St.	900
158	Utah Lime & Stone Co., 201 Utah St.	500
42	Vaccarino, G., 2360 Larkin St.	150
141	Valencia Garage (M. Poulin), 915-27 Valencia St.....	450
144	Valencia Inn, 410 Valencia St.	500
104	Valencia, Mrs. L. B., 1636 Irving St.	150
22	Valente's, Val, 709 Larkin St.	400
4	Valentine Tailoring Co., 690 Market St., No. 123	150
32	Valet Club (Ed. Whitney), 454 Montgomery St.	100
36	Vallejo Hotel, 723-25 Vallejo St.	250
65	Valloid Repair, 479 Waller St.	300
59	Van's Cleaners, 2017 Chestnut St.	150
157	Van Allen, Staven, 461 Market St., No. 900	50
47	Van Cal Apts., 1615 Gough St.	300
71	Van der Linden, John, 1519 Divisadero St.	200
8	Vanderburgh, W. W., 382 Parnassus Ave.; 166 Geary St., No. 97	1,100
4	Van Duyn, O. M., 111 Sutter St., No. 832	300
4	Van Fleet, Carey, 620 Market St., Nos. 501-04	500
28	Van Ness Garage, 2100 Van Ness Ave.	500
146	Van Ness Tavern, 182 So. Van Ness Ave.	500
40	Vannucci, Albert, 721 Beach St.	300
32	Vannucci, Albert A., 604 Montgomery St., No. 205	125
78	Van Temmen, Albert, 2416 Fulton St.	275
13	Varellas, P. N., 1021 California St.	600
79	Varney, Anna C., 411 Lyon St.	300
92	Varsi, J. & Co., 5549 Geary Blvd.	300
100	Vaselopoulos, P., 4826 Balboa St.	250
114	Valilatos, Mrs. K., 166 Forest Side Ave.	150
151	Vasquez, Louis V., 821 Market St., No. 926	100
60	Vatuone, Anthony, 3360 Union St., No. 2	150
121	Veale, Walter J., 401 Montecito Ave.	300
103	Vedell, Charles, 1383 26th Ave.	200
53	Veit, A., 794 Hayes St.	150
12	Venetian Art Repair Studio, 545 Sutter St., No. 402	100
98	Verbin, A. J., 5508 Fulton St.	300
162	Vermont Cleaners, 701 Vermont St.	150
55	Verrett, Louis, 1822-A Post St.	150
33	Vial, Theo., 900 Battery St.	200
43	Victor, Peter, 1443 Francisco St.	200

59	Victor's Flower Stand, 2086 Chestnut St.	150
44	Village Restaurant, 1797-99 Lombard St.	300
149	Virginia Sandwich Shop, 1098 Howard St.	250
5	Vitanova Co., 333 Montgomery St., No. 311	275
142	Vogel, Walter, 2272 Mission St.	300
58	Von der Heiden Studio, C., 2196 Union St.	150
113	Von Tagen, Octavia, 38 Allston Way	200
83	Wagner, Emma, 5 Clement St.	250
11	Wagner, Dr. R. M., 135 Stockton St., No. 921.....	250
6	Wah Lee Laundry, 816 Sacramento St.	275
31	Wah Lung Co., 41 Spofford Alley.....	50
91	Wahren's Fruit Store, 1617 Balboa St.....	200
154	Waldron, L. H., 681 Market St., Nos. 625-7.....	850
117	Walker, Mrs. Caroline, 280 San Leandro Way.....	250
84	Walker, Charles B., 148 6th Ave.....	200
179	Walker Cleaners, 201 Brazil St.....	175
60	Walker, Florence, 2925 Pierce St.....	250
32	Walker, Frances, 576 Sacramento St.....	50
12	Walker, George W., 948 Market St., No. 803.....	250
157	Walker, W. C., 215 Market St. (Lobby).....	150
122	Wall, Violet, 400 Urbano Drive	300
2	Wall, Vivian, 340 Sansome St.....	100
10	Wallach, Harry, 771 Bush St.....	250
134	Walrose, Walter, 780 Dolores St.....	750
132	Walsh, Thomas, 4327 18th St.....	175
41	Walter, C. H., 1455 Greenwich St.....	350
74	Waltrys, Capt. Oliver H., 3324 Broderick St., No. 1.....	300
146	Warden, Charles, 1550 Howard St.....	100
14	Warfield Luggage Shop, 964 Market St.....	3,000
113	Wark, George A., 75 Magellan Ave.....	250
32	Washington Press, 524 Washington St.....	700
32	Washington Street Garage, 547-555 Washington St.....	300
33	Washington Studio, 453 Washington St.....	150
150	Wasserkrug, M., 942 Mission St.....	1,200
85	Wasserstein, Ruth, 248 Clement St.....	200
1	Watch Shop, The, 70 Market St.....	350
74	Waters, Mrs. John T., 3314 Broderick St.....	200
33	Waters, L., 300 Davis St.....	450
24	Watkins, James T., 3 25th Ave., 909 Hyde St., Nos. 322-26	750
3	Watson, Mitten, Monteith Inc., 564 Market St., Nos. 505-6	250
46	Watt, Mrs. L., 1895 Pacific Ave., No. 105.....	150
157	Watts Perfume Co., 21 Spear St.....	75
72	Wayman, Guy T., 2833 Washington St.....	250
103	Wayne, Guy, 1654 27th Ave.....	200
116	Webb, Dell, 2579 27th Ave.....	200
102	Webb, Robert E., 3958-60 Irving St., 1286 41st Ave.....	1,500
129	Weber, Gustave, 574 Jersey St.....	175
73	Webster, J. A., 3085 Broderick St., No. 201.....	150
71	Wedenmeyer, C. F., 2809-A Pine St.	100
10	Weider, J. H., N. E. Cor. Pine & Mason.....	100
99	Weimer, B. E., 638 40th Ave.....	200
41	Weir, W. B. Jr., 2123 Hyde St.....	800
8	Welch, H. S., 391 Sutter St., No. 101.....	300
104	Welch, J. R., 1255-57 20th Ave.....	200
55	Welk, Max, 1555-A Ellis	100
33	Werrett's Smoke Shop, 339-41 Pacific St.....	150
7	Wertheimer & Moore, 133 Kearny St., No. 201.....	350
5	West American Cons. Gold Mines, Inc., 235 Montgomery St., No. 1307.....	100
33	West Coast Fruit & Produce Inc., 231 Washington St.....	700
148	West Coast Rug Cleaning Co., 980 Bryant St.....	1,050
114	West Portal Creamery, 118 West Portal Ave.....	750

114	West Portal Realty Co., 245 West Portal Ave.....	200
19	Westcliffe Apts., 821 Leavenworth St.....	450
4	Western Analytic Bureau, 690 Market St., Nos. 723-4....	200
162	Western Bronze & Ornamental Iron Co., 654 Minnesota St.	1,500
153	Western Building Service Co., 474 3d St.....	150
4	Western Cities Co., 111 Sutter St., No. 2010.....	300
8	Western Dental Laboratory, 760 Market St., No. 663.....	300
150	Western Evergreen Co., 190 5th St.....	650
5	Western Mining News, 222 Kearny St., No. 405.....	250
154	Western Pine Assn., 72-78 New Montgomery St., No. 604..	100
50	Western Plumbing & Electric Co., 641 Gough St.....	200
145	Western Plumbing Sheet Metal Products, 298 Valencia St.	600
1	Western Sash & Door Co., 112 Market St., No. 604.....	300
1	Western Service System, 320 Market St., No. 227.....	200
146	Western Steam Cleaning Co., 1757 Folsom St.....	100
33	Western Terminal Co., Pier 5.....	700
1	Western Trading Co., 16 California St., No. 814.....	200
76	Westman, W., 3487 Washington St.....	500
20	Weston & Cate, 3 City Hall Ave.....	50
7	Whang, Dick, 700 Market St.....	300
84	Wharton, L., 138 Arguello Blvd.....	200
57	Wheeler, Helen V., 2335 Pacific Ave., No. 522.....	250
5	Wheeler Timber Co., 235 Montgomery St., No. 740.....	250
109	White, Clyde, 299 Edgewood Ave.....	200
83	White, Florence M., 205 2nd Ave., No. 7.....	250
147	White House Lunch Room, 297 9th St.....	325
154	White, William A., 625 Market St., No. 715-9.....	200
4	White, Wm. K., 620 Market St., No. 514-18.....	250
63	White's Garage (O. White), 1125 Steiner St.....	300
11	Whiteman & Ferguson, 447 Sutter St., No. 624.....	150
3	Whitney, C. W., 433 California St., No. 505.....	150
74	Whitworth, Wm., 3130 Broderick St., No. 301.....	350
33	Wholesale Fruit & Produce Dealers Assn., 345 Front St..	125
23	Whybrow, Dr., 882 Geary St.....	250
75	Wicks, W. H., 1830 Lyon St.....	250
60	Wiedero, Henry, 3036 Steiner St.....	150
217	Weisner, Marcus, 65 Camellia Ave.....	150
101	Wigney, B., 1516-18 48th Ave.....	250
140	Wilbur, Fred, 3552 23d St.....	500
137	Wilcox, Wilfred H., 192½ Valley St.....	300
149	Wilder, Dr. J. O., 1065 Market St.....	250
120	Wilford, Jerry, 355 Monterey Blvd.....	250
76	Wilkens, Ernest, 3306 California St.....	150
76	Wilkin, W. J., 3436 Clay St., No. 5.....	500
23	Willard Apts. (W. Sloane), 1030 Larkin St.....	400
78	Willard Apts., 2697 McAllister St.....	150
14	Wm. Penn Hotel, 160 Eddy St.....	2,400
18	William Taylor Garage, 256 Turk St.....	600
182	Williams, Arthur, 5501 Mission St.....	150
5	Williams Busy Man's Lunch, 453 Pine St.....	1,500
13	Williams, Carl, 955 Pine St., No. 25.....	450
71	Williams, Julian, 1406 Divisadero St.....	100
117	Willis, W. S., 14 Santa Clara Ave.....	500
32	Willis, Reg., 507 Montgomery St., No. 309.....	50
9	Wilson, Charles Edward, 450 Sutter St., No. 2403.....	300
2	Wilson Co., Frank M., 230 California St., 6th Floor.....	550
94	Wilson, Fred, 2206 Lake St.....	1,250
72	Wilson, L. H., 2825 Broadway St.....	750
5	Wilson, Russel C., 235 Montgomery St., No. 446.....	300
74	Wilson, W. I., 3255 Broderick St., No. 9.....	150
2	Wilson Co., Walter K., 7 Front St., No. 305.....	750
11	Wilson & Williams, 154 O'Farrell St.....	50
98	Wilt, James B., 3020 Balboa St.....	125

19	Windsor Apts., 625 Leavenworth St.....	2,600
156	Wine Producers Ass'n., 85 2nd St., No. 716.....	150
75	Wing Lee Laundry, 1449 Baker St.....	550
31	Wing On Co., 37 Wentworth Place.....	100
6	Wing Tai Yuen, 891 Sacramento St.	100
31	Wing Wo Chong, 43 Spofford Alley.....	75
114	Winkler, Emil G., 201 Wawona St.....	400
5	Winterman, Jean, 235 Montgomery St., No. 601.....	350
3	Wise, A. W., 58 Sutter St., No. 343	750
150	Wise & Co., Wm. H., 995 Market St., No. 331.....	125
46	Wit & Wisdom Circulating Library, 181-82 Washington St.	250
32	Whitthorne, Harry S., 21 Columbus Ave., Nos. 131-3.....	1,400
41	Wittschen, R. W., 2630 Van Ness Ave.....	150
3	Wolf & Kilkeary, 544 Market St., No. 1003.....	300
40	Woll, F. K., 1125 Union St., No. 6.....	150
5	Wollenberg, A. C., 110 Sutter St., No. 903	150
10	Wong Him, Dr. Henry, 999 California St., 1268 O'Farrell St.	5,000
31	Wong, Walter, 1 Stone St.....	150
101	Wood, Annie, 4433 Lincoln Way, Nos. 1-2.....	50
77	Woodfield, Wm. H. Jr., 72 Parker Ave.....	330
156	Woodhouse, A. L., 593 Market St., No. 245.....	150
3	Woodrum, Donald, 465 California St., No. 732.....	125
157	Woodside, J. E., 328 Main St.....	450
55	Wooley, W. L., 1546½ Ellis St.....	100
92	Wooley Margolin, 1844 Clement St.....	200
3	Wooster, C. M. Co., 564 Market St., No. 704.....	250
3	Work, Geo. A., 220 Montgomery St., No. 369.....	100
183	Workman, Elizabeth, 3541 Broderick St.....	200
15	Worth Hotel, 641 Post St.....	4,000
57	Wreden Grocery Co., 2500 Fillmore St.....	1,000
24	Wright, Earl, 1295 Bush St.....	100
19	Wright, M., 974-6-A-6-B-8 Sutter St.....	350
76	Wright's Auto Service, 3556 Sacramento St.....	550
74	Wrinkle, N., 3501 Divisadero St., No. 23	175
74	Wyckoff, Richard D. Inc., 111 Sutter St., No. 821.....	250
90	Wynn, Frank, 705 15th Ave.....	200
105	X-L Cleaners, 1015 Irving St.....	200
11	Yamato Art Store, The, 285 Geary St.....	1,000
88	Yates, James J., 753 9th Ave.....	250
154	Yearling, Miss M. C., 681 Market St., Nos. 302-18.....	150
19	Yee Laundry, Frank, 644 Hyde St.....	100
31	Yee Lee Co., 1128 Grant Ave.....	450
23	Yee Lee & Co., 1198 Polk St.....	250
2	Yellow Canary, The, 36 Sansome St.....	500
32	Yick & Co., Frank H., 670 Washington St.	350
31	Yick Keang Benevolent Assn., 137 Waverly Place.....	440
17	Yokum, Bill, 740 Post St.....	400
72	Yoshino, Ban, 2986 Washington St.....	200
4	Young, Donald C., 690 Market St., Nos. 1609-11.....	400
31	Young, Co., H., 1125 Stockton St.....	270
31	Young, K. B., 1024½ Stockton St.....	150
138	Young, Dr. W. H., 10 29th St.....	250
6	Young Woo School, 750 Sacramento St.....	100
143	Young's Cafe, 2140 Mission St.....	225
12	Yount, G. Borden, 323 Geary St., No. 307, 108 Villa Terrace	500
32	Yuba Sierra Development Co., 417 Montgomery St., No. 204	150
31	Yuen Fat & Co., 30 Wentworth Place.....	600
6	Yut Ton, 841 Clay St.....	300
32	Yut Loy Jan Co., 655 Clay St.....	250
5	Zachert, W., 110 Sutter St., No. 615.....	100

8	Zaranof's Sonya, 760 Market St., No. 1058.....	300
149	Zeller Lacquer Mfg. Co., 1039 Harrison St.	2,500
63	Zoloth, E., 1411 Fillmore St.....	500
150	Zukor's Inc., 923 Market St.....	5,000

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. McGranaghan appeared in answer to notice of arbitrary assessment, was heard and was advised as to the amount of his assessment and withdrew his protest.

Motion to Reduce.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Ratto, moved the arbitrary list be reduced 10 per cent.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved as an amendment, that the arbitrary list be reduced 1 per cent.

(Supervisor Uhl requested to be excused from voting on Item 46 of the arbitrary list.)

So ordered.

Whereupon amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Havenner, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Summary of Reductions Granted.

The following is a summary of the numbered applications which were granted reductions and the amount of the reduction in each instance, to-wit:

No.	No.
1—\$ 250 Imp.	287—\$ 250 Imp.
40— 300 Imp.	291— 150 Imp.
57— 1,900 Imp.	296— 150 Imp.
84— 500 Imp.	297— 300 Imp.
106— 500 Imp.	308— 1,500 Imp.
131— 500 Imp.	326— 500 Imp.
148— 300 Imp.	332— 500 Imp.
151— 400 Imp.	340— 125 Land.
159— 500 Imp.	345— 500 Imp.
163— 250 Imp.	346— 200 Imp.
177— 1,200 Imp.	347— 400 Imp.
178— 650 Imp.	367— 250 Imp.
180— 200 Imp.	379— 1,700 Imp.
182— 300 Imp.	389— 150 Imp.
186— 30 Imp.	393— 550 Imp.
190— 600 Imp.	394— 800 Imp.
192— 450 Imp.	395— 250 Imp.
210— 1,000 Imp.	409— 250 Imp.
214— 450 Imp.	410— 110 Imp.
215— 380 Imp.	411— 500 Imp.
216— 450 Imp.	430— 100 Imp.
217— 380 Imp.	442— 250 Imp.
220— 250 Imp.	449— 30 Land.
226— 2,000 Imp.	457— 200 Imp.
229— 150 Imp.	462— 250 Imp.
251— 300 Imp.	470— 350 Imp.
252— 300 Imp.	473— 1,300 Imp.
257— 300 Imp.	484— 600 Imp.
258— 500 Imp.	486— 500 Imp.
260— 115 Imp.	488— 70 Imp.
261— 400 Imp.	494— 900 Imp.

No.		
501—	\$ 50	Imp.
508—	500	Imp.
518—	30	Imp.
524—	200	Imp.
532—	300	Imp.
534—	100	Imp.
536—	100	Imp.
550—	300	Imp.
551—	155	Imp.
552—	160	Imp.
570—	1,000	Imp.
582—	1,000	Imp.
583—	500	Imp.
589—	200	Imp.
590—	1,000	Imp.
592—	200	Imp.
594—	500	Imp.
608—	100	Imp.
628—	100	Imp.
637—	350	Imp.
657—	1,750	Imp.
658—	200	Imp.
662—	300	Imp.
663—	750	Imp.
669—	500	Imp.
677—	50	Imp.
695—	250	Imp.
697—	100	Imp.
698—	400	Imp.
699—	200	Imp.
703—	200	Imp.
719—	100	Imp.
731—	300	Imp.
750—	200	Imp.
755—	200	Imp.
788—	250	Imp.
790—	50	Imp.
794—	250	Imp.
800—	200	Imp.
802—	200	Imp.
810—	100	Imp.
825—	500	Imp.
826—	1,400	Imp.
827—	100	Imp.
830—	200	Imp.
841—	4,040	Imp.
847—	400	Imp.
849—	5,400	Imp.

No.		
850—	\$ 205	Imp.
858—	250	Imp.
865—	4,000	Imp.
866—	1,000	Imp.
869—	100	Imp.
905—	200	Imp.
913—	500	Imp.
928—	100	Imp.
945—	500	Imp.
946—	600	Imp.
949—	200	Imp.
950—	300	Imp.
953—	200	Imp.
961—	100	Imp.
965—	155	Imp.
967—	400	Imp.
976—	1,000	Imp.
978—	450	Imp.
979—	135	Imp.
982—	100	Imp.
984—	200	Imp.
985—	1,350	Imp.
1013—	50	Imp.
1014—	250	Imp.
1020—	250	Imp.
1024—	405	Imp.
1029—	195	Imp.
1037—	385	Imp.
1039—	250	Imp.
1049—	113	Land.
1051—	500	Imp.
1060—	600	Imp.
1078—	225	Imp.
1088—	150	Imp.
1106—	150	Land.
1111—	400	Imp.
1123—	250	Imp.
1125—	500	Imp.
1126—	250	Imp.
1137—	150	Imp.
1140—	200	Imp.
1141—	250	Imp.
1144—	250	Imp.
1147—	400	Imp.
1149—	300	Imp.
1151—	400	Imp.
1154—	400	Imp.

Applications Denied.

Supervisor Colman moved that all other applications be *denied*.
 Motion *carried*.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board of Equalization at 1:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 20, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 20, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 20, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 20, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of July 2, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 16 (Proceedings of the Board of Equalization), and August 10, 13 and 14, 1934, were considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Garbage Disposal Franchise.

The following bids for garbage disposal franchise were received up to 3 p. m. this day, to-wit:

Scavengers' Protective Union, check for \$50,000 on Bank of America, to dispose of garbage by fill and cover.

From F. L. DeCarie, communication relative to DeCarie garbage disposal system.

Mr. Grear, representing Mr. F. L. DeCarie, was granted privilege of the floor and was heard at length.

Supervisor Uhl moved that, inasmuch as the proposal of the Scavengers' Protective Union did not provide for the disposal of garbage by incineration, the bid be rejected and check returned. Seconded by Supervisor Schmidt.

Chair ruled *motion out of order*.

Sylvester Andriano, representing Scavengers' Association, stated that the bid submitted was for garbage disposal by fill and cover, for one dollar per ton, and proposed to dispose of street sweepings of the City and County of San Francisco free of charge, at the present site, Mills Field, or within the City and County of San Francisco, if and when the Board should grant a permit to dispose of garbage in any of those three places. Bond for \$150,000, or any other sum which the Board might, in its wisdom, fix, would be posted. He invited the members of the Board to visit the present "fill" at Bay Shore. The Board was polled, and Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Ratto and Ron-

covieri accepted the invitation to visit the site on Wednesday, August 22, at 10 a. m.

Supervisor Colman stated that Supervisor Brown had always favored Mills Field as site for fill and cover. He stated further that the Board had appointed a committee, composed of Supervisors Havenner, Gallagher and himself, and that the committee would do everything possible to get permission for fill and cover at Mills Field.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposals for garbage franchise were *referred to the Public Health Committee.*

NEW BUSINESS.

Garbage Disposal, Bay Shore Sanitary District.

On motion by Supervisor Gallagher, all persons interested in the matter of agreement with the Bay Shore Sanitary District for garbage disposal were given the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Gallagher read several portions of proposed agreement, and invited explanations and comments on same by Mr. Swimm, attorney for the district, and by Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer.

The following were heard on the matter:

Mr. Albert Maas, representing South Basin World's Fair Association, opposing any fill and cover in Bay View District.

Mary Ashton, chairman Bay Side Hills and Valley Improvement Club, protesting against dumping garbage in Bay Shore District.

Communications protesting agreement with Bay Shore Sanitary District: J. P. Holland; Bay Side Hills and Valley Improvement Club; South Basin World's Fair Association; Bay View Civic Club.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following recommendation of the Public Health Committee was presented and *adopted*:

Accepting and Approving Garbage Disposal Permit From Bay Shore Sanitary District.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1528, as follows:

Whereas, the Sanitary Board of the Bayshore Sanitary District did, on the 19th day of July, 1934, in response to the application of the City and County of San Francisco, grant to said City and County a permit to deposit, or cause to be deposited, refuse upon certain lands within the boundaries of said district under the terms and conditions set forth in said permit dated July 19, 1934, and on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer of the City and County of San Francisco be, and he is hereby, authorized to accept said permit for and on behalf of said City and County upon the terms and conditions set forth in said permit save and except that the City and County shall, during the existence of said permit, have the right, upon appropriate action by the Board of Supervisors, to terminate same upon sixty (60) days' notice to said Sanitary Board of said Bayshore Sanitary District; and upon the further condition that the City and County of San Francisco shall not be liable for the payment of any money under said permit or for the giving of the surety bond provided for in said permit until said City and County shall actually avail itself of the privileges granted in said permit; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be, and he is hereby, authorized to execute for and on behalf of the City and County of San

San Francisco such agreements as may be necessary to carry out said above mentioned permit, subject to the provisions of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Execute an Easement of Right of Way to the County of Santa Clara.

(Code No. 15.0243)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1534, as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Resolution No. 579 of the Public Utilities Commission, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed and authorized to execute a conveyance of an easement of right of way to the County of Santa Clara in consideration of the said County of Santa Clara abandoning and closing certain roadways within the Calaveras Reservoir lands under the control of the Water Department in Alameda and Santa Clara counties.

The granting of the easement of right of way to Santa Clara County is for the purpose of allowing that county to construct a public highway over certain Water Department lands located in Road District No. 3 in the County of Santa Clara, which road will connect the so-called Marsh Road from a point in the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 32, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, with a point on the Mt. Day Road located in Section 21 of the same township. Said grant of right of way is conditioned upon the closing and abandoning by Santa Clara County of certain roads and portions of roads lying within the Calaveras Reservoir Basin, being portions of the Old Calaveras Road and Buick Road, which roads would be flooded by the filling of Calaveras Reservoir upon the installation and maintenance by the County of Santa Clara of gates at specified points on the Marsh Road; upon the construction and maintenance by the County of Santa Clara of a substantial bridge over the LaHonda Creek; and that the public road on the right of way herein granted shall be completed within a period of three years from July 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Nineteenth Street, Between Potrero Avenue and Utah Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 617, Ordinance No. 12.073126, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-five (1135).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works,

filed in this office July 19, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-five (1135), to read as follows:

Section 1135. The width of sidewalks on Nineteenth street, between Potrero avenue and Utah street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Establishing Grades on Blanche Street, Between Elizabeth and Twenty-third Streets.

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 618, Ordinance No. 12.0717, as follows:

Establishing grades on Blanche street between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Blanche street, between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 24, 1934.

Blanche street:

Easterly and westerly lines of, 82 feet northerly from Elizabeth street (for pavement), 191.50 feet.

Center line of, 82 feet northerly from Elizabeth street (for pavement), 191.30 feet.

Easterly and westerly lines of, 25 feet southerly from Twenty-third street (for pavement), 200.27 feet.

Center line of, 25 feet southerly from Twenty-third street (for pavement), 200.07 feet.

On Blanche street between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets at Blanche street.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Change of Grades, Jerrold Avenue, Between Quint and Toland Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 619, Ordinance No. 12.072217, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Jerrold avenue, between Quint and Toland streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 11th day of May, 1934, by Resolution No. 285 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Jerrold avenue, between Quint and Toland streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points

hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established, as follows:

Jerrold avenue:

Quint street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 2.00 feet.

Rankin street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.50 feet.

Selby street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.50 feet.

Toland street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rankin street:

Hudson avenue, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Innes avenue, 2.00 feet.

Jerrold avenue, 2.00 feet.

Kirkwood avenue, 3.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Innes avenue:

300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rankin street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.00 feet.

Selby street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.00 feet.

Toland street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Rankin street between Hudson and Kirkwood avenues, on Jerrold avenue between Quint and Toland streets, and on Innes avenue between Toland street and a line parallel with and 300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it further

Resolved, That the damage, cost, and expense of said closing and

abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth, and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Assessment for Joint Highway District No. 10.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk:

From Joint Highway District No. 10, resolution of intention to levy assessments for purchase of rights of way, costing \$200,000.

Ordered *filed*.

City Telephones.

From Purchaser of Supplies, addressed to Supervisor Uhl, relative to telephones furnished City officials and employees; also, communication re additional telephones recently installed in offices of the Board of Supervisors. In connection with above, Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved as follows: "I move that the Purchaser be notified that I have received his letter, which has been read to the Board of Supervisors, and feel that that is a waste of the taxpayers' money in the sum of \$1,844.40, and that these free telephones should be dropped from the list."

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Loss of Revenue, by Virtue of Court Decisions That City and County Cannot, Under Its Charter, Impose License Taxes for Revenue.

Communication from Controller, relative to loss of revenue by virtue of court decisions, was considered by Board. Motion by Supervisor Gallagher asking that the Board be informed by the Chief Administrative Officer by what authority the Tax Collector notified various professions named in Section 74 of the License Ordinance that they were not subject to license fee, failed to carry. In connection with above, Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the City Attorney draw up proposed Charter amendment, providing for the licensing for revenue of various professions and businesses, and that the Judiciary Committee report same back to the Board.

Motion carried.

Meeting to Consider Uniform Building Code.

Supervisor Uhl stated that the Pacific Coast Building Officials' Conference had adopted a uniform building code, and asked the Clerk to call attention of same to Supervisor Shannon, that a meeting might be called to consider the matter.

Navy Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee for the proper observance of Navy Day, October 27, 1934.

So ordered.

Street Cleaning.

Supervisor Brown announced that, due to the lateness, he would call from committee the matter of street cleaning, on week-ends, at the next meeting of the Board.

Leave of Absence—Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

The following was read by the Clerk:

August 20, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing September 14th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1536, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days commencing September 14, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens Committee to Celebrate the 158th Anniversary of the Founding of San Francisco.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1537, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor, Mayor Angelo J. Rossi, be respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to appropriately celebrate the 158th anniversary of the founding of San Francisco. The celebration and exhibits to be held in the Civic Auditorium, September 17 to September 24, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 27, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, August 27, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 27, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 27, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 3:35 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 20, 1934, was considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizing Expenditure of Former Appropriation for Infantile Paralysis to Be Expended After July, 1934.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 607, Ordinance No. 9.051143, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 9.051142, entitled "Authorizing an appropriation of \$10,503 and its expenditure during the month of July, 1934, out of Appropriation No. 2,900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health, for the expense of medical preparation, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency as provided for by Section 16 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco," *by authorizing the expenditure of said fund at the discretion of the Director of Health.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 9.051142, the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Director of Health and the recommendation of the Mayor, the sum of ten thousand five hundred three dollars (\$10,503) is hereby appropriated and authorized to be expended during the month of July, 1934, *and thereafter*, out of Appropriation No. 2,900.00 (Emergency Reserve), fiscal year 1934-1935, to the credit of the Department of Public Health for medical prepara-

tion, attention and hospitalization of persons afflicted with infantile paralysis within the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Creating Assessor's Revolving Fund of \$2,000.

(Code No. 9.011)

Also, Bill No. 610, Ordinance No. 9.0112, as follows:

Creating revolving fund for the office of the Assessor to be known as the Assessor's revolving change fund and providing for the administration thereof and making an appropriation therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A revolving fund to be known as the Assessor's Revolving Change Fund is hereby created, which shall not exceed the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars and which said fund shall be used by the Assessor for the purpose of making change for those persons who pay the taxes on unsecured personal property between the first day of March and the first day of August of each year. The Assessor shall keep a full, true and correct account of all amounts taken from said fund for the purposes herein indicated and render said accounts to the Controller and to the Treasurer whenever requested to so do. The Assessor shall have the custody and control of said fund and shall be responsible therefor and shall, *within ten days after such time as collections pursuant to Motor Vehicle Tax Clearance Act (Stats. 1933, page 937) shall have been completed by him*, return the amount of said fund to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco. Should said Assessor deem it advisable to deposit said fund or any portion thereof in any bank or banks in the City and County of San Francisco he may do so, and if said fund is so deposited, the same may be withdrawn from said bank or banks upon the order of the Assessor or upon the order of such person or persons as he shall designate.

Section 2. There shall be appropriated each year from the moneys in the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated or incumbered, the sum of two thousand (\$2,000) dollars, which said sum shall be payable to the Assessor for the purpose of establishing the above mentioned revolving fund, and the said amount to be returned by the Treasurer to the fund from which the same has been taken when the same is repaid to the Treasurer, *within ten days after such time as collections pursuant to Motor Vehicle Tax Clearance Act (Stats. 1933, page 937) shall have been completed by him*, as hereinbefore set forth.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$600 to the Office of the District Attorney for Correction in Salary of One Criminal Law Clerk.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 611, Ordinance No. 9.051145, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$600 set aside out of Appropriation No. 2.900 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of the District Attorney's Office, for correction in salary of One Criminal Law Clerk.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$600 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation No. 2.900 (Emergency Reserve) to the credit of

the office of the District Attorney; said \$600 being required for correction in the salary of one Criminal Law Clerk.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Correction of Error in Salary—District Attorney's Office.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 612, Ordinance No. 9.05362, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 8 of Ordinance No. 9.05360, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding one position of Criminal Law Clerk at \$200 which was omitted by error under Item 2 thereof, and eliminating one Criminal Law Clerk at \$150 from Item 3½ thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 8. DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1	1		District Attorney	\$ 666.66
2	5	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	200
3	3	B154	Criminal Law Clerk	190
4	1	B156	Senior Criminal Law Clerk.....	225
5	1	B166	Chief Clerk, District Attorney's Office..	250
6	2	B222	General Clerk	190
7	1	B404	Clerk-Stenographer	150
8	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	175
9	2	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
10	1	B454	Telephone Operator	150
11	1	B516	Senior Clerk-Typist	175
12	1	K6	Senior Attorney, Civil	375
13	1	B222	General Clerk (part-time)	50
14	3	K54	Attorney, Criminal	250
15	1	K54	Attorney, Criminal	190
16	3	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	375
17	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	300
18	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	250
19	2	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	200
20	1	K56	Senior Attorney, Criminal	150
21	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	375
22	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	275
23	1	K58	Principal Attorney, Criminal	250

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Regulating the Sale of Contraceptives.

(Code No. 17.19)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Bill No. 609, Ordinance No. 17.191, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the possession, sale and distribution of contraceptives and prophylactic rubber goods and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall hereafter be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association to vend, sell or offer for sale, or distribute at re-

tail or to the public, in any manner whatsoever any article, drug, medicinal preparation, or any prophylactic rubber goods primarily manufactured, produced or intended for use as or which may be used as a contraceptive, within the City and County of San Francisco, except regularly licensed practitioners of medicine, in their practice, and/or in regular drug stores employing a registered pharmacist. It shall be unlawful to vend, sell or distribute any such articles, drugs or medicines on the streets or elsewhere within the City and County of San Francisco, by means of machines, or by peddling, canvassing or soliciting from house to house, or otherwise except as hereinbefore provided.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful to advertise the sale of same on any placard, billboards, handbills, newspapers, periodicals or other printed or painted matter, printed and distributed in this City and County.

Section 3. Any person, whether principal or agent, who violates the terms of this ordinance, or who has in his possession or on his premises, any vending or slot machine or the like containing contraceptives, or such prophylactic rubber goods, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each such person, firm, corporation, society, association or organization, not being a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, or regularly in the employ of a drug store having regularly and duly connected therewith, a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each such day during which any vending or slot machine containing contraceptives shall be maintained in his or its possession or on his or its premises. In cases of sales by machines, the owner of the machine, as well as the owner and occupier of the premises where the machine is located, shall be deemed a violator thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Final Passage.

The following heretofore presented by Supervisor Hayden as Minority Report of Joint Committee on Judiciary and Public Welfare and passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

(Code No. 19.071)

Bill No. 615, Ordinance No. 19.07120, as follows:

Providing for the appointment of a committee to supervise the distribution of relief and the expenditure of relief funds in the City and County of San Francisco and for the appointment of a Director of Relief to serve under said committee and for other employees thereof, and providing a plan for the distribution of relief by said committee.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The distribution of all funds and moneys which are available or which may hereafter be made available for the relief of the dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as well as for the relief of those residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are entitled thereto and who are in need thereof by reason of unemployment, is hereby placed in the hands of a Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said committee shall consist of five members to be appointed by the Mayor. The members of said committee shall hold their respective appointments at the pleasure of the Mayor, and any vacancy occurring on said committee

shall be filled by an appointment thereto made by the Mayor. The members of said committee shall serve without compensation.

Section 2. The committee shall have administrative powers and shall have full power to administer all relief to be given or afforded from the funds of the City and County of San Francisco when the same are made available to said committee by appropriation or otherwise, and said committee shall also have full power to administer any other funds which may be placed at its disposal. Said committee may adopt such rules and regulations not in conflict with the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco or ordinances of the Board of Supervisors, as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this ordinance.

Section 3. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall appoint and fix the salary of a Director of Relief. The Director of Relief shall possess the qualifications and experience essential to direct a social welfare program. He shall be the executive officer of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. He shall serve during the pleasure of said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee and be responsible for the enforcement of all of its rules and regulations. He shall also have power to establish such divisions or departments as may be recommended by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee for the administration of said relief. The Director of Relief shall give bond in such sum as shall be fixed by the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, which said bond shall be conditioned in the same manner as bonds of other officers and employees of the City and County of San Francisco. The premium on said bond shall be paid by the City and County.

Section 4. The Director of Relief shall have power, subject to the approval of the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, to employ such additional employees as may be necessary for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief in the City and County of San Francisco. All employees appointed for the purpose of carrying out the investigation and distribution of relief shall possess the qualifications and experience necessary to carry out the public welfare program adopted by said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee. The compensations of all employees which are paid out of moneys contributed by the City and County of San Francisco or under the control of the City and County shall be established, fixed and paid in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of said City and County. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee, as well as the Director of Relief, shall avail themselves, in so far as possible, of the services of existing City departments and City employees where the services of said departments and said employees can be rendered to said Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to said Director of Relief without interfering with their ordinary duties.

Section 5. The Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee shall present to the Board of Supervisors, not later than the 15th day of each month, an estimate of the amount needed for relief for the succeeding month to be appropriated or allocated from funds contributed by or under the control of the City and County of San Francisco, and said amounts shall be separately classified as to the particular relief covered in said appropriation or allocation, and said committee shall set forth in said estimate the amount thereof which shall be applied directly for relief purposes, and the amount of said estimate which will be used for the payment of salaries and compensations necessary for the distribution of said relief. The Board of Supervisors shall thereupon make such appropriation to cover the amount necessary for relief and for the compensations of those engaged in the distribution thereof as it shall deem proper.

Section 6. All existing ordinances and resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted by the Board of Supervisors which are in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed in so far as any conflict may

exist between the provisions of this ordinance and other ordinances or resolutions heretofore enacted or adopted.

Section 7. Nothing contained in this ordinance shall give to the Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee or to any person acting under its authority, any jurisdiction over relief administered at the present time under the County Welfare Department, the Department of Public Health or the Juvenile Court.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Tax Rate Ordinance, 1934-35.

(Code No. 9.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 620, Ordinance No. 9.0324, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and nine hundred and sixty-five one thousandths (\$3.965) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and nine hundred and sixty-five one thousandths (\$3.965) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

- (a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....\$1.324372
- | | |
|---|---------|
| Emergency Reserve | .022054 |
| County Road | .000015 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan State of California..... | .014703 |
| Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California.. | .006616 |
| Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor..... | .252559 |

\$1.620319

- (b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, Civil Service, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of

.472217

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....	.049217
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....	.102964
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of.....	.068826
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....	.008785
For M. H. De Young Memorial Museum Fund.....	.008452
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....	.009318
For Retirement System for City employees.....	.199551
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....	.968989
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California013564
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....	.020417
For Special Election Fund, Section 181 of the Charter.....	.003193
For interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....	.003676
For Workmen's Compensation Fund005578
Total for Municipal Purposes	\$3.555066

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving schools:	
For Junior College Fund (County)	\$.012478
For Special School District Building Fund.....	.053561
For Special School District Fund194431
For Special High School District Building Fund.....	.021926
For Special High School District Fund.....	.127538
Total School Tax	\$.409934

Total Tax Rate.....\$3.965000

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Acceptance of Deed From Mills Estate for Airport Lands.

(Code No. 12.17154)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1539, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Mills Estate Incorporated, to Parcel No. 5 of the San Francisco Airport lands in San Mateo County, California, which parcel of land is designated as Parcel No. 5 on the map marked "Exhibit A," attached to that certain written agreement dated August 16, 1930, between Mills Estate Incorporated and the City and County of San Francisco, and

that the sum of \$105,000 be paid for said land out of 1934-1935 Appropriation No. 64.600.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Refunds of Amounts Paid for Taxes in Duplicate.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1540, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of General Fund, fiscal year 1934-1935, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

1. To William Winter, duplicate payment, per Vol. 3, page 102, line 9, of the 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.....\$11.48
2. To H. C. Berriman, duplicate payment, per Vol. 4, page 109, line 8, of the 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 3.13
3. To Woodward, Baldwin Co., duplicate payment, per Vol. 10, page 117, line 17, of the Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1934 4.59
4. To Tom Balestreri, duplicate payment, per Vol. 10, page 199, line 16, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1934..... 3.48
5. To James J. McGinnis, duplicate payment, per Vol. 13, page 186, line 3, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1934.... 8.70

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Authorizing City Attorney to Institute Proceedings for the Abatement of Nuisance at 3635 Eighteenth Street.

(Code No. 17.09)

On recommendation of Public Health Committee.

Resolution No. 1538, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, does hereby declare that the premises numbered, known and designated as No. 3635 Eighteenth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the City Attorney of said City and County is hereby directed to abate the same in conformity with the provisions of Section 731 of the Code of Civil Procedure of the State of California.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of three weeks, commencing August 29, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1541, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing August 29, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 27, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A request has been made to me by Honorable Jesse Colman, a member of your Board, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State, for ten days, commencing August 30th.

I ask your Honorable Board to concur with me in granting this permission.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1544, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing August 30, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Death of Former Supervisor Timothy B. Healy.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1545, as follows:

Whereas, The Almighty, in his infinite wisdom, has seen fit to take from our midst, Timothy B. Healy; and

Whereas, Mr. Healy, a leader in civic and fraternal affairs, was greatly loved and respected for his high character and earnest endeavors for the benefit of mankind; and

Whereas, Mr. Healy was intimately associated with the official life of San Francisco, having served as a member of the Board of Supervisors and also as a teacher in the public schools for many years; and

Whereas, Mr. Healy was a devoted husband, a loving father and an honest and upright citizen and his passing will be keenly felt by all who knew him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby tender its most sincere condolences to his family, and that when this Board of

Supervisors adjourns, it does so out of respect to the memory of Timothy B. Healy; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of this Board and that another copy be sent to Mr. Healy's family.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Ship Subsidy.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 1543, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is the maritime center of the Pacific Coast; the hub of commerce for western America and has within its environs one of the greatest harbors in the world; and

Whereas, the welfare of San Francisco is dependent in a large measure upon its shipping interests; and

Whereas, many of the lines operating out of the Port of San Francisco are subject to some sort of subsidy from the United States government; and

Whereas, Secretary of Agriculture Wallace has indicated that these subsidies may be withdrawn; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby place itself on record as opposed to the discontinuance of the present subsidies in force for the stimulation of our American Merchant Marine; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby memorialize Secretary Wallace and the Federal Administration and does urge that no steps be taken to discontinue those subsidies now in effect; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Secretary Wallace, to President Roosevelt and to the California delegation in Congress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Meeting on Skyline Boulevard.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1546, as follows:

Whereas, in the original bond issue for highways of the State of California, the people of the State were pledged that the boulevard known as the Skyline boulevard would be constructed from the County line of San Francisco to the town of Woodwardia in the County of Santa Cruz; and

Whereas, while a lateral has been built leading to Woodwardia, it is the opinion of the proponents of the Skyline boulevard that the width is not sufficient nor is the type of roadway conformable to that which was contemplated when the bond issue was voted; and

Whereas, it is of vital interest to the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Peninsula that the Skyline boulevard be completed to full width, not only to Woodwardia but to Burrell in Santa Cruz County to form a connection with the old San Jose road leading into Capitola and Soquel and with Highland way leading into Corralitos and Watsonville, thus facilitating vehicular traffic from the various cities and towns affected, to and from San Francisco; and

Whereas, recent conferences indicate that unless a specific demand is made for the completion of this boulevard and unless San Francisco takes the lead, the work will not be finished; on the contrary it will be long delayed or abandoned by the actions of certain selfish county interests who are attempting to consummate their local projects at the expense of the Skyline boulevard project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the chairmen of the Streets and Finance Committees of this Board of Supervisors, in the interest of the completion of the Skyline boulevard, are hereby authorized and directed to call for a meeting of representatives from San Mateo County, Pajaro Valley, Soquel Valley and San Jose Chambers of Commerce, Highland Center, the Santa Clara County Farm Bureau, Representatives Richard J. Welch and John J. McGrath and all other interested parties, to meet, promote and insist upon the redemption of the pledge made to the people in 1919 and to take such steps as are necessary to procure the proper completion of the Skyline boulevard; and be it

Further Resolved, That steps be immediately taken to secure unanimity of action by all the persons and bodies interested so that an appeal may be made for Federal funds or for State funds during the next biennium, at the next meeting of the State Board of Public Works to be held in the near future.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

State Board of Equalization Reports Ad Valorem Tax Unnecessary.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

August 20, 1934.

To the Board of Supervisors and County Auditor of the County of San Francisco.

Please take notice that on Monday, August 20, 1934, the State Board of Equalization adopted the following resolution and order:

Whereas, Section 3713 of the Political Code (Statutes of 1931, page 937; in effect August 14, 1931), provides as follows:

"In the event that all the state taxes, together with all of the other state revenues, are at any time deemed by the state board of control insufficient to meet all of the annual expenditures of the State of California, including all appropriations made by the budget bill, all appropriations made by law other than by the budget bill, and all appropriations or expenditures made or authorized by the constitution, the board of control shall notify the board of equalization as to the amount of the deficiency. Thereafter, the state board of equalization, in accordance with the provisions of subdivision (e) of section 14 of article XIII of the constitution of California, shall fix, on the third Monday in August, such an ad valorem rate of state tax on all property in the state, including all classes of property taxed under the provisions of any section of article XIII of the constitution, as after allowing five per cent for delinquencies, will raise an amount of money sufficient to meet the said deficiency.

"Any tax so levied and collected to meet such a deficiency shall be assessed, levied and collected under the provisions of the Political Code relating to the assessment, levy and collection of state and county taxes as said provisions were in force on the seventh day of November, 1910."

And whereas, subdivision (e) of section 14 of article XIII of the Constitution of California, ratified November 8, 1910, provides as follows:

"Out of the revenues from the taxes provided for in this section, together with all other state revenues, there shall be first set apart the moneys to be applied by the State to the support of the public school system and the State University. In the event that the above named revenues are at any time deemed insufficient to meet the annual expenditures of the state, including the above named expenditures for educational purposes, there may be levied, in the manner to be provided by law, a tax, for state purposes, on all the property in the state, including the classes of property enumerated in this section, sufficient to meet the deficiency. All property enumerated in subdivisions (a), (b) and (d) of this section shall be subject to taxation, in the

manner provided by law, to pay the principal and interest of any bonded indebtedness created and outstanding by any city, city and county, county, town, township or district, before the adoption of this section. The taxes so paid for principal and interest on such bonded indebtedness shall be deducted from the total amount paid in taxes for state purposes."

And whereas, the State Board of Equalization has not been notified by the State Board of Control of any insufficiency of the revenues of the State to meet all of the annual expenditures of the State of California as provided in Section 3713 of the Political Code.

And whereas, Section 3696 of the Political Code requires that on the third Monday in August of each year the State Board of Equalization must determine the rate of state tax to be levied and collected upon the assessed valuation of the property of the state and must immediately thereafter transmit to the board of supervisors and county auditor of each county a statement of such rate;

Now, therefore, the State Board of Equalization adjudges and decrees that no state ad valorem tax shall be levied and collected upon the assessed valuation of the property in the State of California for the support and maintenance of the government of said state for the eighty-sixth fiscal year.

R. E. COLLINS, Chairman.

DIXWELL L. PIERCE, Secretary.

Dahlia Show.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the Dahlia Society of California inviting attendance at the Twentieth Annual Dahlia Show, to be held at the Fairmont Hotel, August 30 and 31, 1934.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved acceptance of invitation and that the Clerk extend the thanks of the Board to the Dahlia Society of California.

Motion carried.

State Fair, "Supervisors' Day."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from the County Supervisors' Association of the State of California, advising that Friday, September 7, 1934, has been designated "Supervisors' Day" at the State Fair at Sacramento and inviting attendance of a representative committee from San Francisco.

Invitation accepted and Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri and Ratto appointed to attend.

Report on Vacancies in Civil Service Positions.

Communication from the Civil Service Commission, submitting information regarding vacancies occurring in municipal service positions, reemployments therein and rates of pay in such positions.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Proposed Amendment to Inspection Fee Ordinance.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from Automotive Repair and Maintenance Association, suggesting amendment to Inspection Fee Ordinance which it is believed will bring many violations to light and result in an additional revenue of \$40,000 as result of inspection.

Referred to Finance and Police Committees.

Canvass of Votes of State Primary Election Held Tuesday, August 28.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1542, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Thursday, August 30th, at the hour of 1 p. m., to canvass the returns of the State Primary Election held Tuesday, August 28, 1934, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 1053 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1228 of the Political Code of the State of California:

B. A. Cody, M. Coffey, L. J. Conti, G. H. Daniels, J. A. David, J. I. Foudy, R. W. Grumbini, G. I. Holloway, E. F. Pimental, A. A. Power, P. L. Rezos, C. Schneider, M. Selig, M. Sullivan, R. D. Tyson, W. W. Wight, A. Arnold, E. Balk, M. R. Bloch, K. M. Butler, B. Carter, C. Connolly, M. I. Dana, F. E. Dealtry, E. M. Dwyer, G. G. Edmondson, E. M. Goff, E. M. Gray, C. D. Howland, F. Keane, M. Knoth, M. M. Kraut, E. H. Leigh, H. J. Levy, J. E. Livingston, F. Loors, N. O'Connell, S. Osborn, A. B. Remley, M. Richardson, M. Saline, G. Siemens, E. S. Steele, J. F. Dawson, E. R. Faucompre, E. A. Griffith, C. H. King, W. E. Monahan, G. L. Sharp, L. Stern.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Proposed Charter Amendments.

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 1547, as follows:

Whereas, the public utility properties in the City and County of San Francisco will be added to the Assessment Roll in 1935, increasing said roll by many millions of dollars; and

Whereas, said increase will reflect an increased amount in the percentages set up in the Charter for the parks, playgrounds and library; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Section 78 of the Charter shall be amended to revise said percentages based upon the increased assessment roll, due to the public utility properties being added to the 1935 Assessment Roll.

Referred to Joint Committee on Judiciary and Education, Parks and Recreation.

(Code No. 1.08)

Resolution No. 1548 as follows:

Whereas, doubt exists as to the provisions in Section 22 of the Charter; therefore, be it

Resolved, That said Section 22 shall be amended whereby the members of the Board of Supervisors shall be permitted to make inquiry of any elected official, department head or his assistant covering municipal affairs, but in no way to suggest regarding the matter of salaries or employments.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Official Lottery for Relief Purposes.

Supervisor Havenner stated that New York City has passed legislation for an official lottery, the proceeds of which were to be devoted to the financing of relief work, and in connection therewith, moved that the Finance and Judiciary Committees be authorized to investigate and report to the Board the procedure adopted in New York, looking to that end. Seconded by Supervisors Hayden and Roncovieri.

Bond Issue for Garbage Incinerator.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, and construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper for the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper for the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$800,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$800,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$800,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Finance and Health Committees.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved under suspension of the rules that the committee report next meeting.

So ordered.

Disposal of Garbage—Utilization for Making Wall Board.

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Communication from F. Puttaert, 680 Second avenue, offering to dispose of garbage and utilize same for making wall board.

Referred to Health Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 4, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Tuesday, September 4, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 4, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of August 27, 1934, was considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Changing Width of Sidewalks on Nineteenth Street, Between Potrero Avenue and Utah Street.

(Code No. 12.0731)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 617, Ordinance No. 12.073126, as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-five (1135).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Director of Public Works, filed in this office July 19, 1934, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered eleven hundred and thirty-five (1135), to read as follows:

Section 1135. The width of sidewalks on Nineteenth street, between Potrero avenue and Utah street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Establishing Grades on Blanche Street, Between Elizabeth and Twenty-third Streets.

(Code No. 12.071)

Also, Bill No. 618, Ordinance No. 12.0717, as follows:

Establishing grades on Blanche street between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Blanche street, between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above City base as hereinafter stated in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Works, filed in this office July 24, 1934.

Blanche street:

Easterly and westerly lines of, 82 feet northerly from Elizabeth street (for pavement), 191.50 feet.

Center line of, 82 feet northerly from Elizabeth street (for pavement), 191.30 feet.

Easterly and westerly lines of, 25 feet southerly from Twenty-third street (for pavement), 200.27 feet.

Center line of, 25 feet southerly from Twenty-third street (for pavement), 200.07 feet.

On Blanche street between Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Elizabeth and Twenty-third streets at Blanche street.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Change of Grades, Jerrold Avenue, Between Quint and Toland Streets.

(Code No. 12.0722)

Also, Bill No. 619, Ordinance No. 12.072217, as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Jerrold avenue, between Quint and Toland streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Director of Public Works, did on the 11th day of May, 1934, by Resolution No. 285 declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Jerrold avenue, between Quint and Toland streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Director of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established, as follows:

Jerrold avenue:

Quint street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

250 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 2.00 feet.

Rankin street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.50 feet.

Selby street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.50 feet.
 Toland street, 3.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
 Rankin street:
 Hudson avenue, 2.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
 Innes avenue, 2.00 feet.
 Jerrold avenue, 2.00 feet.
 Kirkwood avenue, 3.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Innes avenue:
 300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
 Rankin street, 2.00 feet.
 300 feet northwesterly from Rankin street, 4.00 feet.
 Selby street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
 300 feet northwesterly from Selby street, 4.00 feet.
 Toland street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)
 On Rankin street between Hudson and Kirkwood avenues, on Jerrold avenue between Quint and Toland streets, and on Innes avenue between Toland street and a line parallel with and 300 feet northwesterly from Quint street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Approving Payments on Account of Assessment for Garfield Street.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1550, as follows:

Resolved, That payments are approved and authorized out of Appropriation 48,916.00 on account of assessment for the improvement of Garfield street between Head street and Orizaba avenue, as follows:

Doctor A. H. White, Assessment Nos. 28, 29 and 30; amount \$250.

Estate of James J. Lynch, Assessment Nos. 60, 61 and 62; amount \$150.

Alice M. Brooks, Assessment Nos. 25, 26 and 27; amount \$50.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$1360.11 From Emergency Reserve to the Credit of Tax Collector's Contractual Service Appropriation.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 621, Ordinance No. 9.051146, as follows:

Appropriating \$1,360.11 from the Emergency Reserve (Appropriation No. 2.900) to the credit of Tax Collector's Contractual Service appropriation for the differential caused by increased rate for the publication of the Delinquent Tax List.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,360.11 is set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve (Appropriation No. 2.900) to the credit of the

Tax Collector's Contractual Service appropriation for the differential caused by increased rate for the publication of the Delinquent Tax List.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Authorizing Sending of Representatives to National Recreation Conference.

(Code No. 9.051)

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Havenner and referred to the Finance Committee:

Bill No. 622, Ordinance No. 9.051147, as follows:

Authorizing the Recreation Commission to send representatives to the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., from October 1 to 5, 1934, and providing for the payment of the expenses of such representatives.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Recreation Commission, Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Playgrounds, and Hester Proctor, Playground Director, are hereby authorized to attend the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., on October 1 to 5, 1934, for the purpose of representing the San Francisco Recreation Commission at said conference and their expenses incident to traveling to the city of Washington and returning to San Francisco, as well as their expenses incident to attending said conference, are hereby authorized in an amount not to exceed the sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars, the same to be paid by the Controller in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0562, and said sum is hereby made and charged against Appropriation No. 13.200, 1934-1935 Appropriation Ordinance.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee be requested to report on the foregoing bill at next meeting.

So ordered.

Secretary of Interior to Attend Hetch Hetchy Ceremonies.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk be authorized, when he is able to obtain the exact date on which the Hetch Hetchy water will arrive, to extend on behalf of the Board an invitation to the Secretary of the Interior to attend the ceremonies.

So ordered.

Supervisors' Committee to Attend N. S. G. W. Celebration.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the President of the Board appoint a committee of three, including himself, to represent the Board at the celebration of the N. S. G. W. at Sacramento, September 9th. The President appointed Supervisors Hayden, Havenner and himself.

List of Citizens' World's Fair Committee Requested.

Supervisor Schmidt requested, for the next meeting of the Board, if possible, a list of the members of the Citizens' World's Fair Committee, with special reference to "members of improvement clubs."

**Investigation of Preliminary Expenses of Citizens' World's Fair
Committee.**

Supervisor Uhl moved that the matter of the proposed World's Fair preliminary expenses be referred to the Joint Finance and Public Welfare Committee for investigation and report. Meeting of the Joint Committee was called for Friday at 2 p. m. The Chief Administrative Officer to be requested to be present at meeting and to be informed as to the object of the meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 3:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 29—New Series

No. 40

Tuesday, September 11, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

RECORDED
INDEXED
SEP 11 1934
CALIF

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 11, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 11, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Supervisor Havenner Excused.

The President of the Board read a telegraphic request from Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, asking to be excused from the meeting. Supervisor Colman so moved.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 4, 1934, was considered read and approved.

Charter Amendment Limiting Tax Rate.

Messrs. Harvey Toy and Edward J. Lynch, representing the Good Government League, at 2:35 p. m., September 6, 1934, filed a petition alleged to contain the signatures of 35,089 electors of San Francisco, for submission to the electors of a proposition to limit the tax rate, by Charter amendment, to the amount of \$3.50 per \$100 of the assessment roll, which petition was received and *referred to the Registrar of Voters.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was *deferred to 2 p. m., Friday, September 14, 1934:*

Tax Rate Ordinance, 1934-35.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 620, Ordinance No. 9.0324, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City

and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and nine hundred and sixty-five one thousandths (\$3.965) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and nine hundred and sixty-five one thousandths (\$3.965) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....		\$1.324372
Emergency Reserve022054
County Road000015
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....		.014703
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California..		.006616
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....		.252559
		<hr/>
		\$1.620319
(b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, Civil Service, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of472217
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....		.049217
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....		.102964
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of.....		.068826
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....		.008785
For M. H. De Young Memorial Museum Fund.....		.008452
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....		.009318
For Retirement System for City employees.....		.199551
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....		.968989
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California013564
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....		.020417
For Special Election Fund, Section 181 of the Charter.....		.003193
For interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....		.003676
For Workmen's Compensation Fund005578
Total for Municipal Purposes		<hr/>
		\$3.555066

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving schools:

For Junior College Fund (County)	\$.012478
For Special School District Building Fund.....	.053561
For Special School District Fund194431
For Special High School District Building Fund.....	.021926
For Special High School District Fund.....	.127538

Total School Tax\$.409934

Total Tax Rate.....\$3.965000

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of \$3,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes, September 17, 1934.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1554, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0334, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., on Monday, the 17th day of September, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934;

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer and Dalquist, attorneys-at-law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0334; the fee therefor to be \$1,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden—3.

Accepting Deed and Payment of \$1,217 for Land from Southern Pacific Co. at Third and Channel Streets.

(Code No. 12.1719)

Also, Resolution No. 1555, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Southern Pacific Company to a parcel of land at the southwest corner of Third and Channel Streets, San Francisco, California, required for the Third Street bridge; and that the sum of \$1,217 be paid for said land from the 1927 Boulevard Bond Fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1556, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 415—To Director of Public Works for \$317.93.

No. 416—To J. B. West for \$125.

No. 417—To J. B. West for \$125.

No. 418—To Edmond Godchaux, Recorder, for \$4.

No. 419—To T. J. O'Connor for \$3.50.

No. 420—To Ludwig Rom for \$1,041.93.

No. 421—To Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. for \$1,500.

No. 422—To Meyer Rosenberg, Inc., for \$209.85.

No. 423—To Eaton and Smith for \$616.50.

No. 424—To MacDonald and Kahn Co., Ltd., for \$113.25.

No. 425—To Pernau-Walsh Printing Co. for \$292.95.

Payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

Action on the following matter was *postponed to September 17, 1934*:

National Recreation Conference.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 622, Ordinance No. 9.051147, as follows:

Authorizing the Recreation Commission to send representatives to the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., from October 1 to 5, 1934, and providing for the payment of the expenses of such representatives.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Recreation Commission, Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Playgrounds, and Hester Proctor, Playground Director, are hereby authorized to attend the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., on October 1 to 5, 1934, for the purpose of representing the San Francisco Recreation Commission at said conference and their expenses incident to traveling to the city of Washington and returning to San Francisco, as well as their expenses incident to attending said conference, are

hereby authorized in an amount not to exceed the sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars, the same to be paid by the Controller in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0562 and said sum is hereby made and charged against Appropriation No. 13.200, 1934-1935 Appropriation Ordinance.

Proposed Bond Issue for Garbage Incinerator.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1549, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction and equipment of a Garbage Incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper for the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper for the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$800,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$800,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$800,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon stated that the above matter, heretofore referred to the Joint Committee on Public Health and Finance, was out of order on the calendar and that the Board should await the recommendation of said Joint Committee.

President McSheehy ruled that the matter was not properly before the Board, whereupon Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the members of the Finance Committee and the Public Health Committee be polled by the Clerk on the question of whether they did or did not recommend the legislation.

Re-referred.

President McSheehy ruled that Supervisor Gallagher's motion was out of order, and the matter was *re-referred to the Joint Committee on Public Health and Finance.*

Rejecting Bid of Scavengers' Protective Union for Garbage Disposal.

Privilege of the Floor.

Sylvester Andriano, attorney for the Scavengers' Protective Union, was granted the privilege of the floor. He requested permission to withdraw bid for disposal of garbage by fill and cover, submitted on August 20, 1934, but stated that if rejection of bid submitted would aid in clarifying the records, his clients would not object.

Adopted.

Whereupon, upon advice of the City Attorney, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1557, as follows:

Resolved, That the bid of the Scavengers' Protective Union for garbage disposal, submitted on August 20, 1934, accompanied by certified check in the sum of \$50,000 be and is hereby rejected. The Clerk is hereby directed to return said check to the Scavengers' Protective Union.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Re-referred to Public Health Committee.

At the request of Supervisor Roncovieri, Chairman of the Committee on Public Health, the following resolution was *re-referred to committee*:

Authorizing Chief Administrative Officer to Negotiate With the Southern Pacific Company for Use of Its Land in San Mateo County as a Site for Fill and Cover of the Garbage of the City and County of San Francisco.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1558, as follows:

Resolved, That the Chief Administrative Officer be and is hereby authorized to negotiate with the Southern Pacific Company for permission to dispose, by the fill and cover method, of the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco, upon Southern Pacific lands in San Mateo County adjacent to the San Francisco County Line, and also to procure permission from the Southern Pacific Company to use the material in the adjacent lands as a cover for the fill; and be it

Further Resolved, That said negotiations shall contemplate an agreement to the aforesaid effect for the period of two years, provided, however, that the City and County of San Francisco may terminate said agreement and its obligations thereunder upon sixty days' notice to the Southern Pacific Company.

Authorizing City Attorney and Chief Administrative Officer to Prepare Legislation, Plans and Specifications Necessary to Call for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

The following resolution was considered:

Resolution No. 1559, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Chief Administrative Officer be and they are hereby authorized and requested to prepare legislation, plans and specifications necessary to a call for bids for disposal, by fill and cover, of the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco, on lands situate outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and also for any other legal method of garbage disposal.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the resolution be amended by adding thereto, after the words "garbage disposal", at the end of the last line, the words "and or collection and disposal." On the advice of the City Attorney the amendment was *withdrawn*.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to amend by striking out the words "on lands situate outside of the City and County of San Francisco."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

The following citizens were granted the privilege of the floor: Paul Holland, representing J. P. Holland; Mr. Filippi, president Bay View Merchants' Association; Mrs. Kerrick, vice-president Bay View Civic Club; Miss Cecelia Milly, Bay View Parent-Teachers Association.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Authorizing City Attorney and Chief Administrative Officer to Prepare Legislation, Plans and Specifications Necessary to Call for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1559, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney and the Chief Administrative Officer be and they are hereby authorized and requested to prepare legislation, plans and specifications necessary to a call for bids for disposal, by fill and cover, of the garbage of the City and County of San Francisco, on lands situate outside of the City and County of San Francisco, and also for any other legal method of garbage disposal.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Action Deferred.

Action on the following resolution was *postponed one week*:

Intention to Close a Portion of Mississippi Street.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1551, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Mississippi street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Mississippi street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Mississippi street, distant northerly thereon 72.00 feet from the northerly line of Army street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Mississippi street a distance of 72.00 feet to the northerly line of Army street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Army street produced westerly a distance of 18.00 feet to a point; thence in a northerly direction 74.216 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the pro-

visions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Director of Property to Sell Buildings Acquired or to Be Acquired in Connection with Widening of Army Street.

(Code No. 12.1721)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 1553, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after 5 days' published notice, all buildings acquired or to be acquired in connection with the widening of Army street between Potrero avenue and Bryant street, San Francisco.

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bills of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Extension of Time, Eaton & Smith, Improvement of Carolina Street Between Twenty-second and Twenty-third Streets.

(Code No. 12.0612)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1552, as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and they are hereby granted a second extension of 90 days' time from and after July 18, 1934, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.

Contractor is awaiting certification of contract by Controller for City's portion, which is under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Water Department.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Creating Underground District—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 623, Ordinance No. 11.127, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 EEE:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 EEE. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 30, 1934, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 71, Nineteenth avenue between the northerly line of Lincoln way and the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive, also Nineteenth avenue extension between Eucalyptus drive and Junipero Serra boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Improvement of Cayuga Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Bill No. 624, Ordinance No. 12.061149, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cayuga avenue between Gorham street and the easterly line of Milton street, where not already so improved, at the following locations:

The northerly one-half between Gorham street and Badger street; the southerly one-half opposite the intersection of Danton street, and the southerly one-half between the easterly and westerly lines of Milton street produced southerly; by the construction of the following:

Item No. 1—Armored concrete curbs.

Item No. 2—6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.

Item No. 3—Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

Item No. 4—One-course concrete sidewalk, 6 feet in width.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 3 and 4 of Block 6783; Lots 2, 3 and 41 of Block 6786; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and

upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Statement of Expenditures From \$3,000 Allocated and Paid to Mr. Leland W. Cutler, President San Francisco Bay Exposition, From Publicity and Advertising Fund.

The Clerk read a communication from Howard Freeman, assistant to the president of the San Francisco Bay Exposition, listing expenditures from \$3,000 allocated and paid for preliminary expense in connection with the proposed World's Fair.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the Joint Committee on Finance and Public Welfare be empowered to continue investigations and report further in the matter of proposed World's Fair, and to inquire into the legality of the expenditures.

After discussion thereon, Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Uhl, that discussion on the matter be extended one week.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment to the amendment that further discussion be held on Monday, September 17, at 4 p. m., at which time the Board would go into a Committee of the Whole and discuss the committee's report and the recommendation for placing on the ballot the question of site on the mainland for the proposed fair.

So ordered.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto on Bill Regulating the Possession, Sale and Distribution of Contraceptives and Prophylactic Rubber Goods.

The following matters were taken up:

Mayor's Veto.

San Francisco, California, September 6, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs: I herewith return you Ordinance No. 17.191 enacted by your Board on August 27, 1934, with my disapproval of said ordinance endorsed thereon.

My reason for disapproving said ordinance is that in my opinion the ordinance will not accomplish the purposes which your Board had in mind when you enacted it. It is my opinion that the restriction of sales of the articles mentioned in the ordinance to drug stores, is an admission that they may be sold in such places for all purposes. I believe that such a condition would be a violation of the spirit if not the letter of Section 317 of the Penal Code. I believe that under the authority of the section mentioned, the Police Department can correct many of the conditions which led to the enactment of the ordinance.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Regulating the Sale of Contraceptives.

(Code No. 17.19)

Bill No. 609, Ordinance No. 17.191, as follows:

An ordinance regulating the possession, sale and distribution of contraceptives and prophylactic rubber goods and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall hereafter be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation or association to vend, sell or offer for sale, or distribute at retail or to the public, in any manner whatsoever any article, drug, medicinal preparation, or any prophylactic rubber goods primarily manufactured, produced or intended for use as or which may be used as a contraceptive, within the City and County of San Francisco, except regularly licensed practitioners of medicine, in their practice, and/or in regular drug stores employing a registered pharmacist. It shall be unlawful to vend, sell or distribute any such articles, drugs or medicines on the streets or elsewhere within the City and County of San Francisco, by means of machines, or by peddling, canvassing or soliciting from house to house, or otherwise except as hereinbefore provided.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful to advertise the sale of same on any placard, billboards, handbills, newspapers, periodicals or other printed or painted matter, printed and distributed in this City and County.

Section 3. Any person, whether principal or agent, who violates the terms of this ordinance, or who has in his possession or on his premises, any vending or slot machine or the like containing contraceptives, or such prophylactic rubber goods, shall be punished by a fine not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500), or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period not exceeding six (6) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment, and each such person, firm, corporation, society, association or organization, not being a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, or regularly in the employ of a drug store having regularly and duly connected therewith, a pharmacist duly registered under the laws of the State of California, shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each such day during which any vending or slot machine containing contraceptives shall be maintained in his or its possession or on his or its premises. In cases of sales by machines, the owner of the machine, as well as the owner and occupier of the premises where the machine is located, shall be deemed a violator thereof.

Ordered filed.

**Leave of Absence, Hon. William P. McCabe, Member of the
Civil Service Commission.**

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 11, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Hon. William P. McCabe, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of three weeks, commencing September 30th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1560, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor

the Mayor, Hon. William P. McCabe, member of the Civil Service Commission, be and is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing September 30, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Leave of Absence—Hon. William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 6, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Chief William J. Quinn, of the San Francisco Police Department, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing September 15th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1561, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days commencing September 15, 1934, with permission to leave the State, for the purpose of attending a convention of the International Chiefs of Police at Washington, D. C.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Garbage Disposal.

Communication from the Island Transportation Company, Benj. Walters, notifying the Board of the company's intention to submit an offer to dispose of garbage by fill and cover, outside the corporate limits of the City and County of San Francisco, should request for such bids be made, was read by the Clerk.

Referred to the Health Committee.

Election of Public Defender.

The following resolution was presented by the City Attorney and *referred to the Judiciary Committee*:

(Code No. 6.07)

Resolution No. 1562, as follows:

Resolved, That a special election be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 6, 1934, and the same is hereby called for said date for the purpose of electing a qualified person to the office of Public Defender of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 5 of the Charter of the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to issue the necessary proclamation and notice of said election and to consolidate said special election, as provided by law, with the general State election to be held on the said 6th day of November, 1934.

Mayor and Clerk of Board of Supervisors to Execute Supplemental Agreements to Loan Agreement Between City and County and Federal Government Providing for Labor Preferences in San Francisco Water Department Project.

(Code No. 15.021)

The following resolution was presented by the City Attorney and referred to Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1563, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of the National Recovery Act of the United States of America, Section (d), Paragraph 1, Part Three of the Loan Agreement bearing date of May 19, 1934, and entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, and dealing with the purchase of bonds issued by the City and County of San Francisco for certain improvements to its present water works system and the allowance to said City and County of a grant of thirty (30) per cent of the labor and material employed on said improvements, all pursuant to the application of said City and County, which said application is designated as P. W. A. Docket No. 1510, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(d) *Labor Preferences.* Preference shall be given, where they are qualified, to ex-service men with dependents, and then in the following order:

"(1) To citizens of the United States and aliens who have declared their intention of becoming citizens, who are bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco and/or Alameda and/or San Mateo Counties, California.

"(2) To citizens of the United States and aliens who have declared their intention of becoming citizens, who are bona fide residents of the State of California, provided, that these preferences shall apply only where such labor is available and qualified to perform the work to which the employment relates.

"These provisions shall not be deemed to prevent the insertion, by the Borrower, in specifications and/or any such construction contract for work on the Project of any applicable provisions of the Public Works Alien Employment Act of 1931 (Deering's General Laws, 1931, Act 6430), in so far as the same are not inconsistent with the provisions of this Agreement and with Title II of the National Industrial Recovery Act."

Be It Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and they are, hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, such supplemental or additional agreements as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this resolution.

Charter Amendments.

The following proposed amendments to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco were presented by Supervisor Colman at the request of the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, and referred to the Judiciary Committee:

Proposed Amendment to Section 35 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco. Chief of Police.

(To be inserted immediately before the last sentence of Paragraph 2 of said section and following the clause, "* * * the commission shall appoint a Chief of Police who shall hold office at its pleasure.")

Provided that he shall not be removed from his office until the Police Commission shall notify him of its intention to remove him. Said notification must be in writing and the Secretary of said Police Commission shall cause to be delivered to said Chief of Police, or

mailed to him by registered mail at his last known place of address a copy of said notice. Thereupon the Chief of Police may request a public hearing by filing with the Secretary of said Commission within twenty-four hours after the delivery to him of said notification, or, in the event that said notification was made by mail, then within forty-eight hours after the mailing thereof, a written request therefor. When the Chief of Police so requests a public hearing, the said Police Commission shall prepare written charges setting forth the grounds upon which it deems it advisable to remove said Chief of Police and file the same with its Secretary. Upon such charges being filed, the Secretary of said Police Commission shall fix a time and place whereat the said Commission shall publicly consider the grounds and reasons for the removal of said Chief of Police, which said time shall be at least ten days after the filing of said charges. Immediately upon the filing of the same, the Secretary of the Commission shall cause to be delivered to said Chief of Police, or mailed to him by registered mail at his last known place of address, a copy of said charges together with a notice as to the time and place at which the Commission will publicly consider the grounds and reasons for the removal of said Chief of Police. Said Chief of Police may file a reply to said charges at any time before the hearing on the same is had and on said hearing he shall be entitled to be heard and to be represented by counsel and to present such evidence pertinent to the inquiry as he may desire. In not less than five nor more than seven days after the conclusion of said hearing, the Commission shall render its decision as to whether said Chief of Police shall be removed and if in the judgment of the Commission it will be for the best interests of the Police Department, said Commission may make an order dismissing said Chief of Police. The decision of the Commission rendered after said hearing shall be final and the same shall not be subject to review by any court or by any other board or commission. Pending the final determination as to whether said Chief of Police should be removed, the Police Commission may make an order suspending him from his position.

*Proposed Amendment to Section 36 of the Charter, Chief Engineer,
San Francisco Fire Department.*

Strike out the second paragraph in Section 36 and in lieu thereof insert the following:

"The Fire Commission shall appoint a Chief Engineer from the officers serving in a Fire Department at the time of said appointment. Said Chief Engineer shall hold his office at the pleasure of the Fire Commission, provided that he shall not be removed from his said office until the Fire Commission shall file with its Secretary a written statement of its intention to remove said Chief Engineer, setting forth the grounds and reason for such removal. Upon such statement being filed the Secretary of the said Fire Commission shall fix a time and place whereat the said Commission shall publicly consider the grounds and reasons for the removal of said Chief Engineer, which said time shall be at least ten days after the filing of such statement. Immediately upon such statement being filed and said time and place for the hearing on the same being fixed, the Secretary of the Commission shall cause to be delivered to said Chief Engineer, or mailed to him by registered mail at his last known place of address, a copy of said statement together with a notice as to the time and place at which the Commission will publicly consider the grounds and reasons for the removal of said Chief Engineer. Said Chief Engineer may file a reply to said statement at any time before said inquiry occurs. At the inquiry he shall be entitled to be heard and to be represented by counsel and to present such evidence pertinent thereto as he may desire. In not less than five nor more than seven days after the conclusion of said inquiry, the Commission shall render its decision as to whether said Chief Engineer shall be removed and may, according to its judgment, make an order dismissing said Chief Engineer

from his position and he shall thereupon be returned to the position in said Fire Department to which he is entitled by reason of his Civil Service standing, unless charges be filed against him pursuant to Section 155 of this Charter. The said decision of the Commission shall be final and the same shall not be subject to review by any court or by any other board or commission. Pending the final determination as to whether said Chief Engineer shall be removed, the Fire Commission may make an order suspending him from his position.

"The Fire Commission shall also appoint a Secretary and a Department Physician, both of whom shall hold their respective offices at its pleasure."

Interurban Terminal.

Supervisor Gallagher read news item and editorial from the San Francisco News, re plans for interurban terminal and transportation facilities necessary upon completion of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, and in connection therewith moved that the Mayor and the Public Utilities Commission be asked to advise the Board as to what steps, if any, they have taken to protect San Francisco's interest in the future in the matter of the location of the terminal proposed, and what steps, if any, they have taken relative to rapid and amplified transportation incident to the completion of the bridge.

So ordered.

Conference to Devise Ways and Means for Giving Preference to Local Labor and Industry.

(Code No. 5.49)

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following:

Resolution No. 1564, as follows:

Whereas, there is need of constant watchfulness in the matter of expenditure of public moneys under the auspices of, or on behalf of, San Francisco, to the end that local mechanics be employed and that in so far as it is possible, local industries be favored in the materials used; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately begin the organization of a Conference, Committee or Body of representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, the Down Town Association, Central Council of Civic Clubs, Organized Labor, Cabinet Manufacturers, Lumbermen's Association, Metal Trades Association, Contractors' Association, and such others as are deemed advisable, whose duty it will be to, under the authority of the Board of Supervisors and with the cooperation of said body, call attention to any evidence of failure, or to be cognizant of the duty of all departments to carry out the expressed wish of the people of San Francisco, his Honor the Mayor, and this Board of Supervisors that, in so far as possible, the benefits accruing from all expenditure of public moneys within our control shall be spread among local labor and industries, so far as possible within the law; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are also instructed to ascertain under what procedure any principal city of California, such as Oakland, Sacramento, Los Angeles, is able to obtain for its local industry and labor the benefits of the large share of its expenditures; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are empowered to solicit from merchants and others in the community their cooperation in purchase of locally-manufactured commodities and employment of San Francisco citizens.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Mayor to Appoint Committee, Tenth Annual California Flower Festival, San Francisco Day, Oakland, September 16, 1934.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1566, as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is the capital of the flower industry of the Bay region, which has a product that annually nets this section in excess of \$20,000,000; and

Whereas, more than 1500 cars of cut flowers are shipped each year to eastern and other points advertising the Bay region as the garden spot of the world, and at the same time giving thousands of our citizens remunerative employment; and

Whereas, the flower growing industry is one of the most spectacular in the State, producing among other things roses that are of such excellent quality that only roses of San Mateo and Alameda counties are found in the best floral shops of Los Angeles; and

Whereas, there is a movement on foot among various local civic organizations to further promote the individual growing of garden flowers for the beautification of the Bay region as an added tourist attraction; and

Whereas, the tenth annual California Flower Festival, bringing together exhibitors from Santa Barbara on the south to the Oregon line on the north, opens Friday night with an informal preview in the Exposition Building in Oakland, has set aside the most important day of this show, Sunday, September 16th, as "San Francisco Day"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors take cognizance of this tribute to San Francisco and authorize the Mayor to appoint a committee of outstanding citizens and officials to represent this City on that occasion at the tenth annual California Flower Festival.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Communication from California State Chamber of Commerce.

Clerk read communication from California State Chamber of Commerce, re consideration of plan proposed by the Automobile Club of Southern California for turning all county roads over to the State, and asking that County Boards of Supervisors be represented at meeting to be held at the William Taylor Hotel, San Francisco, September 14, at 10 a. m. By motion duly made and carried, President McSheehy appointed Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Roncovieri, Colman and Shannon to represent the Board at above mentioned meeting.

City and County Representation at Special Session of Legislature.

On motion duly made and carried, President McSheehy appointed Supervisor Gallagher, in addition to the Assessor, Controller, City Attorney and Supervisors Ratto, Havenner and himself, originally appointed to Special Committee on Taxation, to represent the City and County at the special session of the State Legislature.

Shipbuilding on the Pacific Coast.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the Commercial Development Committee look into the results of the efforts of the Coast shipyards to secure from the United States Government awards for the building of ships incidental to a larger navy building program, and to report the facts relative to the contention that our yards did not

qualify either by failure to provide for the proper bonds or their inability to raise the bonds.

So ordered.

Report on Relief in San Francisco.

Supervisor Hayden read the following communication from John M. Kennedy, representative of North American Newspaper Syndicate, and requested that same be made part of the record.

The Welfare Council of New York

122 East 22nd Street, N. Y.

August 28, 1934.

Mr. C. M. Wollenberg,
51 Gough Street,
San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Wollenberg: It is said that "Virtue is its own reward," therefore, by virtue of what I saw in your relief set-up in San Francisco I felt that it warranted some recognition by an outsider who has shared in its hospitalities.

On two separate occasions I have been assigned to make a survey of the set-up for relief all over the country. Being merely a newspaperman and not a sociologist, I wrote honestly about my findings. Case work methods and other sociological stumbling blocks did not interest me. My primary interest was what was being done for those in need; how they were fed, housed and clothed.

My first assignment was for a period of ten months, in which period I visited every State, every city, and practically every village in the country. Traveling as a hobo on freight trains and on the highway the situation in general made me feel that some drastic move was pending. The men were hungry, their temper short; there was vehement talk of revolution; of *taking* things instead of asking for them.

Then I came to San Francisco. Naturally, as thousands of others did, I claimed to be a resident.

I was dirty, demoralized, crummy and hungry. When I checked into my shelter I was interviewed by a kindly, sympathetic man.

When he had taken my case history and I was on my way out he ran after me. Catching up with me outside the door, he tapped me on the shoulder.

"Are you hungry?"

"Yes," I replied, truthfully.

"Wait a minute, then."

He went back into the building and returned in a few moments with a package which he thrust into my hands with a "That will hold you down until supper time." When I opened it I found it to contain two sandwiches, an apple and an orange.

I could never describe my feelings at that moment. I have said that I was hungry. I was, for the conditions of my assignment stated that "When in Rome do as the Romans do."

When I checked into the shelter my clothes were fumigated and pressed; there were facilities for bathing and for washing my linen. Lastly, and most important, I was fed. The recreational facilities occupied my time in the evening and when I went to bed there was no sensation of it crawling under me. I slept.

In a few days I felt rested. My morale had been raised 100 per cent. I felt that there was a God after all.

But that was San Francisco. At no other place in the country did they even approach the standard set by you.

Most towns, and I know that there are thousands of men who will verify this statement, did nothing beyond providing polluted food and a foul, lice-ridden bed. Their policy appeared to be to humiliate and demoralize the men to ensure that they would not return. And it is on record that certain agencies cooperated with the police to the extent of informing them when the men had overstayed their welcome. The men, in such places, were put in jail. And, in those States that had road programs, were a welcome addition to the working forces.

And the "certain agencies" to which I refer are private agencies, agencies whom the world thinks are the ideal persons to handle our social problems. And today there are men, thousands and thousands of them, who revile them in no uncertain terms and whose sole idea in life is to create unfavorable publicity for them wherever they go. So do I—I who am supposed to be the possessor of a modicum of grey matter. I, too, revile them for their inhumanity and grasping methods. Ye see, I also have partaken of their "charity."

But that was not so of San Francisco. You set a standard that, in my wanderings, has not been equaled. You, and your entire set-up, displayed a consideration, a humaneness, an understanding, that was understood and appreciated by every man with whom I came in contact.

During this second assignment, which dealt mostly with the Federal Transient Bureaus, I still believe you set the pace. Despite the outpouring of millions of dollars of Federal funds, they are still in the embryonic stage. To be sure, they are trying—but San Francisco will always remain the national standard in the dispensation of relief from which the taint of "charity" has been removed.

An atmosphere was created for me in your city, an atmosphere of kindness and consideration. Now several factions have approached me to write a book about my findings. This I am going to do, and I am coming to San Francisco to do it.

Between and after those assignments I have gained some experience and background in sociology, doing publicity work for the Welfare Council of New York City, and naturally have a somewhat different prospective of what is being done for the needy.

I expect to leave New York within the next few days and will be at the Hotel Larnie, 210 Ellis street.

Should there be any further information you would like about my recent experiences I would be delighted to give it. In return I ask only the courtesy of your supplying whatever statistics I may require when I compile my notes.

As this letter is entirely unsolicited you may put it to any use you think fit, the only requirement being that you inform me if it is used outside your own records.

Very truly yours,

JOHN M. KENNEDY,

Traveling Correspondent,

North American Newspaper Syndicate.

In Memoriam: Martin J. Tierney.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisors Shannon and McSheehy presented the following resolution:

Resolution No. 1565, as follows:

Whereas, the sudden death of Martin J. Tierney, Superintendent of Public Buildings of this City and County, has left with heavy hearts the family and host of friends who knew him and loved him; and

Whereas, Martin J. Tierney has been identified most prominently with the Democratic Party and served as chairman of the Democratic County Central Committee for over three years; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby accept with deep regret and profound sorrow the announcement of the death of Martin J. Tierney, Superintendent of Public Buildings of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That a Committee of Supervisors be appointed to attend the funeral services of the deceased official; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

Adopted by rising vote.

Exhibit at State and County Fairs.

Supervisor Ratto reported on the necessity of a San Francisco exhibit at the State Fair and the various County Fairs in the future, the cost of such exhibit to be about \$1,500. Clerk was directed to transmit to the Chief Administrative Officer transcript of Supervisor Ratto's remarks.

RECESS.

There being no further business, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., recessed to reconvene at 2 p. m., Friday, September 14, 1934.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 17, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Friday, September 14, 1934

Saturday, September 15, 1934

Monday, September 17, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1934, 9:30 A. M.

Pursuant to call of President McSheehy, and Supervisor Gallagher, Chairman of the Finance Committee, the members of the Board of Supervisors met in informal conference for the purpose of discussing the decision of the State Supreme Court that the San Francisco Budget for 1934-35 exceeded the 5 per cent limitation allowed by the Riley Stewart Act.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.
Supervisor McSheehy presided.

Application to State Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Havenner suggested that a Committee be authorized to make an application to the State Board of Equalization for the approval of the excess amount in the Budget over the statutory limitation of 5 per cent and that if the Board of Equalization then indicates that the increase is excessive that this Board empower its representatives to outline to the Board of Equalization possible sources of reduction if compelled to make them, to-wit:

1. Capital expenditures.
2. Arbitrary reduction approved by statutory departments of the government.
3. Relief appropriations.
4. Last year's Budget was an emergency Budget—arbitrary reduction of salaries as fixed by Charter amendment.

Suggested Budget Cuts.

Supervisor Brown suggested reductions in the—

1. School Department.
2. Water Department surplus, \$1,000,000.
3. Retirement Fund (considered dangerous).
4. Amortization of bonds.
5. Postponement of capital expenditures.

Supervisor Colman moved to make the following cuts from the Budget:

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Live Stock Pavilion..... | \$250,000 |
| 2. Playground and Recreation program (1 year
postponement) | 199,000 |
| 3. Public Utilities Street Lighting (1 year post-
ponement) | 234,000 |
| 4. Palace of Fine Arts..... | 35,000 |
| | <hr/> |
| | \$718,000 |
| 5. Salaries reduction on basis of last year..... | \$700,000 |

Motion Segregated.

On motion, Supervisor Colman's motion was segregated and the roll was called with the following result:

(a) *Capital expenditures.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

(b) *Salaries deductions.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion as a whole and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that it be the sense of the Finance Committee and the members of the Board here assembled that when the Board of Supervisors meets at 2 p. m. today we will approve a petition to the State Board of Equalization to be prepared by the City Attorney looking to the sustaining of our Budget and tax rate as set up.

Motion *carried* unanimously.

Committee Appointed.

On motion duly made and *carried*, Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, City Attorney O'Toole and Controller Leavy were authorized to appear before the Board of Equalization in support of the City's petition.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the conference adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of September 11, 1934, for the purpose of finally passing the tax rate for the year 1934-1935.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Supervisors Gallagher and Havenner were excused from the meeting, having been appointed to represent the City before the Board of Equalization.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Peremptory Writ of Mandate.

The following was presented to the Board September 13, 1934:

S. F. No. 15244. In Bank. September 13, 1934.

THE HOLMES INVESTMENT COMPANY (a Corporation), Petitioner, v. THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, composed of ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR., JESSE C. COLMAN, ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, FRANK R. HAVENNER, J. EMMET HAYDEN, JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, JOHN M. RATTO, ALFRED B. RONCOVIERI, ADOLPH SCHMIDT, WARREN SHANNON and ADOLPH UHL, and JOHN S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk of said Board, Respondents.

Mandamus proceeding presented by a petition and general demurrer thereto. The issue involved is the proper scope to be accorded the word "expenditures" found in article XI, section 20, of the Constitution, this section being a part of the so-called Riley-Stewart tax plan voted into the Constitution and made effective June 27, 1933.

The proper construction of this word will disclose the ingredient items which shall go to make up the net sum or "base" upon which the permitted increase of revenues for a given year over the preceding year or years is to be calculated. Petitioner is a taxpayer and asserts that respondent board is now, by its proposed tax levy for the year 1934-1935, about to exceed the allowable sum by \$1,866,696. Petitioner, in reaching the above amount of excess, strips from the gross budget the items specially mentioned in said constitutional provision and also the following items: "Proceeds of 1932 relief bonds", "borrowings from the state loan relief fund", and all so-called subventions, consisting of such items received from the state as orphans' aid, widows' pensions, tuberculosis patients, one-half of cost of fireboats, pensions to the blind, old age pensions and gasoline and motor vehicle tax. The amount so arrived at is called the net base and it is then compared with the corresponding figures for the years 1932-1933 and 1933-1934. Thus comes into being the proper base for the calculation of the increase allowable according to petitioner. But it is further disclosed that the decrease in such base figure of the year 1933-1934, over the year 1932-1933, is in excess of the figure obtained by taking 5 per cent of the base figure of 1933-1934.

Petitioner allows the city the benefit of the larger of the two items and deduces the conclusion that the corresponding base figure for the year 1932-1933 becomes the limit of revenue allowable to the city and county for the year 1934-1935. This limit is less than the proposed limit set by respondents by the said sum of \$1,866,696. Respondents insist that not only should the proceeds of said relief bonds be an ingredient of said net base but also the sums borrowed from the state relief fund and the so-called subvention items as well.

The provision of the Constitution, paraphrased to show the provisions here pertinent, reads as follows (art. XI, sec. 20): "The expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued . . . shall not in any year exceed by more than five per centum the expenditures, other than expenditures to pay interest and redemption charges on bonds heretofore or hereafter issued . . . provided . . . that any county (etc.) . . . that decreases the amount of its expenditures in any year or years may increase, in any subsequent year or years, the amount of its expenditures by the amount, or any fraction thereof, so reduced, or by an amount not more than five per centum of the amount expended in the year immediately preceding . . . provided, however, that the limitations upon expenditures imposed or authorized by this section shall not apply to expenditures by or on behalf of publicly owned public utilities . . . or to expenditures arising out of any gift, bequest or donation. . . ."

We are unable to respect the limits imposed by the section and at the same time sustain the contentions of respondents. The clear intent of the provision is to limit the amount to be raised by taxation to a stipulated increase over the corresponding amount for the next preceding year. The section is a part of the deliberate plan to relieve real property from the excessive burden of taxation under which it was suffering, transfer it to the state, and to forbid any arbitrary or unregulated increase of it hereafter. The whole scheme would be of little consequence if this were not its purpose. The section in question expressly forbids a place in said base amount for such items as expenditures to pay interest, redemption charges on bonds, sums spent on publicly owned utilities and sums received from bequests, gifts or donations.

Now to allow the proceeds of any bond sale of whatsoever type to enter into said base would be to insert therein a false factor which would be pyramided from year to year thereafter. Nor could the proceeds of bonds of one class be included without including the proceeds of bonds, of all classes. The argument that the relief fund could have been raised by taxation and therefore should be allowed cannot be followed as this would necessarily include all other bonds for which the power of taxation might have been substituted. Besides the taxing power is being curbed, so why should it be allowed to feed upon a fund raised by a two-thirds vote of the people and unrelated to the question of taxation for current expenditures? Moreover, why exclude the levy for payment of bond interest and redemption charges and include in the base the proceeds of the bonds themselves? The bonds and their retirement are fixed items and should be expressly excluded from this plan for retrenchment.

It seems, generally speaking, that fixed obligations are excluded and obligations of varying amounts for current items are affected by the restrictions. The loan from the state and the subventions seem clearly to be excluded as gifts, bequests or donations. We can see no logical place for them as ingredient items of said base. These views are in substantial accord with the holding of the District Court of Appeal, Third District, opinion by Mr. Justice Plummer, in the case of *Crow v. Board of Supervisors*, 135 Cal. App. 451, 463, where it is said:

"Observing the first rule, the word 'expenditures' is broad enough to include every item of payment and every dollar of money paid out by the county, irrespective of the source from which the money is obtained. The proviso in the section under the third rule, excluding interest and redemption charges on bonds, would fall under the expression that the exclusion of one item of expenditure would include all other items of expenditure. Thus, the base established following this rule for determining the 5 per cent increase would include moneys received from the state derived from the gasoline tax, and apportioned to the respective counties according to the method provided by the legislature for use upon county roads. However, we think that both the general language used in the section and the rule relating to the proviso must give way to the enforcement of the intent or purpose sought to be accomplished, to-wit: The lessening of the burden of taxation upon the respective counties and its assumption by the state, and therefore, that the section relates only to moneys derived from county taxation, and not from gasoline money distributed by the state. Gasoline taxes are in no sense of the word county taxes."

We understand it to be conceded by both parties that the San Francisco School District is to be considered a separate entity within the operation of said section of the Constitution and there is no intent on the part of respondents to exceed the limitation imposed upon the school revenues. If hardships should arise from the enforcement of this restriction, the section provides a method of relief through the Board of Equalization or a vote of the people and, moreover, without further

legislation the restriction will expire June 30, 1935. The petition must, therefore, be sustained.

Let the peremptory writ issue as prayed.

PRESTON, J.

We concur:

CURTIS, J.

SEAWELL, J.

SPENCE, J. pro tem.

Petition to the State Board of Equalization.

A petition in the form of a resolution prepared by the City Attorney was presented for the consideration of the Board.

Motions.

Supervisor Shannon moved that all argument be deleted from the petition.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6 be deleted.

Motion *carried*.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the last paragraph, last line, be amended by striking out the figures \$1,866,696 and inserting in lieu thereof the figures \$1,000,000.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution in words and figures following was *adopted* by the following vote:

Petition to State Board of Equalization.

(Code No. —)

Resolution No. 1567, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco forthwith make application to the State Board of Equalization for permission to exceed during the current fiscal year the limitations on expenditures set forth in Section 20 of Article XI of the Constitution of the State of California for the support, operation and maintenance of the several departments thereof, and that a petition be filed with the said State Board of Equalization, which said petition shall be in the words and figures following, to-wit: and that said petition and this resolution be transmitted to the said State Board of Equalization by telegraph:

“San Francisco, California, September 14, 1934.

“State Board of Equalization of the State of California:

“The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully petitions your Honorable Board:

“1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is the tax levying authority and governing board of said City and County and as such applies to your Honorable Board for permission to exceed in the current year the limitation on expenditures set forth in Section 20, Article XI, of the Constitution of the State of California.

“2. That pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors of said City and County has adopted an annual budget providing for the necessary expenditures for the support and operation of the City and County of San Francisco for the current fiscal year, and has, pursuant to said Charter, adopted the annual appropriation ordinance appropriating to the several departments of the municipal government of said City and

County the several amounts budgeted to said departments to be expended during the said year.

"3. That the reasons for the delay in filing this application with your Honorable Board is that there has been litigation pending in the Supreme Court of the State of California to determine whether or not the proposed expenditures for the current fiscal year exceeded the expenditures of the years 1932-1933 or 1933-1934, and said Supreme Court only announced its decision on said matter on the 13th day of September, 1934.

"Wherefore, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco asks your Honorable Board for permission to increase its expenditures for the current year over the expenditures of the year 1932-1933, agreed upon by all parties as the base year, in the amount of \$1,866,696.

"Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"By JAMES B. MCSHEEHY, President."

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner—2.

Supervisors Brown and Colman requested to be recorded as voting aye under protest.

Employment of Non-Residents by NERA.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, Stanley W. Lundberg, World's War veteran, was heard in protest against discrimination by NERA against native-born applicants for employment relief.

Mrs. A. Kemp was heard on the same subject.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 9 a. m. Saturday, September 15, 1934, to consider report on petition to State Board of Equalization for permission to exceed 5 per cent limitation on tax rate.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1934, 9 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled, pursuant to recess of Friday, September 14, 1934, at 9 a. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 9:45.

President McSheehy presiding.

Report of Committee.

Supervisor Gallagher reported for the Committee that was appointed to appear before the State Board of Equalization in support of San Francisco's petition for permission to exceed the 5 per cent limitation of taxation set forth in the Riley Stewart Act, and the following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from State Board of Equalization.

"Sacramento, Sept. 14, 1934.

"The Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: Pursuant to the application duly filed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, under section 3714b of the Political Code, and good cause appearing, this Board has authorized said City and County to exceed the limitation upon its expenditures as imposed by Section 20 of Article XI of the Constitution, to the end that said City and County may be permitted to expend during the fiscal year 1934-35 a total sum of \$21,459,313, said authorization being granted subject to the following conditions:

"1. That the sums in excess of the limitation shall be spent \$1,097,-573 for unemployment relief, \$25,000 for the payment of interest on tax anticipation notes, and \$54,608 for the binding and replacement of books in the free public libraries.

"2. That the sums expended in excess of the limitation shall not be treated as an expenditure of 1934-35, but are allowed as an emergency expenditure.

"The Board has denied the application of the City and County of San Francisco for permission to expend the following sums in excess of the limitation: \$234,000 for street lighting construction; \$199,130 for recreation lands and improvements; \$250,000 for a livestock pavilion; and \$6,385 for miscellaneous purposes.

"So that he may be advised of the Board's action in the foregoing matter, a copy of this letter is being sent to your City and County Controller and Auditor.

"Very truly yours,

"FRANK M. KEESLING,

"Assistant Secretary."

Motions.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that a vote of thanks be extended to the Committee.

Motion carried.

Supervisor Hayden moved that a vote of thanks be extended to the State Board of Equalization.

Motion carried.

Order, State Board of Equalization, 1934-35 Expenditures.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1568, Code No. 9.051.

Whereas, the following order has been received by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco from the State Board of Equalization of the State of California:

"Sacramento, Sept. 14, 1934.

"The Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

San Francisco, California.

"Gentlemen: Pursuant to the application duly filed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, under section 3714b of the Political Code, and good cause appearing, this Board has authorized said City and County to exceed the limitation upon its expenditures as imposed by Section 20 of Article XI of the Constitution, to the end that said City and County may be permitted to expend during the fiscal year 1934-35 a total sum of \$21,459,313, said authorization being granted subject to the following conditions:

"1. That the sums in excess of the limitation shall be spent \$1,097,573 for unemployment relief, \$25,000 for the payment of interest on tax anticipation notes, and \$54,608 for the binding and replacement of books in the free public libraries.

"2. That the sums expended in excess of the limitation shall not be treated as an expenditure of 1934-35, but are allowed as an emergency expenditure.

"The Board has denied the application of the City and County of San Francisco for permission to expend the following sums in excess of the limitation: \$234,000 for street lighting construction; \$199,130 for recreation lands and improvements; \$250,000 for a livestock pavilion; and \$6,385 for miscellaneous purposes.

"So that he may be advised of the Board's action in the foregoing matter, a copy of this letter is being sent to your City and County Controller and Auditor.

"Very truly yours,

"FRANK M. KEESLING,

"Assistant Secretary."

Resolved, That the Controller is hereby authorized and directed to carry out the Order of the State Board of Equalization and in accordance with the provisions of Bill No. 572, Ordinance No. 9.051129 (Code No. 9.051), Annual Appropriation Ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco, California, for the fiscal year 1934-35.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend by inserting the words: "except in so far as said order relates to the appropriation of \$250,000 for the benefit of Agricultural District No. 1 A and which is hereby included in the appropriation."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Coleman, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as presented was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Tax Rate Ordinance, 1934-35.

(Code No. 9.032)

Bill No. 625, Ordinance No. 9.0325, as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes and for the support and maintenance of the common schools of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935. An emergency ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, and for the support and maintenance of the com-

mon schools of said City and County, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1935, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and eight hundred sixty-three thousand six hundred twenty-two millionths (\$3.863622) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and eight hundred sixty-three thousand six hundred twenty-two millionths (\$3.863622) dollars on each one hundred dollars' valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

(a) For the General Fund to meet the general expenses of the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with Section 78 of the Charter (limit \$1.65) the rate of.....		\$1.227573
Emergency Reserve022054
County Road000015
Unemployment Relief Loan State of California.....		.014703
Unemployment Relief Loan Interest State of California..		.006616
Relief of indigent sick and dependent poor.....		.252559
		<hr/>
		\$1.523520
(b) For the General Fund, to meet the expenses of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to the limitations of Section 78 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, Civil Service, the constructing, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, obligations imposed by State, legislative or constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by vote of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of467638
For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving libraries, the rate of.....		.049217
For the Park Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving parks, the rate of.....		.102964
For the Recreation Fund, to meet the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving playgrounds, the rate of.....		.068826
For maintenance and operation of War Memorial.....		.008785
For M. H. De Young Memorial Museum Fund.....		.008452
For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund.....		.009318
For Retirement System for City employees.....		.199551
For the payment of interest on and the amount due for the redemption of the several outstanding bond issues of the City and County.....		.968989
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco, pursuant to writs of mandate served upon the members of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit: One-tenth of the amount of each of the judgments referred to in the writs of mandate issued in the proceedings in the Supreme Court of the State of California013564
For special tax levied for publicity and advertising pursuant to the Political Code of the State, the rate of.....		.020417
For Special Election Fund, Section 181 of the Charter.....		.003193
For interest on Tax Anticipation Notes.....		.003676
For Workmen's Compensation Fund005578
		<hr/>
Total for Municipal Purposes		\$3.453688

COMPULSORY SCHOOL TAX.

For the cost of constructing, maintaining and improving schools:

For Junior College Fund (County)	\$.012478
For Special School District Building Fund.....	.053561
For Special School District Fund194431
For Special High School District Building Fund.....	.021926
For Special High School District Fund.....	.127538

Total School Tax\$.409934

Total Tax Rate\$3.863622

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare, by the vote taken on the enactment of this ordinance as hereinafter set forth, that this ordinance is an emergency measure and an emergency necessitating the immediate enactment of this ordinance does now exist in this, that no valid ordinance has been enacted by its Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and approved by the Mayor, levying the necessary tax for the fiscal year 1934-1935, in conformity with the provisions of Section 78 of the Charter, and that pursuant to said section an ordinance levying said tax must be enacted on or before the 15th day of September, 1934; that unless said ordinance is passed as an emergency measure the same cannot be enacted on or before said date, and that the immediate enactment of said ordinance is necessary for the uninterrupted operation of all of the departments of the government of the City and County, and also in order to comply with the time limitation provided in said Charter for levying of the necessary tax for the fiscal year 1934-1935. That by reason of said emergency as herein declared the reference to committee of this ordinance, and votes thereon at separate meetings of the Board, and publication thereof before enactment, is hereby waived.

This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon its approval.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved, seconded by Supervisor Havenner, that Controller be requested to furnish the Board of Supervisors with a "break down" of the tax levy showing what items are mandatory by reason of State law, Charter provision or vote of the people and which the Board of Supervisors are obliged to insert; together with a statement of the items which are permissive and for which the Board of Supervisors are solely responsible.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 10:45 P. M. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 17, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of September 11, 1934, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.**Sale of \$3,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.**

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0334, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale tax anticipation notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 17th day of September, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934;

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys-at-law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0334; the fee therefor to be \$1,000.

Bids.

Pursuant to the foregoing the following bids were presented, opened and read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

1. Holbrook, Mitchell & Richardson, Inc. "Our bid on the City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation Notes, totaling \$3,000,000, is at the rate of 0.64 interest, plus a premium of \$11."

2. E. O. Huttlinger Co. For all, but not any part less than all of \$3,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, to be dated as of day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934, bearing interest at the rate of 60/100 per cent per annum, we bid you the sum of \$3,000,025.

3. Bankamerica Company. For the \$3,000,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco; said notes to be dated as of day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934; said notes to bear interest at the rate of eighty-five one hundredths of one per cent per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

Acceptance of Bid.

Subsequently during the proceedings the following resolution was presented with the recommendation of the Finance Committee and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Accepting the Bid of E. O. Huttlinger Co. for \$3,000,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1575, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0334, that sealed proposals for the purchase of three million (\$3,000,000) dollars Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of three o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 17th day of September, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of E. O. Huttlinger Co., by E. O. Huttlinger, president, as follows, be and is hereby accepted:

"September 17, 1934.

"Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

"Dear Sir: For all, but not any part less than all, of three million dollars (\$3,000,000) par value, legally issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0334 Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each, to be dated as of day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934, bearing interest at the rate of 60/100 per cent per annum (sixty one hundredths per cent per annum) we bid you the sum of \$3,000,025 (three million and twenty-five dollars).

"All said notes to be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-1935 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1934-1935, in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-1935 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

"In accordance with your advertisement and the terms of our bid,

we hand you herewith cashier's check of the Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Company of this city in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, same to be applied against the purchase price in case we are awarded the notes. In the event we are not successful, kindly return the check to us promptly.

"If we are the successful bidders, we agree to pay for the notes as soon as they, together with a satisfactory approving opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist as to the legality of these notes, are ready for delivery.

"Respectfully submitted, E. O. Huttlinger Co., E. O. Huttlinger, president."

Further Resolved, That all other bids for said notes be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Consideration of matter of report of Public Welfare Committee on selection of site in San Francisco for proposed World's Fair and recommendation that same be placed on ballot for vote of the people. Board to sit as Committee of the Whole pursuant to motion of Supervisor Gallagher.

Relative to Selection of a Site for the Proposed World's Fair on the Mainland of San Francisco.

The following motion of Supervisor Gallagher was taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher moved that further discussion be held on Monday, September 17th, at 4 P. M., at which time the Board would go into a Committee of the Whole and discuss the report of the Joint Committee of Finance and Welfare relative to the site for the proposed World's Fair Exposition and the expenditures of moneys for publicity and advertising by the Citizens' Committee.

Privilege of the Floor.

Leland Cutler, President of the Citizens' Committee on the proposed World's Fair, was granted the privilege of the floor and he said, "most respectfully and earnestly urge that nothing be done in the matter of placing on the ballot anything about the Exposition. It may very well be that the proper site for the Exposition will be selected after the money has been raised."

George Gerhardt, Secretary of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs, urged selection of a site on the San Francisco mainland within range of the 5-cent fare.

H. G. Douglass, representing Lake Merced Exposition Association, urged selection of a site on the San Francisco mainland.

Fitzgerald Ames, Vice-President of the Lake Merced Exposition Association, urged selection of a site on the mainland.

Violet Fowler, representing Marina Home Owners Association; Susan Valegra, President of the Bay View Civic Association; Peter Catanich, representing Upper Sunset District; Alvin Maass, representing South Basin Exposition Association; M. L. Lennett, representing the Western Addition; Douglass Kline, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs; Mrs. H. G. Douglass, representing Ingleside Boosters' Club, were heard in favor of a selection of a site on the San Francisco Peninsula within range of a five-cent car fare.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the following declaration of policy be placed on the ballot at the forthcoming general election:

Resolved, That the proposed World's Exposition celebrating the com-

pletion of the Bay bridges in 1938 be held on the San Francisco mainland.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order that the motion was out of order, and referred to section 179 of the Charter relative to policy.

Chair declared point of order well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Brown, being called upon, proceeded to put the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board?"

President McSheehy addressed the Board in defense of his decision.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his point of order.

Supervisor Havenner proceeded to explain his interpretation of the Charter provision on the question policy.

Chairman Brown ruled Supervisor Havenner out of order and not entitled to be heard on the appeal.

Supervisor Havenner appealed from the decision of the Chair and, Supervisor Hayden being asked to put the question, the roll was called and the acting Chairman (Brown) was sustained by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor Brown.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further action on the above matters, Supervisor Gallagher's appeal and his resolution, were deferred until Friday's meeting of the Board of Supervisors when Charter amendments will be up for consideration and the City Attorney's opinion can be had.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$1,360.11 From Emergency Reserve to the Credit of Tax Collector's Contractual Service Appropriation.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 621, Ordinance No. 9.051146, as follows:

Appropriating \$1,360.11 from the Emergency Reserve (Appropriation No. 2.900) to the credit of Tax Collector's Contractual Service appropriation for the differential caused by increased rate for the publication of the Delinquent Tax List.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$1,360.11 is set aside and appropriated from the Emergency Reserve (Appropriation No. 2.900) to the credit of the Tax Collector's Contractual Service appropriation for the differential caused by increased rate for the publication of the Delinquent Tax List.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter, referred to the Board by Finance Committee without recommendation, was taken up and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

National Recreation Conference.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 622, Ordinance No. 9.051147, as follows:

Authorizing the Recreation Commission to send representatives to the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., from October 1 to 5, 1934, and providing for the payment of the expenses of such representatives.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Recreation Commission, Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Playgrounds, and Hester Proctor, Playground Director, are hereby authorized to attend the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., on October 1 to 5, 1934, for the purpose of representing the San Francisco Recreation Commission at said conference and their expenses incident to traveling to the city of Washington and returning to San Francisco, as well as their expenses incident to attending said conference, are hereby authorized in an amount not to exceed the sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars, the same to be paid by the Controller in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0562, and said sum is hereby made and charged against Appropriation No. 13.200, 1934-1935 Appropriation Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Intention to Close a Portion of Mississippi Street.

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1551, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Mississippi street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Mississippi street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Mississippi street, distant northerly thereon 72.00 feet from the northerly line of Army street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Mississippi street a distance of 72.00 feet to the northerly line of Army street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Army street produced westerly a distance of 18.00 feet to a point; thence in a northerly direction 74.216 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi

street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Acceptance of Deeds, Lands Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1569, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00;

Emma Anderson, Lot 4, in Assessor's Block 2107.....	\$ 700
M. A. Gale et al., Lot 27, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	700
Louis W. Cohn et ux., Lot 28, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	700
Margaret Jones, Lots 49 and 50, in Assessor's Block 2109.....	1,400
Belle Goldberg et al., Lot 31, in Assessor's Block 2109.....	600
Thos. F. Loftus et ux., Lot 16, in Assessor's Block 2107.....	1,300
Amanda W. Wiren, Lots 32 and 33, in Assessor's Block 2110 and Lots 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	8,200
Carl S. Jordan, Lot 19, in Assessor's Block 2109.....	650
Chas. H. Harger, Lot 33, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	700
Mary Rollins, Lot 5, in Assessor's Block 2107.....	3,500
Benjamin Babow, Lots 1, 2 and 51, in Assessor's Block 2109....	2,825
Blanche Worth, Lot 21, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	1,300
Eighth Church of Christ Scientist, Lot 18, in Assessor's Block 2109	650
Otto E. Falch, Jr., Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2107.....	1,400
Joe Bernard and Annie Bernard, Lot 23, in Assessor's Block 2110	650
Zelda Owen, Lot 5A, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	1,400
Waterhouse-Lester-Scovel Co., Lot 2, in Assessor's Block 2110....	300

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Acceptance of Deeds, Lands Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1570, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots required for the Sunset Reservoir, San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

Eldridge Buckingham, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 2108.....	\$ 700
Carl H. Hankey and Adele A. Hankey, Lot 21, Assessor's Block 2107	1,910

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Acceptance of Deeds, Lands Required for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1571, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named owners to certain lots in San Francisco required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite

their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

Amy Ryan, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 2107.....	\$ 700
Charles L. Harney, Lots 28 and 29, Assessor's Block 2109.....	1,200
Charles L. Harney, Lots 8, 9 and 10, Assessor's Block 2109....	2,100
Charles L. Harney, Lot 48, Assessor's Block 2109.....	1,475
Charles L. Harney, Lots 1 and 34, Assessor's Block 2108.....	4,010
John R. Mitchell, Lot 3, Assessor's Block 2144.....	750
Eugene L. Stockwell, Lot 25, Assessor's Block 2109.....	250

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence—Hon. Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 14, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of two weeks, commencing September 24th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1572, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing September 24, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence—Honorable Harry K. Wolff.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 15, 1934.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Hon. Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of three weeks, commencing September 30th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1573, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Harry K. Wolff, member of the Civil Service Commission, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of twenty-

one days, commencing September 30, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Leave of Absence—District Attorney Matthew Brady.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 13, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing September 24th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1574, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 24, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following was presented and passed for second reading:

Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance.

(Code 9.053)

Bill No. 626, Ordinance No. 9.05364, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05360 by adding items 88 to 97, inclusive, by adding item 10½ to Section 78 of the said ordinance, adding Section 84 thereto, and amending Section 85 of the said ordinance by increasing the number of positions under item 184 thereof from 3 to 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as follows:

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Employments predicated on revenue and bond issue moneys.

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predicated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions, but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B312	Blue Printer	\$ 215
40	1	B314	Photostat Operator	225
41	1	B316	Photographer	265
42	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	225
43	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
44	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
50	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
52	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
53	1	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
54	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	225
56	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	250
57	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
58	2	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250
59	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
59½	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
60½	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
62	5	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
64	3	F610	Surveyor	250
66	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
67	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist.....	400
68		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
69		B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
70		F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
71		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
72		F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
73		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
74		F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	275
75		F654	Traffic Checker	175
76		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
77		F106	Architectural Designer	250
78		F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
79		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
80		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	250
81		F362	Electrical Engineer	300
82		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
83		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
84		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
85		F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
86		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
87		F558	Structural Engineer	250
88		B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
89		C152	Watchman	145
90	J 4		Laborer	6.00
91	J 6		Water Pipe Welder.....	7.50
92	J 10		Labor Sub-Foreman	6.50
93	J 12		Labor Foreman	7.00
94	M252		Machinist's Helper	7.08
95	M254		Machinist	9.00
96	M256		Mechanical Inspector	225
97	O152		Engineer Hoisting & Portable Engineer	10.00

Explanatory.

Items 88 to 97 added to established classification to be used as needed in connection with P. W. A. bond projects.

Section 2. Section 78 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as follows:

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

**TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL
EMPLOYMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic at \$8.73 per day.....	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Blacksmith at \$1.091 per hour.....	
4			Blacksmith Helper at \$0.818 per hour..	
5			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
6			Boilermaker's Helper at 75c per hour..	
7			Boatman at \$5.50 per day.....	
8			Brakeman at \$6 per day.....	
9			Campman	120
10			Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
10½			Carpenter Foreman at \$10. per day....	
11			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
12			Cement Gun Operator at \$6 per day...	
13			Chuckman at \$5.25 per day.....	
14			Clerk	175
15			Clerk, General	190
16			Compressorman at \$5 per day.....	
17			Compressorman at \$7.67 per day.....	
18			Concreteman at \$5 per day.....	
19			Cook (Camp)	167.50
20			Cook's Helper	137.50
21			Dishwasher	112.50
22			Drill Doctor at \$5.75 per day.....	
23			Driver at \$8.80 per day.....	
24			Driver at \$10.80 per day.....	
25			Driver at \$6 per day.....	
26			Driver at \$6.50 per day.....	
27			Driver at \$7 per day.....	
28			Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Driver at \$8 per day.....	
30			Driver at \$9.20 per day.....	
31			Driver at \$10 per day.....	
32			Electrical Foreman	250
34			Engineer, Assistant	287.50
35			Field Assistant	175
36			Field Assistant	150
37			Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
38			Foreman at \$6.50 per day.....	
39			Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
40			Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
41			Groundman at \$6 per day.....	
42			Gunite Operator at \$6 per day.....	
43			Helper at \$4.50 per day.....	
44			Helper at \$4.75 per day.....	
45			Helper at \$5 per day.....	
46			Helper at \$5.50 per day.....	
47			Helper at \$6 per day.....	
48			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
49			Holisting Engineer at \$9 per day.....	
50			Hostler at \$6 per day.....	
51			Housesmith at \$9 per day.....	
52			Housesmith Foreman at \$10 per day...	
53			Housewireman at \$9 per day.....	

54	Inspector	162.50
55	Inspector	200
56	Instrumentman	125
57	Instrumentman	187.50
58	Jackhammerman at \$5 per day.....	
59	Lampman	150
60	Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
61	Lineman Apprentice at \$7.73 per day...	
62	Machineman at \$5.75 per day.....	
63	Machinist at \$8.73 per day.....	
64	Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
65	Mucker Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
66	Mucker, Top, at \$4 per day.....	
67	Nipper at \$5.25 per day.....	
68	Nozzleman at \$6.50 per day.....	
69	Operator's Helper	175
70	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
71	Pipeman at \$5.50 per day.....	
72	Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
73	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
74	Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
75	Pumpman at \$5.75 per day.....	
76	Rigger at \$6 per day.....	
77	Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
78	Shift Boss at \$7.25 per day.....	
79	Skiptender at \$5.75 per day.....	
80	Station Tender at \$5.25 per day.....	
81	Station Tender—Pumpman at \$5.75 per day	
82	Steamfitter at \$10 per day.....	
83	Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day..	
84	Steam Shovel Engineer.....	240
85	Steam Shovel Fireman at \$7 per day...	
86	Steam Shovel Fireman.....	165
87	Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
88	Steam Shovel Oiler	150
89	Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day.	
90	Steam Shovel Watchman.....	165
91	Timekeeper	175
92	Top Foreman at \$6.25 per day.....	
93	Tool Sharpener at \$6 per day.....	
94	Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5 per day...	
95	Tunnel Foreman	275
96	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant.....	240
97	Waiter	107.50
98	Waiter, Head	117.50
99	Watchman	
100	Water Workers at \$5 per day.....	
101	Welder at \$1.091 per hour.....	
102	Welder Helper at 75c per hour.....	

Section 3. Section 84 is hereby added to Ordinance 9.05360 to read as follows:

Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Based on Res. No. 2166, May 21, 1934, and Res. No. 53-a of July 24, 1934.

Item No.	Department Title	Net 1934-1935
1	Superintendent of Schools.....	\$8950.00
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent.....	5475.00
3	Deputy Superintendents	4927.50
4	Directors "A" (277 days' service).....	4380.00
5	Directors "B" (241 days' service).....	4106.25
6	Research Assistant in School Law.....net	3000.00
7	Assistants to Director of Physical Education.....	3083.44
8	Supervisors Class "A-A" (277 days).....	3878.12
9	Supervisors Class "A"	3558.75
10	Supervisors Class "B"	3083.44
11	Supervisors Class "C"	2836.76
12	Supervisors Class "D"	2735.85
13	Supervisor Instrumental Music.....	1541.72
14	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2377.05
15	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2287.35
16	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2208.86
17	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2130.38
18	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1995.00
19	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1835.40
20	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1737.00
21	Head Dept., Junior High.....	2702.21
22	Head Dept., Junior High.....	1881.00
23	Principals	4653.75
24	Principals	4380.00
25	Principals	4106.25
26	Principals	3878.12
27	Principals	3668.25
28	Principals	3394.50
29	Principals	3120.75
30	Principals	2691.00
31	Principals, Evening School.....	1918.02
32	Principals	1404.15
33	Vice-Principals	3558.75
34	Vice-Principals	3153.60
35	Vice-Principals	3061.01
36	Vice-Principals	2993.74
37	Vice-Principals	2982.53
38	Vice-Principals	2904.04
39	Vice-Principals	2836.76
40	Vice-Principals	2780.70
41	Vice-Principals	2713.42
42	Vice-Principals	2691.00
43	Vice-Principals	2657.36
44	Vice-Principals	2634.94
45	Vice-Principals	2567.66
46	Vice-Principals	2489.17
47	Vice-Principals	2433.11
48	Vice-Principals	2276.14
49	Vice-Principals	2242.50
50	Teachers	3087.90
51	Teachers	3072.22
52	Teachers	2993.74
53	Teachers	2904.04
54	Teachers	2881.61
55	Teachers	2870.40
56	Teachers	2836.76
57	Teachers	2814.34
58	Teachers	2735.85
59	Teachers	2713.42
60	Teachers	2702.21
61	Teachers	2691.00
62	Teachers	2623.73

Item No.	Department Title	Net 1934-1935
63	Teachers	2534.02
64	Teachers	2522.81
65	Teachers	2433.11
66	Teachers	2410.69
67	Teachers	2377.05
68	Teachers	2343.41
69	Teachers	2287.35
70	Teachers	2276.14
71	Teachers	2242.50
72	Teachers	2208.86
73	Teachers	2152.80
74	Teachers	2130.38
75	Teachers	2097.60
76	Teachers	2029.20
77	Teachers	1995.00
78	Teachers	1960.80
79	Teachers	1915.20
80	Teachers	1903.80
81	Teachers	1881.00
82	Teachers	1835.40
83	Teachers	1796.64
84	Teachers	1744.20
85	Teachers	1737.00
86	Teachers	1682.96
87	Teachers	1621.20
88	Teachers	1500.00
89	Teachers	1447.50
90	Teachers—Evening School	Eve. 9.1327
91	Teachers—Evening School	" 7.6159
92	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.9845
93	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.4433
94	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.1856
95	Teachers—Evening School	" 5.8247
96	Teachers—Evening School	" 4.7165
97	Teachers—Evening School	" 4.50
98	Teachers not full time.....	1545.93
99	Teachers not full time.....	1466.80
100	Teachers not full time.....	1496.87
101	Teachers not full time.....	1002.00
102	Teachers not full time.....	951.90
103	Teachers not full time.....	720.00
104	Teachers not full time.....	704.32
105	Teachers not full time.....	678.00
106	Teachers not full time.....	649.74
107	Teachers not full time.....	623.75
108	Teachers not full time.....	600.00
109	Teachers not full time.....	472.80
110	Teachers not full time.....	456.00
111	Teachers not full time.....	Eve. 4.6563
112	Teachers not full time.....	120.00
113	Teachers not full time.....	60.00

SUBSTITUTES

- 114 Teachers at \$6.50 per day
 115 Teachers at \$5.00 per day
 116 Teachers at \$3.50 per evening

SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

- 117 Teachers at \$4.50 per day.....\$ 180.00
 118 Teachers at \$3.50 per day.....140.00
 119 Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.....300.00
 120 Laboratory Assistants (as needed).....60.00

Teachers Day Parental and Day Adult Classes, at \$4 per lesson for each two-hour lesson.

Other positions to be paid according to Senior High Teachers Schedule are Librarians in Senior High Schools and Rowing Instructor. Librarians in Dept. of Texts and Libraries and Assistants in Bureau of Research shall be paid according to above Senior High Vice-Principals Schedule and shall serve 277 days.

Section 4. Section 85 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as follows:

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
153	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter, School Dept., at \$10 per day	
154	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
155	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	2	B210	Office Assistant (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
166½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at \$5 per day	
171	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	75
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$4.20 per day.....	
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.30 per day.....	
184	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.00 per day.....	
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, \$5 per day, 196 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215
188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, \$2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215

192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
196	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
197	1	C52	Elevator Operator	155
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	134	C104	Janitor	155
201	9	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time).....	16
203	6	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	160
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook	130
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time).....	50
211	12	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening (as required).....	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines...	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam En- gines	310
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at \$8 per day.....	
221	1	O58	Gardener, \$6 per day.....	
222	1	O58	Gardener, \$5.50 per day.....	
223	6	O58	Gardener, \$5 per day	
223¾			Temporary Clerical Employment as needed	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224	2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225	1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226	3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227	1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supplemental Agreements—Labor Preferences San Francisco Water Project.

(Code No. 15.021)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1563, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That, for the purpose of complying with the provisions of the National Recovery Act of the United States of America, Section (d), Paragraph 1, Part Three of the Loan Agreement bearing date of May 19, 1934, and entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and the United States of America, and dealing with the purchase of bonds issued by the City and County of San Francisco for certain improvements to its present water works system and the allowance to said City and County of a grant of thirty (30) per cent of the labor and material employed on said improvements, all pursuant to the application of said City and County, which said ap-

plication is designated as P. W. A. Docket No: 1510, be and the same is hereby amended to read as follows:

"(d) *Labor Preferences*. Preference shall be given, where they are qualified, to ex-service men with dependents, and then in the following order:

"(1) To citizens of the United States and aliens who have declared their intention of becoming citizens, who are bona fide residents of the City and County of San Francisco and/or Alameda and/or San Mateo Counties, California.

"(2) To citizens of the United States and aliens who have declared their intention of becoming citizens, who are bona fide residents of the State of California, provided, that these preferences shall apply only where such labor is available and qualified to perform the work to which the employment relates.

"These provisions shall not be deemed to prevent the insertion, by the Borrower, in specifications and/or any such construction contract for work on the Project of any applicable provisions of the Public Works Alien Employment Act of 1931 (Deering's General Laws, 1931, Act 6430), in so far as the same are not inconsistent with the provisions of this Agreement and with Title II of the National Industrial Recovery Act."

Be It Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be, and they are, hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, such supplemental or additional agreements as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Special Meeting of Toll Bridge Authority Re Terminals.

(Code No. 12.112)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1578, as follows:

Whereas, concurrent with the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, terminals in San Francisco must be provided; and

Whereas, said terminals or terminal will require a franchise; and

Whereas, the Charter provides that the Supervisors are exclusively empowered to grant said franchise; and

Whereas, it has been stated through the columns of the newspapers that many plans for terminals have been presented by the State Toll Bridge Authorities and others; and

Whereas, the matter is of such immediate importance as will require study on the part of the Board of Supervisors in order to vote intelligently thereon; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hold a special meeting at which representatives of the State Toll Bridge Authority shall be requested to be present to outline the advantages of the several plans, thereby avoiding subsequent delays.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Committee to Attend California Municipalities Convention.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1576, as follows:

Resolved, That the President of the Board be respectfully requested to appoint five members of the Board to attend the League of California Municipalities Convention to be held in Pasadena, September 24th to 28th; and be it

Further Resolved, That every effort be made to bring the 1935 Con-

vention of the League of California Municipalities to the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Mayor to Appoint Committee of Citizens to Lay Corner Stone of Federal Building in Civic Center, October 6, 1934.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 1577, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to celebrate the laying of the corner stone of the Federal Building in the Civic Center on October 6, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Complaint of Administration of Permit Procedure Ordinance, Poultry Business, 729 Filbert Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from Central Council of Civic Clubs, alleging that through lack of cooperation and coordination of City departments with regard to administrative procedure ordinance a great hardship has been imposed on citizens and taxpayers in connection with the conduct of the poultry business of Mr. F. Bottini at 729 Filbert street, who is alleged to be operating in violation of city ordinance.

Referred to Health Committee on motion of Supervisor Gallagher and with request that it be found out in what manner "this slip" took place and to propose whatever amendment is necessary to correct condition.

Telegram Re Redemption of Property—Sold to State for Taxes.

The following telegram, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, was *ordered sent to His Excellency the Governor*:

Governor Frank C. Merriam, State Capitol, Sacramento, California.

Senate Bill Six and Assembly Bill Seven, dealing with the redemption of property sold to the State cover the same subjects. San Francisco Board of Supervisors respectfully suggest that one of them be vetoed to avoid conflict in the law governing the extension of time within which delinquents must act. Without criticising either bill San Francisco Controller and other officials recommend the approval of Senate Bill Six as being the more easy and the less costly to administer.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Interurban Terminal and Rapid Transit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Mayor in re his activity in connection with the Interurban Terminal of the San Francisco Bay Bridge and the matter of rapid transportation system in San Francisco.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Opera Seats.

Supervisor Ratto presented clippings from the San Francisco Chronicle of letters complaining of the difficulty of buying seats for the coming opera season by reason of preferences given in this matter by the management to patrons of previous performances.

Referred to Supervisor Brown.

Redwood Empire Association Convention.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Redwood Empire Association urging attendance at Fourteenth Annual Convention at Grants Pass, Friday and Saturday, October 12 and 13, 1934.

Invitation *accepted* and Chair appointed Supervisors Gallagher, Uhl and Ratto.

Change of Street Name, Mullen Avenue.

Petition of James Reilly, president of Peralta Heights Improvement Club, requesting change of names of Mullen, Wolfe and Isabel to one designation, namely, Mullen avenue, and that street numbers start from Alabama street and that present residents be supplied with numbers free of charge.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Letter of Thanks.

Communication from Better Business Bureau transmitting resolution commending Board of Supervisors for passing Charitable Solicitation Ordinance.

Ordered filed.

Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 12, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: This is to advise you that in consonance with Section 1 of Ordinance 19.07120 (Citizens' Emergency Relief Committee), I made the following appointments on the committee above referred to:

Florence McAuliffe, chairman, Nevada Bank Building; J. P. Rettenmeyer, 893 Folsom street; Miss Ruth Turner, Fairmont Hotel; Guido Musto, 535 North Point street; Edward Vandeleur, care Labor Temple, 2940 Sixteenth street.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Ordered filed.

City Attorney's Opinion re Calling for Bids for Collection of Garbage.

The following was read and *ordered filed*:

September 14, 1934.

Dear Sirs: This office is in receipt of your request for an opinion as follows:

Request.

Can the Board of Supervisors call for bids for the collection of garbage which accumulates in the City and County of San Francisco?

Opinion.

In view of the provisions for the garbage collection ordinance passed by the people on June 12, 1927, the Board of Supervisors cannot call for bids for the collection of garbage.

The Board of Supervisors is restricted and bound by the provisions of this ordinance. No ordinance can be passed by the Board of Supervisors in conflict with the garbage collection ordinance since it is an initiative ordinance passed directly by the people. See Section 125 of the San Francisco Charter.

The Board of Supervisors can, however, propose a new garbage collection ordinance to the people to be voted upon, or they may propose a Charter amendment permitting the calling of bids for the collection

of garbage. The two methods mentioned are the only means which can accomplish the result desired.

Respectfully submitted,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Hetch Hetchy Celebration, Letter of Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior.

The following was read and *referred to Supervisor Havenner*:

Washington, September 7, 1934.

My dear Mr. Dunningan: Through you I want to thank sincerely the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for the invitation contained in your telegram of September 5, to attend and speak at an official ceremony to be held in observance of the completion of the Hetch Hetchy water supply aqueduct. I have also had a cordial telegram from Senator Johnson in support of your invitation.

I would like especially to attend this celebration and I will do so if I can. Unfortunately, I cannot answer definitely at the moment. So far as the date is concerned, the one you suggest would be as acceptable as any. If I may hold this matter open for a little while, I will let you know definitely just as soon as possible.

Thanking you again for your invitation, I am,

Sincerely yours,

HAROLD C. ICKES, Secretary of the Interior.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

National Recreation Conference in San Francisco.

Supervisor Brown moved that Miss Randall and Miss Proctor of the Recreation Department be authorized, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, to extend invitation to the National Recreation Conference to hold their next meeting in San Francisco.

So ordered.

Citizens' Committee, Reception to Navy.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint Citizens' Committee to welcome the U. S. Fleet in their coming visit to San Francisco.

So ordered.

Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor Schmidt, at request of Wm. H. Nanry, presented several proposed Charter amendments, as follows:

Amends Sections Nos. 71, 72, 73; adds Sections 70.2.—Submitted by the Bureau of Governmental Research. Eliminates provisions that salaries cannot be reduced below the scale received by incumbents in 1931. Provides for salary standardization and declares an emergency for the reduction of salaries to remain in effect until the Board by a majority vote declares the emergency no longer exists.

Amends Section 79.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Authorizes the Mayor in an emergency declared by him to expend money on order to department heads, and provides reimbursement of Emergency Reserve Fund.

Amends Section 11.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Makes it mandatory upon the Mayor to remove any elected official guilty of a crime involving moral turpitude.

Amends Sections 166 and 169.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Requires all police and firemen to contribute to the pension system on the same basis as other city employees.

Adds Section 20.1.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research.

Empowers department heads to reduce working time and compensation of employees in the respective departments.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Information Requested of Director of Relief.

Supervisor Shannon requested that letter be sent to Director of Relief, requesting his office address, method of procedure for unemployed to get on the rolls for consideration for employment and any other rules that he may have had time to adopt, particularly to the end that when appeals are made to men in public life that they may know how to give applicants intelligent information.

So ordered.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt, the Board recessed to meet Friday, September 21, at 10 a. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors September 17, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Friday, September 21, 1934

Monday, September 24, 1934

Tuesday, September 25, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Monday, September 17, 1934.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 10:30 a. m. Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Opposing the Elimination of the Kindergarten.

(Code 21.111.)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —, as follows:

Whereas, Interests inimical to the public school system are planning to attack the school appropriation in the coming Legislature and thereby abolish kindergartens; and

Whereas, Such unwise measures of economy that would cripple education opportunities are being vigorously protested by Parent-Teachers' organizations and other civic bodies of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its unqualified condemnation of any legislation having for its purpose the elimination of the kindergarten or curtailment of any educational opportunities now afforded children of this City.

Referred to Committee on Education, Parks and Recreation.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering proposed Charter amendments reported by the Judiciary Committee.

Motion carried.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors met in Committee of the Whole, all members heretofore noted being present.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Consideration of Charter Amendment No. 17.

Salary Deductions.

Supervisor Uhl moved to take up Charter Amendment No. 17, salary deductions.

So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Section 70.2 be amended by striking out subdivisions (a) and (b) and that subdivision (c) be amended to read as follows:

"(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$175 per month, no deduction."

Privilege of the Floor.

William Henderson, representing the Civil Service Commission, being asked as to the amount of saving by the proposed amendment declared that it would take two or three hours to complete it.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the matter be deferred until data is available, at which time Charter Amendment No. 17 be again taken up.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Charter Amendments be taken up *seriatim*.

So ordered.

Presence of City Employees Objected To.

Supervisor Uhl having objected to the presence of City employees in the Chamber, alleging that they were away from their office or work.

Supervisor Hayden moved that department heads be requested to grant permission to such employees as were in attendance defending their interests under Charter Amendment No. 17, providing for emergency salary deductions.

Supervisor Colman raised the point of order that such employees are here by permission of the heads of their departments and that Supervisor Hayden's motion would be in violation of Section 22 of the Charter.

Privilege of the Floor.

Henry Heidelberg, Assistant City Attorney, was heard on the point of order raised by Supervisor Colman. He said:

"The Board of Supervisors has the right to summon anyone who can give information on the subject matter before it."

Supervisor Uhl requested that a record be made of the City employees present.

CHARTER AMENDMENTS APPROVED.

The following proposed Charter amendments recommended by the Judiciary Committee were taken up and *approved*:

Charter Amendment No. 1.

Amends Section 161.—Submitted by Veterans' organizations. Provides that time served by City employees in the military or naval service during war time shall be deemed service for the City and County as regards pensions.

Charter Amendment No. 2.

Amends Section 65.—Submitted by Controller. Controller shall make quarterly instead of monthly reports, and shall advise departments monthly of the condition of their appropriations.

Charter Amendment No. 3.

Amends Section 72.—Submitted by Controller. Eliminates detailed publication of the budget as submitted by the Mayor, thereby saving cost of printing.

Charter Amendment No. 7.

Amends Section 108.—Submitted by City Attorney. Limits acceptance of streets to not less than one continuous block and places responsibility for the condition of the sidewalk on the property owner.

Charter Amendment No. 8.

Amends Section 87.—Submitted by City Attorney. Limits time within which to file claims against the City to sixty days and provides for method of filing claims.

(In connection with the foregoing Supervisor Shannon moved to amend second line by striking out "sixty days" and inserting in lieu thereof "ninety days," which motion was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.)

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Brown the following proposed amendments were laid over for consideration until 2 p. m.:

Charter Amendment No. 6.

Amends Section 13.—Submitted by City Attorney and Controller. Eliminates necessity for publishing ordinances in full on introduction or passage and requires only sections amended to be published. Also provides that notice of title or subject matter be published within three days after introduction of ordinance.

Charter Amendment No. 4.

Amends Section 9.—Submitted by City Attorney and Judiciary Committee under the direction of the Board. Authorizes the Supervisors to impose license taxes both for revenue and regulation.

Charter Amendment No. 5.

Amends Section 24.—Submitted by Judiciary Committee and City Attorney under direction of the Board. Eliminates the examination for fixing places of business on license taxes.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Real Estate Board be requested to have a representative of said board in attendance when these matters (Charter Amendments 4 and 5) are up for consideration in order that the Board of Supervisors may have the views of said board relative to the effect of this legislation on taxation of real property.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole took a recess until 2:30 p. m. this day.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Committee of the Whole reassembled at 2 p. m., all members heretofore noted being present.

Charter Amendment No. 10.

The following was taken up:

The proposition to amend Section 145, submitted by the Civil Service Association, prescribing method of civil service examination and requiring every examination to be at least 65 per cent written.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Grover O'Connor, representing the Civil Service Association, was heard at length favoring the submission of the foregoing proposed amendment.

Jas. Maher, Sec. of the Civil Service Commission was heard in opposition. He declared that the Commission had not time to give the proposition any consideration.

Disapproved.

Whereupon, the roll was called and proposed Charter Amendment No. 10 was *disapproved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Charter Amendment No. 9.

The following matter was taken up:

Amends Section 145.—Submitted by Veterans' organizations. Entitles Veterans to preference in every examination for entrance or promotion in the Civil Service.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 145 thereof, relating to qualifications and tests, and Veterans' preference.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 145 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Qualifications and Tests.

Section 145. All applicants for places in the classified service shall submit to tests, which shall be competitive and without charge to the applicants. The commission shall control all examinations and may employ suitable persons in or out of the public service to act as examiners. The tests may be written, oral, mechanical or physical, or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of applicants for the positions to be filled. The commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests to rate the capacity of applicants to perform service for the city and county. The commission may, for each examination, establish a passing mark or may determine the total number of persons who shall constitute the list of eligibles. The commission shall prepare from the returns of the examiners the list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence. No question submitted to applicants shall refer to political or religious opinions or fraternal affiliations.

Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the fire and the police departments shall be not less than twenty-one years of age, nor more than thirty-five years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

Applicants for positions in the mechanical trades and occupations may, in the discretion of the commission, be rated solely on experience and physical qualifications which may be demonstrated by such evidence and in such manner as the commission may direct, and such applicants may be permitted to such further tests as the commission may require. Examinations of laborers shall relate only to physical qualifications and experience, and laborers establishing their fitness shall rank upon the register in order of priority of application.

The commission may remove all names from the list of eligibles after they have remained thereon for more than two years and all names thereon shall be removed at the expiration of four years. The

commission may, however, provide in the scope-circular of any examination that the list of eligibles secured thereby shall automatically expire at a date not less than two or more than four years after the adoption of such list.

Veterans with thirty days or more actual service, and widows of such veterans, who become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in any examination, shall be allowed an additional credit of 5 per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The term "veteran" as used in this section shall be taken to mean any person who has been mustered into, or served in, the Army, or enlisted in, or served in, the Navy or Marine Corps, of the United States, in time of war and received an honorable discharge or certificate of honorable active service, provided that the term "in time of war" as it refers to the World War shall be the period commencing April 6, 1917, and ending November 11, 1918. In the case of promotive examinations, when the passing mark has been attained, a credit of 3 per cent shall be allowed to veterans or to widows of such veterans. The civil service commission shall allow a general preference of not less than 10 per cent and may allow further individual preferences for entrance appointment of veterans who have suffered permanent disability in line of duty, providing that such disability would not prevent the proper performances of the duties required under such service, or employment, and provided that such disability is of record in the United States Veterans Administration.

Amendment.

The following addition to the last paragraph was presented by the Civil Service Commission and *accepted* without objection, to-wit: "provided further that such veterans first become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in the examination."

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, insert at the end of the seventh line the words: "Provided further that such promotive preferences may be allowed to any person after he has obtained promotion."

Privilege of the Floor.

Arthur Finnigan, non-veteran Civil Service eligible, was heard in opposition to the proposed amendment in so far as it gave additional preferences to veterans in promotional examinations. He quoted from Federal Civil Service regulations, indicating that no such preference is given by the federal government.

John McCarthy opposed the amendment on the ground that it was special treatment.

Capt. Keon opposed on the ground that it was discriminatory legislation.

Steve Malatesta favored the proposed amendment.

H. Glensor, representing the veterans organizations, in explanation of his previous statement that the federal government gave such preference, said that the regulation cited by Mr. Finnigan was a later rule with which he was not acquainted.

Mr. Stillman, representing United States Employment Service, was also heard on the pending question.

Amendment Defeated.

Whereupon, the Roll being called on the amendment offered by Supervisor Gallagher, the same was *defeated* by the following vote, to-wit:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shan-non—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Amendment Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter Amendment No. 9 was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Charter Amendment No. 4.

The following matter was taken up:

Amends Section 9.—Submitted by City Attorney and committee under direction of the Board. Authorizes the Supervisors to impose license taxes both for revenue and regulation.

Charter Amendment No. 5.

Amends Section 24.—Submitted by Judiciary Committee and the City Attorney under direction of the Board. Eliminates the examination for fixing places of business on license taxes.

Privilege of the Floor.

Richard Sloss, representing the Retail Dry Goods Association, some sixteen of the largest department stores, and the Retail Merchants Association, Chamber of Commerce and Downtown Association.

Four and four-one hundredths per cent of the taxes on tangible personal property (goods, wares and merchandise) in San Francisco, he said, is paid by seven of the establishments that he represented. The proposed amendments, if adopted, would be an additional burden on local industry and enterprise.

Jos. Cumming, representing the Downtown Association, said he was not opposed to the City's loss by the court's decision, but urged that no further burden be placed on the employer and merchant.

P. Arnold Anderson, secretary Municipal License Tax Association, was also heard as to taxes paid by manufacturers and wholesalers.

Mr. Brock, representing the Real Estate Board, said that no action had been taken by the Real Estate Board and that he was not in a position to say anything on the subject.

Substitute Amendment Approved.

Thereupon, the following proposed amendment prepared by the City Attorney and acceptable to the representative of the merchant organizations, was offered as a substitute for Charter amendment Nos. 4 and 5 recommended by the Judiciary Committee and *approved*, on motion of Supervisor Brown, by the following vote:

Charter Amendment No. 4.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 24 thereof, relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 24 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Permits and Inspections.

Section 24. The board of supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the city and county, and for such other

matters as the board of supervisors may deem advisable. Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall be not less than the cost to the city and county of regulation and inspection; and shall specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the board of supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the department as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the city planning commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the city planning commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the board of permit appeals.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the board of supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for the collection of license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation, provided no license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Committee of Whole Arises.

Supervisor Brown moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report progress in order to take up the matter of the proposed World's Fair site.

So ordered.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors thereupon reassembled, all members heretofore noted being present.

Supervisor McSheehy in the Chair.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that it be the sense of the Board of Supervisors that we postpone action on World's Fair matter until Monday, October 1, 1934, at 3:30 p. m.

So ordered.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, on motion duly made and carried, the Board of Supervisors reassembled in Committee of the Whole. All members heretofore noted being present and President McSheehy in the chair.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved and it was duly carried that we take up Charter No. 17—Salary Deductions, to-wit:

Charter Amendment No. 17.

Amends Sections 71, 72 and 73; adds Section 70.2.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Eliminates provision that salaries cannot be reduced below the scale received by incumbents in 1931.

Provides for salary standardization and declares an emergency for the reduction of salaries to remain in effect until the Board by a majority vote declares the emergency no longer exists.

Charter Amendment No. 17.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 71 and 73 thereof and by repealing the fifth paragraph of Section 151 thereof, and by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 70.2 thereof, relating to the salaries, wages and compensations of the officers and employees of the said City and County and to deductions from said salaries, wages and compensations during the period of emergency described and declared therein.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Personal Service Estimates.

Section 71. All rates of salary, or wages, or other compensation of all officers and employees of the city and county shall be fixed and determined at the time of the preparation of the annual budget estimates and the adoption of the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, and no increase in any such rate shall be effective prior to the start of the fiscal year for which the budget is adopted. Salary and wage rates for classes of employments subject to salary standardization, as in this charter provided, shall be fixed in the manner provided in this charter. Salary and wage rates for classes of employment not subject to salary standardization, exclusive of compensations fixed by this charter, shall be recommended by the officer, board or commission having appointive power for such employments, and fixed by the budget and the annual salary ordinance except as otherwise provided by sections 70.1 and 70.2 of this charter. Pending the adoption of salary standards as in this charter provided, the salary and wage rates for positions subject to such standardization shall be as recommended by the officer, board or commission having appointing power for such positions and fixed by the budget and annual salary ordinance, except as otherwise provided by sections 70.1 and 70.2 of this charter; provided that no compensation shall be fixed or increased so as to exceed the generally prevailing salary or wage paid for similar services and of like character and for like or similar services and working conditions in private employments in San Francisco, or, for purely governmental services, in other governmental organizations in California, nor so as to exceed the rate fixed for such service or position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the civil service commission of the city and county under date of April 9, 1930, except as such proposed schedule of compensations is amended as provided in this charter, or extended by the civil service commission to include classifications not included therein.

Annual Salary Ordinance.

Section 73. The number and rates of compensation for all positions continued or created in all city and county departments and organization subdivisions thereof by this charter, or thereunder by the authorized appointing officers and by the supervisors in adopting each annual budget and each annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance, shall be established and enumerated in a salary ordinance continuing and creating such positions, and providing the rates of compensation therefor, which ordinance shall be adopted or amended at the same time as any aforesaid annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance is adopted. Such ordinance shall be subdivided for each department or office and for such organization subdivision thereof as the department head, the civil service commission or the controller

may require. The positions enumerated therein shall be segregated according to classes and titles, and, for positions in the classified civil service or subject to the salary standardization provisions of this charter, according to the civil service classification of employments. Such positions under any such class or title in any department or office, or organization subdivision thereof, shall not be listed individually or subdivided, except where necessary to show varying rates of pay for employments included in any such class. In adopting any annual salary ordinance or amendments thereto, the board of supervisors shall not have power to increase any rate of compensation over the amount fixed in the budget for such fiscal year, or appropriation ordinances supplemental thereto, nor add any new item for personal services in addition to those specified in such respective budget or appropriation ordinances. Any increase in the number of positions allowed for any department or office, or organization subdivision thereof, and seniority or other compensation increases authorized as provided elsewhere in this charter or under salary standardization for officers or employees, may be covered by amendments of the appropriate section of the salary ordinance in this section referred to, provided that any such amendment shall include the entire section of such ordinance relating to the department or office, or organization subdivision thereof, in which the increase in number of positions or compensations shall occur; and provided further, that authorized compensation increases shall take effect only at the beginning of each fiscal year. The rates of salary or wage or other compensation for all officers and employees that are subject to salary standardization as in this charter provided shall, in the annual salary ordinance to be adopted for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1935, and the annual salary ordinance for each fiscal year thereafter, be fixed and standardized as provided by the salary standardization provisions of this charter. The said annual salary ordinance shall constitute the legal basis for check by the civil service commission and the controller as to the legality of the creation of any position in the city and county service and the maximum rate of compensation fixed therefor.

That the fifth paragraph of section 151 of said charter, reading as follows: "Where any compensation paid on January 1, 1931, is higher than the standard compensation fixed as provided in this section for such position or employment, said compensation shall be continued to the incumbent of such position as long as he legally holds said position, and department heads, in cooperation with the civil service commission where said commission has jurisdiction, shall continuously offer all possible opportunities to said incumbents to assume duties and responsibilities in higher classifications consistent with the higher rates of compensation hereby continued. New entrants to such positions shall be paid at the rates fixed for such duties, responsibilities and positions by the schedule of compensations hereinbefore in this section referred to."—is hereby repealed.

Emergency Declared—Deductions in Compensations Thereunder.

Section 70.2. A public emergency is hereby declared to exist in the City and County of San Francisco within the meaning of the provisions of section 70.1 of this charter, and also by reason of the existing business depression, accompanied by shrunken values of property, and decreased incomes and decreased ability of taxpayers of said city and county to pay public taxes, which said emergency is anticipated to continue, and is hereby so declared, will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35 and thereafter until such time as the board of supervisors, by majority vote of all the members thereof, shall declare that said public emergency no longer exists.

While said emergency as herein declared shall continue to exist, there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the city and county of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the board of education, the respective amounts here-

inafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month of March, 1933, and not reduced by the provisions of section 70.1 of this charter.

The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$125 per month, no deduction.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$125 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$150 per month, three (3) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$150 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(h) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month.

On or after July 1, 1935, or to become effective on or after said date, the board of supervisors, by a vote of two-thirds of all members thereof, may make a reduction of not to exceed twenty-five per cent in the respective rates of deduction specified for employments and compensations subject to salary standardization, if and when standardization of compensations, as in this charter provided, shall take effect, or may make such a reduction if and when the public emergency, herein in this section declared, has actually become less acute, on the basis of pronounced business improvement and generally increased employment, property values, incomes and ability to pay public taxes, and is officially so declared by a vote of two-thirds of said board.

The provisions of section 70.1 of this charter shall continue in force and effect in all particulars where they are not changed or modified by the provisions of this section.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 70.2, third paragraph thereof, as follows:

"The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

"(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$175 per month, no deduction.

"(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$175 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

"(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

"(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

"(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

"(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

"(g) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

"(h) Provided further that no salary or compensation in the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than \$175 a month, inclusive of pension requirements.

"(i) Provided, further, that no salary or compensation in any of the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than a reduced salary of a lower salary bracket, inclusive of pension requirements."

Privilege of the Floor.

Jno. Kelly, representing the per diem men, was heard as to the effect of the proposed legislation on per diem men.

Cameron King, president of the Federation of Municipal Employees, was heard at length. He said the proposed amendment violated a gentlemen's agreement in which the City employees were assured their previous pay cuts, taken voluntarily, would exempt them from a permanent cut.

Mr. George Skaller, representing Civic League of Improvement Clubs, was heard in opposition to the proposed Charter amendment. He declared that only one organization (the Governmental Bureau of Research) was given any consideration in its preparation, that the measure was submitted without adequate study as to its effects and should not be submitted to the electors at this time without long and careful consideration.

Amendments Carried.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 71, line 2, after the word "county" insert the words "other than specific rates of compensation fixed and provided for designated officers and employees by other sections of this Charter and subject to any deductions made by Sections 70.1 and 70.2."

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 71, line 21, after the word

"San Francisco," insert the words "or in the San Francisco Bay Region."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 73 on the 29th line, after the word "provided," strike out the words "that any such amendment shall include the entire section of such ordinance relating to the department or office, or organization divisions thereof in which the increase in number of positions or compensations shall occur; and provided further,"

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend Section 70.2, end of third paragraph, after the word "month," insert the words "the amount of said deduction shall be applied to meet the cost of unemployment relief to be met by the City and County in so far as such application is necessary."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend by substituting the following language for the next to the last paragraph of said amendment:

"On or after July 1, 1935, or to become effective on or after said date, the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote of all members thereof may make such reduction as it shall deem proper in the respective rates of deduction specified herein in this section if and when the public emergency herein in this section declared, has actually become less acute, on the basis of pronounced business improvement and generally increased employment, property values, incomes and ability to pay public taxes, and is so officially declared by a majority vote of all members of said board; provided, that, if and when standardization of compensations, as in this Charter provided, shall go into force and effect, and, as a result thereof, any compensation paid to any officer or employee shall be reduced by a greater amount than the amount of reduction herein in this section provided, the Board of Supervisors by majority vote may direct that the rate and amount of reduction herein in this section provided for said officer or employee be reduced or be eliminated."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to insert the following subdivisions in Section 70.2 as recommended by the Civil Service Commission:

"(h) Provided further that no salary or compensation in the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than \$175 a month, inclusive of pension requirements.

"(i) Provided, further, that no salary or compensation in any of the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than

a reduced salary of a lower salary bracket, inclusive of pension requirements."

Amendment carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon—2.

Amendment Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing proposed Charter amendment, as amended was on motion of Supervisor Uhl *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved as an amendment to (c) and (d) that "provided that no salary or compensation shall be so reduced below \$175 per month."

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that further consideration of the foregoing be made a Special Order of Business for 9 p. m. this evening.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole, at 5:45 p. m., recessed until 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1934, 8 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled and the Roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Amendments Approved.

The following proposed Charter amendments recommended by the Judiciary Committee were taken up and *approved* by the following vote:

Charter Amendment No. 6.

Amends Section 13.—Submitted by City Attorney and Controller. Eliminates necessity for publishing ordinances in full on introduction or passage, and requires only sections amended to be published. Also, provides that notice of title or subject matter be published within three days after introduction of ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Charter Amendment. No. 11.

Amends Section 11.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Makes it mandatory upon the Mayor to remove any elected official guilty of a crime involving moral turpitude.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Charter Amendment No. 13.

Amends Section 69.—Submitted by Controller. Requires departments to submit not later than the 15th day of February, budget estimates to the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Disapproved.

The following proposed Charter amendments, reported without recommendation of the Judiciary Committee, were taken up and *disapproved* by the following vote, to-wit:

Charter Amendment No. 12.

Amends Section 79—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Authorizes the Mayor in an emergency declared by him to expend money on order to department heads, and provides reimbursement of Emergency Reserve Fund.

Aye—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Charter Amendment No. 14.

Amends Section 35—Submitted by Junior Chamber of Commerce. Provides that the Chief of Police shall not be removed except for cause on charges filed by the Commission.

(Thos. Larke, representing the Junior Chamber of Commerce, was heard in favor of the proposed Charter amendment.)

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Charter Amendment No. 16.

Adds Section 9.1—Submitted by Supervisor Shannon. Empowers the Board of Supervisors to issue combined franchise for collection and disposal of garbage by incineration.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Charter Amendment No. 18.

Adds Section 20.1—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Empowers department heads to reduce working time and compensation of employees in the respective departments.

(Captain Layne, of the Police Department, and Frederick Leavy, representing Federal Municipal Employees.)

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 19.

Amends Sections 166 and 169—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Requires all police and firemen to contribute to the pension system on the same basis as other City employees.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

For the Record.

Supervisor Uhl stated for the record that he was no subscriber of the Governmental Bureau of Research.

Committee of the Whole.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Committee of the Whole now rise and report its transactions to the Board of Supervisors.

Motion carried.

Refused Submission.

The following was presented by Capt. Skelly for Police Commission and *refused submission* by the following vote:

Proposed Amendment to Section 35 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, Submitted by the Board of Police Commissioners.

Charter Amendment No. 14.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of the said City and County by amending Section 35 thereof, providing for the issuance of permits by the Police Commission.

That Section 35 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco be amended to read as follows:

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Section 35. The Police Department shall be under the management of a Police Commission consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the Mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at 12 o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1932, 1933 and 1934 respectively, and the Mayor, after the 8th day of January, 1932, shall reorganize the Commission to provide for the four-year terms of three commissioners, as specified in this section.

The Chief of Police in office at the time this Charter shall take effect shall continue until the expiration of the term of his appointment, subject to removal for cause by the Commission, and thereafter the Commission shall appoint a Chief of Police who shall hold office at its pleasure. The Commission may also appoint, and at its pleasure remove, one secretary and one police surgeon.

The Police Commissioners shall be the successors in office of the Police Commissioners holding office in the City and County at the time this Charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof except as in this Charter otherwise provided. They shall have power to regulate traffic, including the location and use of traffic control devices for that purpose.

All positions in the Police Department legally authorized shall be continued, and incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall be continued as officers and employees of the Department under the conditions governing their respective appointments and except as otherwise provided in this Charter. The police force of the City and County shall not exceed one police officer for each five hundred inhabitants thereof. The annual compensations for the several ranks in the department shall be as follows: Chief of Police, \$7,200; Captain of Inspectors, formerly Captain of Detectives, \$5,000; Captain of Police for Traffic, \$4,000; Property Clerk, Chief Clerk and Captains, \$3,600; Lieutenants, \$3,000; Inspectors, formerly Detective Sergeants, \$2,760; Photographer, \$2,700; Sergeants, \$2,640; Corporals, \$2,580, and Police Surgeon, Police Officers, Police Patrol Drivers and Women Protective Officers, \$2,400.

The *Police Commission* may refuse to issue any permit that is subject to Police Department investigation and issuance, if it shall appear that the character of the business or the applicant requesting such permit does not warrant the issuance thereof, or it may revoke any such permit as soon as it shall appear that the business or calling of the person to whom it was granted is conducted in a disorderly or improper manner, or that the place in which the business is conducted or main-

tained is not a proper or suitable place in which to conduct or maintain such business or calling.

In the suppression of any riot, public tumult, disturbance of the public peace or organized resistance against the laws or public authority, the Chief of Police, in the lawful exercise of his functions, shall have all the powers that are now or that may be conferred on the Sheriff by the laws of this State.

The Supervisors shall provide in the annual budget an amount not to exceed in any one fiscal year the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), to be known as the contingent fund of the Chief of Police. The Chief of Police may from time to time disburse such sums for contingent expenses of the department as in his judgment shall be for the best interests of the City and County, and the Police Commission shall allow and order paid out of such contingent fund, upon orders signed by the Chief of Police, such amounts as may be required.

At its discretion or upon the petition of any person, firm or corporation, the Police Commission may appoint, and at its pleasure remove special police officers. Such officers shall be subject to all the rules and regulations of the Commission.

The Police Commission may appoint, and, for cause, remove patrol special police officers. Each patrol special police officer shall be at the time of appointment, not less than twenty-one years of age nor more than forty-five years of age, and must possess such physical qualifications as may be required by the Commission. Age qualifications shall not apply to patrol special police officers appointed and acting at the time this Charter shall go into effect nor to their reappointment.

On the recommendation of the Chief of Police, the Commission may reward any member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct. The form or amount of said reward to be discretionary with the Commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

The Police Commission shall have power, by regulation, to provide for the care and restitution of property that may come into possession of the department or any officer or employee thereof, or the sale, at annual public auction, all of such unclaimed property and the disposition of such property as shall consist of weapons or articles used or that may be used in the commission of crime.

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

REASSEMBLED.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors reassembled.

All members heretofore noted being present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Proposed Charter Amendments Ordered Submitted.

Thereupon, on motion duly made and *carried*, the following proposed Charter amendments as amended, and in words and figures following, were renumbered and ordered submitted by the following vote:

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 1.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 161 thereof, relating to Continuous Service.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 161 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Continuous Service.

Section 161. Continuous service shall be defined by the board of supervisors, but the absence of any officer or employee of the city and county from service caused by reason of the service of such officer or employee in the military or naval forces of the United States in any war in which the United States has engaged shall not be deemed to be such absence from service as shall break the continuity of service required of such officer or employee to entitle him to a pension or retirement allowance as provided under the retirement system, but the period of such absence in such military or naval service shall be deemed service for the city and county.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 2.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 65 thereof, relating to the preparation and filing of annual, quarterly and monthly reports by the Controller.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Controller's Reports.

Section 65. The controller shall *annually make a complete financial report which shall be audited and distributed as provided in section 68 of this charter. The controller shall also make a quarterly report not later than the 25th day of the month succeeding the last preceding quarter, showing a summary statement of revenues and expenditures for the preceding quarter and for that portion of the fiscal year ending on the last day of such preceding quarter. Such statement shall include all general and funding accounts and shall be detailed as to assets, liabilities, income, expenditures, appropriations and funds, in such manner as to show the financial conditions of the city and county and of each department, office, bureau or division thereof, for that portion of the fiscal year to and including the preceding quarter, and with comparative figures for the similar period in the preceding fiscal year. The controller shall at the same time prepare statements showing at the end of each quarter the cash position of the city and county (and the unencumbered balance in each fund). He shall also prepare quarterly for each of the several funds a summary of the resources available and estimated to be collectible, obligations authorized and estimated to be expendable, and surplus in such a manner as to show the estimated cash position of each fund at the end of the fiscal year. He shall also prepare monthly and transmit to all department heads concerned, reports showing the allowances, expenditures, encumbrances and unencumbered balances of each revenue and expenditure appropriation. A copy of each such quarterly report and special fiscal reports as requested, shall be transmitted to the mayor, the board of supervisors, the chief administrative officer, and kept on file in the controller's office.*

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 3.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend

the Charter of said City and County, by amending Section 72 thereof, relating to the adoption of the annual budget and the annual appropriation ordinance, the quarterly allotment of estimated revenues and the curtailment of expenditures if estimated revenues are not realized.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Adoption of the Budget and the Appropriation Ordinance.

Section 72. Not later than the first day of May in 1932, and in each year thereafter, the mayor shall transmit to the Board of Supervisors *the consolidated budget estimates for all departments and offices of, and the proposed budget for, the City and County for the ensuing fiscal year, including a detailed estimate of all revenues of each department and an estimate of the amount required to meet bond interest, redemption and other fixed charges of the City and County, and the revenues applicable thereto.* He shall, by message accompanying such proposed budget, comment upon the financial program incorporated therein, the important changes as compared with the previous budget, and bond issues, if any, as recommended by him.

The mayor shall submit to the Board of Supervisors, at the time that he submits said budget estimates and said proposed budget, a draft of the annual appropriation ordinance for the ensuing fiscal year, which shall be prepared by the controller. This shall be based on the proposed budget and shall be drafted to contain such provisions and detail as to furnish an adequate basis for fiscal and accounting control by the controller of each revenue and expenditure appropriation item for the ensuing fiscal year. Upon submission it shall be deemed to have been regularly introduced, and together with the proposed budget, shall be published as required for ordinances.

The detail of the proposed budget to be published shall be as follows:

1. *The total cost for conducting each department, bureau, office, board or commission for the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to basic objects of expenditure for each.*
2. *A detail schedule of positions and compensations, showing any increases or decreases in any department or office.*
3. *A detail schedule of items for capital outlay.*
4. *The aforementioned consolidated estimates and schedules shall also include by items contained therein the following information:*
 - (a) *Expenditures for the last complete fiscal year.*
 - (b) *Estimated expenditures for the current fiscal year.*
 - (c) *Proposed increases or decreases as compared with the budget allowances for the current fiscal year.*

The Board of Supervisors shall provide printed copies of the mayor's budget message and proposed budget thus prepared, including comparative expenditures and revenues for the current and preceding fiscal years and other information transmitted therewith, for official use and public demand as requested.

The Board of Supervisors shall fix the date or dates, not less than five days after publication as in this section provided, for consideration of and public hearings on the proposed budget and proposed appropriation ordinance.

The Board of Supervisors may decrease or reject any item contained in the proposed budget, but shall not increase any amount or add any new item for personal services or materials, supplies, or contractual services, for any department, unless requested in writing so to do by the mayor, on the recommendation of the chief administrative officer, board, commission or elective officer, in charge of such department.

The Board of Supervisors may increase or insert appropriations for capital expenditures and public improvements.

After public hearing, and not earlier than the 15th day of May, nor later than the first day of June, the board shall adopt the proposed budget as submitted or as amended and shall pass the necessary appropriation ordinance. If the appropriation ordinance as submitted by the mayor is amended by the supervisors, the appropriation ordinance shall be readvertised prior to final reading or passage, in the manner required for ordinances.

Any item in such appropriation ordinance except for bond interest, redemption or other fixed charges, may be vetoed in whole or in part by the mayor within ten days of receipt by him from the clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the ordinance as passed by the board, and the Board of Supervisors shall act on such veto not later than the 20th day of June.

The several items of expenditure appropriated in each annual appropriation ordinance, being based on estimated receipts, income or revenues which may not be fully realized, it shall be incumbent upon the controller to establish a schedule of allotments, monthly or quarterly as he may determine, under which the sums appropriated to the several departments shall be expended. The controller shall revise such revenue estimates monthly. If such revised estimates indicate a shortage the controller shall hold in reserve an equivalent amount of the corresponding expenditure appropriations set forth in any said annual appropriation ordinance until the collection of the amounts as originally estimated is assured, and in all cases where it is provided by this Charter that a specified or minimum tax shall be levied for any department the amount of the appropriation in any annual appropriation ordinance derived from taxes shall not exceed the amount actually produced by the levy made for said department. The controller in issuing warrants or in certifying contracts or purchase orders or other encumbrances, pursuant to section 86 of this Charter, shall consider only the allotted portions of appropriation items to be available for encumbrance or expenditure and shall not approve the incurring of liability under any allotment in excess of the amount of such allotment. In case of emergency or unusual circumstance which could not be anticipated at the time of apportionment, an additional allotment for a period may be made on the recommendation of the department head and that of the chief administrative officer, board or commission and the approval of the controller. After the allotment schedule has been established or fixed, as heretofore provided, it shall be unlawful for any department or officer to expend or cause to be expended a sum greater than the amount set forth for the particular activity in the said allotment schedule so established, unless an additional allotment is made, as herein provided.

Subject to the restrictions hereinbefore in this section included, the several amounts of estimated revenue and proposed expenditures contained in the annual appropriation ordinance as adopted by the Board of Supervisors shall be and become appropriated for the ensuing fiscal year to and for the several departments, bureaus, offices, utilities, boards or commissions, and for the purposes specified, and each department for which an expenditure appropriation has been made shall be authorized to use the money so appropriated for the purposes specified in the appropriation ordinance, and within the limits of the appropriation. The appropriation ordinance shall constitute the authority for the controller to set up the required revenue and expenditure accounts. Appropriation items for bond interest, bond redemption, fixed charges and other purposes not appropriated to a specific department shall be subject to the administration of and expenditure by the chief administrative officer for the respective purposes for which such appropriations are made.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 4.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 24 thereof, relating to permits and inspections.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 24 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Permits and Inspections.

Section 24. The Board of Supervisors shall regulate, by ordinance, the issuance and revocation of licenses and permits for the use of, obstruction of or encroachment on public streets and places, exclusive of the granting of franchises governed by other provisions of this Charter; and for the operation of businesses or privileges which affect the health, fire-prevention, fire-fighting, crime, policing, welfare or zoning conditions of or in the City and County, and for such other matters as the Board of Supervisors may deem advisable. Such ordinance shall fix the fees or licenses to be charged, which shall be not less than the cost to the City and County of regulation and inspection; and shall specify which department shall make the necessary investigations and inspections and issue or deny and may revoke the permits and licenses therefor. The chief of police in the performance of police duties shall have power to examine at any time the books and the premises of pawnbrokers, peddlers, junk and second-hand dealers, auctioneers and other businesses designated by the Board of Supervisors, and the tax collector shall have power to examine the books of any business for which a license is issued and a fee charged on the basis of the receipts of such business, and for these purposes such officials shall have the power of inquiry, investigation and subpoena, as provided by this Charter.

Permits and licenses shall be issued by the departments as designated by ordinance, only after formal application for such permit or license. No such permit or license that is dependent on or affected by the zoning, set-back or other ordinances of the city and county administered by the City Planning Commission shall be issued except on the prior approval of the City Planning Commission. If any application for a permit or license is denied by the department authorized to issue same, the applicant may appeal to the Board of Permit Appeals.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Board of Supervisors shall have full power to impose and provide for the collection of license taxes both for revenue and for regulation, or for either revenue or regulation, provided no license tax shall be imposed on any seller or manufacturer of goods, wares or merchandise operating at a fixed place of business in the city and county, except such as require permits or licenses in accordance with or under authority of any local health, sanitary or other ordinance under the police power.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 5.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 13 thereof, relating to action of the Board of Supervisors by, and publication of, ordinances and resolutions.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at

the general election to be held on the sixth day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Action by Resolution or Ordinance.

Section 13. Action by the Board of Supervisors shall be by ordinance or resolution in writing introduced by a member or by a committee of said board and passed or adopted by a majority of all the members of the board at each reading. Every legislative act shall be by ordinance. The enacting clause of all ordinances shall be, "Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco." Every ordinance and resolution, except ordinances making appropriations, shall be confined to one subject which shall be clearly expressed in the title, and ordinances making annual or supplemental appropriations shall be confined to the subject of appropriations. Any ordinance enacting or revising and re-enacting a complete municipal code for the city and county, which code shall supersede or repeal all general ordinances prior thereto, shall be construed to be confined to a single subject.

If any subject is embraced in an ordinance and is not expressed in the title thereof, the ordinance shall be void only as to so much thereof as is not expressed in the title. Any ordinance may be amended by an ordinance amending the particular sections thereof.

An ordinance shall be passed by the Board of Supervisors only after reference to and report thereon from committee, unless it be an ordinance prepared and reported out by committee, and after two readings and votes at separate meetings of the board, which meetings shall be at least ten days apart; provided, however, that as to an emergency measure as defined in section 16, reference to committee or the readings and votes at separate meetings may be waived by a three-fourths vote of all members of the board. The existing or impending emergency as defined in such ordinance shall be declared by specific section in such emergency ordinance. No other resolution shall be adopted by the Board of Supervisors on the date of its introduction and without reference to committee, except by the unanimous consent of the supervisors present. The annual appropriation ordinance shall be passed only after two readings, not less than five days apart and the second or final passage shall be not less than fifteen days after the introduction of each such ordinance.

No ordinance granting a franchise shall be finally passed within ninety days of its introduction.

Except as otherwise provided in this charter, or by ordinance, notice of the title or the purport and subject matter of each proposed ordinance which is introduced and referred to committee shall be published within three days after its presentation to the board and a copy of such proposed ordinance shall be kept available for inspection in the office of the clerk of the board. All ordinances shall be published upon passage for second reading.

Emergency ordinances shall be published immediately on passage. Ordinances passed to codify, rearrange and publish existing ordinances, as provided for in section 17, shall not require publication. The term "published" as used in this Charter shall mean publication in the official newspaper as required by charter. The official newspaper is hereby defined to be a daily newspaper of general circulation, published in the City and County and which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8,000 copies.

The vote on all ordinances and resolutions upon each reading shall be by ayes and noes. The vote by ayes and noes on all measures shall be recorded in the journal of the proceedings of the board.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 6.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 108 thereof, relating to repair of accepted streets.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 108 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Repair of Accepted Streets.

Section 108. When any *roadway of a street* or portion thereof for *not less than one continuous block* has been paved in accordance with the specifications of the department of public works, and is in good condition, and sewer, gas and water pipes have been laid therein, the same shall be accepted by the supervisors by ordinance on the written certificate of the city engineer, and thereafter such portion of the *roadway of said street* shall be kept in repair and improved by the city and county. *It shall be the duty of the owner of any property fronting on a public street to keep the sidewalk in front thereof in good repair and condition and the Board of Supervisors is hereby empowered to provide by ordinance for the repair of such sidewalks in all cases where the owner fails and neglects to repair the same.*

Nothing herein contained shall relieve any railway company from making repairs to the roadway of any street in conformity with the terms of its franchise or as provided by law.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 7.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 87 thereof, relating to limitation on claims for damages.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 87 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Limitation on Claims for Damages.

Section 87. All claims for damages against the city and county must be presented to the controller within *sixty days* after the occurrence from which it is claimed the damages have arisen. *Such claims must be verified by the oath of the claimant and must contain the name and address of the claimant, the date and place of the occurrence or injury for which damages are claimed, the nature and amount of said injuries or damages and the items making up said amount; otherwise there shall be no recovery on any such claim or by reason of the said occurrence for which damages are claimed.*

Ordered submitted.

Ayes: Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 8.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend

the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 145 thereof, relating to qualifications and tests, and veterans' preference.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 145 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Qualifications and Tests.

Section 145. All applicants for places in the classified service shall submit to tests, which shall be competitive and without charge to the applicants. The commission shall control all examinations and may employ suitable persons in or out of the public service to act as examiners. The tests may be written, oral, mechanical or physical, or any combination of them, practical in character and related to matters fairly to test the relative capacity of applicants for the positions to be filled. The commission shall be the sole judge of the adequacy of the tests to rate the capacity of applicants to perform service for the city and county. The commission may, for each examination, establish a passing mark or may determine the total number of persons who shall constitute the list of eligibles. The commission shall prepare from the returns of the examiners the list of eligibles, arranged in order of relative excellence. No question submitted to applicants shall refer to political or religious opinions or fraternal affiliations.

Applicants for entrance positions in the uniformed forces of the fire and the police departments shall be not less than twenty-one years of age, nor more than thirty-five years of age at the time of appointment and shall have the physical qualifications required for enlistment in the United States Army, Navy and Marine Corps.

Applicants for positions in the mechanical trades and occupations may, in the discretion of the commission, be rated solely on experience and physical qualifications which may be demonstrated by such evidence and in such manner as the commission may direct, and such applicants may be permitted to such further tests as the commission may require. Examinations of laborers shall relate only to physical qualifications and experience, and laborers establishing their fitness shall rank upon the register in order of priority of application.

The commission may remove all names from the list of eligibles after they have remained thereon for more than two years and all names thereon shall be removed at the expiration of four years. The commission may, however, provide in the scope-circular of any examination that the list of eligibles secured thereby shall automatically expire at a date not less than two or more than four years after the adoption of such list.

Veterans with thirty days or more actual service, and widows of such veterans, who become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in any examination, shall be allowed an additional credit of 5 per cent in making up the list of eligibles secured by such examination. The term "veteran" as used in this section shall be taken to mean any person who has been mustered into, or served in, the Army, or enlisted in, or served in, the Navy or Marine Corps, of the United States, in time of war and received an honorable discharge or certificate of honorable active service, provided that the term "in time of war" as it refers to the World War shall be the period commencing April 6, 1917, and ending November 11, 1918. In the case of promotive examinations, when the passing mark has been attained, a credit of 3 per cent shall be allowed to veterans or to widows of such veterans. The civil service commission shall allow a general preference of not less than 10 per cent and may allow further individual preferences for entrance appointment of veterans who have suffered permanent disability in line of duty, provided that such disability would not prevent the proper performances of the duties required under such service, or employment, and provided that such disability is of record in the

United States Veterans Administration; provided further that such veterans first become eligible for appointment by attaining the passing mark in the examination.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 9.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 11 thereof, relating to suspension and removal of elected and appointive officials.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 11 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Suspension and Removal.

Section 11. Any elective municipal officer, including municipal court judges, and any member of the civil service commission or public utilities commission or school board may be suspended by the mayor and removed by the board of supervisors for official misconduct, and the mayor shall appoint a qualified person to discharge the duties of the office during the period of suspension. On such suspension, the mayor shall immediately notify the supervisors thereof in writing and the cause therefor, and shall present written charges against such suspended officer to the board of supervisors at or prior to its next regular meeting following such suspension, and shall immediately furnish copy of same to such officer, who shall have the right to appear with counsel before the board in his defense. Hearing by the supervisors shall be held not less than five days after the filing of written charges. If the charges are deemed to be sustained by not less than a three-fourths vote of all members of the board, the suspended officer shall be removed from office, if not so sustained, or if not acted on by the board of supervisors within thirty days after the filing of written charges, the suspended officer shall thereby be reinstated.

The mayor must immediately remove from office any elective official convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude, and failure of the mayor so to act shall constitute official misconduct on his part.

Any appointee of the mayor, exclusive of civil service and public utilities commissioners, and members of the school board, may be removed by the mayor. Any nominee or appointee of the mayor whose appointment is subject to confirmation by the board of supervisors, except the chief administrative officer and the controller, as in this charter otherwise provided, may be removed by a majority of such board and with the concurrence of the mayor. In each case, written notice shall be given or transmitted to such appointee of such removal, the date of effectiveness thereof, and the reasons therefor, a copy of which notice shall be printed at length in the journal of proceedings of the board of supervisors, together with such reply in writing as such official may make. Any appointee of the mayor or the board of supervisors guilty of official misconduct or convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude must be removed by the mayor or the board of supervisors, as the case may be, and failure of the mayor or any supervisor to take such action shall constitute official misconduct on his or their part.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 10.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 69 thereof, relating to Budget Estimates.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County of San Francisco at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend Section 69 of the Charter of said City and County as follows:

Budget Estimates.

Section 69. The fiscal year for the city and county shall begin on the first day of July of each year.

The budget estimate for every department and office of the city and county, whether under an elective or an appointive officer or a board or commission, and separately for each utility under the control of the public utilities commission, shall be filed by the executive of such department with, and shall be acted upon by, such board or commission. All budget estimates shall be compiled in such detail as shall be required on uniform blanks furnished by the controller. The public utilities commission and the board of education must hold public hearings on their respective budget proposals. Each such elective and appointive officer, board or commission shall, not later than the *15th day of February* of each year, file with the controller for check as to form and completeness two copies of the budget estimate as approved.

The chief administrative officer shall obtain in ample time to pass thereon budget estimates from the heads of departments or offices subject to his control, and, after adjusting or revising the same, not later than the *15th day of February* he shall transmit such budget estimates to the controller.

The controller shall check such estimates and shall, upon his request, be furnished with any additional data or information. Not later than the 15th day of March of each year he shall consolidate such budget estimates and transmit the same to the mayor.

He shall at the same time transmit to the mayor a summary and recapitulation of such budget estimates, segregated by separate departments or offices and units thereof, or by purposes for non-departmental expenditures, and arrange according to classification of objects of expenditure, as required by the controller, to show the amount of proposed expenditures and estimated revenues in comparison with the current and previous fiscal year's expenditures and revenues.

He shall submit at the same time (1) statements showing revenues and other receipts, including the estimated unencumbered surplus in any item or fund at the beginning of the ensuing fiscal year, segregated according to specific or general purposes to which such revenues or receipts are legally applicable, for the last complete fiscal year and for the first six months of the current fiscal year, with estimates thereof for the last six months of the current fiscal year, together with estimates of such revenues and receipts for the ensuing fiscal year; (2) statements of the amounts required for interest on, and sinking fund or redemption of, each outstanding bond issue, and for tax judgments and other fixed charges, together with estimates of interest required on bonds proposed to be sold during the ensuing fiscal year, and statements of the city's authorized debt, and judgments outstanding at the time the budget estimates are submitted.

The mayor shall hold such public hearings on these budget estimates as he may deem necessary and may increase, decrease or reject any item contained in the estimates, excepting that he shall not increase any amount nor add any new item for personal services, materials, supplies or contractual services, but may add to the requested appropriations for any public improvement or capital expenditure;

provided, however, that the budget estimates of expenditures for any utility, within the estimated revenues of such utility, shall not be increased by the mayor or board of supervisors.

Ordered submitted.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

CHARTER AMENDMENT No. 11.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 71 and 73 thereof and by repealing the fifth paragraph of Section 151 thereof, and by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 70.2 thereof, relating to the salaries, wages and compensations of the officers and employees of the said City and County and to deductions from said salaries, wages and compensations during the period of emergency described and declared therein.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1934, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County as follows:

That Section 71 of said charter be amended to read as follows:

Personal Service Estimates.

Section 71. All rates of salary, or wages, or other compensation of all officers and employees of the city and county other than specific rates of compensation fixed and provided for designated officers and employees by other sections of this charter, and subject to any deductions made by section 70.1 and 70.2 of this charter shall be fixed and determined at the time of the preparation of the annual budget estimates and the adoption of the annual budget and appropriation ordinances, and no increase in any such rate shall be effective prior to the start of the fiscal year for which the budget is adopted. Salary and wage rates for classes of employments subject to salary standardization, as in this charter provided, shall be fixed in the manner provided in this charter. Salary and wage rates for classes of employment not subject to salary standardization, exclusive of compensations fixed by this charter, shall be recommended by the officer, board or commission having appointive power for such employments, and fixed by the budget and the annual salary ordinance except as otherwise provided by sections 70.1 and 70.2 of this charter. Pending the adoption of salary standards as in this charter provided, the salary and wage rates for positions subject to such standardization shall be as recommended by the officer, board or commission having appointing power for such positions and fixed by the budget and annual salary ordinance, except as otherwise provided by sections 70.1 and 70.2 of this charter; provided that no compensation shall be fixed or increased so as to exceed the generally prevailing salary or wage paid for similar services and of like character and for like or similar services and working conditions in private employments in San Francisco, or in San Francisco Bay Region, or, for purely governmental services, in other governmental organizations in California, nor so as to exceed the rate fixed for such service or position in the proposed schedule of compensations issued by the civil service commission of the city and county under date of April 9, 1930, except as such proposed schedule of compensations is amended as provided in this charter, or extended by the civil service commission to include classifications not included therein.

That Section 73 of said charter be amended to read as follows:

Annual Salary Ordinance.

Section 73. The number and rates of compensation for all positions continued or created in all city and county departments and organiza-

tion subdivisions thereof by this charter, or thereunder by the authorized appointing officers and by the supervisors in adopting each annual budget and each annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance, shall be established and enumerated in a salary ordinance continuing and creating such positions, and providing the rates of compensation therefor, which ordinance shall be adopted or amended at the same time as any aforesaid annual or supplemental appropriation ordinance is adopted. Such ordinance shall be subdivided for each department or office and for such organization subdivision thereof as the department head, the civil service commission or the controller may require. The positions enumerated therein shall be segregated according to classes and titles, and, for positions in the classified civil service or subject to the salary standardization provisions of this charter, according to the civil service classification of employments. Such positions under any such class or title in any department or office, or organization subdivision thereof, shall not be listed individually or subdivided, except where necessary to show varying rates of pay for employments included in any such class. In adopting any annual salary ordinance or amendments thereto, the board of supervisors shall not have power to increase any rate of compensation over the amount fixed in the budget for such fiscal year, or appropriation ordinances supplemental thereto, nor add any new item for personal services in addition to those specified in such respective budget or appropriation ordinances. Any increase in the number of positions allowed for any department or office, or organization subdivision thereof, and seniority or other compensation increases authorized as provided elsewhere in this charter or under salary standardization for officers or employees, may be covered by amendments of the appropriate section of the salary ordinance in this section referred to, provided, that authorized compensation increases shall take effect only at the beginning of each fiscal year. The rates of salary or wage or other compensation for all officers and employees that are subject to salary standardization as in this charter provided shall, in the annual salary ordinance to be adopted for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1935, and the annual salary ordinance for each fiscal year thereafter, be fixed and standardized as provided by the salary standardization provisions of this charter. The said annual salary ordinance shall constitute the legal basis for check by the civil service commission and the controller as to the legality of the creation of any position in the city and county service and the maximum rate of compensation fixed therefor.

That the fifth paragraph of section 151 of said charter, reading as follows: "Where any compensation paid on January 1, 1931, is higher than the standard compensation fixed as provided in this section for such position or employment, said compensation shall be continued to the incumbent of such position as long as he legally holds said position, and department heads, in cooperation with the civil service commission where said commission has jurisdiction, shall continuously offer all possible opportunities to said incumbents to assume duties and responsibilities in higher classifications consistent with the higher rates of compensation hereby continued. New entrants to such positions shall be paid at the rates fixed for such duties, responsibilities and positions by the schedule of compensations hereinbefore in this section referred to."—is hereby repealed.

That said charter be amended by adding thereto a new section to be designated as Section 70.2 to read as follows:

Emergency Declared—Deductions in Compensations Thereunder.

Section 70.2. A public emergency is hereby declared to exist in the City and County of San Francisco within the meaning of the provisions of section 70.1 of this charter, and also by reason of the existing business depression, accompanied by shrunken values of property, and decreased incomes and decreased ability of taxpayers of said city and county to pay public taxes, which said emergency is anticipated to

continue, and is hereby so declared, will continue until the end of the fiscal year 1934-35 and thereafter until such time as the board of supervisors, by majority vote of all the members thereof, shall declare that said public emergency no longer exists.

While said emergency as herein declared shall continue to exist, there shall be deducted from the gross salaries and compensations, exclusive of pension and retirement allowances, of each officer and employee of the city and county of San Francisco, including officers and employees of the board of education, the respective amounts hereinafter set forth. Said deductions shall be made on the basis of the salary and compensation rate of said several officers and employees which were in effect during the calendar month of March, 1933, and not reduced by the provisions of section 70.1 of this charter.

The deductions from the salary or compensation of each officer or employee heretofore referred to shall be as follows, to-wit:

(a) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings do not exceed \$175 per month, no deduction.

(b) From the salaries or compensations of officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$175 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$185 per month, ten (10) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(c) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$185 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$275 per month, twelve and one-half (12½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(d) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$275 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$600 per month, fifteen (15) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of said officers or employees.

(e) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$600 per month and do not exceed the sum of \$834 per month, eighteen (18) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(f) From the salaries or compensations of all officers or employees whose gross earnings exceed the sum of \$834 per month, twenty (20) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of each of said officers or employees.

(g) Provided, however, that no more than five and one-half (5½) per cent of the gross monthly earnings of per diem employees whose compensations are fixed on the basis of a five-day week shall be deducted from the salaries or earnings of any such employees.

(h) Provided further that no salary or compensation in the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than \$175 a month, inclusive of pension requirements.

(i) Provided, further, that no salary or compensation in any of the above mentioned brackets shall be reduced so that it will be less than a reduced salary of a lower salary bracket, inclusive of pension requirements.

Said deductions shall be made from said earnings or compensations in monthly or semi-monthly installments according to the time at which said salaries or compensations are paid, provided that where the earnings of any officer or employee are on an hourly or per diem basis, deductions based on his total earnings for the month shall be deducted from the installment of said earnings paid for the last half of the month. The amount of said deduction shall be applied to meet the cost of unemployment relief to be met by the City and County in so far as such application is necessary.

On or after July 1, 1935, or to become effective on or after said date, the board of supervisors by a majority vote of all members

thereof may make such reduction as it shall deem proper in the respective rates of deduction specified herein in this section if and when the public emergency herein in this section declared, has actually become less acute, on the basis of pronounced business improvement and generally increased employment, property values, incomes and ability to pay public taxes, and is so officially declared by a majority vote of all members of said board; provided, that, if and when standardization of compensations, as in this charter provided, shall go into force and effect, and, as a result thereof, any compensation paid to any officer or employee shall be reduced by a greater amount than the amount of reduction herein in this section provided, the board of supervisors by majority vote may direct that the rate and amount of reduction herein in this section provided for said officer or employee be reduced or be eliminated.

The provisions of section 70.1 of this charter shall continue in force and effect in all particulars where they are not changed or modified by the provisions of this section.

The Roll was called on the motion to *order submitted* with the following results:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Motion to Reconsider.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced, Supervisor Havenner changed his vote from No to Aye and moved for reconsideration on the next legislative day and the vote then stood:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, raised the point of order that Supervisor Havenner's motion to reconsider was out of order inasmuch as time for legal publication of the Charter amendment was run against further consideration.

Chair (President McSheehy) ruled the point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, reiterated his point of order against Supervisor Havenner's motion to reconsider, and again the chair overruled the point of order.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the President be asked to step down from the chair and that a new chairman be elected. President McSheehy refused to consider the motion, declaring that he had been elected to office for a term of two years, and that the motion at this time was out of order.

Motion to Adjourn Defeated.

Whereupon, Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the Board now adjourn. Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Special Election for Office of Public Defender.

The following resolution was presented and adopted by the following vote:

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1562, as follows:

Resolved, that a special election to be held in the City and County

of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 6, 1934, and the same is hereby called for said date for the purpose of electing a qualified person to the office of Public Defender of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided in Section 5 of the Charter of the City and County; and be it further

Resolved, that the Registrar of Voters be and he is hereby directed to issue the necessary proclamation and notice of said election and to consolidate said special election, as provided by law, with the general State election to be held on the said 6th day of November, 1934.

Recommended by Judiciary Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Leave of Absence, Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., September 19, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Mr. Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, has this day informed me that the boat upon which he is leaving for his vacation trip will depart from San Francisco on September 23 and is scheduled to return on October 9.

May I therefore ask that the permission granted Mr. Bryant by resolution of your Board, permitting him to leave the State on September 24, be changed to conform with the schedule above mentioned?

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1579, as follows:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolved, That in accordance with recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, beginning September 23, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Proposed Charter Amendments Disapproved.

Whereupon, the following proposed Charter amendments were taken up and *refused* submission by the following vote:

Charter Amendment No. 4.

Amends Section 9—Submitted by City Attorney and committee under direction of the Board. Authorizes the Supervisors to impose license taxes both for revenue and regulation.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 5.

Amends Section 24—Submitted by Judiciary Committee and the City Attorney under direction of the Board. Eliminates the examination for fixing places of business on license taxes.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 10.

Amends Section 145—Submitted by Civil Service Commission. Prescribes method of civil service examinations and requires every examination to be at least 65 per cent written.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 12.

Amends Section 79—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Authorizes the Mayor in an emergency declared by him to expend money on order to department heads, and provides reimbursement of Emergency Reserve Fund.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 14.

Amends Section 35—Submitted by Junior Chamber of Commerce. Provides that the Chief of Police shall not be removed except for cause on charges filed by the Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Charter Amendment No. 15.

Amends Section 36—Submitted by Junior Chamber of Commerce. Provides that the Chief Engineer of the Fire Department shall not be removed except for cause.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Charter Amendment No. 16.

Adds Section 9.1—Submitted by Supervisor Shannon. Empowers the Board of Supervisors to issue combined franchise for collection and disposal of garbage by incineration.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Uhl—7.

Charter Amendment No. 18.

Adds Section 20.1.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Empowers department heads to reduce working time and compensation of employees in the respective departments.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Charter Amendment No. 19.

Amends Sections 166 and 169.—Submitted by Bureau of Governmental Research. Requires all police and firemen to contribute to the pension system on the same basis as other city employees.

Ayes—None.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon the Board, at the hour of 1:50 p. m., September 22, 1934, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 24, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:35 p. m.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of September 14, 15 and 17, 1934, were considered read and approved.

Report of Registrar on Initiative Petition of \$3.50 Tax Rate.

The following was presented, read, and *ordered spread in the record*:
To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California:

I, C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, hereby certify that I have examined the attached petition entitled "Petition to the Board of Supervisors to submit to a vote of the registered voters and qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Section 72 of the Charter thereof relating to the 'adoption of Budget and Appropriation Ordinance.'"

I have verified the signatures thereon by comparing the same with the records of registration of electors of the City and County of San Francisco up to and including September 7, 1934, and I find and hereby certify that 18,295 qualified registered electors of the City and County of San Francisco whose names appear upon the records of registration of said City and County have signed said petition.

In witness whereof I have set my hand and seal of my office this 24th day of September, 1934.

C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters hereto passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Creating Underground District—Nineteenth Avenue.

(Code No. 11.12)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Bill No. 623, Ordinance No. 11.127, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section, to be known as Section 1 EEE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 EEE. An additional district to those heretofore described

within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after September 30, 1934, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 71, Nineteenth avenue between the northerly line of Lincoln way and the southerly line of Eucalyptus drive, also Nineteenth avenue extension between Eucalyptus drive and Junipero Serra boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Improvement of Cayuga Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 624, Ordinance No. 12.061149, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors August 13, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cayuga avenue between Gorham street and the easterly line of Milton street, where not already so improved, at the following locations:

The northerly one-half between Gorham street and Badger street; the southerly one-half opposite the intersection of Danton street, and the southerly one-half between the easterly and westerly lines of Milton street produced southerly; by the construction of the following:

Item No. 1—Armored concrete curbs.

Item No. 2—6-inch V. C. P. side sewers.

Item No. 3—Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

Item No. 4—One-course concrete sidewalk, 6 feet in width.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as Lots 3 and 4 of Block 6783; Lots 2, 3 and 41 of Block 6786; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above-mentioned work.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.**Leave of Absence—W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

September 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco:
Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by William J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing October 12, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

September 19, 1934.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mayor: From October 12th up to and including November 12, I have planned to take my annual vacation.

My proposed route of travel will extend beyond the boundaries of the State of California. I intend to visit the Century of Progress Exposition at Chicago, Illinois, and also inspect penal institutions in various parts of the United States.

I respectfully request approval of a resolution by the Board of Supervisors to that effect.

Your favorable recommendation in connection therewith will be deeply appreciated and considered a personal favor.

Thanking you for your graciousness in the foregoing matter, I am,

Very respectfully,

W. J. FITZGERALD, Sheriff.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1580, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period from October 12 up to and including November 12, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence—Dr. T. R. Creely, Fire Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. T. R. Creely, Fire Commissioner, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of five weeks, commencing September 25th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1585, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. T. R. Creely, Fire Commissioner, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five weeks, commencing September 25, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence, John P. Murphy, Playground Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 25, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable John P. Murphy, member of the Playground Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing September 25, 1934.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1586, as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the Mayor, Honorable John P. Murphy, member of the Playground Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing September 25, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Shannon—3.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were adopted:

Vote Cast at State Primary Election Held August 28, 1934.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1581, as follows:

Resolved, That at the State Primary Election held August 28, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the whole number of votes cast in the 1053 precincts was 166,113.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Approval of Statement of Votes, Record Book "A M," State Primary Election Held August 28, 1934.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1582, as follows:

Resolved, That the Record Book marked "A M," statement of votes polled at State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, August 28, 1934, be and the

same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, August 28, 1934, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco to each of such persons.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

**Directing Registrar of Voters to Transmit to Secretary of State
Certified Copy of Statement of Votes of State Primary Election
Held August 28, 1934.**

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1583, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State a certified copy of the statement of votes of State Primary Election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, August 28, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Condemning Land for Crossovers on Sloat Boulevard.

(Code No. 6.0211)

On recommendation of Streets Committee:

Resolution No. 1584, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of land situated in San Francisco, California:

Parcel 1: Opposite Twenty-second Avenue—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, produced northerly and a line parallel with and 50 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence northerly along said westerly line so produced, 35.008 feet to a line parallel with and 85 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Sloat boulevard; thence deflecting 91 degrees 14 minutes 40 seconds to the right and running easterly along last named parallel line, 55.013 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue produced northerly; thence deflecting 88 degrees 45 minutes 20 seconds to the right and running southerly along said easterly line produced northerly, 35.008 feet to first mentioned parallel line; thence deflecting 91 degrees 14 minutes 40 seconds to the right and running westerly along last named line, 55.013 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2: Opposite Twenty-sixth Avenue—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Twenty-sixth avenue, produced northerly, and a line parallel with and 50 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence northerly along said westerly line so produced, 35 feet to a line parallel with and 85 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Sloat boulevard; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running easterly along last named parallel line, 55 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-sixth avenue produced northerly; thence deflecting 90 degrees 00 minutes 50 seconds to the right and running southerly along said easterly line produced northerly, 35 feet to first mentioned parallel line; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running westerly along last named line 55 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 3: Opposite El Mirasol Place—Beginning at the point formed

by the intersection of a line parallel with and distant 50 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard with a line parallel with and 10 feet at right angles easterly from the westerly line of El Mirasol place; thence northerly along last named parallel line, 35 feet to a line parallel with and 85 feet at right angles northerly from said line of Sloat boulevard; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running easterly along last named parallel line, 80 feet; thence deflecting 90 degrees 00 minutes 50 seconds to the right and running southerly, 35 feet to first mentioned parallel line; thence deflecting 89 degrees 59 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running westerly along last named line, 80 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 4: Opposite Forty-fourth Avenue—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Forty-fourth avenue, produced southerly, and a curve concentric with and radially distance 85 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Sloat boulevard; thence southerly along said westerly line so produced 35.722 feet to a curve concentric with and radially distant 50 feet northeasterly from said line of Sloat boulevard; thence southeasterly on the arc of the last named curve to the right, whose tangent deflects 78 degrees 28 minutes 33 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 1432.58 feet, central angle 2 degrees 52 minutes 23 seconds, a distance of 71.836 feet to the easterly line of Forty-fourth avenue produced southerly; thence deflecting 104 degrees 23 minutes 50 seconds to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve and running northerly along said easterly line so produced, 36.083 feet to the first mentioned concentric curve; thence northwesterly on the arc of the last named curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 75 degrees 57 minutes 11 seconds to the left from the preceding course, radius 1467.58 feet, central angle 2 degrees 48 minutes 05 seconds, a distance of 71.755 feet to the point of beginning.

Be It Further Resolved, That said parcels of land are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance and use of roadways across the Gough Street Railroad Company right of way extending along Sloat boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said lands.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Sale of Refrigerated Meats in San Francisco.

Supervisor Brown presented:

Price list of Davidson Meat Company, Los Angeles, listing refrigerated meat delivered in San Francisco at prices 15 to 20 per cent lower than the prices in this city.

Referred to Commercial Development Committee.

Aquatic Park Project, Information Requested.

Supervisor Uhl requested the following information from the Park Commission:

How much money is required to complete the Aquatic Park Project?

Has the SERA been asked to consider the project?

Can any of our unemployed be placed on that project in order to complete it?

So ordered.

Unpaid Public Service Corporation Bills Against Unemployed.

Supervisor Uhl announced a meeting of the Public Welfare Committee Thursday, September 27, at 10 a. m. to consider the matter of unpaid bills of Public Utility Companies against unemployed. Representatives of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the Pacific Telegraph & Telephone Company and the San Francisco Water Department to be invited to attend.

Motion to Reconsider Proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 Relative to Salary Deductions.

Consideration of the motion of Supervisor Havenner to reconsider the vote taken on proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 providing for salary deductions of municipal officers and employees, made by Supervisor Havenner at the meeting of Friday, September 21, 1934, was taken up.

Communication from Civil Service Commission.

The following communication from the Civil Service Commission was presented and read by the Clerk:

Report of Civil Service Commission on Proposed Charter Amendment re Salary Deductions.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:
September 24, 1934.

His Honor, The Mayor, Angelo J. Rossi,
San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Mr. Mayor:

In response to your request for a report on the provisions of the proposed charter amendment dealing with salaries of Municipal employees and the probable effect on pay rolls thereunder, we submit the following information.

The Judiciary Committee of the Board of Supervisors asked us for a report on this proposed amendment and in reply, after outlining the more important phases of the proposed amendment, we said:

"We feel it inappropriate that we should express any opinion as to the policy involved in the proposal. If we have any criticism to offer it is that the proposed amendment was submitted so late that it is not possible for the departments of the city government which will be given the responsibility of the administration of the provisions to study the proposals involved and to have an opportunity to discuss our reactions with those who submit the proposal."

If our comment was justified in respect to the amendment as submitted to the Judiciary Committee, it is even more justified in respect to the proposed amendment as passed by the Board of Supervisors because the Board of Supervisors altered the amendment while it was under consideration on the floor in several important and fundamental respects. Most of these changes were made after some of the defects were called to the attention of the sponsors of the amendment. The language incorporating these alterations was hastily written. It is our opinion that some of the provisions are illegal, some are indefinite, and we believe that some go far beyond the expressed intent of the sponsors.

As approved by the Board of Supervisors, the amendment contains the following important changes over the existing law:

1. It removes the present provisions in Sections 71 and 151 that salaries of employees shall not be reduced below the compensation

paid employees on January 1, 1931, so long as these employees shall legally hold their positions, and requires that salary standardization shall be put into effect on July 1, 1935. These compensations shall not exceed the generally prevailing rate in private employment in the Bay Region for similar work.

You will recall that last June the sponsors of this amendment estimated that salary standardization and the removal of the Jan. 1, 1931, salary protection would produce a yearly savings of \$3,000,000 in payrolls. In a report to you, under date of June 8, 1934, we showed that this estimated savings was entirely improbable and we said that we would be surprised if the reductions amounted to half that amount. The sponsors of this amendment have now revised their estimate to between \$1,000,000 and \$1,500,000 per year. We are willing, for estimate purposes, to assume a probable savings of \$1,250,000 per year through the operation of this provision. In our June 8th report we showed that substantially all of the reductions would come from the intermediate salary brackets, those, in general, receiving from \$136 to \$300 per month. There are 3399 such employees whose compensations are subject to these provisions at a total present payroll of \$7,488,798. This is an average gross salary (before present schedule of deductions is applied) of \$183, assuming that \$1,000,000 of the \$1,250,000 reductions would occur in this group. The new average gross salary would be \$159 per month, to which would be applied a proposed higher schedule of deductions unless under certain conditions and in certain cases the Board of Supervisors chooses to reduce or eliminate the proposed schedule of deductions.

It is our opinion that the heaviest reductions from this provision will fall on the older employees. These are, in a very large number of cases, the employees who in years past have performed the more responsible duties of the various departments and whose salaries, because of this fact and because of seniority, have been gradually increased over the years. Many have 20, 25 and 30 years of service. They are approaching the age of retirement. Some of these are now assigned less arduous or responsible duties. Here are typical cases that come to mind. These employees are now performing duties classified as General Clerk, although until very recent years they were performing much more responsible duties. Their present salaries are \$225 and a few at \$250 and their service ranges from 20 to 25 years. We know that at the present time, clerks in private employment are being paid from \$75 or less upwards. What the prevailing rate is, we don't know but we very much doubt that it is more than \$125 or \$130 and perhaps not that much. These employees will be reduced to the wage now prevailing in private employment under the proposed amendment. Furthermore, when his salary is reduced to the rate paid in private employment, his pension rights, status and benefits are directly and immediately changed. The ultimate savings to the City through material reductions in pension benefits can only be estimated by the actuary of the Retirement Board, but we believe it safe to estimate that the direct reductions in payroll due to this provision will be about \$1,250,000 per year or approximately \$100,000 per month.

2. The amendment declares an emergency and provides that the said emergency shall be deemed to continue until the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote shall declare that the emergency has ceased to exist. Under the present law, the emergency automatically expires at the end of the fiscal year and can only be renewed by a 3/4 vote of the Supervisors and the concurrence of the Mayor. It provides that during the continuance of the said emergency there shall be deducted from the gross salaries of all officers and employees *as the same existed in March, 1933*, the following amounts:

From salaries above \$175 up to \$185—10%.

From salaries above \$185 up to \$275—12½%.

From salaries above \$275 up to \$600—15%.

From salaries above \$600 up to \$834—18%.

From salaries above \$834—20%.

From salaries of per diem employees—5½%.

It was provided, however, that such deductions should not cause the compensation of any persons receiving in excess of \$175 to be reduced below \$175 and further that no salary in a higher bracket shall be reduced below a reduced salary in a lower bracket through the application of such schedule of deductions.

The payroll reduction affected through these emergency deductions as provided under this provision is estimated by us to be something less than \$120,000 per month. This figure of \$120,000 takes into account the provision that no salary shall be reduced below \$175 per month through the application of this schedule of emergency reductions. Our estimate does not take into account the effect of the last proviso that salaries in a higher bracket shall not be reduced below a salary in a lower bracket through the application of the schedule of deductions. We believe the effect to be negligible, and we therefore estimate the deductions under this schedule will amount to between \$115,000 and \$120,000 per month exclusive of school teachers. This compares with deductions of \$87,000 under the present schedules—or a net increase in deductions of \$28,000 to \$33,000 per month.

3. It provides that these deductions shall be made from the salaries of teachers in spite of the fact that the Board of Education has already reduced salaries and signed contracts with teachers in which these reduced salaries are incorporated.

We do not believe that this provision is legal. The courts in numerous actions have held in effect that school teachers are not City and County employees. We think the provision has no force or effect and therefore such deduction can not be made from teachers' salaries.

4. Salary increases, as authorized by Charter and as shall be provided under salary standardization, shall become effective at the first of the fiscal year only.

The present charter provides for automatic increases for firemen after one year of service to \$190 and after two years of service to \$200. Under present provision these increases become effective on the anniversary of appointment. If appointed on September 1st, he receives his increase to \$190 on September 1 of the following year. Under the proposed amendment, instead of getting his increase after 12 months' service the same employee would remain at the entrance rate 21 months. The same effect would occur in case of automatic increases provided under salary standardization. This provision would not prevent increases, it simply defers them in most cases. The probable savings are negligible, at present probably \$100 or \$150 per month because only firemen are involved just now, but for purposes of estimate we will say an average of \$12,000 per year or \$1000 per month, after salary standardization becomes effective.

5. It provides that these schedules of emergency deductions based on the March, 1933, salaries, shall be applied to the permanently reduced salaries accomplished through salary standardization, except that the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote may reduce or eliminate the percentage of deduction for any employee if that employee's salary is reduced by salary standardization in a greater amount than the schedule of deductions herein set forth.

We believe the above paraphrasing describes the effect of this provision although it may not have been the intent that an employee should continue to have deducted from his salary an amount based on his March, 1933, salary after his salary is reduced under salary standardization. The provision would have the following effect: Assume a man at \$300 per month in March, 1933. His emergency deduction

amounts to \$45 per month as fixed in the proposed amendment. Assume further that we find that persons performing such duties in private employment are paid \$200 per month at present. Under the amendment this man will be reduced to \$200 on July 1st subject to a further emergency deduction of \$45, leaving him \$155 from which his pension contribution is taken. But it is provided that the emergency deductions shall not cause a salary to be reduced below \$175, so we assume that in this case the employee would receive a new salary of \$175, in which case his emergency deduction would be \$25 instead of \$45. Under the amendment, however, if he can secure the votes of six Supervisors he can be exempted from part or all of this emergency deduction. If, on the other hand, an employee in March, 1933, is receiving \$175 and through salary standardization is increased to \$200, he would under this provision not be subject to any emergency deductions whatsoever from this higher salary because the amendment exempts employees at \$175 as of March, 1933, from the emergency deductions. Because of these various provisions it is not possible to estimate the effect.

We do not concern ourselves with the merits of the arguments of those advocating or opposing salary reductions as such. We are concerned, however, that sound, equitable and reasonable principles shall be applied and used in effecting such reductions and such salary standardization. We believe that the provisions of this amendment are inequitable, unsound, and unscientific in several important respects. We illustrate this by the following questions:

If it is the purpose to accomplish salary standardization and put into effect on July 1, 1935, schedules of compensation that shall be not higher than those in private employment, why is it provided the emergency deductions ranging from 10 to 20 per cent (and based on March, 1933, salaries) shall be deducted from these new, lower, standardized salaries? We do not believe this reasonable or equitable.

If, as expressed in the amendment, it is desired that, beginning July 1, 1935, City salaries shall be comparable with those in private employment, why is it provided that City compensations shall be reduced even below these existing private wage levels through the device of continuing these emergency deductions after municipal wage scales are reduced to conform to those in private employment? We do not believe that this is reasonable or equitable.

If the sponsors of this amendment desire to take the question of municipal salaries out of politics and to set up a basis that will permit the fixing of these salaries scientifically, equitably and impersonally, why is it provided that individual employees, under certain conditions, may be exempted from the emergency salary deductions by the vote of six Supervisors? Is that sound? Does that square with the impersonal and equitable principles that must govern the application of salary standardization?

The estimates we have made herein indicate that the probable savings during the fiscal year 1934-35 if this amendment is approved and ratified will total about \$115,100 per month. Under the present provisions, the savings amount to \$87,000 per month. Therefore, there will be a net gain of about \$28,100 per month in these savings under the proposed amendment, none of which can be reflected in the tax rate.

It is more difficult to estimate the savings for the year 1935-36 and thereafter because of the various exemptions and provisos. We estimate the salary standardization provision will reduce salaries about \$100,000 per month. Savings through deferring salary increases may amount to \$1000 per month. Application of the continuation of emergency deductions will range up to \$115,000 per month, depending upon how many individual exemptions shall be allowed by the Board of Supervisors. We would therefore estimate the probable savings from the entire amendment at from \$191,000 to \$216,000 per month, beginning July 1, 1935.

The estimates herein made are based on studies made since Saturday noon and, while we believe them to be accurate, we may find it necessary to revise them in some particulars after more prolonged study.

Respectfully,

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

(Signed) JAMES J. MAHER, Secretary.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing further argument in regard to proposed Charter Amendment No. 11.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Supervisor Hayden moved that John J. Dailey, representing Municipal Employees, be granted the privilege of the floor.

Supervisor Uhl objected.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved the privilege of the floor to John J. Dailey.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncoviari, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Thereupon, John J. Dailey was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He pointed out that the proposed Charter Amendment No. 11, regarding salary deductions, was in improper form and violates Section 24, Article IV, of the Constitution of the State of California. No amendment can be made to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco which is a statutory act by reference to title merely.

Point made by Mr. Dailey before Board of Supervisors, Monday, September 24, 1934:

The attempt made to amend Section 151 by striking out the fifth paragraph is in conflict with Section 24, Article IV of the Constitution. The provision of the Constitution reads as follows:

"Every act shall embrace but one subject, which subject shall be expressed in its title. . . . No law shall be revised or amended by reference to its title; but in such case the act revised or section amended shall be re-enacted and published at length as revised or amended. . . ."

The proposed amendment would strike out one paragraph only of Section 151 of the Charter which deals with standardization of compensations. The result would be to leave in the charter a modified and changed section dealing with standardization without re-enacting the section as amended.

The Supreme Court has held many times that to revise or amend a particular section of a law or statute the section so amended must be re-enacted as amended.

The Supreme Court has also held in a number of cases that a charter of a city is a public statute or law, and that it is not only a law of the particular municipality. It is a law of the State, having the same force and effect as a law directly enacted by the Legislature.

Charter Amendment No. 17 proposes in itself to amend several sections of the Charter as it now reads. The amendment proposed to Section 73, governing the adoption of an annual salary ordinance, is designed to tie into Section 151, which provides for standardization.

Further argument as made by Mr. Dailey to the effect that the new

Section 70.2 purports in itself to declare an emergency which is recited to continue until the Board of Supervisors by a majority vote shall declare that the emergency no longer exists. An emergency of the character defined in the proposed section is dependent upon the existence of facts which are recited in the amendment as constituting an emergency. A proper charter provision should provide for a determination by some board or body as to whether or not the existing conditions make an emergency.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney's office be requested to make a study of the points raised by Mr. Dailey and report back in 24 hours.

So ordered.

Committee of the Whole Rises.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Committee now rise and report progress.

So ordered.

Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Havenner moved that further consideration of the motion to reconsider be deferred until 2 o'clock tomorrow afternoon.

Motion carried.

Statement for the Record.

Supervisor Uhl asked that it be made a matter of record that the foregoing subject matter can go over until tomorrow and still be in legal form. City Attorney takes responsibility for errors in publication.

City Attorney O'Toole being called upon, declared that there was still time for legal publication of the proposed Charter Amendment if the matter was deferred until 2 o'clock tomorrow.

Explanation of the Vote.

Supervisor Shannon made the statement, as an explanation of his vote for delay, that he had recently received further important information which he wished to bring to the attention of the members of the Board of Supervisors at the recessed meeting relative to the incineration of garbage by a method which would net a saving to the city of at least 2 cents in the tax rate.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that further consideration of his motion to reconsider proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 re: salary deductions be deferred until 2 p. m. tomorrow, Tuesday, September 24, 1934.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Schmidt, Uhl—3.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until tomorrow, Tuesday, September 25, 1934, at 2 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to recess of Monday, September 24, 1934, for the purpose of giving further consideration to the motion of Supervisor Havenner to reconsider the vote on Charter Amendment No. 11.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Motion Pending.

The following motion by Supervisor Havenner, laid over from yesterday's meeting, was taken up, to-wit:

"That the Board of Supervisors reconsider the vote whereby proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 re salary deductions was ordered submitted to the electors."

City Attorney's Opinion on Points of Law Raised by John J. Dailey.

The following communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 25, 1934.

Subject—In re submission of Charter Amendment No. 71 amending Sections 71 and 73 of the Charter and repealing a paragraph of Section 151 and adding a new section to said Charter.

Gentlemen: In answer to your verbal request made at your meeting of the 24th instant, wherein you direct my attention to the above mentioned Charter amendment, and especially to certain observations made by Mr. John J. Dailey as to the same being unconstitutional, I beg to advise you as follows:

OPINION.

Mr. Dailey first calls attention to the fact that the amendment attempts to repeal the fifth paragraph of Section 151 without the formality of republishing said section in its entirety as amended. He quotes Section 24, Article IV of the Constitution, the pertinent portion of which reads as follows:

"Every act shall embrace but one subject, which subject shall be expressed in its title. . . . No law shall be revised or amended by reference to its title; but in such case the act revised or section amended shall be re-enacted and published at length as revised or amended; . . ."

Mr. Dailey cited to your board many decisions of our Supreme Court and of the District Court of Appeal which seem to bear out his contention.

I believe it is a well settled rule in law that a charter provision is a law of the State, but whether its enactment comes within the above quoted provision of the Constitution has never been definitely settled by our Supreme Court. As a matter of precaution, you are, therefore, advised that Section 151 of the Charter should appear in its entirety on the ballot for the coming election, omitting therefrom the paragraph you have in mind to amend.

In the case entitled *In re Fahler*, 150 Cal., at page 71, the Supreme Court held that the legislature had the right to ratify thirteen separate amendments to the Charter of Los Angeles by one single resolution and that it was not necessary to express in the title of the resolution the purport of each amendment.

I admit that the case is not directly in point and that a different ruling may be applied to the separate amendments when they are submitted to the people. However, as I have said, I can find no decision of the Supreme Court upon the matter.

I believe that the City Attorney should proceed very cautiously in declaring any amendment to be submitted to the people unconstitutional and should only do so when there is absolutely no doubt of such being the case.

In view of the foregoing, while I believe there is considerable merit in Mr. Dailey's contentions, I cannot at this time advise the board that the provision in question is unconstitutional.

Mr. Dailey adverts to other provisions of the amendment, especially to those contained in Section 70.2, which declares a public emergency to exist in the City and County of San Francisco, and that the same will continue to exist until the Board of Supervisors, by a majority vote, determines otherwise. The Supreme Court, on more than one occasion, in construing what constitutes an emergency under the taxing provisions of the old Charter, held that the facts constituting the emergency must actually exist and that the court was the judge of the sufficiency of the facts. However, this provision might not render Section 70.2 unconstitutional, and it may be possible that the courts would have the right to review the determination of the existence of the actual emergency.

As to the further point raised by Mr. Dailey, which concerns the next to the last paragraph of Section 70.2, that is, the right of the Board to reduce the amount of deductions and as to whether these deductions would apply to all compensations or to only those that are standardized, I can only say that this provision must unjustly discriminate against those whose salaries are fixed by the Charter, but the objection does not go to the constitutionality of the section.

You are, therefore, advised that the constitutionality of the provision, being one of extreme doubt, in my opinion is a matter for the courts to determine.

Respectfully,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,

City Attorney.

Remarks of Supervisor Havenner.

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, the legal phases of the proposed Charter amendment have been discussed in detail before the Board and I think there are grave reasons to believe that this suggested change in the constitution of the City and County of San Francisco—I use the word "constitution" advisedly because the Charter is the constitution of this City and County—is in such form that in the ordinary, orderly procedure of adopting permanent legislation to govern this City and County this kind of an amendment should not be adopted. It is admitted that it was drawn hastily, that it contains a number of only slightly related subjects in a single amendment, that the mixture of these subjects in that single amendment may tend to confuse the minds of the voters; that it does not do, in this year, what it purports to do. It purports to declare an emergency for certain specific purposes, in addition to the emergency declared last year by reason of wide-spread unemployment, the additional reasons being: The existing business depression, accompanied by shrunken values of property and decreased incomes and decreased ability of taxpayers of the said City and County to pay public taxes.

Now, this amendment is intended to create the impression in the minds of the taxpayers of San Francisco that if they make a further deduction in the wages and salaries of the municipal employees they will benefit from that reduction during the existing emergency, which is declared to exist for the remainder of the current fiscal year. In that one respect, it does not do what it purports to do; it cannot

lessen the amount of taxes to be collected from the property owners of the City and County of San Francisco in the current fiscal year. The tax rate for the current fiscal year has been passed and is now the law, and it is too late to amend it; and so the full amount of the tax rate which has already been adopted by this Board of Supervisors will be collected from every property owner in this City and County, and this amendment will afford no relief whatsoever to those taxpayers during the period of the so-called emergency, which is specifically fixed by this charter amendment; it declares that the emergency shall continue until June 30th next, or July 1st next, the end of the current fiscal year, and thereafter until such time as a majority of the Board of Supervisors may vote to terminate it.

Now, the argument was made here yesterday by one of the proponents of the charter amendment that there was a possibility that the people might be called upon to vote bonds before the end of this fiscal year, and that in that event an added burden might be placed upon the taxpayers which could be offset, perhaps, to some extent, by the savings to be made from the additional deductions from the salaries of the city employees. That is a pure surmise and a rather unlikely surmise, in my judgment, because the Federal Government has already indicated what its policy is to be with respect to the financing of relief in the State of California. And I might say that San Francisco at the present time is doing far more than its relative share in providing funds for relief when compared with the other counties of California. That statement was made before the State Board of Equalization and it was not disputed. As a matter of fact, it was admitted, and it was one of the main reasons why the Board of Equalization sanctioned the overage for the budget of the City and County of San Francisco for this year. They admitted the strength of our contention that we had already done more than our relative share of county contribution to the relief of the unemployed. Now, I am not saying that we ought to do less. As a matter of fact, I am in favor of doing just as much as we can in order to meet this emergency, now or at any other time, and it has been conceded, by the representatives of the Federal Government that San Francisco is doing a fair share of the financing of the relief problem.

The new state unemployment bond issue was urged before the legislature on the theory that it was going to relieve the counties of the necessity of providing funds by local taxation during the current year, and perhaps during the period of the depression. I don't know that that will be entirely true, but at any rate, that was one of the arguments advanced in support of the submission of the twenty-four million dollar state bond issue to the people. I think you will hear this argument advanced during the coming campaign by the proponents of that bond issue and I, for one, intend to vote for it.

Now, with all these things in view, it seems to me to be clear and definite, in so far as any dispassionate and unprejudiced view of this proposed charter amendment is concerned, that it does not accomplish what it purports to accomplish, it can not accomplish and will not accomplish what it purports to accomplish.

I might add that there will be a good deal of color and a good deal of force to the argument, if it should be made before the people, that this particular measure is, after all, just a measure of political reprisal against certain employees of the City and County of San Francisco because they were not willing to accept the dictum of somebody else as to just how much of a reduction should be made in their salaries this year.

There is a tremendous hub-bub being made by the advocates of this amendment which would lead people to believe that a tremendous advance has been made in the average salary of the average paid employee of the City and County of San Francisco this year. Well, now, let us take my own case, for instance, and I want to say that I am not making any argument against any reduction in my salary that the

majority of the elective representatives of the people may see fit to make at any time.

I am willing to make any concession in my small salary that may be believed desirable, so I am not here pleading for my pocketbook; they can take it all if they think it is necessary to meet the emergency in this City and County and I won't say a word against it. However, let us look at the facts. My salary is \$200 a month, according to the charter. Last year, under the scale of reductions in effect last year, it was reduced to \$175 a month; under this modified restoration I get \$185; that is the additional amount of money I get. It isn't a very great increase, and to some people, I am a poor man. I have been a poor man all my life; I never tried to make any money and expect probably to die a poor man; but there are other people who have families dependent upon them, and the small item of \$10 a month is extremely important to people with families. I know there are many others, unfortunately, who receive little or no income now; but the argument that, by reason of the emergency, a certain number of our population has been hit severely, critically, desperately, by the forces of this depression, is not an argument in favor of tearing down an established wage scale. You must remember that for many, many years, with the support of a very considerable majority of all of the people of San Francisco, the great struggle in this town was to build up the wage scale, and the rank and file of the people supported that struggle. It took years and years and years to get the wage scale up to where the average man might earn \$200 a month.

This charter amendment proposes to break down that wage scale. Its purpose is to depress the public standard of wages. Then the argument will be made in private employment that the City only pays so much and private industry will never pay any more.

It proposes, in addition, to standardize the wages of the City and County of San Francisco on a permanent basis, based on these reductions which are put into effect, mind you. This is another dangerous subterfuge in the amendment. In addition to cutting these wages temporarily, as it says, it proposes to put into effect a permanent salary standardization and to accept these new low levels as the basis for this permanent salary standardization by the City and County of San Francisco.

Now, I was for salary standardization four years ago. I was the chairman of a committee that recommended salary standardization. For reasons which I don't think it is necessary to go into now, a majority of the members of this Board of Supervisors didn't see fit to put standardization into effect then. They postponed it and it hasn't been acted upon. It was taken out of my committee because my committee had recommended it, and was placed in another committee and has never been acted upon. But, I say to you that standardization now, on these proposed low levels, would certainly be a most dangerous thing, and most damaging, to thousands of people in San Francisco who are not in the public employ, but who are in the private employments. Their employers will take this thing and hold it up to them in the future as the official, standard gauge of wages that should be paid in private employment.

Now, during this long fight I have spoken to you about, when the working people of San Francisco were striving to build up the wage scale, their argument to you who remember it was "Let the public take the lead and private employment will have to follow suit." Written into this amendment is the provision that in the future public wages shall be modeled after private wages: It proposes to put private wages first, as the gauge and the standard,

Now, my friends, this is very much more important than it appears to be just on its face.

I know there is an inclination on the part of the public always to vote for salary reductions; it seems to be an instinct of human nature. There are times when probably that instinct is well founded, but there

may be times when it would not be well founded, and this, in my judgment, is one of the most critical times through which we have ever passed.

The precedent intended to be established by the adoption of this charter amendment would be one of the most damaging things that has been done in San Francisco in recent years. It is in conflict with one of the basic principles of the new deal. The new deal doesn't stand for low wages. It stands for high wages. The United States Government, under the leadership of our President, has written into the law standards of wages that must be paid on all public works done by Federal money and those wages are the highest prevailing standards of wages in every community. This thing flies in the face of that principle and establishes a new doctrine—in my judgment, a destructive and subversive doctrine—that private wage schedules shall be the standard in public employment. That is the sort of thing that the great masses of labor have been fighting through all of the years that have passed between the age of serfdom and the modern era of comparative respectability in wages and living conditions. Now those who oppose the New Deal, those who disagree with the theory that wages are a good thing for a community, which I repeat is the very basis and essence of the New Deal, those men are attempting now in a confusing and deceptive way to persuade the people of San Francisco to break down these standards for which labor and the rank and file of humanity have been fighting ever since the days of slavery.

I said a while ago that this would apply to some of the municipal employees. I say that advisedly. I will recall to your attention that section of the report of the Civil Service Commission read before this Board yesterday. The amendment provides that these deductions shall be made from the salaries of teachers in spite of the fact that the Board of Education has already reduced salaries and signed contracts with the teachers in which these reduced salaries are incorporated. We don't believe that this provision is legal. The courts in numerous occasions have held in effect that school teachers are not City and County employees. We think the provision has no force and effect and therefore such deductions cannot be made from teachers' salaries. Now, that may be a comfort to the teachers, but certainly it is an injustice to the other municipal employees. This charter amendment, and the theory of those behind the charter amendment, was that salaries now paid in the public employment should be reduced and therefore the reduction should apply uniformly. But if the Civil Service Commission is correct in its conclusion, and I think it is, you are going to put the whole burden of the new reduction on a part of the city employees, inflicting upon them an unfair and disproportionate reduction because you cannot take it away from the teachers.

I don't know how the people of San Francisco are going to react to this proposed amendment. I am inclined to believe that when they learn clearly and definitely that this amendment is being sold to them on the basis of a mere temporary reduction of salaries for the emergency, whereas in reality it provides for a permanent breakdown of salary standards in public employment in San Francisco, upon which there may be in the future an additional permanent breakdown of salary standards in private employment they will reject it decisively. That is the vice of this thing, as I see it, in addition to its confusion and its illegality in many respects. I don't think there is a chance that the whole amendment may be put into final effect, but one of its proponents said here yesterday: "Even admitting that the legal criticism of the amendment may be sound in some respects, I think, nevertheless, it should be submitted because it will afford a test of the attitude of the people toward salary reductions."

I submit, that I believe there are a good many people who are fair-minded and who will reject this thing if they know definitely that it is being done by circumlocution and subterfuge, and that it carries in it

certain hidden purposes which will be quite beyond the purpose they desire to effect when they cast their votes.

This thing has many implications. I think that it is unfortunate that it was thrust into a campaign which will be, perhaps, the most bitter political campaign in the history of this state, wherein forces that believe in what we sometimes call the Old Deal are arrayed against other forces which support what is known as the New Deal. In this campaign all these fundamental differences of opinion will be more forcefully and more bitterly pitted against each other than they have been in recent years in this or any other state. I repeat that this is unfortunate, even for those who believe that there ought to be a temporary further reduction in salaries, because if those people who believe in the New Deal are made to understand the permanent implications and purposes of this charter amendment, I think that they may take such steps as they can take through political agencies to encompass its defeat. It becomes a factor therefore in the state-wide political campaign, and it ought to be a factor because those who defend this amendment must accept the responsibility for it, and they have thrust it into the campaign. Certainly those who believe the principles involved here are more important than a mere temporary reduction in salaries, which will not afford any relief to the taxpayers during the period of the emergency set up, if they are at all fair-minded, will not vote for this kind of an amendment. It was hastily conceived, it was ill-conceived, it was thrown together without proper legal advice or mature consideration, it contains within it the germs of discontent and revolt, it is designed to bring about a fundamental economic change in the life of this community, to establish a low wage standard in the City and County of San Francisco, which has proudly boasted throughout the United States, and which has prospered by that reputation, that it was the home of high wages and good living conditions. It attempts to seize upon this depression and this emergency to break down that reputation and destroy it forever. Loyal San Franciscans, when they learn those facts, will not tolerate this kind of legislation.

There is the further argument, of course, which I made before on other issues, and which, I must say, has found scant consideration at the hands of those who happen to disagree with me on the particular issue, that it is fundamentally wrong to write into your constitution, which should be a sacred institution, a hodge-podge of administrative details concerning which there is grave doubt of legality. The constitution should be a bill of rights and this thing makes it a bill of confusion. This kind of legislation is the sort of thing that led us to the adoption of the new charter. The great criticism of the old charter by the men who framed the new charter was that it had become cluttered up with hastily written and complicated provisions adopted in the form of amendments over a period of years. Now, these self-same gentlemen who went around the city orating and declaiming in favor of a new charter because of the flaws and the defects of the old charter, are proceeding, themselves, to violate the new charter just as they said the old charter had been violated. This kind of an amendment is typical of the things they denounced. It constitutes a violation of the sacredness of a constitution. It could have been written in another form, even if the people of this City and County were determined to lower the standards of wages and working conditions which have been the basis, the very lifeblood of this community. If the people wanted to say, "We will permanently destroy these standards," the provision could have been written into our charter in statesman-like fashion. This is no such thing. It is a jumble of administrative and legislative detail which the defenders of this amendment are determined to write into the charter because they can not have their way in the orderly channels of procedure which law and constitution and good government afford them now.

Remarks of Supervisor Brown.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: The members of the Board are accorded the privilege of explaining their votes, and while I desire to explain my vote I do not desire to apologize, because I don't think any apology is necessary.

I have tried to vote, since I have been on this Board, as I see things in their proper light, and I think my vote on this matter is in accordance with that policy. Let me say that my vote in the affirmative is not governed by any spirit of persecution of the municipal employees. I have approached this matter through its entire length, and I think the record will show that, in a spirit of fair play. Attempts have been made to meet this problem half way time and again, and that has been my attitude. I regret my vote must be what it is, but I feel it is my duty, and that is why it shall be so cast.

Now, we have heard a lot about the record tonight, it has been referred to frequently, and I think that my statement that a real effort was made to meet this situation half way is a correct one, and that the record will substantiate that the first effort that was made was an effort on the part of certain Board members to make a twenty-five per cent restoration, which did not succeed for lack of sufficient support. Subsequently the matter fell into a deadlock, and, by want of anyone being able to get the requisite number of votes no action was taken prior to July 1st, so during the month of July a complete restoration of all salaries was effected, at a cost to the city of approximately two hundred thousand dollars. It became apparent that unless something were done that condition would continue. Therefore, early in the month of August the subject was again brought up and at that time I moved for a 33 1/3 per cent restoration, feeling that that was a happy means of solution between the Shannon resolution and the 25 per cent restoration offer which had previously been made. At the time the 33 1/3 per cent restoration was suggested I urged those who were working for a 50 per cent restoration and a 25 per cent restoration to accept this 33 1/3 per cent restoration because I knew very definitely what was in the minds of the taxpayers, and so stated at that time. I felt it was for the best interest of the city employees that this compromise proposition should be accepted, for reasons best known to those on that side of the question, and the compromise offer was not accepted, and subsequently the Shannon resolution, being a 40 per cent restoration, was passed. The reason I voted for the 40 per cent restoration was because it had become evident that no other proposition could be successfully passed by the Board, and if it were not passed it would mean a loss to the city pay rolls of around two hundred thousand dollars a month, and that money at that time was very badly needed for relief purposes, as subsequent events proved.

At the time the 40 per cent restoration was voted I made the statement, I do not deny the statement and I will repeat it now, it was that I would not vote for any submission to the people over and above forty per cent restoration provided, and I emphasized the proviso, that there was no recession of business conditions between that time and December. I really think the month was November, but that really doesn't matter, but it was presumably the time of election.

Now, I did not come prepared here with a lot of documents, papers, and clippings, to show that business had actually receded, I did not come prepared because I did not think that any man of intelligence would argue it had not receded. Every major business index shows that business conditions during the past forty-five to fifty days have materially gone into a decline. I won't enlarge on that except in one or two ways, because the records are open to anyone who wants to see them, especially those who examine the stock records or financial statements. For instance, here are the combined price averages of a month ago and today: (Reading.)

Let me also read you a quotation from one of the most widely circu-

lated news services, and which has a fine record for accuracy during the past several years, this is the September 15th issue: (Reading.)

Now, as regards local economic conditions, the relief funds of the City and County were all expended in the first few months of this year, and if it had not been for the temporary salary reduction the City would have found itself without any funds for payment, and if it had not been for obtaining some three hundred thousand dollars from the Federal Government within the last few days the City would have again been without funds.

I have the statement from the Controller that the City on September 17th finds itself again without relief funds. The last few days' sessions of the Board wrestled with the problem brought upon us by the Supreme Court decision which made it mandatory for us to reduce expenditures of the City for the current year. It was only by the grace of the State Board of Equalization that the City was saved from a major economic disaster. The relief problem is still not settled. No one here is capable of saying at the present time what the relief cost is going to be for the balance of this year, or where the money is coming from, money from the sources that we have—these extra salary reductions will be badly needed for relief purposes—in fact, I might say it is urgent that the City have that money to fall back on.

As to my own present convictions on business: I don't want to be considered as being a calamity howler because I still have a firm faith in the future of the United States, but I think that all business men, city employees, taxpayers, alike, must face the facts of the situation. Contacts that I have with different men in this community, and others in the United States, express the statement that I have made to you, that business within the last forty-five days has declined. Our own business, the business my father and I are engaged in, has declined, the profession of insurance has declined, and the businesses that it comes in contact with have experienced reverses. In the face of all that, I fail to see how any one who knows these facts can get up here and say business is improving. I think it is quite possible it will improve, but we need the year to take it into consideration, however. The ordinance has the provision that at any time after July 1st of this year the provisions may be modified or eliminated entirely by a majority vote of the Board. I think that is enough safeguard that the employees of the City will not be badly treated in the future. Personally I have no desire to see this salary cut continue one minute beyond the time that in my opinion, and the opinion of the Board, it is necessary. Our own record in business—I say this not for publication but simply to convince those who are hearing me, that I am sincere in what I say—the firm I am with, of which our family is the sole owner, has in the past year advanced upwards of sixty thousand dollars for the purpose of maintaining salaries and employment. For the first eight months of this year we have advanced \$32,000 for that purpose. We propose to continue to do that so long as it is necessary and so long as our personal means will allow us to do it. We don't believe in employment reduction or salary reduction; we do believe in facing facts as they approach us.

Just one more word about the personal angle of this thing. I resent very much the efforts here made to misquote me. The quotation I made, I will stand by. I think it is a poor way, putting it mildly, a poor way of going about it, to convince anyone that a change in attitude is advisable by first trying to put him on the political spot, so to speak. I think a definite effort has been made to put me in that position. The responsibility of this legislation is not alone on my shoulders; there are five other members of this Board that the past record shows will in all probability vote for this measure tonight, but I might say that if my own were the deciding vote I would still feel that my responsibility rested with the City, to the best interest of the City, to the best interest of the taxpayers of the City, my vote would be the same in that respect.

One feature about this thing I regret very much, and that is that I was contacted this afternoon by the man that I regarded as my closest personal friend in the City government, a man who occupies a very high position in one of the departments and who attempted to influence me on a personal basis to vote for this measure. I would like to say for the benefit of the record that this is no place for personalities, that my decisions are made because they appear to me to be the thing to do and not because of any personal motives or because of the influence of any individuals or organizations. I think that about explains my position. I trust that it makes clear to those who have opposed me here today, my conviction is that business conditions have receded sufficiently to put into effect the qualification I made when the statement I was imputed to have made was also made.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing from taxpayers on pending matter.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Johannson, representing the Hoisting and Portable Engineers, was heard in opposition to the proposed Charter Amendment. He said "That at this time members of his organization who are working for the city are receiving less than the highest prevailing wage paid in northern California. This amendment proposes," he said, "to reduce it still further."

Mr. Curley Grow, representing Machinists' Association, was also heard in opposition to the proposed Charter Amendment. He declared that it was not in harmony with the spirit of the times and in violation of the NRA and of the principles enunciated by President Roosevelt in the "New Deal."

Miss Cumming, Ashbury Terrace and Woman's City Club, was heard favoring the proposed Charter Amendment. She complained of the appointment of a resident of Los Angeles to position in the Probation Department, who, she said, came up to San Francisco by airplane to take the job.

Committee Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole, on motion of Supervisor Hayden arose and reported progress.

Motion to Reconsider Denied.

Thereupon, the motion to reconsider was presented, and reconsideration was *denied* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher—2.

Garbage Incineration.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved to rescind action taken last Friday on Charter Amendment No. 16, which proposed to insert Section 9.1 empowering the Board of Supervisors to grant a franchise for the collection and/or disposal of garbage and other refuse.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—4

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy Roncovieri—5

Absent—Supervisors Colman Gallagher—2

Proposed Bond Issue for Garbage Incineration.

Supervisor Uhl moved to withdraw from Health Committee legislation for proposed bond issue for construction of a garbage incinerator.

Motion to Adjourn.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved to adjourn.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri—8.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by saying that the proposed garbage bond matter was in the Committee over 30 days and that it should be considered at this time.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board at the hour of 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 1, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 1, 1934

Thursday, October 4, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 1, 1934.
The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovi-
eri, Schmidt and Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Hayden and Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor, President McSheehy, presiding.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 2:20 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of September 21, September 24 and Sep-
tember 25, 1934, was presented and Supervisor Uhl asked that it be
corrected to include his argument on Charter Amendment No. 11.

Correction *ordered*.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were
taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amendment Annual Salary Ordinance.

(Code 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 626, Ordinance No. 9.05364, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05360 by adding
items 88 to 97, inclusive, by adding item 10½ to Section 78 of the said
ordinance, adding Section 84 thereto, and amending Section 85 of the
said ordinance by increasing the number of positions under item 184
thereof from 3 to 5.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 47 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as
follows:

Section 47. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING (Continued)

Employments predicated on revenue and bond issue moneys.

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and predi-
cated on bond issues and the occupants have acquired permanent civil
service status. The employments are not established as continuing

positions, but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
39	1	B312	Blue Printer	\$ 215
40	1	B314	Photosat Operator	225
41	1	B316	Photographer	265
42	6	F202	Inspector, Public Works Construction..	225
43	1	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector.....	250
44	4	F204	Civil Engineering Inspector	225
45	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	300
46	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	275
47	1	F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	250
48	1	F208	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Minor	300
49	1	F210	Chief Civil Engineering Inspector, Major	400
50	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	175
51	1	F252	Junior Civil Engineering Draftsman...	160
52	1	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	240
53	1	F604	Surveyors Field Assistant.....	225
54	2	F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman	240
55	3	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	225
56	1	F258	Senior Civil Engineering Draftsman....	250
57	2	F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	300
58	2	F262	Sanitary Engineering Designer.....	250
59	1	F452	Mechanical Draftsman	200
59½	1	F454	Mechanical Engineering Designer	250
60½	1	F552	Structural Draftsman	200
62	5	F604	Surveyor's Field Assistant	225
64	3	F610	Surveyor	250
66	1	L114	Engineering Chemist	225
67	1	L116	Senior Engineering Chemist.....	400
68		B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
69		B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
70		F206	Senior Civil Engineering Inspector....	225
71		F254	Civil Engineering Draftsman.....	200
72		F260	Civil Engineering Designer.....	250
73		F460	Assistant Mechanical Engineer.....	250
74		F554	Structural Engineering Designer.....	275
75		F654	Traffic Checker	175
76		F102	Architectural Draftsman	200
77		F106	Architectural Designer	250
78		F352	Electrical Draftsman	200
79		F354	Electrical Engineering Designer	250
80		F360	Assistant Electrical Engineer	250
81		F362	Electrical Engineer	300
82		F401	Junior Hydraulic Engineer.....	160
83		F404	Hydraulic Engineering Designer.....	250
84		F406	Assistant Hydraulic Engineer.....	250
85		F408	Hydraulic Engineer	300
86		F462	Mechanical Engineer	300
87		F558	Structural Engineer	250
88		B512	General Clerk-Typist	155
89		C152	Watchman	145
90		J 4	Laborer	6.00
91		J 6	Water Pipe Welder.....	7.50
92		J 10	Labor Sub-Foreman	6.50
93		J 12	Labor Foreman	7.00
94		M252	Machinist's Helper	7.08
95		M254	Machinist	9.00
96		M256	Mechanical Inspector	225
97		O152	Engineer Hoisting & Portable Engineer	10.00

Explanatory.

Items 38 to 97 added to established classification to be used as needed in connection with P. W. A. bond projects.

Section 2. Section 78 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as follows:

**Section 78. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION—HETCH
HETCHY POWER OPERATIVE (Continued)**

**TEMPORARY AND SEASONAL
EMPLOYMENT**

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Departmental Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
1			Auto Mechanic at \$8.73 per day.....	
2			Baker (Camp)	147.50
3			Blacksmith at \$1.091 per hour.....	
4			Blacksmith Helper at \$0.818 per hour..	
5			Boilermaker at \$1.091 per hour.....	
6			Boilermaker's Helper at 75c per hour..	
7			Boatman at \$5.50 per day.....	
8			Brakeman at \$6 per day.....	
9			Campman	120
10			Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
10½			Carpenter Foreman at \$10. per day....	
11			Cement Finisher at \$8 per day.....	
12			Cement Gun Operator at \$6 per day...	
13			Chuckman at \$5.25 per day.....	
14			Clerk	175
15			Clerk, General	190
16			Compressorman at \$5 per day.....	
17			Compressorman at \$7.67 per day.....	
18			Concreteman at \$5 per day.....	
19			Cook (Camp)	167.50
20			Cook's Helper	137.50
21			Dishwasher	112.50
22			Drill Doctor at \$5.75 per day.....	
23			Driver at \$8.80 per day.....	
24			Driver at \$10.80 per day.....	
25			Driver at \$6 per day.....	
26			Driver at \$6.50 per day.....	
27			Driver at \$7 per day.....	
28			Driver at \$7.50 per day.....	
29			Driver at \$8 per day.....	
30			Driver at \$9.20 per day.....	
31			Driver at \$10 per day.....	
32			Electrical Foreman	250
34			Engineer, Assistant	287.50
35			Field Assistant	175
36			Field Assistant	150
37			Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
38			Foreman at \$6.50 per day.....	
39			Foreman at \$7 per day.....	
40			Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
41			Groundman at \$6 per day.....	
42			Gunit Operator at \$6 per day.....	
43			Helper at \$4.50 per day.....	
44			Helper at \$4.75 per day.....	
45			Helper at \$5 per day.....	
46			Helper at \$5.50 per day.....	
47			Helper at \$6 per day.....	
48			Hodcarrier at \$9 per day.....	
49			Hoisting Engineer at \$9 per day.....	
50			Hostler at \$6 per day.....	

51	Housesmith at \$9 per day.....	
52	Housesmith Foreman at \$10 per day...	
53	Housewireman at \$9 per day.....	
54	Inspector	162.50
55	Inspector	200
56	Instrumentman	125
57	Instrumentman	187.50
58	Jackhammerman at \$5 per day.....	
59	Lampman	150
60	Lineman at \$8.73 per day.....	
61	Lineman Apprentice at \$7.73 per day...	
62	Machineman at \$5.75 per day.....	
63	Machinist at \$8.73 per day.....	
64	Mucker at \$4.50 per day.....	
65	Mucker Foreman at \$6 per day.....	
66	Mucker, Top, at \$4 per day.....	
67	Nipper at \$5.25 per day.....	
68	Nozzleman at \$6.50 per day.....	
69	Operator's Helper	175
70	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
71	Pipeman at \$5.50 per day.....	
72	Plasterer at \$12 per day.....	
73	Plumber at \$9 per day.....	
74	Powderman at \$5.50 per day.....	
75	Pumpman at \$5.75 per day.....	
76	Rigger at \$6 per day.....	
77	Rigger Foreman at \$8 per day.....	
78	Shift Boss at \$7.25 per day.....	
79	Skiptender at \$5.75 per day.....	
80	Station Tender at \$5.25 per day.....	
81	Station Tender—Pumpman at \$5.75 per day	
82	Steamfitter at \$10 per day.....	
83	Steam Shovel Engineer at \$10 per day..	
84	Steam Shovel Engineer.....	240
85	Steam Shovel Fireman at \$7 per day...	
86	Steam Shovel Fireman.....	165
87	Steam Shovel Oiler at \$6 per day.....	
88	Steam Shovel Oiler	150
89	Steam Shovel Watchman at \$7 per day.	
90	Steam Shovel Watchman.....	165
91	Timekeeper	175
92	Top Foreman at \$6.25 per day.....	
93	Tool Sharpener at \$6 per day.....	
94	Tool Sharpener Helper at \$5 per day...	
95	Tunnel Foreman	275
96	Tunnel Foreman, Assistant.....	240
97	Waiter	107.50
98	Waiter, Head	117.50
99	Watchman	
100	Water Workers at \$5 per day.....	
101	Welder at \$1.091 per hour.....	
102	Welder Helper at 75c per hour.....	

Explanatory.

Item 10½ inadvertently omitted from budget estimates. Funds for compensation included in appropriation ordinance.

Section 3. Section 84 is hereby added to Ordinance 9.05360 to read as follows:

**Section 84. BOARD OF EDUCATION CERTIFICATED
EMPLOYEES**

Rates of pay and positions herein established for employees of the

Board of Education are fixed by action of the said Board and are included solely for the convenience of the Controller and the Civil Service Commission for checking payrolls.

Based on Res. No. 2166, May 21, 1934, and Res. No. 53-a of July 24, 1934.

Item No.	Department Title	Net 1934-1935
1	Superintendent of Schools.....	\$8950.00
2	Chief Deputy Superintendent.....	5475.00
3	Deputy Superintendents	4927.50
4	Directors "A" (277 days' service).....	4380.00
5	Directors "B" (241 days' service).....	4106.25
6	Research Assistant in School Law.....net	3000.00
7	Assistants to Director of Physical Education.....	3083.44
8	Supervisors Class "A-A" (277 days).....	3878.12
9	Supervisors Class "A"	3558.75
10	Supervisors Class "B"	3083.44
11	Supervisors Class "C"	2836.76
12	Supervisors Class "D"	2735.85
13	Supervisor Instrumental Music.....	1541.72
14	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2377.05
15	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2287.35
16	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2208.86
17	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	2130.38
18	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1995.00
19	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1835.40
20	Assistant Supervisors of Attendance.....	1737.00
21	Head Dept., Junior High.....	2702.21
22	Head Dept., Junior High.....	1881.00
23	Principals	4653.75
24	Principals	4380.00
25	Principals	4106.25
26	Principals	3878.12
27	Principals	3668.25
28	Principals	3394.50
29	Principals	3120.75
30	Principals	2691.00
31	Principals, Evening School.....	1918.02
32	Principals	1404.15
33	Vice-Principals	3558.75
34	Vice-Principals	3153.60
35	Vice-Principals	3061.01
36	Vice-Principals	2993.74
37	Vice-Principals	2982.53
38	Vice-Principals	2904.04
39	Vice-Principals	2836.76
40	Vice-Principals	2780.70
41	Vice-Principals	2713.42
42	Vice-Principals	2691.00
43	Vice-Principals	2657.36
44	Vice-Principals	2634.94
45	Vice-Principals	2567.66
46	Vice-Principals	2489.17
47	Vice-Principals	2433.11
48	Vice-Principals	2276.14
49	Vice-Principals	2242.50
50	Teachers	3087.90
51	Teachers	3072.22
52	Teachers	2993.74
53	Teachers	2904.04
54	Teachers	2881.61
55	Teachers	2870.40
56	Teachers	2836.76

57	Teachers	2814.34
58	Teachers	2735.85
59	Teachers	2713.42
60	Teachers	2702.21
61	Teachers	2691.00
62	Teachers	2623.73
63	Teachers	2534.02
64	Teachers	2522.81
65	Teachers	2433.11
66	Teachers	2410.69
67	Teachers	2377.05
68	Teachers	2343.41
69	Teachers	2287.35
70	Teachers	2276.14
71	Teachers	2242.50
72	Teachers	2208.86
73	Teachers	2152.80
74	Teachers	2130.38
75	Teachers	2097.60
76	Teachers	2029.20
77	Teachers	1995.00
78	Teachers	1960.80
79	Teachers	1915.20
80	Teachers	1903.80
81	Teachers	1881.00
82	Teachers	1835.40
83	Teachers	1796.64
84	Teachers	1744.20
85	Teachers	1737.00
86	Teachers	1682.96
87	Teachers	1621.20
88	Teachers	1500.00
89	Teachers	1447.50
90	Teachers—Evening School	Eve. 9.1327
91	Teachers—Evening School	" 7.6159
92	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.9845
93	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.4433
94	Teachers—Evening School	" 6.1856
95	Teachers—Evening School	" 5.8247
96	Teachers—Evening School	" 4.7165
97	Teachers—Evening School	" 4.50
98	Teachers not full time.	1545.93
99	Teachers not full time.	1466.80
100	Teachers not full time.	1496.87
101	Teachers not full time.	1002.00
102	Teachers not full time.	951.90
103	Teachers not full time.	720.00
104	Teachers not full time.	704.32
105	Teachers not full time.	678.00
106	Teachers not full time.	649.74
107	Teachers not full time.	623.75
108	Teachers not full time.	600.00
109	Teachers not full time.	472.80
110	Teachers not full time.	456.00
111	Teachers not full time.	Eve. 4.6563
112	Teachers not full time.	120.00
113	Teachers not full time.	60.00

SUBSTITUTES

- 114 Teachers at \$6.50 per day
 115 Teachers at \$5.00 per day
 116 Teachers at \$3.50 per evening

SATURDAY MORNING CORRECTIVE WORK CLASSES

117	Teachers at \$4.50 per day.....	\$ 180.00
118	Teachers at \$3.50 per day.....	140.00
119	Teachers at \$1.50 per hour.....	300.00
120	Laboratory Assistants (as needed).....	60.00

Teachers Day Parental and Day Adult Classes, at \$4 per lesson for each two-hour lesson.

Other positions to be paid according to Senior High Teachers Schedule are Librarians in Senior High Schools and Rowing Instructor. Librarians in Dept. of Texts and Libraries and Assistants in Bureau of Research shall be paid according to above Senior High Vice-Principals Schedule and shall serve 277 days.

Section 4. Section 85 of Ordinance 9.05360 is amended to read as follows:

Section 85. BOARD OF EDUCATION—NON-CERTIFICATED EMPLOYEES

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
151	1	A6	Superintendent of Maintenance and Repairs	375
152	2	A154	Carpenter at \$9 per day.....	
153	1	A162	Foreman Carpenter, School Dept., at \$10 per day	
154	3	A354	Painter at \$9 per day.....	
155	3	B6	Senior Bookkeeper	190
158	1	B14	Senior Accountant	275
159	1	B14	Senior Accountant	375
160	1	B58	Secretary, Board of Education.....	400
161	2	B210	Office Assistant (Part Time).....	75
162	1	B222	General Clerk	200
163	1	B222	General Clerk	190
164	1	B222	General Clerk	175
165	1	B222	General Clerk	165
166	1	B222	General Clerk	160
166½	1	B222	General Clerk	155
167	1	B222	General Clerk	145
167½	1	B228	Senior Clerk	175
168	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	175
169	1	B308	Comptometer Operator	145
170	2	B308	Comptometer Operator, as needed, at \$5 per day	
171	1	B380	Armorer R. O. T. C. (Part Time).....	75
172	1	B354	General Storekeeper	230
173	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	215
174	10	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	165
175	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	160
176	4	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	155
177	27	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	150
178	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	145
179	8	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	140
180	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	135
181	3	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer	130
182	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$4.20 per day	
183	1	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.30 per day.....	
184	5	B408	General Clerk-Stenographer (Part Time) at \$3.00 per day.....	
186	31	B404	Clerk-Stenographer, \$5 per day, 196 days	
187	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	215

188	1	B412	Senior Clerk-Stenographer	190
189	1	B454	Telephone Operator	175
190	1	B454	Telephone Operator, \$2 per day (Part Time)	
191	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	215
192	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	190
193	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	175
194	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	165
195	2	B512	General Clerk-Typist	150
196	4	B512	General Clerk-Typist	140
197	1	C52	Elevator Operator	155
198	107	C102	Janitress	140
199	18	C105	Special Janitor	162.50
200	134	C104	Janitor	155
201	9	C104	Janitor	145
202	1	C104	Janitor (Part Time).....	16
203	6	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	160
204	9	C106	Sub-Foreman Janitor	185
205	1	C112	Supervisor of School Janitor	275
207	1	I-12	Cook	140
208	1	I-12	Cook	130
209	1	I-12	Cook (Part Time)	60
210	1		Pantry Maid (Part Time).....	50
211	12	J78	Stockman	200
213	1	O-2	Chauffeur	215
214	1	O104	Moving Picture Operator.....	200
215	2	O-122	Window Shade Worker	200
216		O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines, \$3 per evening (as required).....	
217	10	O-168	Engineers Stationary Steam Engines...	220
218	1	O-172	Chief Engineer Stationary Steam Engines	310
220	1	O61	Foreman Gardener at \$8 per day.....	
221	1	O58	Gardener, \$6 per day.....	
222	1	O58	Gardener, \$5.50 per day.....	
223	6	O58	Gardener, \$5 per day	
223 3/4			Temporary Clerical Employment as needed	

TRUCK RENTAL (CONTRACTUAL)

224	2½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
225	1-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
226	3½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.
227	1½-Ton Truck at rates established by Purchaser's Contract.

Explanatory.

Item 184 changed to establish five positions instead of three as heretofore. Funds for compensation included in Board of Education budget.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

National Recreation Conference.

(Code No. 9.051)

Referred to the Board by Finance Committee without recommendation.

Bill No. 622, Ordinance No. 9.051147, as follows:

Authorizing the Recreation Commission to send representatives to

the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., from October 1 to 5, 1934, and providing for the payment of the expenses of such representatives.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the request of the Recreation Commission, Josephine D. Randall, Superintendent of Playgrounds, and Hester Proctor, Playground Director, are hereby authorized to attend the National Recreation Conference to be held in Washington, D. C., on October 1 to 5, 1934, for the purpose of representing the San Francisco Recreation Commission at said conference and their expenses incident to traveling to the city of Washington and returning to San Francisco, as well as their expenses incident to attending said conference, are hereby authorized in an amount not to exceed the sum of five hundred (\$500) dollars, the same to be paid by the Controller in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0562, and said sum is hereby made and charged against Appropriation No. 13.200, 1934-1935 Appropriation Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Closing a Portion of Hester Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0621)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1587, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Hester avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Hester avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard with the northerly line of Hester avenue (formerly San Bruno avenue, dedicated by Resolution No. 20291, New Series), which point of commencement is distant 45.532 feet southerly measured along said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard from the point of tangency with that certain curve of 12-foot radius joining said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard with the southwesterly line of Hester avenue; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard 80 feet; thence at right angles easterly 71.34 feet; thence on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 107.96 feet, central angle 43 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 82.593 feet; thence continuing on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 399.05 feet, central angle 7 degrees 44 minutes 39 seconds, a distance of 53.936 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Hester avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 193.395 feet to the northerly line of former San Bruno avenue; thence deflecting 51 degrees 34 minutes 39 seconds to the left, and running westerly along said northerly line 63.434 feet to the easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard and the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Hester avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said clos-

ing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Spur Track, San Francisco Materials Company, Across Treat Avenue North of Twenty-third Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 627, Ordinance No. 12.2014, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the San Francisco Materials Company to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard guage spur track across Treat avenue, northerly from Twenty-third street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is granted to San Francisco Materials Company to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard guage spur track across Treat avenue, northerly from Twenty-third street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Treat avenue, approximately 193 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, thence southwesterly and crossing Treat avenue to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue approximately 130 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street.

Provided that girder rail be installed between the property lines of Treat avenue; provision to be made for drainage by relocating the existing storm water inlets; proper clearances from the transformer poles be made; all pavement disturbed be replaced, and granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; work to be done under the supervision of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the San Francisco Materials Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Accepting the Roadway of Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 628, Ordinance No. 12.081117, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engi-

neer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic-concrete and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Providing for an Annual Audit of the Controller's Books of Accounts.

(Code No. 9.061)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee:

Resolution No. 1588, as follows:

Whereas, it is incumbent upon the Board of Supervisors, under the provisions of Section 68 of the Charter, to order an annual audit of the Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions to be made by one or more certified accountants; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby order an audit of the said Controller's books of accounts, records and transactions for the fiscal year 1933-34, and for the purpose of making said audit does hereby engage and retain the firm of Lybrand, Ross Bros., and Montgomery, certified public accountants, and hereby fix the compensation of said firm as follows, to-wit: for supervising accountant, \$50 per day; for senior accountant, \$25 per day; for junior accountant, \$15 per day; together with such office expenses, including typewriting, as may be incidental to the preparation of a full report of the audit of said accountants; and be it

Further Resolved, That the report of such accountants shall be printed and a copy thereof furnished to the Mayor and to each member of the Board of Supervisors, to the Chief Administrative Officer, to the Controller, and to such citizens as may apply therefor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the total expense of making such audit shall not exceed the sum of \$5,000, and shall be paid from Appropriation No. 1,900.00, Fiscal Year 1934-35, Appropriation Ordinance.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Presentation of Distinguished Flying Cross to Emory B. Bronte.

(Code No. 5.98)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1589, as follows:

Whereas, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States, under authorization of a joint resolution, sponsored by Representative Richard J. Welch, submitted by Senator Hiram W. Johnson, and approved by the Seventy-second Congress, has issued the Distinguished Flying Cross to Emory B. Bronte, of San Francisco, "in recognition of his heroic courage and great skill as a navigator on the second

successful airplane flight from California to the Hawaiian Islands, made under extremely adverse weather conditions, in twenty-five hours and two minutes, on July 14 and 15, 1927"; and

Whereas, Admiral Thomas J. Senn, Commandant of the Twelfth Naval District, under official instructions from President Roosevelt, is prepared to make formal presentation of the Distinguished Flying Cross to Emory B. Bronte, and has graciously deferred same, pending expression of the official desire of the City and County of San Francisco as to its participation in the presentation ceremony; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee forthwith to cooperate with Admiral Thomas J. Senn to the end that his official presentation of the Distinguished Flying Cross to Emory B. Bronte may record the official appreciation of the City and County of San Francisco as well as that of the United States for the honor and glory that Emory B. Bronte's achievement has brought to both; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be sent to President Roosevelt, Senator Hiram W. Johnson, Congressman Richard J. Welch, Admiral Thomas J. Senn, Emory B. Bronte, and the Press.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leaves of Absence.

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor the following resolutions were *adopted*:

Leaves of Absence—Supervisors Andrew J. Gallagher and John M. Ratto.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1590, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher and Hon. John M. Ratto, members of the Board of Supervisors, are each granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 9, 1934, with permission to leave the State, to attend Redwood Empire Association Convention at Grants Pass.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Leave of Absence—Matthew Brady, District Attorney.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1591, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 23, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Report of Committee Attending Convention of the League of California Municipalities, Pasadena, September 24 to 27.

Report of the committee attending the convention of the League of California Municipalities was read by the Clerk, and *ordered filed*.

Supervisor Gallagher spoke at length on the activities of the convention; Supervisors Colman, Roncovieri, Hayden and McSheehy also supplemented the report of the committee. Supervisor Hayden stated that he thought it time well spent for any public officials to have the points of view of the various cities, small and large, that can be

of benefit to our own city, and said that inasmuch as the convention would be held in San Francisco in 1935 that he would, at the proper time, ask that all members of the Board be appointed as delegates to the next annual convention.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the President of the Board be authorized, in the name of the Board of Supervisors, to thank those cities that voted to bring next year's convention to San Francisco, and that he attend to seeing that copy of proceedings be made available to each member of the Board.

So ordered.

San Francisco Opera Association.

Supervisor Brown, in further reply to previous inquiries by Supervisor Ratto, stated that approximately 600 applications for tickets for the operas have been filled in part. No record available of number of applications rejected entirely. He stated further that the Opera Association was planning on giving at least three repeat performances of operas, and would repeat other performances if the demand was sufficient.

Arguments for Proposed Charter Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to inform the Board as to the authority to prepare proper arguments for proposed Charter Amendments, to be enclosed with sample ballots mailed by the Registrar of Voters to the electors.

So ordered.

Petition Cutting Through of Pierce Street.

Supervisor Gallagher presented petition from residents and property owners in vicinity of Girls' High School, requesting the cutting through of Pierce street from O'Farrell and Endicott to Geary street.

Referred to Streets Committee.

American Federation of Labor Convention.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be directed to prepare the proper message of felicitation, good will and encouragement to the American Federation of Labor Convention in San Francisco, and to express the Board's cordial greetings and hopes for a successful and progressive convention.

So ordered.

Widening Nineteenth Avenue and Construction of Roadway Across Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention of the Board and Streets Committee that at the convention in Pasadena, Earl Lee Kelly, Director of the State Department of Public Works, stated that, in view of the fact that the State Highway Commission had considerable cash on hand, that they were advancing, on proper and easy terms, moneys to go on with improvements and to be collected out of future allotments, and stated that if the Board desires to commence construction, then the obvious thing to provide employment and to get ready for the bridges, would be to begin spending this money at once under suitable supervision, and possibly to borrow from next year's allotment of \$600,000, so that widening Nineteenth avenue and the road across the park to Funston avenue and the Presidio could be done. If that be true, there is no reason why the widening of Nineteenth avenue should not go forward. Property could be purchased. "If there be," he continued, "and I think there is, \$600,000 available now, and we can borrow in advance another \$600,000, there is no reason why the widening of Nineteenth avenue and the building of the road across the park

should not be done. The only thing that stops us going ahead now is lack of money."

Motion.

"I move, therefore, that the Chairman of the Streets Committee, and the Streets Committee, investigate whether there is any possibility of San Francisco's borrowing in advance of its allotment, gas tax money for the widening of Nineteenth avenue and the construction of a road across the park."

So ordered.

Opinion From City Attorney on Charter Amendment No. 11.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be requested to advise the Board, in writing, as to the effect that proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 might have upon salaries and status of employees now receiving \$175 per month, or less, and particularly the effect of standardization of salaries on such employees.

So ordered.

Protesting Use of Police to Collect License Tax.

Communication from G. E. Burton, manager, National Credit Association, addressed to Supervisor Uhl, protesting the use of police for collection of license taxes, was presented by Supervisor Uhl and read by the Clerk.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Licensing of Slot Machines.

Communication from A. Larsson, Larsson Traffic Service, addressed to Supervisor Uhl, re licensing of slot machines, was presented by Supervisor Uhl and read by the Clerk.

Referred to Finance Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER, 3:30 P. M.

Site for Proposed World's Fair.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion duly made and seconded, the Board resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole to continue consideration of matter of report of Public Welfare Committee on selection of site in San Francisco for proposed World's Fair and recommendation that same be placed on ballot for vote of the people.

Opinion of City Attorney.

The City Attorney ruled that motion of Supervisor Gallagher, made at meeting of Monday, September 17, 1934, to place on the ballot at the forthcoming general election, the following declaration of policy, "Resolved, That the proposed World's Exposition celebrating the completion of the Bay bridges in 1938 be held on the San Francisco mainland," was out of order, since the Board could enact no legislation for carrying out the will of the people, should said declaration of policy be declared by the people.

Motion.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, submitted the following: "Shall the City officials of San Francisco refrain from expending City and County money for the proposed World's Fair if it is not located on the San Francisco mainland and within the range of a five-cent street car fare," and moved that same be submitted to the people as a declaration of policy and that the Committee of the Whole so arise and report to the Board.

Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted the following: Mr. Jones and Mr. Alvin Maas, representing the South Basin World's Fair Association; Mr. Douglass, for Lake Merced; Mr. George Gearhardt, representing the Civic League of Improvement Clubs; Mrs. Annie Scanlon; Mr. Cornelius Murphy. Mr. Norman H. Elkington, representing the San Francisco Junior Chamber of Commerce, opposed the submission of the proposed declaration of policy to the electors.

Whereupon, the foregoing motion *failed* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Brown, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported to the Board.

Approval of Report of Committee of the Whole.

Whereupon, the report of the Committee of the Whole, opposing the submission to the electors, as a declaration of policy, the question of refusal of contribution of funds of the City and County for the proposed World's Fair, if same be not held on the mainland, and within the range of a five-cent street car fare, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

BOND ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF INCINERATOR.

Supervisor Uhl called out from Joint Committee on Finance and Health, the following Resolution No. 1549, presented by him on August 27, 1934, determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator, and moved that same be adopted:

Bond Issue for Garbage Incinerator.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1549, as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, and construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper for the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings, and that the estimated costs of said improvements are and will be too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of said City and County.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and declared that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a garbage incinerator by the City and County of San Francisco, and for that purpose the acquisition by said City and County of a tract of land to be used as a site for said incinerator, the improvement and grading of said site, and the construction thereon of the necessary buildings for said incinerator, together with the installation therein and thereto of all machinery and equipment necessary or proper, for

the incineration of garbage, together with the construction of the necessary streets, ways and approaches to said site or to said building or buildings.

Section 2. That the estimated cost of such improvements described in Section 1 hereof is the sum of \$800,000.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that of said sum of \$800,000, no part can be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt in the amount of \$800,000.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon—2.

Reconsideration.

Before the result of the vote was announced, Supervisor Uhl changed his vote from "Aye" to "No", and moved for reconsideration at the next meeting of the Board.

Special Meeting of Board.

Supervisor Uhl served upon the President of the Board written request signed by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, John M. Ratto and Adolph E. Schmidt, to call a special meeting of the Board, to meet at 3 p. m., Thursday, October 4, 1934, in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, for the purpose of discussing the subject of disposal of garbage and a bond issue of \$800,000 for erection of an incinerator.

Arguments for Proposed Charter Amendments.

The Clerk announced that he was prepared to inform the Board, as requested by Supervisor Gallagher, concerning the preparation and inclosure of arguments for proposed Charter amendments.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1934, 3 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Thursday, October 4, 1934, 3 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session pursuant to call by his Honor, President McSheehy, as requested by Supervisors Uhl, Ratto and Schmidt.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Supervisor Colman was noted present at 3:45 p. m.

Call for Special Meeting.

The Clerk read the call for special meeting:

Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

City Hall, San Francisco, October 2, 1934.

Notice is hereby given that written request has been served upon the President of the Board of Supervisors by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, John M. Ratto, Adolph E. Schmidt to call a special meeting of the Board to meet at 3 p. m., Thursday, October 4, 1934, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, for the purpose of discussing the subject of disposal of garbage and a bond issue of \$800,000 for erection of an incinerator.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, President,
Board of Supervisors.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Motion to Reconsider Vote on Resolution No. 1549, \$800,000 Bond Issue for Incinerator.

Supervisor Uhl moved to reconsider vote taken at meeting of October 1, 1934, whereby resolution initiating proceedings for submission to electors at General Election, November 6, 1934, of proposition to incur bonded indebtedness of \$800,000 for acquisition and construction of garbage incinerator was refused adoption.

Motion Ruled Out of Order.

The Chair ruled motion out of order, inasmuch as call for special meeting did not provide for such reconsideration.

Consideration of Garbage Disposal.

At request of Supervisor Uhl, Assistant City Engineer Clyde Healy reported on his investigation of incinerator of Mr. M. L. Rose.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. M. L. Rose addressed the Board, requesting that proposal for bids for garbage disposal be so written as to give him an opportunity to submit his proposal. Mr. W. J. Benson, representing the Underpinning and Foundation Company of New York, owners of the DeCarie incinerator, asked that proposal for bids be so drawn as to give all prospective bidders an equal opportunity in presenting their proposals.

Proposal for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the City Attorney's office be requested to bring in the necessary resolution whereby our garbage can be disposed of by franchise.

Deputy City Attorney Walter Dold informed Supervisor Uhl that if any Supervisor wanted him to prepare such resolution for presentation to the Board, he would do so, whereupon Supervisor Uhl requested that such resolution be prepared for presentation to the Board at the meeting of October 15, 1934.

Supervisor Hayden stated that the action of Supervisor Uhl in asking for information and preparation of resolution was purely a personal request of the Supervisor, and not an action of the Board.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, at the hour of 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1934.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 8, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 8, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



11 2 11

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

11 11

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 8, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havener, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of October 1 and 4, 1934, were considered read and approved.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

**Accepting Deed to Lot Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard, and
Authorizing Payment of \$100.**

(Code No. 12.1711)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1592, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Leon A. Blum to Lot 17, Assessor's Block 5621, San Francisco, required for Bernal Heights boulevard, and that the sum of \$100 be paid for said land from the Boulevard Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 88.902.17.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Refunds of Amounts Paid in Duplicate Taxes and Erroneous Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1593, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-1935,

to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

- (1) To Bert Witzel, duplicate payment, Vol. 9, page 84, line 18, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls.....\$ 5.74
 - (2) To Fred G. Thomas, duplicate payment, Vol. 18, page 65, line 10, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls..... 2.26
 - (3) To S. Siragusa, duplicate payment, Vol. 1, page 120, line 14, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls..... 1.39
 - (4) To Day Lite Fruit Market, duplicate payment, Vol. 12, page 13, line 12, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls..... 18.27
 - (5) To Felix Daprati, erroneous assessment, Vol. 15, page 4, line 4, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls 1.04
 - (6) To Irving Trust Co., trustee for R. Coe & Company, Inc., erroneous assessment, Vol. 7, page 27, line 8, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls 36.44
 - (7) To Vanner Anderson, care Prudential Sec. Corp., clerical error, Vol. 14, page 18, line 2, of 1934 unsecured personal property rolls 2.08
- Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Sale of \$260,000 Airport Bonds.

(Code No. 15.051)

Also, Resolution No. 1594, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 15th day of October, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of \$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1; comprising 52 bonds of one thousand dollar (\$1,000) denomination each, maturing December 1 each year 1934 to 1938, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Authorizing the Superintendent of the Civic Auditorium Be Given Credit in His Settlement With the Controller and Treasurer for the Sum of \$300, Represented by Bank Check Received by Him for Rental of Auditorium, and Which Check Was Returned Unpaid by the Bank by Reason of Lack of Sufficient Funds to Pay Same.

(Code No. 9.023)

Also, Resolution No. 938, as follows:

Whereas, on the first day of March, 1933, James L. Foley, Superintendent of the Civic Auditorium, in good faith, accepted the check of William Getz, director of Mexican-American fiesta, for the sum of three hundred (\$300) dollars in payment of rental for said Civic Auditorium; and

Whereas, said Foley in the acceptance of said check followed the usual custom of accepting rent for the use of said auditorium and was in no way negligent, in that he had every reason to believe that said check was good and valid; and

Whereas, when said check was deposited with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco for collection, it was ascertained that the maker of said check had not sufficient funds on deposit to meet the same and that said person issuing said check was acting in a representative capacity and claims that he was informed that the asso-

ciation which he represented had sufficient funds with which to meet said check; and

Whereas, the account of the Civic Auditorium has been charged with the amount of said check on the books of the Controller and Treasurer.

Now, therefore, for the purpose of making the proper entries in the books of the Controller and Treasurer, be it

Resolved, That the manager of the Civic Auditorium be and he is hereby allowed credit in his settlement with the Controller for said sum of three hundred dollars and the Controller and Treasurer are hereby directed to make the proper entries in their respective books to carry out the purport of this resolution. Be it

Further Resolved, That the aforesaid check be referred to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue by the Treasurer with the request to said bureau to proceed to recover the amount of said check.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund, \$1,000.

(Code No. 15.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 629, Ordinance No. 15.0321, as follows:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund in the sum of \$1,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of paying salaries and wages of men who are employed in conducting the work of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Department of the Public Utilities Commission who may terminate their employment between dates when the City ordinarily pays salaries and wages to its employees and date of termination of employment, that cannot be conveniently paid by demands drawn upon the Treasurer and approved by the Controller, there is hereby created a fund to be known as the "Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund," which fund shall be maintained in the Bank of America, Sonoma, California, in the sum of \$1,000 and from which fund payment of employees who terminate their employment at other than regular days upon which the City pays its employees, shall be paid by checks out of said fund, signed by any one of the following appointees of the Public Utilities Commission, as Superintendent, Thornton Easler, Douglas Mirk, R. L. Wallace, and either of the following, as Timekeeper, John L. Donaldson, Alvin L. Myers.

Section 2. The Manager of Utilities shall at least once in each month transmit to the Controller a demand in favor of said fund for all amounts disbursed from it, to which demand shall be attached receipts for all payments so made, which said receipts shall show the name of the person receiving any payment from said fund, as well as the purpose for which said payment was made. When said demand is approved by the Controller and paid by the Treasurer, it shall be delivered to the Manager of Utilities and deposited to the credit of said fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Shannon—2.

Re-referred.

The following bill was, on motion, *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriating \$937.50 for Expense of Verification of Charter Amendment.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 630, Ordinance No. 9.051148, as follows:

Appropriating \$937.50 out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for expense of verification of Charter Amendment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$937.50 be and is hereby authorized set aside out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for the expense connected with verification of Charter Amendment.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriation of \$40,000, Lighting Equipment on Tenth and Other Streets—Emergency Ordinance.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 633, Ordinance No. 9.051149, as follows:

Appropriating \$40,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund for equipment for lighting Tenth street from Division street to Market street, and other streets, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of \$40,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund be made for the purpose of supplying equipment for the lighting of Tenth street from Division street to Market street, Fell street from Market street to Van Ness avenue, and Bryant and Harrison streets from Fifth street to Tenth street, and the Mayor concurring in the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and advising the Board of the necessity for the same, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$40,000 out of the Emergency Reserve Fund for equipment for lighting the streets described herein.

Section 2. Said sum of \$40,000 is appropriated from the Emergency Reserve Fund for the reason that the supplying of said equipment for the lighting of the streets described in Section 1 hereof is necessary for the preservation of the public safety of the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency ordinance, and the Board of Supervisors by its vote by which the ordinance is passed does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists which necessitates the ordinance becoming effective immediately, the nature of said emergency being as follows: That the above mentioned streets are without facilities for lighting the same, and that the safety of the people of the City and County demands the said equipment for lighting be installed forthwith.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refunds of Amounts Paid for Erroneous Redemptions of Properties.

(Code No. 9.058)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1596, as follows:

Whereas, the Controller of the City and County, with the consent of the City Attorney, has recommended be refunded, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, the sum of \$77.95 paid by Western Service Corporation of Los Angeles for the redemption of Lot 2E, Block 918 in lieu of Lot 2F, Block 918, and which Lot 2F was subsequently redeemed by said Western Service Corporation of Los Angeles; and the sum of \$65.50 paid by L. C. Richards for the redemption of Lots 23 and 24, Block 7052 in lieu of Lots 21 and 22, and which Lots 21 and 22 were subsequently redeemed; therefore,

Resolved, That the amounts hereinabove set forth be and are authorized to be paid by the Controller out of appropriations authorized to be set up for refunding revenues collected in excess of amounts due, as set forth in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance, 1934-35, to-wit:

To Western Service Corporation of Los Angeles, the sum of \$77.95.
To L. C. Richards, the sum of \$65.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes, October 22, 1934.

(Code No. 9.033)

Also, Resolution No. 1595, as follows:

Whereas, Ordinance No. 9.0334, heretofore enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, authorized the issuance of certain Tax Anticipation Notes, the same to be issued as needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County in order to meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for the current fiscal year, as authorized by the Charter of the City and County, which appropriations will become due and payable prior to December 31, 1934, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; and

Whereas, in order to meet the said immediate requirements of said City and County it is necessary to forthwith issue and offer for sale Tax Anticipation Notes as authorized by said ordinance above mentioned to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the Controller of said City and County, and the Treasurer thereof, be and they are hereby authorized and directed to execute and issue for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, the aforesaid Tax Anticipation Notes, to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that said notes be offered for sale by this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco a notice of the sale of said Tax Anticipation Notes to the amount of one million five hundred thousand (\$1,500,000) dollars, and that sealed bids or offers for all or any part of said notes will be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, the 22nd day of October, 1934, and that said Tax Anticipation Notes will be sold to the bidder offering to accept

and pay for said note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to the City and County of San Francisco computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934, said interest to be computed on the basis of three hundred and sixty (360) days per year.

Further Resolved, That Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys at law, are employed to furnish legal opinion on validity of Tax Anticipation Notes authorized by Ordinance No. 9.0334; the fee therefor to be \$500.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Exempting Master Plumbers From the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Police Committee.

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by exempting master plumbers*.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a). Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, subcontractor or as a builder, or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engaged in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself as so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in Section 3 (a) of this ordinance.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), by Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases,

and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," *by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permit to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work, alteration or repairs, there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	<i>Installed or Replaced</i>
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set.....	.50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condensor or steam trap.....	.50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

Explanation.

At present plumbers pay \$50 per year as a license fee imposed by Ordinance 5132 (New Series), and \$50 per year to the Department of Public Health for inspection services.

The changes proposed will result in the following: Master Plumbers will pay \$20 per year to the Department of Public Health for certificates to do business and, in addition, shall pay an inspection fee for each installation of fixtures. They will be exempt from the payment under Ordinance 5132 (New Series).

This will result in the person doing the greater amount of business paying the greater amount of fees and also will eliminate the doing of plumbing work by unlicensed persons.

It was stated to the Committee that the revenue to the City will be increased by at least \$2,000 if these ordinances are enacted.

These changes have been approved by the Master Plumbers, the Tax Collector and the Department of Public Health.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.:

Conference to Devise Ways and Means for Giving Preference to Local Labor and Industry.

(Code No. 5.49)

Resolution No. 1564, as follows:

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Whereas, there is need of constant watchfulness in the matter of expenditure of public moneys under the auspices of or on behalf of San Francisco to the end that local mechanics be employed and that in so far as it is possible local industries be favored in the materials used; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately begin the organization of a Conference, Committee or Body of representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, the Down Town Association, Central Council of Civic Clubs, Organized Labor Cabinet Manufacturers, Lumbermen's Association, Metal Trades Association, Contractors' Association, and such others as are deemed advisable, whose duty it will be to, under the authority of the Board of Supervisors and with the cooperation of said body, call attention to any evidence of failure, or to be cognizant of the duty of all departments to carry out the expressed wish of the people of San Francisco, his Honor the Mayor and this Board of Supervisors that, in so far as possible, the benefits accruing from all expenditure of public moneys within our control shall be spread among local labor and industries, so far as possible within the law; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are also instructed to ascertain under what procedure any principal city of California, such as Oakland, Sacramento, Los Angeles, is able to obtain for its local industry and labor the benefits of the large share of its expenditures; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are empowered to solicit from merchants and others in the community, their cooperation in purchase of locally manufactured commodities and employment of San Francisco citizens.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Opinion of City Attorney Re Effect of Proposed Charter Amend-
ment No. 11 on Salaries and Status of City Employees Receiving
\$175 and Less Per Month.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 4, 1934.

Gentlemen: Under date of October 2, 1934, you have requested an opinion "as to the effect that the proposed Charter Amendment No. 11 might have upon salaries and status of employees now receiving \$175 per month or less, and particularly the effect of standardization of salaries of such employees."

Opinion.

Charter Amendment No. 11, submitted by the Board of Supervisors as a single amendment, really amends three sections of the Charter, to-wit, Sections 71, 73 and 151, and adds a new section which is designated as Section 70.2.

The additions and changes in Sections 71 and 73 do not readily appear from the amendment as submitted to the people and it is only by careful comparison of the sections as they exist at the present time with the sections in the amendment that the changes and additions can be ascertained. It appears to me that the main purpose to be accomplished by the proposed amendment to Section 71 is the removal of the provision which was written into our present Charter at the time of its adoption by the people. This provision redounded to the benefit of the then employees of the City by providing as follows:

"That any compensation paid as of January 1, 1931, to an incumbent who legally held a position in the city and county service at that time, shall not be reduced so long as such incumbent legally holds said position."

With this provision removed persons who were in the employ of the City prior to January 1, 1931, will have no assurance that their present salaries will be maintained, irrespective of whether or not those salaries are above or below \$175 per month. In other words, the salaries of all persons whose compensations are subject to standardization as provided in the Charter amendment will have their salaries standardized irrespective of their length of service to the City and irrespective of their efficiency. Persons whose salaries are not subject to standardization, with the exception of those whose salaries are fixed by the Charter, will have their compensations fixed at such amounts as may be recommended by the officer, board or commission having the appointive power for such employment and concurred in by the Board of Supervisors in the adoption of its budget and annual salary ordinance, all irrespective of the term of prior service or prior salary received by the particular individual.

I also find language written into the section which limits the compensation of employees of the City and County to amounts which will not exceed the general prevailing salary or wage for similar services in private employment in San Francisco and the San Francisco Bay region or for other governmental organizations in California. This would give the salary fixing body power to base compensations, not only upon the amounts which might be paid for similar services in private employments in San Francisco and the Bay region but also upon the amounts paid by governmental agencies in California for similar services no matter whether that agency should be in Siskiyou or San Diego. This salary fixing power is not limited to salaries that are in excess of \$175 per month and if the salary fixing power felt that the salary of a clerk or stenographer who is now receiving \$150 or \$175 per month should be fixed upon the base of a salary of \$75 or \$100 per month being paid by some governmental agency outside of

MONDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1934.

San Francisco, it would have the power to so fix the salary of our employees, irrespective of the present amount thereof or the time of service of the particular employee.

Section 73 deals, to a large extent, with the preparation of the annual salary ordinance and practically removes all limitations on reductions of salaries irrespective of the amounts of present salaries and the time of service of the various employees. There seems to be tied into Section 73 a provision which repeals the fifth paragraph of Section 151. This paragraph, as it now exists, threw certain safeguards around the salaries of those who held their positions on January 1, 1931. The repeal of this particular provision takes away an additional safeguard that the salaries of those in the employ of the City on January 1, 1931, would be maintained at the amounts which they were receiving on that date. The removal of this safeguard affects salaries of all employees where they are not fixed by the Charter, irrespective of the amounts and irrespective of the length of service.

This brings us to Section 70.2 which is, as I have already stated, a new section added. This section provides for deductions from the salaries of all officers and employees whose compensations exceed \$175 per month. If the Board of Supervisors were to act under this section alone they could not make deductions from salaries which do not exceed \$175 per month but it should be noted that these deductions are made mandatory only for the balance of the fiscal year, and thereafter until such time as the Board of Supervisors, by a majority vote, shall declare that the public emergency no longer exists.

Therefore, the effect of this section is that, whenever the Board of Supervisors, by a majority vote, should declare that the emergency is at an end, the other salary fixing provisions of the Charter, either by way of standardization or by way of the budget fixing power of the Board, may fix salaries as provided by Sections 71 and 73 of the Charter as amended. This means that all salaries could be reduced, irrespective of their present amounts and irrespective of the time of service of the several employees.

As to the extent that Section 70.2 repeals Section 70.1 is most uncertain and there is grave doubt in my mind as to whether, irrespective of Section 70.2, the Board of Supervisors could not, under authority of Section 70.1 by a two-thirds vote with the concurrence of the Mayor, declare an emergency which would reduce all salaries between \$100 and \$175 as provided in Section 70.1.

As I have stated it is very difficult to give this conclusion as a definite opinion but when we refer to Section 70.2 we will find that Section 70.1 is only repealed in so far as it conflicts with Section 70.2 but Section 71 as amended would seem to tie the provisions of both Sections 70.1 and 70.2 into the salary fixing powers under the Charter.

To conclude, I might state that the whole purport of Amendment No. 11 is to remove the inhibitions contained in the Charter against salary deductions of those employees who occupied their positions on January 1, 1931, irrespective, of course, of the salaries fixed by the Charter, and to base the salaries of all employees whose compensations are not fixed by the Charter upon the general prevailing rate of wage paid in San Francisco and the Bay region in private employment or by any governmental agency outside the City.

I have heretofore advised your Board that the constitutionality of some of the provisions of Charter Amendment No. 11 are doubtful, but as your request is not for a legal opinion, that phase is not covered herein.

Respectfully,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE, City Attorney.

Argument in Favor of Charter Amendment No. 11.

(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1603, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 183 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Registrar of Voters to include a copy of arguments in favor of Charter Amendment No. 11 (Salaries, Wages and Compensations of the Officers and Employees of the City and County), and appearing on the ballot of election to be held November 6, 1934, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Colman presented the following argument in favor of Charter Amendment No. 11—Salary Standardization and Temporary Deductions During Emergency:

Charter Amendment No. 11, if adopted (1) will strike out provisions in three sections of the Charter that *now* require that any municipal compensation paid as of January 1, 1931, shall not be reduced; (2) Requires, effective July 1, 1935, and thereafter, that salary and wage rates for employments subject to salary standardization *shall be standardized*. (Teachers, librarians, firemen, policemen and various other groups are *not* subject to standardization; (3) Will provide that rates paid by the City under salary standardization shall not exceed rates paid for similar services in private employment in San Francisco or in the San Francisco Bay area; (4) Will declare a public emergency to exist, due to existing business conditions, shrunken values and decreased incomes of taxpayers, such emergency to continue to June 30, 1935, and thereafter until declared terminated by majority vote of the Board of Supervisors. During such emergency the percentage rates of salary deductions that were in effect last year will be applied to those receiving more than \$175 per month, and after July 1, 1935, the Supervisors, by majority vote, may reduce or wipe out such percentage rates of deductions.

Salary Standardization.

Salary standardization will effect a saving of \$1,250,000 per year. Salary standardization is fair; it is just; and it is a municipal necessity. Salaries will *not* be standardized at the lowest "outside" rates that have been forced by the depression. Under standardization, the City's rates will be equalized on a par with the highest "outside" rates. This will mean some increases, and many decreases.

Maintenance of January 1, 1931, salary rates has practically established the City payroll as a "fixed charge." This has prevented necessary retrenchment and equitable adjustment of salary rates. When the January 1, 1931, provisions were written into the new Charter in the winter 1930-1931, no one could have foreseen that the business depression which had started in 1929 would continue and grow in intensity for the next three or four years. Since April 1, 1931, when the voters approved the Charter as a whole, they have had no opportunity to vote on this specific "January 1, 1931," provision.

Since the Freeholders drafted the new Charter, including the "January 1, 1931," provision, the nationally "produced" income, which for 1929 amounted to 83 billion dollars, fell to 39 billions for 1932 and to an estimated 41 billions for 1933.

San Francisco's business men and taxpayers have suffered their proportionate share of this tremendous falling off of income. With taxpayers' incomes so drastically reduced, and, in many cases, entirely wiped out, it is neither fair nor just that they should have to pay any inflated or excessive municipal salaries.

Many of the high rates of compensation in the City's service were established and increased over a long period in the past, usually with little or no consideration of the facts involved in any proposed increase. The 1932 reclassification of City employments brought out for the first

time the wide range of compensation paid in the various offices of the City government for the same type of service. For example: employees in the classification of General Clerk in 28 offices in the City service are paid 19 different rates of pay, ranging from \$85 to \$250 per month.

Temporary Deductions During Emergency.

The temporary scale of salary deductions during the emergency, as provided by this amendment, will amount to about \$2,050,000 per year, including Board of Education and public utility employees. This sum will be applied to the cost of unemployment relief, to the extent that such application is necessary. The Supervisors may order that the percentage rate of deduction be reduced or eliminated in any case where an employee's pay is reduced by salary standardization in a greater amount than the amount of percentage rate of deduction.

Salary deductions in effect during the last fiscal year, 1933-1934, averaged 10.5 per cent of annual payroll. Salary deductions *now* in effect average about 5.7 per cent of the City's yearly payroll. These will be superseded by the percentage rates in this amendment when it is voted and ratified. The rates of deduction provided by this amendment will average about 8.4 per cent of the City's annual payroll.

The application of temporary percentage rates of deduction to "officers and employees of the Board of Education" is identical with the provisions in the salary deduction amendment voted by the people on April 11, 1933. It has been, and is the expressed policy of the Board of Education, in fixing teachers' salaries, to follow this mandate of the people.

Favorable Status of City's Employees

The temporary deductions will apply to about 8150 of the 11,500 officials and employees. The requirements that City salaries be fixed at not higher than those paid in private employment for similar work will apply to approximately 5500 of this total of 11,500. Prior to April, 1933, this force of 11,500 has been practically immune from salary reduction, part-time employment and lay-offs that had been forced on private employment, and in public employment in many other cities, as a result of the business depression and falling off of income that started in 1929.

From the peak of 1929 to date, City officials and employees have enjoyed a substantial increase in real earnings. They have continued to receive 1929 salary rates, plus many increases in 1930, subject to a maximum average deduction for 1933-34 of 10.4 per cent. During this period the "cost of living" indexes, as reported by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, dropped 25 per cent under the 1929 level. As of June, 1934, they are still 20.4 per cent under the 1929 level.

San Francisco City employees probably have been affected by the depression of the last five years to a lesser degree than any other large group in our population. They received their peak pay during the first two years, and from 90 per cent to 97 per cent of "peak" pay during the last three years. They are protected by a liberal pension system, for which the taxpayers have paid about \$1,400,000 per year, or about 20 cents on each annual tax rate during this period. They continue to receive annual vacations with pay, including per diem employees. They are free from federal income taxes which persons in private employments must pay.

Due to the fact that they work for the City and are under Civil Service, they have a security in their employments that is of great value in times like these.

Because of these factors it would be assumed that the City's employees would have cooperated in reasonable pay-adjustment proposals. No doubt many would like to do so. But many others, probably a

heavy majority, have organized and assessed themselves to fight this and previous pay-adjustment proposals that have been made.

When business conditions improve, a majority of the Board of Supervisors can and will reduce the amount of the emergency percentage pay deductions; and when conditions are sufficiently improved will declare the emergency ended, thereby wiping out such deductions.

Retrenchment and Relief

The Supervisors, *by majority vote*, may adopt a budget. The 1934-1935 budget totals nearly \$72,000,000. The Supervisors by majority vote may fix the tax rate. Such tax rate for this year is \$3,865. Until this amendment is voted by the people, the Supervisors cannot, *by majority vote*, equalize compensations or provide for emergency reductions in the largest object of expenditure in the budget, the City's payroll for personal service.

City officials are still faced with the necessity of retrenchment and tax reduction, on the one hand, of providing funds for unemployment relief on the other. Gross costs of unemployment relief have not decreased. President Roosevelt and Relief Administrator Hopkins, in a recent statement, indicated that the administration would soon begin to curtail federal appropriations for these purposes.

This amendment is a necessary one, and is fair and equitable to City officials and employees. This amendment, providing for the equitable standardization of salaries, and providing for temporary deductions during the continuation of depressed business conditions, should have the support of fair-minded municipal employees, and should be adopted by the people.

Vote "Yes" on "Salaries, Wages and Compensations," Charter Amendment No. 11.

Proposed Amendments to Argument.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to the argument the inclusion of the following paragraph:

"Tax rate ordinance of the City and County of San Francisco has been adopted for the fiscal year 1934-1935; therefore, the proposed deductions from the pay of municipal employees propose in this amendment will not effect any reduction in the tax bills of any of the property owners or taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco during the current fiscal year."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to the proposed argument the insertion of the following paragraph:

"This Charter amendment declares an additional emergency to continue until the close of the current fiscal year and thereafter until it shall be terminated by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors. This additional emergency is declared to exist by reason of the existing business depression accompanied by shrunken values of property and decreased incomes and decreased ability of taxpayers of the City and County to pay public taxes. The proposed Charter amendment also provides that all salaries subject to standardization shall be standardized to become effective on the first day of the next fiscal year. Therefore, it is obvious that the basis of standardization shall be the rates of pay which are in effect in private employment in the Bay region during the period of the emergency thus set up, and/or on the basis of comparable employments in governmental employ elsewhere in California. It is obvious, therefore, that the basis of standardization of salaries proposed by this amendment is the standard of wages in

private or public employments which are in existence during this declared emergency."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Supervisor Havenner moved to amend the proposed argument by the inclusion of an additional paragraph to read as follows:

"In view of the announced intent of the proponents of this Charter amendment not to reduce compensations of City employees now receiving a monthly salary of \$175 or less, it is only fair to the general public and the voters to call their attention to the opinion of the City Attorney reading in substance as follows: 'Therefore, the effect of this section is that, whenever the Board of Supervisors, by a majority vote, should declare that the emergency is at an end, the other salary fixing provisions of the Charter, either by way of standardization or by way of the budget fixing power of the Board, may fix salaries as provided by Sections 71 and 73 of the Charter as amended. This means that all salaries could be reduced irrespective of their present amounts and irrespective of the time of the service of the several employees.'"

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Supervisor Roncovieri, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the proposed argument be amended by adding the following paragraph:

"In the past four years the employees of the City and County of San Francisco have contributed both voluntarily and under the existing City Charter provisions nearly six million dollars for the relief of the unemployed and the indigent poor."

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by saying: "I think this thing is all wrong and the argument is all wrong. In my opinion, the basis of prosperity is the purchasing power in the community. The basis of purchasing power is general employment at liberal wages, and the basis of such general employment is industrial activity. These things are intimately correlated.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Supervisor Shannon's explanation be printed with the argument.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Excused—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Motion.

Whereupon, on motion the Clerk was directed to have printed argument for Charter Amendment No. 11 and to have same delivered to the Registrar of Voters for insertion in envelope to electors with sample ballot.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

October 5, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: A request has been made to me by Honorable Jesse Colman, a member of your Board, for a leave of absence for ten days, beginning October 9th, with permission to leave the State.

I respectfully ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this permission.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1601, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing October 9, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Argument in Favor of Charter Amendments 1 and 8.

(Code No. 1.08)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 1602, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Section 183 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Registrar of Voters to include a copy of arguments in favor of Charter Amendments No. 1 (Continuous Service), and 8 (Qualifications and Tests), and appearing on the ballot of election to be held November 6, 1934, with each sample ballot mailed or delivered to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Registrar is authorized to include with sample ballots, arguments in favor of proposed Charter Amendments relating to financial provisions of said Charter, said arguments to be prepared by the Controller.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Granting Permission to Community Chest of San Francisco to Advertise Its Annual Drive on Outside of Market Street Railroad Company's Street Cars.

(Code No. 1.06101)

Supervisor Brown presented:

Resolution No. 1597, as follows:

Resolved, That the Community Chest of San Francisco be and is hereby granted permission to advertise its annual drive on the outside of street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company, provided said cars, when used for said advertising purposes, are not to be used to carry passengers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Mayor to Appoint Committee, American Education Week, November 5 to 11, 1934.

(Code No. 5.93)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1598, as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange participation in American Education Week being sponsored by National Education Association, American Legion, and Boards of Education throughout the United States, November 5 to 11, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

United States Engineers' Meeting Re Bulkhead and Pierhead Lines, San Francisco and San Mateo County.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1599, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 1357, endorsing House Joint Resolution No. 289, submitted by Congressman Richard J. Welch, authorizing the establishment of bulkhead and pierhead lines in San Francisco Bay from a point near Point Avisadero (Hunter's Point), San Francisco County, to Ravenswood Point, San Mateo County; and

Whereas, it is in the interest of San Francisco's progress and the progress of the Peninsula that active steps be taken to secure the government's cooperation to this end; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States War Department, through the Board of Army Engineers, be and they are hereby requested to arrange for an early meeting on this subject, at which time representatives of San Francisco and contiguous communities may attend and present evidence looking to the consummation of the purpose contained in this resolution.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

President to Appoint Committee to Represent Board for Promotion of Rapid Transit (Peninsula).

(Code No. 15.092)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1600, as follows:

Whereas, the officials and civic bodies of San Mateo County have

appointed committees to prepare plans for and promote rapid transit between the City of San Francisco and Peninsula points; and

Whereas, said bodies have enlisted the services of Col. Bien J. Arnold to advise them in reference to same; and

Whereas, the interests of San Francisco and the Peninsula are interwoven and the progress of the Peninsula in respect to development is of interest to this City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the action of the above mentioned bodies is hereby highly commended by this San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the President of this Board is instructed and requested to offer the full cooperation of this Board in that regard, and is authorized and requested to appoint a committee of this Board to represent them in the matter and to assist in the development of plans and the promotion of measures calculate to consummate the action above noted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havénner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Public Utilities Committee Meeting.

Supervisor Colman announced meeting of Public Utilities Committee for Tuesday, October 16, 1934.

Report Requested—Bureau of Street Repair.

Supervisor Brown stated that the Department of Public Works, at his request, had previously submitted report of the operation of the Bureau of Street Repair covering period of eight months of the past fiscal year and moved that the Department of Public Works complete the report of the fiscal year and let the Board have same as the matter is pending in the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee awaiting completion of said report.

Motion carried.

License for Municipal Opera House.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 634, Ordinance No. ———, entitled "Amending Section No. 68½ of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), License Ordinance, providing for the imposing of a license fee of \$20 per day for operas to be held in the Municipal Opera House.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 15, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 15, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 15, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 8, 1934, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS—3 P. M.

Sale of \$260,000 Airport Bonds.

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 15, 1934.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

\$260,000 "Airport Bonds, 1933." Said bonds will bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum, interest payable semi-annually, June 1 and December 1; comprising 52 bonds of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) denomination, maturing December 1 each year, 1934 to 1938, inclusive.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

Bids.

The following bids were received, opened, read by the Clerk and referred to the Finance Committee:

1—The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco, Union Bank and Trust Company, by The Anglo California National Bank of San Francisco. For \$260,000 par value Airport Bonds, 1933, of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery, plus a premium of \$5,559.

2—Bankamerica Company, by M. S. Prosser, assistant vice-president. For the \$260,000 par value Airport Bonds, 1933, of the City and County of San Francisco, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery and in addition thereto a premium of \$6,119.

3—Weeden & Co., by Vernon Kimball. We will pay you \$266,412, plus accrued interest to date of delivery for \$260,000 par value Airport Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco.

4—R. H. Moulton & Company, by V. E. Breeden. For \$260,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Airport 4 per cent Bonds of the denomination of \$1,000 each, dated December 1, 1933, * * * we will pay you \$260,000, being the par value of said bonds and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$5,510.

5—The First Boston Corporation, by W. A. _____, assistant manager. For all but no part of \$260,000 City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds * * * we will pay \$265,304, plus accrued interest to date of delivery for these bonds bearing interest at the rate of 4 per cent.

6—Brown, Harriman & Co., Inc., by W. Wayne Glover. \$260,000 bonds of the City and County of San Francisco—more particularly described as follows: Dated, 1933. Maturing \$52,000 each December 1, 1934, to December 1, 1938, inclusive. Purpose—Airport Bonds. Interest rate—4 per cent, semi-annually. Denomination, \$1,000. We bid \$265,382, plus accrued interest to date of delivery.

7—Heller, Bruce & Co., by Harry A. Bruce. For all but not for any part less than all—\$260,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds, we bid the sum of par and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with premium of \$1,222. As an alternative bid, all to bear interest at rate of $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, * * * we bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$611.

8—Blyth & Co., Inc., by Albert B. Maas, representative. For all but not less than all of \$260,000 par value City and County of San Francisco Airport Bonds, * * * we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with premium of \$6,161.

Adopted.

Subsequently, during the proceedings the Finance Committee reported the following resolution and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Sale of \$260,000 Airport Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 15.051)

Resolution No. 1605, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Airport Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$260,000, and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid therefor by Weeden & Co., as follows:

"We will pay you \$266,412, plus accrued interest to date of delivery for \$260,000 par value Airport Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco. These bonds are as described in your notice of sale. Inter-

est 4 per cent per annum payable semi-annually June 1st and December 1st. Due \$52,000 each year December 1st, 1934 to 1938. Approving legal opinion of Thomson, Wood and Hoffman to be furnished without cost to the successful bidder. We enclose certified check for \$10,000 to be used as part payment if you award us the bonds and otherwise to be returned. This bid is for prompt acceptance."

Be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to Weeden & Co., for the price bid therefor, \$266,412.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Brown, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3 p. m.*

Conference to Devise Ways and Means for Giving Preference to Local Labor and Industry.

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

(Code No. 5.49)

Resolution No. 1564, as follows:

Whereas, there is need of constant watchfulness in the matter of expenditure of public moneys under the auspices of or on behalf of San Francisco to the end that local mechanics be employed and that in so far as it is possible local industries be favored in the materials used; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately begin the organization of a Conference, Committee or Body of representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, the Down Town Association, Central Council of Civic Clubs, Organized Labor, Cabinet Manufacturers, Lumbermen's Association, Metal Trades Association, Contractors' Association, and such others as are deemed advisable, whose duty it will be to, under the authority of the Board of Supervisors and with the cooperation of said body, call attention to any evidence of failure, or to be cognizant of the duty of all departments to carry out the expressed wish of the people of San Francisco, his Honor the Mayor and this Board of Supervisors that, in so far as possible, the benefits accruing from all expenditure of public moneys within our control shall be spread among local labor and industries, so far as possible within the law; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are also instructed to ascertain under what procedure any principal city of California, such as Oakland, Sacramento, Los Angeles, is able to obtain for its local industry and labor the benefits of the large share of its expenditures; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are empowered to solicit from merchants and others in the community, their cooperation in purchase of locally manufactured commodities and employment of San Francisco citizens.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Spur Track, San Francisco Materials Company, Across Treat Avenue North of Twenty-third Street.

(Code No. 12.20)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 627, Ordinance No. 12.2014, as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the San Francisco Materials Company to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track across Treat avenue, northerly from Twenty-third street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is granted to San Francisco Materials Company to lay down, construct, maintain and operate a standard gauge spur track across Treat avenue, northerly from Twenty-third street, said location more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Treat avenue, approximately 193 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, thence southwesterly and crossing Treat avenue to a point on the westerly line of Treat avenue approximately 130 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street.

Provided that girder rail be installed between the property lines of Treat avenue; provision to be made for drainage by relocating the existing storm water inlets; proper clearances from the transformer poles be made; all pavement disturbed be replaced, and granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; work to be done under the supervision of the Department of Public Works.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the San Francisco Materials Company.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Accepting the Roadway of Ortega Street Between Twentieth and Twenty-first Avenues.

(Code No. 12.0811)

Also, Bill No. 628, Ordinance No. 12.081117, as follows:

Providing for acceptance of the roadway of Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, having been paved in accordance with the specifications of the Department of Public Works, and having received the written certificate of the City Engineer, are hereby *accepted* by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), said roadways having been paved with asphaltic-concrete and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water pipes laid therein, to-wit:

Ortega street between Twentieth avenue and Twenty-first avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Approved October 2, 1934.

Appropriating \$937.50 for Expense of Verification of Charter Amendment.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 630, Ordinance No. 9.051148, as follows:

Appropriating \$937.50 out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for expense of verification of Charter Amendment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$937.50 be and is hereby authorized set aside out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for the expense connected with verification of Charter Amendment.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Shannon—3.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Providing for and Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Uhl presented the following Resolution No. 1609, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise, or privilege, for a period of twenty-five years, for the disposal, by any process except dumping at sea, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, the grantee to state in his bid the price per ton for disposal he will charge the collectors, or anyone delivering such garbage and refuse for disposal.

That the grantee shall not compel the segregation of such garbage or refuse.

The grantee must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal, which place of final disposal shall be provided by the grantee without any expense to the City and County of San Francisco.

That the plant or receiving place will be arranged and equipped so that garbage and refuse may be received continuously and at such a rate that at least thirty (30) trucks, such as are used by garbage collectors, may be served in any one hour without delay.

And that the plant or receiving place will be ready and prepared to receive, weigh and handle garbage and refuse delivered between the hours of 8 a. m. and 5 p. m. every day of the year, except Sundays, New Year's Day, Fourth of July and Christmas Day.

The bidder shall also file with his bid, in a separate sealed envelope, endorsed "Additional Information Required," and with his signature, the following information:

(1) A statement of the operating personnel necessary, including supervision, giving the number of men divided into respective shifts

assigned to each class of work when disposing of 800 tons per day of 24 hours.

(2) A statement as to the method of, and proposed location for the final disposal of ash, residue and reject materials which may accumulate from the operation of the plant.

(3) A general statement covering estimates itemizing the expected cost of operation and maintenance, and the expected cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required during the life of the franchise.

The said statement covering cost of operation and maintenance shall be based upon tonnage and shall include:

The number of men required for each class of work per day.

The rate of pay allocated to each man.

The estimated cost of operating supplies, water, power and light.

The estimated cost for labor and material for maintenance.

The statement covering the cost of financing the project and amortizing the outlay required shall include:

Estimated cost of building;

Estimated cost of equipment;

Estimated amount of interest during construction;

Estimated cost of financing;

Estimated annual interest on investment;

Estimated amount of annual sinking fund;

Estimated cost of bond premium, taxes, and all insurance;

Estimated allowance for profit.

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the refuse collection and disposal ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932.

That in all operations connected with the work, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with.

That the buildings, improvements and equipment which may be furnished by the successful bidder shall be subject to all City and County taxes.

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and the price of disposal shall be collected from the persons delivering garbage or refuse at the plant or receiving place.

Proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms.

Any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration.

Be It Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental

subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the — day of —, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, open and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions herein and in the advertisement for bids shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

Be It Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of one hundred fifty thousand (\$150,000) dollars for the first two (2) years of the life of the franchise, and in the penal sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars thereafter, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfil the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfil and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Referred to Public Health Committee. All interested persons to be furnished copies.

In Memoriam—M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1604, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California and the City and County of San Francisco has sustained a sad loss in the death of M. M. O'Shaughnessy, one of the most outstanding civil engineers in this country, whose death coincides with the completion of his life's work in the final construction of the great Hetch Hetchy Water and Electric Project, which gigantic enterprise has been under construction upwards of 20 years and which stands today as a monument to his unsurpassed ability; and

Whereas, in the passing of M. M. O'Shaughnessy, former Chief Engineer of this City and County, the people of the community suffer an irreparable loss; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does this day adjourn out of respect to the memory of one of the outstanding engineering geniuses of modern times; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be spread in the minutes of the Board, and that copies be sent to the grieving relatives of the deceased.

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, Mr. Havenner and myself present this resolution in memoriam of M. M. O'Shaughnessy, and with my request that the wording be edited at a later date by the Clerk; it was drawn rather hastily—but the sentiments expressed are, of course, to remain the same.

(Thereupon the Clerk read the resolution.)

SUPERVISOR COLMAN: Mr. President, and members of the Board: In asking for the suspension of the rules and the adoption of this resolution, I do so with a great deal of sorrow. I was deeply shocked to hear the news. I was out of town during the week-end, and the news reached me while I was away. I believe the entire city is grieving today over the loss of this splendid, sterling public servant. He served San Francisco since 1912, coming in with our late Mayor, James Rolph, Junior, and all of the engineering projects contracted during that time were under his supervision and I think I can say safely that they are a tribute to, not only his own great ability but to his outstanding honesty and loyalty of purpose.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy was a great man for San Francisco and in a sense it was sad that he couldn't have lived for another two weeks to see the ceremony when the Hetch Hetchy will actually have been completed. However, it practically is completed today and he must have had the great satisfaction of seeing the completion of the work to which he gave so much of himself, and which will, I am sure, be a

blessing to San Francisco, particularly to those generations yet to come. Hetch Hetchy, in the years to come, will always be a monument to M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

It has been a pleasure for us members of the Board of Supervisors to have lived with him during the last ten or twelve years and to have had a part in the man's splendid constructive work. He was a strong man, and it required a strong man to put through this great project and many a man of equal or possibly equal engineering ability, without that strength, that courage, that desire to fight for what he thought was right, might have retarded and even caused the abandonment of this noble project. I look back over the years with a great deal of pleasure at my own personal contact with this splendid man and I have a feeling of the greatest satisfaction, which will remain with me through all of my years, when I think of the fact that it was my privilege always to support this gentleman in his various projects, and in the various matters that came before us.

Now that he is called to his last rest, the memory of all that may have been, while it was going on, unpleasant has passed, and history will view only the man in the light of the works that he has accomplished, and M. M. O'Shaughnessy may rest in peace with the knowledge that he has been a good friend of San Francisco, a loyal servant, and that the works created by his hand and brain will last forever, and be an eternal monument to him. I might say in passing, that one of the greatest tributes that could be paid to this extraordinary gentleman is the fact of the loyalty that exists in his department and those of us today who saw these men from his office at the funeral could realize their grief and could realize the love and affection that they bore to the Chief. He was exacting of them and he was unsparing of himself as well, and they loved and respected him, not only for his ability but for his fairness and his loyalty to them.

May God rest M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

SUPERVISOR HAYDEN: Mr. President, and Members of the Board: I think I am the only member of the Board that has served during all the years since the appointment of M. M. O'Shaughnessy in 1912. During those years many millions of dollars have been expended for the Hetch Hetchy project under the recommendations of the late engineer. Those recommendations came in the Board of Supervisors and those appropriations, running into the millions, were here subject to our approval. As a layman I understood nothing of the engineering responsibility which was only O'Shaughnessy's responsibility, but I did have faith and I had confidence in his honesty and his integrity, and I have never failed during all of the years to vote "Aye" on every recommendation he has made to the Board of Supervisors of which I was a member.

In looking back during those years, to the Hetch Hetchy tunnels, about ready to bear this beautiful water into our lakes, we can look back with satisfaction that we have made no mistake in supporting such an eminent engineer as M. M. O'Shaughnessy. He has been criticized—criticized many times; he has met many physical obstacles in the building of that project, but he overcame them all, because he was honest and he was determined he knew what he was doing. I read an article the other day in the newspaper that said that while they had criticized him they appreciated his great engineering ability and the great quality of mind and heart, and I think that is the consensus of opinion of all those who knew him, particularly those of us who worked with him here in the Board of Supervisors, his employees who have shown great affection for him during all these years, and their loyalty was never doubted or questioned by their great Chief.

It is sad that he was taken at the time when he might have lived but a few weeks to realize the completion of that great project which was his dream and which will be an eternal monument to his memory. I had a great feeling of affection for the man. At times he was gruff

and rough to those who looked at it from that standpoint, but behind it all he had a great big heart and very easily forgave those who might step on his toes. Some of us in the Board of Supervisors have stepped on his toes unwittingly, but in that stepping of toes we learned something because always the things we thought might be wrong were explained to us and ironed out to the best interests of the City government.

This morning I attended the services and paid my last tribute of respect, in conjunction with the Mayor and other city officials, and the great Archbishop of the Catholic Church of San Francisco, His Excellency Edward J. Hanna, paid a tribute to his memory that certainly appealed to the hearts of the large crowd of people who were in that church—such is the feeling, such is the respect, such is the kindly consideration of all those who knew him, both in high and lowly rank—and Mr. President, and members of the Board, I look back with pride to know and feel that I was a friend of M. M. O'Shaughnessy, and may his memory endure to the end of time; and may that beautiful monument, the Hetch Hetchy Water project, always be a reminder to those who go into that country and see the magnificence of that gigantic undertaking and realize that that was the accomplishment of M. M. O'Shaughnessy.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Board: I want to say just a word. I have known Mr. O'Shaughnessy from the time he began his work in San Francisco, even before he was connected with the city government of San Francisco. I found him a man who was much misunderstood at times. His problem was so great, so gigantic, that the great mass of people could not comprehend the moves he was making. It would have been just the same had democracy taken charge of the battles in the Great War; men were placed in charge who knew what they were doing and obedience toward their command brought the victory, obedience to a man of perseverance, a man of strength of character, always honest in every act, a man who at all times thought of the welfare not alone of the present generation but of the future generations, a man who built as solidly as the granite mountain in which he placed the famous and gigantic O'Shaughnessy Dam—well named. May the waters that will rush from that dam be an eternal requiem to his memory. May the people of future generations comprehend the greatness of the man, that the obstacles of all kinds, not only the physical obstacles and the mountains, but the human obstacles that were placed in his way, all to be crushed aside. He was rough, he had to be. It took that kind of man to do the job. The job was big and it took a big man to do it. Engineers, as may have been said, may have had just as much talent, just as much genius, in their line, might have failed; it took that man with the driving force, he shoved aside every barking little dog that barked at his heels. He was great. We have lost a wonderful man. After all, his age—we might have expected that he could live a few years longer, not alone to see the water come here, he knew it would come here, he knew it. We who have been through some of the tunnels, and that great mountain division tunnel, through the solid granite for nineteen miles, know the obstacles, know the qualities of his work and so I say, may the rushing waters be forever his eternal requiem.

SUPERVISOR BROWN: Mr. Chairman: It was not my fortune to be a member of the Board of Supervisors during the time the Hetch Hetchy was conceived and undertaken. Every San Franciscan, however, knows of the Hetch Hetchy, of its story, and during my time of office with the Junior Chamber of Commerce I came to know very well the man that has been taken from us, and I know what a figure of strength he was in the civic life of San Francisco. The younger men who take an interest in San Francisco City affairs recognized Mr. O'Shaughnessy as a man unafraid, a man who had the

courage of his convictions and didn't hesitate to express them. Without his services many of us know that the Hetch Hetchy program would have been much longer prolonged, in fact might not have been completed. San Francisco has lost one of its most valuable citizens in losing this man. There is a quotation which I think describes most fittingly to the citizens of his beloved city; that is: "By his works ye shall know him."

SUPERVISOR HAVENNER: Mr. President, and Members of the Board: I have been told by some of Mr. O'Shaughnessy's closest friends that the great wish of his later years was that he might live to see the tunnels of the Hetch Hetchy aqueducts completed. He expressed it in characteristic verbiage: "I want to see the Hetch Hetchy water push itself through to the peninsula of San Francisco." Fate saw fit to remove him from life just at the time when this dream of his later life was realized. The tunnels through the Coast Range were completed before he died. It is a matter of regret to all of us who, by the accident of our official positions, are to be connected with the formal celebration of the arrival of the Hetch Hetchy water two weeks from yesterday, that fate could not have spared him to be there because it was our plan to honor him upon that occasion, and this last honor has been denied to him. Nevertheless, the dream of his life was realized. The tunnels have been completed for some weeks, and the actual delivery of the water has been deferred only because the engineers in a very sensible precaution have delayed the delivery of the water until the tunnels could be fairly tested. Nevertheless, there is a very impressive and fateful sign in the fact that this life should have been terminated with the completion of his great work. I am sure that all of San Francisco, all of the people of San Francisco, regardless of whether they may at times during his life have differed with him and have engaged in some of the criticisms that were directed at him, nevertheless, sincerely mourn his passing, sincerely join in the tributes that have been paid to him, and are being paid to him today. Opinions must differ on important issues between honest men, and there were honest differences of opinion with Mr. O'Shaughnessy in some of his policies but I think that those who participated in those differences of opinion nevertheless always conceded the honesty and the integrity of this man's actions. And I for one, am both happy and sorrowful to be able to join today in this last tribute officially to his memory.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *adopted unanimously* by a rising vote.

In Memoriam—Herman F. Zimmerman.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1606, as follows:

Whereas, death has called Herman F. Zimmerman, Chief Clerk to the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Herman F. Zimmerman has been in the employ of the City and County of San Francisco for forty-three years, and throughout his entire service has been a most faithful, lovable and efficient employee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sorrow at the death of Herman F. Zimmerman, Chief Clerk in the office of the Assessor; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

Questionnaire on Gasoline, Oil and Asphalt.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be asked for information on the following:

"Why was a contract awarded to the General Petroleum Co. for fiscal year 1930-1931?"

"Why was a contract awarded to the Associated Oil Co. for fiscal year 1931-1932?"

"Regarding bids made for fiscal year 1932-1933, on which contract was awarded to the Associated Oil Co."

"Regarding bids made for fiscal year 1933-1934, on which contract was awarded to the Associated Oil Co."

"Also, bids fiscal year 1934-1935, on which contract was awarded to Associated Oil Co."

"Also bids for October, November and December, 1934, in which all companies bid the same, except the Texas and the Rio Grande, who were ½ cent lower, but on which bids were awarded to the Associated Oil Co."

"Regarding asphalt bids, fiscal year 1933-1934, wherein all bids were the same, and the contract was given to the Associated Oil Co."

"Also bids fiscal year 1934-1935, in which bids of all the major oil companies were equal, and the Associated Oil Company was awarded the contract."

Supervisor Uhl requested answer, in writing, to the above.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 22, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 22, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 22, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Supervisor Colman excused in accordance with telegraphic request addressed to President McSheehy.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:25 p. m.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 15, 1934, was considered read and approved.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

Sealed bids for the purchase of Tax Anticipation Notes issued by the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Ordinance No. 9.0334, in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 22, 1934, and to be opened by said Board at said time.

The Tax Anticipation Notes are described as follows, to-wit:

Notes in the amount of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) in denominations of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) each to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934, and issued under authority of Ordinance No. 9.0334 and payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-1935 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note. All of said notes shall constitute a first lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year 1934-1935, in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. Any of said notes not paid at maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-1935 irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

Said notes will bear interest at the rate or rates not to exceed six (6) per cent per annum as shall be named by the bidder, said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The said notes will be sold and awarded to the bidder or bidders offering to purchase the same at the lowest rate or rates of interest computed from the date fixed for the presentation of bids to December 20, 1934. If two or more bidders offer to purchase said notes at the same lowest rate or rates of interest, the Board of Supervisors shall determine which bid shall be accepted. Interest shall be computed on the basis of three hundred sixty (360) days per year.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

All proposals for the purchase of said notes shall be accompanied by a deposit of five (5) per cent of the amount of the bid in lawful money of the United States, or by the deposit of a certified check for said five (5) per cent payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, provided that no deposit exceed the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000); which deposit of money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the notes bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer & Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, as to the legality of the aforesaid notes, will be furnished to the successful bidder or bidders for said notes without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of Resolution No. 1595 of the Board of Supervisors adopted Monday, October 8, 1934.

Bids.

The following bids were received, opened and *referred to Finance Committee*:

(1) Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, by Bankamerica Company, by Malcolm S. Prosser. \$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934; said notes to bear interest at the rate of fifty-five one hundredths of one per cent per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

(2) R. H. Moulton & Company, Weeden & Co., by V. E. Breeden. For \$1,500,000 City and County of San Francisco Tax Anticipation 1 1/8 per cent notes of the denomination of \$10,000 each, dated as of the day of delivery and maturing December 20, 1934, interest payable at maturity at the office of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, we will pay you \$1,500,000, being the par value of said notes, and accrued interest to date of delivery, together with a premium of \$150.

Adopted.

Subsequently during the proceedings the Finance Committee reported the following resolution and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Sale of \$1,500,000 Tax Anticipation Notes.

(Code No. 9.033)

Resolution No. 1625, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by Ordinance No. 9.0334, that sealed proposals for the purchase of one million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco would be received and considered up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 22, 1934; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company, American Trust Company, by Bankamerica Company, by Malcolm S. Prosser, as follows, be and is hereby accepted:

For \$1,500,000 par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, as advertised in your regular printed notice of sale, we hereby bid you par and accrued interest to the date of delivery. The notes above bid for are more particularly described as follows:

One million five hundred thousand dollars (\$1,500,000) par value Tax Anticipation Notes of the City and County of San Francisco, California, of the denomination of \$10,000 each; said notes to be dated as of the day of delivery thereof and to be payable to bearer on December 20, 1934; said notes to bear interest at the rate of fifty-five one hundredths of one per cent (.55%) per annum; said interest to be paid at maturity of said notes.

The above bid is made with the understanding that if successful we shall be furnished, at no expense to ourselves, with the satisfactory opinion of Messrs. Orrick, Palmer and Dahlquist, attorneys at law, San Francisco, California, approving the legality of the above described notes in all respects.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—4.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Adopted.

The following matter, heretofore presented by Supervisor Gallagher and referred to the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee was taken up and *adopted*:

Conference to Devise Ways and Means for Giving Preference to Local Labor and Industry.

(Code No. 5.49)

On recommendation of Commercial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 1564, as follows:

Whereas, there is need of constant watchfulness in the matter of expenditure of public moneys under the auspices of or on behalf of San Francisco to the end that local mechanics be employed and that in so far as it is possible local industries be favored in the materials used; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Commercial and Industrial Development Committee of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and empowered to immediately begin the organization of a Conference, Committee or Body of representatives of the Chamber of Commerce, the Down Town Association, Central Council of Civic Clubs, Organized Labor Cabinet Manufacturers, Lumbermen's Association, Metal Trades Association, Contractors' Association, and such others as are deemed advisable, whose duty it will be to, under the authority of the Board of Supervisors and with the cooperation of said body, call attention to any evidence of failure, or to be cognizant of the duty of all departments to carry out the expressed wish of the people of San Francisco, his Honor the Mayor and this Board of Supervisors that, in so far as possible, the benefits accruing from all expenditure of public moneys within our control shall be spread among local labor and industries, so far as possible within the law; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are also instructed to ascertain under

what procedure any principal city of California, such as Oakland, Sacramento, Los Angeles, is able to obtain for its local industry and labor the benefits of the large share of its expenditures; and be it

Further Resolved, That they are empowered to solicit from merchants and others in the community, their cooperation in purchase of locally manufactured commodities and employment of San Francisco citizens.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund, \$1,000.

(Code No. 15.032)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 629, Ordinance No. 15.0324, as follows:

Creating Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund in the sum of \$1,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. For the purpose of paying salaries and wages of men who are employed in conducting the work of the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Department of the Public Utilities Commission who may terminate their employment between dates when the City ordinarily pays salaries and wages to its employees and date of termination of employment, that cannot be conveniently paid by demands drawn upon the Treasurer and approved by the Controller, there is hereby created a fund to be known as the "Hetch Hetchy Power Pay Roll Revolving Fund," which fund shall be maintained in the Bank of America, Sonoma, California, in the sum of \$1,000 and from which fund payment of employees who terminate their employment at other than regular days upon which the City pays its employees, shall be paid by checks out of said fund, signed by any one of the following appointees of the Public Utilities Commission, as Superintendent, Thornton Easler, Douglas Mirk, R. L. Wallace, and either of the following, as Timekeeper, John L. Donaldson, Alvin L. Myers.

Section 2. The Manager of Utilities shall at least once in each month transmit to the Controller a demand in favor of said fund for all amounts disbursed from it, to which demand shall be attached receipts for all payments so made, which said receipts shall show the name of the person receiving any payment from said fund, as well as the purpose for which said payment was made. When said demand is approved by the Controller and paid by the Treasurer, it shall be delivered to the Manager of Utilities and deposited to the credit of said fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Re-referred to Committee.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, were *re-referred to Joint Committee on Finance and Police*:

Exempting Master Plumbers From the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by exempting master plumbers.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a). Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, subcontractor or as a builder, or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engaged in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself as so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in Section 3 (a) of this ordinance.*

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), by Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," *by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permits to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work, altera-

tion or repairs, there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	<i>Installed or Replaced</i>
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set.....	.50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condensor or steam trap.....	.50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Refunds of Amounts Paid in Excess for Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1608, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-1935, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

- (1) To J. L. Ritchie, duplicate assessment; per Vol. 17, page 99, line 11, of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Roll.....\$ 6.96
- (2) To C. A. Larson, care Frank Tousey, due to clerical error; per Vol. 16, page 56, line 17, Unsecured Personal Property Rolls of 1934 6.96

- (3) To American Trust Co., executor Estate of Sanborn Backus, due to clerical error; per Vol. 1, page 1, line 6, of 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Roll..... 70.08
 Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deeds to Properties Required for Army Street Widening and Authorizing Payments of Amounts.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1609, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain lands required for the widening of Army street, San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 83.904.17:

Frank T. Pfaff et ux., portion of Lot 6, Assessor's Block 4334..\$5,009.70
 Delia Hansell, portion of Lot 7, Assessor's Block 4334..... 5,712.00

The above amounts include damages in full to the remaining portions of said lots and to the improvements now wholly or partially located on said lots, said improvements to be relocated by the above owners within 30 days after the deeds to the City are recorded.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deeds to Properties Required for Sunset Reservoir Site, and Authorizing Payments of Amounts Therefor.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1610, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

Hannah Marx et al., Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 2144.....\$ 250.00
 Chas. G. Durand, Lots 27 and 32 in Assessor's Block 2109..... 900.00
 Conrad B. Sovig, Lots 11 and 18 in Assessor's Block 2107..... 2,450.00
 Percy D. Tyler, Lot 17 in Assessor's Block 2108..... 500.00

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed to Parcel 6 of Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove and Authorizing Payment of \$13,334.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 1611, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to Parcel 6 of the Sigmund Stern Recreational Grove, San Francisco, which parcel is more particularly described in that certain written agreement dated October 1, 1931, between the former Playground Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco and Boulevard Realty Company, and that the sum of \$13,334, plus interest thereon at 4 per cent per annum from October 1, 1931, to date of payment, all as per said agreement, be paid for said land out of Appropriation No. 13.600.01.

Approved as to funds by Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

**Accepting Deed to Parcels 2 and 3, Angelo J. Rossi Playground,
and Authorizing Payment of \$23,447.**

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also, Resolution No. 1612, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed to Parcels 2 and 3 of the Angelo J. Rossi Playground, San Francisco, which parcels are more particularly described in that certain written agreement dated June 29, 1933, between the City and County of San Francisco and H. W. Steinegger; and that sum of \$23,447, plus interest thereon at 5 per cent per annum from June 29, 1931, to date of payment, all as per said agreement, be paid for said land out of Appropriation No. 13.600.01.

Approved as to funds by Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1613, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants to Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 426 to Director of Public Works for \$301.80, No. 427 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 428 to J. B. West for \$125, No. 429 to The San Francisco News for \$9.27, No. 430 to Geo. H. Lux for \$650, No. 431 to P. F. Reilly for \$900, No. 432 to Union Paving Company for \$370.95, No. 433 to Eaton & Smith for \$506.70, No. 434 to Southern Pacific Company for \$2,000, No. 435 to The Envelope Corporation for \$6.70—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

**Providing for the Conveyance of Highway Easements to the State
of California Over Certain San Francisco Water Department
Lands in San Mateo County.**

(Code No. 15.0242)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 635, Ordinance No. 15.02422, as follows:

Providing for the conveyance of highway easements to the State of California over certain San Francisco Water Department lands in San Mateo County.

Be It ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to prepare a deed for the conveyance to the State of California of right of way easements for improving the intersection of the Skyline boulevard and Half Moon Bay road over the following described parcels of San Francisco Water Department lands situated in the west half of the southwest quarter of Section 13, T. 5 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of the State Highway designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 55, Section B, also known as Skyline boulevard, distant N. 6 degrees 17 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 340+49.88 P. O. C. on the center line of the survey for said highway, said point also being distant S. 56 degrees 06 minutes 20 seconds E. 641.5 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13; thence along said northeasterly line of the highway from a tangent that bears S. 83 degrees 43 minutes W., along a curve to the right, with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 39 degrees 44 minutes, a distance of 173.37 feet; thence S. 56 degrees 34 minutes E. 456.66 feet; thence leaving said northeasterly line of the highway, N. 33 degrees 16 minutes E. 75.0 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 115 feet northeasterly at right angles from the center line of said survey; thence along said parallel line N. 56 degrees 34 minutes W. 456.66 feet; thence S. 83 degrees 43 minutes W. 207.67 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of said State Highway, distant S. 33 degrees 26 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 339+04.25 B. C. on the center line of said survey, said point also being distant S. 54 degrees 36 minutes 10 seconds E. 801.7 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13; thence along said southwesterly line of the highway, S. 56 degrees 34 minutes E. 456.66 feet; thence leaving said southwesterly line of the highway S. 33 degrees 16 minutes W. 10.0 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 50 feet southwesterly at right angles from the center line of said survey; thence along said parallel line N. 56 degrees 34 minutes W. 152.41 feet; thence S. 86 degrees 34 minutes W. 241.68 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 225 feet, through an angle of 84 degrees 11 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 330.63 feet to the easterly line of said highway; thence along said easterly and southerly line of the highway, N. 13 degrees 44 minutes W. 230.08 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the right, with a radius of 170 feet, through an angle of 137 degrees 10 minutes, a distance of 406.98 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 3: Commencing at the intersection of the westerly line of said State Highway with the property line common to the lands of the Grantor and Julia J. Morrison, distant along said property line S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 117.60 feet from the most northerly corner of that certain 2.25 acre tract conveyed to Spring Valley Water Company by Julia J. Morrison by deed dated April 12, 1926, and recorded May 10, 1926, in Volume 232 of Official Records, page 120, San Mateo County Records; said intersection also being distant S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 41.06 feet from Station "A" 349+70.42 P. O. T. on the center line of said survey; thence along said property line S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 46.95 feet; thence N. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds W. 303.0 feet to a point in the property line common to the lands of the Grantor and Julia J. Morrison; thence along said property line N. 31 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds W. 307.80 feet; thence N. 47 degrees 15 minutes E. 447.78 feet to the northerly line of said highway, distant S. 42 degrees 42 minutes 30 seconds E. 575.2 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13, and also being distant N. 42 degrees 45 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 341+83.47 P. O. C. on the center line of said survey; thence along the northerly and westerly line of said highway, from a tangent that bears S. 47 degrees 15 minutes W., along a curve to the left with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 60 degrees 59 minutes, a distance of 266.09 feet; thence S. 13 degrees 44 minutes E. 551.43 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 440 feet, through an angle of 0 degree 48 minutes, a distance of 6.14 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 4: Commencing at the most southerly corner of that certain

2.25 acre tract referred to in Parcel 3 above, being a point on the northerly line of the State Highway designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 55, Section C, also known as Skyline boulevard, distant N. 17 degrees 05 minutes 30 seconds W. 53.80 feet from Station "PI" 10+99.31 P. O. T. on the center line of the survey for said highway; thence along the northerly and northeasterly line of the highway, N. 85 degrees 25 minutes 30 seconds W. 77.93 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the right, with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 47 degrees 31 minutes, a distance of 207.33 feet; thence N. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds W. 375.89 feet; thence leaving said northeasterly line of the highway, from a tangent that bears S. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds E., along a curve to the left, with a radius of 950 feet, through an angle of 24 degrees 01 minute, a distance of 398.21 feet; thence S. 61 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds E. 385.33 feet to the northerly line of said highway; thence along said northerly line, from a tangent that bears N. 61 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds W., along a curve to the left, with a radius of 300 feet, through an angle of 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 123.05 feet; thence N. 85 degrees 25 minutes 30 seconds W. 39.34 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The deed for the conveyance of said right of way easements shall be made subject to such covenants and conditions as may be agreed upon between the Public Utilities Commission and the Division of Highways of the State of California.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said rights of way to the State of California. The City Attorney shall approve the form of said deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Accepting Deed, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way.

(Code No. 12.17151)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1614, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Amelia Pinheiro and Manuel Pinheiro to 1.405 acres of land situated at Irvington Portal on the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Alameda County, California, required for a chlorination plant, and that the sum of \$300 be paid for said land and the sum of \$10 for damage to crops, chargeable to the Water Extension Reserve Fund, Appropriation No. 66.946.17.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1615, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots required for the Sunset Reservoir, San Francisco, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

Matilda Peltonen, Lot 34, Assessor's Block 2109.....\$1,300.00

Elizabeth T. Clyde, Lot 13, Assessor's Block 2108..... 1,425.00
 Matilda C. Meyer, Lot 10, Assessor's Block 2108..... 650.00
 Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy,
 Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1616, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Gustaf Rommander et ux., to Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$1,950 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1617, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Elsie Isabelle Valpey to Lot 24 in Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sum of \$600 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1618, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Frank H. Krohn and Marguerite A. Krohn to Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir and that the sum of \$650 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1619, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Ann J. Uksila to Lot 33 in Assessor's Block 2109, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir and that the sum of \$650 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.
 Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Accepting Deed, Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1620, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds

from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.00:

American Trust Company, as executor of the Estate of Edna L.

Huette, deceased, Lot 15 in Assessor's Block 2109.....\$ 650.00

Sarah Brodie and Samuel H. Brodie, Lots 21 and 22 in Assessor's Block 21091,200.00

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted Wm. Steinberg, attorney, 405 Montgomery street, representing property owners in the vicinity of proposed reservoir, and Mr. Louis C. Walker, 367 Hamilton street, who protested the closing of Bacon street. Mr. Nelson A. Eckart urged the closing of Bacon street.

Supervisor Uhl asked that Mr. Eckart give consideration to the possibility of increasing the next proposed reservoir in the district by 7,000,000 gallons capacity, thus obviating the necessity of so large reservoir immediately contemplated, and the consequent closing of Bacon street.

Supervisor Ratto suggested that the entire Board meet on the ground, the better to consider the project.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, action on the following matter was *deferred one week*:

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 1621, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south

line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as

required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Fixing Date for Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Side of Galvez Avenue, 75 Feet Southeast of Coleman Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

On recommendation of City Planning Committee.

Resolution No. 1622, as follows:

Resolved, That the time for hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commission, denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest side of Galvez avenue, 75 feet southeast of Coleman avenue, is hereby set for Monday, October 29, 1934, at 2 o'clock p. m.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

Action on the following matter was *deferred one week*:

Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings, Board of Supervisors, and Other Boards and Commissions.

(Six Supervisors Instead of Three May Call a Special Meeting)

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 636, Ordinance No. 3.064, as follows:

Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Supervisors and of other Boards and Commissions, provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given. Repealing Ordinance No. 3.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, and shall be called upon the request of six (6) members thereof made in writing to the President, and also upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the President as herein provided, the President shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Section 2. All requests made to the President of the Board for a special meeting thereof shall specify the purpose or purposes of said meeting, and upon the receipt thereof, or upon the call for a special meeting by the President of the Board on his own motion, he shall notify the Clerk of the Board of the time fixed for said meeting and the purpose or purposes thereof. Upon receiving notice of the time and purposes of said special meeting, the Clerk of the Board shall notify each member thereof at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the time of said meeting and the purposes thereof, which said notice shall be given by personal notice delivered to each of said members or by notice sent by United States mail, addressed to each member of the Board, at their respective addresses. Notice of any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors shall be published at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such special meeting.

Section 3. Special meetings of any Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the Board of Supervisors, may be called by the Chairman thereof, and

must be called by the said Chairman upon the written request of a majority of the members of said Board or Commission, which said written request must state the purposes for which said meeting is to be called.

Section 4. Notice of said special meetings of said Boards or Commissions, other than the Board of Supervisors, shall be signed by the President or Secretary of said Board or Commission, and shall contain a statement of the purpose or purposes for which said meeting is called, and the time thereof. Said notice shall be personally served on each member of the said Board or Commission or mailed to him by special delivery United States mail, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance thereof, and if notice of said meeting is mailed, the same shall be addressed to said member at his place of residence or business, and in addition to the notice to each member of said Commission, notice thereof to the public shall be given by posting a notice of said meeting upon the door of the office or place of meeting of said Board or Commission, or in a conspicuous place within said office, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of said meeting. Personal notice of any special meeting of any Board or Commission, other than said Board of Supervisors, may be waived by any member of said Board or Commission by consenting in writing to the holding of said meeting, provided that notice to the public of said meeting be given as herein provided.

Section 5. No matters shall be considered at any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors or any other Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, except such matters as pertain to the purposes for which said meeting was called, and all of said special meetings shall be held at the regular meeting place of said Board or Commission.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 3.061 is hereby repealed.

Adopted.

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor the following resolution was *adopted*:

Leave of Absence—Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1623, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period from October 20 to 29, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

In Memoriam: Police Sergeant Charles F. Groat, and Police Inspectors Thomas F. Conlon and Martin J. Porter.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1624, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has heard with profound sorrow of the death of three veteran members of the San Francisco Police Department, Sergeant Charles F. Groat, and Inspectors Thomas F. Conlon and Martin J. Porter; and

Whereas, These three officers have distinguished their many years

of service to the City and County of San Francisco by courageous attention to duty and loyalty to the Department in which they served; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sorrow at the death of Sergeant Charles F. Groat and Inspectors Thomas F. Conlon and Martin J. Porter; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns today it does so out of respect to the memory of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

Leave of Absence—Judge Alfred J. Fritz.

(Code 4.053)

On recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1626, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Judge Alfred J. Fritz is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 24, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Local Control and Management of San Francisco Harbor.

The following communication from his Honor the Mayor was read and considered:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On January 8, 1934, accompanying my annual message, I addressed a letter to your honorable body, an excerpt from which I herewith respectfully call to your attention:

“Let me recommend that every effort be made by your Honorable Board toward local control of our harbor facilities. San Francisco should own and manage its own harbor. The prestige which our port is building up on the Pacific Ocean would be tremendously increased. We are a maritime community; we should possess the title deeds to our greatest asset, and we should possess the key to our own front door.”

San Francisco is the only major city in the State of California which has not control of its harbor and it is my belief more firmly now than ever before, that because of this condition, a serious handicap is placed upon the commercial development of San Francisco.

It is quite evident that framers of our present Charter anticipated that the City and County would acquire control of its harbor front, for, in Section 47, they have definitely set up the machinery for the government and administration of these properties, whenever their control might be acquired.

San Francisco has demonstrated by the operation of its Municipal Railway and its Water Department that it can administer any utility that might be placed in its charge. It is hardly fair to the people of San Francisco that other cities who own and control their own harbors, should have a voice in the control of the Harbor of San Francisco.

While the State has, from time to time, voted bonds for the improvement of our Harbor, every cent of those bonds has been repaid from the earnings of the harbor itself, and there can be no valid reason at this time, why the State should not permit San Francisco to have the privilege of managing its own water front as every other city in the country has.

Now is the time to act. The Legislature of the State of California will convene on January 2, 1935, and I most respectfully request of your Honorable Board that a special committee be appointed, whose

duty it shall be to confer with the Legislative representatives chosen to represent San Francisco, at the State Legislature, for the purpose of evolving a proper procedure, whereby the control of our harbor front be transferred from the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco.

I most earnestly and respectfully urge that this matter be given your immediate consideration.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Referred to Committee.

Whereupon Supervisor Gallagher presented the following:

(Code No. 5.34)

Resolution No. 1627, as follows:

Whereas, This Board of Supervisors has, on several occasions, determined that it is in the best interests of the harbor of San Francisco that same be under the management and control of the people of San Francisco, and

Whereas, Each succeeding year indicates the unfavorable position in which the San Francisco harbor is placed, by virtue of the fact that all bond issues and measures for its development and improvement must be submitted to State authorities, whereas, in the case of nearly every other harbor on the Pacific Coast, control of the harbor is vested in the municipality, now therefore be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it is its hope that the forthcoming Legislature will enact such legislation as is necessary to place the San Francisco harbor under the control and management of the San Francisco authorities, and be it

Further resolved, That pursuant to a request from his Honor the Mayor for a conference thereon and for the appointment of a committee to foster and promote the foregoing purpose, the President of this Board is hereby authorized to appoint a committee of five members of this Board for such conference and said Committee is requested to make every effort to secure local control and management of the San Francisco harbor.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Meeting Judiciary Committee.

Supervisor Adolph E. Schmidt, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, announced meeting of committee for Friday, October 26, 1934, at 10 a.m.

Mayor to Appoint Committee for Armistice Day.

(Code No. 4.053)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1628, as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to properly arrange a celebration of Armistice Day, November 11, 1934.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Shannon—2.

Bay Bridge and Interurban Terminals.

On the matter of Bay Bridge and Interurban Terminals, Supervisor Uhl suggested that hearings on the subject should be held before the full Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Committee of the Whole, and that the matter be withdrawn from the Public Utilities Committee.

Communication from Traffic Committee of the Central Council of Civic Clubs, transmitting copy of its Report to the Central Council of Civic Clubs as argument in support of the request of the Board of Directors that the Board of Supervisors as a whole act in the Bridge Terminal matter, was read by the Clerk.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that beginning Monday, October 29, at 3:30 p. m., and for every Monday thereafter, until decided otherwise, one hour of the Board's time should be set aside to hear citizens on the matter, and to hear reports thereon. Request not pressed.

Motion.

In connection with above matter, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole, October 29, 1934, at 3:30 p. m., to hear the report of the Public Utilities Committee on its hearings to date, and at that time to decide as to further consideration of the matter.

So ordered.

Summary of Relief Situation, Special Order 3 P. M. October 29

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Florence McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis be invited to the meeting of the Board of Supervisors, October 29, at 3 p. m., to give the Board a summary of the Relief Situation.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 4:55 p.m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 29, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, October 29, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.

23) SAN FRAN

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 29, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.
Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—5.
Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 4:20 p. m.

Supervisor Colman appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 3 p. m.

Supervisor Hayden appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:15 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 22, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Rezoning Southwest Side of Galvez Avenue 75 Feet Southeast
of Coleman Avenue.

Hearing of appeal from decision of City Planning Commission denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest side of Galvez avenue, 75 feet southeast of Coleman avenue.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Summary Relief Situation.

Motion of Supervisor Uhl, inviting Mr. F. M. McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis to give the Board a summary of the relief situation.

Communications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

October 29, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs: Replying to your letter of October 23 requesting Mr. Davis and the writer to attend a meeting of your Board on Monday, October 29, 1934, and give a summary of the relief situation, please be advised we are not at this time in a position to make a report.

MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1934.

We are actively engaged in coordinating the two organizations placed under our control and pending the time when we are ready to make a report of what may have been accomplished we shall be pleased to make written reply to any pertinent communications which we may receive from any member of the Board or interested citizen.

Respectfully,

F. M. McAULIFFE,
Chairman San Francisco Emergency Relief Committee.

October 17, 1934.

The Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Herewith please find memorandum to the undersigned from H. D. Ross, Chief Assistant Controller, re transfer of burden of unemployment relief from local to the state administration.

Please be advised that, in consonance with conferences as at Monday, October fifteenth, and Tuesday, October sixteenth, the formal transfer has been made and, with our assistance, cooperation and coordination, was actually in effect Tuesday, October sixteenth.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD S. Leavy, Controller.

October 16, 1934.

Memorandum to Leonard S. Leavy, Controller.

Developments concerning relief to employables, supplementing report on conference held September 27, 1934, in this office.

As a result of the conference held on September 27, 1934, Mr. Vernon Northrop, Acting Administrator, State Emergency Relief Administration, advanced, as a revolving fund to the City and County of San Francisco, \$200,000 to cover the approximate period of October 1 to 15, inclusive, to be expended for direct relief to employables and for its proportionate share of the administrative costs.

It was part of the condition of the grant and acceptance that every effort would be made to conclude, prior to October 15, or as of that date, a transfer of all costs and the administration of direct relief to employables to the State Administration.

At a meeting held in the Mayor's office on October 11, the following being present: Mayor Rossi, Mr. Vernon Northrop, Acting Administrator, SERA; Mr. Paul H. Davis, Director of Relief; Mr. H. E. Smith, Controller, SERA; Mr. F. H. Crozier, Field Examiner, SERA; Miss Ruth Turner, Mr. Rettenmayer, Mr. Guido Musto, Mr. Florence McAuliffe, representing Citizens' Relief Committee; Mr. Harry Ross, Chief Assistant Controller; Mr. Wren Middlebrook, Controller's office.

It was concluded that this transfer would take place as of October 16, that is, the City and County would sustain the burden until and including October 15, and, with the starting date of October 16, the entire burden would be assumed by the State Administration.

Under date of October 11, in accordance with assurances previously given by Mr. Ross of this office, a communication was addressed to Mr. H. E. Smith, Controller, State Emergency Relief Administration, stating that Mr. Middlebrook of the Controller's office would afford such assistance as he may desire in executing the proposed change.

This transfer has been effected as of even date, Mr. Middlebrook and Mr. Steinbeck of the Controller's office, Mr. Smith, Controller, SERA, Mr. Crozier, Field Examiner, SERA, Mr. Wayne M. Braley, Controller, San Francisco County Relief Administration, Mr. J. M. Roberts, Chief Accounting Officer, State Emergency Relief Administration, participating.

The result of this transfer creates the following approximate county funding condition:

There will be available from the deductions from employees' salaries

to care for the county cases (indigent cases—unemployables) \$717,000 to include October costs and there is approximately an additional \$120,000 available to include purchases, etc., in connection with SERA purchases.

There is still to be concluded the funding of the year 1933-1934 and the reimbursing to the City and County for its expenditures for relief to employables for the months of July and August.

H. D. ROSS.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Now, Mr. President, that is all right as far as it goes, and I am perfectly willing, having made that original motion, I am perfectly willing to have the matter go over, say, a matter of two weeks and have it again on the calendar as a special order of business at three o'clock. I want to know something more regarding the operation of the public relief matters; I want to know here who is responsible for cutting down budgets instead of raising them when commodity prices have somewhat increased. The idea of a man with a family of two formerly at seven and a half a week, that is two days a week at \$3.75 a day, now cut down to a day and a half. I think we are interested in our citizens to the extent that we are privileged to ask the committee how come. That is number one. I would like to give to the floor the following information to the members of the Relief Committee so they can perhaps go after them harder than they have. Supervisor Gallagher will be interested in this.

During the recent Labor Convention I had the pleasure of meeting a gentleman who lives in St. Paul. We were discussing the unemployment relief, what it costs the taxpayers in San Francisco. He laughed and said, "We are better off than that." I said, "What do you mean?" He said, "We have received from the Federal Government large sums for months." I said, "We are not as fortunate as that." He said, "One thing is, we heard your Mayor come back to Washington and tell the Federal Government that San Francisco is the white spot of the United States and because the Federal Government takes notice of that, you are paying the penalty of that. So the gentleman gave me the name of the Mayor of St. Paul, Honorable Mark Gehan, and I wrote to him on the fourth of October as follows:

"Honorable Mark Gehan,
"Mayor, City of St. Paul,
"St. Paul, Minn.

"Dear Mayor: Will you kindly furnish the following information?

"1. Amount contributed by the Federal Government direct or through the State of Minnesota for unemployed 'Employables,' by months, covering the past 12-months period.

"2. Can the money be used for indigent poor in addition to unemployed 'Employables'?

"3. Kindly furnish schedule of salaries paid to the relief workers, particularly the salary of the Relief Director.

"4. Kindly advise cost per person on relief.

"5. Number of persons on relief as of July 1, 1934.

"6. When did you receive your first contribution from the Federal Government toward SERA relief.

"Yours very truly,

(Signed) ADOLPH UHL,
Supervisor."

The gentleman writes me as follows:

"Your letter of October 4 sent to the Honorable Mark Gehan, Mayor, City of Saint Paul, has been referred to this department for attention. We shall answer your questionnaire by number:

"No. 1. Amount contributed by the Federal Government direct or through the State of Minnesota for unemployed employables by months, covering the past twelve months——"

Now, by the way, the City of St. Paul in the last census had 271,650 people, less than 50 per cent of our population. Despite that fact the Federal Government contributed to them in October, 1933——"\$122,400 Direct Relief."

"For November, 1933, \$100,000.

"For December, 1933, \$150,000.

"For January, 1934, \$110,000.

"For February, 1934, \$190,000.

"For March, 1934, \$82,000.

"For April, 1934, \$200,000, Direct and Work Relief.

"For May, 1934, \$275,000.

"For June, 1934, \$300,500.

"For July, 1934, \$250,000.

"For August, 1934, \$327,400.

"For September, 1934, \$320,500."

Supervisor Gallagher, are you listening to this?

We received, beginning July, the paltry sum of \$145,000 for July, \$145,000 for August.

"No. 2. Can the money be used for indigent poor in addition to unemployed employables?"

"The above figures cover relief for both unemployed employables and indigent poor."

Here they want us to foot the whole bill for the indigent poor.

"No. 3. Kindly furnish schedule of salaries paid to the relief workers, particularly the salary of the Relief Director.

"Director of Family Welfare Department, \$300 month.

"District Secretary, \$135.30 to \$168.30 month.

"District Assistants, \$124 to \$154 month.

"Senior Visitors, \$113.40 to \$143.40 month.

"Junior Visitors, \$89.60 to \$119.60 month.

"Visitors in Training, \$76.80 to \$106.80 month."

This is evidently that the Director of Family Welfare Department at \$300 is their department head, what we call here our Director of Relief. I would like to have that clarified.

No. 4. The question asked was "Kindly advise cost per person on relief," and their answer:

"Estimate for October and November, \$27 per month per family. The cost varies; during the summer months we average less."

And No. 5, the question asked was "Number of persons on relief as of July 1, 1934." And their answer:

"Number families aided during June, 10,415.

"Unattached individuals, 2954."

I will ask for a clarification of that, how many mouths, that is, how many total in the family.

No. 6, the question asked was "When did you receive your first contribution from the Federal Government toward SERA relief." And the answer was:

"First R. F. C. contribution—December, 1932.

"First S. E. R. A. contribution—April, 1934 (after C. W. A. discontinued.)"

Look when we started our SERA relief. Was it at that time or later, do you know that, Supervisor Gallagher? I think it was July 1st, or after.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: Of this year.

VOICE IN AUDIENCE: It was March.

SUPERVISOR UHL: We started here in March, then we are a month better off than they are. I would like to have this—I would like to have the Clerk send a transcript of the statement I have made over to Mr. McAuliffe, the Chairman or Director of Relief, and call his attention to the fact that here is what we have received. Well, he knows this but that information be sent him, and then compare it with what the City of San Francisco has received.

This letter is from the Controller and gives the relief:

"Cost of Relief:

"Direct Relief—for July \$531,150.69, for August \$448,140.17, September \$319,740.03. Direct Relief—Service—for July \$46,824.18, August \$44,798.12, September \$42,990.31.

"Administration—July \$55,606.28, August \$55,670.94, September \$54,-

489.10. And the total cost for July \$633,581.15, for August \$548,609.23, for September \$417,219.44.

In September, after the Federal Government took over the relief, as I understand this, and still costs us \$417,000.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: The City?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Yes. No, \$115,291.27.

Under that next paragraph the City and County Funds, in July, when we paid the entire amount was \$633,581.15, of which we subsequently in September received on the demand of the Controller \$299,828.40. Then we received in October on account of the October bill for relief here, we received \$200,000 against the estimated cost of \$400,000. The source of funds was—the Federal Government gave us nothing in July or August, until September, when they gave us \$299,000 on account of our expenditure of \$633,581 in July, and \$548,609 in August, or in round figures, \$1,800,000 and we received \$299,000 from the Federal Government. And for the month of September our budget bill was \$417,219. We didn't receive anything on that but we received applicable to October \$200,000, which can perhaps be applied on that. That still leaves us footing the bill for more than 40 per cent.

What has become of this agreement with the Federal Government that they would assume 75 per cent if we put up the 25 per cent? That is why I think we should be so anxious and insist that the Director of Relief, at his earliest convenience, let us set it for two weeks from now, will come and give us that information. How are we going to carry through for the rest of the year? Where is the money coming from to carry on our unemployment relief? Mr. President, I would like the transcript sent over to the Director, and I make the motion now that we hear from him personally two weeks hence, when we can get the information and ask for a report. There are a lot of questions I would like to ask. This is a motion, if I get a second.

SUPERVISOR SCHMIDT: I second the motion.

SUPERVISOR HAYDEN: I would like to ask the Supervisor, you will include a statement for the Mayor as well?

SUPERVISOR UHL: Very well.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let me place the motion: It has been moved and seconded that this matter on the calendar be postponed for two weeks and this transcript mailed to Mr. McAuliffe and the Mayor.

SUPERVISOR RONCOVIERI: What transcript?

SUPERVISOR UHL: The transcript of my statement to the Board.

Supervisor Uhl moved that transcript of remarks on Special Order 3 p. m., summary relief situation, be sent to his Honor the Mayor and to Mr. F. M. McAuliffe.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Bridge Terminal and Interurban Transportation.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Board of Supervisors to resolve itself into Committee of the Whole to hear the report of Public Utilities Committee on its hearings to date, and to decide as to further consideration of the question of bridge terminals and interurban transportation.

Communications.

The following were read by the Clerk:

*State of California, Department of Public Works,
500 Sansome Street, San Francisco.*

November 1, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Attention, John S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

Gentlemen: The arrival in San Francisco today of Mr. C. B. Mer-

riam, Director of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and the necessity of an afternoon and evening conference with him and with Mr. Cummins, our Reconstruction Finance Corporation engineer, makes it impossible for me to accept your invitation to be present at the rapid transit discussions of the Board of Supervisors in the City Hall this evening.

Please accept my regrets that I cannot be with you.

Yours truly,

C. H. PURCELL, Chief Engineer.

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

451 California Street

October 31, 1934.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan: This is to inform you that Dr. Rastall is out of town for several days and, therefore, will be unable to attend the meeting on Thursday, November 1st, at 8 p. m., to consider Bay Bridge Terminals and Transportation Problems connected therewith.

Very truly yours,

ALICE E. MOREHOUSE,
Secretary to Dr. Rastall.

Phone Message.

November 1, 1934, 5 p. m.

Mr. Frank L. Burckhalter, speaking for the Southern Pacific Company, on the invitation for it to attend the Bridge Meeting, tonight, says: "The company has nothing to do with the plans; that it is all in the hands of the bridge authorities, and the company has nothing to do with it; therefore, the company requests it be excused from attendance tonight. Otherwise, if they can be of assistance, it will be pleased to oblige."

Paul Verdier, representing Rapid Transit Development Association; J. A. McElroy, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs; Earl J. Carroll, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, and Frank L. Burckhalter, representing the Southern Pacific Company, were heard at length.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that a transcript be prepared for the benefit of the Public Utilities Commission, of the hearing, and that the attention of the Commission be called to remarks made by Mr. Carroll on propositions put forth, with request for answers to same to be furnished the Board at as early date as possible.

So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and report to the Board that it has agreed to meet at 8 p. m., Thursday, November 1, to continue its hearings on the subject of bridge terminals and interurban transportation.

So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Mr. Cahill, of the Public Utilities Commission, and his Honor the Mayor, be invited to attend the meeting of the Committee of the Whole Thursday evening. Also all other interested parties to be invited to attend.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$937.50 for Expense of Verification of Charter Amendment.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 630, Ordinance No. 9.051148, as follows:

Appropriating \$937.50 out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for expense of verification of Charter Amendment.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$937.50 be and is hereby authorized set aside out of Appropriation 29.900.00 (Special Elections) for the expense connected with verification of Charter Amendment.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Clerk to Advertise Sale of \$175,000 Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933, and \$58,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 12.122)

(Code No. 15.031)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1635, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 26th day of November, 1934, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of:

\$175,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933." Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 175 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934; and

\$58,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933." Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 58 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Shannon—5.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Demands.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1636, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 436 Pernau-Walsh Printing Co. for \$111.78; No. 437 to Allen G. Wright for \$1,000—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Hayden, Shannon—4.

**Accepting Deed and Payment of \$1,500 for Land Required for
Visitacion Valley School.**

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 1637, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from A. Stampfer and Bertha Stampfer to Lot 1, Assessor's Block 6254, San Francisco, required for the Visitacion Valley School, and that the sum of \$1,500 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 70.600.00.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Refund of Amounts Paid for Taxes in Duplicate.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1638, as follows:

Resolved, That the following sum be and the same is hereby authorized paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-1935, to the herein-after named; being refund of excess amount paid for taxes, to-wit:

To Arnold Wollerson, for duplicate assessment see Vol. 18, page 135, line 4, of the Uncollected Personal Property Rolls for 1934, \$2.61.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, Shannon—3.

**Closing and Abandoning Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth
and Bacon Streets.**

(Code No. 12.0622)

The following recommendation of the Streets Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 1621, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter

of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the Board resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of hearing protests of property owners against the closing of Bacon street.

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. Steinberg, attorney representing property owners on Bacon street, was heard at length in opposition to the closing of Bacon street.

Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Committee of the Whole rise and recommend that matter be taken into the hands of the Board and be postponed for further consideration until November 5, 1934, at 4 p. m.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over until Monday, November 5, 1934, and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Changing Name of Cowden Street to Delta Street.

(Code No. 12.08)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1629, as follows:

Resolved, That the name of Cowden street between Wilde avenue and Ankeny street be and is hereby changed to Delta street.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Changing Names of Wolfe Street and Isabel Street to Mullen Avenue.

(Code No. 12.08)

Also, Resolution No. 1630, as follows:

Resolved, That the names of Wolfe street and Isabel street be and they are hereby changed to Mullen avenue.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Condemnation Proceedings—Land Required for Realignment Sloat Boulevard.

(Code No. 6.0211)

Also, Resolution No. 1631, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcels of real property situated in San Francisco, California:

Parcel 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the easterly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence northerly along said line of Thirty-ninth avenue, 0.239 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 91 degrees 04 minutes 42 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 1 degree 02 minutes 52 seconds, a distance of 26.195 feet to tangency with said northerly line of Sloat boulevard; thence westerly along last named line, 26.194 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-ninth avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1278A.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue

(said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard 125 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Arora Eiseler and C. A. Ericsson; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 17.181 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 98 degrees 54 minutes 48 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 5 degrees 01 minute 57 seconds, a distance of 125.820 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue; thence deflecting 86 degrees 07 minutes 09 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along said line of Thirty-ninth avenue, 3.233 feet to the said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 3: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 125 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 75 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marie Eiben; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 30.957 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 101 degrees 57 minutes 49 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 3 degrees 03 minutes 01 seconds, a distance of 76.248 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Robert E. Wallace; thence deflecting 81 degrees 05 minutes 12 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 17.181 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 4: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank R. Webb; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 36.473 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 102 degrees 59 minutes 15 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 1 degree 01 minute 26 seconds, a distance of 25.599 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Arora Eiseler and C. A. Ericsson; thence deflecting 78 degrees 02 minutes 11 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 30.957 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 5: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 225 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Albert A. E. Buhot; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 42.462 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left whose tangent deflects 104 degrees 00 minutes 57 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 1 degree 01 minute 42 seconds, a distance of 25.710 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marie Eiben; thence deflecting 77 degrees 00

minutes 45 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 36.473 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 6: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 250 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being distant 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 50 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sol Getz & Sons; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 55.878 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 106 degrees 05 minutes 11 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 2 degrees 04 minutes 14 seconds, a distance of 51.768 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Frank R. Webb; thence deflecting 75 degrees 59 minutes 03 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 42.462 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 7: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 300 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 25 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Francisco Pereira da Silva Neves; thence deflecting 89 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 63.320 feet; thence easterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 107 degrees 07 minutes 47 seconds to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 1 degree 02 minutes 36 seconds, a distance of 26.085 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Albert A. E. Buhot; thence deflecting 73 degrees 54 minutes 49 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line 55.878 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Parcel 8: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 450 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 33.671 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Spring Valley Company, Ltd.; thence deflecting 93 degrees 08 minutes 18 seconds to the right and running northerly along last named line, 94.068 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward J. Linehan; thence deflecting 86 degrees 49 minutes 52 seconds to the right and running easterly along last named line, 28.471 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Florence M. Toye; thence at right angles southerly along last named line, 93.942 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279A.

Be It Further Resolved, That said lands are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the realignment of a portion of Sloat boulevard, west of Thirty-ninth avenue. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence

proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Intention to Close Portions of Regent Street and Liebig Street.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Also, Resolution No. 1632, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Liebig street and Regent street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Liebig street lying between the northerly line of De Long street produced easterly and the southerly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard, and also all that portion of Regent street lying between the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue and the southwesterly line of Regent street, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 76.179 feet to the northerly line of the proposed Alemany boulevard; thence easterly along said northerly line on a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected 133 degrees 02 minutes 06 seconds to the right from said line of Regent street, radius 905.37 feet, central angle 1 degree 51 minutes 26 seconds, a distance of 29.347 feet; thence continuing easterly tangent to the preceding curve and along said northerly line 47.593 feet; thence continuing easterly along the arc of a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course radius 16.504 feet, central angle 5 degrees 20 minutes 50 seconds, a distance of 1.540 feet to the north-easterly line of Regent street at a point distant northwesterly thereon 23.123 feet from said northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 54 degrees 10 minutes 10 seconds to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Regent street 23.123 feet to the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence at right angles southwesterly along said line of San Jose avenue 60.00 feet to the southwesterly line of Regent street and the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Liebig street and Regent street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closings and abandonments be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Liebig street and Regent street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Resolution No. 34554 (New Series), adopted by the Board of Supervisors on June 8, 1931, and approved by the Mayor on June 9, 1931, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

Improvement of Moraga and Various Other Streets in the Sunset District. (Wherenot.)

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 637, Ordinance No. 12.061150, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 4, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Moraga street between Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue;
Moraga street between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-second avenue;
Moraga street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue;
Quintara street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue;
Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street;
Thirty-eighth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street;
Fortieth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street;
Forty-second avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street;
Forty-fifth avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street;
Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street; by

the construction of the following:

- Item No. 1—6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.
- Item No. 2—Armored concrete curbs.
- Item No. 3—Unarmored concrete curbs.
- Item No. 4—6-inch class "E" concrete pavement.
- Item No. 5—Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.
- Item No. 6—2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lot 1 of Block 1901; Lots 5, 9 and 10 of Block 1902; Lots 24 and 25 of Block 1903; Lots 1, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2018; Lot 1 of Block 2032; Lot 5 of Block 2077; Lots 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155; Lot 20 of Block 2183; Lot 8 of Block 2367; Lots 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2378; Lot 9 of Block 2380; all being designated on the maps and books of the Asses-

sor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Improvement of Sidewalks on Lombard and Various Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 638, Ordinance No. 12.061151, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Lombard street (south one-half) between 192 feet 6 inches and 220 feet east of Steiner street.

Post street (south one-half) between 137 feet 6 inches and 212 feet 6 inches east of Baker street.

O'Farrell street (south one-half) between Laguna street and 100 feet west.

Laguna street (west one-half) between O'Farrell street and 40 feet south.

Folsom street (west one-half) between Sixteenth street and 245 feet north.

Folsom street (east one-half) between 25 feet and 75 feet south of Nineteenth street.

Twentieth street (north one-half) between Harrison street and Treat avenue.

Haight street (north one-half) between 137 feet 6 inches and 162 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street.

Pacific avenue (south one-half) between 75 feet and 132 feet east of Jones street.

Pacific avenue (north one-half) between Montgomery street and Kearny street.

McAllister street (north one-half) between Hyde street and 165 feet east.

Webster street (west one-half) between 87 feet 6 inches and 175 feet south of Chestnut street; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete

or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 150 feet and 225 feet north of Kirkham street.

Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 250 feet and 300 feet north of Judah street.

Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 200 feet and 225 feet north of Irving street; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Rivera street (south one-half) between Thirty-first avenue and 95 feet west.

Thirty-second avenue (west one-half) between 250 feet and 275 feet north of Rivera street.

Thirty-second avenue (west one-half) between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Santiago street (north one-half) between Eighteenth avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Fifteenth avenue (west one-half) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Vicente street.

Ralston street (west one-half) between Garfield street and 88 feet south.

Chestnut street (north one-half) between 117 feet 6 inches and 154 feet 9 inches east of Grant avenue.

Le Conte avenue (northeast one-half) between Third street and 112 feet 2 inches southeast.

Twenty-second street (south one-half) between Kansas street and 50 feet west.

Twenty-second street (north one-half) between Vermont street and 25 feet east.

Twenty-second street (south one-half) between 50 feet and 75 feet west of Rhode Island street; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of Rivoli street (north one-half) between Shrader street and 182 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundaries of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lot 5 of Block 55; Lots 5 and 10 of Block 163; Lots 22 and 23 of Block 182; Lots 9, 10 and 11 of Block 348; Lots 1A and 2 of Block 492; Lot 29A of Block 510; Lot 1 of Block 723; Lots 24, 25 and 26 of Block 1080; Lot 12 of Block 1237; Lots 8 and 10 of Block 1283; Lot 13 of Block 1703; Lots 7 and 8 of Block 1802; Lots 9 and 10 of Block 1806; Lot 11 of Block 2185; Lots 1, 4 and 15 of Block 2317; Lot 1 of Block 2318; Lots 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2332; Lot 2 of Block 2481; Lots 10 and 11 of Block 3552; Lots 1A and 20 of Block 3593; Lot 37 of Block 4138; Lots 1 and 31 of Block 4157; Lot 65 of Block 4158; Lot 8 of Block 4995; Lot 1 of Block 7003; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Re-referred.

The following bill was *re-referred to the Finance Committee*:

Creating Underground District, Army Street, Potrero to Bryant.

(Code No. 11.12)

Bill No. 639, Ordinance No. 11.127, as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco" by adding a new section to be known as Section 1 FFF.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1 FFF. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires, except trolley poles and wires, after February 1, 1935, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 72, Army street between Potrero avenue and Bryant street.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Clerk be instructed to inform the Department of Public Works, and that the committee in charge for the Board, stand notified that any request for legislation dealing with further additions to Underground District be referred for visa by the Public Utilities Commission.

So ordered.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Mississippi Street.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1639, as follows:

Whereas, on the 17th day of September, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 18th day of September, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1551, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Mississippi street be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Mississippi street more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Mississippi street, distant northerly thereon 72.00 feet from the northerly line of Army street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Mississippi street a distance of 72.00 feet to the northerly line of Army street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Army street produced westerly a distance of 18.00 feet to a point; thence in a northerly direction 74.216 feet to the point of beginning.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Mississippi street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 17, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved San Francisco, September 18, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that a portion of Mississippi street described in Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that the portion of Mississippi street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said street described in Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1551, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings, Board of Supervisors, and Other Boards and Commissions.

(Six Supervisors Instead of Three May Call a Special Meeting)

(Code No. 3.06)

The following recommendation of Rules Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 636, Ordinance No. 3.064, as follows:

Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Super-

visors and of other Boards and Commissions, provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given. Repealing Ordinance No. 3.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, and shall be called upon the request of six (6) members thereof made in writing to the President, and also upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the President as herein provided, the President shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Section 2. All requests made to the President of the Board for a special meeting thereof shall specify the purpose or purposes of said meeting, and upon the receipt thereof, or upon the call for a special meeting by the President of the Board on his own motion, he shall notify the Clerk of the Board of the time fixed for said meeting and the purpose or purposes thereof. Upon receiving notice of the time and purposes of said special meeting, the Clerk of the Board shall notify each member thereof at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the time of said meeting and the purposes thereof, which said notice shall be given by personal notice delivered to each of said members or by notice sent by United States mail, addressed to each member of the Board, at their respective addresses. Notice of any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors shall be published at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such special meeting.

Section 3. Special meetings of any Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the Board of Supervisors, may be called by the Chairman thereof, and must be called by the said Chairman upon the written request of a majority of the members of said Board or Commission, which said written request must state the purposes for which said meeting is to be called.

Section 4. Notice of said special meetings of said Boards or Commissions, other than the Board of Supervisors, shall be signed by the President or Secretary of said Board or Commission, and shall contain a statement of the purpose or purposes for which said meeting is called, and the time thereof. Said notice shall be personally served on each member of the said Board or Commission or mailed to him by special delivery United States mail, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance thereof, and if notice of said meeting is mailed, the same shall be addressed to said member at his place of residence or business, and in addition to the notice to each member of said Commission, notice thereof to the public shall be given by posting a notice of said meeting upon the door of the office or place of meeting of said Board or Commission, or in a conspicuous place within said office, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of said meeting. Personal notice of any special meeting of any Board or Commission, other than said Board of Supervisors, may be waived by any member of said Board or Commission by consenting in writing to the holding of said meeting, provided that notice to the public of said meeting be given as herein provided.

Section 5. No matters shall be considered at any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors or any other Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, except such matters as pertain to the purposes for which said meeting was called, and all of said special meetings shall be held at the regular meeting place of said Board or Commission.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 3.061 is hereby repealed.

Amendments.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher and

with unanimous consent, the following language was inserted after the word "President" on the second and fourth lines of Section 1, to-wit: "or in his absence to the Clerk."

Supervisor Schmidt, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the word "six" in the third line of Section 1 be amended to read "four."

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings, Board of Supervisors, and Other Boards and Commissions.

(Six Supervisors Instead of Three May Call a Special Meeting)

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 636, Ordinance No. 3.064, as follows:

Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Supervisors and of other Boards and Commissions, provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given. Repealing Ordinance No. 3.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, and shall be called upon the request of four (4) members thereof made in writing to the President, or in his absence to the Clerk, and also upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President, or in his absence to the Clerk. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the President as herein provided, the President shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Section 2. All requests made to the President of the Board for a special meeting thereof shall specify the purpose or purposes of said meeting, and upon the receipt thereof, or upon the call for a special meeting by the President of the Board on his own motion, he shall notify the Clerk of the Board of the time fixed for said meeting and the purpose or purposes thereof. Upon receiving notice of the time and purposes of said special meeting, the Clerk of the Board shall notify each member thereof at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the time of said meeting and the purposes thereof, which said notice shall be given by personal notice delivered to each of said members or by notice sent by United States mail, addressed to each member of the Board, at their respective addresses. Notice of any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors shall be published at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such special meeting.

Section 3. Special meetings of any Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the Board of Supervisors, may be called by the Chairman thereof, and must be called by the said Chairman upon the written request of a majority of the members of said Board or Commission, which said written request must state the purposes for which said meeting is to be called.

Section 4. Notice of said special meetings of said Boards or Commissions, other than the Board of Supervisors, shall be signed by the President or Secretary of said Board or Commission, and shall contain a statement of the purpose or purposes for which said meeting is

called, and the time thereof. Said notice shall be personally served on each member of the said Board or Commission or mailed to him by special delivery United States mail, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance thereof, and if notice of said meeting is mailed, the same shall be addressed to said member at his place of residence or business, and in addition to the notice to each member of said Commission, notice thereof to the public shall be given by posting a notice of said meeting upon the door of the office or place of meeting of said Board or Commission, or in a conspicuous place within said office, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of said meeting. Personal notice of any special meeting of any Board or Commission, other than said Board of Supervisors, may be waived by any member of said Board or Commission by consenting in writing to the holding of said meeting, provided that notice to the public of said meeting be given as herein provided.

Section 5. No matters shall be considered at any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors or any other Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, except such matters as pertain to the purposes for which said meeting was called, and all of said special meetings shall be held at the regular meeting place of said Board or Commission.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 3.061 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—4.

Leave of Absence—Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, Member, Board of Education.

October 24, 1934.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon of the Board of Education for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for a period of one month, beginning November 15, 1934.

May I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request?

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1633, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, beginning November 15, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

Leaves of Absence—Lewis F. Byington, George Filmer, John H. McCallum, Edwin M. Eddy, D. C. Murphy, Members, Public Utilities Commission; E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

October 24, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Mr. E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, for a leave of absence with permission to leave

the State, for the following members of the Public Utilities Commission, for a period of four days, from November 9th to 12, inclusive:

Lewis F. Byington, George Filmer, John H. McCallum, Edwin M. Eddy, D. C. Murphy, E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1634, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, a leave of absence is hereby granted to Lewis F. Byington, George Filmer, John H. McCallum, Edwin M. Eddy, D. C. Murphy, members of the Public Utilities Commission, E. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, for a period of four days, from November 9th to 12th, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the following statement be printed in the Journal.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—6.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy—4.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Relative to Supervisor Uhl's Criticism of the Past Relief Administration.

SUPERVISOR UHL: Mr. President, inasmuch as in the past I have been so criticized regarding my nagging of the past Citizens' Relief Committee and particularly of the Director of Relief, and through my activity caused their resigning from that committee, I desire to read into the record the following editorial in the "Whirligig" column of the *Daily News*, under date of October 11, 1934:

"The Whirligig—News Behind the News"

"By Arthur Caylor.

"Relief—You'll never know the charming mess in which the new administration found the relief situation. The reason is that instead of holding up their hands and wailing, 'Oh, dear! Oh, dear!' Director Paul Davis and the committee have plunged into a rehabilitation program which requires both their hands and all their wind. They found cases involving from 5000 to 10,00 persons which had been bobbling about for months, with the result that the people weren't getting the proper relief. A great mass of them had got lost between the county organization and the state organization. Others hadn't been visited in half a year.

"The first task has been to get relief to these unlucky thousands, and to get the people themselves to work on projects. In ten days 1600 have been placed.

"Direction—To do this, red tape had been thrown out the window in sufficient quantities to provide for a nice tape-shower should Stalin visit Wall Street. Every time anybody shows Mr. Davis a new organization chart or a new form, he puts on a demonstration of molar gnashing and up-and-down jumping.

"However, a reorganization is under way. It will not be a hard-and-fast setup. If it doesn't work, it will be changed pronto.

"The alteration is due largely to this situation: Of some 600 social workers in the department—already handling a section of the populace bigger than all of San Jose—Mr. Davis discovered that only about 100 were seasoned people who knew their stuff forward as well as backward.

"The idea is to switch things around so that these workers with savvy will be the head people, in the hope that under more competent direction the others can do a better job.

"Changes—The plan now is to establish seven district centers where all types of relief work other than that of the shelters will be handled. The main administration will be concentrated under one roof, but the contact stations will be spotted about the City.

"The work now being done by such departments as the single women's bureau, the section for single men who live in rooms, and the like, will be maintained, although geographically distributed. Workers familiar with each department will be assigned to each center.

"An altogether new thing is that the centers will also take care of indigent relief cases, which are now purely a county problem."

Planing Mill and Woodworking Factory Permit, California Mill Company, 340 Townsend Street.

(Code No. 1.061)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1640, as follows:

Resolved, That the California Mill Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill and woodworking factory at 340 Townsend street, San Francisco.

This resolution is granted in the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Endorsing the State \$24,000,000 Relief Bond Issue.

(Code No. 5.3)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1641, as follows:

Whereas, the need for unemployment relief and care of indigents requires the expenditure of large sums of money by the State of California, and the Legislature, at its recent session, submitted a bond issue of \$24,000,000 for relief, and the City and County of San Francisco should have an equitable apportionment from these moneys without repayment to the State; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco hereby endorses this \$24,000,000 relief bond issue, and urges all voters to cast their ballot in favor of it.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Telegram.

In connection with the foregoing the following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Calif., Oct. 28, 1934.

James B. McSheehy, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Letter and printed information mailed should reach you today concerning Proposition 23, Unemployment Relief Bond Issue on November 6th ballot. Urge special and immediate attention be given letter and recommended action taken immediately. Since proposed bond issue is nonpartisan and nonpolitical all citizens can fairly be urged to insure its passage. Local SERA officials, county committees and Boards of Supervisors and local press are urged to inform general public regarding vital importance of passage Proposition 23. Please proceed at once and inform our field representatives who will work with you. Advise press that John Long, general manager California Newspaper Publishers' Association, in conference here today making special release embodying subject matter mailed you.

VERNON D. NORTHROP,
Acting Administrator, California Emergency Relief Administration.

Director of Property to Sell at Public Auction Former Bush Street Police Station Building.

(Code No. 12.1729)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1643, as follows:

Resolved, That the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after five days' published notice, the former Bush Street Police Station building located at 1446 Bush street, San Francisco:

The terms of sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale to be executed by the Director of Property in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Rapid Transit, San Francisco.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 1642, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that in order to obtain the full benefit anticipated from the bay bridges now under construction, that some form of rapid street car service must be provided for the people of the City and County as an extension to the present Municipal Railway; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby requested to furnish to the Board of Supervisors, at the earliest possible date, a plan for a rapid transit street railway system to be operated in conjunction with the San Francisco Municipal Railway, together with the estimated cost of constructing said system, and also a proposed method for financing said cost. That in proposing said plan special attention be given to the matter of handling traffic to and from the San Francisco Bay Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That before proceeding with the making of said plans, said Public Utilities Commission be and it is hereby requested to forthwith advise this Board the estimated cost of making said plans, and if it has at its disposal funds available for said purpose.

Referred to Committee of the Whole meeting Thursday, November 1, 1934, at 8 p. m.

Proposed Amendment to License Ordinance on Automobile Repair Shops.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that consideration of the matter of the proposed ordinance amending Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) by modifying the license fee for general automobile repairing be made a Special Order of Business for 2 p. m., November 12, 1934.

So ordered.

Reception to Frank L. Belgrano.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for homecoming welcome to Mr. Frank L. Belgrano on his return to San Francisco about the middle of November.

So ordered.

Celebration of Delivery of Hetch Hetchy Water to San Francisco.

Supervisor Hayden moved that all the data in the official program, "Fulfilment of a Dream," be made part of the record and be printed in the Journal of Proceedings.

CELEBRATION

of the first delivery of

HETCH HETCHY WATER TO SAN FRANCISCO

CRYSTAL SPRINGS LAKE, SAN MATEO COUNTY

Under auspices of the Citizens' Committee of San Francisco (Honorary Chairmen: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco; Lewis F. Byington; Franck R. Havenner, Chairman) and the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco (Lewis F. Byington, President; George Filmer, John H. McCallum, Edwin M. Eddy, Daniel C. Murphy, Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities).

Program

Honorary Chairmen: Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, and Lewis F. Byington, President of the Public Utilities Commission.

Chairman: Supervisor Franck R. Havenner.

Concert—San Francisco Municipal Band, Phil Sapiro, Director.

Welcome to Hetch Hetchy—Mayor Rossi.

Tribute to M. M. O'Shaughnessy—Supervisor Jesse Colman, Chairman, Public Utilities Committee, San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

Baritone Solo—Jack Howell.

Labor's Part in Hetch Hetchy; Introduction of Hetch Hetchy Builders—Senator Daniel C. Murphy, member, Public Utilities Commission.

Address—Lewis F. Byington, President, Public Utilities Commission.

Release of Hetch Hetchy Water at Dumbarton.

Address—Harold L. Ickes, Secretary of the Interior.

Arrival of Hetch Hetchy Water.

Guests of Honor—Mrs. John E. Raker, Mrs. William Kent.

Fulfilment of a Dream

Eons ago the mighty glaciers that sculptured the granite walls of the High Sierras were thin sheaths of ice. Half a century ago, Hetch Hetchy, today a tremendous water supply system, was a wild dream in the minds of a few men. Today, when the glistening mountain waters rush into the lakes waiting to receive them, San Franciscans will witness the ultimate joining of man's modern wisdom to nature's ancient forces.

* * * *

Centuries ago, the Indians discovered a strangely shaped valley high

in the Sierras, a gorge deeply cut into solid granite, its entrance flanked by straight, silent sentinels—mountain pines. They called it Hetch Hetchy for a reason now unknown. Few men explored the region. None lived there. It was wild, inaccessible, and not adapted to human pursuits.

Then came the California Gold Rush of 1848. Driven relentlessly on by the great urge for the yellow metal, prospectors spread rapidly through the Sierras. Some reached the Hetch Hetchy Valley. They stood and marvelled, drinking in the majestic splendor of those granite peaks, of the straight pines that seemed to march in serried ranks up the lesser slopes.

Hetch Hetchy Valley had been divinely designed for the storage of mountain waters to be used by man.

It was not until almost thirty-five years later than an engineer noted this. J. P. Dart drew up a map, suggesting the Tuolumne River system as a water source for San Francisco. He filed the map in Sonora, California, where it gathered the dust of years. In 1894, George Harris offered to sell to San Francisco all his rights in the waterways and watersheds for \$200,000, but without success.

Meanwhile, San Francisco stood sorely in need of adequate water supply. In early days, San Franciscans obtained water from Sausalito, barged across the Bay and peddled in barrels slung across the back of a donkey. Later came the Spring Valley Water Company, with reservoirs on the San Mateo peninsula.

Beginning of Hetch Hetchy

It was not until the turn of the century that the Hetch Hetchy-Tuolumne River system was officially reported by the United States Geological Annual Survey as a source which could furnish San Francisco daily with 250 million gallons of water.

San Francisco's new City Charter, effective on January 8, 1900, stipulated that the City gradually acquire ownership and administration of all public utilities.

Fourteen possible sources were exhaustively investigated in 1900-1901. The superiority of the Tuolumne River system, later called the Hetch Hetchy system, was established for all time. Given as reasons were, purity of water, largest amount of water available, largest and best reservoir sites, freedom from conflicting legal claims, and finally, the possibilities for power development.

Hetch Hetchy lay within the borders of the Yosemite National Park, so San Francisco filed applications with the Secretary of the Interior to obtain Hetch Hetchy and Lake Eleanor rights. For a decade successive Secretaries of the Interior backed and filled on the Hetch Hetchy project. President Taft appointed a Board of Army Engineers to study the technical problems involved.

The last legal barrier fell in 1913, when President Wilson signed the Raker Act, granting full rights to the City for use of the region.

The World War and work on Hetch Hetchy began together. Shortage of man labor and other deterrents resulting from war were only additional obstacles to be surmounted.

As each link in the great chain of pipeline, tunnel, canal, dam and reservoir was slowly forged, the citizens of San Francisco displayed their optimism in the project by voting bond issues to sustain the work.

In 1932, as the project neared completion, the Public Utilities Commission, under the new City Charter, assumed control of the project and will continue to administer the water system for the City.

Today, as the first waters tumble, white-foamed, through the classic temple built for the celebration, a glance back over the twenty years of construction and the twice twenty years since the inception of the Hetch Hetchy idea, reveals a tale that epitomizes the late President Theodore Roosevelt's vigorous statement, "Aggressive fighting for the right is the noblest sport the world affords."

Financing Hetch Hetchy

The magnificent engineering that built Hetch Hetchy is only matched by the splendid achievement of financing the great project. The courage and optimism of San Francisco were displayed in the voting of five separate bond issues to finance Hetch Hetchy. The most imposing of the issues was that of 1928. In that year, with the bond market commencing to waver, there was a likelihood that the huge issue of \$41,000,000, voted to purchase the Spring Valley Water Company's system, would remain unsold. The Bank of America, with far-sighted courage, purchased the entire issue, presenting the City of San Francisco, on December 26, 1928, with the enormous Christmas present of a cashier's check for the full amount. On the steps of the City Hall, Mr. A. P. Giannini, president of the bank, handed the check to Hon. James Rolph, Jr., then Mayor.

The first bond issue was voted in 1908, for \$600,000, to be devoted to the purchase of watershed lands and water rights. In 1910, \$45,000,000 was voted to finance the complete work, including the Moccasin power plant development, with an annual revenue of approximately \$2,000,000 and the Bay Crossing Division bringing in \$250,000. Ten million dollars was voted in 1925 to provide funds for the Foothill Division and the shaft sinking on the Coast Range. The 1928 bond issue, in addition to the memorable draft from the Bank of America for \$41,000,000, provided \$24,000,000 for the construction of emergency pipe lines and for other purposes. In 1934, at the time of the completion of the system, the figure for the entire cost stands at approximately \$100,000,000, including interest which has accrued on various bonds and exclusive of the Spring Valley purchase. Funds administered by the Public Works Administration of the Federal government will provide for additional work on Hetch Hetchy, the raising of the O'Shaughnessy Dam.

The buying of the 1928 bond issue by the Bank of America, coming as it did in that year, did much to establish and secure the credit of the City of San Francisco. The effects of the bank evidencing its assurance of the City's financial security have been far-reaching.

Engineering

The actual construction of Hetch Hetchy consumed twenty years.

Solid granite walls in the High Sierras, shifting, swelling ground, quicksands, subterranean springs and the presence of a highly explosive gas in the Coast Range challenged the builders.

The Hetch Hetchy region was a wild, uninhabited and inaccessible area in the High Sierras. Roads had to be cut, a 68-mile railroad built and complete construction camps established before work on the project could be begun.

The engineers who built Hetch Hetchy poured more than tons of cement and concrete, copper and steel into the undertaking. Years of "paper planning" must be computed along with the tangible substances utilized.

The materials used in the construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply System give a clue to the magnitude of the project:

3,200,000 cubic yards of earth and rock were excavated. Concrete poured totalled 1,400,000 cubic yards, and cement, 1,700,000 barrels. 85,000 tons of steel went into the construction; 290 tons of copper, and 450 tons of aluminum. The quantity of lumber used was 200,000,000 board feet.

The entire length of the aqueduct is 155 miles, of which 66 miles are tunnels, and the balance pipe lines. The longest tunnel ever constructed by man is the 25-mile Coast Range bore.

The mountain waters flow from the High Sierras to San Francisco, beginning at Lake Eleanor and Cherry River, coursing through concrete canal, tunnel and pipe to meet the Hetch Hetchy reservoir water at Early Intake, 12 miles down the Tuolumne River from O'Shaughnessy Dam. At Early Intake, 155 miles from San Francisco, a concrete diversion dam turns the water into the aqueduct leading to the City.

A 19-mile tunnel is then traversed. At the end of this tunnel, the water enters Priest Reservoir, which, with a storage capacity of two days' flow of the aqueduct, serves as a forebay or regulating reservoir for the operation of the Moccasin Power House. The water leaves Priest Reservoir through Power Tunnel. From this, two penstocks lead to a Power House. A third is capped for future extension. The water is caught in the Moccasin re-regulating reservoir to equalize the flow before it enters the next section of tunnel aqueduct.

Leading from this reservoir through the foothills of the Sierra Nevada is a 16-mile tunnel. Leaving the foothills, the water enters the San Joaquin Valley pipe line. Fros Tesla Portal, south of Tracy, the 28.5-mile Coast Range tunnels take the water to a pipe line 21 miles long which crosses San Francisco Bay and terminates in Pulgas Tunnel. Pulgas Tunnel, 1¾ miles long, discharges into the City reservoir, Crystal Springs Lake.

Despite the magnitude of this aqueduct, the ultimate in economical maintenance has been obtained by the elimination of pumping stations. Gravity is the driving force in the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct and consequently only ten men will be required to maintain the complete aqueduct.

Water in Abundance

With the bringing in of Hetch Hetchy water, San Francisco has forever put the fear of drought and water shortage to rout.

The Hetch Hetchy aqueduct and water supply system will serve adequately an estimated population of four million people.

Enough water will be maintained for seven days in reservoirs strategically placed within city limits, and in peninsula reservoirs, Crystal Springs and Pilarcitos Lake, water will be stored for 600 days. Another 600-day supply will be maintained at Calaveras Dam, in Alameda County. Lake Merced will carry a final emergency supply and back of all will be the massive O'Shaughnessy Dam, holding in crystal-line store a 1200-day cache in Hetch Hetchy Lake, with Lake Eleanor in reserve with 9,000,000,000 gallons above it.

Whatever man can imagine and provide against has been planned for in San Francisco's water supply.

Joined with the magnificent gifts of nature and the vision of our mighty engineers is the faith of the citizens of the City.

The ultimate barriers to greatness are forever conquered. Hetch Hetchy water adds the last abundance to the overflowing gifts that make San Francisco the inevitable metropolis of the Pacific.

A Tribute to M. M. O'Shaughnessy

For twenty-two years M. M. O'Shaughnessy labored to bring Hetch Hetchy water to San Francisco. To him, in large measure, goes the credit for this miracle of modern engineering. To him, the people of San Francisco owe an incalculable debt of gratitude.

M. M. O'Shaughnessy died sixteen days before he could see the fruition of his dream in these ceremonies.

Letter of Thanks to Secretary of Interior Ickes.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board express, by formal communication to the Secretary of the Interior, its appreciation and thanks for his visit on the occasion of the Hetch Hetchy celebration; also, the Clerk to send letter of thanks to the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors for their cooperation in providing for one way traffic on roads leading to place of celebration.

So ordered.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

October 30, 1934.

Board of Supervisors, Clerk's Office, Room 235 City Hall.

Sir: On motion of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner, the Board of

Supervisors of San Francisco unanimously express for themselves and for the community which they represent, appreciation of your attendance at the installation of the Hetch Hetchy Water System.

The cooperation of yourself and other officials of the government assures San Francisco of future and helpful cooperation in this great project—of such vast importance to this and neighboring communities.

Your utterances, demonstrating what can be accomplished when Federal, State and municipalities join in developing, using and conserving natural resources that they may be of benefit to mankind, received unanimous approbation and approval in this community.

The message you brought from President Roosevelt and delivered to the assemblage at Crystal Springs has already heartened and energized public sentiment for better things in this State and the rest of the country. The Board of Supervisors, representing the people of this community, in expressing gratitude for your visit and your wholesome remarks, hopes that you will find occasion to return here frequently. San Francisco's hospitality is always extended to you.

Yours very truly,

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Honorable Harold L. Ickes,
Secretary of the Interior, Washington, D. C.

Motions.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board express its thanks to Supervisor Havenner for his efforts which insured the successful outcome of the Hetch Hetchy celebration.

Motion carried.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board express its appreciation for the courtesy of the Columbia Broadcasting Company, radio stations KFRC and KYA, and the Standard Oil Company for their part in the Hetch Hetchy celebration.

Motion carried.

Additional Costs for Election, November 6.

In re additional costs for election November 6, incurred by the Department of Elections, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Controller be informed that when the bills are presented for extra costs of the election, the appropriations will be made as recommended by the Finance Committee.

So ordered.

Survey of Parking Conditions.

Supervisor Uhl moved that survey of parking conditions be made and suggestions be made to the Fire and Police Departments re parking regulations near fire hydrants.

Motion referred to Committee on Fire, Safety and Police. Opinion of City Attorney requested as to whether motion violates Section 22.

Commercial and Industrial Development Committee Meeting.

Supervisor Brown announced meeting of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee for Thursday, at 4 p. m., to consider matter of organization of a Committee on giving preference to local labor and industry in City contracts, and also to consider Resolution 1599, presented by Supervisor Gallagher and referred to committee, requesting the War Department to arrange for early meeting on the subject of establishing of bulkhead and pierhead lines in San Francisco Bay. Supervisor Gallagher requested that Congressmen Welch and McGrath be invited to attend meeting.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 5, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, November 5, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco





JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 5, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3:15 p. m.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of October 29, 1934, was
considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Rezoning of Southwest Side of Galvez Avenue,
75 Feet Southeast of Coleman Avenue.

Hearing the appeal from the decision of the City Planning Commis-
sion denying an application to rezone from First Residential District to
Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest side of
Galvez avenue, 75 feet southeast of Coleman avenue.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jefferson Peyser, attorney representing appealants, was heard in
favor of rezoning the property for riding academy purposes.

Louis Hansen, broker, representing majority property owners; Dr.
S. L. Popen, Mary Burns, representing Kernan Robinson; Marth Lent-
holt and John McGregor were heard in opposition to the proposed re-
zoning.

John A. McSwiney, applicant, Mrs. W. B. Hunt, Mrs. M. Tiveras,
Mrs. Margaret Olsen, Mrs. K. Taichich and Vito Chila were heard in
favor of the rezoning.

Commissioners Chapin and DeGolia of the City Planning Commis-
sion were also heard.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Rezoning Southwest Side of Galvez Avenue, 75 Feet Southeast
From Coleman Avenue.

(Code No. 13.02)

Resolution No. 1646, as follows:

Resolved, That the decision of the City Planning Commission, by

its Resolution No. 1107, denying application to rezone from First Residential District to Light Industrial District, property located at the southwest side of Galvez avenue, 75 feet southeast from Coleman avenue, is hereby disapproved.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up:

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 1621, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Privilege of the Floor.

Edw. Vandeleur, president of the San Francisco Labor Council, presented copy of resolutions of said organization urging that the work on the University Mound Reservoir be permitted to proceed.

Edw. J. Cahill, General Manager, Public Utilities Commission, was heard and said "If this resolution is referred back to committee we cannot go ahead because the resolution is not merely for the closing of Bacon street, it provides for the closing of all other streets which run through the reservoir site as well."

Mr. Steinberg, attorney representing property owners, stated that the 20-foot roadway suggestion would not be satisfactory to the people on Bacon street.

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to eliminate Bacon street from the resolution.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the resolution be amended so as to continue action on Bacon street until November 19, 1934, at 3 p. m.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution as amended and in words and figures following, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0622)

Resolution No. 1621, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portions of Wayland, Colby and Dartmouth streets as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portions of said Wayland, Colby and Dartmouth streets, described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portions of said Wayland, Colby and Dartmouth streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the matter of the closing and abandonment of that portion of Bacon street described in said Resolution No. 1535 be and the same is continued until November 19, 1934, at 3 p. m.; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Streets Committee Meeting.

A meeting of the Streets Committee was announced for Friday at 11 a. m. to consider the Bacon street matter as per foregoing resolution.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Providing for the Conveyance of Highway Easements to the State of California Over Certain San Francisco Water Department Lands in San Mateo County.

(Code No. 15.0242)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Bill No. 635, Ordinance No. 15.02422, as follows:

Providing for the conveyance of highway easements to the State of California over certain San Francisco Water Department lands in San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized and directed to prepare a deed for the conveyance to the State of California of right of way easements for improving the intersection of the Skyline boulevard and Half Moon Bay road over the following described parcels of San Francisco Water Department lands situated in the west half of the southwest quarter of Section 13, T. 5 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M., San Mateo County, California:

Parcel 1: Commencing at a point on the northeasterly line of the State Highway designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 55, Section B, also known as Skyline boulevard, distant N. 6 degrees 17 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 340+49.88 P. O. C. on the center line of the survey for said highway, said point also being distant S. 56 degrees 06 minutes 20 seconds E. 641.5 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13; thence along said northeasterly line of the highway from a tangent that bears S. 83 degrees 43 minutes W., along a curve to the right, with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 39 degrees 44 minutes, a distance of 173.37 feet; thence S. 56 degrees 34 minutes E. 456.66 feet; thence leaving said northeasterly line of the highway, N. 33 degrees 16 minutes E. 75.0 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 115 feet northeasterly at right angles from the center line of said survey; thence along said parallel line N. 56 degrees 34 minutes W. 456.66 feet; thence S. 83 degrees 43 minutes W. 207.67 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 2: Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of said State Highway, distant S. 33 degrees 26 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 339+04.25 B. C. on the center line of said survey, said point also being distant S. 54 degrees 36 minutes 10 seconds E. 801.7 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13; thence along said southwesterly line of the highway, S. 56 degrees 34 minutes E. 456.66 feet; thence leaving said southwesterly line of the highway S. 33 degrees 16 minutes W. 10.0 feet to a point in a line parallel to and 50 feet southwesterly at right angles from the center line of said survey; thence along said parallel line N. 56 degrees 34 minutes W. 152.41 feet; thence S. 86 degrees 34 minutes W. 241.68 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 225 feet, through an angle of 84 degrees 11 minutes 40 seconds, a distance of 330.63 feet to the easterly line of said highway; thence along said easterly and southerly line of the highway, N. 13 degrees 44 minutes W. 230.08 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the right, with a radius of 170 feet, through an angle of 137 degrees 10 minutes, a distance of 406.98 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 3: Commencing at the intersection of the westerly line of said State Highway with the property line common to the lands of the Grantor and Julia J. Morrison, distant along said property line S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 117.60 feet from the most northerly corner of that certain 2.25 acre tract conveyed to Spring Valley Water Company by Julia J. Morrison by deed dated April 12, 1926, and recorded May 10, 1926, in Volume 232 of Official Records, page 120, San Mateo County Records; said intersection also being dis-

tant S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 41.06 feet from Station "A" 349+70.42 P. O. T. on the center line of said survey; thence along said property line S. 62 degrees 56 minutes 30 seconds W. 46.95 feet; thence N. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds W. 303.0 feet to a point in the property line common to the lands of the Grantor and Julia J. Morrison; thence along said property line N. 31 degrees 20 minutes 30 seconds W. 307.80 feet; thence N. 47 degrees 15 minutes E. 447.78 feet to the northerly line of said highway, distant S. 42 degrees 42 minutes 30 seconds E. 575.2 feet from a 4" x 4" post marking the west quarter corner of said Section 13, and also being distant N. 42 degrees 45 minutes W. 40.0 feet from Station "R" 341+83.47 P. O. C. on the center line of said survey; thence along the northerly and westerly line of said highway, from a tangent that bears S. 47 degrees 15 minutes W., along a curve to the left with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 60 degrees 59 minutes, a distance of 266.09 feet; thence S. 13 degrees 44 minutes E. 551.43 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the left, with a radius of 440 feet, through an angle of 0 degree 48 minutes, a distance of 6.14 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 4: Commencing at the most southerly corner of that certain 2.25 acre tract referred to in Parcel 3 above, being a point on the northerly line of the State Highway designated as Road IV, San Mateo County, Route 55, Section C, also known as Skyline boulevard, distant N. 17 degrees 05 minutes 30 seconds W. 53.80 feet from Station "P1" 10+99.31 P. O. T. on the center line of the survey for said highway; thence along the northerly and northeasterly line of the highway, N. 85 degrees 25 minutes 30 seconds W. 77.93 feet; thence tangent to the last named course, along a curve to the right, with a radius of 250 feet, through an angle of 47 degrees 31 minutes, a distance of 207.33 feet; thence N. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds W. 375.89 feet; thence leaving said northeasterly line of the highway, from a tangent that bears S. 37 degrees 54 minutes 30 seconds E., along a curve to the left, with a radius of 950 feet, through an angle of 24 degrees 01 minute, a distance of 398.21 feet; thence S. 61 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds E. 385.33 feet to the northerly line of said highway; thence along said northerly line, from a tangent that bears N. 61 degrees 55 minutes 30 seconds W., along a curve to the left, with a radius of 300 feet, through an angle of 23 degrees 30 minutes, a distance of 123.05 feet; thence N. 85 degrees 25 minutes 30 seconds W. 39.34 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The deed for the conveyance of said right of way easements shall be made subject to such covenants and conditions as may be agreed upon between the Public Utilities Commission and the Division of Highways of the State of California.

Section 3. The Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed for the conveyance of said rights of way to the State of California. The City Attorney shall approve the form of said deed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Bulkhead and Pierhead Lines in San Francisco Bay.

(Code No. 5.2)

On recommendation of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.

Resolution No. 1644, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 1357, en-

dorsing House Joint Resolution 289, submitted by Congressman Richard J. Welch, authorizing the establishment of bulkhead and pierhead lines in San Francisco Bay from San Francisco and San Mateo County line to Ravenswood Point, San Mateo County; and

Whereas, it is in the interest of San Francisco's progress and the progress of the Peninsula that active steps be taken to secure the government cooperation to this end; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the United States War Department, through the Board of Army Engineers, be and they are hereby requested to arrange for an early meeting on this subject, at which time representatives of San Francisco and contiguous communities may attend and present evidence looking to the consummation of the purposes contained in this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$5,690, Emergency Reserve, for Art Museum in Veterans' Building.

(Code No. 9.051)

Ordered on Calendar by Supervisor Gallagher, Chairman of the Finance Committee.

Bill No. 640, Ordinance No. 9.051150, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,690 out of Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1934-1935, for the cost of operating and maintaining the fourth floor of the Veterans' Building as an Art Museum; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and said sum of \$5,690 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve when and if the receipts should accrue over and above the estimate of \$25,000 as recorded in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1934-1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,690 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1934-1935, for the cost of operating and maintaining the fourth floor of the Veterans' Building as an Art Museum; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and said sum of \$5,690 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve when and if the receipts should accrue over and above the estimate of \$25,000 as recorded in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1934-1935.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Canvass of Election Held November 6, 1934.

(Code No. 3.02)

Resolution No. 1645, as follows:

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters and this Board of Supervisors proceed on Tuesday, November 13, 1934, at the hour of 1 p. m., to canvass the returns of the State General Election held Tuesday,

November 6, 1934, by opening the same and estimating the vote of the 1054 election precincts and declare the result thereof, and such count shall continue each day according to law; and be it

Further Resolved, That the canvass of said election returns will be conducted in the office of the Department of Elections, City Hall, in the City and County of San Francisco, which office is designated as the necessary place of meeting to conduct such canvass, as all ballots and records pertaining to such election are on file in said office, and to be conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Political Code relating thereto, and to be continued until completed in the manner provided by the Political Code of the State of California; and that the several persons hereinafter named and mentioned are hereby appointed as Clerks to perform the clerical work of the official canvass of the returns of said election in the manner provided by said Section 1228 of the Political Code of the State of California:

Cameron H. King, W. E. Monahan, Geo. Sharp, Lester Stern, A. G. Knight, E. R. Faucompre, Jos. A. Dawson, A. K. Arnold, E. Balk, M. R. Bloch, B. Carter, B. A. Cody, M. Coffey, G. J. Conlon, C. Connolly, L. J. Conti, M. I. Dana, G. H. Daniels, F. E. Dealtry, E. M. Dwyer, G. G. Edmondson, J. I. Foudy, A. Garnett, E. M. Goff, E. M. Gray, R. Grumbine, F. Keane, O. Gardner, M. Knoth, M. Kraut, H. J. Levy, J. E. Livingston, F. Looz, E. H. Leigh, A. B. Miller, N. O'Connell, S. Osborn, A. A. Power, A. B. Remley, M. Richardson, G. Schneider, E. Sheble, G. Siemens, C. S. Steele, M. Saline, R. D. Tyson, W. W. Wight, Geo. F. O'Hare.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Havenner—2.

Protest Against Substitution of Indiana Limestone in Construction of Federal Building.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1647, as follows:

Whereas, in the construction of the Federal Building located on the block bounded by Hyde, Fulton, Leavenworth and McAllister streets, the specifications on which bids were taken provided for cast stone; and

Whereas, recently a substitution has been suggested, to-wit: Indiana limestone; and

Whereas, San Francisco's institutions have established a world-wide reputation in the construction of cast stone; and

Whereas, the so-called Indiana limestone is a mechanized industry, and on the contrary, the production of cast stone not only favors local industry but employs many hundreds of people; and

Whereas, some of these institutions are heavy taxpayers in this community, pay good wages and employ San Franciscans; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors instructs the Clerk to immediately protest by wire, in the name of this Board, to the proper authorities in Washington against substitution; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted at once to the Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, Senior United States Senator of the State of California, and to the Honorable Richard J. Welch, Representative in Congress, Fifth Congressional District, and to the Honorable Florence P. Kahn, Representative in Congress, Fourth Congressional District, and ask that they join this Board of Supervisors in this effort to prevent this substitution, to the end that the amount of money involved may be spent in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

City Attorney to Appear for Defendant Police Officers.

(Code No. 6.0219)

Police Committee presented:

Resolution No. 1648, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be, and he is hereby directed to represent the defendant Police Officers in the following actions commenced against said defendants:

Otto Martschinke v. Federated Metals Corp., Merrill N. Howe, James J. Cooper, et al., No. 251,964—Superior Court;

Arthur Chandler v. John J. O'Meara, et al., No. 253,747—Superior Court;

Marion Chandler v. John J. O'Meara, et al., No. 253,986—Superior Court;

Arthur Chandler v. City and County of San Francisco, John J. O'Meara, et al., No. 72,998—Municipal Court.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Water Rates for Commercial Purposes.

(Code No. 15.022)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1649, as follows:

Whereas, our supply of Hetch Hetchy water is now available; and

Whereas, the future industrial welfare of San Francisco is dependent upon a low rate for water; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the attention of the Public Utilities Commission be called to the matter of water rates for industries and the fact that San Francisco is threatened with the loss of the North Star Brewing Company at 188 Filbert street because of high water rates for industries.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Amendment to Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

Supervisor Uhl presented a communication from the Parent-Teachers Association requesting amendment to the Charitable Solicitations Ordinance, which communication was referred to the Public Welfare Committee and meeting called for Thursday at 10 a. m.

In Memoriam: Mrs. Mary E. Casey.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1650, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors has heard with profound sorrow of the death of Mrs. Mary E. Casey, devoted wife of Captain John J. Casey, of the San Francisco Police Department, and hereby publicly extends its sincere sympathy to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Clerks for General State Election.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 5, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sirs: The following named clerks are appointed to serve as clerks to conduct the official canvass of General State Election held November 6, 1934:

Cameron H. King, W. E. Monahan, Geo. Sharp, Lester Stern, A. G.

Knight, E. R. Faucompre, Jos. A. Dawson, A. K. Arnold, E. Balk, M. R. Bloch, B. Carter, B. A. Cody, M. Coffey, G. J. Conlon, C. Connolly, L. J. Conti, M. I. Dana, G. H. Daniels, F. E. Dealtry, E. M. Dwyer, G. G. Edmondson, J. I. Foudy, A. Garnett, E. M. Goff, E. M. Gray, R. Grumbine, F. Keane, O. Gardner, M. Knoth, M. Kraut, H. J. Levy, J. E. Livingston, F. Looz, E. H. Leigh, A. B. Miller, N. O'Connell, S. Osborn, A. A. Power, A. B. Remley, M. Richardson, C. Schneider, E. Sheble, G. Siemens, C. S. Steele, M. Saline, R. D. Tyson, W. W. Wight, Geo. F. O'Hare.

Respectfully,

C. J. COLLINS,
Registrar of Voters.

Relief Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl moved that F. M. McAuliffe be requested to appear before the Board at the next meeting, at 3 p. m., and that he furnish the Board with the name of each employee, the residence of each, and salary paid.

So ordered.

Cost of Underground Installation for Street Lighting.

Supervisor Uhl discussed the statement of Edw. J. Cahill, manager of Public Utilities, as to the relative cost of underground construction and overhead street lighting and the charges for such service made by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. He read from a letter received from Vice-President Downing of the P. G. and E. in support of his contention and moved that Mr. Downing and Mr. Cahill be invited to attend the next meeting of the Board at 3 p. m. to go into the matter more fully.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner moved that a transcript of Supervisor Uhl's remarks be sent to Mr. Cahill for his information.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board, at 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 13, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.


Tuesday, November 13, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, November 13, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 3:40 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy being absent, Supervisor Ratto was
elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 5, 1934, was
considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

**Amending Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), by
Modifying the License Fee for General Automobile Repairing.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 644, Ordinance No. 3.04139, as follows:

Amending Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) as
amended by Ordinances numbered 5191 (New Series), 5138 (New Se-
ries) and 6780 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Cer-
tain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and
County of San Francisco, in Effect July 1, 1920," *by modifying the
license fee for general automobile repairing.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Fran-
cisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as
amended by Ordinances numbered 5191 (New Series), 5238 (New
Series), and 6780 (New Series), the title of which is recited above,
is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 17. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the busi-
ness of general automobile repairing shall pay a license fee of *Twelve
Dollars (\$12) per year.*

*Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of general
automobile repairing, and selling gasoline, shall pay a license fee of
Twenty-Four Dollars (\$24) a year.*

General automobile repairing within the meaning of this section
shall mean service rendered in any two or more of the following
branches of automobile repair work, to-wit: Repairing, rebuilding or
remodeling of the bodies of used automobiles or other motor vehicles;
installing or repairing the electric equipment in used automobiles
or other motor vehicles; trimming or repainting of used automobiles
or other motor vehicles; repairing the fenders, radiators or wind-

shields of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; installing, adjusting or repairing any of the metal parts of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, or recharging or repairing the batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; vulcanizing of tires or tubes of used automobiles or other motor vehicles.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Rosenthal, representing the Controller's Office; Mr. Thomas Troden, representing the San Francisco Garage Owners' Association, and Mr. Pat Kelly, representing Automotive Maintenance and Garage Association, were heard at length on the pending matter.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Relief Situation.

Motion of Supervisor Uhl inviting Mr. F. M. McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis to appear before the Board of Supervisors and give a summary of the Relief situation.

Communication From Emergency Relief Administration.

The following was read by the Clerk:

November 9, 1934.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco.

My Dear Mr. Dunnigan: I wish to acknowledge receipt of the request from the Board of Supervisors that I attend the meeting November 13th, at 3 p. m.

Please know that I wish at all times to pay respect to and comply with the wishes of the Board of Supervisors. However, by resolution of the Relief Committee of San Francisco, to whom I report, I have been instructed as follows:

"All questions of presenting budgets, applying for money or explanatory relationships with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, the State authorities of the S. E. R. A. or the Federal authorities of the F. E. R. A. shall not be delegated to the Relief Director, Paul H. Davis, but shall be handled solely by the Relief Committee itself."

In view of my instructions in this regard, and with the above information, I remain

Respectfully yours,

PAUL H. DAVIS,
Director, County Relief.

Announcement.

Thereupon, Supervisor Gallagher announced that relief matters would be taken up for consideration in the Finance Committee on Friday at 2:30 p. m.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Cost of Underground Installation and Street Lighting.

Consideration of the matter of the statement of Edw. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, relative to the cost of underground installation and energy and maintenance cost of street lighting system.

Communication From Edward G. Cahill, Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 8, 1934.

Board of Supervisors, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

(Attention Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.)

Gentlemen: I regret my inability to accept your invitation to attend the meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held Tuesday, November 13, 1934, due to a previous important engagement for that time.

Very truly yours,

E. G. CAHILL,
Manager of Utilities.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Clerk communicate with Mr. Cahill and ask what time next Monday he can come before the Board relative to the amortization of cost of underground district and lighting of Army street.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Regulating the Holding of Special Meetings, Board of Supervisors, and Other Boards and Commissions.

(Four Supervisors instead of three may call a special meeting.)

On recommendation of Rules Committee.

(Code No. 3.06)

Bill No. 636, Ordinance No. 3.064, as follows:

Regulating the holding of special meetings of the Board of Supervisors and of other Boards and Commissions, provided for in the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing how said meetings shall be called and what notice thereof shall be given. Repealing Ordinance No. 3.061.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco may be called by the President of said Board on his own motion, and shall be called upon the request of four (4) members thereof made in writing to the President, or in his absence to the Clerk, and also upon the request in writing of the Mayor to the President, or in his absence to the Clerk. When any request for a special meeting of said Board is made upon the President as herein provided, the President shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the receipt of said notice, issue a call for said meeting.

Section 2. All requests made to the President of the Board for a special meeting thereof shall specify the purpose or purposes of said meeting, and upon the receipt thereof, or upon the call for a special meeting by the President of the Board on his own motion, he shall notify the Clerk of the Board of the time fixed for said meeting and the purpose or purposes thereof. Upon receiving notice of the time and purposes of said special meeting, the Clerk of the Board shall notify each member thereof at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of the time of said meeting and the purposes thereof, which said notice shall be given by personal notice delivered to each of said members or by notice sent by United States mail, addressed to each member of the Board, at their respective addresses. Notice of any special

meeting of the Board of Supervisors shall be published at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such special meeting.

Section 3. Special meetings of any Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, other than the Board of Supervisors, may be called by the Chairman thereof, and must be called by the said Chairman upon the written request of a majority of the members of said Board or Commission, which said written request must state the purposes for which said meeting is to be called.

Section 4. Notice of said special meetings of said Boards or Commissions, other than the Board of Supervisors, shall be signed by the President or Secretary of said Board or Commission, and shall contain a statement of the purpose or purposes for which said meeting is called, and the time thereof. Said notice shall be personally served on each member of the said Board or Commission or mailed to him by special delivery United States mail, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance thereof, and if notice of said meeting is mailed, the same shall be addressed to said member at his place of residence or business, and in addition to the notice to each member of said Commission, notice thereof to the public shall be given by posting a notice of said meeting upon the door of the office or place of meeting of said Board or Commission, or in a conspicuous place within said office, at least twenty-four (24) hours in advance of said meeting. Personal notice of any special meeting of any Board or Commission, other than said Board of Supervisors, may be waived by any member of said Board or Commission by consenting in writing to the holding of said meeting, provided that notice to the public of said meeting be given as herein provided.

Section 5. No matters shall be considered at any special meeting of the Board of Supervisors or any other Board or Commission provided for by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, except such matters as pertain to the purposes for which said meeting was called, and all of said special meetings shall be held at the regular meeting place of said Board or Commission.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 3.061 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committees was, on motion, *laid over until meeting of November 19, 1934:*

Exempting Master Plumbers from the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in Effect July 1, 1920," by exempting master plumbers.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a) Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, sub-contractor or as a builder,

or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engage in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself as so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and Master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in section 3 (a) of this Ordinance.*

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Improvement of Moraga and Various Other Streets in the Sunset District. (Wherenot.)

(Code No. 12.0611)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 637, Ordinance No. 12.061150, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 4, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following listed streets, where not already improved:

Moraga street between Seventeenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue;
Moraga street between Forty-first avenue and Thirty-second avenue;
Moraga street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue;
Quintara street between Thirty-fifth avenue and Thirty-sixth avenue;

Thirty-fifth avenue between Quintara street and Rivera street;
 Thirty-eighth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street;
 Fortieth avenue between Noriega street and Ortega street;
 Forty-second avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street;
 Forty-fifth avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street;
 Forty-seventh avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street; by
 the construction of the following:

Item No. 1—6-inch vitrified clay pipe side sewers.

Item No. 2—Armored concrete curbs.

Item No. 3—Unarmored concrete curbs.

Item No. 4—6-inch class "E" concrete pavement.

Item No. 5—Asphalt-concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch class "F" concrete base and a 2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

Item No. 6—2-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundary of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lot 1 of Block 1901; Lots 5, 9 and 10 of Block 1902; Lots 24 and 25 of Block 1903; Lots 1, 17, 18 and 19 of Block 2018; Lot 1 of Block 2032; Lot 5 of Block 2077; Lots 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Block 2155; Lot 20 of Block 2183; Lot 8 of Block 2367; Lots 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2378; Lot 9 of Block 2380; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Improvement of Sidewalks on Lombard and Various Other Streets.

(Code No. 12.0611)

Also, Bill No. 638, Ordinance No. 12.061151, as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor, describing and approving the assessment district, and authorizing the Director of Public Works to enter into contract for doing the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Director of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 11, 1934, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Director of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by order of said Director of Public Works, and on file in his office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the time of the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

Lombard street (south one-half) between 192 feet 6 inches and 220 feet east of Steiner street.

Post street (south one-half) between 137 feet 6 inches and 212 feet 6 inches east of Baker street.

O'Farrell street (south one-half) between Laguna street and 100 feet west.

Laguna street (west one-half) between O'Farrell street and 40 feet south.

Folsom street (west one-half) between Sixteenth street and 245 feet north.

Folsom street (east one-half) between 25 feet and 75 feet south of Nineteenth street.

Twentieth street (north one-half) between Harrison street and Treat avenue.

Haight street (north one-half) between 137 feet 6 inches and 162 feet 6 inches west of Divisadero street.

Pacific avenue (south one-half) between 75 feet and 132 feet east of Jones street.

Pacific avenue (north one-half) between Montgomery street and Kearny street.

McAllister street (north one-half) between Hyde street and 165 feet east.

Webster street (west one-half) between 87 feet 6 inches and 175 feet south of Chestnut street; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks of the full official width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks of the full official width are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 150 feet and 225 feet north of Kirkham street.

Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 250 feet and 300 feet north of Judah street.

Forty-seventh avenue (west one-half) between 200 feet and 225 feet north of Irving street; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

And the improvement of Rivera street (south one-half) between Thirty-first avenue and 95 feet west.

Thirty-second avenue (west one-half) between 250 feet and 275 feet north of Rivera street.

Thirty-second avenue (west one-half) between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Santiago street (north one-half) between Eighteenth avenue and 107 feet 6 inches east.

Fifteenth avenue (west one-half) between 100 feet and 125 feet south of Vicente street.

Ralston street (west one-half) between Garfield street and 88 feet south.

Chestnut street (north one-half) between 117 feet 6 inches and 154 feet 9 inches east of Grant avenue.

Le Conte avenue (northeast one-half) between Third street and 112 feet 2 inches southeast.

Twenty-second street (south one-half) between Kansas street and 50 feet west.

Twenty-second street (north one-half) between Vermont street and 25 feet east.

Twenty-second street (south one-half) between 50 feet and 75 feet west of Rhode Island street; by the construction of one-course concrete sidewalks six (6) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width are not already constructed.

And the improvement of Rivoli street (north one-half) between Shrader street and 182 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west; by the construction or reconstruction of one-course concrete sidewalks nine (9) feet in width where concrete or bituminous rock sidewalks are defective or not constructed to the official grade.

The assessment district hereby approved is described as follows:

Within the exterior boundaries of all those certain lots delineated, designated and numbered respectively as: Lot 5 of Block 55; Lots 5 and 10 of Block 163; Lots 22 and 23 of Block 182; Lots 9, 10 and 11 of Block 348; Lots 1A and 2 of Block 492; Lot 29A of Block 510; Lot 1 of Block 723; Lots 24, 25 and 26 of Block 1080; Lot 12 of Block 1237; Lots 8 and 10 of Block 1283; Lot 13 of Block 1703; Lots 7 and 8 of Block 1802; Lots 9 and 10 of Block 1806; Lot 11 of Block 2185; Lots 1, 4 and 15 of Block 2317; Lot 1 of Block 2318; Lots 18, 19, 20 and 21 of Block 2332; Lot 2 of Block 2481; Lots 10 and 11 of Block 3552; Lots 1A and 20 of Block 3593; Lot 37 of Block 4138; Lots 1 and 31 of Block 4157; Lot 65 of Block 4158; Lot 8 of Block 4995; Lot 1 of Block 7003; all being designated on the maps and books of the Assessor of the City and County of San Francisco, and upon the assessment book of the City and County of San Francisco current at the time of the inception of the proceedings for the above mentioned improvement.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Leave of Absence—Dr. Howard M. McKinley, Member Civil Service Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 8, 1934.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of one week, commencing November 13th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1651, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. Howard M. McKinley, member of the Civil Service Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one week, commencing November 13th, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee was *laid over until November 19, 1934*:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), by
Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), entitled
"Imposing Fees for Inspection, Examination and Permits in Certain

Cases, and Authorizing the Department of Public Health to Collect the Same," by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a) For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permit to and making inspections for or at the request of a Master Plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration or repairs, there will be a charge of Fifty Cents (50¢) to which shall be added the following:

	Installed or Replaced
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including, 2 part sink50
For each tray, laundry, including 2 part trays50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal50
For each urinal trough50
For each Grease Interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condenser or steam trap50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25¢) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this Ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this Ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the Ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matters were *passed for second reading*:

**Amending Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series),
"License Ordinance," by Modifying Fees for Parking Stations.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Finance and Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 641, Ordinance No. 3.04137, as follows:

Amending Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by Modifying Fees for Parking Stations.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Sec. 1. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile parking station shall pay a license fee of \$3.00 a quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Modifying Fees for Public Garages.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 642, Ordinance No. 3.04138, as follows:

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by Modifying Fees for Public Garages.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sec. 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining or conducting a public automobile garage for the storage or keep of automobiles or other motor vehicles shall pay a license fee for each such garage as follows:

For a garage with five thousand (5000) square feet or less of floor space, *five dollars* (\$5.00) per quarter.

For a garage with five thousand and one (5001) square feet and less than seventy-five hundred (7500) square feet of floor space, *five and one-half dollars* (\$5.50) per quarter.

For a garage with seventy-five hundred (7500) square feet of floor space or more, *six dollars* (\$6.00) per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Lands for Sunset Reservoir Site.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Finance and Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Resolution No. 1652, as follows:

Whereas, by letter dated Sept. 26, 1934, the Manager of Utilities requested the Mayor to arrange to have Lot 7, Assessor's Block 2110,

San Francisco, transferred to the Public Utilities Commission as part of the Sunset Reservoir site in accordance with the procedure contained in Ordinance No. 12.1751, Bill No. 589, which lot is owned by the City and County of San Francisco and is under the control of the Board of Education, and is more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of 24th Avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Ortega Street; running thence southerly along the westerly line of 24th Avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the easterly line of 25th Avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of 25th Avenue, 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 240 feet to the point of commencement.

Whereas, by letter dated Oct. 2, 1934, the Mayor requested the Director of Property to file a report on the proposed transfer of said lot; and

Whereas, the Director of Property by letter dated Oct. 4, 1934, reported to the Mayor that the estimated value of the lot is \$3,300.00, that there are no improvements on the property, and that the lot can be advantageously used by the Public Utilities Commission for San Francisco Water Department purposes; and

Whereas, in accordance with a request of the Mayor, on October 9, 1934, the Board of Education adopted a resolution consenting to the transfer of said lot to the Public Utilities Commission for the consideration of \$3,300.00; and

Whereas, the Mayor has recommended to this Board that said lot be transferred to the Public Utilities Commission.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That said lot be and is hereby transferred from the Board of Education to the Public Utilities Commission, and that the sum of \$3,300.00 be transferred from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93,905.05 to the Board of Education Reserve for Land Purchases in payment for said land.

Approved by Board of Education October 11, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Purchase of Lands for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1653, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.05:

Anglo California Securities Co., Lot 7, in Assessor's Block 2108 \$	650.00
A. C. Plumb, et ux., Lot 20, in Assessor's Block 2108.....	1,300.00
Elvira K. Saladana, et al., Lot 47, in Assessor's Block 2109....	650.00

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Purchase of Land, at \$3,000, for Realignment of Sloat Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1654, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Francisco Pereira da Silva Neves to the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the realignment of Sloat Boulevard, west of 39th Avenue, and that the sum of 3,000.00 be paid for said land from the $\frac{1}{4}$ cent Gas Tax Fund:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat Boulevard, dis-

tant thereon 325 feet westerly from the westerly line of 39th Avenue (said point of beginning being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat Boulevard, 75 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Florence M. Toye; thence deflecting 89 deg. 58 min. 10 sec. to the right and running northerly along last named line, 88.683 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 110 deg. 17 min. 49 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 3 deg. 10 min. 02 sec., a distance of 79.186 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sol Getz and Sons; thence deflecting 72 deg. 52 min. 13 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last named line, 63.320 feet to said northerly line of Sloat Boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279-A.

Be It Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, the cost of which parcel is included in the above sum of \$3,000.00, that the deed from said Francisco Pereira da Silva Neves to the following parcel be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company, to be held in trust by said Company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the directions of said City and County:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Sloat Boulevard, distant thereon 325 feet westerly from the westerly line of 39th Avenue (said point of commencement being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat Boulevard); thence deflecting 89 deg. 58 min. 10 sec. to the right from said northerly line and running northerly along the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Sol Getz and Sons, 63.320 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing thorthortherly along last named line, 30.688 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward J. Linehan; thence at right angles westerly along last named line, 75 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Florence M. Toye; thence at right angles southerly along last named line, 5.286 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 69 deg. 42 min. 11 sec. to the left from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 3 deg. 10 min. 02 sec., a distance of 79.186 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279-A.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Patto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Purchase of Land, at \$2,000, for Realignment of Sloat Boulevard.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1655, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Florence M. Toye to the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, required for the realignment of Sloat boulevard, west of Thirty-ninth avenue, and that the sum of \$2,000 be paid for said land from the ¼-cent Gas Tax Fund:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-ninth avenue (said point of beginning being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence westerly along said northerly line of Sloat boulevard, 50 feet to the easterly line of the property now or formerly owned by Marie Fanning; thence deflecting 89 deg. 58 min. 10 secs. to the right and running northerly along last-

named line 93.942 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward J. Linehan; thence at right angles easterly along last-named line, 36.05 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 20 deg. 53 min. 43 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 0 deg. 35 min. 54 sec., a distance of 14.959 feet to the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Francisco Pereira de Silva Neves; thence deflecting 69 deg. 42 min. 11 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly along last-named line, 88.683 feet to said northerly line of Sloat boulevard and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279-A.

Be It Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, the cost of which parcel is included in the above sum of \$2,000, that the deed from said Florence M. Tove to the following parcel be taken in the name of the City Title Insurance Company, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the directions of said City and County of San Francisco:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Sloat boulevard, distant thereon 400 feet westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-nine avenue (said point of commencement being 135 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly line of Sloat boulevard); thence deflecting 89 deg. 58 min. 10 sec. to the right from said northerly line and running northerly along the westerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Francisco Pereira de Silva Neves, 88.683 feet to the true point of beginning of this description; thence continuing northerly along last-named line, 5.286 feet to the southerly line of the property now or formerly owned by Edward J. Linehan; thence at right angles westerly along last-named line, 13.95 feet; thence southeasterly on the arc of a curve to the left, whose tangent deflects 159 deg. 06 min. 17 sec. to the left from the preceding course, radius 1432.50 feet, central angle 0 deg. 35 min. 54 sec., a distance of 14.959 feet to the true point of beginning of this description.

Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1279-A.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Also, Resolution No. 1656, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No. 438 to Director of Public Works.....	for \$301.80
No. 439 to J. B. West	for 125.00
No. 440 to J. B. West	for 125.00
No. 441 to Henry Windt	for 113.39
No. 442 to Henry Windt	for 374.42
No. 443 to Henry Windt	for 101.15
No. 444 to Henry Windt	for 71.21
No. 445 to P. F. Reilly	for 650.07
No. 446 to Southern Pacific Company	for 625.00
No. 447 to Russell's Mail Service	for 11.05
No. 448 to Coldwell, Cornwall and Banker	for 44.50

payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved; and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby

authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Refunds of Taxes Paid.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1657, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-1935, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

To Bessie M. McCarthy, per vol. 13, page 163, line 13 of the 1934 unsecured personal property rolls.....	\$2.26
To S. F. Electric & Hardware Co., per page 124, line 17, volume 17, of unsecured personal property rolls.....	6.09

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Reissuing 5—1910 Water Bonds.

(Code No. 15.021)

Also, Resolution No. 1658, as follows:

Whereas, proof having been made to the Board of Supervisors that the First National Bank of Columbus, Wisconsin, was on the 20th day of September, 1926, the owner and holder of five (5) one thousand dollar Water Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco numbered 14379, 14380, 14381, 14382 and 14383, which said bonds were registered with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco in the name of said First National Bank of Columbus, Wisconsin, and the coupons evidencing the interest to become due upon said bonds were detached therefrom by reason of said registration; and

Whereas, all the interest due upon said bonds has been paid and said bonds have now matured; and

Whereas, on the 20th day of September, 1926, said bonds were stolen from said First National Bank of Columbus and since said day have never been heard of or presented for payment, and said bonds are hereby declared to have been lost within the meaning of the act of the legislature of the State of California entitled: "An act to provide for the issuance of duplicates of bonds, warrants and other evidences of indebtedness of counties and municipal and other corporations." Approved June 10, 1933, and the said First National Bank of Columbus having made application to this Board of Supervisors that new bonds be issued similar to the said bonds lost as aforesaid to replace the same.

Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the City and County of San Francisco re-issue said five Water Bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, dated July 1, 1910, each for one thousand dollars, said bonds to be numbered 14379, 14380, 14381, 14382 and 14383, and to be in the words and figures of said original bonds and as set forth in Ordinance No. 995 (New Series), enacted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of December, 1909.

Be It Further Resolved, that the signatures of James Rolph, Jr., as Mayor, and John E. McDougald as Treasurer, and Thomas F. Boyle as Auditor shall be printed or lithographed upon said bonds, and said bonds be countersigned by J. S. Dunnigan as Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Be It Further Resolved, that there be endorsed on each of said bonds

the fact that said bond is issued as a duplicate of the original thereof and that only the said duplicate or the said original shall be paid.

And Be It Further Resolved, that before said bonds are delivered to said First National Bank of Columbus, that said First National Bank of Columbus cause to be executed and delivered a surety bond in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5000.00) indemnifying the City and County of San Francisco against any loss or damage by reason of the issuance of said duplicate bonds or the payment thereof.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Revision of License Ordinances.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1659, as follows:

Whereas, The so-called license ordinances have been found to be obsolete in some instances and some of them have been invalidated by the courts:

Resolved, That the President of the Board is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee to supervise redrafting and amending of license ordinances. The Clerk of the Board is directed to assign Assistant Clerks to collate the data with the Tax Collector, the Controller and City Attorney, for the information of the Special Committee.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Amendment of Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled An Ordinance Regulating the Soliciting of Contributions for Charitable, Patriotic or Philanthropic Purposes in the City and County of San Francisco: Providing Penalties for a Violation Thereof, and Repealing All Ordinances in Conflict Therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations, and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries *by parents and teachers organizations* operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union, labor and/ or *parents and teachers organization* shall file with said Chief of Police a written

notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Section 2. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Harry Thomas, representing San Francisco Congress Parent-Teachers Association; Mrs. A. La Galle, representing Park-Presidio District; Gerald O'Gara, representing Better Business Bureau, were heard on the pending question.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman the foregoing bill was *laid over for further consideration until Monday, November 19, 1934, at 2:30 p. m.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Leave of Absence—Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, to Attend Conference of
Mayors at Chicago.

November 13, 1934.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: I herewith make application to your Honorable Board for permission to leave the State of California upon official business for the City and County of San Francisco, to wit: to attend the Conference of Mayors of the United States to be held in the City of Chicago, commencing November 22nd, 1934; said leave of absence to extend over a period not exceeding thirty days, beginning Sunday, November 18th.

From the enclosed letter, which is a copy of one received by me, you will observe that Mr. Harry L. Hopkins, Federal Relief Administrator, and Joseph E. Keenan, Assistant Attorney General of the United States, and other prominent officials will be in attendance.

As to the importance of the items which will be discussed at the Conference, there is no question. However, it is my judgment that no item which appears on the agenda will exceed in importance the item of relief. Therefore, in consonance with the suggestion contained in the last paragraph of the accompanying letter, I have invited Mr. Florence McAuliffe, Chairman of the Citizens Emergency Relief Committee, to attend this Conference with me.

May I further add that should your Honorable Board feel that one of its members should be present, I would esteem it a privilege to present the one whom you designate to represent you, to the Conference.

Enclosed you will please find an ordinance drafted at my request by the City Attorney, authorizing me to attend the Conference and granting permission to absent myself from the City for a period not to exceed thirty days and providing expenses incident to the trip.

This I trust will meet with your immediate and favorable consideration.

Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Chicago, Ill., October 29, 1934.

Dear Mr. Mayor: I take great pleasure in attaching herewith preliminary program for the Annual Conference of the United States

Conference of Mayors, to be held here in Chicago on November 22-24. I am sure that you will feel, after looking through the program, that this will be the best Conference yet held. Among the outstanding speakers who will be with us are: Harry L. Hopkins, Federal Relief Administrator; Joseph B. Keenan, Assistant Attorney General of the United States; A. A. Berle, City Chamberlain of New York City and Consultant to various federal departments; F. H. LaGuardia, Mayor of New York City; C. A. Dykstra, City Manager of Cincinnati; Daniel W. Hoan, Mayor of Milwaukee; and Professor Charles E. Merriam, distinguished student of city problems. A very interesting symposium on "Police and Industrial Disputes" will be participated in by three mayors who have had recent experiences in this field. There will be every opportunity for discussion of all of the problems considered.

The Congress Hotel has been designated as conference Headquarters and all sessions will be held there. Special reduced rates have been secured which can be found on the last page of the Preliminary Program. Every effort will be made by the hotel management to make your stay pleasant and enjoyable. You should make reservations as soon as possible by writing direct to the Congress Hotel.

The Executive Committee requests that I ask you to bring your department heads and councilmen who may be interested in the specific subjects on the program such as: Relief, Municipal Finance, Police, et cetera.

Faithfully yours,

(Signed) PAUL BETTERS,
Executive Director.

Adopted.

Whereupon the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

* Resolution No. 1660, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That Angelo J. Rossi, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby authorized to absent himself from the State of California, for a period not exceeding thirty days from and after November 18, 1934, for the purpose of attending, upon official business of the City and County of San Francisco, to wit: To attend the Conference of Mayors of the United States, to be held in the City of Chicago, commencing on November 22, 1934. Be it

Further Resolved, That the expenses of the said Mayor, attending said Conference of Mayors be and the same is hereby authorized as a charge against the City and County of San Francisco, and the Controller is hereby directed to draw his warrant against the proper fund in payment of said expenses when the same are presented, in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance 9.0562 and section 219 of the Charter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Revision of Water Rates.

Communication from Public Utilities Commission, resolution providing for reduction in water rates. Supervisor Colman moved that same be referred to the Committee of the Whole, and be made a Special Order of Business for November 19, at 4 p. m., the Board sitting as a Committee of the Whole at that time.

So ordered.

The proposed rate revision follows:

Revision of Water Rates.

Charter Section 130, end of Section, page 69, "*It shall require a two-*

thirds vote of the Board of Supervisors to reject the rate changes as proposed by the Commission, and if so rejected, such proposed changes in schedules of rates, charges, or fares, shall be returned to the Commission for revision. If the Supervisors shall fail to act on any such proposed schedule within thirty (30) days, the schedule shall thereupon become effective."

Public Utilities Commission.

Resolution No. 655, as follows:

Pursuant to notice of intention to revise water rates, heretofore published as is required by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and after public hearings being held in accordance with the aforesaid notice.

Be it Resolved, That the following Schedule of Rates to be charged for water service applying to domestic, commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts be, and the same is hereby adopted:

First—A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

5/8 in. (Standard residential & small commercial service) 70c per month
3/4 in. \$1.10 1 1/2 in. \$2.70 3 in. \$8.65 6 in. \$27.00
1 in. 1.60 2 in. 4.85 4 in. 13.50 8 in. 43.20

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first 3,300 cubic feet.....	25.9 cents per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	22.7 cents per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	19.4 cents per 100

Dock and Shipping Supply

For regularly metered service—"General Use" charges to apply.

For Special Shipping Service—Including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first 1,300 cubic feet.....	\$1.08 per 100
For the next 2,000 cubic feet.....	.259 per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	.227 per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	.194 per 100
Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use	\$2.70.

Builders' and Contractors' Supply

Metered Service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors, "General Use" charges to apply.

Service through fire hydrants and other unmetered services. (The contractor to obtain permission for use of fire hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard, 11.0 cents.

For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose, 11.0 cents.

For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft., 8.6 cents.

For water required for settling earth fills, grading and backfilling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth, 4.3 cents.

For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hr. day, 43.0 cents.

Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler services to which no connection for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San

Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water:

First—A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "General Use" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedule:

Four-inch (4") meter.....	\$ 9.00 per month
Six-inch (6") meter.....	15.00 per month
Eight-inch (8") meter.....	24.00 per month

Public Uses

Fire Hydrants—Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public buildings, parks and other metered service "General Use" charges to apply.

Street Sprinkling and Flushing—Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "General Use" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Be It Further Resolved, That the foregoing Schedule of Rates be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval, and when approved by that Board said rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following action by the Board of Supervisors.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of resolution adopted by the Public Utilities Commission at its meeting held November 5, 1934.

FELTON TAYLOR,
Secretary.

Meeting With San Francisco Legislative Delegation.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that President of the Board, in conjunction with the fiscal officers of the government, and after conference with his Honor, the Mayor, arrange for a meeting with the members of the San Francisco delegation in the State Legislature to consult with them on: (1) The set-up San Francisco will make at Sacramento in this coming session of the Legislature; (2) The issues that may be presented in the Legislature in which San Francisco may be vitally interested.

Motion carried.

Re Attendance of Members at Committee Meetings.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the matter of attendance of members at committee meetings be called to the attention of the President, with the request that the members notify the Chairman of a Committee when they are unable to attend a meeting.

So ordered.

Re Bridge Terminals and Rapid Transit Facilities.

Supervisor Uhl moved that members of the Board call on Mr. Purcell, at his office, Friday, 10:30 a. m., to discuss the matter of bridge terminals and rapid transit facilities.

Motion carried.

Meeting of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee.

Supervisor Brown announced meeting of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee, Friday, 3:30 p. m., to consider the question of ship-building.

Bridge Terminal Hearing.

Supervisor Uhl moved that next Monday, the Board recess to meet Thursday evening, for further hearing on bridge terminals.

Motion carried.

Rapid Transit Service, San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from J. A. McElroy, Chairman, Traffic Committee, Central Council of Civic Clubs, referring to report and resolution of said organization proposing that the proper amount of the money provided for interurban traffic on the transbay bridge be allotted to Municipal Railway, San Francisco, in order to protect the rights of San Francisco in the premises.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Selection of Election Officers.

Communication from C. J. Collins, Registrar of Voters, in reply to query of Supervisor Adolph Uhl, declaring that the general laws of the State of California prescribe the method of appointing Election Officers, and indicating their qualifications, and enclosing blank form giving specification.

Ordered filed.

Standardization of Salaries.

Supervisor Uhl read into the record the following, being the third paragraph of an article published in the Call-Post of Wednesday, November 7, 1934, to-wit: "The way is now cleared, city officials feel, for a proper standardization, not at low depression level wages, but on a basis which may be determined by study of a nonpartisan group. The defeated amendment was opposed because it was hastily drawn and was held by many legal experts to be unconstitutional in many of its provisions."

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 19, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.


Monday, November 19, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
374 Pine Street, S. F.



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 19, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 13, 1934, was considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

The following matter laid over from last meeting was taken up:

Amendment to Charitable Solicitation Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled "An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations, and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries by parents and teachers organizations operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor and/or parents and teachers organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Privilege of the Floor.

Gerald O'Gara, representing the Better Business Bureau; Mrs. Harry Thomas and Mrs. Hammond, representing Parent and Teachers' Second District Congress, were heard at length on the pending question.

Supervisor Havenner's Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Havenner moved to amend as follows:

Strike out lines 8, 9, and 10 to ";," and insert

or to organizations or societies devoted exclusively to the welfare of school children when such organizations or societies operate exclusively in the City and County of San Francisco and are recognized by or affiliated with the respective schools which such children attend or in which they are enrolled.

12th line, strike out words "and/or parent teachers" after "organizations" add words "or societies."

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Supervisor Uhl moved to insert the words "or society" in third line from bottom after the words "teachers organization".

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the foregoing ordinance and the same was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Bacon Street.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1665, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portion of Bacon street.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Super-

visors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Bacon street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Bacon street described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Bacon street described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San

Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Privilege of the Floor.

Edward J. Cahill, representing Public Utilities Commission; James Ricketts, representing Building Trades Council, and Mr. Ziegler, representing property owners, were heard on pending question.

Refused Adoption.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Motion to Reconsider.

Thereupon, before the vote was announced, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and moved for reconsideration at next meeting.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendations of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee were *laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m. next Monday*:

Exempting Master Plumbers From the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by exempting master plumbers*.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a). Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, subcontractor or as a builder, or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engaged in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself ~~as~~ so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series),

shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in Section 3 (a) of this ordinance.*

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), by Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.
(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," *by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permits to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration, there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	<i>Installed or Replaced</i>
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set.....	.50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condensor or steam trap.....	.50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Colman, *laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 2:30 p. m. next Monday:*

Water Rates Recommended by Public Utilities Commission to Be Charged for Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses.

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 1663, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Resolution No. 655 passed by the Public Utilities Commission after due publication of notice of hearings by the said Commission and public hearings having been held in accordance with the said notice of intention to revise water rates, the following schedule of rates for water service applying to domestic, commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission be and the same is hereby adopted:

First—A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

$\frac{3}{4}$ in. (Standard residential & small commercial service) 70c per month			
$\frac{3}{4}$ in.....\$1.10	$1\frac{1}{2}$ in.....\$2.70	3 in.....\$8.65	6 in.....\$27.00
1 in..... 1.60	2 in..... 4.85	4 in.....13.50	8 in..... 43.20

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first 3,300 cubic feet.....	25.9 cents per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	22.7 cents per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	19.4 cents per 100

Dock and Shipping Supply

For regularly metered service—"General Use" charges to apply.

For Special Shipping Service—Including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first 1,300 cubic feet.....	\$1.08 per 100
For the next 2,000 cubic feet.....	.259 per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	.227 per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	.194 per 100
Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use	\$2.70.

Builders' and Contractors' Supply

Metered Service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors, "General Use" charges to apply.

Service through fire hydrants and other unmetered services. (The contractor to obtain permission for use of fire hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard, 11.0 cents.

For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose, 11.0 cents.

For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft., 8.6 cents.

For water required for settling earth fills, grading and backfilling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth, 4.3 cents.

For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hr. day, 43.0 cents.

Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler services to which no connections for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water:

First—A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "General Use" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedule:

Four-inch (4") meter.....	\$ 9.00 per month
Six-inch (6") meter.....	15.00 per month
Eight-inch (8") meter.....	24.00 per month

Public Uses

Fire Hydrants—Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public buildings, parks and other metered service "General Use" charges to apply.

Street Sprinkling and Flushing—Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "General Use" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Further Resolved, That the foregoing schedule of rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following the adoption of this resolution.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$5,690, Emergency Reserve, for Art Museum in Veterans' Building.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 640, Ordinance No. 9.051150, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$5,690 out of Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1934-1935, for the cost of operating and maintaining the fourth floor of the Veterans' Building as an Art Museum; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and said sum of \$5,690 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve when and if the receipts should accrue over and above the estimate of \$25,000 as recorded in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1934-1935.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$5,690 is hereby set aside out of Appropriation 2,900.00 (Emergency Reserve), Fiscal Year 1934-1935, for the cost of operating and maintaining the fourth floor of the Veterans' Building as an Art Museum; said appropriation to be considered as a loan for the purpose mentioned and said sum of \$5,690 to be returned to said Emergency Reserve when and if the receipts should accrue over and above the estimate of \$25,000 as recorded in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance for 1934-1935.

Ayes—Supervisor Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

No—Supervisor Uhl—1.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Amending Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Modifying the License Fee for Special Branches of Automobile Repairing.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 645, Ordinance No. 3.04140, as follows:

Amending Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *by modifying the license fee for special branches of automobile repairing.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 75. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of automobile repairing and limiting the service of any one of the following branches of repair work shall pay a license fee as follows:

For repairing, remodeling or rebuilding bodies of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing the electric equipment of used automobiles and other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries in used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing any of the metal parts of used automobiles or other motor vehicles (except fenders, radiators or windshields), two (2) dollars per quarter.

For repairing or retrimming used automobiles or other motor vehicles two (2) dollars per quarter.

For repairing fenders, radiators or windshields of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing automobile brakes two (2) dollars per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Purchase of Land for Sunset Reservoir—\$650.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1661, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Bertram G. Sibsey and Florette Sibsey to Lot 12 in Assessor's Block 2108, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir and that the sum of \$650 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.05.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Refunds of Amounts Paid for Taxes in Duplicate, Etc.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1662, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-935, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of excess amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

- (1) To Dr. W. H. Atchinson, duplicate payment, per Vol. 11, page 5, line 11, of Unsecured Personal Property Rolls for 1934.....\$ 5.75
- (2) To Geo. Althoen, Jr., erroneous assessment, per Vol. 1, page 17, line 18, 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 14.94
- (3) To Harriet L. Allan, duplicate payment, per Vol. 14, page 10, line 18, 1933 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 2.96
- (4) To Wesley E. Becker, duplicate payment, per Vol. 14, page 45, line 24, 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls..... 1.39
- (5) To John C. Campbell, duplicate payment, per Vol. 14, page 147, Lot 19, Block 1843, 1934-1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 9.57
- (6) To G. L. Hulse, duplicate payment, per Vol. 10, page 15, Lot 30, Block 1440, 1934-1935 Real Estate Rolls..... 8.54
- (7) To Sarah Morgan, erroneous assessment, per Vol. 16, page 14, line 5, of Uncollected Unsecured Personal Property Rolls, 1934 3.48

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was *finally passed*:

Appropriation of \$92,153.81 for Care of Indigent Sick and Dependent Poor—November, 1934. Declaring Existence of an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 646, Ordinance No. 9.05151, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$92,153.81 out of Appropriation 26.804.00-1, as provided by Resolution No. 1531, for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$92,153.81 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation 26.804.00-1 (as provided by Resolution No. 1531), for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco during the month of November, 1934.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the care and maintenance of the

indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of caring for and maintaining the indigent sick and dependent poor of the City and County of San Francisco have been expended, and that there is no money available at the present time for this purpose, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the preservation of the lives and health of a large number of the citizens of the City and County.

(Request of Emergency Relief Committee of San Francisco, F. M. McAuliffe, Chairman.)

Approved as to funds by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bills were *passed for second reading*:

Appropriating \$14,000 From "Emergency Reserve" Fund to the Department of Elections.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 647, Ordinance No. 9.05152, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$14,000 from Appropriation 2,900.00 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of "Contractual Service," Department of Elections, Fiscal Year 1934-35, for the expense of the holding of election of November 6, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$14,000 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation 2,900.00 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of Appropriation 29,200.00 ("Contractual Service, Department of Elections"), for expense of the holding of election, November 6, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Amendment to Salary Ordinance—Purchasing Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

Also, Bill No. 648, Ordinance No. 9.05365, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05360 by changing the rate of pay under item 38 thereof from a daily rate to the equivalent monthly rate.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Interdepartmental Service.

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	J66	Garageman	\$ 150
39	1	M108	Blacksmith, \$8 per day.....	
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$9 per day.....	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day.....	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batterymen-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop	300
50	22	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
51	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day	
52	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	
53	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
54	6	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
55	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$6.58 per day..	
56	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day.....	
57	3	M252	Machinist Helper, \$6.58 per day.....	
58	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
59	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day.....	
60	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
61	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, \$6.50 per day....	

Approved by Purchaser of Supplies, the Mayor and the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Participation of Pacific Coast Shipyards in Federal Naval Construction Program.

(Code No. 5.2)

The following recommendation of Commercial and Industrial Development Committee was taken up:

Resolution No. 1663, as follows:

Whereas, announcement has been made of a Federal naval ship-building program of 24 war ships at a cost of \$120,000,000; and

Whereas, the fact that militates against the Pacific Coast obtaining a just proportion of this work is that none of the security companies here are large enough to give the amount of bond required by the Federal Government on these large contracts; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that the Government might be prevailed upon to modify its rule so that bonds in suitable amount might be provided by private bondsmen or by some other suitable means of security; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be directed to communicate with the California Congressmen and Senators, requesting that they seek to cooperation of the Congressmen and Senators of the States of Washington and Oregon looking to the furthering of this idea of modification of the rule relative to bonds to be furnished and the securing for the Pacific Coast shipyards of their proper quota of the war ships to be built under the new naval program.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend as follows:

Further Resolved, That the influence of Richard J. Welch, Representative of the Fifth District of the State of California, Florence P. Kahn, Representative of the Fourth District of the State of California, and John J. McGrath, Representative of the Eighth District of the State of California, be sought to the end that a conference of all the Pacific

Coast Representatives in Congress shall be held to discuss the matter of shipbuilding on the Pacific Coast.

Amendment *carried*.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, and in words and figures following, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Participation of Pacific Coast Shipyards in Federal Naval Construction Program.

(Code No. 5.2)

Resolution No. 1664, as follows:

Whereas, announcement has been made of a Federal Naval Shipbuilding Program of 24 war ships at a cost of \$120,000,000; and

Whereas, the fact that militates against the Pacific Coast obtaining a just proportion of this work is that none of the security companies here are large enough to give the amount of bond required by the Federal Government on these large contracts; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that the government might be prevailed upon to modify its rule so that bonds in suitable amount might be provided by private bondsmen or some other suitable means of security; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be directed to communicate with the Senators and Representatives of the State of California, requesting that they seek the cooperation of the Senators and Representatives of the States of Washington and Oregon, looking to the furthering of this idea of modification of the rule relative to bonds to be furnished and the securing for the Pacific Coast shipyards of their proper quota of the war ships to be built under the new naval program; be it

Further Resolved, That the influence of Richard J. Welch, Representative of the Fifth District of the State of California, Florence P. Kahn, Representative of the Fourth District of the State of California, and John J. McGrath, Representative of the Eighth District of the State of California, be sought to the end that a conference of all the Pacific Coast Representatives in Congress shall be held to discuss the matter of shipbuilding on the Pacific Coast.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and *finally passed*:

Appropriation of \$529,549.82 for Psychopathic-Cancer Building Construction.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 650, Ordinance No. 9.051154, as follows:

Authorizing an appropriation of \$529,549.82 out of the Hospital Bond Issue of 1929, being the balance unappropriated, for the construction of the Psychopathic-Cancer Building in block bounded by Potrero, San Bruno avenues and Twenty-second street, City and County of San Francisco; and declaring an emergency exists.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the approval of the Director of Public Works, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Controller and the Mayor, the sum

of \$529,549.82 is hereby set aside from the Hospital Bond Issue of 1929, being the unappropriated balance in the fund, for the construction of the Psychopathic-Cancer Building in block bounded by Potrero, San Bruno avenues and Twenty-second street, City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This said appropriation is made as an emergency for the protection of the public health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco, and this ordinance passed as an emergency for the reason that the same must become effective forthwith, and the Board of Supervisors does by the vote by which this ordinance is passed declare that an actual emergency exists—the nature of said emergency being, that the appropriation herein made is necessary to be expended forthwith for the protection of the health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1666, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, beginning November 25th, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

In Memoriam: Brother Agnon.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 1667, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called to his reward a distinguished Californian and noted and prominent educator in the person of Brother Agnon of Saint Mary's College; and

Whereas, for almost fifty years Brother Agnon has been professor to the youth of San Francisco and the bay region, and thousands of friends mourn his passing; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby publicly express its sorrow at the passing of Brother Agnon of Saint Mary's College; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board be directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to the Chancellor of Saint Mary's College.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Financing of Approaches to Golden Gate Bridge.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1668, as follows:

Whereas, the Redwood Empire Association, Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and many public organizations, civic bodies and political subdivisions throughout the nine Redwood Empire Counties, have urged the Director of Public Works and the California Highway Commission to finance the construction of the Marin and San Francisco approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge; and

Whereas, authoritative reports of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District definitely indicate that the Golden Gate Bridge will be completed and ready for traffic not later than May 1, 1937, thereby making a commitment of funds in the next biennium necessary in

order to bring about the desired financing of these approaches; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby respectfully request the Director of Public Works and the California Highway Commission to include in their budget for the coming biennium sufficient moneys to finance the San Francisco and Marin approaches to the Golden Gate Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to transmit copy of this resolution to the Director of Public Works and the California Highway Commission.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Requesting Highway Commission to Connect Shoreline Highway With Bridgehead of the Golden Gate Bridge.

(Code No. 12.111)

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 1669, as follows:

Whereas, the State of California has recently taken into its secondary system of State highways the greater part of the Shoreline Highway in the Redwood Empire; and

Whereas, the completion of the said Shoreline Highway from the bridgehead at the Golden Gate Bridge to its ultimate junction with the Redwood Highway at Fernbridge, is an indispensable requisite to the full and proper development of the Redwood Empire; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco does hereby earnestly urge upon the Highway Commission of the State of California the early connection of the Shoreline Highway with the bridgehead of the Golden Gate Bridge, and the early taking over into the secondary system of such portions of said highway as have been omitted, to the end that said highway may be ultimately completed from the bridgehead to its northern junction with the Redwood Highway at Fernbridge; be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco urges upon the State Legislature the completion of the program outlined herein as one of the major projects necessary to the full development of the Redwood Empire.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Reduction of Water Rates Requested.

Communication from Eugene N. Fritz, president, Apartment House Owners' and Managers' Association of San Francisco, advising that said association at its meeting November 13, 1934, unanimously voted to request a 25 per cent reduction in water rates.

Ordered *filed*.

Also, communication from North Central Improvement Association, opposing a general cut of 10 per cent in water rates until alleged unfair discrimination in specific water rates has been worked out.

Ordered *filed*.

Proposed Issuance of Scrip for Construction of a New Incinerator.

Communication from W. B. Baker, secretary, Knights of Civilization, suggesting, as a means of settling the garbage disposal controversy, that \$800,000 worth of revenue certificates (Baby Bonds, noninterest bearing), making them negotiable, i. e., acceptable in payment of all

debts, accounts, taxes, etc.; providing for their redemption at certain periods out of the income of the project; printed in denominations of \$5, \$10, \$20 and \$100, and paid out by the City for wages, materials, supplies, etc.

Referred to Finance and Health Committee.

Revision or Elimination of Hotel Licenses.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from California Northern Hotel Association, requesting an immediate downward revision or elimination of all licenses based on gross revenue such as that which is now being charged to all hotels in San Francisco in accordance with Section 69 of the License Ordinance. Also, urging the elimination of other license charges which are at present collected from hotels for the operation of their various departments.

Referred to Finance Committee.

County Roads Recommended for Inclusion in the Secondary State Highway System by the 1935 Legislature.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following memorandum from Clyde Edmondson, secretary of the Redwood Highway Association, of recommendations for County Roads to be included in the Secondary State Highway System by the 1935 Legislature, with the request that the matter be referred to the Streets Committee with instructions to consult with the City Engineer and Department of Public Works interested and that the Redwood Empire Association be so advised.

Recommended feeder to Routes 2 and 68:

	<i>Length</i>
San Jose avenue widening, Army street to Bernal avenue....	.53 mile
Army street widening, Van Ness avenue South to San Jose avenue30 mile
Army street widening, Van Ness avenue South to Bryant street	.60 mile
Clipper street widening and extension connecting Twenty-sixth street with Portola drive, forming a connection to Army street.	

Referred to Streets Committee with instructions to consult with City Engineer and Department of Public Works and that Redwood Empire Association be so advised.

Protest Against Construction of Psychopathic-Cancer Building.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from Mr. H. W. Gaetjen, San Francisco Planing Mill Owners' Association, protesting the appropriation for the construction of Psychopathic-Cancer Building for the reason that contractors who have submitted unit prices on millwork have not carried out the intent and language of Subdivision 1 of Ordinance No. 9,0923, Bill 556, Code No. 9.092.

Referred to Mr. Leavy for report.

Rapid Transit Survey.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

"Telegram.

"San Rafael, Calif., Nov. 19, 1934.

"Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

"Public Utilities Commission asking twenty-five thousand dollars for survey rapid transit facilities today. Would suggest consideration be given route and terminal connections of rapid transit from Marin over Golden Gate Bridge now contemplated.

*"MARVELOUS MARIN INC.,
"J. C. STROUSS, Manager."*

Appropriation of \$25,000 for Study of Rapid Transit in San Francisco.

Communication from Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor, recommending an appropriation of \$25,000 as suggested in resolution of Public Utilities Committee and under conditions outlined by the Controller, be allowed to cover cost of study and report by the Utilities Commission upon terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco Bay and on the Peninsula connecting with San Francisco Trans-Bay Bridge.

Referred to Joint Utilities and Finance Committee.

Closing of Bacon Street Recommended.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting copy of resolution from said organization urging the Board of Supervisors to take immediate steps for the final closing of Bacon street for the necessary distance to accomplish complete enlargement of University Mound Reservoir in order that employment may be afforded citizens of San Francisco.

Appointment of James B. McSheehy as Acting Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

"MAYOR'S OFFICE

"200 City Hall

"November 19, 1934.

"To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

"Gentlemen: In accordance with Section 25 of the Charter, I am appointing Supervisor James B. McSheehy, President of your Honorable Board, to act as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco during my absence therefrom.

"I am leaving this evening on the Overland Limited to attend the United States Mayors' Conference at Chicago, and expect to be absent for a period not exceeding fifteen days.

"Respectfully,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor."

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 7 p. m., took a recess until Thursday evening at 8 p. m., for a public hearing on terminals in San Francisco for transbay bridge.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 26, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, November 26, 1934

Friday, November 30, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 26, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 19, 1934, was considered read and approved subject to the following amendment:

Correction of Journal, November 19, 1934.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to correct the Journal of November 19, 1934, relative to matter of County Roads recommended for inclusion in the highway system. He said, "Record should read that the matter was referred to the Streets Committee to confer with the City Engineer, and if this is the plan approved by the Board of Supervisors that they have power, and that they be granted power to so advise the Redwood Empire Association."

So ordered.

Vote Cast, General Election, November 6, 1934; Registrar of Voters to Transmit to Secretary of State Statement of Votes; Approving Statement of Votes, Record Book.

(Code No. 3.02)

A statement of the votes cast at the general election of November 6, 1934, was presented and read by the Clerk.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 1670, as follows:

Resolved, That at the general election held November 6, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the whole number of votes cast in the 1054 precincts was 230,129;

Resolved, That the Registrar of Voters be directed to transmit to the Secretary of State a certified copy of the statement of votes of general election held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, November 6, 1934;

Resolved, That the record book marked "A N," statement of votes polled at general election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 6, 1934, be and the same is hereby constituted the record of the official canvass of the general election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on Tuesday, November 6, 1934, and that the statement shows the whole number of votes cast in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, State of California, and in each voting precinct therein, the names of the persons voted for, the number of votes given in each voting precinct to each of such persons, and the total number of votes given in the City and County of San Francisco to each of such persons; also such statement shows the charter amendments and propositions to amend the Constitution of the State of California voted on and number of votes given for and against such amendments and propositions in each voting precinct.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:15 P. M.

Withdrawn.

The following motion made by Supervisor Uhl in Committee of the Whole, Thursday evening, November 22, 1934, at meeting re rapid transit development in San Francisco was *withdrawn*, to-wit:

Trans-Bay Bridge Terminals.

"In order to protect the City and County of San Francisco against a monopoly through the granting of a franchise to a private corporation or corporations covering interurban traffic over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, it is desirable to ascertain whether or not a provision can be incorporated in any franchise that may be granted by the State Toll Bridge Authority to a corporation or corporations operating interurban trains over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge whereby said franchise can be cancelled upon an equitable basis. Therefore, the City Attorney is hereby instructed to attend all meetings of the State Railroad Commission or the Toll Bridge Authority when the matter of franchise covering the use of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is under consideration in order that the best interests of San Francisco shall be protected against the possibility of monopoly."

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled in Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering proposed revision of water rates. All members heretofore noted being present. Supervisor McSheehy in the Chair.

Water Rates Recommended by Public Utilities Commission to Be Charged for Domestic, Commercial, Industrial and General Uses.

(Code No. 15.022)

The following resolution was taken up:

Resolution No. 1663, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Resolution No. 655, passed by the Public Utilities Commission after due publication of notice of hearings by the said Commission and public hearings having been held in accordance with the said notice of intention to revise water rates, the following schedule of rates for water service applying to domestic, commercial, industrial and general uses in San Francisco and suburban districts, as recommended by the Public Utilities Commission, be and the same is hereby adopted:

First—A monthly service charge based on the sizes of meters. On batteries of meters the charge is to be based on the size of single meter of equivalent capacity.

5/8 in. (Standard residential & small commercial service)	70c per month
3/4 in. \$1.10	1 1/2 in. \$2.70
1 in. 1.60	2 in. 4.85
	3 in. \$8.65
	4 in. 13.50
	6 in. \$27.00
	8 in. 43.20

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings:

For the first 3,300 cubic feet.....	25.9 cents per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	22.7 cents per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	19.4 cents per 100

Dock and Shipping Supply

For regularly metered service—"General Use" charges to apply.

For Special Shipping Service—Including hose cart and other special service from open docks through common hydrants where delivery is not through a service and meter for which the taker is responsible.

For the first 1,300 cubic feet.....	\$1.08 per 100
For the next 2,000 cubic feet.....	.259 per 100
For the next 30,000 cubic feet.....	.227 per 100
For all over 33,300 cubic feet.....	.194 per 100
Minimum charge for each delivery, trip or monthly use	\$2.70.

Builders' and Contractors' Supply

Metered Service—Through permanently metered services or through temporary services, the cost of which temporary service installation is to be paid by the contractors, "General Use" charges to apply.

Service through fire hydrants and other unmetered services. (The contractor to obtain permission for use of fire hydrants from the Board of Fire Commissioners.)

For water required for concrete, brick, rubble or other masonry construction, per cubic yard, 11.0 cents.

For water required for each barrel of cement or lime for any purpose, 11.0 cents.

For water required for grading streets, including water used by steam rollers, per 100 sq. ft., 8.6 cents.

For water required for settling earth fills, grading and backfilling trenches, including water required by steam roller, per cubic yard of earth, 4.3 cents.

For water required for small steam or gas engine used on construction work, per 8-hr. day, 43.0 cents.

Automatic Fire Sprinkler Service

Applicable only to straight automatic fire sprinkler services to which no connection for other purposes are allowed and which are regularly inspected by the underwriters having jurisdiction and are connected to an alarm system and installed according to specifications of the San Francisco Water Department and are maintained to the satisfaction of said Department and are protected against theft, leakage, or waste of water:

First—A monthly service charge on all sizes of meters, \$3 per month.

Second—For water delivered, based on monthly readings, "General Use" charges to apply.

The above schedule for sprinkler service applies only to installations in San Francisco which were made subsequent to October 26, 1932, or installations made prior to said date, when the consumer shall have paid for a period of three years or more a monthly service charge on the following schedule:

Four-inch (4") meter.....	\$ 9.00 per month
Six-inch (6") meter.....	15.00 per month
Eight-inch (8") meter.....	24.00 per month

Public Uses

Fire Hydrants—Monthly charges for each municipal fire hydrant attached to the system of the San Francisco Water Department, \$3.

Public buildings, parks and other metered service "General Use" charges to apply.

Street Sprinkling and Flushing—Quantities to be computed from records of tank wagons. All such water to be taken as one amount

although drawn from various hydrants and charged for at "General Use" rates. No service charge is to be applied.

Further Resolved, That the foregoing schedule of rates shall go into effect commencing the first day of the month following the adoption of this resolution.

Privilege of the Floor.

Geo. Fitch, representing the Chamber of Commerce, F. C. Hutchins, E. C. Leighton, representing Apartment House Owners; Edwin Easton, representing North Central Improvement Association; Edw. Vandeleur, president San Francisco Labor Council; Arthur Warren, taxpayer, were heard at length on the pending question.

Adopted.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Colman, the foregoing resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sale of \$175,000 "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933," and \$58,000 "Sewer Bonds, 1933."

Sealed bids for the purchase of certain bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to be received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, November 26, 1934, and will be opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

One hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars (\$175,000) "Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933." Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 175 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934; and

Fifty-eight thousand dollars (\$58,000) "Sewer Bonds, 1933." Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 58 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934.

The right is reserved by the Board of Supervisors to reject any and all bids.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

All proposals for the purchase of said bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, for a like amount, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of \$10,000 and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him, if his bid is accepted.

The approval of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys, New York, as to the legality of these bonds will be furnished to the successful bidder without cost.

This notice is given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted October 29, 1934.

Bid.

A bid of Bankamerica Company of par and accrued interest to date of delivery was received and *referred to the Finance Committee*.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Sale of Bonds—\$175,000 Hetch Hetchy Dam, 1933; \$58,000 Sewer, 1933.

(Code No. 12.122)

(Code No. 15.022)

Resolution No. 1675, as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$175,000.

Sewer Bonds, 1933, to the amount of \$58,000.

Whereas, one bid was received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Bankamerica Company for said Hetch Hetchy Dam Bonds, 1933, comprising 175 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1934; and Sewer Bonds, 1933, comprising 58 bonds of \$1,000 each, maturing December 1, 1934, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankamerica Company for the price bid therefor, to-wit: \$233,000 par, and accrued interest to the date of delivery.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2:30 p. m.*:

Cost of Underground Installation and Street Lighting.

Consideration of the matter of the statement of Edw. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, relative to the cost of underground installation and energy and maintenance cost of street lighting system.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 3:30 p. m.*:

Relief Situation.

Motion of Supervisor Uhl requesting Mr. F. M. McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis to appear before the Board of Supervisors and give a summary of the relief situation referring to the indigent poor.

SPECIAL ORDERS—4 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendations of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee was *laid over one week and made a Special Orders for 3 p. m.*:

Exempting Master Plumbers From the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by exempting master plumbers.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 32 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a). Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, subcontractor or as a builder, or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engaged in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself as so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance 5132 (New Series), shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in Section 3 (a) of this ordinance.*

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), by Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," by *establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permits to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration, there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	<i>Installed or Replaced</i>
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50

For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set.....	.50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. L.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condensor or steam trap.....	.50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

Passed for Second Reading.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land on the North Line of Sacramento Street, East of Drumm Street, San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1726)

Bill No. 652, Ordinance No. 12.17265, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land on the north line of Sacramento street, east of Drumm street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Fire Department:

Beginning at a point on the north line of Sacramento street, distant thereon 155 feet easterly from the east line of Drumm street, running thence easterly along the north line of Sacramento street 20 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 59 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 20 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 59 feet 9 inches to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five days, before the date of sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Fire Department the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER.

Supervisor Colman on November 19, 1934, moved for a reconsideration of the vote whereby the following resolution was defeated, to-wit:

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Bacon Street.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1665, as follows:

Closing and abandoning portion of Bacon street.

Whereas, on the 20th day of August, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.061, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 21st day of August, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon Streets.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1535, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets, more particularly described as follows, to-wit: Wayland street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street; Colby street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey; Dartmouth street from the south line of Bacon street to the north line of Woolsey street, and Bacon street from the east line of University avenue to the west line of Bowdoin street.

Said closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to transmit to the Department of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Department of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, August 20, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisors Roncovieri, Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, August 21, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that portions of Wayland, Colby, Dartmouth and Bacon streets described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered, that the portion of Bacon street as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of Bacon street described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Bacon street described in Resolution No. 1535, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

November 19, 1934—Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Motion Carried.

The roll was called on Supervisor Colman's motion to reconsider and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

Adopted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman moved the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—5.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series),
"License Ordinance," by Modifying Fees for Parking Stations.**

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 641, Ordinance No. 3.04137, as follows:

Amending Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by Modifying Fees for Parking Stations.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Sec. 1. Section 19-A of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 19-A. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining, conducting or operating an automobile parking station shall pay a license fee of \$3.00 a quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Modifying Fees for Public Garages.

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 642, Ordinance No. 3.04138, as follows:

Amending Section 16 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," *by Modifying Fees for Public Garages.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Sec. 1. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of maintaining or conducting a public automobile garage for the storage or keep of automobiles or other motor vehicles shall pay a license fee for each such garage as follows:

For a garage with five thousand (5000) square feet or less of floor space, *five dollars* (\$5.00) per quarter.

For a garage with five thousand and one (5001) square feet and less than seventy-five hundred (7500) square feet of floor space, *five and one-half dollars* (\$5.50) per quarter.

For a garage with seventy-five hundred (7500) square feet of floor space or more, *six dollars* (\$6.00) per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

**Amending Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), by
Modifying the License Fee for General Automobile Repairing.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Also, Bill No. 644, Ordinance No. 3.04139, as follows:

Amending Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series) as amended by Ordinances numbered 5191 (New Series), 5238 (New Series) and 6780 (New Series), entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments Within the City and

County of San Francisco, in effect July 1, 1920," by modifying the license fee for general automobile repairing.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 17 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinances numbered 5191 (New Series), 5238 (New Series), and 6780 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 17. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of general automobile repairing shall pay a license fee of *Twelve Dollars (\$12) per year.*

Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of general automobile repairing, and selling gasoline, shall pay a license fee of Twenty-Four Dollars (\$24) a year.

General automobile repairing within the meaning of this section shall mean service rendered in any two or more of the following branches of automobile repair work, to-wit: Repairing, rebuilding or remodeling of the bodies of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; installing or repairing the electric equipment in used automobiles or other motor vehicles; trimming or repainting of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; repairing the fenders, radiators or windshields of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; installing, adjusting or repairing any of the metal parts of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, or recharging or repairing the batteries of used automobiles or other motor vehicles; vulcanizing of tires or tubes of used automobiles or other motor vehicles.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Street Work on Unaccepted Streets.

(Code No. 12.021)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Bill No. 651, Ordinance No. 12.0212, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Public Works to grant permission for performance of street work in or upon unaccepted public streets in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract; regulating the manner in which such work shall be done; prescribing the conditions and requirements essential to the obtaining of such permission; and repealing Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Application for permission to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract must be made in writing to the Director of Public Works, which application shall contain a comprehensive description of the work to be done. Said Director shall thereupon investigate such application, and if after investigation the Director determines that the public interest or convenience requires the doing of the proposed work and that the same is expedient and will not be productive of detriment to the public safety or convenience, he is hereby authorized to grant permission for the doing of the same as applied for or as modified by the direction of the City Engineer, subject to the conditions and provisions in this ordinance hereinafter prescribed and provided.

Section 2. No permission for the doing of any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San

Francisco, except in the case of main sewer construction, or the improvement of a street crossing or intersection as hereinafter provided for, shall be granted in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, unless the owners of all of the improvable frontage on a block of the street whereon or wherein such work is proposed to be done, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into a written contract for the doing thereof, then and in such case said Director may grant permission for the making of same; *provided, however, that if the applicant for a permit to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street shall obtain contracts for the doing of said work from the owners, or authorized agents of the owners, of 60 per cent or more of the frontage upon a street, between main intersections, proposed to be improved, as delineated upon a diagram accompanying the application, then the Director of Public Works shall, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the application, accompanied by said contracts, or photostatic copies thereof, institute public proceedings, in accordance with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, for the improvement of the portion or portions, between said intersections, of the street proposed to be improved, for which applicant files no contract or contracts. If the order of the Director of Public Works requiring the improvement of the portion or portions of the work not included in the private contract or contracts, be sustained by the Board of Supervisors, then the Director of Public Works shall issue a permit for the doing of the portion or portions of the work privately contracted for and the Director of Public Works shall at the same time call for bids for the construction of the portion or portions ordered done under public proceedings. Any contract herein authorized shall include provision for all necessary underground service facilities.*

Where the construction of a main sewer is deemed by the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer to be necessary in any block proposed to be improved by private contract, then and in such case no work, except grading, involving the construction of a pavement on such block, shall be permitted to be done until such main sewer shall have been constructed with side sewers and other appurtenances as in this section hereinafter provided for and regulated.

Where a main sewer has already been constructed in a block and side sewers and other appurtenances to such main sewer are deemed necessary by the said Director and City Engineer, the construction of the same shall be conditioned for in the private contract in this ordinance referred to.

In the case of the construction of a main sewer in any block, no permission for the construction of the same by private contract shall be granted unless such contract is signed and conditioned for the construction of such sewer for its entire serviceable length between the main street crossings, or main street intersections, as may be determined by the City Engineer, with side sewers and other expedient and essential appurtenances as may be required by the City Engineer, under such regulations as may be prescribed by him, and approved by the Director of Public Works.

The provisions of Ordinance No. 5923 (New Series) regulating the construction, reconstruction or repair of private side sewers or drains and the connection thereof with main public sewers, approved June 28, 1923, shall not be deemed applicable to the construction of side sewers by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Permission for the improvement of a public street crossing or intersection shall not be granted unless the owners of at least a majority of the frontage of the lots and lands liable for the cost thereof, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into contract therefor, such frontage being determinable according to method provided in the Improvement Act of 1911 of the State of California, as said act provides at the time of adoption of this ordinance, for determining the frontage liable for the improvement of street crossings or intersections.

Section 3. Two original contracts, or two photostatic copies of the

original contract, for the doing of any proposed street work pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall accompany the application for permission to do the proposed work together with a diagram showing thereon the lots and lands signed for by the respective owners thereof, or by their agents, as indicated in such contract and the respective frontages so signed for; and to such contracts accompanying such application there shall be attached affidavits sworn to before a notary public that the signatures of said owners or their agents respectively appearing in such contracts, are genuine, and were to the actual knowledge of affiant subscribed by said owners or said agents, respectively, and that the frontage set opposite the said signatures, severally, is correct according to affiant's best information and belief.

Section 4. The work proposed to be done under such private contract must be of a class or type approved and recommended by the City Engineer. Such work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works and the materials to be used therein must be in accordance with specifications adopted by the Director of Public Works for similar work, and be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

The Director of Public Works shall fix the time within which the work shall be completed, which time shall begin to run from the date of the order of the said Director granting the permission for the doing of the same.

When the work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Director of Public Works, the said Director shall so declare by order, and thereupon deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 5. In case the work to be done by private contract, as hereinbefore provided for, shall not have been completed within the time limited in the order of permission or within such extended time as may be granted by the Director of Public Works, then said Director shall by order revoke the permission theretofore granted for doing such work.

Section 6. No permission for doing any street work by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall become effective until the contractor covenanting to perform the same shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco, and delivered to the Secretary of the Department of Public Works a bond in such amount as may have been fixed in the order of the said Director, granting such permission, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of the contract, or shall have deposited with the said Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for the said amount as a guaranty for such performance. Before entering upon the performance of any work in this ordinance provided for, the contractor covenanting to do such work shall also file with the Director of Public Works a bond, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, to be satisfactory in all respects to said Director, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable by the terms of the contract, conditioned for the payment of all materialmen and employees under the contract. In lieu of such bonds or certified check, any contractor may deliver to said Secretary a bond in the sum of \$25,000, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, conditioned for faithful performance of any and all private contracts authorized to be performed by him in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, and for the payment of all materialmen and employees under such contracts. Such last-mentioned bond must be satisfactory in all respects to said Director and shall be renewed annually.

No assignment or transfer of a contract authorized or provided for in this ordinance, or of any rights thereunder, shall operate to relieve the surety or sureties on any bond executed in connection with such contract, as herein provided for, from the obligations or liabilities assumed in and by such bond, nor change or in any manner or degree

qualify such obligations or liabilities. [All such assignments or transfers of contracts must be recorded in the County Recorder's office and due notice thereof given to the Director of Public Works.]

Section 7. The Director of Public Works may institute such inquiry as he deems proper for the purpose of determining the authenticity of the signatures appearing on a private contract, or the authority of the parties thereto to sign same.

Section 8. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the Director of Public Works from granting permission to an individual owner or his duly authorized agent to improve a public street in front of his property, if in the judgment of the City Engineer and the said Director such improvement be deemed advisable and expedient, and the public interest or convenience requires the same.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall not be deemed in any way to affect any of the matters provided for in the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of the City and County of San Francisco for the improvement of streets by public contract and assessment of the cost thereof against private property.

Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), and all ordinances amendatory thereof, and all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to private contracts for street improvements are hereby repealed. This ordinance, however, shall not in any manner be held to affect any private contract heretofore in force and effect in pursuance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, which provisions shall be deemed applicable until the completion of every such contract.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Hester Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0622)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1671, as follows:

Whereas, on the 1st day of October, 1934, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621, which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 3rd day of October, 1934, said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Closing a Portion of Hester Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0621)

Resolution No. 1587, as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that the certain following described portion of Hester avenue be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Hester avenue more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard with the northerly line of Hester avenue (formerly San Bruno avenue, dedicated by Resolution No. 20291 (New Series), which point of commencement is distant 45.532 feet southerly, measured along said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard, from the point of tangency with that certain curve of 12-foot radius joining said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard with the southwesterly line of Hester avenue; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard 80 feet; thence at right angles easterly 71.34 feet;

thence on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, radius 107.96 feet, central angle 43 degrees 50 minutes, a distance of 82.593 feet; thence continuing on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 399.05 feet, central angle 7 degrees 44 minutes 39 seconds, a distance of 53.936 feet to tangency with the southwesterly line of Hester avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 193.395 feet to the northerly line of former San Bruno avenue; thence deflecting 51 degrees 34 minutes 39 seconds to the left, and running westerly along said northerly line 63.434 feet to the easterly line of Bay Shore boulevard and the point of commencement.

Said closing and abandonment of said portion of Hester avenue shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved—San Francisco, October 3, 1934.

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

And whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Department of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621; and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that a portion of Hester avenue described in Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that the portion of Hester avenue as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621, be closed and abandoned; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621, shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621; and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of said street described in Resolution No. 1587, Code No. 12.0621, shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 107 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Street Opening Act of 1889, as amended, General Laws of the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Department of Public Works and that the Department of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Leave of Absence—William J. Quinn, Chief of Police.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of twenty days, commencing December 1st.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, Acting Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1672, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Acting Mayor, James B. McSheehy, William J. Quinn, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of twenty days, commencing December 1st, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Leave of Absence—Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 21, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made by Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, from November 28 to December 10, inclusive.

I respectfully ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request.

Sincerely,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY, Acting Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1673, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Acting Mayor, James B. McSheehy, Dr. Edwin A. Lee, Superintendent of Schools, is hereby granted a leave of absence from November 28th to December 10th, inclusive, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriating \$25,000 From Municipal Railway Operative Fund.

(Code No. 9.051)

The following matter was taken up:

On recommendation of Joint Committee on Public Utilities and Finance.

Bill No. 653, Ordinance No. 9.051155, as follows:

Appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of a study and report thereon

by the Public Utilities Commission of terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made for the purpose of defraying the cost of a study and report thereon to be made by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco upon the terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and the Mayor concurring in the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and advising the Board of the necessity for the same, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purpose of making a study and report thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of the terminal and rapid transit facilities for San Francisco and the peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Amendment.

Supervisor Uhl moved to amend line 2 and line 10 by inserting after the word "study" the words "recommendation and estimates of cost".

No objection and it was *so ordered*.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Appropriation of \$25,000 From Municipal Railway Operative Fund for Bridge Terminals and Rapid Transit Investigation.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 653, Ordinance No. 9.051155, as follows:

Appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of a study, report, recommendation and estimate of cost thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission, having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made for the purpose of defraying the cost of a study, report, recommendation and estimate of cost thereon to be made by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco upon the terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and the Mayor concurring in the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and advising the Board of the necessity for the same, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purpose of making a study and report thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of the terminal and rapid transit facilities for San Francisco and the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Transfer of Fire Department Lot to the Recreation Department.

(Code No. 12.175)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1674, as follows:

Whereas, the Recreation Department has made request to the Mayor that Fire Department lot in block 2490 of the Assessor's Block Book, of dimensions 30 x 120 feet, and located on the west side of Twenty-first avenue, 285 feet south of Wawona street, and within what is known as the 21-acre tract which the Recreation Department has been acquiring for the "Sigmund Stern Recreation Grove"; and

Whereas, the Fire Commission, in written communication dated March 6, 1934, did recommend that such transfer be made in accordance with the Charter; and

Whereas, the Mayor has requested, in conformance with Ordinance No. 12.1751 (Bill No. 589), that the Board of Supervisors order the transfer of said property to the Recreation Department, and the Board of Supervisors having given consideration to said request, now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors does hereby determine that the property above mentioned is no longer necessary for Fire Department purposes, and can be advantageously used by the Recreation Department for the purposes of the said last-mentioned Department, and this Board does hereby order that said Fire Department lot as hereinabove particularly described be, and the same is, hereby ordered transferred from the Fire Department to the Recreation Department, City and County of San Francisco, said transfer to be effective as of December 1, 1934.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Final Passage.

The following emergency bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriating \$14,000 From "Emergency Reserve" Fund to the Department of Elections, for Expense of Holding Election of November 6, 1934, and Declaring an Emergency.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 654, Ordinance No. 9.051156, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$14,000 from Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of "Contractual Service," Department of Elections, fiscal year 1934-1935, for the expense of the holding election in the City and County of San Francisco, November 6, 1934; and declaring the existence of an emergency.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$14,000 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 2.900.00 ("Emergency Reserve") to the credit of Appropriation No. 29.200.00 ("Contractual Service"), Department of Elections, for the expense of the holding of election in the City and County of San Francisco, November 6, 1934.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists relative to the conducting and holding of election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, November 6, 1934:

That the funds heretofore appropriated for the purpose of conducting and the holding of election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco November 6, 1934, are not adequate at the present time

for the purposes set forth, and that by reason thereof an immediate appropriation is necessary for the holding of such election.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Fire Limits Extended.

(Code No. 11.08)

The following recommendation of Fire and Police Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 655, Ordinance No. 11.0811, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5831 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings, establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance," by extending the fire limits to include the territory bounded by Sixth street, First street, Howard street and Brannan street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5831 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fire Limits

Section 3. Those portions of the City and County of San Francisco within the boundary lines in this section hereinafter set forth shall be known as the fire limits within which it shall be unlawful to erect or construct frame or wooden buildings, or to alter, enlarge, repair, add to or build upon any building or buildings except as in this ordinance otherwise provided, viz.:

The fire limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly end of the center line of Greenwich street; running thence westerly along the center line of Greenwich street to its intersection with the center line of Sansome street; thence southerly along the center line of Sansome street to its intersection with the center line of Broadway; thence westerly along the center line of Broadway to the center line of Cordelia street; thence southerly along the center line of Cordelia street to its intersection with the center line of Pacific street; thence westerly along the center line of Pacific street to the center of the crossing of Pacific and Powell streets; thence southerly along the center line of Powell street to the center of the crossing of Powell and Sacramento streets; thence easterly along the center line of Sacramento street to the center line of the crossing of Sacramento and Stockton streets; thence southerly along the center line of Stockton street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet northerly from the northerly line of Bush street; thence westerly and parallel with Bush street on a line distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet northerly from the northerly line of Bush street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue; thence northerly on a line parallel with Van Ness avenue, to the center line of Washington street; thence westerly and along the center line of Washington street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet westerly from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; thence southerly on a line parallel with Van Ness avenue to the center line of California street; thence westerly and along the center line of California street to the center line of Franklin street; thence southerly along the center line of Franklin street to the center of the crossing

of Franklin and Turk streets; thence westerly along the center line of Turk street to the center line of the crossing of Turk and Gough streets; thence southerly along the center line of Gough street to its intersection with the center line of Market street; thence southerly and westerly along the center line of Market street to Valencia street; thence southerly along the center line of Valencia street to the center line of the crossing of Valencia and McCoppin streets; thence at a right angle easterly along the center line of McCoppin street to a point one hundred and forty-four (144) feet easterly from the easterly line of Valencia street; thence extending in a northerly and easterly direction on a radius of three hundred and ninety-six and eight one-hundredths (396.08) feet to the center line of Stevenson street if produced through private property, and along the center line of Stevenson street to the westerly line of Brady street; thence diagonally in an easterly direction across Brady street to the intersection of the east line of Brady street and the center line of Stevenson street produced and Stevenson street; thence along the center line of Stevenson street in a northeasterly direction to the center line of Twelfth street; thence southeasterly along the center line of Twelfth street to the center line of Otis street; thence in a northerly and easterly direction along the center line of Otis street and Mission street to the center of the crossing of Mission and Ninth streets; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of Ninth street to the center of the crossing of Ninth and Minna streets; thence in a northerly and easterly direction along the center line of Minna street to Sixth street; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of Sixth street to the center of the crossing of Sixth and Howard streets; thence in a northerly and easterly direction along the center line of Howard street to the center line of the crossing of Howard and First streets; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of First street to the center of the crossing of First and Folsom streets; thence easterly along the center line of Folsom street to a point 137 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Beale street; thence in a southerly direction and parallel with Beale street to a point 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Harrison street; thence in a westerly direction and parallel with Bryant street to the center line of Fremont street; thence in a southerly direction along the center line of Fremont street to the center line of Bryant street; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Bryant street to the center line of First street; thence in a southerly direction along the center line of First street to the center line of Brannan street; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Brannan street to a point 412 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Second street; thence in a southerly direction and parallel to Second street to the shore line of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco; thence along the shore line of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in a northerly and westerly direction to the point of commencement.

Also, commencing at a point on the center line of Fulton street 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Geary street 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to a point 175 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Post street 175 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Post street to a point 205 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sutter street 205 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Sutter street to a point 161 feet $8\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Bush street 161 feet $8\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Bush street to a point 165 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of

Pine street 165 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Pine street to a point on the center line of Middle street; thence along the center line of Middle street to a point on the center line of California street; thence westerly along the center line of California street to a point 161 feet $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sacramento street 164 feet $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Sacramento street to a point 190 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of California street 190 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of California street to a point 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Pine street, 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Pine street to a point 190 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Bush street 190 feet $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Bush street to a point 184 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sutter street, 184 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Sutter street to a point 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Post street 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Post street to a point on the center line of Avery street; thence along the center line of Avery street to a point on the center line of Geary street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to a point 216 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence southerly 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches to a point 216 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along lot line to a point 189 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of O'Farrell street 189 feet $4\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of O'Farrell street to a point 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Fulton street 171 feet $10\frac{1}{2}$ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Fulton street to the point of commencement.

Commencing at a point on the center of the crossing of Sixth street and Howard street; running thence northeasterly along the center line of Howard street to the center of the intersection of First street and Howard street; running thence southeasterly along the center line of First street to the intersection of First street with Folsom street; running thence easterly along the center line of Folsom street to a point 137 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Beale street; running thence in a southerly direction parallel with Beale street to a point 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Harrison street; running thence in a westerly direction and parallel with Bryant street to the center line of Fremont street; running thence southerly along the center line of Fremont street to the center line of Bryant street; running thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Bryant street to the center line of First street; running thence in a southerly direction along the center line of First street to the center line of Brannan street; running thence westerly along the center line of Brannan street to the center line of Sixth street; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of Sixth street to the center line of Howard street and the point of commencement.

Privilege of the Floor.

Acting Chief of the Fire Department Thos. Murphy was heard in explanation of the proposed legislation and the necessity for its enactment at the present time.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the matter of the proposed extension of the fire limits be postponed until Friday night at 8 p. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon the foregoing Bill was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

**Franchise Tax, Bay Bridge, City Attorney to Represent Supervisors
Before Railroad Commission or Toll Bridge Authority.**

(Code No. 15.092)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1678, as follows:

Whereas, in the event that the Toll Bridge Authority of the State of California grants a franchise or a contract to a corporation or corporations, the Board of Supervisors will be requested to grant a franchise to a corporation or corporations to operate interurban trains into the City and County of San Francisco from the East Bay; and

Whereas, such a franchise is of great value; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco exact compensation for such franchise should it be granted, for each passenger carried on the interurban trains as a consideration for said franchise; and be it

Further Resolved, That in consideration of said franchise the Railroad Commission of the State of California agrees to an annual adjustment of interurban fares covering traffic over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to represent the Supervisors before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, or the Toll Bridge Authority of the State of California, in the foregoing matters.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

In Memoriam: Reverend William Lyons.

(Code No. 5.91)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1677, as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God has called to his reward the Reverend William Lyons, Pastor of Saint John's Church of this City for more than twenty years; and

Whereas, the spirit of charity and tolerance possessed by Father Lyons endeared him to the many friends and parishioners he counseled, and his passing has meant a distinct loss that is not to be met easily nor casually; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors learns with deep regret and profound sorrow of the death of Reverend William Lyons; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of the deceased.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Reappointment of Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

(Code No. 12.111)

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Resolution No. 1676, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby reappoint for a term of four (4) years, William P. Filmer, John P. McLaughlin, Hugo D. Newhouse, William P. Stanton, and Richard J. Welch as members of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Additional Nominations.

Supervisor Uhl nominated Andrew J. Gallagher and William J. Harrelson for membership on the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District in connection with the foregoing resolution presented by Supervisor Shannon, which resolution and nominations were *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*, meeting Wednesday, December 5, 1934, at 3:30 p. m.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *passed for second reading*:

Sale of City Land, Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1727)

Ordinance No. 12.17274, Bill No. 656, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City owned land on the west line of Potrero avenue, south of Twenty-second street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health;

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue, 50 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 50 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may by ordinance direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Modern Developments in Transportation for San Francisco-Oakland Bridge.

(Code No. ———)

Presented by Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. 1679, as follows:

Board of Supervisors to impress upon the Public Utilities Commission that it is essential that any transportation system be not limited to subway construction or to present types of elevated and surface traffic, but should be adaptable to modern developments in rapid transit, etc.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Observance of Washington's Birthday.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the observance of Washington's Birthday.

Motion carried.

Re Applications for Franchises for Transportation Over Bay Bridge.

In the matter of applications for franchises for transportation over the Bay Bridge, Supervisor Colman moved that the City Attorney be authorized to appear on behalf of the people of San Francisco and to take such action as may be necessary to safeguard the interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

Motion carried.

Closing of Bacon Street.

In the matter of the closing of Bacon street, the Clerk was directed to inform the Public Utilities Commission that the Board understands that a pledge has been made that the cost of this new roadway will be assessed to and will be paid out of the revenues of the Water Department, and that the Board understands that the greatest possible width of roadway between the reservoirs will be provided.

Cooperation on Parades.

(Code No.)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No., as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the Down Town Association, the Retail Merchants Association of San Francisco, and the Retail Dry Goods Association, motivated by the fine spirit of public interest, have sponsored, and assisted to finance, those movements which redound to the credit of the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the bringing to San Francisco of conventions and similar gatherings, or the organization of pageants, festivals, et cetera, is believed to be a good thing for the City of San Francisco and have always been supported both financially and cooperatively by the aforementioned organizations; and

Whereas, many such demonstrations hold parades which, if held in the afternoons, destroy the normal traffic movement of the City and occasion the merchants substantial losses of business, emptying their stores of customers during the peak shopping hours; and

Whereas, the wishes of the merchants and other businesses have in this respect in many cases not found acceptance by the municipal authorities in whose power the granting of permits for parades is vested; therefore, be it

Resolved, That they do formally go on record as unquestionably opposing the granting of permits for parades on business days between the hours of 12 o'clock noon and 6 o'clock p. m., in the City of San Francisco, and that all parades, permits for which are granted on busi-

ness days, be so scheduled that they should be concluded and the participants therein disbanded prior to 12 o'clock noon; and be it further

Resolved, That the San Francisco Convention and Tourists Bureau, to merit the continued financial and moral support of these organizations, be urged in so far as is possible when contacting organizations contemplating conventions or similar gatherings in San Francisco, to stipulate that any parades contemplated must be held in the morning; and be it further

Resolved, That the San Francisco Convention and Tourists Bureau and the San Francisco Police Department be requested to notify the managing director of the Retail Dry Goods Association and the Retail Merchants Association of contemplated parades prior to the issuance of any permit for same.

Referred to Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl the Board took a recess until Friday evening at 8 p. m., at which time there will be a public hearing on bridge terminals and rapid transit for San Francisco.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 1934, 8 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Monday, November 26, 1934, for the purpose of conducting a public hearing in the matter of bridge terminals and rapid transit in San Francisco.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The Roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Supervisor Brown was excused, being out of town.

Supervisor Uhl made an explanation that Supervisor Gallagher was in the vicinity and would be available and in case there were five members present he would make a quorum.

Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, representing City Attorney O'Toole, was also present to explain certain matters in connection with the discussion.

Thereupon, President McSheehy announced that inasmuch as there was no quorum present that it was not possible to proceed.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, at the hour of 9:15 p. m. the Board adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, December 3, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Monday, December 3, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 3, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of November 22, 26, 30, 1934, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Cost of Underground Installation and Street Lighting.

Consideration of the matter of the statement of Edw. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, relative to the cost of underground installation and energy and maintenance cost of street lighting system.

No action

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Final Passage.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Exempting Master Plumbers from the Provisions of Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance."

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 631, Ordinance No. 3.04134, as follows:

Amending Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 3.0413, entitled "Imposing License Taxes on Certain Businesses, Callings, Trades or Employments within the City and County of San Francisco, in Effect July 1, 1920," *by exempting master plumbers.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 32 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 32 (a). Every person employing help at any time in his contracting or building business, or every firm, association or corporation consisting of more than one member engaged in the occupation of and doing business as a contractor, sub-contractor or as a builder, or engaged in the construction or repair of any building, street, sidewalk, sewer, engineering structure or any engineering operation, or

advertising himself or themselves as engaged in superintending building construction, sewer construction, street construction, or general construction, or engaged in the business of sign or general painting (except exclusive automobile painters), shall pay a license fee of twelve and fifty one-hundredths dollars per quarter year.

(b) Every person, firm or corporation so engaged or advertising himself as so engaged in any business or job described as above and never employing help in such business or on any job so classified, shall pay a license fee of five (5) dollars per quarter year.

(c) Master electricians and master fixture electricians, as described under the provisions of Section 49 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), shall pay the license fee provided for in Section 49, and shall thereby be exempt from the license fee imposed in Section 32 (a) of this ordinance.

(d) *Master Plumbers, as described in The Plumbing Law, shall pay the fees provided in Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series) and they shall thereby be exempt from the license fees imposed in Section 3 (a) of this Ordinance.*

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), by
Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.**

(Code No. 3.041)

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing Fees for Inspection, Examination and Permits in Certain Cases, and Authorizing the Department of Public Health to Collect the Same," *by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of Twenty Dollars (\$20.00) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permit to and making inspections for or at the request of a Master Plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration, there will be a charge of Fifty Cents (50¢) to which shall be added the following:

	Installed or Replaced
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closet	\$1.00
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including, 2 part sink50
For each tray, laundry, including 2 part trays50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise50

For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair.....	.50
For each floor or surface drain50
For each dishwashing machine	1.00
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture roughed in but not set50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condenser or steam trap50
For each reinspection75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25¢) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this Ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this Ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the Ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

Privilege of the Floor.

Eugene Fritz and Felton Taylor, representing Apartment House Owners; Mr. Huey, representing Northern California Hotel Men's Association; Frank R. Oast, executive secretary of the Hotel Association, and Grace Peregio were heard in opposition to the proposed legislation.

W. D. Hobro, Chief Plumbing Inspector, Department of Public Health, was heard in favor of the proposed legislation.

Amendments Accepted.

The following amendments were thereupon proposed and *accepted*:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration (the term "alteration" as used in this ordinance shall be defined as any connection to a soil pipe, waste pipe or sewer, or a relocation of such outlet) there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closets	\$.50
For each fixture set after the completion of the structure to an outlet roughed in prior thereto.....	.50
Take out for each fixture roughed in but not set.....	.50
For each condenser or steam trap where condensed water enters the plumbing system through the final condenser or steam trap..	.50
For each dishwashing machine50
For each reinspection of any plumbing fixtures or outlets not passed75

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill as amended and in words and figures following was *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

**Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), by
Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.**

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), entitled "Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," *by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permits to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration (the term "alteration" as used in this ordinance shall be defined as any connection to a soil pipe, waste pipe or sewer, or a relocation of such outlet) there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	Installed or Replaced
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closets50
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine50
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture set after the completion of the structure to an outlet roughed in prior thereto50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condenser or steam trap where condensed water enters the plumbing system through the final condenser or steam trap50
For each reinspection of any plumbing fixtures or outlets not passed75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any person, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Relief Situation.

Motion of Supervisor Uhl requesting Mr. F. M. McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis to appear before the Board of Supervisors and give a summary of the relief situation referring to indigent poor.

No action.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Amendment to Charitable Solicitation Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

The following recommendation of Public Welfare Committee was taken up:

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled "An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations, and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries by parents and teachers organizations operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor and/or parents and teachers organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

Communication.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication from Better Business Bureau, stating that since discussion on charitable solicitations relative to exemption of parent teachers associations, that the executive board of the City and County

Federation of Women's Club has proposed a broader exemption along the same lines and requesting postponement until December 17, 1934.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. H. Thomas, representing Teachers Association, was heard urging the final passage of the bill.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to lay over until Monday, December 17, 1934, and consider the matter in Committee of the Whole at 3 p. m. as Special Order.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Final Passage.

The following matter, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amending Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), "License Ordinance," by Modifying the License Fee for Special Branches of Automobile Repairing.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 645, Ordinance No. 3.04140, as follows:

Amending Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920, *by modifying the license fee for special branches of automobile repairing.*

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 75 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 75. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in the business of automobile repairing and limiting the service of any one of the following branches of repair work shall pay a license fee as follows:

For repairing, remodeling or rebuilding bodies of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing the electric equipment of used automobiles and other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting, recharging or repairing batteries in used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing any of the metal parts of used automobiles or other motor vehicles (except fenders, radiators or windshields), two (2) dollars per quarter.

For repairing or retrimming used automobiles or other motor vehicles two (2) dollars per quarter.

For repairing fenders, radiators or windshields of used automobiles or other motor vehicles, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For vulcanizing automobile tires or tubes, two (2) dollars per quarter.

For installing, adjusting or repairing automobile brakes two (2) dollars per quarter.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Indefinitely Postponed.

The following recommendation of Finance Committee was taken up and on motion *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriating \$14,000 From "Emergency Reserve" Fund to the Department of Elections.

(Code No. 9.051)

Bill No. 647, Ordinance No. 9.051152, as follows:

Appropriating the sum of \$14,000 from Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of "Contractual Service," Department of Elections, Fiscal Year 1934-35, for the expense of the holding of election of November 6, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The sum of \$14,000 is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation 2.900.00 (Emergency Reserve), to the credit of Appropriation 29.200.00 ("Contractual Service, Department of Elections"), for expense of the holding of election, November 6, 1934, in the City and County of San Francisco.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amendment to Salary Ordinance—Purchasing Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 648, Ordinance No. 9.05365, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05360 by changing the rate of pay under item 38 thereof from a daily rate to the equivalent monthly rate.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 38 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT—Interdepartmental Service.

The following positions are in interdepartmental service and the occupants have acquired permanent civil service status. The employments are not established as continuing positions but "as needed" when services are required and funds are provided.

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
38	1	J66	Garageman	\$ 150
39	1	M108	Blacksmith, \$8 per day.....	
40	2	A156	Patternmaker, \$9 per day.....	
41	1	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$10 per day....	
42	4	A364	Car and Auto Painter, \$9 per day.....	
43	2	C152	Watchman	170
44	1	E104	Batteryman-Electrician, \$9 per day....	
45	2	J66	Garageman	160
46	1	J67	Vulcanizer, \$7 per day.....	
47	1	J62	Fire Hose Repairer	160
48	1	M2	General Foreman Machinist	300
49	1	M3	Superintendent, Fire Equipment Repair Shop	300
50	22	M54	Auto Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
51	1	M60	Auto Fender and Body Worker, \$9 per day	
52	4	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$7.08 per day.....	

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
53	3	M104	Blacksmith Helper, \$8 per day.....	
54	6	M108	Blacksmith, \$9 per day.....	
55	1	M154	Boilermaker's Helper, \$6.58 per day..	
56	1	M156	Boilermaker, \$9 per day.....	
57	3	M252	Machinist Helper, \$6.58 per day.....	
58	5	M254	Machinist, \$9 per day.....	
59	1	O108	Leatherworker, \$9 per day.....	
60	1	B512	General Clerk-Typist	185
61	1	O10	Driver of Light Truck, \$6.50 per day....	

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Supplemental Appropriation of \$275.

(Code No. 9.051)

Also, Bill No. 649, Ordinance No. 9.051153, as follows:

Supplemental appropriation of \$275 out of "Emergency Reserve," Appropriation 2.900.00, to the credit of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Appropriation 38.213.00, for the providing of additional construction in the present Grand Jury room, City Hall, making it available as an extra court room.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. A supplemental appropriation of \$275 is hereby set aside and authorized expended out of "Emergency Reserve," Appropriation 2.900.00, to the credit of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Appropriation 38.213.00, for additional construction in the present Grand Jury room, City Hall, and making it available as an extra court room.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

· Recommending Certain County Roads for Inclusion in the Secondary State Highway System.

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1680, as follows:

Resolved, That the following county roads be recommended for inclusion in the Secondary State Highway System by the 1935 Legislature:

Recommended feeder to Routes 2 and 68:

	Length
San Jose avenue widening, Army street to Bernal avenue....	.53 mile
Army street widening, Van Ness avenue South to San Jose avenue30 mile
Army street widening, Van Ness avenue South to Bryant street	.60 mile
Clipper street widening and extension connecting Twenty-sixth street with Portola drive, forming a connection to Army street.	

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1681, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District—No. 449 to Director of Public Works for \$301.80; No. 450 to J. B. West for \$125; No. 451 to J. B. West for \$125; No. 452 to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edw. J. Taaffe, Page Taaffe for \$1,621.50; No. 453 to Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co. for \$3,436.61; No. 454 to H. Moffat Co. for \$1,541.41; No. 455 to Randell Larson for \$261.75; No. 456 to California Pacific Title and Trust Co. for \$50; No. 457 to Wm. Taaffe & Co., Edw. J. Taaffe, Page Taaffe for \$4,240.67; No. 458 to The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co. for \$191.50; No. 459 to M. H. Levy for \$23.55; No. 460 to D. Matheson, Treasurer, for \$2.46—payable out of the funds of said District, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Refunds of Duplicate Payments for Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Also, Resolution No. 1682, as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1934-1935, to the hereinafter named; being refunds of amounts paid for taxes, to-wit:

(1) To Emile Le Piniec, \$1.39, duplicate payment; per Vol. 38, Lot 12, Block 6505 of 1934-35 Real Estate Rolls.

(2) To John Matteuci, \$2.61, duplicate payment; per Vol. 35, Lot 50, Block 5718 of 1934-35 Real Estate Rolls.

(3) To Karl G. Anderson, \$4.35, duplicate payment; per Vol. 14, page 17, line 15 of 1934 Unsecured Personal Property Rolls.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Salary Ordinance Amendment—Health Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 657, Ordinance No. 9.05366, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.05360, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding items 48¼ and 48½ thereof, funds for which employments have been appropriated heretofore.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1.. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
48¼	1	L70	Physio Therapist (part time)	100
48½	1	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time)	75
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
50	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225
53	1	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	2	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	37.50
57	16	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)..	52.50
58	3	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician	75
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time).....	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time).....	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.).....	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine....	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine....	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct \$55 for full family maintenance.)	280
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	119	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at \$5.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
74½		P103	Special Nurse, as needed (Psychiatric or Communicable Diseases) \$7 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at \$6.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours at \$8.00 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)...	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	210

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	185
78½	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	170

Section 2. The compensations of the positions added under items 48¼ and 48½ have been appropriated heretofore by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Bridge Terminals and Rapid Transit in San Francisco.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole reported that on Tuesday and Wednesday of last week application had been made by the Southern Pacific and Key Route System for permission to form a new corporation and distribute certain stock in contemplation of the completion of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, when they will abandon interurban traffic on ferry boats and carry the traffic in electric trains over the bridge from Alameda County terminals to San Francisco terminals on a basis of 35 years contract; the railroads asking for permission to collect whatever tolls are provided for by the Toll Bridge Authority and for fares to be fixed when the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge is opened; the railroads to collect the fares and the tolls and to turn the tolls over to the Toll Bridge Authority. \$15,000,000 will be expended for the furtherance of interurban traffic; about \$4,000,000 will be given directly to the rehabilitation of the equipment of the railroad company and for the purpose of building necessary switches and yards at either side of the bay; \$6,000,000 for the laying of rails and electrical equipment and safety devices over the Bay Bridge. Equipment will belong to the Toll Bridge Authority and must be kept in good order and repair by the railroad companies. \$2,000,000 or \$3,000,000 will be spent for terminals in San Francisco at First and Natoma streets. Rights acquired and equipment will not be taken into consideration in fixing rates. Any time transportation district is organized there will be no severance damages by reason of cutting off tracks from Oakland side. Mr. Purcell stated that there would be only one traffic station on the San Francisco side.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that the application of the Southern Pacific Company and the Key Route be endorsed (subsequently withdrawn).

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that we consider competing bus lines on the bridge. Board of Supervisors has nothing to recommend until it has heard all matters in connection with the proposed transportation system.

Supervisor Uhl moved that we approve plan whereby interurban trains coming into San Francisco shall be by subway and this Board go on record as disapproving Plan "X".

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Motion to Adjourn Lost.

Supervisor Roncovieri, at 6:30 p. m., moved to adjourn. Motion lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appointment of Directors to Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor Colman, chairman, Public Utilities Committee, reported that said committee had considered the resolution providing for the appointment of Directors to the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and that it was ready to report thereon. He declared that the committee was unanimous for the reappointment of the Directors mentioned in the resolution with the exception of one, in which instance Supervisor Brown's name had been recommended by a majority vote of the committee.

Committee of the Whole.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the report of the Public Utilities Committee, all members heretofore noted being present, with Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

Supervisor Brown, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that the candidates be voted on *seriatim*.

Motion.

Thereupon Supervisor Colman moved the appointment of William P. Filmer to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the name of William P. Stanton be substituted.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the appointment of William P. Filmer, and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Colman moved the appointment of Richard J. Welch to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the name of William P. Stanton be substituted.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the appointment of Richard J. Welch to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Hayden moved that William P. Stanton be appointed to

succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Colman moved the appointment of John J. McLaughlin to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the name of William P. Stanton be substituted.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the motion to appoint John P. McLaughlin to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, and said motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Supervisor Colman moved the appointment of Hugo D. Newhouse to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the name of William P. Stanton be substituted.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the appointment of Hugo D. Newhouse to succeed himself as Director of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Thereupon Supervisor Colman moved the appointment of Supervisor Arthur M. Brown, Jr., to membership on the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri—3.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Supervisor Colman thereupon moved that the Committee of the Whole now arise and report to the Board the nominees selected.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Colman and *adopted* by the following vote:

Reappointment of Directors of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

(Code No. 12.111)

Resolution No. 1686, as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby appoint for a term of four (4) years William P. Filmer, John P. McLaughlin, Hugo D. Newhouse, Richard J. Welch and Arthur M. Brown, Jr., as members of the Board of Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor McSheehy explained his vote by saying, "I believe a great mistake was made that William P. Stanton was not elected as one of the Directors of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District."

Clerk was directed to send notice at once to William Felt, Secretary of the Golden Gate Bridge and Highway District, of the Directors' names this afternoon, as a meeting of said Board of Directors is to be held tomorrow.

Leave of Absence—Supervisor Jesse Colman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 3, 1934.

To the Honorable The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Supervisor Jesse Colman for a leave of absence with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of ten days, commencing December 10th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1685, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ten days, commencing December 10, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Flood-Lighting Streets.

(Code No. ———)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1683, as follows:

Public Utilities Commission to furnish Board with estimate of costs of flood-lighting important street corners and safety stations.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Endorsement of Soldiers' Adjusted Service Certificates.

(Code No. 5.2)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1684, as follows:

Whereas, the immediate cash payment of the adjusted service certificates will increase tremendously the purchasing power of millions of the consuming public, distributed uniformly throughout the nation; and will provide relief for the holders thereof who are in dire need and distress because of the present unfortunate economic conditions; and will lighten immeasurably the burden which cities, counties and states are now required to carry for relief; and

Whereas, the payment of said certificates will not create any additional debt, but will discharge and retire an acknowledged contract obligation of the government; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, since the government of the United States is now definitely committed to the policy of spending additional sums of money for the purpose of hastening recovery from the present economic crisis, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco recommends the immediate cash payment at face value of the adjusted service certificates, with cancellation of interest accrued and refund of interest paid, as a most effective means to that end.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Report on Delinquent Tax Collections.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication from I. A. Richardson, Director of Bureau of Delinquent Tax Collections, reporting collections to date.

Read and ordered *filed*.

Parade Permits.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication from Retail Dry Goods Association, copy of resolution opposing the granting of permits for parades on business days between noon and 6 p. m.

Referred to Police Committee.

Board to Urge Congress to Enact Legislation Authorizing the Federal Government to Furnish Municipalities With Currency Loans.

Communication from Knights of Civilization, requesting the Board to urge Congress to enact legislation authorizing the Federal government to furnish municipalities with currency loans.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Requesting Reduction of Present License Fees Paid for Bowling Alleys.

Communication from Matthew J. Dooley, attorney, representing proprietors of bowling alleys, requesting reduction of present license fees paid for bowling alleys.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Payment of Taxes Quarterly and Parole of Prisoners.

Supervisor Uhl suggested that the Judiciary Committee consider the matter of legislation by the State Legislature providing for the

payment of taxes quarterly. Also, that the Judiciary Committee consider matter of Legislature prohibiting the parole of prisoners serving life sentences.

So ordered.

Proposed Disapproval of Plan X, Bridge Terminal in San Francisco.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board disapprove plan "X", Bridge Terminal, and that it insist on, as near as possible, a subway plan. In connection therewith Supervisor Uhl presented statement and maps.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 10, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I. John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Wednesday, December 5, 1934

Thursday, December 6, 1934

Monday, December 10, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 5, 1934, 10 A. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Havenner, Roncovieri, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Roncovieri appeared and was noted present at 11:20 a. m.
His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Call for Meeting.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, December 4, 1934.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Mr. McSheehy: In conformity with the ordinance providing for special meetings, we, the undersigned, Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, respectfully request that you call a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco for Wednesday, December 5, 1934, 11 a. m., for the purpose of acting on the garbage and/or refuse ordinance.

ADOLPH UHL,
ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT,
JOHN M. RATTO,
ARTHUR M. BROWN, JR.,
Supervisors.

Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

City Hall, San Francisco, December 4, 1934.

Notice is hereby given that written request has been served upon the President of the Board of Supervisors by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, Adolph E. Schmidt, John M. Ratto, Arthur M. Brown, Jr., to call a special meeting of the Board to meet Wednesday, December 5, 1934, at 11 a. m., in the Chambers of the Board, for the purpose of acting on the garbage and refuse ordinance.

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,
President of the Board of Supervisors.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Committee of the Whole.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the consideration of the following resolution.

All members heretofore noted being present.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. ———)

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Uhl, the following resolution was taken up and considered seriatim, paragraph by paragraph:

Resolution No. ———, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore,

Be It Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for the disposal, by any process except dumping at sea, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the grantee must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal;

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution, shall conform to that given in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8th, 1932;

That in all operations connected with the work, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with;

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for the disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall maintain, for the benefit of all prospective bidders, a guide list or statement of the requirements and conditions which he believes to be necessary in order that this Board may obtain information sufficient to determine intelligently whether the proposal or bid shall be accepted;

That no proposal, after having been deposited with the Board of Supervisors, will be allowed to be withdrawn on account of alleged errors of computation, or clerical errors in computing, incomplete or erroneous information or for any other reason;

That the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the foregoing resolution, will award the said franchise or privilege to the best bidder and said Board reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby;

That any proposal or bid made to this Board must be accompanied by detailed and itemized plans and specifications sufficient to enable this Board to determine whether such bid or proposal is acceptable;

That, in the event a bid or proposal shall involve or concern the rules or ordinances of any municipal, sanitary or other public corporation and a license or permit shall be required, the bidder must show that he has fully complied with such rules or ordinances;

That proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer. Bidder may use his own form;

That any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal, or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the

provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein

mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, openly and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective. Be it

Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000) Dollars, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond.

Sylvester Andriano, attorney representing the Scavengers' Union, was heard at length on the various questions raised.

The Committee of the Whole proceeded, considered and *approved* the first twelve paragraphs of the proposed resolution.

The following amendment to the last paragraph was also *approved*:

A certified check payable to the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of \$_____, shall accompany all bids, and shall be returned to a bidder immediately upon rejection of his bid. Upon the filing of the bond hereinafter mentioned the check of the successful bidder shall be returned to him. If such successful bidder shall fail to file said bond, said check shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the sum of one hundred thousand (\$100,000) dollars, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege. A substitute bond under the same terms and conditions may be filed by the grantee at any time, provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

Committee of the Whole Arises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Committee arose and reported progress and recommended that the foregoing resolution be taken up for further consideration tomorrow at 4 p. m.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors took a recess until December 6, 1934, when it meets in special session on matters relative to bridge terminal and rapid transit for San Francisco with the understanding that the question of garbage disposal will be taken up as a Special Order at 4 p. m. on that date.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in special session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Ronco-
vieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Clerk announced that Supervisor Shannon was in Sacramento on Golden Gate Bridge matters and would not be able to attend.

Chair announced that he had received a telephonic message that he would not be able to attend until later in the day and possibly not then.

City Planning Commission and Bakewell, representing Art Commission, also in attendance.

Call for Meeting.

The following matters were thereupon read by the Clerk:

December 5, 1934.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, President, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Dear Mr. McSheehy: In conformity with the ordinance calling special meetings of the Board of Supervisors, the undersigned Supervisors respectfully request that you call a special meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, Thursday, December 6, 1934, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of considering the proposed Plan "X" of the Toll Bridge Authority, covering terminus and elevated tracks in San Francisco leading from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach.

Also, to consider the certificate of convenience and necessity requested by the State Railroad Commission by certain interests for transporting passengers over said San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge into the City and County of San Francisco.

Also, that his Honor the Mayor, the Chief Administrative Officer, the members of the Art Commission and the members of the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to attend this meeting.

The reason for requesting this special meeting is that the chairman of the State Railroad Commission advised me yesterday that they anticipated and hoped that the discussion of the matter of granting the certificate of convenience and necessity to operate over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge by private parties will be submitted by the end of this week, that said certificate of convenience and necessity takes into consideration Plan "X" and, therefore, if the City and County of San Francisco desires to be heard regarding this matter that it should give the matter immediate attention.

Yours very truly,

ADOLPH UHL, Supervisor.

J. M. RATTO, Supervisor.

ADOLPH E. SCHMIDT, Supervisor.

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER, Supervisor.

**Notice of Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
San Francisco, December 5, 1:25 p. m.**

Notice is hereby given that written request has been served upon the President of the Board of Supervisors by Supervisors Adolph Uhl, J. M. Ratto, Adolph E. Schmidt and Andrew J. Gallagher to call a

special meeting of the Board to meet Thursday, December 6, at 2 p. m., for the purpose of considering the proposed Plan "X" of the Toll Bridge Authority covering terminus and elevated tracks in San Francisco leading from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge approach.

Also, to consider the certificate of convenience and necessity requested by the State Railroad Commission by certain interests for transporting passengers over said San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge into the City and County of San Francisco.

Also, that His Honor the Mayor and Chief Administrative Officer, the members of the Art Commission and the members of the City Planning Commission of the City and County of San Francisco be requested to attend this meeting.

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
President, Board of Supervisors.
J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Discussion.

Supervisor Uhl declared that Railroad Commission told him that it intended to grant a certificate of convenience and necessity over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to a terminal in San Francisco in accordance with the so-called Plan "X", which involved elevated construction of 1.2 miles, and that he opposed said plan as unsightly, noisy and detrimental to property values in the lower section of the City and that he wanted to protest in the name of the people.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco object to Plan "X" unless it can be shown that the interurban trains cannot reach a terminal in San Francisco via subway and a portion of elevated structure.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment:

"I would be willing to offer a motion to instruct the City Attorney to request the Railroad Commission to allow the City of San Francisco to reserve expression in its attitude on Plan "X" until we have made an engineering and financial study on the problem and be prepared with expert advice, and that the Railroad Commission be requested not to grant certificate until this investigation has been made."

So ordered.

Privilege of the Floor.

His Honor Mayor Angelo J. Rossi expressed vigorous opposition to the proposed type of terminal indicated in Plan "X." He urged concerted action to secure an "appropriate" station, fronting on Mission street. He was willing, he said, to leave responsibility for engineering phases of the terminal and elevated approach with the Toll Bridge Authority, but added:

"San Francisco, as one of the foremost cities of the United States, is entitled to a terminal of which we can be proud. Under present plans that will not be made possible. The proposed station would resemble a flag-stop, or a way station."

Referring indirectly to Supervisor Uhl's proposed underground terminal and station, Mayor Rossi said he was "willing to wait until the Public Utilities Commission has made its studies of rapid transit before talking about subways." He declared he believed a readjustment of funds, to permit construction of a "decent" station, was possible.

Alfred J. Cleary, City Administrator, was also present and participated in the discussion.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole declared that he planned to meet with attorneys before the California Railroad Commission, seeking assurance that the City will not be denied a future voice in the plan of construction, or possible redrafting of plans, for the local terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Granting the City had no jurisdiction in financial or architectural phases of the terminal construction, Mr. O'Toole nevertheless believed it was possible all sides would agree to a clause in any certificate or contract granted by the commission, stipulating that the Toll Bridge Authority would reserve the right to discuss changes in the terminal plan with City officials.

Such a stipulation, it was pointed out, would be to the City's advantage in any connecting rapid transit plan evolved, and perhaps in revision of the actual plan of terminal construction. City officials anticipated the outcome of his efforts would be extremely important in consideration of future bridge traffic and rapid transit problems.

Motions of Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be instructed to request the Railroad Commission to allow the Board of Supervisors to reserve the expression of its attitude on Plan "X" until an engineering and financial study of the project can be completed on behalf of the Board.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be instructed to see that a provision is inserted in any contract between the Toll Bridge Authority and the railroad companies that will permit a change in the location of the terminal in San Francisco as set forth in Plan "X."

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, moved that the City Attorney request the Railroad Commission to study and investigate the statement of the railroad companies that they are unable to pay anything for the use of the bridge.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner moved that we request the City Engineer to ask the Railroad Commission, in accordance with the action of the Public Utilities Committee if it is possible, to include in any contract between the Toll Bridge Authority and the railroad company a clause which in effect will be a cancellation clause permitting a public agency to take over the transportation service under proper conditions.

So ordered.

Garbage Disposal.

Whereupon, the question of garbage disposal, fixed for 4 p. m. this date, for lack of a quorum was *laid over until Monday, December 10, 1934, at 5 p. m.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 10, 1934, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Brown appeared and was noted present at 2:37 p. m.

Supervisor Colman out of town on leave.

Supervisor Havenner appeared and was noted present at 2:22 p. m.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 3, 1934, was considered read and approved.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.:*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal—Public Proceedings for Fitzgerald Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1455, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 10th day of December, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California, be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Resolution No. 1442 is hereby repealed.

Stricken From Calendar.

The following Special Orders were *ordered stricken from the Calendar at the request of Supervisor Uhl:*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Relief Situation.

Motion of Supervisor Uhl requesting Mr. F. M. McAuliffe and Mr. Paul H. Davis to appear before the Board of Supervisors and give a summary of the relief situation referring to the indigent poor.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Cost of Underground Installation and Street Lighting.

Consideration of the matter of the statement of Edw. G. Cahill, Manager of Utilities, relative to the cost of underground installation and energy and maintenance cost of street lighting system.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the following matter was *made a Special Order of Business for 2:15 p. m., Monday, December 17, 1934:*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Garbage Disposal.

Continuation of consideration of resolution calling for bids for garbage disposal.

Bridge Terminal.

Supervisor Uhl moved that Calendar be corrected providing for hearing on bridge terminal as a Special Order at 4 p. m.

So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl thereupon moved that instead of considering the matter of terminal that the question of the bridge contract be taken up.
So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl then raised the question as to what authority the State Toll Bridge Authority has to close a contract with the railroad companies for the exclusive use of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Hardy, representing C. H. Purcell, Chief Engineer of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that he was here to answer any engineering questions, not legal questions.

He declared, however, that the agreements entered into were tentative and have not yet been signed. They must yet be passed upon by the RFC.

City Attorney John J. O'Toole was also heard at length as to the terms of the proposed contract.

E. J. Carroll, representing the Central Council of Civic Clubs, was granted the privilege of the floor. He stated that Toll Bridge Authority estimates of commuter traffic were higher than the actual existing volume, and predicted an annual deficit in revenues at proposed tolls of more than \$2,000,000. Auto tolls, he declared, would be forced to bear unjustly the burden of financing the lower deck and interurban facilities. He urged an appeal for consideration of either light-weight Diesel-powered trains, monorail trains, or large, speedy buses, rather than "the railroad companies' existing antiquated equipment," which he declared never would prove profitable.

Communication.

The following communication was then presented and read by the Clerk:

December 10, 1934.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen: During the time I was making my verbal report to the Central Council of Civic Clubs at its meeting last Friday night on the San Francisco Bay Bridge Terminal matter Mr. Uhl entered the discussion and sought to explain that no action had been taken by the Board of Supervisors to protect the interests of San Francisco at the recent hearing before the California Railroad Commission because it was considered that the certificates of public convenience and necessity applied for by the Southern Pacific and Key System interurban lines were merely informal and did not involve any determination of the financing of the bridge, allocation of the tolls, and the adequacy of the service.

Uhl stated that it made no difference whether the added costs of the lower deck of the bridge were added to the interurban rail carriers or borne directly by the Toll Bridge Authority out of the gross revenues of the bridge. He further stated that any interference at this time would jeopardize the granting of this loan inasmuch as the dead line for its submission to the R. F. C. was about December 15th of this year as the R. F. C's. power to make this loan would expire January 31, 1935.

Uhl left the meeting before I had an opportunity to straighten him out in the fundamental issues in this matter in which he seemed to be in considerable error.

Contrary to the impression his statements left with the Council and before I corrected them action by the C. T. B. A. or the California Railroad Commission upon the application for public necessity and convenience involve the approval by the Railroad Commission of the contracts to be entered into by and between the railroad carriers and the Toll Bridge Authority for the use of the bay bridge for a minimum

period approximating thirty-five years. These contracts as proposed contain provisions extremely detrimental and disadvantageous to the entire bay area and discriminatory against the best interests of San Francisco.

I specifically desire to call your attention to the fact that Mr. Uhl's entire conception of the proceedings now before the Railroad Commission and the Toll Bridge Authority are in error and that unless he takes immediate steps to ascertain the true facts involved he may become a contributing factor to the most disastrous scheme to destroy San Francisco as the metropolitan center of the bay area.

The main issues involved are as follows:

(1) That the proportionate cost of the lower deck facilities to be used by whatsoever kind of a mass transportation carrier (which amounts to between \$7,500,000 and \$15,000,000) the interest and amortization of which should and must be borne by the interurban commuter traffic. On the basis of the present volume of such traffic, 22,500,000 per year, this would mean a toll upon such traffic for the lower deck costs alone of between $2\frac{1}{2}$ cents and 5 cents per passenger per trip.

(2) That the full annual costs of paying the interest and amortization any additional loan necessary to supply interurban traffic across such bridge (now estimated at \$15,000,000) should and must be borne by such interurban commuter traffic. On the basis of an \$15,000,000 loan additional for such facilities and the present volume of commuter traffic (22,500,000) an added toll of 5 cents per passenger trip would be necessary. This would bring the total commuter toll per passenger trip to between $7\frac{1}{2}$ cents and 10 cents if such interurban commuter traffic is to carry its proportion of the bridge costs.

(3) From the above it becomes apparent that whatever is the most adequate form of mass transportation it must be of such economical operation as to permit the bridge authority to receive a toll of from $7\frac{1}{2}$ cents to 10 cents per passenger trip on the basis of present volume of traffic. Due consideration must be given to the fact that the volume of traffic will unquestionably increase. Allowing for an increase of 50 per cent, which amount is *above* the estimates advanced by the Toll Bridge Authority, would bring the interurban traffic to approximately thirty-four million passenger trips per year it would still mean that such traffic would have to pay a toll to the Authority of between 5 cents and $7\frac{1}{2}$ cents per passenger trip in order to pay for its proportionate costs. These facts automatically eliminate the proposed type of antiquated rail transportation and necessitate a demand for the most modern forms of adequate and economical equipment which are divided into three classes: (a) the new type of modern light weight, stream-lined Diesel train; (b) a complete system of ultra-modern, large capacity, rapid transit modern bus operation; (c) the installation of a new system of extra-rapid, ultra-modern mono-rail transportation such as is used in European countries.

(4) Regardless of the form of mass transportation finally adopted San Francisco must be supplied with an adequate, up-town distribution of the commuter traffic which traffic must be correlated with both the Peninsula and Golden Gate Bridge traffic coming to some focal point such as a Union Depot with transcontinental terminal facilities which terminals should be located somewhere in the neighborhood of Seventh and Eighth streets.

Mr. Uhl's contention that the public would pay regardless of whether or not the cost of the lower deck interurban facilities were charged to the railroad companies or not is positively in error in so far as the proper allocation of these charges is concerned. If the railroad carriers are charged directly for their proportionate share of the lower deck, they will add such costs into the interurban commuter fare as outlined above. If as Mr. Uhl contends such costs are absorbed by the Toll Bridge Authority said Authority will levy these tolls upon the automobile traffic and freight traffic. This is necessarily so because the contract between the R. F. C. and Toll Bridge Authority as well as the

Toll Bridge Authority Act itself make it mandatory upon the Authority to levy tolls sufficient to pay annual interest, amortization, operation and maintenance costs.

I have been instructed to forward this communication to your Board at the request of a motion passed by the Civic Council of Civic Clubs and on behalf of the Council to request this Board to take immediate action to protect the interests of San Francisco and to properly instruct the City Attorney to intervene before the Railroad Commission for a full and complete public hearing of all phases of rapid transit across the bay bridge before reaching any conclusion or determination of the present applications now before the Railroad Commission and Toll Bridge Authority.

Yours very truly,

EARL J. CARROLL,

Member of Traffic Committee.

Statement of Supervisor Uhl.

Supervisor Uhl thereupon objected to the second, third and fourth paragraphs of the foregoing communication and asked that his statement be made part of the record.

Telegrams.

The following were presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal*:

San Francisco, December 10, 1934.

Reconstruction Finance Corporation, Secretary of the Treasury, Washington, D. C.

San Francisco Bay Bridge Authority has application for additional RFC loan, fifteen million dollars to provide transportation over bridge. Controversy arisen here over details of agreement with railroad companies for use of bridge. Reported to Board of Supervisors that RFC has time limit on this application and that plans must be on file in Washington at a certain date. Please wire if dead line is set on this application and filing of plans and if time can be extended pending adjustment of controversy over agreement with transportation companies. Please wire collect for today's meeting Board of Supervisors.

ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,

Chairman, Finance Committee.

1934, Dec. 10, p. m. 2:14.

Andrew J. Gallagher, Chairman, Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco Bay Bridge Authority.

Re tel. date there is no time limit for additional loan for Bay Bridge except that such loan must be approved during life of Corporation, which unless extended by Congress ends February first, nineteen thirty-five.

MORTON MACARTNEY,

Chief Engineer.

Dec. 7, 1934, San Francisco, Calif.

His Excellency Frank F. Merriam, Governor, State of California, Sacramento, California.

Dear Governor: I learned at midnite that a meeting of the Toll Bridge Authority will be held at Sacramento Saturday. In event that the Toll Bridge Authority has in contemplation adoption of Plan X covering elevated structure and terminus in San Francisco at this meeting, therefore, as a citizen and Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I respectfully protest adoption of Plan X or any other plan until the matter can be decided by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco. I appreciate that a delay might jeopardize securing the loan of fifteen million dollars from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation of which amount approximately six million four hundred

thousand dollars is intended for elevated structure terminus and land in San Francisco. Governor, in order to avoid losing the fifteen million dollars in question, I respectfully suggest that approximately six million four hundred thousand be appropriated to San Francisco toward structure terminus and land but the decision of Plan X to be left to the Board of Supervisors. San Francisco to assume any amount beyond the six million four hundred thousand in event that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation refuses to allow an excess amount. This matter is of vital importance to San Francisco, therefore the door should not be closed against San Francisco through the adoption of Plan X or any other plan until fully discussed. Only on Tuesday was I advised by Mr. Florence McAuliffe that Plan X was to be adopted by the Toll Bridge Authority. The Toll Bridge Authority has never consulted the Board of Supervisors regarding this or any other plan. Governor I trust you will act favorably upon this protest. Best wishes.

ADOLPH UHL.

December 7, 1934.

Governor Frank F. Merriam, State Capitol, Sacramento, California.

Reported here that contract is about to be signed for San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the Interurban Electric Company for electric service. San Francisco Board of Supervisors have not had sufficient time to analyze the reported contract. Kindly reserve from signing same until San Francisco Board of Supervisors has analyzed it. A few days' time in this matter will serve to give everybody an opportunity to be heard. Supervisors will meet Monday and you will be advised by then as to their views on this all important matter.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. MCSHEEHY,
President, Board of Supervisors.

Motions.

Thereupon, the following motions were made and *carried* without objection:

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that objections be made to the proper parties as follows:

(1) We object to the exclusive rights granted these companies for the use of this bridge;

(2) We object to the ambiguities in the recapture clause in this agreement and the ambiguities concerning the use of more modern equipment;

(3) We object to the use of the bridge by these companies without a rental charge being made therefor and we submit that a rental charge at least commensurate with the use of these facilities be charged.

SUPERVISOR GALLAGHER: I move you that the Clerk of this Board address or wire a letter as might be proper to and including the President of the United States, the Reconstruction Finance officials, and the Congressional Representatives and Senatorial Representatives of the State of California, calling their attention to the fact that the Toll Bridge charge might lose the advantage if there be any advantage in the lower deck of this bridge by virtue of the fact that there is an expiration date for the legal life of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and that immediate steps be taken to guarantee this City and County in the consideration of this matter which has come up, San Francisco be given more time in that respect, and that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation be therefor given further authority.

Supervisor Havenner, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, moved that the City Attorney make a study and report on the Transportation Act or any other laws pertaining to the subject which has to do with the creating of authority for the formation of a transportation or public

utilities district embracing San Francisco and Alameda counties and such other territory as may be essential to such a district.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the matter of bridge approaches, including his plan for subway, previously referred to the Public Utilities Committee, be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, December 17, at 5 p. m.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation of \$25,000 From Municipal Railway Operative Fund for Bridge Terminals and Rapid Transit Investigation.

(Code No. 9.051)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 653, Ordinance No. 9.051155, as follows:

Appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund to provide funds to defray the cost of a study, report, recommendation and estimate of cost thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Public Utilities Commission, having requested the Mayor to recommend that an appropriation of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund be made for the purpose of defraying the cost of a study, report, recommendation and estimate of cost thereon to be made by the Public Utilities Commission of San Francisco upon the terminal and rapid transit facilities in San Francisco and on the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and the Mayor concurring in the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission and advising the Board of the necessity for the same, there is hereby appropriated the sum of \$25,000 out of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund for the purpose of making a study and report thereon by the Public Utilities Commission of the terminal and rapid transit facilities for San Francisco and the Peninsula connected with the San Francisco Bay Bridge.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Fire Limits Extended.

(Code No. 11.08)

On recommendation of Committee on Fire, Safety and Police.

Bill No. 655, Ordinance No. 11.0811, as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5831 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating the construction, erection, enlargement, raising, alteration, repair, removal, maintenance, use and height of buildings; regulating character and use of materials in and for buildings, establishing fire limits and repealing all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance," by extending the fire limits to include the territory bounded by Sixth street, First street, Howard street and Brannan street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), as amended by Ordinance No. 5831 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fire Limits

Section 3. Those portions of the City and County of San Francisco within the boundary lines in this section hereinafter set forth shall be known as the fire limits within which it shall be unlawful to erect or construct frame or wooden buildings, or to alter, enlarge, repair, add to or build upon any building or buildings except as in this ordinance otherwise provided, viz.:

The fire limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly end of the center line of Greenwich street; running thence westerly along the center line of Greenwich street to its intersection with the center line of Sansome street; thence southerly along the center line of Sansome street to its intersection with the center line of Broadway; thence westerly along the center line of Broadway to the center line of Cordelia street; thence southerly along the center line of Cordelia street to its intersection with the center line of Pacific street; thence westerly along the center line of Pacific street to the center of the crossing of Pacific and Powell streets; thence southerly along the center line of Powell street to the center of the crossing of Powell and Sacramento streets; thence easterly along the center line of Sacramento street to the center line of the crossing of Sacramento and Stockton streets; thence southerly along the center line of Stockton street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet northerly from the northerly line of Bush street; thence westerly and parallel with Bush street on a line distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet northerly from the northerly line of Bush street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet easterly from the easterly line of Van Ness avenue; thence northerly on a line parallel with Van Ness avenue, to the center line of Washington street; thence westerly and along the center line of Washington street to a point distant one hundred and thirty-seven and one-half ($137\frac{1}{2}$) feet westerly from the westerly line of Van Ness avenue; thence southerly on a line parallel with Van Ness avenue to the center line of California street; thence westerly and along the center line of California street to the center line of Franklin street; thence southerly along the center line of Franklin street to the center of the crossing of Franklin and Turk streets; thence westerly along the center line of Turk street to the center line of the crossing of Turk and Gough streets; thence southerly along the center line of Gough street to its intersection with the center line of Market street; thence southerly and westerly along the center line of Market street to Valencia street; thence southerly along the center line of Valencia street to the center line of the crossing of Valencia and McCoppin streets; thence at a right angle easterly along the center line of McCoppin street to a point one hundred and forty-four (144) feet easterly from the easterly line of Valencia street; thence extending in a northerly and easterly direction on a radius of three hundred and ninety-six and eight one-hundredths (396.08) feet to the center line of Stevenson street if produced through private property, and along the center line of Stevenson street to the westerly line of Brady street; thence diagonally in an easterly direction across Brady street to the intersection of the east line of Brady street and the center line of Stevenson street produced and Stevenson street; thence along the center line of Stevenson street in a northeasterly direction to the center line of Twelfth street; thence southeasterly along the center line of Twelfth street to the center line of Otis street; thence in a northerly and easterly direction along the center line of Otis street and Mission street to the center of the crossing of Mission and Ninth streets; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of Ninth street to the center of the crossing of Ninth and Minna streets; thence in a northerly and easterly direction along the center line of Minna street to Sixth street; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of Sixth street to the center of the crossing of Sixth and Howard streets; thence in a northerly and easterly

direction along the center line of Howard street to the center line of the crossing of Howard and First streets; thence in a southerly and easterly direction along the center line of First street to the center of the crossing of First and Folsom streets; thence easterly along the center line of Folsom street to a point 137 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Beale street; thence in a southerly direction and parallel with Beale street to a point 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Harrison street; thence in a westerly direction and parallel with Bryant street to the center line of Fremont street; thence in a southerly direction along the center line of Fremont street to the center line of Bryant street; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Bryant street to the center line of First street; thence in a southerly direction along the center line of First street to the center line of Brannan street; thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Brannan street to a point 412 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Second street; thence in a southerly direction and parallel to Second street to the shore line of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco; thence along the shore line of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in a northerly and westerly direction to the point of commencement.

Also, commencing at a point on the center line of Fulton street 171 feet 10½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Geary street 171 feet 10½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to a point 175 feet 7½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Post street 175 feet 7½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Post street to a point 205 feet 4½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sutter street 205 feet 4½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Sutter street to a point 161 feet 8½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Bush street 161 feet 8½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Bush street to a point 165 feet 7½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Pine street 165 feet 7½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Pine street to a point on the center line of Middle street; thence along the center line of Middle street to a point on the center line of California street; thence westerly along the center line of California street to a point 161 feet 1½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sacramento street 164 feet 1½ inches east of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Sacramento street to a point 190 feet 7½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of California street 190 feet 7½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of California street to a point 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Pine street, 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Pine street to a point 190 feet 7½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Bush street 190 feet 7½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Bush street to a point 184 feet 4½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Sutter street, 184 feet 4½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Sutter street to a point 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of Post street 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence westerly along the center line of Post street to a point on the center line of Avery street; thence along the center line of Avery street

to a point on the center line of Geary street; thence easterly along the center line of Geary street to a point 216 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence southerly 171 feet 10½ inches to a point 216 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along lot line to a point 189 feet 4½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence to a point on the center line of O'Farrell street 189 feet 4½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of O'Farrell street to a point 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Fulton street 171 feet 10½ inches west of the center line of Fillmore street; thence easterly along the center line of Fulton street to the point of commencement.

Commencing at a point on the center of the crossing of Sixth street and Howard street; running thence northeasterly along the center line of Howard street to the center of the intersection of First street and Howard street; running thence southeasterly along the center line of First street to the intersection of First street with Folsom street; running thence easterly along the center line of Folsom street to a point 137 feet 6 inches west of the westerly line of Beale street; running thence in a southerly direction parallel with Beale street to a point 275 feet southerly from the southerly line of Harrison street; running thence in a westerly direction and parallel with Bryant street to the center line of Fremont street; running thence southerly along the center line of Fremont street to the center line of Bryant street; running thence in a westerly direction along the center line of Bryant street to the center line of First street; running thence in a southerly direction along the center line of First street to the center line of Brannan street; running thence westerly along the center line of Brannan street to the center line of Sixth street; thence in a northerly direction along the center line of Sixth street to the center line of Howard street and the point of commencement.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Providing for the Sale of Certain City-Owned Land on the North Line of Sacramento Street, East of Drumm Street, San Francisco.

(Code No. 12.1726)

On recommendation of Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Bill No. 652, Ordinance No. 12.17265, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land on the north line of Sacramento street, east of Drumm street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Fire Department, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the control of the Fire Department:

Beginning at a point on the north line of Sacramento street, distant thereon 155 feet easterly from the east line of Drumm street, running thence easterly along the north line of Sacramento street 20 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 59 feet 9 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 20 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 59 feet 9 inches to the point of beginning.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five days, before the date of sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the

Supervisors and to the Fire Department the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may, by ordinance, direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least 90 per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Sale of City Land, Potrero Avenue.

(Code No. 12.1727)

Also, Bill No. 656, Ordinance No. 12.17274, as follows:

Providing for the sale of certain City-owned land on the west line of Potrero avenue, south of Twenty-second street, San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 92 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Department of Public Health, the Board of Supervisors hereby declare that public interest and necessity demands the sale of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California, which parcel of land is owned by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, and is under the administration of the Department of Public Health:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Potrero avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Twenty-second street; and running thence southerly along said westerly line of Potrero avenue, 50 feet; thence westerly at right angles 100 feet; thence northerly at right angles 50 feet; thence easterly at right angles 100 feet to the westerly line of Potrero avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Mission Block 148.

Section 2. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary appraisal of the value of said property and shall advertise by publication for a period of five (5) days, exclusive of Sundays, before the date of such sale, the time and place of such sale. The Director of Property shall forthwith report to the Supervisors and to the Department of Public Health the amount of any and all tenders received by him.

Section 3. Upon receipt of the tenders, as aforesaid, the Supervisors may authorize the acceptance of the highest and best tender, or they may by ordinance direct that such property be sold at public auction, the date of which shall be fixed in said ordinance.

Section 4. No sale other than a sale at public auction shall be authorized by the Supervisors unless the sum offered shall be at least ninety (90) per cent of the preliminary appraisal of the property hereinbefore referred to.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following recommendation of Streets Committee was taken up and on motion *laid over three weeks*:

Street Work on Unaccepted Streets.

(Code No. 12.021)

Bill No. 651, Ordinance No. 12.0212, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Public Works to grant permission for

performance of street work in or upon unaccepted public streets in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract; regulating the manner in which such work shall be done; prescribing the conditions and requirements essential to the obtaining of such permission; and repealing Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Application for permission to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract must be made in writing to the Director of Public Works, which application shall contain a comprehensive description of the work to be done. Said Director shall thereupon investigate such application, and if after investigation the Director determines that the public interest or convenience requires the doing of the proposed work and that the same is expedient and will not be productive of detriment to the public safety or convenience, he is hereby authorized to grant permission for the doing of the same as applied for or as modified by the direction of the City Engineer, subject to the conditions and provisions in this ordinance hereinafter prescribed and provided.

Section 2. No permission for the doing of any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco, except in the case of main sewer construction, or the improvement of a street crossing or intersection as hereinafter provided for, shall be granted in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, unless the owners of all of the improvable frontage on a block of the street whereon or wherein such work is proposed to be done, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into a written contract for the doing thereof, then and in such case said Director may grant permission for the making of same; *provided, however, that if the applicant for a permit to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street shall obtain contracts for the doing of said work from the owners, or authorized agents of the owners, of 60 per cent or more of the frontage upon a street, between main intersections, proposed to be improved, as delineated upon a diagram accompanying the application, then the Director of Public Works shall, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the application, accompanied by said contracts, or photostatic copies thereof, institute public proceedings, in accordance with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, for the improvement of the portion or portions, between said intersections, of the street proposed to be improved, for which applicant files no contract or contracts. If the order of the Director of Public Works requiring the improvement of the portion or portions of the work not included in the private contract or contracts, be sustained by the Board of Supervisors, then the Director of Public Works shall issue a permit for the doing of the portion or portions of the work privately contracted for and the Director of Public Works shall at the same time call for bids for the construction of the portion or portions ordered done under public proceedings.* Any contract herein authorized shall include provision for all necessary underground service facilities.

Where the construction of a main sewer is deemed by the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer to be necessary in any block proposed to be improved by private contract, then and in such case no work, except grading, involving the construction of a pavement on such block, shall be permitted to be done until such main sewer shall have been constructed with side sewers and other appurtenances as in this section hereinafter provided for and regulated.

Where a main sewer has already been constructed in a block and side sewers and other appurtenances to such main sewer are deemed necessary by the said Director and City Engineer, the construction of the same shall be conditioned for in the private contract in this ordinance referred to.

In the case of the construction of a main sewer in any block, no permission for the construction of the same by private contract shall be

granted unless such contract is signed and conditioned for the construction of such sewer for its entire serviceable length between the main street crossings, or main street intersections, as may be determined by the City Engineer, with side sewers and other expedient and essential appurtenances as may be required by the City Engineer, under such regulations as may be prescribed by him, and approved by the Director of Public Works.

The provisions of Ordinance No. 5923 (New Series) regulating the construction, reconstruction or repair of private side sewers or drains and the connection thereof with main public sewers, approved June 28, 1923, shall not be deemed applicable to the construction of side sewers by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Permission for the improvement of a public street crossing or intersection shall not be granted unless the owners of at least a majority of the frontage of the lots and lands liable for the cost thereof, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into contract therefor, such frontage being determinable according to method provided in the Improvement Act of 1911 of the State of California, as said act provides at the time of adoption of this ordinance, for determining the frontage liable for the improvement of street crossings or intersections.

Section 3. Two original contracts, or two photostatic copies of the original contract, for the doing of any proposed street work pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall accompany the application for permission to do the proposed work together with a diagram showing thereon the lots and lands signed for by the respective owners thereof, or by their agents, as indicated in such contract and the respective frontages so signed for; and to such contracts accompanying such application there shall be attached affidavits sworn to before a notary public that the signatures of said owners or their agents respectively appearing in such contracts, are genuine, and were to the actual knowledge of affiant subscribed by said owners or said agents, respectively, and that the frontage set opposite the said signatures, severally, is correct according to affiant's best information and belief.

Section 4. The work proposed to be done under such private contract must be of a class or type approved and recommended by the City Engineer. Such work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works and the materials to be used therein must be in accordance with specifications adopted by the Director of Public Works for similar work, and be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

The Director of Public Works shall fix the time within which the work shall be completed, which time shall begin to run from the date of the order of the said Director granting the permission for the doing of the same.

When the work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Director of Public Works, the said Director shall so declare by order, and thereupon deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 5. In case the work to be done by private contract, as hereinbefore provided for, shall not have been completed within the time limited in the order of permission or within such extended time as may be granted by the Director of Public Works, then said Director shall by order revoke the permission theretofore granted for doing such work.

Section 6. No permission for doing any street work by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall become effective until the contractor covenanting to perform the same shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco, and delivered to the Secretary of the Department of Public Works a bond in such amount as may have been fixed in the order of the said Director, granting such permission, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of the contract, or shall have deposited with

the said Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for the said amount as a guaranty for such performance. Before entering upon the performance of any work in this ordinance provided for, the contractor covenanting to do such work shall also file with the Director of Public Works a bond, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, to be satisfactory in all respects to said Director, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable by the terms of the contract, conditioned for the payment of all materialmen and employees under the contract. In lieu of such bonds or certified check, any contractor may deliver to said Secretary a bond in the sum of \$25,000, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, conditioned for faithful performance of any and all private contracts authorized to be performed by him in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, and for the payment of all materialmen and employees under such contracts. Such last-mentioned bond must be satisfactory in all respects to said Director and shall be renewed annually.

No assignment or transfer of a contract authorized or provided for in this ordinance, or of any rights thereunder, shall operate to relieve the surety or sureties on any bond executed in connection with such contract, as herein provided for, from the obligations or liabilities assumed in and by such bond, nor change or in any manner or degree qualify such obligations or liabilities. [All such assignments or transfers of contracts must be recorded in the County Recorder's office and due notice thereof given to the Director of Public Works.]

Section 7. The Director of Public Works may institute such inquiry as he deems proper for the purpose of determining the authenticity of the signatures appearing on a private contract, or the authority of the parties thereto to sign same.

Section 8. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the Director of Public Works from granting permission to an individual owner or his duly authorized agent to improve a public street in front of his property, if in the judgment of the City Engineer and the said Director such improvement be deemed advisable and expedient, and the public interest or convenience requires the same.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall not be deemed in any way to affect any of the matters provided for in the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of the City and County of San Francisco for the improvement of streets by public contract and assessment of the cost thereof against private property.

Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), and all ordinances amendatory thereof, and all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to private contracts for street improvements are hereby repealed. This ordinance, however, shall not in any manner be held to affect any private contract heretofore in force and effect in pursuance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, which provisions shall be deemed applicable until the completion of every such contract.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following bill was *passed for second reading*:

Supervisors' Revolving Fund.

(Code No. 1.07)

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher:

Bill No. 658, Ordinance No. 1.072, as follows:

Establishing a revolving fund for the Board of Supervisors and providing for the administration of said fund and for the reimbursement thereof, and repealing Ordinance 1.071.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as "The Board of Supervisors' Revolving Fund," which said fund shall not exceed in amount the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars, and from which said revolving fund there shall be paid such expenses for the Board of Supervisors, the members and committees thereof, and for the office of the Clerk of said Board, all that is permitted by law and which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that traveling expenses of the said members of the Board of Supervisors payable in conformity with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter, shall be paid only on authorization of the Board of Supervisors and in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0561.

Section 2. All moneys received for said revolving fund shall be deposited in such bank or banks as the Finance Committee of said Board shall direct, and shall be drawn therefrom upon the order of the Chairman of said Finance Committee, or upon the order of such other person or persons as said Finance Committee shall designate. Said Finance Committee shall keep, or cause to be kept, a full, true and correct account of all moneys received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once in each month, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with the proper vouchers supporting said disbursements, and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, said Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of the Chairman of said Finance Committee for the aggregate amount of said disbursement, and when the amount of said warrant so drawn is received by the Chairman of said Finance Committee, it shall be placed to the credit of said fund.

Section 3. The sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and ordered paid to the Chairman of the Finance Committee, the said sum to be deposited in bank, as directed by Section 2 of this ordinance.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 1.071 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Shannon—3.

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Truck License Fees.

The following recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee was taken up:

(Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri and Hayden voting *aye*;
Supervisor Shannon voting *no.*)

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 659, Ordinance No. 3.04141, as follows:

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 73. Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating vehicles offered to the public for hire for transportation of goods, wares and merchandise, shall pay a license for each such vehicle operated, as follows:

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or trailer drawn by two horses, six (6) dollars per annum;

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or

trailer, drawn by more than two horses, twelve and one-half (12.50) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by two horses and not included in the first classification, five (5) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by one horse, two (2) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting not exceeding three-quarters of a ton, six (6) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting over three-quarters of a ton and less than two tons, seven (7) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting two tons and less than three tons, ten (10) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three tons or over, twelve (12) dollars per annum.

For each motorcycle or tricycle, three (3) dollars per annum.

The license required by this section shall become due and payable on the first day of January of each year, *provided, however, that*

Vehicles registered with the State after March 31st shall pay only three-quarters (3/4) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after June 30th shall pay only one-half (1/2) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after September 30th shall pay only one-fourth (1/4) of such fees.

If not paid within 30 days after same has become due, the Tax Collector shall add 10 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within 60 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 15 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within 90 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 25 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within six (6) months after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 50 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

Nothing shall permit the exemption of penalties mentioned in this section, except the filing with the Tax Collector of a bill of sale or a copy of the certificate issued by the Motor Vehicle Department of the State of California by the purchaser or new owner.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the foregoing proposed legislation.

President McSheehy was elected to preside.

I. A. Richardson, Director of Bureau of Delinquent Revenue, was heard in support of the proposed ordinance.

Fred Bigelow, representing Regulated Carriers, Inc., pointed out a loss of revenue to San Francisco by the operation of itinerant carriers from points outside San Francisco and urged the passage of the ordinance as a correction.

J. F. Vizzard, Secretary of the Draymen's Association, opposed the ordinance in so far as it taxed trucks operated for hire and not those operated by a private individual.

Geo. Fitch, representing the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, was heard in favor of the proposed legislation.

Communication.

A communication from I. A. Richardson, Director of Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collections, was read as follows:

December 7, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Members of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: In explanation of the Vehicle Truck License Ordinance which goes before your Honorable Body on the 10th instant for consideration, I beg to submit some of the facts which were brought to the attention of the Tax Collector, and this department, as its Enforcement Bureau.

On or about January 15, 1934, two representative members of the Draymen's Association called at this department in relation to what steps would be taken in the setting aside of the penalties accruing for non-payment of truck licenses after January 31, even if said trucks were not going to be operated until probably April or July of said year.

I took the matter up with Mr. W. A. Dold of the City Attorney's Office, and in a letter, rather than an opinion, he informed me that it was his opinion that said truck ordinance, in itself, was unconstitutional, setting forth his contention that this was a double taxation, and that the ordinance was a tax upon the ownership rather than the operation of the vehicle involved.

The matter was then brought to the attention of Hon. Leonard S. Leavy, Controller, who turned same over to his attorney, who also concurred with the opinion of Mr. Dold.

I was then asked to take steps for the introduction of an ordinance which would be legal and stand up under any legal requirements. Since said time, the courts have given their opinions as to the right of the City and County of San Francisco to collect licenses only for the cost of regulation and inspection, but not for revenue purposes.

In endeavoring to follow out the legal requirements in relation to the enactment of the ordinance, Section 145 of the California Vehicle Act was taken into consideration as to the right to license. This section provides that the Boards of Supervisors of the respective counties, and the legislative bodies of incorporated cities, do have the power for the licensing and regulating the operation of vehicles offered to the public for hire.

Under the terms of this ordinance, the persons who were operating their own vehicles, delivering their own commodities upon sales made, would not be subjected to the requirements of the license payment. This also follows up in the language of the Charter, under section 24, that no license can be collected from any place of business where new goods, wares or merchandise are distributed.

By the enactment of this ordinance, the elimination of those who are hauling their own goods, the City and County will probably lose from \$40,000 or \$50,000, but we anticipate an equal amount, or thereabouts, can be collected from those draymen who are making deliveries to San Francisco from outside sources. This ordinance will not affect the individual coming in to San Francisco to make purchases from any of our merchants. Unfortunately, under our old ordinance, the matter of exemption was not given, and there was no exception to anyone.

I am satisfied that the legal authority of the City Attorney's Office will coincide with the recommendation of this ordinance. It may be questionable as to the fee set up, if we must adhere strictly to the language of the decision of the courts, that one could only license from a regulation or inspection standpoint.

This information as to the actual cost of said regulation or inspection, I am not in a position to give, although personally I would like to be able to do so.

The contention raised by the opposition to this ordinance is that, because one class will be exempted and that the others will not—that

the ordinance should not be adopted. If I knew of any legal way that this exemption could be removed, I would suggest same.

This matter will no doubt be gone into before your body for further detail.

Hoping this information is satisfactory, I beg to remain,

Yours very truly,

I. A. RICHARDSON,

Director, Bureau of Delinquent Revenues.

Roll was called on a motion to re-refer to Committee and the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Committee Arises.

Whereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported that it had heard interested parties and recommends postponement of further action until 3 p. m., Monday, December 17, 1934.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the foregoing bill was, on motion, *laid over until Monday, December 17, 1934, and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.*

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Authorizing Extension of Agreement With Veranus Ellinwood et ux., for Lands Required as Easement for the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Tuolumne County.

(Code No. 15.0341)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1687, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, under authority of Resolution No. 25673 (New Series) of this Board, accepted a certain deed dated August 10, 1926, from Veranus Ellinwood et ux., to an easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions, if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantor shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantor a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantor; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for furnishing said water and for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 1138 extended said time limit to October 1, 1934; and

Whereas, said Veranus Ellinwood has requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1935, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement dated October 7, 1934, between Veranus Ellinwood et ux., and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1935, subject to all

conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 646.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Authorizing Extension of Agreement With Edwin Jasper et al., for Subsurface Easement, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, Tuolumne County.

(Code No. 15.0341)

Also, Resolution No. 1688, as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco under authority of Resolution No. 24746 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors accepted a certain deed dated November 21, 1925, from Edwin T. Jasper et al. to a subsurface easement for a portion of the Foothill Tunnel of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct in Tuolumne County, California; and

Whereas, said deed provides that under certain conditions if the natural flow of water in any springs on the land of the grantors shall disappear or diminish, the City shall furnish to the grantors a continuous supply of water sufficient for the present domestic, irrigation and stock watering requirements of the grantors; and

Whereas, said deed provides a time limit for the ascertainment of the amount of any damage which may be caused by the disappearance or diminution of the natural flow of water in said springs; and

Whereas, said time limit was extended to October 1, 1934, by Resolution No. 1288 of this Board, adopted February 13, 1934; and

Whereas, said Edwin T. Jasper et al. have requested the Director of Property to further extend said time limit to October 1, 1935, and the Public Utilities Commission has recommended the further extension of said time limit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of a written agreement dated October 9, 1934, between Edwin T. Jasper et al. and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, extending said time limit of said deed to and until October 1, 1935, subject to all conditions contained in said deed, except as expressly modified in said agreement.

Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 645.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Accepting Deed to Lands Adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Right of Way, San Mateo County.

(Code No. 12.17151)

Also, Resolution No. 1689, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from San Mateo County Title Company, formerly Geo. H. Rice Abstract Company, to certain parcels of land adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way in San Mateo County, California, which parcels have been held in trust for the City by said company in accordance with Resolution No. 20175 (New Series) of this Board.

Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 667.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Accepting Deed to Parcels of Land Adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Right of Way, Stanislaus County.

(Code No. 12.17151)

Also, Resolution No. 1690, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed

from Stanislaus Land and Abstract Company to certain parcels of land adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way in Stanislaus County, California, which parcels have been held in trust for the City by said company in accordance with Resolution No. 21249 (New Series) of this Board.

Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 667.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Accepting Deed to Lands Adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Right of Way, Alameda County.

(Code No. 12.17151)

Also, Resolution No. 1691, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Alameda County Title Insurance Company to certain parcels of land adjoining the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way in Alameda County, California, which parcels have been held in trust for the City by said Company in accordance with Resolution No. 21250 (New Series) of this Board.

Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 667.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Acceptance of Deeds, Land for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

On recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Resolution No. 1692, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.05:

Agnes Murphy and Anthony Murphy, Lot 19 in Assessor's Block 2110	\$650.00
Rudolph W. Schmidt and Alice E. Schmidt, Lot 21 in Assessor's Block 2144	250.00

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Acceptance of Deed, Land for Sunset Reservoir.

(Code No. 12.17152)

Also, Resolution No. 1693, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Effie Johnson to Lot 8 in Assessor's Block 2107, San Francisco, required for the Sunset Reservoir and that the sum of \$250 be paid for said land from the 1933 Water Distribution Bond Fund, Appropriation No. 93.905.05.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Re Ratio of Assessed to True Value of Non-Operative Property.

December 1, 1934.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:
To all County and City Clerks:

Pursuant to the provisions of section 11 of Chapter 642, Statutes of 1931, as amended by Chapter 757, Statutes of 1933 (Act 8490, Deering's General Laws) you are hereby notified that the State Board of Equalization has determined the average ratio of assessed to true value of property as assessed for taxation for general county purposes in each county and city and county in the state, and that said ratio for such property in your county or the county within which your city is located as of the first Monday in March of the current year is as appears on the enclosed schedule, entitled, "1934 Assessment Ratios for all Counties in California as Found by the State Board of Equalization."

In accordance with the provisions of law, the ratio so appearing on said schedule shall be used for the purposes of said act for the two years beginning January 1, 1935. It will be recalled that the act is designated to limit the amount of special assessments for public improvements and acquisitions of property for public purposes and that such assessment ratios are to be used for the purpose of limitation upon the cost of any proposed improvement and/or acquisition as provided in section 10 thereof.

This communication, together with its enclosure, constitutes the notice required to be given to you by the State Board of Equalization not later than December 3, 1934. Under the law, no further notice will be given until December, 1936, so it is suggested that you retain this notice, including the schedule of assessment ratios for further reference.

DIXWELL L. PIERCE,

Secretary, State Board of Equalization.

1934 Assessment Ratios for All Counties in California As Found By the State Board of Equalization

(Table prepared pursuant to provisions of section 11, Chapter 642, Statutes of 1931, as amended by Chapter 757, Statutes of 1933, and shows total assessed value, true value, and ratio of such assessed value to true value as determined by said Board for all non-operative property, excluding solvent credits and other intangibles taxed at special low rates. The figures are shown for each county and for the state as a whole.)

Counties	Total Assessed Value	Total True Value	Per Cent
Alameda	\$ 381,841,782	\$ 756,868,903	50.45
Alpine	779,124	1,371,697	56.80
Amador	6,121,150	12,697,798	48.21
Butte	31,228,993	71,739,648	43.53
Calaveras	6,699,420	12,806,523	52.31
Colusa	17,884,255	34,467,291	51.89
Contra Costa	82,943,457	211,137,433	39.28
Del Norte	9,143,282	19,966,640	45.79
El Dorado	10,192,325	21,807,218	46.74
Fresno	129,615,505	258,001,325	50.24
Glenn	17,572,780	35,642,278	49.30
Humboldt	44,714,485	96,590,254	46.29
Imperial	38,749,159	76,041,802	50.96
Inyo	11,099,195	39,658,453	27.99
Kern	139,219,840	377,880,750	36.84
Kings	38,000,160	77,616,792	48.96
Lake	7,006,500	17,908,512	39.12

Lassen	12,657,826	24,092,328	52.54
Los Angeles	2,178,925,205	4,340,297,836	50.20
Madera	20,623,400	39,897,497	51.69
Marin	28,719,299	77,569,273	37.02
Mariposa	4,293,908	7,804,269	55.02
Mendocino	19,408,905	52,400,990	37.04
Merced	32,815,885	94,242,242	34.82
Modoc	6,953,028	26,497,965	26.24
Mono	3,223,200	5,491,907	58.69
Monterey	52,463,910	136,593,796	38.41
Napa	21,018,060	42,345,116	49.64
Nevada	6,396,185	16,787,453	38.10
Orange	125,884,945	351,148,904	35.85
Placer	19,407,500	36,328,417	53.42
Plumas	7,919,535	13,872,645	57.09
Riverside	46,201,550	144,905,322	31.88
Sacramento	126,856,640	225,433,770	56.27
San Benito	12,938,275	25,745,646	50.25
San Bernardino	67,711,243	217,158,441	31.18
San Diego	149,176,025	337,403,529	44.21
San Francisco	737,677,746	1,409,395,769	52.34
San Joaquin	82,020,940	190,251,688	43.11
San Luis Obispo	32,902,288	66,792,004	49.26
San Mateo	50,051,101	185,939,535	26.92
Santa Barbara	77,609,556	179,101,135	43.33
Santa Clara	119,775,525	270,485,074	44.28
Santa Cruz	23,873,735	67,289,825	35.48
Shasta	13,518,035	41,993,705	32.19
Sierra	2,508,715	5,878,254	42.68
Siskiyou	17,526,840	33,315,217	52.61
Solano	31,542,834	64,379,150	49.00
Sonoma	40,240,232	114,131,865	35.26
Stanislaus	42,464,645	106,736,900	39.78
Sutter	15,938,175	42,835,901	37.21
Tehama	13,629,240	28,380,664	48.02
Trinity	2,935,660	7,415,155	39.59
Tulare	52,371,699	127,448,391	41.09
Tuolumne	7,082,750	22,500,214	31.48
Ventura	66,709,950	153,519,888	43.45
Yolo	25,992,362	57,536,397	45.18
Yuba	13,766,920	29,802,866	46.19
Total	\$5,386,544,884	\$11,543,350,260	46.66

Relative to Supervisors' "Fees and Special Compensation" Account.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from A. E. Curtis, Director of Finance and Records, calling attention to the "Fees and Special Compensation" Account of the Board of Supervisors in so far as the bills of Messrs. Dewing, Weaver and Williams, Supervisors' reporters, are concerned.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Pasadena Rose Festival Participation.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Communication from Rudolph G. Theurkauf, calling attention to the fact that, owing to the lack of funds in the City's Advertising Fund, no entry is in sight this year to represent San Francisco at the Pasadena Rose Festival, that our absence after a ten years' participation will be very conspicuous, and suggesting that an expenditure of \$500 will put San Francisco in the line of parade along with other California cities the coming New Year's Day.

Referred to Chief Administrative Officer.

Re Transportation Problem, Trans-Bay Bridge.

Communication from San Francisco Labor Council, transmitting copy of resolution demanding that, before the government of the United States, the Toll Bridge Authority and the Railroad Commission authorizes further expenditure of public money for a rapid transit system across the Bay Bridge, these governmental agencies make sure that the rapid transit system is publicly owned and publicly controlled, and that such transportation actually gives the people who pay the bill the right kind of a system and the right kind of service; that consideration be given to the possibility of the Municipal Railway taking over the problem of transportation across the bridge.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Statement of Supervisors' Revolving Fund.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication from A. E. Curtis, Director, Finance and Records, furnishing statement of Supervisors' Contractual Service, and estimated expenditure for 1934-35.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Accepting Deed, Land Required for Francis Scott Key School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1694, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following named parties to certain lots in San Francisco required for the Francis Scott Key School, and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 70.600.00:

G. Fontana et al., Lot 1, Assessor's Block 1888.....	\$11,950
John Brazzale, Lot 1-A, Assessor's Block 1888.....	1,500

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Promote Fifth Annual Charity Football Game Under Auspices of Knights of Columbus, Kezar Stadium, January 20, 1935.

(Code No. 5.92)

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 1695, as follows:

Whereas, the Fifth Annual Charity Football Game held under the auspices of the San Francisco Chapter of the Knights of Columbus will be played at Kezar Stadium, January 20, 1935; and

Whereas, the proceeds of this game are used to maintain the Knights of Columbus Free Non-Sectarian Employment Bureau and to assist the St. Vincent de Paul Society of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee to assist in the promotion of the Fifth Annual Knights of Columbus Charity Football Game.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Garbage Disposal at Mills Field.

(Code No. 17.08)

Supervisor Uhl presented:

Resolution No. 1696, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco by the fill and cover method at Mills Field, in the County of San Mateo, State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for the disposal, by fill and cover method, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at Mills Fields in the County of San Mateo, State of California;

That the grantee must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the fill and cover operations at Mills Field;

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932;

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for the disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco;

That in all matters and operations connected with the work, or the franchise or privilege, the Charter and all ordinances of the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco, and the laws, ordinances and regulations of the governmental subdivisions concerned, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with;

That the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall maintain, for the benefit of all prospective bidders, a guide list or statement of the requirements and conditions under which said garbage and refuse may be loaded into conveyances and transported to said Mills Field. The grantee shall furnish his own loading platform in the City and County of San Francisco and shall provide all necessary labor and appurtenances for the complete loading, transportation and fill and cover of said garbage and refuse;

That no proposal, after having been deposited with the Board of Supervisors, will be allowed to be withdrawn on account of alleged errors of computation, or clerical errors in computing, incomplete or erroneous information or for any other reason;

That the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the foregoing resolution, will award the said franchise or privilege to the best bidder and said Board reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby;

That the proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms;

That any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal, or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, openly and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

A certified check payable to the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of \$———— shall accompany all bids, and shall be returned to a bidder immediately upon rejection of his bid. Upon the filing of the bond hereinafter mentioned the check of the successful bidder shall be returned to him. If such successful bidder shall fail to file said bond, said check shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and be it

Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond of a responsible surety company running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000) Dollars, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege. A substitute bond under the same terms and conditions may be filed by the grantee at any time, provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to the Public Health Committee. (Meeting December 13, 1934, 10 a. m.)

Re Election of Golden Gate Bridge Directors.

Supervisor Gallagher declared that Supervisor Colman, Chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, had violated in rules and supervisory rights when he called up the question of the election of Golden Gate Bridge Directors at last Monday's meeting when Supervisor Brown was elected as director and Wm. P. Stanton, former Supervisor, was defeated. Supervisor Colman had stated at the meeting of November 26, 1934, he said, reading from the Journal of that date, that the nominations would be considered in the Public Utilities Committee on Wednesday, December 5, 1934, at 3:30 p. m. Instead and without hearing it was taken up in meeting of December 3, 1934, after he had left the meeting believing that nothing would be done until the committee had met.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that the appointment of Golden Gate Bridge and Highway Directors at the meeting of December 3, 1934, was not properly before the Board, was out of order and in violation of the rules.

Chairman McSheehy: Point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Uhl, appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Shannon being called upon put the question: "The decision of the Chair has been appealed from—Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

A vote *aye* sustains the Chair, a vote *no* overrules the Chair.

Chair Sustained.

Thereupon, the roll was called and the Chair was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—8.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Shannon—2.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Garbage Disposal.

Supervisor Uhl moved to recess until tomorrow at 10 a. m., for the purpose of taking up question of garbage disposal.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Hayden, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—5.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the garbage question be made a Special Order for 4:30 p. m. Monday.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that garbage disposal be made a Special Order for next Monday at 2:15 p. m.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisor Colman—1.

(Health Committee agreed to meet Thursday, December 13, 1934, at 10 a. m., on above subject.)

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7:50 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 17, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, December 17, 1934

Friday, December 21, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 17, 1934, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 17, 1934,
2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 2:40 p. m.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 5, 6, 10, 1934, were considered read and approved.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Protests—Filbert and Kearny Streets.

Hearing of protests of all parties interested in the matter of assessments for cost and expenses for improvement of the crossing of Filbert and Kearny streets.

Clerk at 5 p. m. this day announced that up to this hour no protests had been filed with him.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:15 P. M.

Providing for the Calling for Bids for Garbage Disposal.

Continuation of consideration of resolution No. 1711, providing for the calling for bids for garbage disposal.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Supervisor Uhl's Resolution on Mills Field.

Supervisor Uhl raised the question as to why his resolution on Mills Field did not appear on today's calendar.

Supervisor Roncovieri, chairman of the Public Health Committee, explained that at the meeting of the Committee last week it had been agreed to place this matter on the calendar; nevertheless that when he had a talk with Supervisor Havenner on the subject he was convinced that any such action at this time would seriously jeopardize the negotiations for a permit that was being carried on between the

Supervisors of San Mateo county and the Special Committee of this Board of Supervisors consisting of Supervisors Havenner, Brown and Colman, having in hand the matter of obtaining permission to dispose of garbage by fill and cover in San Mateo county. With that in mind, he said he had directed that the matter be not put on the calendar until the situation was cleared and the Board was given to understand the circumstances.

Supervisors Havenner, Brown and Colman expressed themselves on the subject-matter, declaring that it was a breach of official courtesy to interfere with a matter which was in their hands as a special committee and which they were handling with every prospect of successful outcome.

Motion.

Thereupon, Supervisor Hayden moved that Supervisor Uhl's resolution be referred to the Special Committee on garbage disposal at Mills Field.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Rencovieri, Shannon—9.

Noes—Supervisor Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Referred.

Thereupon, the following resolution heretofore presented and referred to the Health Committee was taken up and on motion of Supervisor Hayden referred to the Special Committee on Garbage Disposal in San Mateo County.

Garbage Disposal at Mills Field.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1696, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco by the fill and cover method at Mills Field, in the County of San Mateo, State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for the disposal, by fill and cover method, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at Mills Fields in the County of San Mateo, State of California;

That the grantee must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the fill and cover operations at Mills Field;

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution shall conform to that given in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8, 1932;

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for the disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco;

That in all matters and operations connected with the work, or the franchise or privilege, the Charter and all ordinances of the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco, and the laws, ordinances and regulations of the governmental subdivisions concerned, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with;

That the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall maintain, for the benefit of all prospective bidders, a guide list or statement of the requirements and conditions under which said garbage and refuse may be loaded into conveyances and transported to said Mills Field. The grantee shall furnish his own loading plat-

form in the City and County of San Francisco and shall provide all necessary labor and appurtenances for the complete loading, transportation and fill and cover of said garbage and refuse;

That no proposal, after having been deposited with the Board of Supervisors, will be allowed to be withdrawn on account of alleged errors of computation, or clerical errors in computing, incomplete or erroneous information or for any other reason;

That the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the foregoing resolution, will award the said franchise or privilege to the best bidder and said Board reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby;

That the proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer, and all proposals must be made on such forms;

That any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal, or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure.

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be uncon-

stitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the _____ day of _____, 1934, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, openly and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids, and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

A certified check payable to the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of \$_____ shall accompany all bids, and shall be returned to a bidder immediately upon rejection of his bid. Upon the filing of the bond hereinafter mentioned the check of the successful bidder shall be returned to him. If such successful bidder shall fail to file said bond, said check shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and be it

Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file a surety company bond of a responsible surety company running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000) Dollars, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege. A substitute bond under the same terms and conditions may be filed by the grantee at any time, provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Board of Supervisors resolve itself into Committee of the Whole and one hour's time be given to the discussion of the question of garbage disposal. If warranted, time may then be extended.

Motion carried.

Calling the Roll.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be requested to prepare a suitable recapture clause for insertion in the proposed legislation.

So ordered.

Amendments to Resolution Calling for Bids.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the certified check required in connection with a bid be fixed at \$10,000.

Assistant City Attorney Dold being asked, said that such a figure is acceptable under the law.

Sylvester Andriano, attorney for the Scavengers' Protective Association, opposed the proposed amendment, and stated that \$10,000 was not sufficient to keep out promoters, schemers, or the agents.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved as an amendment that a certified check of \$25,000 accompany each bid, be inserted.

Mr. Manuel Rose, prospective bidder, opposed any certified check being specified.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Hayden's proposed amendment providing for certified check for \$25,000, the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri—3.

Noes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Ratto, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Thereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Uhl's motion that a certified check of \$10,000 be required with the filing of each bid, and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Penalty Bond.

Sylvester Andriano, representing the Scavengers' Protective Association, in regard to the amount of bond to be required, indicated that the figure should be a large one. "What would be the damage to the City and County, for instance," he said, "if a failure on the part of one of the contractors to collect the garbage even for three or four days? These intangible damages are none the less real in case there be such failure on the part of a bidder."

Phillip Cain, chairman of the Bay Shore Sanitary District, complained of the alleged "very unsatisfactory disposal of garbage at the Bay Shore." He asked the Board of Supervisors to show the courtesy to the representatives of that district by inviting them to be in attendance at any further hearings on the subject of garbage there.

S. Ellinger, member of the Bay Shore Sanitary District's Board, said that he "verified" the statement of Mr. Phillip Cain as to the "deplorable conditions" existing at the Sanitary District by reason of the improper disposal of garbage in the district.

Sylvester Andriano, attorney for the Scavengers' Protective Association, took exception to the statements of Mr. Cain and Mr. Ellinger, and extended a cordial invitation to every member of the Board to visit the fill and cover operation now going on at the Bay Shore Sanitary District under the direction of the Scavengers' Protective Association.

Assistant City Engineer Healy expressed surprise that there should be any complaint against the fill and cover operations at Bay Shore. He maintained that the work is especially well done.

John Holland, prospective bidder, declared that Messrs. Cain and Ellinger were perfectly right, that the work was being improperly done and that Mr. Andriano, and Assistant City Engineer Healy were entirely wrong. With proper oiling and rolling the work can be properly done and there will be no menace at any time.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Roncovieri, moved that the bond required be a penal bond in the sum of \$50,000.

Subsequently, this motion was amended to read "not less than \$50,000 or more than \$100,000," to be set up in the franchise ordinance.

The roll was called and the foregoing proposed amendment was adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Committee Arises.

Thereupon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported favorably to the Board upon the resolution as amended.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the resolution, No. 1711, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Garbage Disposal.

(Code No. 17.08)

Resolution No. 1711, as follows:

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that the public interest will be subserved by the grant of a franchise or privilege for the disposal of the garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved That this Board, when satisfactory bids are offered, shall grant a franchise or privilege for the disposal, by any process except dumping at sea, of all garbage and refuse of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the grantee must guarantee that no nuisance of any nature will result from the disposition of the ashes, residue or reject materials which may accumulate at the place of final disposal;

That the definition of the words "garbage" and "refuse" wherever used in this resolution, shall conform to that given in the Refuse Collection and Disposal Ordinance adopted by the voters at the general election held on November 8th, 1932;

That in all matters and operations connected with the work, or the franchise or privilege, the Charter and all ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and all laws of the United States and the State of California which shall be or become applicable to and control or limit in any way the actions of those engaged in any way as principal or agent, shall be respected and strictly complied with;

That the maximum price which the grantee may charge for the disposal of said garbage and refuse and the method of payment thereof shall conform to the laws and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco;

That the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco shall maintain, for the benefit of all prospective bidders, a guide list or statement of the requirements and conditions which he believes to be necessary in order that this Board may obtain information sufficient to determine intelligently whether the proposal or bid shall be accepted;

That no proposal, after having been deposited with the Board of Supervisors, will be allowed to be withdrawn on account of alleged

errors of computation, or clerical errors in computing, incomplete or erroneous information or for any other reason;

That the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the foregoing resolution, will award the said franchise or privilege to the best bidder and said Board reserves the right to reject any or all bids if it believes the public interest will be subserved thereby;

That any proposal or bid made to this Board must be accompanied by detailed and itemized plans and specifications sufficient to enable this Board to determine whether such bid or proposal is acceptable;

That, in the event a bid or proposal shall involve or concern the rules or ordinances of any municipal, sanitary or other public corporation and a license or permit shall be required, the bidder must show that he has fully complied with such rules or ordinances;

That proposal forms will be furnished gratuitously upon application at the office of the City Engineer. Bidder may use his own forms;

That any erasure, addition or interlineation in a proposal, or bid will rule out the proposal or bid from consideration. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to advertise for sealed bids for the awarding of this franchise or privilege pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the following act, which is made a part hereof for all purposes:

"An act providing for the granting of franchises in counties or cities and counties for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage and other waste, and declaring same an urgency measure

"The people of the State of California do enact, as follows:

"Section 1. Franchise by legislative body. Every franchise or privilege for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, shall be granted by the legislative body of any county or city and county, under the terms and conditions in this act provided, and not otherwise.

"Section 2. Best bid may be called for. Any such governmental subdivision may, by resolution of its legislative body, call for bids for the granting of a franchise, exclusive or otherwise, for the disposal or destruction, or both, of garbage, waste, offal and debris, according to the terms and conditions set forth in such resolution, for a period of time not to exceed twenty-five years. Thereafter said legislative body shall cause to be published once a week for two successive weeks a notice, which shall set forth all of the terms and conditions embraced in said resolution and the time, date and place for the receiving and opening of sealed bids, which shall not be sooner than four full weeks from date of the first publication of said notice. Upon examination by the legislative body of said bids, the franchise may be awarded to the best bidder. Said legislative body may postpone the granting of said franchise from time to time until said legislative body shall have had a full and complete opportunity to examine into the merits of each bid.

"Section 3. Bond. The successful bidder shall file with the said legislative body, upon grant of the franchise, a bond running to the governmental subdivision in an amount and under such terms and conditions as may be prescribed by said legislative body.

"Section 4. Grantor may impose additional terms. The grantor may, in such resolution and advertised notice, impose terms and conditions other than those mentioned herein so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 5. Grantee's terms. The grantee may in his franchise bid set forth such propositions, terms and conditions as he may desire to offer, or receive the benefit from, which may be in addition to, or in conflict with, those mentioned in the resolution or advertised notice

calling for bids, so long as they shall not be in conflict with the provisions hereof.

"Section 6. Repeal. The provisions of any law in conflict with this act are to that extent hereby repealed.

"Section 7. Constitutionality of act. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act. The Legislature hereby declares that it would have passed this act and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases may be declared unconstitutional.

"Section 8. This act is hereby declared to be an urgency measure, deemed necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety, within the meaning of Section 1 of Article 4 of the Constitution of the State of California, and as such it shall take effect immediately. The following is a statement of the facts constituting such necessity:

"There exist in several parts of the State inadequate facilities for the disposal or destruction of garbage, waste, offal and debris, a condition which is needful of immediate remedy, and requires action on the part of the legislative bodies of governmental subdivisions herein mentioned to take such steps, as are authorized by the provisions of this act, as will immediately correct this condition."

Said bids shall be filed with the Clerk of this Board not later than the 18th day of February, 1935, up to the hour of 3 p. m., and said time is hereby fixed as the date and hour for the opening and consideration of said bids.

The Board of Supervisors will thereupon, in accordance with the provisions of the said act, openly and publicly declare the said bids, make a survey of bids and thereafter make such award as subserves the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco.

A franchise ordinance embracing all of the terms and conditions shall be passed before the franchise or privilege shall become effective.

A certified check payable to the City and County of San Francisco in the amount of \$10,000 shall accompany all bids, and shall be returned to a bidder immediately upon rejection of his bid. Upon the filing of the bond hereinafter mentioned the check of the successful bidder shall be returned to him. If such successful bidder shall fail to file said bond, said check shall become the property of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it

Further Resolved, That, when the franchise is awarded, the successful bidder shall file and maintain a surety company bond running to the City and County of San Francisco, to be approved by the Board of Supervisors, in the penal sum of not less than Fifty Thousand (\$50,000) nor more than One Hundred Thousand (\$100,000) Dollars, to be set in the franchise ordinance, conditioned that such bidder shall faithfully fulfill the aforesaid guarantees and shall well and truly observe, fulfill and perform each and every other term and condition of the franchise or privilege, and that in case of any breach of condition of such bond, the whole amount of said penal sum shall be taken and deemed to be liquidated damages and shall be recoverable from the principal and sureties upon said bond. A substitute bond under the same terms and conditions may be filed by the grantee at any time, provided it meets with the approval of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

The following bill was taken up:

Amendment to Charitable Solicitation Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.00)

On recommendation of Public Welfare Committee.

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled "An ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco; providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations, and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries by parents and teachers organizations operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor and/or parents and teachers organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the consideration of the foregoing matter. All members heretofore noted being present.

President McSheehy presiding.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. H. Thomas and Mrs. E. M. Hammond, representing the Second District Parent-Teachers Association, and Mr. Shapiro; Mr. Gerald O'Gara, representing the Better Business Bureau, and Mrs. Harry Geballe, representing the City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, were heard at length on the pending question.

Committee Arises.

Thereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported in favor of a substitute bill.

Passed for Second Reading.

Whereupon, the following substitute for the foregoing bill was presented and *passed for second reading* by the following vote:

Amending Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.
(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled an

ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco: Providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations, and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries by parents and teachers organizations operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor and/or parents and teachers organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to any solicitation where no salary, commission, percentage, remuneration or other compensation is paid to any person, firm, association or corporation in connection with the solicitation, and where the person, organization, society, association or corporation proposing to solicit does not collect and/or propose to collect in such solicitation any sum in excess of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250) Dollars and where said person, organization, society, association or corporation has not collected in the manner specified in section 1 hereof any sum in excess of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars during the twelve (12) months next immediately preceding said proposed solicitation; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation, such person, organization, society, association or corporation shall file with the Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officer.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was on motion *laid over until January 7, 1935, and made a Special Order of Business for 3:30 p. m.*

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Truck License Fees.

Supervisors Gallagher, Roncovieri and Hayden voting *aye*;
Supervisor Shannon voting *no*.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 659, Ordinance No. 3.04141, as follows:

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 73. Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating vehicles offered to the public for hire for transportation of goods, wares and merchandise, shall pay a license for each such vehicle operated, as follows:

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or trailer drawn by two horses, six (6) dollars per annum.

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or trailer, drawn by more than two horses, twelve and one-half (12.50) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by two horses and not included in the first classification, five (5) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by one horse, two (2) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting not exceeding three-quarters of a ton, six (6) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting over three-quarters of a ton and less than two tons, seven (7) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting two tons and less than three tons, ten (10) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three tons or over, twelve (12) dollars per annum.

For each motorcycle or tricycle, three (3) dollars per annum.

The license required by this section shall become due and payable on the first day of January of each year, *provided, however, that*

Vehicles registered with the State after March 31st shall pay only three-quarters (3/4) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after June 30th shall pay only one-half (1/2) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after September 30th shall pay only one-fourth (1/4) of such fees.

If not paid within 30 days after same has become due, the Tax Collector shall add 10 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

- If the license is not paid within 60 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 15 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within 90 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 25 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within six (6) months after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 50 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

Nothing shall permit the exemption of penalties mentioned in this section, except the filing with the Tax Collector of a bill of sale or a copy of the certificate issued by the Motor Vehicle Department of the State of California by the purchaser or new owner.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Fixing Time for Hearing of Appeal—Public Proceedings for
Fitzgerald Avenue.

(Code No. 12.0613)

Resolution No. 1455, as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, the 10th day of December, 1934, at 3 p. m., in the Supervisors' Chambers, City Hall, San Francisco, California,

be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place for hearing the appeals of Hortense Gilmore Kelly et al., from the order of the Director of Public Works, approved May 11, 1934, recommending public proceedings for the grading and sewerage of Fitzgerald avenue between Jennings street and Third street, including the crossing of Fitzgerald avenue and Keith street.

Resolution No. 1442 is hereby repealed.

Privilege of the Floor.

Hortense Gilmore Kelly, property owner, declared that she was the owner of 300-foot frontage on a corner and would also be assessed for the crossing. She said that she was unable to pay for the work at this time and that for the same reason her taxes had become delinquent this year. She requested a further extension of time.

Mrs. Olive Tole, 1112 Fitzgerald avenue, property owner, spoke in favor of doing the proposed work. She declared that the Bay Shore Promotion Association was opposed to any further extensions of time. She said that this work had been postponed numerous times and she protested any further continuance.

Frances Wittman, property owner, also protested any further extension of time.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Brown moved that the resolution be laid over until Monday, January 7, 1935, and be made a Special Order for 4 p. m. that day.

So ordered.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for second reading were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Salary Ordinance Amendment—Health Department.

(Code No. 9.053)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 657, Ordinance No. 9.05366, as follows:

An ordinance amending Section 60 of Ordinance No. 9.05360, commonly known as the Annual Salary Ordinance, by adding items 48¼ and 48½ thereof, funds for which employments have been appropriated heretofore.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 60 of Ordinance 9.05360 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 60. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH—SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL (Continued)

Item No.	No. of Employees	Class No.	Class Title	Maximum Monthly Rate
47	1	L2	Assistant Superintendent (deduct for B., R. & L.).....	310
48	1	L6	Superintendent (deduct \$150 for full family maintenance)	733.33
48¼	1	L70	Physio Therapist (part time)	100
48½	1	L72	Electro-Cardiograph Technician (part time)	75
49	1	L156	Dentist (part time).....	50
50	4	L202	Dietitian (deduct for R. & L.).....	137.50
51	1	L206	Chief Dietitian	175
52	1	L304	Pharmacist	225

53	1	L304	Pharmacist	200
54	2	L304	Pharmacist	190
55	1	L306	Senior Pharmacist	250
56	42	L352	Interne (deduct for B., R. & L.)	37.50
57	16	L354	House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.) ..	52.50
58	3	L356	Senior House Officer (deduct for B., R. & L.)	65
59	1	L360	Physician	75
60	2	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
61	1	L372	Resident Physician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	160
61A	1	L372	Resident Physician	175
62	1	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	102.50
63	2	L452	X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
64	1	L456	Senior X-Ray Technician (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
65	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	200
66	1	L458	Radiologist (part time)	100
67	1	O60	Head Gardener (deduct for R.)	150
68	4	O166	Fireman, Stationary Steam Engine	185
69	4	O168	Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine	220
70	1	O172	Chief Engineer, Stationary Steam Engine (deduct \$55 for full family maintenance)	280
71	1	P52	Field Nurse	165
72	119	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
73	1	P102	Registered Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
74		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 10 hours, at \$5.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
74½		P103	Special Nurse, as needed (Psychiatric or Communicable Diseases) \$7 per day	
75		P103	Special Nurse (as needed) 12 hours, at \$6.00 per day (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
75½		P103	Special Nurse (as needed), 12 hours at \$8.00 per day (two patients) (deduct for B., R. & L.)	
76	33	P104	Head Nurse (deduct for B., R. & L.)	135
77	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	210
78	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	185
78½	1	P110	Assistant Superintendent of Nursing (deduct for B., R. & L.)	170

Section 2. The compensations of the positions added under items 48¼ and 48½ have been appropriated heretofore by the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), by Establishing Fees for Issuance of Plumbing Permits.

(Code No. 3.041)

On recommendation of Joint Finance and Fire, Safety and Police Committee.

Bill No. 632, Ordinance No. 3.04135, as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), entitled

"Imposing fees for inspection, examination and permits in certain cases, and authorizing the Department of Public Health to collect the same," by establishing fees for issuance of plumbing permits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 5398 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4 (a). For conducting the examination and issuing a certificate as Master Plumber as required by "The Plumbing Law" of the City and County, the Department of Public Health shall collect therefor the sum of twenty dollars (\$20) and may require a deposit of said sum prior to conducting the examination therefor; and a fee of twenty dollars (\$20) is hereby imposed upon the holder of each such certificate, the same to be paid annually.

(b) For issuing plumbing permits to and making inspections for or at the request of a master plumber or owner, the Department of Public Health shall collect the following fees:

For each permit issued, including inspection, for new work or alteration (the term "alteration" as used in this ordinance shall be defined as any connection to a soil pipe, waste pipe or sewer, or a relocation of such outlet) there will be a charge of fifty cents (50c) to which shall be added the following:

	Installed or Replaced
For each automatic sump ejector serving one or more water closets	\$.50
For each sump ejector50
For each building sewer replacement, or repair, or sewer trap..	.50
For each water closet50
For each bath tub50
For each shower trap50
For each wash basin50
For each sink, slop or otherwise, including 2-part sink.....	.50
For each tray, laundry, including 2-part trays.....	.50
For each vat, "Butcher" or otherwise.....	.50
For each hospital fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each drinking fountain50
For each urinal, stall or pedestal.....	.50
For each urinal trough50
For each grease interceptor50
For each dental chair50
For each floor or surface drain.....	.50
For each dishwashing machine50
For each potato peeler50
For each garage or sump outlet50
For each fixture set after the completion of the structure to an outlet roughed in prior thereto50
For each leader replacement, C. I. or Galv. W. I.....	.50
For each miscellaneous fixture connected to waste or indirectly connected50
For each condenser or steam trap where condensed water enters the plumbing system through the final condenser or steam trap50
For each reinspection of any plumbing fixtures or outlets not passed75

When the plumbing fixtures exceed twenty-five (25) in any one building the fee shall be twenty-five cents (25c) each for all plumbing fixtures over twenty-five (25).

(c) Fees for plumbing permits and plumbing fixtures as set forth in this ordinance shall be paid in advance of the issuance of any permit; and if and when the Department of Public Health finds any per-

son, firm or corporation has, subsequent to the passage of this ordinance, installed any plumbing fixture or done work for which a permit was required without complying with the terms of the ordinance as to the payment of fees, in such cases it shall be required that a double fee be paid before a further permit is issued or inspection made.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were *adopted*:

Sale of \$670,000 Water Distribution Bonds, 1933; \$380,000 Sewer Bonds, 1933.

(Code No. 12.122)

(Code No. 15.021)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Resolution No. 1700, as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise in the official newspaper, a notice of sale that, on the 7th day of January, 1935, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on said date, for the purchase of:

Six hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$670,000) "Water Distribution Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 40 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1935, and 35 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing each year 1936 to 1953, inclusive.

Three hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$380,000) "Sewer Bonds, 1933."

Said bonds bear interest at the rate of four per cent (4%) per annum; comprising 16 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing December 1, 1935; and 13 bonds of \$1,000 denomination each, maturing 1936 to 1963, inclusive.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Deeds and Payments for Properties Required for Patrick Henry School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 1701, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept deeds from the following parties to certain land in San Francisco required for the Patrick Henry School and that the sums set forth opposite their names be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 70.600.00:

John Gellono et ux., Lot 12, Assessor's Block 4029.....	\$5,750
Andy Ross et ux., Lot 13, Assessor's Block 4029.....	3,500
John Evets et ux., Lot 14, Assessor's Block 4029.....	3,400
John Skoff et ux., Lot 15, Assessor's Block 4029.....	3,750

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment for Property Required for Army Street Widening.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1702, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed

from Sam Montarano et ux., to a portion of Lot 3-I, Assessor's Block 4335, San Francisco, required for the widening of Army street, and that the sum of \$4,711.50 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 83.904.17.

The foregoing amount includes damages in full to the remaining portion of said lot and to the improvements now wholly or partially located on said lot, said improvements to be relocated by the grantors within 30 days after recording the deed to the City.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Acceptance of Deed and Payment of \$42,496 to Spring Valley Company for Properties Required for Fleishhacker Playground, Per Agreement.

(Code No. 12.1714)

Also Resolution No. 1703, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Spring Valley Company, Ltd., formerly Spring Valley Water Company, to Parcel 4 and the westerly 2.25 acres of Parcel 5 of Fleishhacker Playground in San Francisco, California, and that the sum of \$42,496 be paid for said land from Appropriation No. 12.600.02.

Said Parcels 4 and 5 are particularly described in that certain agreement between Spring Valley Water Company and the City and County of San Francisco, dated December 24, 1929, and recorded February 20, 1932, in Book 2330, at page 274, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco. Reference is hereby made to said agreement for a particular description of the property herein referred to.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Accepting Deed to Property Required for Realignment of Sloat Boulevard and Authorizing Payment of \$2,000.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1704, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from Albert A. E. Buhot et ux., to portions of Lots 12 and 13, Assessor's Block 2518, San Francisco, required for the realignment of Sloat boulevard, west of Thirty-ninth avenue, and that the sum of \$2,000 be paid for said land from the $\frac{1}{4}$ Cent Gas Tax Fund. Appropriation No. 48.914.14-1.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Accepting Deed to Property Required for Realignment of Sloat Boulevard and Authorizing Payment of \$716.

(Code No. 12.1711)

Also, Resolution No. 1705, as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept a deed from C. A. Ericsson et al., to a portion of Lots 7, 8 and 9 in Assessor's Block 2518, San Francisco, required for the realignment of Sloat boulevard, west of Thirty-ninth avenue, and that the sum of \$716 be paid for said land from the $\frac{1}{4}$ Cent Gas Tax Fund. Appropriation No. 48.914.14-1.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing City Attorney to Commence Proceedings in Eminent Domain for Property at Nineteenth and Vermont Streets, Required for Patrick Henry School.

(Code No. 12.1712)

Also, Resolution No. 1706, as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described parcel of land situated in San Francisco, California:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the north line of Nineteenth street with the east line of Vermont street; running thence easterly along said north line of Nineteenth street 100 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet; thence at right angles westerly 100 feet to the east line of Vermont street; thence southerly along said east line of Vermont street 30 feet to the point of commencement.

Be It Further Resolved, That said parcel of land is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the construction, operation and maintenance of the Patrick Henry Public School. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken to said land.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Approved by the Director of Property.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Transfer of \$13,265.32 From Duplicate Tax Fund to the General Fund.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 1707, as follows:

Resolved, in accordance with the provisions of Section 3804 of the Political Code, the Controller is hereby authorized to transfer the sum of \$13,265.32 from the Duplicate Tax Fund to the General Fund.

Requested by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Authorizing the Treasurer to Make Such Temporary Transfers of Funds as May Be Necessary for the Meeting of Obligations of the City and County Until Collection of Second Installment of Taxes, 1934-1935.

(Code No. 9.052)

Also, Resolution No. 1708, as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to the provisions of Section 31 of Article IV of the Constitution of the State of California, the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized and directed to make, after the 1st day of January, 1935, such temporary transfers from funds in his custody as may be necessary for meeting the obligations incurred for the maintenance of the City and County functions of said City and County of San Francisco, from the 1st day of January, 1935, until the second installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1934-35 are collected, or are delinquent; that such temporary transfer of said funds shall not exceed 85 per cent of the second installment of taxes to accrue to the City and County for said fiscal year and said sums so transferred shall be replaced to the funds from which

the same were transferred on or before June 30, 1935, and before any other obligation of the said City and County is met from such taxes.

Approved by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following matter was *passed for second reading*:

Issuance of Tax Anticipation Notes—\$6,000,000.

(Code No. 9.033)

On recommendation of the Finance Committee.

Bill No. 660, Ordinance No. 9.0334, as follows:

Determining that funds are needed for the immediate requirements of the City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 in accordance with appropriations made, as authorized by the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, for said fiscal year; determining that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; authorizing the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco to borrow on its behalf the sum of \$6,000,000 solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, and to cause to be issued notes or other evidences of indebtedness evidencing the amount or amounts so borrowed, which notes shall be payable exclusively out of taxes levied and collected by said City and County for said fiscal year 1934-35; providing that the repayment of the sums so borrowed shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which such money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and providing for the sale of said notes or evidences of indebtedness as provided by law and for the payment of the principal thereof and the interest thereon.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby find and determine: That the sum of \$6,000,000 is needed for the immediate requirements of said City and County in the fiscal year 1934-35, to wit: To meet and pay the appropriations heretofore made for said fiscal year as authorized by the Charter of said City and County, and which will become due and payable prior to June 30, 1935, and which may be paid in advance of receipt of the income for said fiscal year; that funds therefor cannot be made available as provided in the first two paragraphs of Section 81 of said Charter; that the Controller of said City and County has recommended that said sum of \$6,000,000 be borrowed for the purposes herein mentioned, and the Mayor of said City and County of San Francisco has approved said recommendation made by said Controller; and that the estimated tax receipts of said City and County for said fiscal year are \$28,743,271 and that said sum of \$6,000,000 does not exceed twenty-five (25) per centum of said estimated tax receipts for said fiscal year.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to borrow on behalf of said City and County, solely for the purpose of anticipating receipt of income, the sum of \$6,000,000 in lawful money of the United States of America, and to issue in the form hereinafter set forth, notes of said City and County for the sum or sums so borrowed payable as hereinafter provided.

Section 3. (a) As evidence of the sum to be so borrowed as aforesaid, there is hereby authorized to be issued by said City and County of San Francisco tax anticipation notes of said City and County of San Francisco in the aggregate principal amount of \$6,000,000. Said notes shall be in the denomination of not less than \$1,000 each, nor more

than \$100,000 each, and such denominations shall be fixed by resolution of this Board adopted at or after the time of the public sale of said notes as hereinafter provided. All of said notes shall be signed on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco by the President of said Board of Supervisors, and by the Controller of said City and County, and countersigned by the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, and the seal of said City and County shall be affixed thereto. Said notes shall bear such interest as may be hereafter fixed by resolution of said Board of Supervisors at or after the time said notes are sold, as hereinafter provided, which said interest shall in no case exceed six (6) per cent per annum, and full authority is hereby given to said Board of Supervisors to fix by resolution the rate of interest on said notes and each or any of them. Said interest shall be payable at the maturity of said notes.

(b) The principal amount of said notes, together with the interest thereon, issued and delivered under authority of this ordinance, shall be payable exclusively out of the taxes levied and collected by said City and County for the fiscal year 1934-35, and shall constitute a first lien and charge against the taxes collected during the half of the fiscal year in which said money shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes; and the amount of said taxes so levied and collected shall be applied to the payment of said notes before any part thereof is used for any other purpose. If at the time said notes, or any of them, become due and payable, the funds in the City Treasury available for the payment thereof shall be insufficient for the payment in full of all of said notes then outstanding, such funds shall be applied pro rata to the payment of the principal and interest of all of the notes then issued and outstanding without preference or priority of any one note over any other by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise. Any of said notes not paid prior to June 30, 1935, shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes of the fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date of the receipt thereof, it being the intent and purpose of this ordinance to provide for the payment of all notes issued hereunder out of the taxes levied for said fiscal year 1934-35 and to provide that such notes shall be payable out of said taxes for said fiscal year, irrespective of the actual date of the collection thereof.

(c) Each of said notes shall be substantially in the following form, to wit:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
TAX ANTICIPATION NOTE

Fiscal Year 1934-1935

No.....

\$.....

San Francisco,, 1935.

On the 15th day of May, 1935, the CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, promises to pay to the bearer hereof, out of the funds hereinafter mentioned, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco, the sum of.....dollars, in lawful money of the United States of America, with interest thereon at the rate of per cent per annum from date until paid.

This note is one of an issue of notes aggregating in principal amount the sum of six million (\$6,000,000) dollars authorized to be issued under and pursuant to an ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco enacted under authority of Section 81 of the Charter of said City and County. This note and all other notes of said issue are payable exclusively out of taxes levied by said City and County of San Francisco for the fiscal year 1934-35 without preference or priority of any one note over any other note of this issue by reason of prior issuance, or otherwise, and said notes issued and delivered under authority of said ordinance shall constitute a first

lien and charge against said taxes collected during the half of said fiscal year 1934-35 in which the money represented by said notes respectively shall be borrowed and shall be repaid from the first moneys received from said taxes and before any part thereof is used for any other purpose.

Any of said notes not paid at or prior to maturity shall nevertheless be paid out of moneys received from the taxes for said fiscal year 1934-35, irrespective of the date the same shall be so received.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that this note is issued in strict conformity with the Constitution and laws of the State of California and with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and with proceedings of said City and County of San Francisco authorizing the same and that all acts, conditions and things required to exist, happen and to be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this note have existed, happened and been performed in regular and due time, form and manner as required by law, and that this note, together with all indebtedness and obligations of said City and County does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or the Charter of said City and County.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this note to be signed by the President of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco and by the Controller of said City and County, and to be countersigned by the Treasurer thereof, and the seal of said City and County to be affixed thereto the day and year first above written.

.....
President of the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.

.....
Controller of the City and County of San
Francisco.

Countersigned:

.....
Treasurer of the City and County of San
Francisco.

(d) Said Board of Supervisors, on behalf of said City and County of San Francisco, hereby confirms all recitals, declarations, certificates and promises contained in said notes, and each thereof, issued under and pursuant to this ordinance.

(e) At the time of the sale of any of said notes as hereinafter provided, and prior to the delivery thereof, the Treasurer of said City and County of San Francisco shall date the same as of the date of delivery thereof and insert therein the denomination thereof and the rate of interest thereon as provided by resolution of said Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. The aforesaid notes shall be issued and offered for sale by the Board of Supervisors at such time (prior to May 15, 1935) as may from time to time be provided by resolution of said Board, so as to meet the immediate requirements of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. Each such sale shall be made to the bidder offering to accept and pay for the note or notes so sold at the lowest net interest cost to said City and County computed from the date fixed or the presentation of bids to May 15, 1935, provided, however, that none of said notes shall be sold for less than the face amount thereof and accrued interest thereon to the date of delivery thereof.

Section 5. The principal and interest of all of said notes issued and sold as aforesaid shall be paid only upon the surrender thereof. All of said notes not sold prior to May 15, 1935, shall be canceled.

Section 6. If any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or void, such decision shall not affect the validity of any other portion of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, paragraph, sentence,

clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or void for any reason.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Adopted.

The following resolution was *adopted*:

Approving Secondary Highway Systems, 1935 Legislature.

(Code No. 5.31)

On recommendation of Streets Committee.

Resolution No. 1697, as follows:

Resolved, That the following county roads, as recommended by the Director of Public Works for inclusion in the Secondary State Highway System by the 1935 Legislature, are hereby approved:

	<i>Miles</i>
Army street, Potrero to San Jose avenue.....	.90
San Jose avenue, Army street to Bernal avenue.....	.53
Bernal avenue, Randall street to Monterey boulevard.....	.80
San Jose avenue, Monterey boulevard to Alemany boulevard.....	1.90
Van Ness avenue South (or parallel route), Fell street to Army street	2.00
Bryant street extension, Tenth street to Van Ness avenue South..	.50
Duboce avenue widening, Harrison street to Funston avenue....	3.15
Clipper street, Twenty-sixth street to Portola Drive.....	.50
Divisional Highway, Lombard street to Bernal avenue.....	6.00

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Leave of Absence—Alfred Ehrman, Member Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 4, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, for the period of one month, beginning December 14th.

I respectfully ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,
Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1698, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of one month, beginning December 14, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**Extension of Leave of Absence—Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon,
Member Board of Education.**

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

December 8, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On October 30th your Board approved a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State, to Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, a member of the Board of Education, for one month beginning November 15, 1934.

A request has been made to me for an extension of this leave to January 1, 1934, and I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting the same.

Sincerely,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1699, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Edwin R. Sheldon, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted an extension of leave of absence from December 15, 1934, to January 1, 1935, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

**ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS,
BILLS AND COMMUNICATIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR
REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.**

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

December 17, 1934.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable Jesse C. Colman, a member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence from December 28, 1934, to January 9, 1935, with permission to leave the State.

I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this permission.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI,

Mayor.

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1709, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Jesse C. Colman, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence from December 28, 1934, to January 9, 1935, with permission to leave the State.

Certification of Ordinances by SERA.

(Code No. 1.07)

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 1710, as follows:

Whereas, SERA has offered to furnish to the City and County of San Francisco, at its expense, a sufficient number of qualified persons to arrange the several existing ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco for codification; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That when said persons are furnished by said SERA, they be placed under the direction of the Clerk of this Board and said Clerk is hereby instructed to furnish said persons with all available information which will aid in the proposed codification of existing ordinances.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—11.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Citizens' Committee to Arrange for Proper Welcome to Lord Baden-Powell.

Supervisor Colman moved that his Honor, the Mayor, be requested to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for the proper welcome to Lord Baden-Powell on his visit to San Francisco.

Carried.

COMMUNICATIONS.

Re Construction of Ocean Shore Highway at Earliest Date Possible.

From Board of Supervisors, San Mateo County, requesting the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the city councils of incorporated cities of San Mateo county to request the State Highway Commission to commence the construction of Ocean Shore Highway at the earliest date possible.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Draft of Proposed Disaster Preparedness Program.

From Junior Chamber of Commerce, transmitting draft of proposed Disaster Preparedness Program.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER—5 P. M.

The following matter was taken up:

Trans-Bay Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Uhl requested that the matter of bridge approaches, including his plan for subway previously referred to the Public Utilities Committee, be made a Special Order of Business for Monday, December 17, 1934, at 5 p. m.

So ordered.

Motion.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the Board of Supervisors register with the Toll Bridge Authority, the RFC and the Governor of California its opposition to Plan X or any other plan for elevated structure until or unless we find that subway system is impracticable.

Recess.

Whereupon, Supervisor Uhl moved that at the conclusion of today's meeting we take a recess until Friday at 10:30 a. m. for further consideration of the foregoing matter.

Trans-Bay Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Harrison Robinson, Chairman of the Advisory Finance Committee of the Toll Bridge Authority, be invited to appear at the meeting on Friday and make a statement to the Board relative to the financing of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

So ordered.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the names on the petition of business

men in the financial district relative to the Transbay set-up, be notified also to be in attendance.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7 p. m. took a recess until Friday, December 21, 1934, at 10:30 a. m. for further consideration of matters relating to the San Francisco-Oakland Transbay Bridge.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1934, 10:30 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Friday, December 21, 1934, 10:30 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled pursuant to recess of Monday, December 17, 1934, for the purpose of discussing trans-bay bridge matters.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—7.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Shannon appeared and was noted present at 11:45 a. m.

Supervisor Gallagher appeared and was noted present at 12:30 p. m.

His Honor President McSheehy presiding.

Committee of the Whole.

On motion of Supervisor Uhl, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of the discussion.

President McSheehy in the chair.

Supervisor Uhl's Statement.

Supervisor Uhl, in a preparatory statement, urged the Board of Supervisors to direct its efforts to the eventual bringing of transcontinental trains into San Francisco. He urged a study of the construction of a subway for rapid transit in San Francisco, also there should be a careful study of the question of an adequate terminal building for this city.

Privilege of the Floor.

Major C. L. Tilden of the Overland Freight and Transfer Company was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that he was a commuter, but that all of his interests are in San Francisco. "The purchases of all of my family are made in this city and I speak as a man having business and property interests here." He declared that the engineers who had laid out the terminal for the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge had done their work well within the financial limits provided. He urged that there be no delay in prosecuting the work at this end so that when the bridge is completed the transportation system may be ready to go into effect at the same time.

Mr. Paul Harding, assistant to Mr. C. H. Purcell, engineer for the State Toll Bridge Authority, also addressed the Board. He declared that there was no attempt on the part of the Toll Bridge Authority to limit any service over the bridge. At the present time the bridge is designed to carry 70-ton cars, which is the weight of the Southern Pacific and Key Route interurban equipment. Main line equipment could not come over the bridge. The structure is not sufficiently strong, and

the concentrated load of transcontinental cars is too heavy. If equipment light enough is designed so that it will not overstress the bridge we have no disposition to keep such lighter equipment off the bridge. The Southern Pacific Company contemplates transferring transcontinental passengers to its interurban bridge train at 16th street, out of Oakland, and to continue to ferry freights and heavy traffic over its present ferry service.

With reference to approaches to the San Francisco terminal and the criticism that it fronts on two alleys south of Market, he stated, that the design of the proposed terminal provides adequate facilities for all street cars, for taxicabs, for parking and for a great number of pedestrians that will be brought to that point. He said further, the Toll Bridge Authority had no idea of favoring one side of the bay as against the other. He expected a large increase in traffic equally beneficial to the Oakland side and to the San Francisco side. San Francisco, he said, has always been the business center of the metropolitan area. It has always been a financial and shopping center, and we expect it will always remain so.

In reply to a question from Supervisor Schmidt as to why the bridge was not originally designed to carry transcontinental trains into San Francisco, he declared that the mass movement of the population in the metropolitan area during peak hours is of much more importance than the limited number of transcontinental passengers that would be brought in at intervals. The bridge project as originally designed is a self-liquidating project, and the traffic provided by transcontinental trains would not warrant the heavy structure necessary. Such a structure would involve an additional cost of from \$20,000,000 to \$25,000,000. One million dollars interest at five per cent each year would be necessary to amortize this additional investment. One million passengers crossing the bay on transcontinental lines at \$1 a head would be required to pay this interest, and it would be only a one-way fare. The idea is not only prohibitive, but it seems to me, is absurd. Not only that, it would be very impractical to fit in the transcontinental service with the brief intervals of train schedules of interurban train service between the bay communities.

Mr. John G. Rapp, representing Bay Bridge District Property Owners, stated that he was only interested in maintaining property interests in the district affected by the bridge terminal on the San Francisco side. He stated that the engineers, in the opinion of the members of his organization, have done a good job. Favored a subway instead of an elevated if that is practicable. He addressed himself to the criticism that the terminal provided in Plan "X" would dump passengers in the "alleys" south of Market street, by explaining that the studies being made contemplated that block to the south, fronting on Howard street, would be cleared at the cost of about \$1,000,000, providing ample space for street cars, taxicabs, parking and pedestrians. The block to the north of the terminal fronting Mission street was considered but found prohibitive at a cost of \$2,000,000.

The RFC, he said, have ear-marked 15 million for the bridge and the condition of the agreement is that mass transportation shall be by rail. Buses therefore are definitely out.

Earl Carroll, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs, declared that a long time ago the Council had presented a definite terminal plan that would take care of the requirements of San Francisco residents. He declared further that since he last appeared before the Board, a mass meeting was held on the east side of the bay, which he attended, and that another would shortly be held in San Francisco, by the people on both sides who are strenuously opposed to the proposed form of transportation to be afforded by the Southern Pacific and the Key Route over the bridge when completed. They object to rehabilitation of the worn out and inadequate equipment being furnished by both

these transportation corporations. He declared that the contracts between the Toll Bridge Authority and the transportation companies were so selfishly drawn in favor of the latter that in no instance can their equipment be replaced by modern light cars of the "streamline" Diesel type in case that form of transportation was desired. He stated further that he had forty competent engineers to deny the statement of Assistant Engineer Harding that transcontinental trains cannot be brought over the bridge as presently designed. The \$15,000,000, he said, is being turned over to private corporations for the rehabilitation of their antique equipment. He favored uptown terminals and transcontinental trains being brought directly into San Francisco, and urged that municipal or joint municipal terminals be established, and then leased out to the Southern Pacific Railroad or the Market Street Railroad, or any other corporation that had use for same. He predicted that the terminals would soon pay for their cost, if that is done.

He requested that the Board of Supervisors make an independent investigation and determine whether buses are or are not practical.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the bus discussion be now closed unless and until new information is offered or more thorough information from authoritative sources is to be presented.

No action.

Excerpt From "Examiner."

Supervisor Shannon read the following from the San Francisco Examiner of December 10, 1934, into the record:

"Let the public decree there shall be no antiquated rail transportation on the bridge at all. Let the space on the lower deck reserved for rail transportation be devoted to high speed, modern motor bus service, which will serve the whole bay area at honest commutation rates. Let us eliminate the need for another loan for \$15,000,000 and call for proposals from private capital ready and anxious to supply the service."

Privilege of the Floor.

James Hayes, property owner, was also granted the privilege of the floor. He stated that only by interurban train can the mass movement of population between bay areas at peak hours be carried safely and expeditiously. Buses, he said, at the utmost carry seventy passengers, trains will move a thousand at a time.

Charles Phillips, engineer, was granted the privilege of the floor. He said the problem is too large to be handled the way it is being handled. He suggested that the matter be turned over to some competent engineers of the city like Mr. Ost or Mr. Ohman, to make a proper study and report back to the Board of Supervisors. Referring to the recent appropriation for \$25,000 for a study of rapid transit facilities for San Francisco, he suggested that Mr. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, be asked to make a study of proper and adequate bridge terminals for San Francisco within that amount of money.

Supervisor Uhl reiterated his motion of a previous meeting that the Board of Supervisors protest the adoption of Plan "X" until some plan of subway can be reported back, whether such subway is practicable or not.

No second.

Supervisor Shannon's Resolution.

Supervisor Shannon presented a resolution requesting certain bridge information from the State Railroad Commission, which resolution was *unanimously adopted*.

Supervisor Uhl moved that the subway plan and elevated plan be submitted for report to the Toll Bridge Authority.

Committee of the Whole Rises.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the Committee of the Whole arose and reported its recommendations to the Board.

Board of Supervisors Reconvenes.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the Board of Supervisors reconvened, all members heretofore noted being present.

President McSheehy in the Chair.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the thanks of the Board of Supervisors be extended to Messrs. Harding and Geddes, the representatives of the Toll Bridge Authority, for their attendance today and the enlightenment thrown on the bridge question at this meeting.

So ordered.

Resolution Adopted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved the adoption of the following resolution, which he had presented and which the Committee of the Whole had approved:

**State Railroad Commission Requested to Furnish Information
Re Rapid Transit, Bay Bridge.**

(Code No. 12.112)

Resolution No. 1713, as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has met on many occasions to discuss the question of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge Rapid Transit; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has not sufficient information to decide what is best for the interests of the people of San Francisco since the cost of operating trains over the bridge to East Bay destinations and return is yet unknown to this Board of Supervisors; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisorse does hereby respectfully request the Railroad Commission of the State of California to furnish the following information at its earliest convenience:

Actual value of Key System and Southern Pacific Railway Company interurban equipment;

Operating costs to the bridge head of Key System and Southern Pacific Railway;

Operating costs over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge to the San Francisco terminal.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.**Final Passage.**

The following bill, heretofore passed for second reading, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Supervisors' Revolving Fund.

(Code No. 1.07)

On recommendation of Finance Committee.

Bill No. 658, Ordinance No. 1.072, as follows:

Establishing a revolving fund for the Board of Supervisors and providing for the administration of said fund and for the reimbursement thereof, and repealing Ordinance 1.071.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a revolving fund to be known as "The Board of Supervisors' Revolving Fund," which said fund shall not exceed in amount the sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars, and from which said revolving fund there shall be paid such expenses for the Board of Supervisors, the members and committees thereof, and for the office of the Clerk of said Board, all that is permitted by law and which cannot be conveniently paid by warrants drawn upon the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco; provided, however, that traveling expenses of the said members of the Board of Supervisors payable in conformity with the provisions of Section 219 of the Charter, shall be paid only on authorization of the Board of Supervisors and in conformity with the provisions of Ordinance No. 9.0561.

Section 2. All moneys received for said revolving fund shall be deposited in such bank or banks as the Finance Committee of said Board shall direct, and shall be drawn therefrom upon the order of the Chairman of said Finance Committee, or upon the order of such other person or persons as said Finance Committee shall designate. Said Finance Committee shall keep, or cause to be kept, a full, true and correct account of all moneys received for or disbursed from said revolving fund, and shall, at least once in each month, render to the Controller a full, true and correct account of all disbursements made from said fund, together with the proper vouchers supporting said disbursements, and upon said disbursements being approved by the Controller, said Controller shall draw his warrant in favor of the Chairman of said Finance Committee for the aggregate amount of said disbursement, and when the amount of said warrant so drawn is received by the Chairman of said Finance Committee, it shall be placed to the credit of said fund.

Section 3. The sum of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars is hereby appropriated and ordered paid to the Chairman of the Finance Committee, the said sum to be deposited in bank, as directed by Section 2 of this ordinance.

Section 4. Ordinance No. 1.071 is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Leave of Absence—Hon. John Bakewell, Jr., Member Art Commission.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., December 20, 1934.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by Honorable John Bakewell, Jr., a member of the Art Commission, for a ninety-day leave of absence, beginning December 28, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

I ask that your Honorable Board concur with me in granting this request.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO J. ROSSI, Mayor.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

(Code No. 4.053)

Resolution No. 1712, as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable John Bakewell, Jr., a member of the Art Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of ninety

days, commencing December 28, 1934, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Adopted.

The following resolutions were presented and *adopted*:

Authorizing the City Attorney to Represent Certain Police Officers.

(Code No. 6.0219)

Resolution No. 1714, as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to represent the defendant police officers in the following actions commenced against said defendants:

Clinton Blackman vs. Wm. J. Quinn, Harry Gurtler and A. G. Mino, No. 254625, Superior Court.

John Rubino vs. Harry Swain, No. 64792, Municipal Court.

Mary Poysky vs. Lester Foese, No. 74219, Municipal Court.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Authorizing Payment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Warrants.

(Code No. 12.04)

Resolution No. 1715, as follows:

Be It Resolved, That the following warrants of Islais Creek Reclamation District:

No.	To	For
461	Antone V. Beronio, Eleanor M. Beronio.....	\$ 78.66
462	Antone V. Beronio, Eleanor M. Beronio.....	191.36
463	S. and H. Lachman Estate.....	1,258.56
464	Jacob Kullman, Henry Windt.....	511.02
465	Louis J. Carl, Gertrude G. Carl.....	236.85
466	Ida A. Brown.....	49.84
467	J. H. Baxter & Co.	76.55
468	Joseph Ahlbach, Elizabeth Ahlbach	141.58
469	John Boerboom	42.16
470	Anglo California Securities Co.....	956.26
471	Edith B. Dunne	15.89
472	Wm. Finnigan	133.54
473	Krieg Tanning Co.	600.86
474	The Islais Co. Ltd.....	8,609.97
475	Speranza Cechinti	50.72
476	The Louis Lester Company	1,898.44
477	San Francisco and Fresno Land Co.....	4,914.30
478	George F. Lyon	127.56
479	J. E. Higgins Lumber Co.....	71.76
480	Charles O. Leege	973.26
481	Mary F. Milton	18.32
482	Edith B. King	186.02
483	Ludwig Rom	141.42
484	Henry Windt	5,695.20
485	H. Moffat Co.	814.05
486	Farm Land Investment Co.	530.09
487	Ellen M. Grosjean, Eileen G. Callaghan.....	1,729.19
488	Boyd Investment Company	2,131.61
489	Granfield, Farrar & Carlin	100.04
490	Joseph Maccono	41.01
491	Augusta Raschen	12.93

492	Hugo H. Haun, Marie C. Haun.....	171.97
493	David A. Beronio, Attilio Beronio, J. M. Fabbris.....	61.18
494	Pacific Gas and Electric Co.....	130.46
495	Standard Realty and Development Co.....	97.68
496	The Western Pacific Railroad Co.	21,450.48
497	Gustave Schnee	15.37
498	Hannah Logan	8.06
499	George H. Lux	222.21
500	Edward Wenzel	237.63
501	Umberto Venturi, Vincenzo Salvo, Evo Fontana.....	192.63
502	J. A. Simpson	77.74
503	Lucy H. Allyne, Edith W. Allyne.....	90.47
504	Lea Gutrad, Sarah Haas	21.50
505	H. F. Swift	83.44
506	Pope Estate Co.	93.33
507	Charles Lee Tilden	594.63
508	Winchester Estate Co.	4,707.78
509	James Madison Estate Co.	67.08
510	J. E. Back Co. Inc.....	80.67
511	Henry A. Whitley	295.87
512	Henry Windt	227.60
513	Edward J. Taaffe, Page Taaffe	555.96
514	The Anglo California National Bank of S. F., Trustee..	68.25
515	Thomas P. Andrews	122.34
516	Thomas P. Andrews	189.87
517	Muriel Van Hoosear, Richard Van Hoosear.....	99.94
518	Reinhart Lumber and Planing Mill Co.....	1,205.59
519	Chas. H. Trolliet, Nellie E. Trolliet.....	603.86

payable out of the funds of said district, be and the same are hereby approved, and that the President of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors thereof, and the Clerk of said Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed to sign and certify to the foregoing approval of said warrants on each of said warrants.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Refund of Overpayment of Taxes.

(Code No. 9.059)

Resolution No. 1716, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of \$4.83 is hereby authorized paid to Miss Katherine Benson, said amount being excess tax paid on over valuation of improvements on Lot 6, Block 1387.

Recommended by the Controller.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

Passed for Second Reading.

The following was presented and *passed for second reading*:

Providing for Leasing of Airplane and Engine Maintenance Station at San Francisco Airport.

(Code No. 12.17353)

Bill No. 661, Ordinance No. 12.173532, as follows:

Providing for leasing of airplane and engine maintenance station at San Francisco Airport.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 91 of the Charter and in accordance with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Commission, the Director of Property is hereby authorized to lease space for an airplane and engine maintenance station at the San Francisco Airport in San Mateo County, California.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—10.

Absent—Supervisor Brown—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

Thereupon, the Board at the hour of 1:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 24, 1934.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.



Monday, December 24, 1934

Monday, December 31, 1934

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco



Journal of Management Studies, 36(7), 809-824.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:
Supervisors Havenner, Ratto, Schmidt, Uhl—4.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

No quorum.

ADJOURNMENT.

Supervisor Havenner in moving to adjourn asked that the clerk be directed to address a letter to the City Attorney requesting him to prepare the draft of a proposed Charter amendment, to be submitted to the electors at the earliest possible date, providing for the use of revenue bonds for the acquisition and construction of public utilities.

So ordered.

Whereupon, at the hour of 2:15 p. m., the Board for lack of a quorum, adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

MONDAY, DECEMBER 31, 1934, 2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Uhl—9.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman—2.

Quorum present. Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

President McSheehy, thereupon, stated that on Saturday he had received a letter from his Honor the Mayor requesting him to call a special session of the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of considering the terms and conditions of resolution of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation offering a loan of \$15,000,000 for rapid transit rail facilities by the Southern Pacific and Key Route System of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge prior to hearing on the matter before the Governor and the Toll Bridge Authority to be held in Sacramento Wednesday, January 3, 1935.

President McSheehy declared that inasmuch as there was not sufficient time to give legal notice of such special meeting, that he had taken it upon himself to send each member a notice by special delivery

MONDAY, DECEMBER 31, 1934.

to meet on this important matter today, notwithstanding previous agreement that there was to be no meeting.

**Notice of Meeting of Toll Bridge Authority on Transbay Bridge
Transportation Facilities Loan.**

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Sacramento**

December 26, 1934.

Hon. Angelo J. Rossi, Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mayor Rossi: The meeting of the Toll Bridge Authority for the consideration of matters relating to service on the San Francisco-Oakland Bridge, has been set for Thursday, January 3, 1935, at 2 o'clock p. m. in the Senate Chamber, Sacramento. Hope this will prove a convenient time for you and your people who may wish to appear.

The proposed agreement has been available for study for some time, and herewith enclosed I am sending you copy of resolution adopted by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation on December 18, 1934, relating to a proposed additional loan. Hope you will give the same such publicity as will make it available for all who may wish to study the resolution.

While this matter is of great importance to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation because of the heavy investment made in the building of the bridge, and is of interest to the entire State, primarily it is a question for the people of the cities and communities in the immediate vicinity of the bridge, many of whom will daily pay fares and use the proposed transportation.

Because of the situation above briefly outlined, the Toll Bridge Authority will greatly appreciate an official expression from the governing body (Mayor and City Council) of your city regarding the agreement and the resolution under consideration. In case the agreement does not meet with approval, will you kindly come prepared to indicate the changes desired or suggest a plan satisfactory to the people you represent? Such an official expression setting out the wishes of your city will be most helpful in arriving at a decision.

Extending the season's heartiest greeting, I am

Very sincerely yours,

FRANK F. MERRIAM,
Governor of California.

Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

RESOLUTION.

Meeting of December 18, 1934.

Re Second Self-Liquidating Loan to California Toll Bridge Authority.

Whereas, the Board of Directors of this Corporation, on December 20, 1932, authorized the execution of a contract providing for the purchase of bonds of California Toll Bridge Authority, a public corporation of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Authority"), in an amount sufficient to obtain sixty-one million four hundred thousand dollars (\$61,400,000) to construct a bridge across the San Francisco Bay between the cities of Oakland and San Francisco, California, for such portion of that sum as may be required for that purpose; and

Whereas, a Bond Agreement was entered into by and between the Authority and this Corporation, under date of December 15, 1932, containing the terms and conditions of the purchase of such bonds by this Corporation; and

Whereas, under and pursuant to the provisions of said Bond Agreement, this Corporation has acquired and now holds a portion of the bonds of the Authority; and

Whereas, pursuant to the Authorization of the Board of California Toll Bridge Authority, contained in a resolution of said Board, adopted on December 8, 1933, a certified copy of which has been forwarded to this Corporation, this Corporation has been requested to increase the loan to be made to the Authority by a sum of not exceeding ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) said additional money, together with such amounts as may be required therefor from the proceeds of the bonds, provided for in said Bond Agreement, to be used for the purpose of acquiring and constructing additional facilities of said bridge and approaches to provide for interurban facilities and terminals between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, an investigation has been made by the engineers of this Corporation, as to the relative merits of the different means of mass transportation on said bridge; and

Whereas, in view of the facts revealed from such investigation, Mr. Morton Macartney, Chief Engineer Self-Liquidating Division of this Corporation, concurs in the conclusion of Mr. Robert J. Cummins, Special Adviser for this Corporation, that mass transportation over the bridge should be by electric rail facilities, and recommends that such request of the Authority be granted provided such additional loan, together with so much of the loan heretofore authorized as shall be necessary therefor, be used for the purpose of procuring terminals, viaducts, trackage and rail connections, storage yards, signals and interlockers, substation and power supply lines, the purchase of new equipment and alterations to equipment, including cab control, together with all real property necessary therefor, and engineering and legal expenses in connection therewith, and interest charges on the bonds of the Authority during the course of construction; and

Whereas, the State of California has enacted legislation providing that no new franchise, permitting ferry service, or other crossings, within ten (10) miles of the bridge, may be granted while any of the bonds of the Authority are unpaid and outstanding; and

Whereas, pursuant to authority conferred upon this Corporation by Section 9 of Public Act No. 417 of the Seventy-third Congress of the United States of America, amending and supplementing Section 201 (a) of the Emergency Relief and Construction Act of 1932 as Amended, this Corporation has power to grant said request; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by the Board of Directors of this Corporation that such request be granted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Treasurer of this Corporation be and is hereby authorized and directed to acquire, for this Corporation, a total principal amount of the bonds of California Toll Bridge Authority, a public corporation of the State of California, in a total principal amount sufficient to make available a sum of money not to exceed seventy-one million four hundred thousand dollars (\$71,400,000) or such part thereof as may be required for the construction of said bridge and the reimbursement of the State of California, as provided in a Bond Agreement heretofore entered into by and between this Corporation and said California Toll Bridge Authority, and in addition for the purpose of acquiring terminals, viaducts, trackage and rail connections, storage yards, signals and interlockers, substation and power supply lines, the purchase of new equipment and alterations to equipment, including cab control, together with all real property necessary therefor, and engineering and legal expenses in connection therewith, and interest charges on the bonds of the Authority during the course of construction, and to enter into such contracts or agreements as shall be deemed necessary or advisable by Counsel for this Corporation to carry out the purposes of this authorization, provided such contracts shall be in form satisfactory to the Chief Engineer, Self-Liquidating Division of this Corporation, and General Counsel or Counsel designated by him for such purpose;

Further Resolved, That said Treasurer be and is hereby authorized and directed to exchange the bonds of the Authority, now held by this

Corporation or hereafter acquired pursuant to the provisions of said Bond Agreement, for bonds of said Authority hereafter to be issued for the purpose of refunding said bonds and providing funds for such additional contemplated acquisition and construction. Such additional bonds to be authorized and issued by the Authority and acquired by this Corporation shall mature over the same period and in proportionately the same principal instalments as the bonds provided for in said Bond Agreement.

Further Resolved, That the bonds of the Authority, now held by this Corporation or hereafter acquired under said Bond Agreement, shall be exchanged for like principal amount of the new bonds to be issued by said Authority, and the additional bonds of the Authority, to be acquired by purchase, shall be bid for, from time to time, at a ratio of prices, coupons and maturities which shall give the respective block of bonds bid for an average yield to maturity of five per centum (5%) per annum, computed in accordance with standard bond tables, excluding, however, from the computation of such yield, the amount of any premium to be paid on redemption of any bond prior to maturity. Adjustment shall be made for interest accrued to the date of purchase.

Further Resolved, That all of the terms and provisions of said Bond Agreement, in its present form, shall be applicable to the acquisition and construction of the project of the Authority, enlarged, as herein set forth, and to the bonds to be acquired pursuant to this authorization so far as applicable, unless expressly or impliedly modified by the terms hereof.

Further Resolved, That this Corporation, as the holder of outstanding bonds of the Authority, consents that it will exchange all of said outstanding bonds, now held by it or hereafter acquired pursuant to said Bond Agreement, for a like principal amount of new bonds of the Authority, with similar maturities, issued for the purpose of refunding said outstanding bonds now held by it or so to be acquired hereafter.

Further Resolved, That this authorization shall be subject to the following additional conditions;

1. The Authority shall, by resolution of its Board, duly adopted, accept and approve all of the terms and provisions of this resolution;
2. The corporation shall have received satisfactory assurance that any interurban ferry service of

Key Terminal Railway, Ltd.,

Key System, Ltd. (being corporations organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and having their principal place of business in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California).

Interurban Electric Railway Company (a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California and having its principal place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California).

Southern Pacific Company (a corporation of the State of Kentucky).

Southern Pacific Railroad Company (a corporation of the State of California, Arizona and New Mexico).

Central Pacific Railway Company (a corporation of the State of Utah), and

South Pacific Coast Railway Company (a corporation of the State of California),

between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, shall be abandoned and their franchises shall be cancelled and surrendered;

3. That all additional legislation, necessary to enable the Authority to do all things contemplated by this resolution shall have been

procured, and such legislation shall be in form satisfactory to counsel for this corporation;

4. That agreements, in form satisfactory to counsel for this corporation, shall have been entered into by and between the Authority and responsible railroad companies, vested with the requisite legal powers, for the use of said bridge, and the equipment and facilities to be acquired from the proceeds of this loan;
5. All necessary certificates of convenience and public necessity shall have been issued by the Railroad Commission of the State of California;
6. That at the time of acquiring any of the bonds of the Authority pursuant to this authorization, Reconstruction Finance Corporation shall be furnished with the final opinion of Messrs. Thomson, Wood and Hoffman, of New York, or other municipal bond counsel, approved by this corporation, in form satisfactory to counsel for this corporation, stating that the bonds, so to be acquired, are valid and binding, obligations of the Authority, in accordance with the terms and provisions thereof. Such opinion shall be accompanied by certified transcripts of all relevant proceedings and all other appropriate supporting papers evidencing, to the satisfaction of counsel for Reconstruction Finance Corporation, the legality of the execution, award, sale or exchange and delivery of such bonds. In the event that delivery of the bonds is made elsewhere than in the City of Washington, D. C., an unsigned copy of such opinion, accompanied by preliminary copy, or drafts, or transcripts, of such proceedings and other appropriate supporting papers, containing blanks where necessary, shall be delivered to Reconstruction Finance Corporation, at its office in the City of Washington, D. C., for approval, at least five (5) days before the time of the delivery of the bonds;
7. The filing of detailed plans, specifications, estimates and/or contracts, giving assurance that the adding of adequate electric interurban railway facilities to the bridge, together with terminals in San Francisco and the proper connections with interurban railway facilities now or as may be hereafter constructed serving Alameda County, California, together with the necessary additional equipment, including necessary lands and/or rights-of-way for the proper functioning of these facilities, can be accomplished for not to exceed fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000) and that no funds advanced under this commitment shall be used for any other purpose.

Further Resolved, That while it remains in force, unless exception shall be made in the case of the construction of this project by the Authority, it will, in the new construction provided for in this resolution and in all construction contracts hereafter to be let, comply with the requirements of Executive Order No. 6646, of the President of the United States of America, dated March 14, 1934.

Further Resolved, That all bonds so acquired, pursuant to this resolution, shall be subject to the terms and provisions of the resolutions of the Executive Committee of this corporation, adopted March 24, 1934, and June 26, 1934, adjusting the interest yield of this corporation on obligations held by it.

Further Resolved, That this resolution shall be and constitute a binding contract between this corporation and the Authority as soon as the Authority shall, by its board, adopt a resolution accepting all the terms and provisions hereof.

Further Resolved, That the Authority shall certify and forward to this corporation a copy of said resolution as soon as the same shall have been adopted.

Further Resolved, That the Secretary or an Assistant Secretary of this corporation be and is hereby authorized and directed, in the name

and on behalf of this corporation, to certify a copy of this resolution and deliver such certified copy to the Authority.

The foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution of the Board of Directors of this corporation, adopted on the 18th day of December, 1934.

(Signed) G. R. COOKSEY,
G. T. H. Secretary CJS,
Reconstruction Finance Corporation.

(Seal)

Supervisor Gallagher urged the objection that in case there is a failure on the part of the Railroad Companies to amortize the cost of the rail transportation in thirty-five years that auto tolls would have to be increased.

Supervisor Havenner asked the City Attorney whether Supervisor Gallagher is correct in assuming that if there should be an operating deficit on the rail transportation system across the Bridge, that the tolls for the motor vehicle traffic on the upper deck would be penalized to make up such deficit.

City Attorney O'Toole replied that he was told that the \$15,000,000 was a single unit loan and must be self-liquidating.

Honorable Angelo J. Rossi appeared and was heard in part as follows:

"Mr. President and Members of the Board of Supervisors: I am here on invitation of the President of the Board in connection with the subject now being discussed. A few days ago I received a letter from His Excellency the Governor in connection with the proposed contract to be entered into between the Toll Bridge Authority and the present carrying company. Also, a copy of the resolution adopted by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, a copy of which I enclose. I felt it incumbent on me particularly to send a copy of that resolution and letter for your consideration because in the letter sent by the Governor of California a meeting has been called by him for the 3rd-day of January, at 2 p. m., in Sacramento, and a hearing will be held where he expects someone from each community representing its Board of Supervisors or Council to go through these matters which concern us and which we are vitally interested in. The bridge is about to be a reality, so that if there is anyone opposed to bridge matters at this time they will have their hearing. It is expected that the bridge will be completed in the next two or two and a half years. That we are vitally interested goes without question. First of all we are interested as to the cost as between ferry and rail transportation.

"We are also very much interested in a recapture clause. The agreement provides for a 35-year contract with the rail transportation companies and for our part I believe an amendment should be added to that contract providing that the Municipal Railway could undertake transportation to and from Alameda County. There should be a rapid transit system between San Francisco and Alameda County.

"It is true that unless this transportation is afforded it might injure to some extent the interests of this city, particularly in our residential districts, if we are not provided with rapid transit. Previously I recommended to this Board an appropriation of \$25,000 to make a study of that particular phase of the question and expect that report to be made at the earliest possible moment. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation has consented to a loan of \$15,000,000 for rail transportation on the bridge under certain conditions. That, after all, is a matter between the Toll Bridge Authority and the Federal Government. The Railroad Commission is also interested and will act promptly.

"It is up to us to take some action looking to the approval or disapproval of all or part of that draft. Therefore, I think it my duty to call you attention to that fact, that we should go to Sacramento

with a united front and express our opposition to the proposed contract.

"In looking over the report of the engineers I noticed one thing, that is that the terminal facilities in San Francisco are not adequate. I have no objection to Plan "X" as regards location, but the inadequacy of the terminal is serious, but that can be taken care of later on because there is nothing to stand in the way of any amendment to bring that about. I think Plan "X" as far as location is concerned is most desirable, but I do not approve the present terminal because of its inadequacy. Therefore, I registered my personal objection. The plan has since been redrawn, affording a much more spacious approach, and that is all that probably can be done within the money that is available. The plan is now in my office and I will send it over, if you wish, for your perusal."

Remarks of Mr. Cahill in re San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: I would like to hear an expression from Mr. Cahill so we can clear this particular point up.

MR. CAHILL: Mr. President, Mr. Mayor, and Gentlemen of the Board: There is no disagreement between Mayor Rossi and myself as to the location of the actual tracks, and of the elevated structure proposed by Plan X. From a traffic standpoint it is all right. From the standpoint of architecture, beauty and from the standpoint of easy ingress and egress for pedestrians and taxicabs and automobiles, it is not as good as it might be. Mayor Rossi proposed that instead of being between Minna and Natoma streets that it run over to Mission street and take in that one-third more block than it was originally proposed to do by the engineers under Mr. Purcell. With that I thoroughly and heartily agree, if it is possible within the money available.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: Then the statement made of your endorsement of Plan X was not in toto?

MR. CAHILL: My endorsement of Plan X was before that later idea had been developed taking in that extra third of a block fronting on Mission street, and between, I believe, Fremont and First.

SUPERVISOR SHANNON: With that you do not agree?

MR. CAHILL: I do agree with that, yes, but I don't think it could be gotten inside of the fifteen million dollars, Mr. Shannon. If that can be added, I am for it. If it cannot be added I am for the original plan.

THE PRESIDENT: May I make this statement: At the meeting Mr. Harding stated it was tentatively agreed—if I can picture this for you—the plan as called for now on Minna street to Natoma street is 150 feet, and from First street to Fremont street, in round figures, is 800 feet, and the terminal would be about 700 feet by 150 feet; so Mr. Harding stated here at the last meeting that they contemplated buying the property down to Howard street, as that was cheaper and he showed a plan to me and the thought was then you would have an opening from Howard street, as Howard street some day will be a boulevard—it is 83 feet from property line to property line—and they buy on Howard street about 500 feet. If I was drawing it there I would show you how the park would take place—and that would be a plaza in front of the terminal. To my mind it looked quite feasible.

MR. CAHILL: That is correct, Mr. President, with the exception that the original plan which was favored by His Honor, Mayor Rossi, instead of taking in the Howard street frontage, took Mission street frontage. Every time you buy towards Market street you make your terminal much better, as Market street is the natural artery of traffic in this city. Therefore, if the Mission street frontage can be secured instead of the Howard street frontage—I don't know whether it is possible for fifteen million dollars—it will be better, it will be a shorter run by one whole block, easier for street cars to approach, and taxicabs, and pedestrians. It will also cost more. The cost hasn't been

taken into consideration, and I don't know if the fifteen million dollars is sufficient to provide for the Mission street frontage, but by all means it should be done if it could be done.

There is just one other item, gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, I would like to call your attention to. This thing is clear outside of the matters of public utilities, and therefore I haven't talked about the bridge, but as long as I am here talking about it, it is quite obvious from a perusal of this document that this matter of having to guarantee cessation of the ferries in order to get this money—that is a matter of contract—you can take the fifteen million dollars on that basis or you don't get it at all. Assuming you want to take it, it leaves one thing which is very clear and the fundamental basis of the whole business, I think. That is this: An investigation should be made of how much it costs to operate the ferries from pier to pier and how much it will cost to operate the trains from terminal on this side to the pierhead line on the other side. At the present time I believe if you get onto an interurban train anywhere on the Oakland side of the Bay you can ride as far as the train goes for eight cents; the passenger fare across the Bay is twenty-one cents, and there is a differential of thirteen cents and that thirteen cents is obviously the cost plus the profit of operating the ferries. The Municipal Railway of San Francisco operates two-man cars on seven-and-a-half-mile lines, many of them, on a five-cent fare. The distance across the bridge from terminal to pierhead is something less than that—from one side of the Bay to the other would be about eight miles. Those cars will be operated in trains of from, I would say, five to twelve cars, which I think won't be two-man cars, but they will be one-man cars. They will be high-speed trains as far as the operation across the bridge is concerned, and there will be no stops on that bridge. Therefore, it is obvious that thirteen cents differential between the eight cents they ask for operation on their tracks on the East Bay side is more than enough to pay for the operation of the trains across the bridge even if the proposed two and a half cents were deducted and paid to the Bridge Authorities for amortization of the fifteen-million-dollar loan, and there would remain ten and a half cents for the operation of trains of one-man cars across approximately an eight-mile distance, which will be done at high speed and without any stops. One of two things follows: Either more than two and a half cents can be paid to the Bridge Authorities, as Mr. Gallagher suggested, for the amortization of these bonds because of the revenues that come in—I believe the bonds have first lien on the revenues—or it seems possible to reduce the fare. One of the two. I think this Board should take that into consideration and in going to Sacramento should ask for an investigation of the basic situation at the bottom of the whole business; namely, how much does it cost to operate the ferries from pier to pier, and how much in comparison will it cost to operate the trains across the bridge.

Bus Proposal.

C. A. Hawkins, 2850 Nineteenth avenue, was heard at length and offered to afford a rapid transit bus service over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge and Golden Gate Bridge serving Alameda, San Francisco, Marin and San Mateo counties and to turn the system over to the City or Toll Bridge Authority at cost at any time these bodies could pay for it.

Franchise Tax, Bay Bridge; City Attorney to Represent Supervisors Before Railroad Commission or Toll Bridge Authority.

(Code No. 15.092)

Supervisor Uhl presented and moved the adoption of the following:

Resolution No. 1678, as follows:

Whereas, in the event that the Toll Bridge Authority of the State

of California grants a franchise or a contract to a corporation or corporations, the Board of Supervisors will be requested to grant a franchise to a corporation or corporations to operate interurban trains into the City and County of San Francisco from the East Bay; and

Whereas, such a franchise is of great value; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco exact compensation for such franchise should it be granted, for each passenger carried on the interurban trains as a consideration for said franchise; and be it

Further Resolved, That in consideration of said franchise the Railroad Commission of the State of California agrees to an annual adjustment of interurban fares covering traffic over the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby instructed to represent the Supervisors before the Railroad Commission of the State of California, or the Toll Bridge Authority of the State of California, in the foregoing matters.

Referred.

Supervisor Havenner objected to the consideration of the foregoing unless we can have a preliminary discussion of same.

Whereupon, the resolution was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*.

Motions Adopted.

After discussion the following matters were *adopted*:

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Chair be authorized to appoint three (3) members of the Board of Supervisors to represent this Board of Supervisors at the meeting called by His Excellency the Governor of the State of California on Thursday, January 3, 1935, at Sacramento; that said committee, when appointed, be instructed and authorized to confer prior to their going to Sacramento with the Mayor and the City Attorney relative to the presentation to be made.

Motion *carried* without objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Board of Supervisors support the attitude of His Honor the Mayor for a proper station as a part of the terminal facilities of the bridge.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the committee be authorized to address His Excellency the Governor on the point made by the City Attorney, seeking assurances "that the City will not be denied a future voice in the plan of construction or possible redrafting of plans for local terminal of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge."

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, McSheehy, Ratto, Roncovieri, Shannon—6.

Noes—Supervisors Schmidt, Uhl—2.

Absent—Supervisors Brown, Colman, Havenner—3.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the delegation request his Excellency the Governor to see that a provision is inserted in any contract between the Toll Bridge Authority and the railroad companies that would permit a change in the location of the terminal as set forth in Plan "X".

Motion *carried* without objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that his Excellency the Governor be requested by the delegation to, and in conjunction with, the Railroad Commission, study and investigate the statement of the railroad companies that they are unable to pay anything for the use of the bridge.

Motion carried without objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that we object to the exclusive rights granted by the Toll Bridge Authority in the proposed contracts to railway companies using the bridge.

Motion carried without objection.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the remarks of Edward G. Cahill, Manager of Public Utilities, be incorporated in the record with instructions to see that same are read and presented to the Governor.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

The following motions were made and *laid over for consideration until tomorrow's meeting*:

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the City Attorney bring to this Board Wednesday for the consideration of the delegation before it leaves for Sacramento, what some of us believe to be ambiguities in the resolution regarding modern equipment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the delegation be instructed to object before his Excellency the Governor against the use of the bridge by the proposed companies without a rental charge being made therefor, and to insist on a rental charge commensurate at least with its use.

Supervisor Uhl, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Board of Supervisors oppose any thirty-five-year contract with the proposed Interurban Railway Company until every other means of transportation has been checked up and disapproved.

Over until Wednesday.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matters, heretofore passed for second reading, were taken up and on motion *laid over one week*:

Street Work on Unaccepted Streets.

(Code No. 12.021)

Bill No. 651, Ordinance No. 12.0212, as follows:

Authorizing the Director of Public Works to grant permission for performance of street work in or upon unaccepted public streets in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract; regulating the manner in which such work shall be done; prescribing the conditions and requirements essential to the obtaining of such permission; and repealing Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and all ordinances amendatory thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Application for permission to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco by private contract must be made in writing to the Director of Public Works, which application shall contain a comprehensive description of the work to be done. Said Director shall thereupon investigate such application, and if after investigation the Director determines that the public interest or convenience requires the doing of

the proposed work and that the same is expedient and will not be productive of detriment to the public safety or convenience, he is hereby authorized to grant permission for the doing of the same as applied for or as modified by the direction of the City Engineer, subject to the conditions and provisions in this ordinance hereinafter prescribed and provided.

Section 2. No permission for the doing of any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street in the City and County of San Francisco, except in the case of main sewer construction, or the improvement of a street crossing or intersection as hereinafter provided for, shall be granted in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, unless the owners of all of the improvable frontage on a block of the street whereon or wherein such work is proposed to be done, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into a written contract for the doing thereof, then and in such case said Director may grant permission for the making of same; *provided, however, that if the applicant for a permit to do any street work in or upon any unaccepted public street shall obtain contracts for the doing of said work from the owners, or authorized agents of the owners, of 60 per cent or more of the frontage upon a street, between main intersections, proposed to be improved, as delineated upon a diagram accompanying the application, then the Director of Public Works shall, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the application, accompanied by said contracts, or photostatic copies thereof, institute public proceedings, in accordance with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934, for the improvement of the portion or portions, between said intersections, of the street proposed to be improved, for which applicant files no contract or contracts. If the order of the Director of Public Works requiring the improvement of the portion or portions of the work not included in the private contract or contracts, be sustained by the Board of Supervisors, then the Director of Public Works shall issue a permit for the doing of the portion or portions of the work privately contracted for and the Director of Public Works shall at the same time call for bids for the construction of the portion or portions ordered done under public proceedings.* Any contract herein authorized shall include provision for all necessary underground service facilities.

Where the construction of a main sewer is deemed by the Director of Public Works and the City Engineer to be necessary in any block proposed to be improved by private contract, then and in such case no work, except grading, involving the construction of a pavement on such block, shall be permitted to be done until such main sewer shall have been constructed with side sewers and other appurtenances as in this section hereinafter provided for and regulated.

Where a main sewer has already been constructed in a block and side sewers and other appurtenances to such main sewer are deemed necessary by the said Director and City Engineer, the construction of the same shall be conditioned for in the private contract in this ordinance referred to.

In the case of the construction of a main sewer in any block, no permission for the construction of the same by private contract shall be granted unless such contract is signed and conditioned for the construction of such sewer for its entire serviceable length between the main street crossings, or main street intersections, as may be determined by the City Engineer, with side sewers and other expedient and essential appurtenances as may be required by the City Engineer, under such regulations as may be prescribed by him, and approved by the Director of Public Works.

The provisions of Ordinance No. 5923 (New Series) regulating the construction, reconstruction or repair of private side sewers or drains and the connection thereof with main public sewers, approved June 28, 1923, shall not be deemed applicable to the construction of side sewers by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance.

Permission for the improvement of a public street crossing or intersection shall not be granted unless the owners of at least a majority of the frontage of the lots and lands liable for the cost thereof, or the authorized agents of such owners, shall have entered into contract therefor, such frontage being determinable according to method provided in the Improvement Act of 1911 of the State of California, as said act provides at the time of adoption of this ordinance, for determining the frontage liable for the improvement of street crossings or intersections.

Section 3. Two original contracts, or two photostatic copies of the original contract, for the doing of any proposed street work pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall accompany the application for permission to do the proposed work together with a diagram showing thereon the lots and lands signed for by the respective owners thereof, or by their agents, as indicated in such contract and the respective frontages so signed for; and to such contracts accompanying such application there shall be attached affidavits sworn to before a notary public that the signatures of said owners or their agents respectively appearing in such contracts, are genuine, and were to the actual knowledge of affiant subscribed by said owners or said agents, respectively, and that the frontage set opposite the said signatures, severally, is correct according to affiant's best information and belief.

Section 4. The work proposed to be done under such private contract must be of a class or type approved and recommended by the City Engineer. Such work must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works and the materials to be used therein must be in accordance with specifications adopted by the Director of Public Works for similar work, and be to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

The Director of Public Works shall fix the time within which the work shall be completed, which time shall begin to run from the date of the order of the said Director granting the permission for the doing of the same.

When the work shall have been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer and the Director of Public Works, the said Director shall so declare by order, and thereupon deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 5. In case the work to be done by private contract, as hereinbefore provided for, shall not have been completed within the time limited in the order of permission or within such extended time as may be granted by the Director of Public Works, then said Director shall by order revoke the permission theretofore granted for doing such work.

Section 6. No permission for doing any street work by private contract under and pursuant to the provisions of this ordinance shall become effective until the contractor covenanting to perform the same shall have executed to the City and County of San Francisco, and delivered to the Secretary of the Department of Public Works a bond in such amount as may have been fixed in the order of the said Director, granting such permission, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California as surety thereon, conditioned for the faithful performance of the contract, or shall have deposited with the said Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for the said amount as a guaranty for such performance. Before entering upon the performance of any work in this ordinance provided for, the contractor covenanting to do such work shall also file with the Director of Public Works a bond, with some surety company authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, to be satisfactory in all respects to said Director, in a sum not less than one-half of the total amount payable by the terms of the contract, conditioned for the payment of all materialmen and employees under the contract. In lieu of such bonds or certified check, any contractor may deliver to said Secretary a bond in the sum of \$25,000, with some surety com-

pany authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety thereon, conditioned for faithful performance of any and all private contracts authorized to be performed by him in pursuance of the provisions of this ordinance, and for the payment of all materialmen and employees under such contracts. Such last-mentioned bond must be satisfactory in all respects to said Director and shall be renewed annually.

No assignment or transfer of a contract authorized or provided for in this ordinance, or of any rights thereunder, shall operate to relieve the surety or sureties on any bond executed in connection with such contract, as herein provided for, from the obligations or liabilities assumed in and by such bond, nor change or in any manner or degree qualify such obligations or liabilities. [All such assignments or transfers of contracts must be recorded in the County Recorder's office and due notice thereof given to the Director of Public Works.]

Section 7. The Director of Public Works may institute such inquiry as he deems proper for the purpose of determining the authenticity of the signatures appearing on a private contract, or the authority of the parties thereto to sign same.

Section 8. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed as prohibiting the Director of Public Works from granting permission to an individual owner or his duly authorized agent to improve a public street in front of his property, if in the judgment of the City Engineer and the said Director such improvement be deemed advisable and expedient, and the public interest or convenience requires the same.

Section 9. The provisions of this ordinance shall not be deemed in any way to affect any of the matters provided for in the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1934 of the City and County of San Francisco for the improvement of streets by public contract and assessment of the cost thereof against private property.

Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series), and all ordinances amendatory thereof, and all ordinances and parts of ordinances relating to private contracts for street improvements are hereby repealed. This ordinance, however, shall not in any manner be held to affect any private contract heretofore in force and effect in pursuance of the provisions of Ordinance No. 7169 (New Series) and ordinances amendatory thereof, which provisions shall be deemed applicable until the completion of every such contract.

Amending Charitable Solicitations Ordinance.

(Code No. 11.00)

Bill No. 643, Ordinance No. 11.0008, as follows:

Amending section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, entitled an ordinance regulating the soliciting of contributions for charitable, patriotic or philanthropic purposes in the City and County of San Francisco: Providing penalties for a violation thereof, and repealing all ordinances in conflict therewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 8 of Bill No. 537, Ordinance No. 11.0007, is amended to read as follows:

Section 8. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to solicitations made solely for evangelical, missionary, religious, charitable, educational or other eleemosynary purposes by any religious corporation, denomination, society or church; provided that at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such religious corporation, denomination, society or church shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its bishop, chief priest, presiding elder or other presiding officer. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their members by bona fide trade union labor organizations,

and further, nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to solicitations made solely for the benefit of their beneficiaries by parents and teachers organizations operating in this City and County; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation such trade union labor and/or parents and teachers organization shall file with said Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officers. Nor shall the provisions of this ordinance apply to any solicitation where no salary, commission, percentage, remuneration or other compensation is paid to any person, firm, association or corporation in connection with the solicitation, and where the person, organization, society, association or corporation proposing to solicit does not collect and/or propose to collect in such solicitation any sum in excess of Two Hundred Fifty (\$250) Dollars and where said person, organization, society, association or corporation has not collected in the manner specified in section 1 hereof any sum in excess of Five Hundred (\$500) Dollars during the twelve (12) months next immediately preceding said proposed solicitation; provided at least five (5) days before the commencement of any such solicitation, such person, organization, society, association or corporation shall file with the Chief of Police a written notice of its intention to make such solicitation, accompanied by the written approval of such solicitation by its president, secretary or other presiding officer.

Leasing Airplane Station.

Also, Bill No. 661, Ordinance No. 12.173532, entitled "Providing for leasing of airplane and engine maintenance station at San Francisco Airport."

NEW BUSINESS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), Truck License Fees.

(Code No. 3.041)

Bill No. 659, Ordinance No. 3.04141, as follows:

Amending Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 73 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 73. Every person, firm or corporation directly or indirectly operating vehicles offered to the public for hire for transportation of goods, wares and merchandise, shall pay a license for each such vehicle operated, as follows:

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or trailer drawn by two horses, six (6) dollars per annum.

For each truck, box wagon, tank wagon, hay wagon, lumber truck or trailer, drawn by more than two horses, twelve and one-half (12.50) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by two horses and not included in the first classification, five (5) dollars per annum.

For each vehicle drawn by one horse, two (2) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting not exceeding three-quarters of a ton, six (6) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck, automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting over three-quarters of a ton and less than two tons, seven (7) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting two tons and less than three tons, ten (10) dollars per annum.

For each automobile truck or automobile vehicle or automobile trailer capable of transporting three tons or over, twelve (12) dollars per annum.

For each motorcycle or tricycle, three (3) dollars per annum.

The license required by this section shall become due and payable on the first day of January of each year, *provided, however, that*

Vehicles registered with the State after March 31st shall pay only three-quarters (3/4) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after June 30th shall pay only one-half (1/2) of such fees;

Vehicles registered with the State after September 30th shall pay only one-fourth (1/4) of such fees.

If not paid within 30 days after same has become due, the Tax Collector shall add 10 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within 60 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 15 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within 90 days after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 25 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

If the license is not paid within six (6) months after same becomes due, the Tax Collector shall add 50 per cent of the amount of the license as a penalty for nonpayment.

Nothing shall permit the exemption of penalties mentioned in this section, except the filing with the Tax Collector of a bill of sale or a copy of the certificate issued by the Motor Vehicle Department of the State of California by the purchaser or new owner.

RECESS.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Board recess until Wednesday morning at 10 o'clock and that the City Attorney be authorized to notify Mr. Florence McAuliffe and Mr. C. H. Purcell of the Toll Bridge Authority, to be here at the meeting at 10 o'clock Wednesday morning.

Motion carried.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 7, 1935.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journals of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

No 28 mic.

Main - 2,

8-14 y

